



University of Groningen

Functional and clinical translation of asthma and allergy associated genetic variants in IL33 and IL1RL1

Ketelaar. Marlies

DOI: 10.33612/diss.171580070

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2021

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Ketelaar, M. (2021). Functional and clinical translation of asthma and allergy associated genetic variants in IL33 and IL1RL1. University of Groningen. https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.171580070

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Chapter 4



Phenotypic and functional translation of *IL33* genetics in asthma

Maria E Ketelaar^{1,2*}, Michael A Portelli^{2*}, F Nicole Dijk^{3*}, Nick Shrine⁴, Alen Faiz ⁵, Cornelis J Vermeulen⁵, Cheng J Xu⁶, Jenny Hankinson⁷, Sangita Bhaker², Amanda P Henry², Charlote K Billington², Dominick E Shaw², Simon R Johnson², Andrew V Benest⁸, Vincent Pang⁸, David O Bates⁸, Z E K Pogson⁹, Andrew Fogarty¹⁰, Tricia M McKeever¹⁰, Amisha Singapuri¹¹, Liam G Heaney¹², Adel H Mansur¹³, Rekha Chaudhuri¹⁴, Neil C Thomson¹⁴, John W Holloway¹⁵, Gabrielle A Lockett¹⁵, Peter H Howarth¹⁵, Robert Niven⁷, Angela Simpson⁷, Martin D Tobin⁴, Ian P Hall², Louise V Wain⁴, John D Blakey¹⁶, Christopher E Brightling¹⁷, Ma'en Obeidat¹⁸, Don D Sin¹⁹, David C Nickle²⁰, Yohan Bossé²¹, Judith M Vonk²², Maarten van den Berge²³, Gerard H Koppelman³, Ian Sayers^{2#}, Martijn C Nawijn^{24#}

Published: J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2020 May 19;S0091-6749(20)30680-1.

Abstract

Background

Asthma is a complex disease with multiple phenotypes that may differ in disease pathobiology and treatment response. *IL33* single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been reproducibly associated with asthma. IL33 levels are elevated in sputum and bronchial biopsies of patients with asthma. The functional consequences of *IL33* asthma SNPs remain unknown.

Objective

This study sought to determine whether *IL33* SNPs associate with asthma-related phenotypes and with *IL33* expression in lung or bronchial epithelium. This study investigated the effect of increased IL33 expression on human bronchial epithelial cell (HBEC) function.

Methods

Association between *IL33* SNPs (Chr9: 5,815,786-6,657,983) and asthma phenotypes (Lifelines/DAG [Dutch Asthma GWAS]/GASP [Genetics of Asthma Severity & Phenotypes] cohorts) and between SNPs and expression (lung tissue, bronchial brushes, HBECs) was done using regression modeling. Lentiviral overexpression was used to study IL33 effects on HBECs.

Results

We found that 161 SNPs spanning the *IL33* region associated with 1 or more asthma phenotypes after correction for multiple testing. We report a main independent signal tagged by rs992969 associating with blood eosinophil levels, asthma, and eosinophilic asthma. A second, independent signal tagged by rs4008366 presented modest association with eosinophilic asthma. Neither signal associated with FEV1, FEV1/forced vital capacity, atopy, and age of asthma onset. The 2 IL33 signals are expression quantitative loci in bronchial brushes and cultured HBECs, but not in lung tissue. IL33 overexpression in vitro resulted in reduced viability and reactive oxygen species-capturing of HBECs, without influencing epithelial cell count, metabolic activity, or barrier function.

Conclusions

We identify IL33 as an epithelial susceptibility gene for eosinophilia and asthma, provide mechanistic insight, and implicate targeting of the IL33 pathway specifically in eosinophilic asthma.

Keywords

IL33 SNPs; asthma phenotypes; bronchial epithelium; eQTL; functional translation.



Abbreviations

AF, Allele frequency; AHBEC, Asthma human bronchial epithelial cell; BEC, Bronchial epithelial cell; ENCODE, Encyclopedia of DNA Elements; eQTL, Expression quantitative trait loci; FDR, False discovery rate; FVC, Forced vital capacity; HBEC, Human bronchial epithelial cell; HDM, House dust mite; LD, Linkage disequilibrium; OR, Odds ratio; Padj, Adjusted P value; QTL, Quantitative trait loci; ROS, Reactive oxygen species; RV16, Rhinovirus 16; SNP, Single nucleotide polymorphism; tagSNPs, Single nucleotide polymorphisms used to tag a particular haplotype in a region of a genome

Introduction

Asthma is a common, complex, heterogeneous disease that results from the interaction between genetic and environmental factors. It is a chronic inflammatory condition of the airways, characterized by bronchial hyperresponsiveness and reversible airway obstruction. Asthma may consist of several endotypes characterized by differences in specific phenotypes, underlying pathobiology, and (treatment) outcomes in individual patients. (7) Genomewide association studies have identified a large number of asthma loci, (19-26) including single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in *IL33* and *IL1RL1*, the gene encoding the IL33 receptor. (26) Both loci were originally discovered to be associated with blood eosinophils in general population cohorts. (15,16) Next to these common SNPs, a rare IL33 loss-of-function mutation has been shown to reduce blood eosinophil counts and protect from asthma. (34) IL33 is an alarmin released on cellular damage from, for example, epithelial cells. Extracellular IL33 induces signaling via the heterodimeric receptor complex IL1RL1/ IL1RAP. Airway IL33 levels have been associated with type 2 cytokines levels, and a positive correlation with eosinophil numbers in patients with asthma was recently reported. (50) High IL33 levels have been found in induced sputum and bronchial biopsies of patients with asthma compared with in those of nonasthmatic controls. (51-53) Moreover, IL33 may have a paracrine effect on the airway epithelium, as this epithelium has been shown to be responsive to IL33. (157,158) These data suggest a connection among epithelium-derived IL33, eosinophilic inflammation, and asthma.

Nevertheless, the functional relevance of common asthma-associated SNPs in *IL33* remains largely unknown. Moreover, genetic association studies thus far have focused on asthma diagnosis, while the contribution of genetic variants to distinct phenotypes of asthma has not been addressed. We hypothesize that genetic variants at the *IL33* locus drive specific phenotypes of asthma by activating a type-2 cytokine-dominated immune response, characterized by eosinophilic lung inflammation. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate (1) whether SNPs in the IL33 region associate with specific asthma phenotypes; (2) whether these *IL33* SNPs form quantitative trait loci (QTL) for IL33 expression in lung tissue and/or bronchial epithelial samples in vivo and in vitro; and (3) whether increased IL33 expression alters human bronchial epithelial cell (HBEC) function.

Material/Method

Study design

SNPs in the region of *IL33* (Chr9: 5,815,786-6,657,983, GRCh37/hg19) were tested for association with asthma phenotypes using regression modeling. Briefly, we tested association of the *IL33* SNPs in a Dutch general population cohort (Lifelines; n = 13,395) (159) with eosinophil counts, FEV1, and FEV1/forced vital capacity (FVC). From this general population cohort, we subsequently took the asthma subpopulation (n = 1,066, doctor's diagnosed

asthma) and investigated genetic association with eosinophil counts, eosinophilic asthma (asthma and blood eosinophils >150 cells/ μ L [n = 707], as this cutoff is a good predictor for airway eosinophilia [>2% sputum eosinophils]), (160) noneosinophilic asthma (asthma and blood eosinophils <150 cells/ μ L, n = 359), FEV1, FEV1/FVC, and asthma with airway obstruction (asthma and FEV1 < 80% of predicted [n=258] or FEV1/FVC < 70% [n = 324]). In a meta-analysis of 2 independent asthma cohorts of 2,536 patients with moderate-severe asthma (GASP [Genetics of Asthma Severity & Phenotypes], UK) (56) and 909 patients with asthma of mild-moderate severity (DAG [Dutch Asthma GWAS], The Netherlands), (161) we then evaluated association of IL33 SNPs with atopy, blood eosinophils, total serum IgE, age of asthma onset, and lung function (FEV1, FEV1/FVC). Also we resequenced the IL33 region to find novel SNPs associated with asthma.

We then selected independent genetic signals based on linkage disequilibrium (LD) (r2 < 0.1), followed by conditional analyses on the most significantly associated SNP. Functional investigations of selected independent genetic signals included expression and protein QTL studies in lung tissue (n = 1111), bronchial brushes (n = 139), and primary asthma-derived human bronchial epithelial cells ([AHBECs]; n = 35). Potential function was investigated using Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE), PredictSNP, Meta-SNP, and PolyPhen-2 data. (162-164) We tested for inducible expression QTL (eQTL) and protein QTL by exposing AHBECs (n = 18) of various *IL33* genotypes to asthma-relevant stimuli (house dust mite [HDM], rhinovirus 16 [RV16]). Finally, we overexpressed *IL33* in (healthy-derived) HBECs (n = 5) to investigate effects on cell count, metabolic activity, viability, reactive oxygen species (ROS)-capturing, and epithelial barrier. (This process is described in Fig 1.)

Genotype-phenotype analysis

A total of 1970 imputed SNPs (Lifelines, all overlapping with DAG/GASP) and 2457 imputed SNPs (DAG/GASP) were available for the association analyses based on a minor allele frequency (AF) \geq 0.01 and chromosomal location of 400 kbs upstream and downstream of IL33 (Chr9: 5,815,786-6,657,983; see Fig E1 in this article's <u>Online</u> Repository). This region encompasses all known asthma-associated SNPs (Table I, and see Table E1 in this article's <u>Online</u> Repository). (1,7,17-24,54-56) Associations of SNPs with asthma phenotypes were performed with PLINK version 1.90b6.7 (165) (Lifelines) or SNPtest version 2.5 β (166) (DAG/GASP) using an additive genetic model. DAG/GASP were meta-analyzed in METAL (167) using a fixed model (see M1 and Tables E2-E10 in this article's <u>Online</u> Repository). An adjusted P value (Padj) < .05 false discovery rate (FDR) was considered statistically significant.





Figure 1: Overview of the flow of the analyses

In above figure the flow of analysis of the current paper is shown. In the first phase, SNPs in a candidate region (400kb+/-*IL33*) were associated with asthma phenotypes in Lifelines (n=13,395) and GASP/DAG cohorts (1), including blood eosinophils, blood neutrophils, FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC, atopy, blood IgE and age of asthma onset. A total of 161 SNPs (MAF>0.01) were associated with one or more of these phenotypes; the majority of these associations were found in the Lifelines general population cohort. A total of 5 independent LD blocks (r2>0.1) were identified (2a). Conditional analyses on the most significantly associated SNP revealed 2 independent signals left for functional study in QTL cohorts (2b). eQTLs were studied in lung tissue (n=1,111) and bronchial brushes (n=139), eQTL and pQTL in cultured primary human bronchial epithelial cells (HBECS, n=35 (3)). Then, functional elements in the phenotype-associated genetic signals were investigated using ENCODE, PredictSNP, Meta-SNP, Polyphen-2 data (4). Further functional study was done by exposing HBECS (n=18) to asthma-relevant stimuli (HDM, RV16), investigating inducible eQTL and pQTL; as well as investigating the functional effects of elevated IL33 (n=5) *in vitro*, including cell count, metabolic activity, viability, ROS-capturing and resistance (5).

Table I: Five LD b	locks (r ² >0.1) with phenotype	associations	could b	e distinguished						
Tag SNP (genetic signal)	Location	Gene context	Pheno risk allele (AF)	Alt allele	Associated feature(s)	Cohort	Effect size risk allele (B or OR)	SE	P.adj (FDR)	Lit. asthma GWAS SNP in block	Ref GWAS
A-rs992969	9:6209697	~6kb 5' of 1L33	A (0.25)	U	eos levels in GenPop, eos asthma vs HC, asthma case control	Lifelines Lifelines Lifelines	0.058 (B) 1.321 (0R) 1.230 (0R)	0.009 0.053 0.053	7.09E-08 4.73E-03 0.034 0.034	rs1888909 rs7848215 rs922969 rs744829310 rs72699186 rs7269136 rs2381416 rs2381416 rs2066362	(1, 17-24, 54, 55)
B-rs1342327	9:6189874	~25kb 5'of <i>IL33</i>	G (0.15)	C	eos levels in GenPop, eos levels in asthma subjects	Lifelines, DAG/GASP	0.035 (B) 0.057 (B)	0.011 0.018	0.027 0.039		
C-rs74438701	9:6282794	~25kb 3'of <i>IL33</i>	T (0.83)	С	eos levels in GenPop	Lifelines	0.035 (B)	0.011	0.041		ı
D-rs2282162	9:6534466	intronic of GLDC	G (0.56)	A	eos levels in GenPop	Lifelines	0.029 (B)	0.008	0.011		ı
E-rs4008366	9:6116407	intergenic	T (0.69)	С	eos asthma vs HC	Lifelines	1.264 (OR)	0.070	0.045	rs343478	(1,17)
The table shows the table shows the table shows the use asthma f with asthma, disp with eosinophilic phenotype-associ alpha 0.05; GWAS-	the tagSNPs r opulation and laying SNPs p asthma, lack ated signal in <i>z</i> genomewide	epresenting 5 LD 4 DAG/GASP asthm art of the LD block of LD with signal our functional an	blocks/signal na population c at r ² >0.1. Un A, as well as alyses. AF=fr	ls (r ² >0. . In the l derline this LD equency eral pop	 from the SNPs significant ast columns these are put int at the two genetic signals (A block also represented an in (EUR 1000G); Alt allele-alte ullation; HC-healthy control; 	tly (FDR<0.C to context of and E) taken ndependent rmative allel	(5) associated with asthm previously reported geno i forward in functional as signal in multiple studie e; B=beta; eos=eosinophilis eepairs; Lit.=literature; OK	1a featu me-wid sesment s, we too /eosinop	res in the L e significant in this stud ok signal E ohilic; FDR= otio; Padj= 1	ifelines general t (5*10E-8) SNP ly. Because of its forward as an i false discovery i FDR adjusted p-1	population, 's associated a association ndependent <i>rate value at</i> <i>value; Pheno</i>

dis
be
_
σ
~
con
sociations
s as
~
~
È
-
no
e
4
d
5
.=
5
7
\sim
H,
0
Λ
<u>ې</u> `
<u> </u>
5
cks (i
ocks (i
locks (i
blocks (i
) blocks (i
D blocks (i
LD blocks (i
ive LD blocks (i
Five LD blocks (i
: Five LD blocks (i
e I: Five LD blocks (i

risk allele=phenotype associated allele; Ref=Reference; SE=standard error. For complete analyses of all eosinophilic phenotypes in each cohort, please see supplemental table 57.

Functional genetics

QTL and ENCODE investigations

We tested for eQTL in lung tissue (n = 1111) and bronchial brushes (n = 139) (see Table E5 in this article's Online Repository) using a linear regression model to investigate the association between SNPs and log-transformed IL33 expression data. We employed an additive genetic model with age, sex, smoking status, and the Principal Components explaining >1% of expression variance as covariates using R statistics. (168) We did not have data on medication use for these cohorts, so could not correct for this covariate, but the currently used covariates are thought to reflect main confounders in eQTL analyses. (32,161) We also tested for (inducible) QTLs in cultured BECs (n = 18-35) obtained from bronchial brushes/ biopsies from patients with asthma as described. (169) AHBECs were stimulated with 50 μ g/mL HDM or RV16 (multiplicity of infection = 1) for 24 hours and RNA lysates collected. (170) Cells were genotype-stratified and expression was compared using Kruskal-Wallis tests. A P value < .05 was considered statistically significant. ENCODE was used to identify potential functional effects of tagSNPs (which are SNPs used to tag a particular haplotype in a region of a genome) and SNPs in LD (r2 > 0.3). SNPs were functionally checked for deoxyribonuclease I hypersensitive sites, histone mark sites, binding motifs, and regulatory motifs using RegulomeDB, HaploReg, ChromHMM, and Segway, part of ENCODE. (162,163)

Functional BEC studies

To investigate the functional consequences of increased IL33 in BECs, we stably overexpressed human full-length IL33 (aa1-270) in primary HBECs isolated from 5 healthy individuals (CC-2540; Lonza, Basel, Switzerland). IL33 mRNA and protein expression was quantified by quantitative PCR and immunofluorescence, respectively. We analyzed cell count, viability, and metabolic activity, as well as ROS-capturing ability (glutathione assay) and barrier function (electric cell substrate impedance sensing) in these cultures. We used Kruskal-Wallis for all parameters except for longitudinal area under the curve comparisons of electric cell substrate impedance sensing data, which were compared using a Z test. A P value < .05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Genetic association with phenotypes of asthma

The IL33 locus particularly associates with eosinophilia and eosinophilic asthma Overall in DAG/GASP and Lifelines, 161 SNPs significantly associated with 1 or more asthma phenotypes (Padj < .05 FDR) (see Tables E11 to E15 in this article's <u>Online</u> Repository) and were mainly derived from the Lifelines cohort. From these, 144 SNPs composed of 5 LD blocks (A-E, r2 > 0.1). Markedly, these 5 LD blocks all associated with an eosinophilic phenotype—with blood eosinophil counts, eosinophilic asthma, and/or asthma (Table I, and see Tables E11-E17 and Figs E2 and E3 in this article's <u>Online</u> Repository). LD block A shows a significant association with blood eosinophil counts in the general population (tagSNP rs992969 [allele A] β = 0.058 ± 0.0089 [SE], Padj = 7.09E–08, AF = 0.25), while 3 other LD blocks (B-D) were modestly associated with this phenotype (Table I). Block E showed association with eosinophilic asthma (tagSNP rs4008366 [allele T], odds ratio [OR] = 1.26 ± 0.0704 [SE], Padj = .045, AF = 0.67) only.

Outside these 5 LD blocks, 7 SNPs significantly associated with other phenotypes (age of onset or FEV1/FVC) (Table E15), and 10 significant SNPs were identified in the case-control analyses of resequencing data; these were relatively rare (minor AF ~0.03) and hence were not followed-up functionally. We performed conditional analyses on the LD blocks associated with eosinophilic phenotypes to determine independent signals. A summary description of association results can be found in the Supplementary method section E1 (Cohort Descriptions and Details of Genotype-Phenotype Analyses) in the <u>Online</u> Repository.

Conditional and sensitivity analyses show a main genetic signal associated with blood eosinophil counts in the general population

Four LD blocks (A-D) (Fig 2) showed association with blood eosinophil counts in the Lifelines general population. Thereby, block A (tagSNP rs992969) shows the largest effect size and statistical significance (Table I); rs992969 explaining 1.6% (R2 regression model = 0.016) of the variance in blood eosinophil counts (corrected for age/sex). Therefore, we conditioned the association analysis for blood eosinophils on rs992969 to test whether blocks A to D are independent signals. Conditioning removed the association of signals B to D with blood eosinophil counts in the general population (see Fig 3 and Table II). Signal E was not significantly associated with eosinophil counts, regardless of conditioning. Sensitivity analysis for the main signal A showed that rs992969 still associated with eosinophil counts in the general population after removing patients with asthma (Fig 3, A-2) (n = 12,329; rs992969 [allele A] β = 0.055 ± 0.009 [SE], R2 = 0.017, Padj=1.04E-06) or patients with both asthma and allergies (Fig 3, A-3) (n = 6,227; rs992969 [allele A] β = 0.046 ± 0.012 [SE], R2 = 0.020, Padj = .02).38 These analyses show the presence of a main genetic signal (A) at the IL33 locus associated with blood eosinophil counts in the general population, independent of the presence of asthma/allergy phenotypes.



Figure 2: The LD pattern of the five LD blocks (r²>0.1) with phenotype association

The panel shows the LD pattern of the 5 LD blocks/signals (r^2 >0.1) from the 144 SNPs significantly (FDR<0.05) associated with asthma features in the Lifelines general population, Lifelines asthma population and DAG/GASP asthma population. Signal A and E were taken forward in functional assessment in this study. *Image generated using the EUR population of the Phase I cohort of the 1000 genomes study via the online software tool LDlink 3.0, available at: https://analysistools.nci.nih.gov/LDlink/?tab=home.*

4

Table II: Conditionin	ig on rs9929	69 in the associat	cion of IL33 with bloo	id eosinophi	Is in the genei	al popula	ation of Lifelin	es removed sign	als B-D	
LD Block (tagSNP)	Location	Gene context	Pheno risk allele (AF)	Alt allele	Effect size risk allele (beta)**	SE	P.adj (FDR)	Independent signal Ubiobank/ INTERVAL^ (blood eos GenPop) (16)	Independent signal UK biobank only^ (asthma) (17)	Independent signal SHARE^ (asthma/ allergy) (1)
A-rs992969	9:6209697	~6kb 5' of <i>IL33</i>	A(0.25)	в	0.058 n/a	0.009 n/a	7.09E-08 *** n/a	rs2381416 (r^{2} = 0.95)	rs7848215 (r ² =0.93)	rs144829310 (r^2 = 0.59)

B-rs1342327	9:6189874	~25kb 5'of <i>IL33</i>	G(0.15)	С	0.035	0.011	0.027***		
					0.012	0.011	0.877		
C-rs74438701	9:6282794	~25kb 3'of <i>IL33</i>	I(0.83)	С	0.035	0.011	0.041^{***}		
					0.017	0.011	0.722		
D-rs2282162	9:6534466	intronic of GLDC	<u>G</u> (0.56)	А	0.029	0.008	0.011^{***}		
					0.012	0.009	0.722		
E-rs4008366*	9:6116407	intergenic	T(0.69)	С	0.010	0.009	0.647	rs343478	rs343478
					0.002	0.009	0.974	$(r^2 = 0.17)$	$(r^2 = 0.17)$

=lowest p-value SNP associated with level of blood eos) as covariate in the regression model. These were put into the context of independent SNPs as determined in other large cohorts. r²= relative to tagSNP of LD block A/B/C/D/E respectively.

included in this table to show it is in modest LD with rs343478 (an independent signal in other studies). Because of its association with eosinophilic asthma, lack of LD with signal A, as * Signal E was not significantly associated with level of blood eosinophils in the general population before conditional analyses, nor after conditional analyses, but has only been well as this LD block also represented an independent signal in multiple studies, we took this signal forward as an independent phenotype-associated signal in our functional analyses. **In **bold** the unconditioned results, in *italics* the results conditioned on rs992969.

***Adjusted p-value (FDR) statistically significant <0.05.

NTERVAL was blood eosinophil levels in the general population (n=173,480)(16), in the UK biobank only was asthma (n=41,926 cases vs. n=239,773 controls) (17), whilst the SHARE ^ Independent phenotype-associated SNPs at the IL33 locus determined based on conditional analyses in other large population cohorts: the phenotype studied in the UK Biobank/ study examined a combined asthma/allergy phenotype (n=180,129 cases vs 180,709 controls)(1).

4

Underlined: the two genetic signals taken forward in functional assessment in this study



Figure 3: Conditioning on the main genetic eosinophilic signal A (rs992969) removes three other signals (B,C,D) associated with blood eosinophils in the general population of Lifelines.

In figure 3 the association between *IL33* region SNPs and level of blood eosinophils in the general population is shown. Four LD blocks (r^{2} >0.1) could be distinguished for this phenotype (LD block A-D), with LD Block A representing a strong signal, and block B-D a modest signal. Indeed, conditioning on the tagSNP of LD block A (rs992969) removed signals B-D. Conditional analyses were performed in n=13,395 subjects from the Lifelines general population, studying the effect of *IL33* SNPs on level of blood eosinophils, by taking rs992969 (=lowest p-value SNP associated with level of blood eos) as covariate in the regression model. Statistical details can be found in table II. *Red line indicates the cut-off at which the adjusted p-value (FDR) is 0.05. Plots generated using LocusZoom. (196)*



Figure 4: The main genetic *IL33* signal (signal A) selected for functional follow-up associates with level of blood eosinophils (a), asthma diagnosis (b) and eosinophilic asthma (c), whilst signal E associates with eosinophilic asthma (d)

Fig 4a: Signal A (tagSNP rs992969) associates with level of blood eosinophils in the general population of Lifelines (a1), independent of the presence of asthma/allergy (a2 and a3). In panel *a1* the results of the association between *IL33* SNPs and blood eosinophil levels in the total general population (n=13,395) of Lifelines are shown, the reference SNP (purple) indicating the tagSNP of LD block A: rs992969, which was significantly associated with blood eosinophil (beta [A allele]= 0.058, SE=0.009, P.adj=7.09E-08). In panel *a2* this association was performed in the general population lacking asthma (n=1,066 asthma patients removed), rs992969 (purple) still associating with blood eosinophil levels at similar effect size (n=12,329; rs992969 [A] beta=0.055, SE=0.009, P.adj=1.04E-06). In panel *a3* individuals with asthma and allergies (n=6,227 asthma/allergic subjects) were removed, and also then rs992969 (purple dot) associated with blood eosinophil levels at similar effect size (n=7,168; rs992969 [A] beta=0.046, SE=0.012, P.adj=0.02). *Red line indicates the cut-off at which the adjusted p-value (FDR) is 0.05. Asthma was defined as self-reported doctor-diagnosed asthma. Allergy was defined based on at least one self-reported allergy, including eczema, rhinitis, food allergy, dust allergy, animal allergy, pollen allergy, medication allergy, contact allergy, and insect bite allergy. Plots generated using LocusZoom. (196)*

Fig 4b: Signal A (tagSNP rs992969) associates with asthma diagnosis (Lifelines). Here the association between *IL33* locus SNPs and all asthma is shown, with panel **b1** showing the association model corrected for age and gender, whilst in panel **b2** the model in addition was corrected for level of blood eosinophils. b1- All asthma, uncorrected for blood eosinophils; asthma patients (n=1,066) vs healthy controls (n=6,863) (rs992969 [A], OR= 1.22, SE= 0.05, Padj=0.03); b2- All asthma, corrected for blood eosinophils; asthma patients (n=1,066) vs healthy controls (n=6,863) (rs992969 [A], OR=1.19, SE= 0.05, Padj=0.08). *Red line indicates the cut-off at which the adjusted p-value (FDR) is 0.05. Plots generated using LocusZoom. (196)*

Fig 4c: Signal A (tagSNP rs992969) also associates with eosinophilic asthma in Lifelines (c1), but this signal is not present in non-eosinophilic asthma (c2). In panel *c1* the results of the association between *IL33* SNPs and eosinophilic asthma in Lifelines is shown, rs992969 as tagSNP of LD block A significantly associated with this phenotype. Eosinophilic asthma (n=707) vs. healthy controls (n=6,863) (rs992969 [A] OR=1.32, SE=0.06, Padj=4.73E-03). In panel *c2* the association with all asthma phenotypes lacking eosinophilic asthma ('non-eosinophilic asthma') is shown, to which rs992969 (purple) was not significantly associated. Non-eosinophilic asthma (n=359) vs healthy controls (n=6,863) (rs992969 [A] OR=1.09, SE=0.09, P.adj=0.62). *Red line indicates the cut-off at which the adjusted p-value (FDR) is 0.05. Plots generated using LocusZoom. (196)*

Fig 4d: Signal E (tagSNP rs4008366) associates with eosinophilic asthma in Lifelines. In panel d it is shown that

a modest association for signal E exists for eosinophilic asthma. Eosinophilic asthma (n=707) vs. healthy controls (n=6,863) (rs4008366 [G] OR=1.26, SE=0.070, P.adj=0.045). *Red line indicates the cut-off at which the adjusted p-value (FDR) is 0.05. Plot generated using LocusZoom. (196)*

Signal A and E associate with eosinophilic asthma

Signal A, driving the association with blood eosinophil counts in the general population, also showed a significant association with asthma (rs992969 [allele A], $OR = 1.22 \pm 0.05$ [SE], Padj = .03) and with eosinophilic asthma (rs992969 [allele A], $OR = 1.32 \pm 0.0618$ [SE], Padj = 4.73E-03), (Figs 3 and 4). Signal A contains several SNPs previously associated with asthma (Table I). The genetic effect of this main signal on asthma risk remained of similar size, even when correcting for blood eosinophil counts (OR [allele A] from 1.22 [Padj = .03] to 1.19 [Padj = .08] [Fig 4, B]).38

Signal E was the other LD block associated with eosinophilic asthma (tagSNP rs4008366) (Fig 4, D), with a significantly large effect size. Lack of power precluded conditional analyses for the eosinophilic asthma phenotype, so (in)dependency of block E could not be confirmed. However, this block represents a genetically independent signal in other cohorts (Table II), and underscoring it may be a distinct signal and may represent a distinct mechanism underlying asthma pathogenesis. Therefore, 2 signals (A and E) were selected for functional follow-up.

To assess whether our definition of eosinophilic asthma based on the cutoff for blood eosinophils at 150 cells/ μ L impacted on the associations observed, we repeated the analysis at a cutoff of 300 cells/ μ L as a definition for eosinophilic asthma. These additional analyses of eosinophilic asthma, including a higher cutoff of eosinophil counts, identify the same associations with slightly higher effect sizes (see Tables E8 and E9 in this article's <u>Online</u> Repository), but FDR (<0.05) is not significant anymore, which is likely explained by the more refined phenotype resulting in smaller group sizes.

QTL/functional investigation of IL33 genetic variation

After conditional analyses, 2 independent signals A and E remained for functional followup, each with a tagSNP (rs992969 and rs4008366) (Table II). These tagSNPs were chosen based on smallest P value/largest effect size, largest number of associated phenotypes, and whether there is an applicable known association with asthma in the literature. In case the tagSNP was not available for functional look-up, a proxy SNP at r2 > 0.5 with the tagSNP of the original association signal was chosen (see Table E7 in this article's <u>Online</u> Repository).

Signals A and E are IL33 eQTL in bronchial epithelium

To investigate potential functionality of signals A and E, QTL analyses were performed in lung tissue, bronchial epithelial brushes, and cultured BECs (Table III, Fig 5, and Table E5). (32,33,79,131,162,163)

In lung tissue samples, no eQTLs for *IL33* were found (see Table E18 and Fig E4 in this article's <u>Online</u> Repository). In bronchial brushes (see Fig 5, and Fig E5 and Table E19 in

this article's <u>Online</u> Repository), the tagSNP of signal A was a significant and strong eQTL for *IL33*, with the disease-associated allele correlating with higher mRNA levels (rs992969 [allele A] β = 0.331 ± 0.043 [SD], P = 8.30E–12, AF = 0.25). No significant eQTLs were found for signal E in bronchial brushes. In cultured primary HBECs, the disease-associated allele of signal E (proxy SNP rs442246) associated with lower *IL33* mRNA (P = .029) (Table III, and see Fig E6, B, in this article's Online Repository at www.jacionline.org). No significant pQTLs were found for *IL33* in HBECs for both signals A and E (see Fig E7 in this article's <u>Online</u> Repository).

Signals A and E harbor potential functional elements related to expression regulation of *IL33*

ENCODE revealed several putative regulatory elements for SNPs in both genetic signals A and E relevant for *IL33* transcription (Table IV). Signal A contained 27 SNPs (LD r2 > 0.3 with tagSNP) with potential functionality. Among these is SNP rs928413 in strong LD with the phenotype- and expression-associated tagSNP rs992969 (r2 = 0.96) forming a CREB1 binding site activating the *IL33* promotor. In signal E, 7 SNPs were potential functional elements, including specific transcription factor binding sites relevant to the regulation of the cellular oxidative state (eg. nuclear factor erythroid 2–related factor 2, NFE2L2) in lung-derived cells. Thus, the genetic signals A and E contain likely functional elements related to expression, forming a potential mechanistic link between phenotype and expression association.

Asthma stimuli induce differential *IL33* expression, regardless of genetic background for signals A and E

Next, we tested for the presence of inducible QTLs for IL33 in primary AHBECs after exposure to RV16 (multiplicity of infection = 1) or HDM (50 μ g/mL) and analyzed for effects on *IL33* mRNA and extracellular protein levels in an unstratified way or stratified for genetic signals A and E. RV16 induced a decrease in IL33 mRNA levels in AHBEC (P = .048), and a marked increase of IL33 protein in the cellular supernatant (P = .0001). HDM exposure induced an increase in *IL33* RNA and had no significant effects on IL33 protein levels, measured 24 hours post stimulation (Figs E7 and E8). When stratified on signals A and E, no significant differences on the RV16- or HDM-induced effects on *IL33* mRNA or protein levels were observed (see Figs E9 and E10 in this article's <u>Online</u> Repository).

IL33 overexpression modestly impairs BEC homeostasis

To investigate the effect of increased *IL33* expression, we overexpressed full-length *IL33* in primary BECs using lentiviral delivery (see Figs E11 and E12 in this article's <u>Online</u> Repository). We confirmed increased expression of *IL33* at the mRNA level and presence of IL33 protein in engineered cells (Fig 6, and see Figs E13 and E14 in this article's <u>Online</u> Repository). We found that overexpression of *IL33* does not significantly influence cell number or metabolic activity (see Fig E15 in this article's <u>Online</u> Repository). Viability

CHAPTER 4

was 15% to 20% lower (P = .04) (Fig 6, C) and ROS-capturing capacity (presence of free glutathione) was ~20% lower (P = .03) (Fig 6, D) in cells that overexpressed *IL33* under submerged culture condition. No effect of *IL33* overexpression was seen on spreading or formation of an epithelial barrier using electric cell substrate impedance sensing (Fig E15).



Figure 5: eQTL bronchial brushes in context of eosinophil associated signals

At the *IL33* locus, the phenotype association signals for blood eosinophil counts in the general population (n=13,395) is shown in the upper panel, and the eQTL signals for *IL33* expression in bronchial brushes shown in the lower panel (genotyped SNPs only, n=139 subjects). It becomes clear that the main eosinophil-associated genetic signal A, tagged by rs992969, is also a strong eQTL in bronchial brushes. The A allele associates with higher levels of *IL33* mRNA levels. Statistical details can be found in table II (phenotype) and table III (eQTL). Plots generated using LocusZoom. (196)



Figure 6: Elevated expression of *IL33* affects viability and ROS-capturing, but not barrier formation in bronchial epithelial cells

Panel 6a: Elevated *IL33* mRNA (qPCR) was confirmed in the five engineered donor HBEC which was titrated to result in a range around 10 times higher levels of *IL33* in the overexpression condition; matching the fold change in IL33 expression that we found in HBECs from asthmatic donors compared to HBECs from healthy controls (8-10 times higher in asthma HBECs, *not shown*). Data expressed as fold difference in *IL33* mRNA levels compared to no vector control. N=5 HBEC donors, data points represent mean +/-standard deviation for 2 technical replicates per donor.

Panel 6b: Protein expression of IL33 (red) was confirmed in HBECs transduced with lentivirus expressing human *IL33*. Cells were processed for immunofluorescent staining at passage 2, two weeks after the lentiviral transduction when cells were considered virus-free.

Panel 6c: Viability of HBECs overexpressing IL33 ('IL-33') was determined using propidium iodide staining in passage 2 cells and compared to empty vector (EV) controls (Kruskall Wallis, followed by MWU *posthoc* statistics). Data expressed relative to no vector (NV) control, mean +/- standard deviation of n=5 cell donors.

Panel 6d: Level of reduced glutathione was stained using a commercially available assay (VitaBright-48^M, Chemometec) in passage 2 cells, and HBECs overexpressing *IL33* ('IL-33') compared to empty vector (EV) controls (Kruskall Wallis, followed by Wilcoxon *posthoc* statistics). Data expressed relative to no vector (NV) control, mean +/- standard deviation of n=5 cell donors.

Discussion

We set out to determine whether SNPs in the *IL33* region associate with specific phenotypes of asthma, whether these regulate *IL33* expression in lung tissue or bronchial epithelial samples, and whether increased IL33 expression alters HBEC biology. Genetic signals at the IL33 locus predominantly associate with an eosinophilic phenotype in the general population and asthma subjects, whereby the IL33 risk allele is associated with higher IL33 expression in vivo. Using conditional analyses, we observed a major genetic signal and a secondary signal. The major signal associates with blood eosinophil counts and (eosinophilic) asthma, while the secondary signal associates with eosinophilic asthma but not with eosinophil counts in the general population. Importantly, no association with other asthma-related phenotypes including lung function, atopy, serum IgE levels, and asthma age of onset was observed. Studying the effects of these 2 genetic signals on IL33 transcription, we report eQTLs in bronchial brushes and cultured HBECs, but not in lung tissue. Overexpression of *IL33* in HBECs resulted in modest paracrine effect on epithelial cell homeostasis, including reduction in cell viability and ROS-capturing capacity. With this approach, we identify IL33 as an epithelial susceptibility gene for eosinophilia and asthma, provide mechanistic insight, and support targeting of the IL33 pathway specifically in eosinophilic asthma.

Two genetic IL33 signals associate with eosinophilia in health and disease

The *IL33* gene, and the IL1RL1 gene encoding its receptor, have consistently been associated with asthma and allergy. (1,17,20-25,54-56) Both loci were originally discovered as regions associating with blood eosinophils in the Icelandic population, (15,55) and a strong association with blood eosinophil counts was recently confirmed in a large general population cohort (n = 173,480), combining the UK Biobank study and the INTERVAL (INTERVAL Study: To Determine Whether the Interval Between Blood Donations in England Can Be Safely and Acceptably Decreased). (16) Also, a rare loss-of-function *IL33* mutation was shown to both reduce eosinophil counts and to protect from asthma. (34) These observations suggest a shared genetic effect of this locus for eosinophilia and asthma. However, it remained unknown whether these are the same or distinct genetic signals and what additional asthma-related phenotypes these signals may be associated with.

We report 5 LD blocks that were associated with either blood eosinophil counts and/or eosinophilic asthma, which after conditional analysis correcting for the strongest signal (rs992969) were reduced to 2 independent signals. The fifth signal (E) was not associated with blood eosinophil counts in the general population, but with eosinophilic asthma. The available subjects (n = 707) for the eosinophilic asthma phenotype did not allow conditional analyses for signal E. However, previous analyses in 2 very large cohorts (SHARE (SHared origin of Asthma, Rhinitis and Eczema) study (1) and UK Biobank (17) supported the independence of signal E, representing a second signal associated with an eosinophilic phenotype in our cohorts. This left us with 2 genetic signals for further study.

We observe a strong association for signal A with both asthma and blood eosinophil counts in

our Lifelines general population cohort, the tagSNP rs992969 explaining 1.6% (R2 = 0.016) of the variance in eosinophil counts (corrected for age/sex). SNPs within this signal have previously been reported to associate with asthma in the UK Biobank, SHARE, and TAGC (Trans-National Asthma Genetic Consortium) studies, as well as in earlier asthma metaanalyses (1,17,19,21,22,24,54,56) and with blood eosinophil counts in the UK Biobank/ INTERVAL study (rs992969 in LD r2 = 0.95 with rs2381416 from UK Biobank/INTERVAL). (16) Using a sensitivity analysis in Lifelines by removing subjects who are asthmatic and allergic from the general population, we show that the association with blood eosinophils remained present with a similar effect size, indicating that the association between this signal A and blood eosinophils is not fully driven by the presence of asthma or allergy. We find that the association of signal A with asthma is of similar effect size when correcting for blood eosinophil counts, suggesting that this *IL33* genetic signal—in addition to its effect on blood eosinophil counts—may have an effect on asthma. However, we do find that the effect of signal A on asthma after correcting for blood eosinophils is no longer significant for FDR (Fig 4). Therefore, a better powered study is required to conclusively investigate an effect of this signal on asthma independent from eosinophil counts. Interestingly, we observed an association of signal A with eosinophilic asthma, but not with noneosinophilic asthma (Fig 4), indicating that patients with this *IL33* genetic make-up would be enriched in the high-eosinophil group. A note of caution is the relatively limited number of subjects in our noneosinophilic asthma group (n = 359).

An intriguing implication could be that in patients with asthma who have this particular genetic background (signal A), treatment targeting the IL33 pathway could have additional effects over treatments targeting eosinophils. (171,172) Notwithstanding, whether the association of *IL33* SNPs with asthma and eosinophils are (in)dependent from each other remains to be conclusively determined in larger cohorts, allowing causal inference/ mediating approaches such as Mendelian randomization. (173) Ideally, such an analysis would also take into account *IL1RL1* genotypes, which are likely to interact with *IL33* variants on outcomes such as eosinophilic inflammation; also, a more direct measure of eosinophilic airway inflammation such as sputum eosinophil counts should be considered.

Functional effects of phenotype-associated *IL33* polymorphisms and *IL33* expression

Functionally, IL33 signaling has previously been linked to Th2-driven inflammation, contributing to eosinophilic inflammation. (174-176) Moreover, levels of IL33 have been found to be elevated in induced sputum and bronchial biopsies of patients with asthma compared with in nonasthmatic controls, (51-53) indicating a dysregulation of IL33 homeostasis in asthma. Therefore, specific genetic variation at the *IL33* locus might contribute to eosinophil numbers and asthma through regulation of IL33 expression levels. While we did not detect eQTLs for *IL33* in lung tissue samples, the tagSNP of signal A was a strong eQTL for *IL33* in bronchial epithelial brushes from healthy subjects (Table III, Fig 5), with the risk allele associating with increased *IL33* mRNA levels. Signal A harbors

a reported *IL33* eQTL in a candidate eQTL study of bronchial biopsies,35 with the same direction of effect. This eQTL signal A also comprised an SNP (rs928413, in LD r2 = 0.96 with rs992969) where the phenotype-risk allele was recently found to form a CREB1 binding site, functionally activating the *IL33* promotor in lung epithelial cells. (33) This allele associates with higher level of eosinophils, higher risk of (eosinophilic) asthma, and increased IL33 expression in brushes in our cohorts. As lung tissue resection samples mainly consist of parenchymal lung tissue with minor contributions of airway epithelial cells, while bronchial brushes contain more than 90% bronchial epithelial cells, (177) we interpret these data as evidence for regulation of IL33 expression in bronchial epithelium.

The bronchial epithelium is the first barrier that the inhaled substances encounter when entering the lung, and it serves to protect the body from potential threats from the environment. In patients with asthma, the airway epithelium is changed, with increased susceptibility to and altered repair responses after external damage, (178,179) for example in response to respiratory viruses. (180) A genomewide association studies on exacerbation in asthma (19) found the *IL33* locus associated with frequent virus-induced exacerbations in severe childhood-onset asthma, their main IL33 SNP is in strong LD (r2 = 0.96) with our eosinophilic signal rs992969. Therefore, we tested whether our 2 phenotype-associated signals are baseline and/or induced QTLs in cultured bronchial epithelium. Signal E is a modest, baseline *IL33* eQTL, with the eosinophilic risk allele associated with lower IL33 mRNA levels (Table III) in these cells. Although both RV16 and HDM regulated *IL33* expression, no effect of the 2 *IL33* signals on the RV16- and HDM-induced IL33 response was observed in vitro in our samples. This could indicate that our 2 signals may specifically have effects on baseline changes of IL33 expression in epithelium.

The opposite direction of effect in the cultured BECs compared with the bronchial brushes might indicate that IL33 gene regulation is different in asthmatic epithelium than in healthy brushed cells, which is in agreement with recent data from Jurak et al. (181) Alternatively, it could reflect differences in epithelial cell state with cultured HBECs having a basal cell phenotype, (177,182) while bronchial brushes contain mostly well-differentiated ciliated and secretory epithelial cells. (177)

The cell-autonomous effects of increased IL33 expression on cultured HBECs were modest. Nevertheless, the observed effect of sustained IL33 on reduction of glutathione levels in the epithelium is interesting, as Uchida et al (158) showed that the balance between oxidative stress and antioxidant responses plays a key role in controlling IL33 release from airway epithelium. Our data indicate that the bronchial epithelium is the source of IL33, but that other cell types should be considered as the main IL33 responsive population, such as tissue-resident dendritic cells, eosinophils, type 2 innate lymphoid cells, Th2 cells, mast cells, and basophils, but also lung mesenchymal cells, such as fibroblasts. This is also relevant in the context of patients with steroid-resistant asthma. For example, elevated IL33 and type 2 cells were still present in pediatric patients with corticosteroid-resistant asthma, contributing to airway remodeling via its effects on airway fibroblast. (35,183)

Table III: QTL fu	nction and fi	unctional El	NCODE av	inotation of the	: phenotype a	ssociated s	ignals A and E				
<u>III A</u> Tag SNP (genetic signal)	Pheno. (AF)	risk allele	Alt A allele	ssociated pheno	type(s)	QTL 1 cohort 1	Effect size pheno risk allele	SD	P-value D a	irection pheno ris Iele	sk Literature Reported QTL function
A- rs992969	<u>A</u> (0.25	6	5 8 8 9	os levels in GenPo _l sthma vs HC, sthma case contro	p, eos ol	Bronchial (brushes	0.326 (B)	0.043	8.30E-12 +	+IL33 RNA	cisQTL IL33 bronchial biopsies/ blood/brain; (32, 79, 131)
E- rs442246 (proxy for: rs4008	I (0.69 366)	()	е 6	os asthma vs HC		Cultured - HBEC 7	.2.377 (fold chang IT)	ge	0.0298	IL33 RNA	
<u>III B</u> Tag SNP (genetic signal)	Location	Gene context	Associat	d phenotypes	Promotor cRE (lung)	Enhancer cRE (lung)	Functional an DNase I site CRE (lung)	<i>motation</i> Protein- (lung)	of genetic sig binding	nal, SNPs r ² >0.3 w PredictSNP/ E DANN	<i>vith tagSNP</i> xperimental functionality
A-rs992969	9:6209697	~6kb 5' of 1L33	eos levels eos asthm asthma cu	in GenPop, 1a vs HC, 1se control	Y- H3K4me3	Y-H3K27ac	Y	CTCF, SE PRDM1, 3	TDB1, CFOS, STAT3	neutral r ² C P	=0.96 with rs928413(G) forming REB1 binding site, activating IL33 romotor lung epithelial cells (33)
E-rs4008366	9:6116407	Intergenic	eos asthn.	ia vs HC		Y- H3K27ac	Y	Nrf-2, TC ZID, Hmb	F11, MafG, 00x1, Hoxd8	Deleterious - (0.85 accuracy)	
Panel IIIA: The t tagSNP was not a tagSNP was not a eQTL and protein IL.33 were found the phenotype ri IL.33 RNA. More d <i>SD=standard devi</i> functional ENCOI afunctional ENCOI afunctional the fu	able shows c vailable, a pr (p)QTL func (p)QTL func (p)QTL func (n) the $IL33$ r sk allele assc sk allele assc etails can be etails can be etails can be offines ge	quantitative quantitative roxy at $r^2 - 0$; roxy at $r^2 - 0$; rotion were store store and in ego, and in consisting with strong hils/ec ctSNP, Meta- eneral popul k-up. ENCOD	trait loci (3 was used tudied in t n HBECs r n higher <i>I</i> 1 ure E3-E5 <i>ssinophilic</i> -SNP, Polyj iation, Life at Poly	QTL) function o 4 for QTL look-u cultured primar o significant pQ 	f the two genc p. Expression y human broi y TLs were fou y IL vere fou i. Pheno Risk a l population: look-up of the pulation and ed functional	etic signals etic signals (e)QTLs we nchial epith and for these HBECs sign HC=healthy two geneti DAG/GASP. annotation	in the <i>IL33</i> regi ere studied in lu elial cells (AHB elial cells (AHB a 2 genetic signe bas potenti: dype associated to v control; $++=int$ c signals that wo asthma populati for the signals, v	on associ ng tissue ECs) from als (alpha al QTL fu al QTL fu <i>allele; Alt</i> <i>creased ex</i> <i>ere</i> select fon. SNPs <i>w</i> hile Prec	ated with eos ated with eos asthma pati =0.05). In br. orction; the eo allele=alternu pression,=c ed from the S from the S ilictSNP and N	inophilic asthma ' patients') and bh ents. Of note: in anchial brushes, noinchial brushes, noinchilic asthm trive allele, AF-ad lecrasade texpress lecrasade texpress lecrasade texpress vith the tagSNP of deta-SNP did not	features in our cohorts. In case the onchial brushes (healthy subjects); lung tissue no significant eQTLs for signal A was an eQTL for <i>IL33</i> , with an risk allele associating with lower <i>lale frequency (EUR 100G)</i> ; <i>B=beta</i> ; <i>ion.</i> Panel IIIB : The table shows the <i>(Pad)</i> (FDR)-6.05) associated with of the applicable genetic signal were <i>.CRE-candidate regulatory element;</i>

eos=eosinophils/eosinophilic; DANN – Deleterious Annotation of Genetic Variants using Neural Networks, HC=healthy control; GenPop=general population; kb= kilo basepairs; Y=yes

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have reduced the complex *IL33* locus into a major and a secondary genetic signal for eosinophilic asthma. The major *IL33* signal risk allele associates with increased IL33 expression levels, providing a putative mechanism. Importantly, we have also shown a lack of genetic association of this main genetic signal with other studied asthma phenotypes. We identified the BEC as the likely cellular source of *IL33* QTL signals, which is crucial to place the genetic effects on IL33 expression in asthma pathophysiology. These data need confirmation by, for example, single-cell eQTL analyses in airway wall samples of patients with asthma and healthy controls. This approach might also guide the identification of the main IL33 responding cells. Nevertheless, our data identify *IL33* as an epithelial susceptibility gene for eosinophilia and asthma and support the IL33 pathway as a likely candidate for targeted treatment strategies in specifically eosinophilic asthma, with the potential to affect both eosinophil counts and asthma independently.

To take home

- ∞ Genetic signals at the IL33 locus predominantly associate with blood eosinophil counts in the general population and with an eosinophilic asthma phenotype.
- ∞ These genetic signals influence IL33 levels in the airway epithelium, with the disease risk allele associating with elevated IL33 in vivo.
- ∞ Elevated IL33 has modest paracrine effects on BEC function in vitro, implying that epithelial-derived IL33 may more likely affect other effector cell types such as type 2 immune cells, eosinophils, or mast cells.

Supplemental, see also online Supplemental Methods

M1- Cohort descriptions and details of genotype-phenotype analyses (see also supplemental table S1-S2):

Lifelines general population cohort (table S1)

Lifelines is a multi-disciplinary prospective population-based cohort study examining in a unique three-generation design the health and health-related behaviours of 167,729 persons living in the North of The Netherlands. It employs a broad range of investigative procedures in assessing the biomedical, socio-demographic, behavioural, physical and psychological factors which contribute to the health and disease of the general population, with a special focus on multi-morbidity and complex genetics. The cohort profile of the Lifelines study has been extensively described in Scholtens et al (159). Summarizing, the participants' baseline visit took place between December 2006 and December 2013. All general practitioners in the three northern provinces of the Netherlands were asked to invite their registered patients aged 25–49 years. All persons who consented to participate were asked to provide contact details to invite their family members (i.e., partner, parents and children), resulting in a three-generation study. Baseline data were collected from 167,729 participants, aged from 6 months to 93 years. Collected data include physical examinations, DNA, blood and urine samples, and comprehensive questionnaires on history of diseases, quality of life, lifestyle, individual socioeconomic status, work, psychosocial characteristics and medication use. Follow-up is planned for at least 30 years, with questionnaires administered every 1.5 years and a physical examination scheduled every 5 years. At current, a subset of the adult participants have both phenotypic and imputed genotype information available (n=13,395). Participants of the Lifelines cohort were genotyped on the HumanCytoSNP-12 BeadChip (Illumina). Quality control before imputation was performed using ImputationTool2 (184), excluding SNPs with a call-rate <95%, with a HWE-P value <0.001, MAF <0.01%. Samples were excluded in case of ambiguous sex (genetic mismatch with reported sex), of non-Caucasian origin (based on self-report, IBS and population stratification using EIGENSTRAT (185), and in case a pair of samples was discovered as first degree relatives using genetic cryptic relatedness, the sample with the best genotype quality was included only. Imputation was performed through Beagle 3.1.0 against the EUR panel from the 1000 genomes project (version March 2012) (186).

Klijs et al (2015) (187) concluded that the Lifelines adult study population is broadly representative for the adult population of the north of the Netherlands. The recruitment strategy had minor effect on the level of representativeness. These findings indicate that the risk of selection bias is low and that risk estimates in Lifelines can be generalized to the general population.

Genetics of Severe Asthma Phenotypes cohort (GASP) (table S2)

Asthmatic individuals from the GASP cohort (n=2,536) were used in the current study, this cohort has been recruited across UK hospitals as part of an Asthma UK initiative and is enriched for patients with British Thoracic Society Step 3 and above (~2,200 moderate-severe asthma, remainder mild asthma) (56). Asthma was defined as a doctor's diagnosis of asthma through the presence of symptoms and medical treatment, while age of onset of asthma was determined through patient records. Asthma related clinical phenotypes used in the current study focused to lung function (FEV₁ pre bronchodilator, FEV₁/FVC pre-bronchodilator), atopic status (positive skin prick test), Blood Eosinophil Count (x10^9/L) and Blood IgE levels (kU/L). Total peripheral blood eosinophil levels were calculated using a counting chamber while total Immunoglobulin E (IgE) levels were measured by ImmunoCAP^m. Finally, atopy was defined as a positive response to a skin prick test (SPT) to any allergen from a panel of 4-24 allergens.

Participants in the GASP cohort were genotyped using two platforms, initially 744 subjects using the Affymetrix Axiom® UK BiLEVE array and 2172 subjects using the Affymetrix Axiom® UK Biobank array. In each genotyping batch samples were excluded: (i) if their genetically inferred gender did not match their reported gender; (ii) if they had outlying heterozygosity within the batch (outside either 2 or 3 standard deviations from the mean depending on batch); (iii) if they had a call rate <95% across genotyped variants; (iv) if cryptically related to another sample, 1 sample of the pair was removed; (v) if the sample shows significant deviation from European ancestry as determined by a plot of the first two principal components. The batches were merged and SNPs not available in both batches were excluded from the dataset. Following quality control 692,060 SNPs were available for 2,536 subjects. Imputation was then performed using IMPUTE 2.0 against the reference data set of the EUR panel of the 1000 Genomes project (version March 2012) (4). Genetic studies were approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of each participating centre or via our multicentre ethics approval.

Dutch Asthma GWAS cohort (DAG) (table S2)

The DAG cohort has been extensively described previously (161). In summary, the DAG cohort consists of 469 trios ascertained through a proband with asthma, combined with an additional case-control study of 452 asthmatics and 511 controls. Of these, we selected 909 unrelated asthma patients who underwent the same, standardized, comprehensive evaluation for asthma at Beatrixoord Hospital, Haren, The Netherlands between 1962-2003. Asthma was defined as a doctor's diagnosis of asthma, asthma symptoms, and bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR). FEV₁ was measured using a water-sealed spirometer (Lode Spirograph type DL, Lode b.v., Groningen, The Netherlands). Total peripheral blood eosinophils were counted in a counting chamber and IgE levels were measured in serum by an enzyme-linked fluorescence assay (Mini Vidas, Biomerieux Inc., Marcy, France). In subjects older than 12 years intracutaneous tests with 16 common aeroallergens were performed. In children younger than 12 years, a skin prick test was performed with 10

allergens. Subjects with a positive response to one or more intracutaneous or skin prick tests (SPT) were considered to be atopic. Age of asthma onset was based on data from medical records and questionnaires, indicating the start of asthma symptoms. Participants in the DAG cohort were genotyped on two platforms, the Illumina 317 Chip and the Illumina 370 Duo Chip (Illumina, San Diego, CA). Quality control (QC) was performed per chip with exclusion of individuals with missing genotype call rate >0.01, related individuals (identity by descent (IBD) >0.125) and non-Caucasian subjects, as assessed by principal components analysis performed with EIGENSTRAT (185). SNPs were excluded with a missing genotype rate >0.01, a Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium P-value <10-7 and a MAF <0.01. Markers with Mendelian errors in phase I were excluded from analysis. Following quality control, the chips were merged and SNPs not available in both cohorts were excluded from the dataset. A total of 294,775 SNPs remained. Imputation was performed using IMPUTE 2.0 against the reference data set of the EUR panel of the 1000 Genomes project (version March 2012) (186). Genetic studies were approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the University Medical Center Groningen and all participants provided written informed consent.

Next-Generation DNA Sequencing (NGS) cohort.

DNA from 200 severe asthma cases (BTS 4, 5) from GASP and 200 non-asthmatic, nonatopic, non-wheeze controls from the Nottingham Gedling cohort (9), were selected for resequencing. Subjects were matched for age and gender (Supplemental Table 3). Nextgeneration Illumina sequencing of the IL33 region (chr9:5924967-6267982) was outsourced to Source Bioscience (Nottingham, UK) and was carried out using the SureSelect enrichment approach. The chromosome 9 locus previously associated with asthma [GRCh37.p9] was the focus and 120 base pair paired-end long read oligonucleotides (baits) were designed using the SureSelect[™] e-array design software. Bait tiling (X5) was used across the region, presenting with a capture size range of 500Kb to 1.5Mb. The initial target region was 343,016bp; using 7,751 baits achieved 65.28% coverage of this region. Samples were pooled for sequencing (3 pools for cases and 3 pools for controls). Next-generation sequencing was carried out on these six samples on two separate lanes, one for cases and the other for controls, using the Illumina HiSeq2000[™] systems pipeline (San Diego, USA). Sequencing used a paired end design using 100bp reads.

Resequencing the IL33 region identified 981 variants that were considered valid calls by SNver. Case-control association analyses revealed 12 SNPs significantly associated with severe asthma, of which two were within LD block E, the remaining 10 SNPs were rare single variants, of which 7 SNPs were novel (table S14). Due to the low frequency (MAF<0.1) these were not followed-up functionally.

Details of Genotype – Phenotype associations

For the genotype-phenotype association analyses SNPs were selected with a MAF \ge 0.01 located 400kb up- and downstream the *IL33* gene (Chr9: 5,815,786–6,657,983), encompassing all known asthma association signals (see also table S1). There were 1,970

SNPs present in Lifelines, and there were 3,025 and 2,780 SNPs available in the GASP and DAG cohorts, respectively, with 2,457 shared for meta-analysis of GASP/DAG. All Lifelines SNPs were present in the pool of SNPs of the DAG/GASP meta-analysis. Annotated SNP location and function was determined with the use of HaploReg v4.1 (188). All genetic data are presented relative to assembly GRCh37/hg19.

In Lifelines we performed genetic association within the genotyped subset of the general population cohort (n=13,395 with both genotype and phenotype information), with eosinophil counts, FEV1 (%pred) and FEV1/FVC. This was followed by a sensitivity analysis for blood eosinophil counts, where we removed asthma patients (n=1,066; doctor's diagnosed asthma) and asthmatic+allergic subjects (n=6,227) and associated *IL33* SNPs with blood eosinophils within this non-asthmatic, non-allergic population. Herein, allergy was defined as having at least one self-reported allergy the questionnaire covering eczema, rhinitis, food allergy, dust allergy, animal allergy, pollen allergy, medication allergy, contact allergy, and insect bite allergy.

From this Lifelines general population cohort we subsequently took the asthma subpopulation (n=1,066, doctor's diagnosed asthma) and investigated genetic association with eosinophil counts, eosinophilic asthma (asthma and blood eosinophils >150 cells/µL, n=707), FEV1, FEV1/FVC and asthma with airway obstruction (asthma and FEV1<80% of predicted (n=258) or FEV1/FVC<70% (n=324)). Subsequently, we performed association analyses in a meta-analysis of GASP (n=2,536) and DAG (n=909) asthma patients studying atopy, blood eosinophils, total serum IgE, age of asthma onset, and lung function (FEV1, FEV1/FVC).

Associations of SNPs in the *IL33* region with FEV_1 , FEV_1 /FVC, blood eosinophils/neutrophils, total IgE levels, atopy and age of asthma onset were performed with PLINK v1.90b6.7 (165) (Lifelines) or SNPtest v2.5 β (166) (DAG/GASP) using an additive genetic model. Eosinophils, neutrophils and IgE levels were logarithmically transformed before analysis. Age of onset was analyzed as a continuous variable and a binary trait with cases being defined as having asthma onset <16 years of age (childhood onset asthma). FEV₁ and FEV₁/FVC analyses were corrected for age, gender and height. Eosinophils, neutrophils, IgE and atopy were corrected for age and gender and the age of asthma onset analysis were corrected for gender. DAG/GASP were meta-analysed, which was performed in METAL (167). Associations at an adjusted p-value<0.05 (FDR) were considered statistically significant.

Summary of Phenotye-Genotype association study results:

In Lifelines, we found an (FDR-) significant association of *IL33* SNPs with blood eosinophil counts in the general population, with eosinophilic asthma (vs healthy controls) and with asthma (vs healthy controls) as can be found in table 1 (main text) and supplemental tables S7-S9, S11-S13. In Lifelines, we did not find a significant association *IL33* SNPs with blood eosinophil counts within asthma patients, nor with eosinophilic asthma vs non-eosinophilic asthma as can be seen in supplemental tables S7-S9 (FDR>0.05). Neither we found an (FDR) significant association with blood neutrophil counts, FEV1 or FEV1/FVC in Lifelines general

population or within the Lifelines asthma population (FDR>0.05).

In the asthma cohort DAG/GASP meta-analysis, IL33 SNPs were significantly associated with blood eosinophil counts (table 1 main text), FEV1/FVC and age of asthma onset (supplemental table 15). Within DAG/GASP, no (FDR-)significant association of IL33 SNPs with blood neutrophil counts, FEV1, total IgE levels, and atopy were found.

M2- Cohort descriptions and details QTL analyses

M2.1 Sample collection

Lung tissue and bronchial brushes

Lung tissue samples for mRNA expression analyses had been collected previously (189) from patients who had undergone lung resection for lung cancer (non-tumorous part, N=1,022), were lung transplantation donors (disapproved lung, N=89) or were lung transplantation recipients (operated lung, N=313). Samples had been collected at three centers, as part of a collaborative effort at the University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG, Groningen), the University of British Columbia (UBC, Vancouver) and the Laval University (Laval, Quebec). The detailed study design and collection procedure has been published before (189), and a summary of the patient characteristics from the included subjects can be found in supplemental table 4.

Bronchial epithelium from brushings (Cellebrity brush Boston Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) had been collected at the UMCG for mRNA expression analyses, deriving from N=139 healthy volunteers. Further patient characteristics are presented in supplemental table 4. From both cohorts, patients were excluded who lacked information on their pre-operative lung function, smoking status, comorbidities, drug use, age, and/or gender.

Bronchial epithelial cells cultured in vitro

Passage 2/3 human bronchial epithelial cells (n= 35) obtained from bronchial brushes and biopsies from asthma patients (referred to as AHBECs) as previously described (169) were cultured on PureCol Type-I Bovine collagen (Advanced BioMatrix, 5005-B) in fresh growth factor-supplemented medium (BEGM, Lonza) until 90% confluence. Protein and RNA lysates were collected as previously described (170) and IL33 levels compared in a genotype-stratified way.

M2.2 mRNA/protein expression assays

Lung tissue/bronchial brushes-mRNA

Expression levels of *IL33* mRNA in the lung tissue samples had been determined previously (189) as part of a general gene expression profile using a customized mRNA array (Affymetrix US Ltd., GEO platform GPL10379). The mRNA expression levels in the bronchial brushing samples had been measured on a commercially available array, the Human genome ST v1.0 array (Affymetrix US Ltd), as described before (190).

Bronchial epithelial cells-mRNA and protein

HBEC complimentary DNA (cDNA) was synthesised from 1µg RNA using Superscript II (Invitrogen, UK) and random hexamer primers according to the manufacturer's instructions. TaqMan® Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was then utilised to quantify mRNA levels of IL33 and was performed and analysed as previously described (170). IL33 protein in cell supernatants was measured using Luminex assays (supplied by R&D, product code LXSAHM) according to the manufacturer's recommendations using a custom Magnetic Luminex Screening Assay with a Human Premixed Multi-Analyte Kit (R&D systems). Each experimental supernatant was assayed in duplicate.

M2.3 Genotyping

Genotypes of SNPs in the *IL33* region had been determined in DNA from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) or oral swabs.

For the lung tissue cohort genotyping had been done on the Human 1M-Duo BeadChip array (Illumina Inc, San Diego, USA) which were imputed against the 1000G phase 1 reference panel (EUR) (186) using IMPUTE2 (184) to increase the coverage of genotypic information. Subjects from the bronchial brushing cohort had been genotyped on two platforms: the Human CytoSNP 12 and OmniExpress Exome genotyping arrays (both Illumina Inc, San Diego, USA)

For the AHBEC cohort DNA was extracted using the Qiagen QIAamp® DNA Mini and Blood Mini Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. SNP Genotyping was then carried out using TaqMan® Pre-designed assays.

M2.4 Quality control genotype data

Genotype data was quality checked on array, subject and SNP level:

All genotyping arrays passed a call rate of >98%. Subjects that failed gender confirmation (PLINK) (165), and ethnic inference check (EIGENSTRAT) (185) were excluded. SNPs were excluded if they had a SNP call rate <90%, a minor allele frequency (MAF) <1%, and deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) P<1.0*E-6. After QC a total of N=1,111 subjects from the lung tissue cohort had reliable genotypes available (out of N=1424), and N=129 from the bronchial epithelium cohort (out of N=139 healthy subjects).

M2.5 Details QTL models

eQTL in lung tissue and bronchial brushes

We tested for expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) in lung tissue (n=1,111) and bronchial brushes (n=139) using a linear regression model to investigate the association of SNPs and log-transformed *IL33* expression data. Specifically, we employed an additive genetic model with age, gender, smoking status and the PCs explaining >1% of expression variance as covariates using R statistics. Since only 2 independent genetic signals were tested by look-up, a p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Baseline and inducible eQTL and pQTL in primary bronchial epithelial cells

Passage 2/3 AHBECs (n=35) obtained from bronchial brushes and biopsies from asthma patients as previously described (169), were cultured on PureCol Type-I Bovine collagen (Advanced BioMatrix, 5005-B) in growth factor-supplemented medium (BEGM, Lonza). These were studied for baseline QTL and inducible QTL.

For the inducible QTL, cells were stimulated with either house dust mite (HDM) or rhinovirus (RV16, MOI=1). BEGM was changed to basal medium 24 hours prior to stimulation with HDM (50µg/ml) (Greer XPB70D3A25 (Lot: 23187)), or PBS as a vehicle control. For stimulation with RV16 (Public Health England), BEGM was changed to infection medium (BEGM-I), i.e. BEGM lacking Bovine Pituitary Extract, 24 hours prior to infection with RV-16 virus MOI of 1. Cells were infected for a period of 1 hour, following which they were washed three times with sterile PBS and fresh BEGM-I was added. Cells were then incubated for 24 hours. Protein and RNA lysates were collected as previously described (170). Cells were stratified based on the genotypes of the two genetic signals and expression compared using the non-parametric Kruskall-Wallis test. A p-value<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

M3- Functional cell work

Lentiviral overexpression in human bronchial epithelial cells

In order to investigate the functional consequences of sustained *IL33* in asthma, we stably overexpressed human full-length IL33 (aa1-270) in primary human bronchial epithelial cells (HBECs) isolated from n=5 healthy individuals (Lonza, #CC-2540). This was done using a three plasmid lentiviral system (as described before (191)). This consisted of a pCMV_VSV-G envelope plasmid (CellBiolabs RV110, Addgene plasmid # 8454) (192), a packaging plasmid pCMV_8.91 (Addgene plasmid #2221) (193) and the actual lentiviral overexpression plasmid (pCDH-CMV-MCS-EF1-copGFP, System Biosciences, #CD511B-1) wherein the full length human *IL33* sequence was ligated at the multiple cloning site under a CMV-promotor. A copGFP reporter gene was used under the EF1-promotor in the same overexpression cassette enabling a check of successful transfection/transduction. The IL33 sequence was commercially derived from OriGene (#SC100114) and comprised the common *IL33* sequence based on the human CEU/Hg37 reference genome (transcript variant 1, NM_033439). The 3 plasmids were first purified and transfected in the vector cell line HEK-293 in a ratio of 8:7:1 (overexpression: packaging:envelope plasmid, ratio based on weight) to create lentiviral particles. The following experimental groups of lentiviral particles were created: lentivirus with IL33+copGFP sequence ('IL33'), lentivirus with *copGFP* sequence only ('EV') and a lentivirus without any modifications ('NV'). Then the HBECs were transduced with the lentiviral particles in a ratio of lentivirus:transduction agent that equalized *copGFP* expression between the *IL33*copGFP and copGFP-only constructs (empirically determined based on level of copGFP mRNA expression, 1:5 for the *IL33-copGFP* and 1:2 for the *copGFP* only construct, see figure E12. The transduction agent was Polybrene (Sigma H9268-10G, used at 2ug/mL). copGFP expression and *IL33* overexpression was verified on mRNA level (qPCR) and IL33 expression on protein was determined using immunofluorescence; see figures E13 and E14.

IL33/copGFP PCR

Taqman qPCR was used to quantify copGFP and IL33 expression in the overexpression work in human bronchial epithelial cells. Total RNA was isolated from the cells and cDNA was synthesised from 1µg RNA using Superscript II (Invitrogen, UK) and random hexamer primers according to the manufacturer's instructions as also described before (170). Based on the sequence of the copGFP from the overexpression plasmid (SBI #CD511B-1) we developed a probe/primerset that had the same qPCR efficiency as the IL33 qPCR, with the following sequences to detect copGFP: probe (5'-3') 6FAM-CGGCTACGAGAACCCCTTCC-TAMRA; forward primer (5'-3') ATGGGCTACGGCTTCTAC; reverse primer (5'-3') CTCGTACTTCTCGATGCG. IL33 (Hs04931857_m1) was assayed using a commercially derived PDAR (#4331182, Applied Bioscience) with ROXTM as passive reference dye. HPRT (PDAR #4310890E, Applied Bioscience) and 18S (PDAR # 4310893E Applied Bioscience) were used as housekeeping genes. PCR was run with a 2 minutes step of 50° C, 10 minutes of 95° C and then 40 cycles of 95° C (15s)+60°C (1min). MxPro software was used to analyse data.

IL33 immunofluorescence

Passage 2 human bronchial epithelial cells that were transduced with lentivirus containing the IL33 expression cassette and controls were seeded in four-well chamberslides (polystyrene, tissue-culture treated, non-coated) at 30,000 cells/well and cultured until confluence. Then medium was removed, cells washed twice with PBS and fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 30min at ambient temperature on a rocker. All preparation steps were performed lightprotected to safe the endogenous GFP fluorescence. After a PBS wash (twice, 5min), cells were permeabilized for 30min in 0.15%TritonX(Sigma X100) in 1%BSA(Sigma #A-8412)/ PBS, washed and blocked overnight at 4^oC using 10%NGS (Sigma #G6767) in PBS. Cells were washed twice with PBS and incubated with two different primary antibodies against IL33 overnight at 4C. 1) A polyclonal rabbit IgG anti-IL-33, ProteinTech, 022 cat12372-1-AP, stock 260ug/mL, used 1:100 in 10%NGS/PBS. 2) A monoclonal mouse IgM anti-IL-33 (clone Ag21430) ProteinTech, cat66235-1-Ig, stock 1360ug/mL, used 1:200 in 10%NGS/PBS. Applicable isotype controls (polyclonal rabbit IgG and monoclonal mouse IgM, Invitrogen #10500C and #14-4752-82) were used at the same concentration as the primary antibodies. Wells were washed three times in PBS (5min each) on a rocker and then Rhodamine TRITClabeled secondary antibodies applied for 1h at 37°C while shaking, in a humidified tray. Being: 1) goat-anti rabbit IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch laboratories/Stratech 111-025-003, stock 1.5mg/mL) and 2) goat anti-mouse IgG (ProteinTech, #SA00007-1) both used 1:100 in 10%NGS/PBS. Wells were washed three times in PBS (5min each), air-dried and mounted using VectaShield Mount+DAPI (Vector Laboratories, H-1500), a coverslip applied, dried at ambient temperature and stored light-protected at 4⁰C until visualization of IL33 and GFP using confocal microscopy (within 48h after mounting).

Functional read-outs of genetically modified HBECs:

We cultured the genetically modified HBECs submerged in bronchial epithelial cell growth medium (BEGM[™], Lonza) and performed several functional read-outs:

Cell count, viability and ROS-glutathione assays

Genetically modified and control cells were seeded at 50,000 cells/well in a 6-wells plate format and cultured for 96h. Cells were then harvested by trypsinization, n=2 technical replicates pooled and resuspended in 500uL BEGM. Cell count was performed using a lysis+propidium iodide (PI) based assay (PI-Cassette[™]) according to the manufacturers' instruction (Application note No. 3007. Rev. 1.3, Chemometec) at the NucleoCounter® NC-3000[™] system. Viability (fraction of viable cells) was determined using a Hoechst+PI based assay according to the manufacturers' instructions (application note No. 3023. Rev. 1.4, Chemometec). ROS-capturing capacity (level of free thiols [reduced glutathione] in cells) was determined using a VitaBright-48[™] assay according to the manufacturers' instructions (Application note No. 3005. Rev 1.4) (194).

Metabolic activity assay

Genetically modified and control cells were seeded at 4000 cells/well in 96-wells plates and cultured for 96h in total, a subset harvested every 24h to determine metabolic activity over time. Metabolic activity was measured using a colorimetric MTT assay (Sigma, M5655), according to the manufacturer's instruction. Briefly; medium was removed and replaced by a warm (37°C) MTT suspension (0.5mg/mL in BEGM) which was incubated protected from light for 4h at 37°C. The MTT suspension was carefully removed and the formed crystals dissolved in 200uL isopropanol per well. Optical density was measured at 570nm wavelength, including a correction at 670nm.

Electric cell substrate impedance sensing (ECIS) array

To investigate cell-cell contact and barrier formation of bronchial epithelial cells exposed to sustained IL-33, we cultured the genetically modified and control cells on electrodecontaining arrays. Electric cell substrate impedance sensing (ECIS) was performed as previously described in detail by our group (195). As high frequency (reflecting spreading of cells and formation of a monolayer) 32kHz was taken, whilst for the low frequency (reflecting cell-cell-contacts and barrier formation) 400Hz was taken as previously established for primary bronchial epithelial cells (195). Resistance values were normalized against the averaged first hour to correct for technical variation/fluctuations often present at the start. Longitudinally, the area under the curve (AUC) was calculated for timepoints 12-24-36-48-60-72h, as well as a cross-sectional comparison of the resistance was made at 24h and 48h to investigate the influence of IL33 on aspects of barrier formation of the bronchial epithelial cells.

Statistical analyses in vitro cell work

Treatment and genotype groups were compared using the non-parametric Kruskall Wallistest. For the expression, cell count, vitality, viability, metabolic assay results and for the cross sectional analysis of the ECIS, followed by Wilcoxon post hoc statistics. The longitudinal AUCs of the ECIS were compared using a Z-test. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

M4- ENCODE annotation of phenotype-associated genetic signals

ENCODE was consulted to annotate potential functional elements to the selected phenotype associated genetic signals, using the integrative data level available via https://www. encodeproject.org/data/annotations/. SNPs with LD >0.3 with the selected tagSNP were included in these analyses. Within the ENCODE setting, GWAS studying SNPs associated with asthma and lung phenotypes and their potential functionality were consulted, as well as SNPs were checked for functionality using RegulomeDB, HaploReg and the Chromatin databases (ChromHMM, Segway).Dataset was last accessed on the 9th August 2019. (162,163,188)

d genetic variants of genomewide association (P<5x10°) with as Effect	ariants of genomewide association (P<5x10°) with as	s of genomewide association (P<5x10 ⁻⁸) with as	wide association (P<5x10 ⁻⁸) with as	0 ⁻⁸) with as	as	thma in GWAS/GWAS meta-a	nalyses from 2007-2019			
SNP	allele	OR	P-value	Population	Ref	First author(s)	Journal	Year	Position	Signal
rs1888909	Т	1.12	4.20E-34	Caucasian	(22)	Kristjansson RP	Nat Genet	2019	chr9:6197392	
rs7848215	Т	1.16	5.29 E-62	Caucasian	(17)	Johansson A	Hum Mol Genet	2019	chr9:6213468	
rs992969	А	1.25	1.4E-11	Caucasian	(18)	Pividori M	Lancet Respir Med	2019	chr9:6209697	
	Α	1.18	1.1E-17	Multi-ancestry analysis	(54)	Demenais F	Nat Genet	2018		
rs144829310	Т	1.18	8.3E-58	Caucasian	(17)	Johansson A	Hum Mol Genet	2019	chr9:6208030	
	Т	1.21	2.3E-20	Caucasian	(26)	Shrine N, Portelli MA, John C	Lancet Respir Med	2019		
	Т	1.09	1.2E-35	Caucasian	(1)	Ferreira MA	Nat Genet	2017		~
	Т	1.17	1.3E-31	Caucasian	(23)	Pickrell JK	Nat Genet	2016		¥
rs72699186	Т	1.26	2.0E-09	Caucasian	(20)	Ferreira MA	J Allergy Clin Immunol	2013	chr9:6175855	
rs928413	Ċ	1.50	4.2E-13	Caucasian	(19)	Bonnelykke K	Nat Genet	2013	chr9:6213387	
rs1342326	С	1.20	3.5E-14	Caucasian	(24)	Ferreira MA	Lancet	2011	chr9:6190076	
	С	1.20	9.2E-10	Caucasian	(22)	Moffatt MF	N Engl J Med	2010		
rs2381416	C	1.18	1.7E-12	Multi-ancestry analysis	(21)	Torgerson DG	Nat Genet	2011	chr9:6193455	
rs2066362	Т	1.21	1.39E-08	Caucasian	(22)	Moffatt MF	N Engl J Med	2010	chr9:6219176	
rs343478	G	1.06	4.5E-13	Caucasian	(17)	Johansson A	Hum Mol Genet	2019	chr9:6051399	P
	G	1.03	2.6E-10	Caucasian	(1)	Ferreira MA	Nat Genet	2017		4
In this table ar last column in	l overview dicates hov	is given w these	n of the gene variants rel	tic variants associated wit lated to the genetic signals	h asthma defined	a discovered at genomewide signi in our manuscript. <i>OR=Odds ratii</i>	lficant in GWAS and GWAS 2, Ref=literature reference	i meta-ai	nalyses form 200	7-2019. The

A. Supplemental Tables

Characteristics	General	Asthma	Stats	Healthy	Stats
	Population (N=13,395)	Population (N=1,066)	(compared to rest of GP)	Control (N=6,863)	(compared to rest of GP)
Age (y). mean (SD)	48.1 (11.4)	46.2 (10.9)	P<0.001 (MWU)	49.2 (11.9)	P<0.001 (MWU)
Gender (N. %male)	5,598 (41.8%)	428 (40.2%)	P=0.233 (Chisq)	3213 (46.8%)	P<0.001
Height (cm). mean (SD)	174.4 (9.2)	173.9 (9.6)	P=0.018 (MWU)	175.0 (9.3)	P=0.012 (MWU)
BMI (kg/m²) mean (SD)	26.4 (4.3)	27.2 (5.0)	P<0.001 (MWU)	26.3 (4.1)	P=0.875
Ethnicity (N. %Caucasian)	11,615 (99.4%)	895 (98.8%)	P=0.018 (Chisq)	5,906 (99.6%)	P=0.351
FEV1 (L). mean (SD)	3.4 (0.8)	3.1 (0.8)	P<0.001 (MWU)	3.4 (0.8)	P<0.001 (MWU)
FEV1/FVC. mean (SD)	0.76 (0.07)	0.73 (0.09)	P<0.001 (MWU)	0.77 (0.07)	P=0.001 (MWU)
Blood eos (10 ^{E9} /L). median (IQR)	0.16 (0.10- 0.23)	0.20 (0.13-0.30)	P<0.001 (MWU)	0.15 (0.10-0.22)	P<0.001 (MWU)
Blood neutro (10 ^{E9} /L). median (IQR)	3.18 (2.55-3.97)	3.33 (2.66-4.18)	P<0.001 (MWU)	3.13 (2.51-3.92)	P<0.001 (MWU)
Asthma- N (%)	1,066 (8.7%)	1,066 (100%)		-	
Eosinophilic Asthma- N (%)	707 (5.5%)	707 (68.6%)		-	
Low FEV1%pred Asthma- N (%)	258 (1.9%)	258 (24.2%)		-	
Low FEV1/FVC Asthma- N (%)	324 (2.5%)	324 (30.4%)		-	
No asthma/COPD/ Allergy- N (%)	6,863 (51.2%)	-		6,863 (100%)	

Table S2: Population characteristics of the Lifelines cohort (159)

Chisq= chi squared test, GP=general population, IQR+ inter quantile range, MWU= Mann Whitney-U test, N = number of subjects data field available for, %pred= percentage predicted, SD: Standard of Deviation, Stats= statistical comparison subgroup to rest of general population

rable 55. I opulation character istics macpenaent astimu conorts (50)101

Characteristics	DAG (N=909)	N	GASP (N=2,536)	N
Age (y). mean (SD)	34.78 (15.80)	909	47.83 (15.51)	2,285
Gender. Male (%)	46.9	909	36.0	2,534
Height (m). mean (SD)	1.68 (0.16)	905	1.65 (0.09)	1,692
$FEV_{1}(L)$. mean (SD)	2.81 (0.94)	899	2.26 (0.86)	2,039
FEV ₁ /FVC. mean (SD)	0.79 (0.10)	262	0.69 (0.14)	1,881
Blood Eosinophils (10-9/L). median (range)	0.23 (0.00-1.90)	769	0.31 (0.00-5.42)	1,018
Total IgE (kU/L). median (range)	378.41 (0.00 - 12400.00)	772	407.47 (1.00 - 5000.00)	1,374
Atopy* (%)	578 (85.4)	677	1,072 (68.5)	1,559
Age of asthma onset (y). mean (SD)	10.07 (10.58)	689	23.20 (17.95)	1,176
Childhood onset asthma [#] N(%)	520 (75.5)	689	578 (46.2)	1,284
%/N of asthma patients	100%	909	100%	2,536

N = number of subjects data field available for, *SD*: Standard of Deviation

*Atopy was based on at least one positive response to intracutaneous or skin prick tests (SPT) #Childhood onset asthma defined as a diagnosis occurring before the age of 16y.

Characteristics	GASP Cohort (cases)	Gedling Cohort (controls)
Age (y), mean (SD)	48 (14.88)	57 (12.64)
Gender, Male (%)	30.6	27.0
Height (m), mean (SD)	1.64 (0.08)	1.66 (0.06)
FEV, (L), mean (SD	2.17 (0.84)	2.77 (0.79)
Smoking pack/years	11.82 (20.25)	8.40 (18.61)
Never Smokers (%)	52.0	53.5

Table S4: Population characteristics Next Generation Sequencing cohort (9,56)

Demographics for the sub-cohorts taken from GASP (200 cases) and GEDLING (200 non-asthmatic, non-atopic controls) used in the next-generation sequencing of the chromosome 9 locus. *SD: Standard of Deviation*

Table S5: Population characteristics eQTL cohort lung tissue (189) and bronchial brushes (190)

Characteristics	Lung tissue (n=1,111)	Bronchial brushes (n=139)
Age (y). mean (SD)	58.5 (13.0)	40.0 (18.0)
Gender (N. %male)	54.4%	34.7%
FEV1 (L), mean (SD	2.70 (0.99)	2.76 (0.87)
FEV1/FVC. mean (SD)	0.71 (0.11)	-
Smoking status %current smoker	24.1%	66.7%
BMI. mean (SD)	-	24.1 (3.4)

Demographics for the lung tissue and bronchial brush cohorts used for the expression quantitative (eQTL) analyses. *SD: Standard of Deviation*

Characteristics	AHBEC (N total=35)	N
Age (y), mean (SD)	50 (13.47)	20
Gender, Male (%)	43-5	23
Height (m), mean (SD)	1.71 (0.10)	13
$FEV_{_{1}}(L)$, mean (SD)	2.70 (0.95)	25
FEV,/FVC, mean (SD)	0.69 (0.11)	19
Atopy*, number (%)	7 (58.3)	12

Table S6: Population characteristics of cultured bronchial epithelial cells- asthma cohort (AHBEC)

Demographics for the cultured primary bronchial epithelial cells from asthma patients (AHBEC) used for the expression quantitative (eQTL) analyses. *N* = *number of subjects data field available for, SD: Standard of Deviation* *Atopy was defined as a positive response to a skin prick test. Data was not available for the full cohort of 51 individuals.

			, .						
Tag SNP	Location	Gene context	Pheno risk	Alt 	Associated feature(s)	Conort	Effect size msk	SE	P.adj
(genetic signal)			allele (AF)	allele			allele (B or UK)		(FDR)
A-rs992969	9:6209697	~6kb 5' of <i>IL33</i>	A (0.25)	Ċ	eos levels in GenPop,	Lifelines Lifelines	0.058 (B)	0.009	7.09E-08
					OU southing os and	Lifelines	1.321 (OR)	0.062	4.73E-03
					eos asthma vs non-eos asthma	Lifelines	1.216 (OR)	0.109	0.556
					eos asthma vs non-eos asthma	DAG/GASP	1.078 (OR)	0.161	0.633
					eos levels in asthma subjects	Lifelines	0.042 (B)	0.032	0.714
					eos levels in asthma subjects	DAG/GASP	0.002(B)	0.014	0.991
B-rs1342327	9:6189874	~25kb 5'of <i>IL33</i>	G (0.15)	С	eos levels in GenPop,	Lifelines,	0.035(B)	0.011	0.027
					eos asthma vs HC	Lifelines	1.107 (OR)	0.075	0.587
					eos asthma vs non-eos asthma	Lifelines	1.081 (OR)	0.125	0.845
					eos asthma vs non-eos asthma	DAG/GASP	1.181 (OR)	0.421	0.942
					eos levels in asthma subjects	Lifelines	0.0118 (B)	0.037	0.895
					eos levels in asthma subjects	DAG/GASP	0.057 (B)	0.018	0.039
C-rs74438701	9:6282794	~25kb 3'of <i>IL33</i>	T (0.83)	С	eos levels in GenPop	Lifelines	0.035 (B)	0.011	0.041
					eos asthma vs HC	Lifelines	1.195 (OR)	0.085	0.219
					eos asthma vs non-eos asthma	Lifelines	1.293 (OR)	0.136	0.556
					eos asthma vs non-eos asthma	DAG/GASP	1.144 (OR)	0.309	0.763
					eos levels in asthma subjects	Lifelines	0.074 (B)	0.041	0.714
					eos levels in asthma subjects	DAG/GASP	0.012 (B)	0.018	0.991
D-rs2282162	9:6534466	intronic of GLDC	G (0.56)	A	eos levels in GenPop	Lifelines	0.029 (B)	0.008	0.011
					eos asthma vs HC	Lifelines	1.081(B)	0.058	0.583
					eos asthma vs non-eos asthma	Lifelines	1.140 (OR)	0.100	0.586
					eos asthma vs non-eos asthma	DAG/GASP	1.032 (OR)	0.072	0.846
					eos levels in asthma subjects	Lifelines	0.073 (B)	0.030	0.714
					eos levels in asthma subjects	DAG/GASP	0.004 (B)	0.014	0.991
E-rs4008366	9:6116407	intergenic	T (0.69)	С	eos levels in GenPop	Lifelines	0.010 (B)	0.009	0.647
					eos asthma vs HC	Lifelines	1.264(OR)	0.070	0.045
					eos asthma vs non-eos asthma	Lifelines	1.130 (OR)	0.116	0.691
					eos asthma vs non-eos asthma	DAG/GASP	1.007 (OR)	0.676	0.991
					eos levels in asthma subjects	Lifelines	0.003(B)	0.035	0.968
					eos levels in asthma subjects	DAG/GASP	0.0002 (B)	0.015	0.999
The table shows the with blood eosinoph	results of the asso il count >150cells,	ociation analyses of al /uL. Underlined : the	ll eosinophilic pl two genetic sign	nenotype: nals taken	s in each cohort for the 5 LD bloch I forward in functional assesment	ks/signals (r ² >0.1). t in this study. <i>AF=1</i>	Eosinophilic asthma frequency (EUR 1000	a was def G); Alt all	ned as asthma ele=alternativ
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		D					//	

Table S7: Five LD blocks $(r^2>0.1)$ and association results with eosimophilic phenotypes in all cohorts

98

allele; B=beta; eos=eosinophils/eosinophilic; FDR=false discovery rate value at alpha 0.05; GWAS= genomewide association study; GenPop =general population; HC=healthy control; kb= kilo basepairs; Lit=literature; OR=odds ratio; Padj= FDR adjusted p-value; Pheno risk allele=phenotype associated allele; Ref=Reference; SE=standard error:

			((m /massa				
Tag SNP	Location	Gene context	Pheno risk	Alt	Associated feature(s)	Cohort	Effect size risk	SE	P.adj
(genetic signal)			allele (AF)	allele			allele (UK)		(FDR)
A-rs992969	9:6209697	~6kb 5' of <i>IL33</i>	A(0.25)	G	eos asthma* vs HC	Lifelines	1.321	0.062	4.73E-03
					eos asthma** vs HC	Lifelines	1.330	0.097	8.58E-02
B-rs1342327	9:6189874	~25kb 5'of <i>IL33</i>	G (0.15)		eos asthma* vs HC	Lifelines	1.107	0.075	0.500
				С	eos asthma** vs HC	Lifelines	1.112	0.120	666.0
C-rs74438701	9:6282794	~25kb 3'of <i>IL33</i>	T (0.83)	С	eos asthma* vs HC	Lifelines	1.195	0.085	0.183
					eos asthma** vs HC	Lifelines	1.469	0.144	0.198
D-rs2282162	9:6534466	intronic of GLDC	G (0.56)		eos asthma*vs HC	Lifelines	1.081	0.058	0.495
				А	eos asthma** vs HC	Lifelines	1.304	0.193	0.127
E-rs4008366	9:6116407	intergenic	T (0.69)	С	eos asthma* vs HC	Lifelines	1.264	0.070	0.045
					eos asthma** vs HC	Lifelines	1.273	0.110	0.076

Table S8: Lifelines association results with eosinophilic asthma (>150cells/uL and >300cells/uL)

The table shows the results of the association between the 5 main LD blocks and eosinophilic asthma using two definitions (>150cells/uL (*n=707) and >300cells/uL (*n=260)) in Lifelines. Underlined: the two genetic signals taken forward in functional assesment in this study. AF=frequency (EUR 1000G); Alt allele=alternative allele; B=beta; eos=eosinophils/ eosinophilic; FDR=false discovery rate value at alpha 0.05; GWAS= genomewide association study; GenPop =general population; HC=healthy control; kb= kilo basepairs; Lit=literature; 0R=odds ratio; Padj= FDR adjusted p-value; Pheno risk allele=phenotype associated allele; Ref=Reference; 5E=standard error:

					× .				
ag SNP	Location	Gene context	Pheno risk	Alt allele	Associated feature(s)	Cohort	Effect size risk	SE	P.adj (FDR)
genetic signal)			allele (AF)				allele (OR)		
A-rs992969	9:6209697	~6kb 5' of <i>IL33</i>	A (0.25)	G	eos asthma* vs non-eos asthma	DAG/GASP	1.078	0.161	0.633
					eos asthma** vs non-eos asthma	DAG/GASP	1.049	0.096	0.680
3-rs1342327	9:6189874	~25kb 5'of	G (0.15)	С	eos asthma* vs non-eos asthma	DAG/GASP	1.181	0.421	0.942
		IL33			eos asthma** vs non-eos asthma	DAG/GASP	1.329	0.585	0.893
C-rs74438701	9:6282794	~25kb 3'of	T (0.83)	С	eos asthma* vs non-eos asthma	DAG/GASP	1.144	0.309	0.763
		IL33			eos asthma** vs non-eos asthma	DAG/GASP	1.117	0.293	0.789
)-rs2282162	9:6534466	intronic of	G (0.56)	A	eos asthma* vs non-eos asthma	DAG/GASP	1.032	0.072	0.846
		GLDC			eos asthma** vs non-eos asthma	DAG/GASP	1.033	0.127	0.931
3-rs4008366	9:6116407	intergenic	T (0.69)	С	eos asthma* vs non-eos asthma	DAG/GASP	1.007	0.676	0.991
					eos asthma** vs non-eos asthma	DAG/GASP	1.059	0.583	0.802
ha tahla chowc tha r	aculte of the ac	sociation hatwaar	n the 5 main I D	hlocks and a	osinonhilic asthma using two definit	tions (>150calle/	- hne (000 1 - n*) 1.1/	>300ralle /i	i ((\$07–u*1)]

Table S9: DAG/GASP association results with eosinophilic asthma (>150cells/uL and >300cells/uL)

100

DAG/GASP. Underlined: the two genetic signals taken forward in functional assesment in this study. AF=frequency (EUR 1000G); Aft allele=alternative allele; B=beta; eos=eosinophils/ eosinophilic; FDR=false discovery rate value at alpha 0.05; GWAS= genomewide association study; GenPop =general population; HC=healthy control; kb= kilo basepairs; Lit.=literature; OR=odds ratio; Padj= FDR adjusted p-value; Pheno risk allele=phenotype associated allele; Ref=Reference; SE=standard error. Is/uL (**n=493)) IN T,UU4J dilu -II) TIN / STIANDE I / ST ing two deminition ne table shows the results of the association between the 5 main LD blocks and eosinophilic

Signals	Pheno risk allele (AF)	Alt allele	Proxy eQTL brushes	Pheno risk allele (AF)	Alt allele	R ²	Proxy eQTL HBECs	Pheno risk allele (AF)	Alt allele	R ²
Signal A rs992969	A (0.25)	G	N/A	N/A	N/A		rs2381416	C (0.26)	Α	0.95
Signal E rs4008366	T (0.69)	С	rs693838	T (0.69)	С	1.0	rs442246	T (0.69)	G	1.0

Table S10: The two genetic signals with their tagSNPs and proxySNPs used in functional follow-up

Table: Proxies* for the two functionally investigated signals in this manuscript. Proxies were used for the two tagSNPs in the eQTL datasets in case the original tagSNP of the LD block was not genotyped in the eQTL dataset. Criteria proxySNP selection: i) highest \mathbb{R}^2 with tagSNP, but minimum \mathbb{R}^2 =0.5 ii); minimum AF=0.10 (EUR 1000G); iii) should itself still significantly associate with applicable phenotype of the genetic signal. AF= allele frequency (EUR 1000G); N/A: proxy not applicable, since tagSNP present; ND: Not determined, no proxy available at MAF≥0.10; Pheno risk allele: allele at risk for high blocd eosinophils, asthma and/or eosinophilic asthma; Alt allele: allernative allele

*proxies used in the lung tissue eQTL dataset have not been included in this table as no significant eQTLs were present in this dataset for the investigated signals, although all proxies had R^2 >0.5 with the tagSNP of each selected signal A/E

B. Supplemental Figures

Supplemental figure E1: Genetic region studied at IL33 locus

A region of 400kb +/- IL33 was studied, being chr9: 5,815,786-6,657,983 (GRCh37/hg19):





Supplemental figure E2- tagSNPs of the five phenotype-associated LD blocks

Figure: The figure shows the LD pattern (\mathbb{R}^2) of the 5 tagSNPs representing LD blocks that were selected from the (in total 161) phenotype-associated SNPs, LD blocks of each signal defined using \mathbb{R}^2 >0.1. *Signals with known asthma-association from literature, see also table 1/S1.

E2-b D' of five tagSNPs



Figure: The figure shows the LD pattern (D') of the 5 tagSNPs representing LD blocks that were selected from the (in total 161) phenotype-associated SNPs, LD blocks of each signal defined using R²>0.1. it can be seen that the D' is high as opposed to a low R² as shown in S2a. *Signals with known asthma-association from literature, see also table 1/S1. Image generated using the EUR population of the Phase I cohort of the 1000 genomes study via the online software tool LDlink 3.0, available at: https://analysistools.nci.nih.gov/LDlink/?tab=home.



Supplemental figure E3- LD pattern within each of the five LD blocks (A-E)

Figure: LD pattern (R^2) of the phenotype associated SNPs forming LD block A. Black squared SNP is also a GWAS-asthma SNP from literature. *TagSNP for LD block. Image generated using the EUR population of the Phase I cohort of the 1000 genomes study via the online software tool LDlink 3.0, available at: https://analysistools.nci.nih.gov/LDlink/?tab=home.





Figure: LD pattern (R^2) of the phenotype associated SNPs forming LD block B. *TagSNP for LD block. Image generated using the EUR population of the Phase I cohort of the 1000 genomes study via the online software tool LDlink 3.0, available at: https://analysistools.nci.nih.gov/LDlink/?tab=home.



E3-c LD Block C

Figure: LD pattern (R^2) of the phenotype associated SNPs forming LD block C. *TagSNP for LD block. Image generated using the EUR population of the Phase I cohort of the 1000 genomes study via the online software tool LDlink 3.0, available at: https://analysistools.nci.nih.gov/LDlink/?tab=home.

E3-d LD Block D



Figure: LD pattern (R^2) of the phenotype associated SNPs forming LD block D. *TagSNP for LD block. Image generated using the EUR population of the Phase I cohort of the 1000 genomes study via the online software tool LDlink 3.0, available at: https://analysistools.nci.nih.gov/LDlink/?tab=home.



E3-e LD Block E

Figure: LD pattern (\mathbb{R}^2) of the phenotype associated SNPs forming LD block E. Black squared SNP is a GWAS-asthma SNP from literature.*TagSNP for LD block. Image generated using the EUR population of the Phase I cohort of the 1000 genomes study via the online software tool LDlink 3.0, available at: https://analysistools.nci.nih.gov/LDlink/?tab=home.









Supplemental figure E5: eQTL bronchial brushes in context of eosinophil associated signals

Plots generated using LocusZoom. (196)

Supplemental figure E6: eQTL analyses in AHBECS: IL33 mRNA levels stratified for IL33 genotype of the phenotype associated signals.



Figure E6: Cells were cultured *in vitro* and *IL33* mRNA levels were stratified based on the selected SNPs tagging distinct genetic signals of association at the *IL33* locus. Panel A represents Signal A tagged by rs2381416, and panel B represents Signal E tagged by rs442246. Statistics run was Mann-Whitney as appropriate for two group comparisons of non-normally distributed data. *P<0.05. Genotypes were grouped in a way to have at least an n=5 per group. Moreover, some variation in total number of subjects studied are present, as not each subject could contribute to all eQTL and pQTL experiments.

Supplemental figure E7: pQTL analyses in AHBECS: IL33 protein levels stratified for IL33 genotype of the phenotype associated signals



Figure E7: Cells were cultured in vitro and *IL33* protein levels in cell supernatants (Luminex) were stratified based on the SNPs tagging distinct genetic signals of association at the *IL33* locus. Panel A represents Signal A tagged by rs2381416, and panel E represents Signal E tagged by rs442246. Statistics run was Mann-Whitney as appropriate for two group comparisons of non-normally distributed data. No statistically significant pQTLs were identified for these signals. Genotypes were grouped in a way to have at least an n=5 per group. Moreover, some variation in total number of subjects studied are present, as not each subject could contribute to all eQTL and pQTL experiments.



Supplemental figure E8: Effects of HDM and RV16 stimulation on IL33 mRNA and IL33 protein levels

Panel A/B: At the mRNA level, HDM stimulation (24h 50ug/mL) resulted in a 1.9-fold increase in *IL33* expression (Panel A, P=0.006, n=15), while stimulation with RV16 (MOI:1) for 24 hours reduced *IL33* mRNA levels 2.1-fold (Panel B, P=0.048, n=15). **Panel C/D:** protein level-Stimulation of cells with 50µg/ml HDM for 24 hours did not affect IL33 levels in the cellular supernatant (Panel C, P>0.05, n=18). RV-16 (MOI:1) stimulation for 24 hours however resulted in a 1.3-fold increase of IL33 protein in the cellular supernatant (Panel D, P=0.0001, n=18). Genotypes were grouped in a way to have at least an n=5 per group. Moreover, some variation in total number of subjects studied are present, as not each subject could contribute to all eQTL and pQTL experiments.



Supplemental figure E9: No effect of the phenotype associated signals on HDM and RV16 induced eQTL analyses

Figure E9: Complete analyses of levels of *IL33* mRNA in bronchial epithelial cells isolated from asthma patients and cultured *in vitro* under different asthma relevant micro-environments then stratified based on selected SNPs tagging genetic signals of association at the *IL33* locus. Each row represents the mRNA levels of a distinct genetic signal stimulated with HDM or RV16. Signal A (rs2381416): panel A (HDM)/ B (RV16). Signal E (rs442246): panel C (HDM)/ D (RV16). Statistics run were either Mann-Whitney or Kruskall Wallis as appropriate for two or three group comparisons. No statistically significant inducible eQTL were identified for these signals. Genotypes were grouped in a way to have at least an n=5 per group. Moreover, some variation in total number of subjects studied are present, as not each subject could contribute to all eQTL and pQTL experiments.



Supplemental figure E10: No effect of the phenotype associated signals on HDM and RV16 induced pQTL analyses

Figure E10: Complete analyses of levels of IL33 protein in supernatants of bronchial epithelial cells isolated from asthma patients and cultured *in vitro* under different asthma relevant micro-environments then stratified based on selected SNPs tagging genetic signals of association at the *IL33* locus. Each row represents the protein levels of a distinct genetic signal stimulated with HDM or RV16. Signal A (rs2381416): panel A (HDM)/ B (RV16). Signal E (rs442246): panel C (HDM) /D (RV16). Statistics run were either Mann-Whitney or Kruskall Wallis as appropriate for two or three group comparisons. No statistically significant inducible pQTL were identified for these signals. Genotypes were grouped in a way to have at least an n=5 per group. Moreover, small variation in total number of subjects studied are present, as not each subject could contribute to all eQTL and pQTL experiments.



Supplemental figure E11- Lentiviral overexpression method

Figure E11: A three plasmid system was used for lentiviral overexpression of *IL33* in primary human bronchial epithelial cells, consisting of an envelope plasmid (pCMV_VSV-G CellBiolabs RV110, Addgene plasmid # 8454), a packaging plasmid (pCMV_8.91 (Addgene plasmid #2221)) and the plasmid containing the actual expression construct (human full-length *IL33* aa1-270, transcript variant 1, NM_033439) in pCDH-CMV-MCS-EF1-copGFP (System Biosciences, #CD511B-1). Controls were: transduction without plasmid (or NV), transduction with expression plasmid lacking *IL33* (empty vector control or EV). For details see supplemental methods above and Torr et al (191). *Figure adapted from SBI handbook 'pCDH -DNA Cloning and Expression Lentivectors CD- 500/800 series' https://www.systembio.com/wpcontent/uploads/Manual_pCDH_Vectors-1.pdf*



Supplemental figure E12- GFP expression matched between IL33 overexpression vector and empty vector

Figure E12: Using qPCR (left) and flow cytometry (right) (see methods), the copGFP expression was matched between the empty vector (GFP only, 'EV', grey squares) and the *IL33* overexpression vector ('IL33', black squares) on mRNA and protein level. This provided confidence that any differences were not due to different infection efficiency. These initial analyses identified infection ratio of lentiviral particles:polybrene of 1:2 for the EV and 1:5 for the IL33. Left (mRNA): data expressed as fold difference in copGFP mRNA levels compared to EV. Right: data expressed as percentage of copGFP positive cells as determined by flow cytometry. N=5 independent HBEC donors, data points represent mean +/-standard deviation for 2 technical replicates per donor.



Supplemental figure E13- IL33 overexpression results in elevated IL33 mRNA in engineered cells

Figure E13: Using qPCR the overexpression of *IL33* was confirmed in the N=5 HBECs derived from healthy donors. Data expressed as fold difference in *IL33* mRNA levels compared to no vector control. N=5 HBEC donors, data points represent mean +/-standard deviation for 2 technical replicates per donor.

Supplemental figure E14: IL33 expression confirmed on protein level using 2 different antibodies

E14-a Polyclonal antibody against IL33 (ProteinTech)



Figure E14: Using immunofluorescence the expression of IL33 on protein level was confirmed in HBECs transduced with lentivirus containing a human *IL33* expression cassette, whilst the exact cellular location was inconclusive considering the staining patterns of 2 different antibodies (red). Cells were processed for immunofluorescent staining at passage 2, two weeks after the lentiviral transduction when cells were considered virus-free.

Supplemental figure E15: Functional assays upon IL33 overexpression in HBECs

E15-a No effect of IL33 overexpression on cell number



Figure E15a: HBECs overexpressing *IL33* (red) were cultured submerged in 6-wells plates and harvested+counted at 96h when they were in the log-phase of proliferation (empirically determined in pilot experiments) and compared against empty vector (EV) control and no vector (NV) control. Data represent n=3 HBEC donors, mean+ standard deviation, counts expressed relative to NV. Cross-sectional comparison of 3 conditions (MWU, p=0.21).

E15-b No effect of IL33 overexpression on Metabolic activity (MTT)



Figure E15b: Using an MTT assay (see methods) the metabolic activity of submerged cultured HBEC was determined upon sustained *IL33* overexpression. Cells were harvested and MTT activity measured every 24h between 48-96h, then cross-sectionally compared using Wilcoxon paired rank test (*IL33* vs empty vector control at 48h p=0.65, at 72h p=0.72, at 96h p=0.57). Data represent n=5 HBEC donors per timepoint per condition; mean+/- standard deviation of OD values.

E15-c No effect of IL33 expression on resistance (ECIS) over time

Figure E15c: HBECs overexpressing *IL33* were cultured to confluency on electrode-containing plates and resistance (at 400Hz) measured using ECIS every 10 minutes for 48h. Due to large donor variation in resistance values from the start onwards, data were normalized against the first hour to enable comparison of treatment groups. Mean +/- standard deviation of n=5 HBEC donors.



Upper panel: Longitudinal plot. Red dots with light red error bars: *IL33* overexpressing cells, black dots with grey error bars: empty vector (EV) controls.

Lower panel: Area under the curves (AUCs) were calculated, normalized against the average resistance of the first hour, then cross-sectionally compared every 12h. No difference in AUC was seen comparing *IL33* vs EV (12h; p=0.78, 24h; p=0.65, 36h; p=0.42, 48h; p=0.38, MWU). control=EV.



