

University of Groningen

Is young adult excess mortality a natural phenomenon?

Remund, Adrien; Camarda, Carlo Giovanni; Riffe, Tim

Published in:
Population and Societies

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2021

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Remund, A., Camarda, C. G., & Riffe, T. (2021). Is young adult excess mortality a natural phenomenon? *Population and Societies*, 2021(590). <https://www.ined.fr/en/publications/editions/population-and-societies/is-young-adult-excess-mortality-a-natural-phenomenon/>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Population & Societies

Is young adult excess mortality a natural phenomenon?

Adrien Remund*, Carlo Giovanni Camarda**, and Tim Riffe***


The risk of death is high at birth and during the first years of life, but it decreases through childhood to a minimum at around 10 years. It then begins a steady increase throughout adult life. Young adults, however, are often an exception, with higher than expected mortality, as Adrien Remund, Carlo Giovanni Camarda, and Tim Riffe explain. Is this natural in humans, or are there other factors involved?

The risk of death is high at birth and during the first years of life, but it decreases through childhood to a minimum at around 10 years. It then begins a steady, exponential increase that continues throughout adult life. This U-shaped curve of age-specific death rates suggests that the risk of dying for young adults should be relatively low.

Mortality can be high between ages 15 and 30

It is not rare, however, to observe relatively high levels of mortality during a period in early adulthood between the ages of 15 and 30. This is called 'excess mortality' because it exceeds the levels that would be expected due to biological factors and epidemiological circumstances.

Figure 1. Age-specific mortality rates in 45 countries between 1900 and 2018



A. Remund et al., *Population & Societies*, no. 590, June 2021.

Note: AUS = Australia; DNK = Denmark; FRA = France; JPN = Japan; NOR = Norway; PRT = Portugal; UKR = Ukraine.
Source: Human Mortality Database (2019).

The relative scale of this excess mortality appears to be independent of the general level of mortality, as illustrated by the age-specific mortality curves based on data from the Human Mortality Database (Figure 1). They show situations for different periods between 1900 and 2018 in 45 countries with exhaustive vital statistics, mainly in Europe or other continents with populations of European origin, as well as a few Asian countries such as Japan.

* Population Research Centre, University of Groningen, the Netherlands

** Institut national d'études démographiques, Paris, France

*** Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research, Rostock, Germany

The absolute level of young adult mortality can be surprising. For example, in 1900–1904, the mortality of Danish males was generally similar to or even lower than that of Norwegian males, but with practically none of the excess mortality between ages 15 and 40 observed in Norway. Starting from a much lower level of overall mortality, the curve of American males in 1990–1994 displays pronounced excess mortality, placing them above the absolute level in Australia in 1940–1944, despite a much higher level of overall mortality in the latter country. Similar observations can be made for females, although they are generally less affected by this excess mortality than males. For example, in the immediate post-war period, while the overall mortality of Japanese and Portuguese females is identical up to age 15, their excess mortality is much higher in Japan above that age. Forty years later, while the absolute mortality of French and Ukrainian females is similar between ages 15 and 25, its level reflects high excess mortality in France but not in Ukraine, which has a strong female mortality disadvantage at all other ages. So, it is logical to conclude that for each country pair considered here, young adults are intrinsically more vulnerable in Denmark than in Norway, in the United States than in Australia, in Japan than in Portugal, and in France than in Ukraine, whatever the absolute mortality levels between ages 15 and 30.

Young adult excess mortality seems to be a distinct component of human mortality that stands alongside the other processes governing overall mortality. While called the ‘excess mortality hump’ because of the bulge it creates in the mortality curve, like that observed for Norwegian males in 1900, it may also resemble a plateau, as observed for American males in 1990 and for French females in 1980. Let us examine the possible causes of this phenomenon.

Historically universal excess mortality?

Until recently, young adult excess mortality was considered a universal feature of human mortality [1] and specific to males [2]. These two assumptions stem from a biological conception of adolescence as a naturally tumultuous period, and they refer implicitly to the psychological upheavals associated with puberty, such as the production of sex hormones or the asynchronous development of different parts of the brain. It is believed these particularities of the ‘adolescent brain’, as it is sometimes called in the neuropsychological literature, are reflected in a lack of inhibition, excessive risk-taking, impulsiveness, and a lesser ability to foresee the consequences of one’s behaviour [3]. These assumptions are only partially borne out by fact, however. Analysis of several thousand curves similar to those presented in Figure 1 shows that while excess mortality is a frequent reality

Figure 2. Age-specific mortality rates in selected male populations showing little or no evidence of young adult excess mortality





Figure 3. Age-specific mortality rates in selected female populations showing clear evidence of young adult excess mortality



for males, it is very limited or non-existent in certain cases (Figure 2) [4]. Exceptions of this kind were especially common in the 1950s and 1960s, in both Southern Europe (Spain and Portugal) and Northern Europe (Ireland and Finland).

Young female excess mortality is systematically lower than that of young males and is sometimes non-existent. It is nonetheless widely observed in different contexts, not only in the past, when maternal mortality was still high, but also more recently (Figure 3). It was especially high in the interwar period, both in Northern Europe (Finland and Norway) and in Southern Europe (Italy), perhaps due to the high incidence of tuberculosis (see below), a common disease after the Second World

War in countries such as Japan and Bulgaria. More recently, pronounced excess female mortality at the youngest adult ages has been observed in several industrialized countries including France, the United States, and New Zealand; only the 1950s and 1960s were free of this phenomenon. The excess mortality hump is thus not universal, and neither is it specific to males.


An increase in deaths from external causes during adolescence?

Another corollary of the biological conception of adolescence is a belief that young adult excess mortality is attributable to increased risk-taking in the years after puberty. In the literature, the term ‘accident hump’ is often used, with some authors seeing an explicit link between excess mortality and adolescent risk-taking that results in more frequent accidental or violent deaths [2]. Here again, this explanation is only partially borne out by fact.

First, regarding the age range concerned, while the hump starts to form in early adolescence, it continues up to age 30 at least, well beyond the end of puberty. In the United States, young adult excess mortality in the 1960s disappeared at around age 35 for males and age 25 for females [5]. This limit then shifted to later ages for both sexes, reaching 45–50 years in the early 1990s, partly because of the HIV epidemic which causes deaths at later ages, on average, than accidents do. Since then, the age limit of the US accident hump has fluctuated between 30 and 40 years, partly because of the current epidemic of opioid overdose deaths [5]. Given the extensive age range covered by the hump, it cannot be attributed to a purely biological factor that leads to high-risk behaviour in adolescence.

Secondly, the breakdown of causes of death linked to the excess mortality hump is more complex than it appears, and while accidents have played an important role in recent decades, they are not always the leading cause of excess mortality at young ages. For example, in the United States, road traffic accidents, which accounted for around 60% of excess mortality in the

Figure 4. Contributions of selected causes of death to young adult excess mortality in a sample of 22 countries from 1861 to 1964*



A. Remund et al., *Population & Societies*, no. 590, June 2021.

Interpretation: For each country, the curves indicate the percentage increase in mortality between ages 10–14 and 20–24 attributable to each cause of death (see Box).

Source: Authors' calculations (see Box) using the database assembled by Preston, Keyfitz, and Schoen [6].

* Of the 46 countries covered by the database, only those whose data series begin before 1960 were selected (Australia, Canada, Chile, Czech Republic, Denmark, England and Wales, Finland, France, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, New Zealand, Portugal, Scotland, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Taiwan, and United States). The superimposed curves, estimated by local smoothing (LOESS) with 95% confidence intervals estimated by resampling, give an indication of mean trends over time. Ill-defined causes are distributed proportionately to the weights of the other causes in each age group.

1960s, now only account for one-quarter among males, on a par with suicides and homicides [5]. For both sexes, the contribution of overdoses to excess mortality has risen from practically 0% to 20% in the last decade.

The role of tuberculosis

Historically, deaths from external causes have not always reached the levels seen in recent years. In the 22 countries for which causes of death are available for historical periods, pulmonary tuberculosis was the main cause of young adult excess mortality until the mid-19th century (Figure 4) [6]. In the interwar period, the disease still accounted for around 50% of male excess mortality on average, with proportions of up to 90% in Portugal, and ranging from 70% to 90% in Sweden, Spain, France, England, Greece, Italy, the Netherlands, and Norway. In the same period, maternal mortality accounted for less than 10% of female young adult excess mortality, excepting certain non-European countries (United States, Chile, New Zealand, and Taiwan), where it still represented 30% to 40% before the 1940s. Deaths from external causes (suicides, homicides, accidents including road traffic accidents) overtook tuberculosis deaths between 1940 and 1960, with varying patterns across countries. This turnaround coincides with the development of antibiotics

Box. Measuring young adult excess mortality

Young adult excess mortality (δ) can be measured in various ways which basically involve isolating deaths occurring in excess of the numbers expected in a hypothetical situation where only senescence applies. In the simplest method, it is defined as the increase in the risk of dying between the low point at around 10–14 years (${}_5m_{10}$) and the summit of the hump at around 20–24 years (${}_5m_{20}$). As this overall increase is the sum of increases observed for each cause of death, the contribution by cause (δ_κ) can be defined as follows:

$$\delta = {}_5m_{20} - {}_5m_{10} = \sum_{\kappa} \delta_{\kappa} = \sum_{\kappa} {}_5m_{20}^{\kappa} - {}_5m_{10}^{\kappa}$$

This method, used in Figure 4 which presents $\frac{\delta_{\kappa}}{\delta}$, has the advantage of simplicity and captures the vertical amplitude of the hump without reference to the overall level of mortality. Other more accurate but less intuitive methods can be used to gain a more comprehensive picture [5].

(streptomycin, effective against tuberculosis, was discovered in 1944) and the boom in motor vehicle ownership. In historical terms, the major role of violent and accidental deaths in young adult excess mortality is a relatively recent phenomenon.

The young adult excess mortality hump is a demographic phenomenon first observed 150 years ago [7], but it remains imperfectly understood. It is sometimes the object of misconceptions based on a purely biological conception of adolescence as a universal, gendered phenomenon linked to high-risk behaviour of young adults. In reality, the hump evolves independently of overall mortality levels, is more pronounced for males than females, and is not universal. It often extends beyond puberty and fluctuates with changes in causes of death not necessarily linked to accidents or violence. While biological factors cannot be excluded, the historical context of the transition to adulthood plays a key role by placing young adults at heightened risk.

References

- [1] Heligman L., Pollard J. H., 1980, *The age pattern of mortality*, *Journal of the Institute of Actuaries*, 107(1), 49–80.
- [2] Goldstein J. A., 2011, *Secular trend toward earlier male sexual maturity: Evidence from shifting ages of male young adult mortality*, *PLoS One*, 6(8), e14826.
- [3] Johnson S. B., Blum R. W., Giedd J. N., 2009, *Adolescent maturity and the brain: The promise and pitfalls of neuroscience research in adolescent health policy*, *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 45(3), 216–221.
- [4] Remund A., 2015, *Jeunes vulnérables? Mesures, composantes et causes de la surmortalité des jeunes adultes* (Ph.D. dissertation), University of Geneva.
- [5] Remund A., Camarda C. G., Riffe T., 2018, *A cause-of-death decomposition of young adult excess mortality*, *Demography*, 55(3), 957–978.
- [6] Preston S. H., Keyfitz N., Schoen R., 1972, *Causes of death: Life tables for national populations* [Data files].
- [7] Thiele T. N., 1871, *On a mathematical formula to express the rate of mortality throughout the whole of life, tested by a series of observations made use of by the Danish Life Insurance Company of 1871*, *Journal of the Institute of Actuaries and Assurance Magazine*, 16(5), 313–329.

Abstract

A temporary increase in the risk of dying during adolescence and early adulthood has been observed in many populations, but no clear explanation for the phenomenon has yet been found. Several recent studies have shed new light on the question, revealing that this excess mortality is not historically universal, tends to mainly affect males, and is largely attributable to violent and accidental deaths.

Keywords

mortality, young adults, puberty, risk behaviour, mortality, tuberculosis, HIV, accidents, deaths from external causes, overdoses, gender differences