



## University of Groningen

# **ASO** Author Reflections

Wang, H. H.; Plukker, J. Th. M.; Hospers, G. A. P.

Published in: Annals of Surgical Oncology

DOI: 10.1245/s10434-020-09438-x

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2021

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Wang, H. H., Plukker, J. T. M., & Hospers, G. A. P. (2021). ASO Author Reflections: Pathologic Complete Response of Extended CROSS Criteria Patients with Esophageal Cancer. *Annals of Surgical Oncology, 28*, 3961-3962. https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-020-09438-x

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.



# ASO Author Reflections: Pathologic Complete Response of Extended CROSS Criteria Patients with Esophageal Cancer

H. H. Wang, BSc<sup>1,2</sup>, J. Th. M. Plukker, MD, PhD<sup>1</sup>, and G. A. P. Hospers, MD, PhD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Surgical Oncology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands; <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

### PAST

Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (nCRT) followed by surgery according to the Chemoradiotherapy Regimen for esophageal cancer followed by Surgery Study (Chemoradiotherapy Regimen for esophageal cancer followed by Surgery Study, CROSS) currently is the standard treatment for locally advanced esophageal cancer (EC)  $(cT1/N^{+} or$ T2-4a/N0-3/M0). In addition to improving radical resection rates up to 92%, the CROSS regimen (carboplatin at an area under the curve [AUC] of 2 mg/mL/min and 50 mg/ m<sup>2</sup> of paclitaxel with concurrent radiotherapy [41.4 Gy/  $23 \times 1.8$  Gy/5 days per week] followed by surgery) has increased the 5-year overall survival (OS) rate by 13% compared with surgery alone.<sup>1</sup> These results are based on the strict highly selected criteria in the randomized controlled trial (RCT) enrollment process including a potentially curable esophageal carcinoma < 8 cm long; age of 75 years or younger; adequate hematologic, renal, hepatic, and pulmonary function; WHO performance score of 2 or lower; no history of other malignancy; and < 10%weight loss.<sup>2</sup> However, in the past decade, the eligibility criteria were extended in daily practice to all patients with potentially curatively resectable locally advanced ECs if fit for surgery. The large-scale effect of extending the CROSS criteria for a pathologic complete response (pCR) remains unclear. The problems addressed included the questionable

G. A. P. Hospers, MD, PhD e-mail: g.a.p.hospers@umcg.nl

consistency of defining pCR for real-world patients with extended CROSS (e-CROSS) criteria and the contradictory outcomes. The authors investigated data from the national Dutch Upper Cancer Audit (DUCA) database for EC patients treated between 2009 and 2017. The key question asked what effect extension of the CROSS criteria for both total (ypT0N0) and local (ypT0) pCR has on the surgical radicality, postoperative morbidity, and mortality of EC patients.

### PRESENT

Overall, patients in the CROSS and e-CROSS groups had equal total and local pCR rates.<sup>3</sup> However, a separate analysis assessing the difference between histologic EC subtypes showed a higher pCR for squamous cell carcinoma in the CROSS group (48.2%) than in the e-CROSS group (33.3%) (P < 0.000). Surgical radicality did not differ between the two groups, but the e-CROSS group had higher postoperative mortality (3.2% vs. 4.6%) and morbidity (58.3% vs. 61.8%) (P = 0.048). These results show that it is necessary for clinicians to consider carefully who will benefit most in the real-world setting and to focus more on personalized care for preventing complications is warranted.

### **FUTURE**

The effectiveness of extending the CROSS criteria for overall survival should be further investigated. Moreover, alternative personalized treatment options should be explored for the patients with a "risk-treatment paradox," who often are not represented in RCTs.<sup>4</sup> The current analyses did not include all the CROSS criteria nor questionable resectable tumors. For an accurate determination

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2020

First Received: 16 November 2020 Accepted: 17 November 2020; Published Online: 7 December 2020

of the impact from the extended CROSS criteria, clinicians should be more adequately informed about the real "irresectable" tumors and the effect of the individual extended CROSS criteria. Therefore, the authors recommend future external validation of their findings focused on prospective studies including more CROSS criteria and marginal resectable tumors.

**DISCLOSURES** Geke A. P. Hospers had pay to the institution (UMCG) for a consulting and advisory role in Amgen, Roche, MSD, BMS, Pfizer, Novartis, and Pierre Fabry, with pay and Geke A.P. Hospers received a grant BMS and Seerave paid to the institution (UMCG). Helena H. Wang and John Th. M. Plukker have no conflicts of interest.

**OPEN ACCESS** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

### REFERENCES

- Van Hagen P, Hulshof MCCM, Van Lanschot JJB, et al. Preoperative chemoradiotherapy for esophageal or junctional cancer. N Engl J Med. 2012;366:2074–84. https://doi.org/10.105 6/nejmoa1112088.
- Shapiro J, van Lanschot JJB, Hulshof MCCM, et al. Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy plus surgery versus surgery alone for oesophageal or junctional cancer (CROSS): long-term results of a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2015;16:1090–8. http s://doi.org/10.1016/s1470-2045(15)00040-6.
- Wang H, de Heer EC, Hulshoff JB, et al. Effect of extending the original CROSS criteria on tumor response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in esophageal cancer patients: a national multicenter cohort analysis. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2020. https://doi.or g/10.1245/s10434-020-09372-y.
- Kennedy-Martin T, Curtis S, Faries D, et al. A literature review on the representativeness of randomized controlled trial samples and implications for the external validity of trial results. *Trials*. 2015;16:495. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-015-1023-4.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.