



University of Groningen

Gonads or body?

van Rosmalen, Laura; van Dalum, Jayme; Hazlerigg, David G; Hut, Roelof A

Published in: The Journal of Experimental Biology

DOI: 10.1242/jeb.230987

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Final author's version (accepted by publisher, after peer review)

Publication date: 2020

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): van Rosmalen, L., van Dalum, J., Hazlerigg, D. G., & Hut, R. A. (2020). Gonads or body? Differences in gonadal and somatic photoperiodic growth response in two vole species. *The Journal of Experimental* Biology, 223. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.230987

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

1	Title: Gonads or body? Differences in gonadal and somatic photoperiodic growth response in
2	two vole species.
3	
4	Running title: Development of photoperiodic responses
5	
6	Authors: Laura van Rosmalen ¹ , Jayme van Dalum ² , David G. Hazlerigg ² , Roelof A. Hut ¹
7	1 Chronobiology Unit, Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences, University of
8	Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands.
9	2 Department of Arctic and Marine Biology, UiT - the Arctic University of Norway,
10	Tromsø, Norway.
11	
12	Corresponding author: L.van.rosmalen@rug.nl
13	
14	Keywords: Photoperiodism, seasonality, latitudinal adaptation, Microtus, pars tuberalis
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	

- <u>Summary statement:</u> Development of the neuroendocrine system driving photoperiodic
 responses in gonadal and somatic growth differ between the common and the tundra vole,
 indicating that they use a different breeding strategy.
- 36

37 List of abbreviations:

- 38 ARC arcuate nucleus
- 39 Dio2 iodothyronine-deiodinase 2
- 40 *Dio3* iodothyronine-deiodinase 3
- 41 GH growth hormone
- 42 GnRH gonadotropin-releasing hormone
- 43 Kiss1 Kisspeptin
- 44 KNDy kisspeptin/neurokininB/Dynorphin
- 45 LP long photoperiod
- 46 *Mtnr1a* (*Mt1*) melatonin receptor 1a
- 47 Npvf(Rfrp3) neuropeptide VF precursor
- 48 PNES photoperiodic neuroendocrine system
- 49 PT pars tuberalis
- 50 SCN suprachiasmatic nucleus
- 51 SP short photoperiod
- 52 $Tsh\beta$ thyroid-stimulating-hormone- β subunit
- 53 *Tshr* thyroid-stimulating-hormone receptor
- 54
- 55 56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

66 <u>Abstract</u>

To optimally time reproduction, seasonal mammals use a photoperiodic neuroendocrine system 67 (PNES) that measures photoperiod and subsequently drives reproduction. To adapt to late 68 69 spring arrival at northern latitudes, a lower photoperiodic sensitivity and therefore a higher 70 critical photoperiod for reproductive onset is necessary in northern species to arrest 71 reproductive development until spring onset. Temperature-photoperiod relationships, and 72 hence food availability-photoperiod relationships, are highly latitude dependent. Therefore, we 73 predict PNES sensitivity characteristics to be latitude-dependent. Here, we investigated 74 photoperiodic responses at different times during development in northern (tundra/root vole, 75 *Microtus oeconomus*) and southern vole species (common vole, *Microtus arvalis*) exposed to 76 constant short (SP) or long photoperiod (LP). Although, the tundra vole grows faster under LP, 77 no photoperiodic effect on somatic growth is observed in the common vole. Contrastingly, 78 gonadal growth is more sensitive to photoperiod in the common vole, suggesting that 79 photoperiodic responses in somatic and gonadal growth can be plastic, and might be regulated 80 through different mechanisms. In both species, thyroid-stimulating-hormone- β subunit (*Tsh* β) 81 and iodothyronine- deiodinase 2 (Dio2) expression is highly increased under LP, whereas Tshr 82 and *Dio3* decreases under LP. High *Tshr* levels in voles raised under SP may lead to increased 83 sensitivity to increasing photoperiods later in life. The higher photoperiodic induced Tshr 84 response in tundra voles suggests that the northern vole species might be more sensitive to TSH 85 when raised under SP. In conclusion, species differences in developmental programming of the 86 PNES, which is dependent on photoperiod early in development, may form different breeding 87 strategies evolving as part of latitudinal adaptation.

88

89 Introduction

90 Organisms use intrinsic annual timing mechanisms to adaptively prepare behavior, physiology, 91 and morphology for the upcoming season. In temperate regions, decreased ambient 92 temperature is associated with reduced food availability during winter which will impose 93 increased energetic challenges which may, dependent on the species, prevent the possibility of 94 successfully raising offspring. Annual variation in ambient temperature shows large 95 fluctuations between years, with considerable day to day variations, whereas annual changes 96 in photoperiod provide a consistent year-on-year signal for annual phase. This has led to 97 convergent evolutionary processes in many organisms to use day length as the most reliable 98 cue for seasonal adaptations.

99 In mammals, the photoperiodic neuroendocrine system (PNES) measures photoperiod and subsequently drives annual rhythms in physiology and reproduction (Fig. 1) (for review 100 101 see Dardente et al., 2018; Hut, 2011; Nakane and Yoshimura, 2019). The neuroanatomy of this 102 mechanism has been mapped in detail and genes and promoter elements that play a crucial role 103 in this response pathway have been identified in several mammalian species (Dardente et al., 104 2010; Hanon et al., 2008; Hut, 2011; Masumoto et al., 2010; Nakao et al., 2008; Ono et al., 105 2008; Sáenz De Miera et al., 2014; Wood et al., 2015), including the common vole (Król et al., 106 2012).





122 releasing hormone (GnRH) neurons. GnRH neurons project to the pituitary to induce gonadotropin release, which 123 stimulates gonadal growth. Arrow connectors indicate stimulatory connections.

124

125 Voles are small grass-eating rodents with a short gestation time (i.e. 21 days). They can have several litters a year, while their offspring can reach sexual maturity within 40 days during 126 127 spring and summer. Overwintering voles may however delay reproductive activity by as much 128 as 7 months (Wang et al., 2019). In small rodents, photoperiods experienced early in 129 development determines growth rate and reproductive development. Photoperiodic reactions 130 to intermediate day lengths depend on prior photoperiodic exposure (Hoffmann, 1973; Horton, 131 1984a; Horton, 1984b; Horton, 1985; Horton and Stetson, 1992; Prendergast et al., 2000; Sáenz 132 de Miera et al., 2017; Stetson et al., 1986; Yellon and Goldman, 1984). By using information 133 about day length early in life, young animals will be prepared for the upcoming season. 134 Presumably, crucial photoperiod-dependent steps in PNES development take place in young 135 animals to secure an appropriate seasonal response later in life (Dalum et al., 2020; Sáenz de Miera et al., 2017; Sáenz de Miera et al., 2020; Sáenz De Miera, 2019). In Siberian hamsters, 136 137 photoperiodic programming takes place downstream of melatonin secretion at the level of *Tshr*, 138 with expression increased in animals born under SP, associated with subsequent increases in 139 TSH sensitivity (Sáenz de Miera et al., 2017).

Primary production in the food web of terrestrial ecosystems is temperature-dependent (Robson, 1967; Peacock, 1976; Malyshev *et al.*, 2014). Small herbivores may therefore show reproductive development either as a direct response to temperature increases (opportunistic response), or as a response to photoperiod which forms an annual proxy for seasonal temperature changes (photoperiodic response), or a combination of the two (Caro et al., 2013). *Microtus* species adjust their photoperiodic response such that reproduction in spring starts when primary food production starts (Baker, 1938).

147 Photoperiodically induced reproduction should start at longer photoperiods at more 148 northern populations, since a specific ambient spring temperature at higher latitudes coincides 149 with longer photoperiods compared to lower latitudes (Hut et al., 2013). To adapt to late spring 150 arrival at northern latitudes, a lower sensitivity to photoperiod, and therefore, a longer critical 151 photoperiod is expected to be necessary in northern species. This is crucial to arrest 152 reproductive development until arrival of spring. Moreover, (epi)genetic adaptation to local 153 annual environmental changes may create latitudinal differences in photoperiodic responses 154 and annual timing mechanisms.

155 *Microtus* is a genus of voles found in the northern hemisphere, ranging from close to 156 the equator to arctic regions, which makes it an excellent genus to study latitudinal adaptation of photoperiodic responses (for review see Hut et al., 2013). In order to understand the 157 development of the PNES for vole species with different paleogeographic origins, we 158 159 investigated photoperiodic responses at different time points during development by exposing 160 northern- (tundra/root vole, Microtus oeconomus (Pallas, 1776)) and southern vole species 161 (common vole, *Microtus* arvalis (Pallas, 1778)) to constant short- or long photoperiods in the 162 laboratory. Animals from our two vole lab populations originate from the same latitude in the 163 Netherlands (53°N) where both populations overlap. This is for the common vole the center (mid-latitude) of its distribution range (38-62°N), while our lab tundra voles originate from a 164 165 postglacial relict population at the southern boundary of its European geographical range (48-166 72°N). Assuming that the latitudinal distribution range is limited by seasonal adaptation, it is 167 expected that latitudinal adaptation is optimal at the center of the distribution and suboptimal towards the northern and southern boundaries. Although this assumption remains to be 168 169 confirmed at the genetic and physiological level, it does lead to the expectation that the PNES 170 of the common vole is better adapted to the local annual environmental changes of the 171 Netherlands (53°N, distribution center) than that of the tundra vole which is at its southern 172 distribution boundary. Because lower latitudes have higher spring temperatures at a specific 173 photoperiod (Hut et al., 2013), we hypothesize that gonadal activation through PNES signaling 174 occurs under shorter photoperiods in common voles than in tundra voles.

175

176 <u>Materials and methods</u>

177 Animals and experimental procedures

178 All experimental procedures were carried out according to the guidelines of the animal welfare 179 body (IvD) of the University of Groningen, and all experiments were approved by the Centrale 180 Commissie Dierproeven) of the Netherlands (CCD, license number: AVD1050020171566). 181 The Groningen common vole breeding colony started with voles (M. arvalis) obtained from the Lauwersmeer area (Netherlands, 53° 24' N, 6° 16' E) (Gerkema et al., 1993), and was 182 183 occasionally supplemented with wild caught voles from the same region to prevent the lab 184 population from inbreeding. The Groningen tundra vole colony started with voles (M. 185 oeconomus) obtained from four different regions in the Netherlands (described in Van de Zande et al., 2000). Both breeding colonies were maintained at the University of Groningen as 186 187 outbred colonies and provided the voles for this study. All breeding pairs were kept in climate 188 controlled rooms, at an ambient temperature of 21 \pm 1°C and 55 \pm 5% relative humidity and housed in transparent plastic cages (15 x 40 x 24 cm) provided with sawdust, dried hay, an
opaque pvc tube and *ad libitum* water and food (standard rodent chow, #141005; Altromin
International, Lage, Germany). Over the last four years, our captive lab populations are housed
under LP conditions (16h light: 8h dark) and switched to SP (8h light: 16h dark) for ~2 months
at least twice a year.

194 The voles used in the experiments (61 males, 56 females) were both gestated and born 195 under either a long photoperiod (LP, 16h light: 8h dark) or a short photoperiod (SP, 8h light: 196 16h dark). In the center of the distribution range of *M. arvalis*, 16L:8D in spring occurs on 17 197 May, and 8L:16D occurs on 13 January. In the center of the distribution range of M. 198 *oeconomus*, 16L:8D in spring occurs on 1 May, and 8L:16D occurs on 1 February. Maximum 199 and minimum photoperiods experienced by *M. arvalis* and *M. oeconomus* at the center of its 200 distributional range are 17L:7D, 7.5L:16.5D, 19L:5D, 6L:18D respectively. Pups were weaned 201 and transferred to individual cages (15 x 40 x 24 cm) when 21 days old but remained exposed 202 to the same photoperiod as during both gestation and birth. All voles were weighed at post-203 natal day 7, 15, 21, 30, 42 and 50 (Fig. 2).



Figure 2. Experimental design. Animals were constantly exposed to either LP or SP from gestation onwards.
 Arrows indicate sampling points for tissue collection. Age in days is depicted above the timeline. Vertical dashed
 line represents time of weaning (21 days old).

208

204

209 *Tissue collections*

210 In order to follow development, animals were sacrificed by decapitation 17±1 hours after lights 211 off ($Tsh\beta$ expression peaking in pars tuberalis (Masumoto et al., 2010)), at an age of 15, 21, 30 212 and 50 days old. Brains were removed with great care to include the stalk of the pituitary 213 containing the pars tuberalis. The hypothalamus with the pars tuberalis were dissected as 214 described in Prendergast et al., 2013: the optic chiasm at the anterior border, the mammillary 215 bodies at the posterior border, and laterally at the hypothalamic sulci. The remaining 216 hypothalamic block was cut dorsally 3-4 mm from the ventral surface. The extracted 217 hypothalamic tissue was flash frozen in liquid N₂ and stored at -80°C until RNA extraction.

Reproductive organs were dissected and cleaned of fat, and wet masses of paired testis, paired
ovary and uterus were measured (±0.0001 g).

220

221 RNA extraction, Reverse Transcription and Real-time quantitative PCR

222 Total RNA was isolated from the dissected part of the hypothalamus using TRIzol reagent 223 according to the manufacturer's protocol (InvitrogenTM, Carlsbad, California, United States). 224 In short, frozen pieces of tissue (~0.02 g) were homogenized in 0.5 ml TRIzol reagent in a 225 TissueLyser II (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) (2 x 2 minutes at 30 Hz) using tubes containing a 226 5mm RNase free stainless-steel bead. Subsequently 0.1 ml chloroform was added for phase 227 separation. Following RNA precipitation by 0.25 ml of 100% isopropanol, the obtained pellet 228 was washed with 0.5 ml of 75% ETOH. Depending on the size, RNA pellets were diluted in an adequate volume of RNase-free H₂O (range 20-50 μ L) and quantified on a Nanodrop 2000 229 230 (ThermoscientificTM, Waltham, Massachusetts, United States). RNA concentrations were 231 between 109-3421 ng/ μ L and ratio of the absorbance at 260/280 nm was between 1.62-2.04. After DNA removal by DNase I treatment (InvitrogenTM, Carlsbad, California, United 232 233 States), equal quantity of RNA from each sample was used for cDNA synthesis by using RevertAid H minus first strand cDNA synthesis reagents (ThermoscientificTM, Waltham, 234 235 Massachusetts, United States). 40 µL Reverse Transcription (RT) reactions were prepared 236 using 2 µg RNA, 100 µM Oligo(dT)₁₈, 5x Reaction buffer, 20 U/µL RiboLock RNase Inhibitor, 10 mM dNTP Mix, RevertAid H Minus Reverse Transcriptase (200 U/µL). 237 238 Concentrations used for RT reactions can be found in the supplementary information (table 239 S1). RNA was reversed transcribed by using a thermal cycler (S1000TM, Bio-Rad, Hercules, 240 California, United States). Incubation conditions used for RT were: 45°C for 60 minutes 241 followed by 70°C for 5 minutes. Transcript levels were quantified by Real-Time qPCR using 242 SYBR Green (KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR Master Mix, Kapa Biosystems). 20 µL (2 µL 243 cDNA + 18 µL Mastermix) reactions were carried out in duplo for each sample by using 96well plates in a Fast Real-Time PCR System (CFX96, Bio-Rad, Hercules, California, United 244 245 States). Primers for genes of interest were designed using Primer-BLAST (NCBI) and 246 optimized annealing temperature (Tm) and primer concentration. All primers used in this 247 study were designed based on the annotated Microtus ochrogaster genome (NCBI:txid79684, 248 GCA_000317375.1), and subsequently checked for gene specificity in the genomes of the 249 common vole (Microtus arvalis) and the tundra vole (Microtus oeconomus), which were 250 published by us on NCBI (NCBI:txid47230, GCA_007455615.1 and NCBI:txid64717, 251 GCA_007455595.1) (tableS2). Thermal cycling conditions used can be found in the

- supplementary information (table S3). Relative mRNA expression levels were calculated
- based on the $\Delta\Delta$ CT method using *Gapdh* as the reference (housekeeping) gene (Pfaffl 2001).
- 255 Statistical analysis

256 Sample size (n = 4) was determined by a power calculation $(\alpha = 0.05, power = 0.80)$ based on the effect size (d = 2.53) of an earlier study, in which gonadal weight was assessed in female 257 258 voles under three different photoperiods (Król et al., 2012). Effects of age, photoperiod and 259 species on body mass, reproductive organs and gene expression levels were determined using 260 a type I two-way ANOVA. Tukey HSD post-hoc pairwise comparisons were used to compare 261 groups at specific ages. Statistical significance was determined at p < 0.05. Statistical results 262 can be found in the supplementary information (table S4). All statistical analyses were performed using RStudio (version 1.2.1335) (R Core Team, 2013), and figures were generated 263 264 using the ggplot2 package (Wickham, 2016).

265

266 <u>Results</u>

267 Body mass responses for males and females

268 Photoperiod during gestation did not affect birth weight in either species (Fig. 3A,B). Both 269 tundra vole males and females grow faster under LP compared to SP conditions (males, $F_{1,303}$ 270 = 15.0, p < 0.001; females, $F_{1,307} = 10.2$, p < 0.01) (Fig. 3A,B). However, no effect of 271 photoperiod on body mass over time was observed in common vole males or females (males, 272 $F_{1,243} = 2.1$, ns; females, $F_{1,234} = 0.6$, ns) (Fig. 3A,B).

273

274 Gonadal responses for males

Common vole males show faster testis growth under LP compared to SP (testis, $F_{1,33} = 17.01$, p < 0.001; GSI, $F_{1,33} = 32.2$, p < 0.001) (Fig. 3C,E). This photoperiodic effect on testis development is less pronounced in tundra voles (testis, $F_{1,35} = 8.3$, p < 0.01; GSI, $F_{1,35} = 9.3$, p< 0.01) (Fig. 3C,E).

- 279
- 280 Gonadal responses for females

Common vole female gonadal weight (i.e. paired ovary + uterus) is slightly higher in the beginning of development (until 30 days old) under SP compared to LP conditions ($F_{1,17} =$ 10.4, p < 0.01) (Fig. 3D), while the opposite effect was observed in tundra voles ($F_{1,36} = 9.0, p$ < 0.01) (Fig. 3D). For both species, these photoperiodic effects disappeared when gonadal mass was corrected for body mass (common vole, $F_{1,17} = 2.5$, ns; tundra vole, $F_{1,36} = 2.3$, ns) (Fig.

286 3F). Interestingly, gonadal weight is significantly increasing in 30-50 days old LP common 287 vole females ($F_{1,5} = 7.7$, p < 0.05) (Fig. 3D), but not in tundra vole ($F_{1,11} = 2.2$, ns) or under SP 288 conditions (common vole, $F_{1,7} = 0$, ns; tundra, $F_{1,7} = 1.0$, ns).







Figure 3. Effects of constant photoperiod on growth and gonadal development. Graphs show body mass
 growth curves for (A) males and (B) females, (C) paired testis weight, (D) paired ovary + uterus weight,

293 (E, F) gonadal development relative to body mass (gonadosomatic index) for common voles (orange circles) and 294 tundra voles (blue triangles), continuously exposed to either LP (open symbols, dashed lines) or SP (closed 295 symbols, solid lines). Lines connect averages representing non-repeated measures. Data are mean±s.e.m. Male 296 tundra vole LP: n=22, male tundra vole SP: n=15, male common vole LP n=19, male common vole SP n=16. 297 female tundra vole LP: n=21, female tundra vole SP: n=17, female common vole LP n=12, female common vole 298 SP n=16. Significant effects (type I two-way ANOVA's, post-hoc Tukey) of photoperiod at specific ages are 299 indicated for tundra voles (blue asterisks) and common voles (orange asterisks). Significant effects of species are 300 indicated by black asterisks. Significant effects of: photoperiod (pp), age (age), species (sp) and interactions are

301 shown in each graph, $p^* < 0.05$, $p^* < 0.01$, $p^* < 0.001$. Statistic results for ANOVA's (photoperiod, age and 302 species) can be found in table S4.

303

304 Photoperiod induced changes in hypothalamic gene expression

Melatonin binds to its receptors in the pars tuberalis where it inhibits $Tsh\beta$ expression. In males of both species, Mtnr1a (Mt1, melatonin receptor) expression in the hypothalamic block with preserved pars tuberalis was highly expressed, but unaffected by photoperiod or age (photoperiod, $F_{1,43} = 0.08$, ns; age, $F_{3,42} = 0.94$, ns) (Fig. 4A). In females, Mtnr1a expression increases approximately 2-fold with age in both species ($F_{3,40} = 9.04$, p < 0.001) (Fig. 4B), but no effects of photoperiod where observed ($F_{1,40} = 1.59$, ns).

311 In males and females of both species, $Tsh\beta$ expression is dramatically elevated under LP throughout development (tundra vole males, $F_{1,27} = 49.3$, p < 0.001; common vole males, 312 313 $F_{1,27} = 21.3, p < 0.001$; tundra vole females, $F_{1,30} = 63.7, p < 0.001$; common vole females, $F_{1,22}$ 314 = 60.9, p < 0.001) (Fig. 4C,D). Furthermore, a clear peak in Tsh β expression is observed in 21day old LP common vole males, while such a peak is lacking in tundra vole males. On the other 315 316 hand, $Tsh\beta$ expression in tundra vole males remains similar over the course of development 317 under LP conditions. In females, photoperiodic responses on $Tsh\beta$ expression did not differ 318 between species ($F_{1,40} = 0.02$, ns).

TSH β binds to its receptor (TSHr) in the tanycytes around the third ventricle. In tundra vole males and females, *Tshr* expression is higher under SP compared to LP (males, $F_{1,27} =$ 23.7, p < 0.001; females, $F_{1,30} = 6.2$, p < 0.05) (Fig. 4E,F), while photoperiodic induced changes in *Tshr* expression are smaller in common vole males and females (males, $F_{1,27} = 23.7$, p <0.01; females, $F_{1,22} = 4.3$, p < 0.05) (Fig. 4E,F). Photoperiodic responses on *Tshr* expression are significantly larger in tundra vole males compared to common vole males ($F_{1,42} = 8.17$, p << 0.01) (Fig. 4E).

In males of both species, the largest photoperiodic effect on *Dio2*, which is increased by TSH β , is found at weaning (day 21), with higher levels under LP compared to SP ($F_{1,42}$ = 14.7, p < 0.001) (Fig. 4G). Interestingly, *Dio3* is lower in these animals ($F_{1,42}$ = 4.8, p < 0.05) (Fig. 4I), leading to a high *Dio2/Dio3* ratio under LP in the beginning of development ($F_{1,42}$ = 8.5, p < 0.01) (Fig. 4K). We find a similar pattern in females, with higher *Dio2* under LP compared to SP at the beginning of development (i.e. day 15) ($F_{3,10}$ = 8.9, p < 0.01) (Fig. 4H).

In males of both species, no effects of photoperiod on Eyes Absent 3 (*Eya3*, transcription factor for the *Tsh* β promoter) (*F*_{1,42} = 1.72, ns), Kisspeptin (*Kiss1*, hypothalamic gene involved in reproduction) (*F*_{1,42} = 2.96, ns) and Neuropeptide VF precursor (*Npvf*, *Rfrp3*, hypothalamic gene involved in seasonal growth and reproduction) ($F_{1,42} = 0.61$, ns) expression were found (Fig. S1A,C,E). In females, both *Kiss1* ($F_{3,40} = 4.82$, p < 0.01) and *Npvf* is higher under LP dependent on age ($F_{3,40} = 3.51$, p < 0.05) (Fig. S1D,F), but there were no effects of

338 photoperiod on *Eya3* ($F_{1,40} = 0.30$, ns (Fig. S1B).



- 340 Figure 4. Effects of constant photoperiod on gene expression levels in the developing hypothalamus. Graphs 341 show relative gene expression levels of (A, B) Mtnr1a, (C, D) Tsh\beta, (E, F) Tshr, (G, H) Dio2, (I, J) Dio3 and (K, 342 L) Dio2/Dio3 expression in the hypothalamus of developing common vole (orange circles) and tundra vole (blue 343 triangles) males and females respectively, under LP (open symbols, dashed lines) or SP (closed symbols, solid 344 lines). Lines connect averages representing non-repeated measures. Data are mean±s.e.m. Male tundra vole LP: 345 n=16, male tundra vole SP: n=13, male common vole LP n=14, male common vole SP n=15. female tundra vole 346 LP: n=16, female tundra vole SP: n=16, female common vole LP n=8, female common vole SP n=16. Significant 347 effects (type I two-way ANOVA's, post-hoc Tukey) of photoperiod at specific ages are indicate for tundra voles 348 (blue asterisks) and common voles (orange asterisks). Significant effects of species are indicated by black 349 asterisks. Significant effects of: photoperiod (pp), age (age), species (sp) and interactions are shown in each graph, 350 *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. Statistic results for ANOVA's (photoperiod, age and species) can be found in 351 table S4.
- 352

A positive correlation between the levels of $Tsh\beta$ and Dio2 expression was found only at the beginning of development (15 days, $F_{1,25} = 12.6$, p < 0.01; 21 days, $F_{1,28} = 4.0$, p < 0.1; 30 days, $F_{1,30} = 0.1$, ns; 50 days, $F_{1,23} = 0.1$, ns) (Fig. 5A-D). Moreover, no significant relationship between Dio2 and Dio3 expression was found (Fig. 5E-H).

- 357
- 358
- 359
- 360



363 364

Figure 5. Relationship between hypothalamic *Dio2*, *Dio3* and *Tsh\beta* expression in voles at different age. Scatterplot of *Tsh\beta* versus *Dio2* gene expression at (A) 15, (B) 21, (C) 30 and (D) 50 days old. Scatterplot of *Dio3* versus *Dio2* gene expression at (E) 15, (F) 21, (G) 30 and (H) 50 days old. Open symbols indicate LP animals, closed symbols indicate SP animals. Blue triangles represent tundra voles, orange circles represent common voles. One outlier in *Dio2* expression was detected by an outlier analysis, however removing the outlier did not change the fitted linear models.

371

372 <u>Discussion</u>

This study demonstrates different effects of constant photoperiod on the PNES in two different 373 374 vole species: the common vole and the tundra vole. Overall, somatic growth is 375 photoperiodically sensitive in the tundra vole while gonadal growth is photoperiodically 376 sensitive in the common vole. Hypothalamic $Tsh\beta$, Tshr, Dio2 and Dio3 expression is highly 377 affected by photoperiod and age, and some species differences were observed in the magnitude 378 of these effects. Although the differences found between both vole species may provide 379 interesting information on variation in annual timing, the data should be interpreted with 380 caution because we cannot exclude relaxation of natural selection in our laboratory colonies.

381

382 Photoperiod induced changes in somatic growth and gonadal development

383 These data demonstrate that photoperiod early in life affects pup growth in tundra vole (Fig. 384 3A), and reproductive development in common vole males (Fig. 3C,E). In females, a similar 385 photoperiodic effect on somatic growth is observed as in males. Tundra vole females grow 386 faster under LP compared to SP, while there is no difference in growth rate between LP and 387 SP in the common vole (Fig. 3B). In the tundra vole, somatic growth is plastic, whereas, in the 388 common vole, gonadal growth is plastic. Garden dormouse (Eliomys quercinus) born late in 389 the season grow and fatten twice as fast as early born animals (Stumpfel et al., 2017), in order 390 to partly compensate for the limited time before winter onset. This overwintering strategy 391 might be favorable for animals with a short breeding season (i.e. at high latitude), and may also 392 be used in tundra voles since they gain weight faster when raised under LP (i.e. late in the 393 season) compared to SP (i.e. early in the season). Southern arvicoline species have longer 394 breeding seasons (Tkadlec, 2000), and therefore have more time left to compensate body mass 395 when born late in the season. Therefore, somatic growth rate may depend to a lesser extent on 396 the timing of birth in southern species as observed in common voles raised under SP or LP.

Common vole female gonadal weight is slightly higher under SP compared to LP at the
beginning of development (Fig. 3D,F). In contrast, in Siberian hamsters, uterus weight is

399 increased after 3 weeks of constant LP exposure, which continued throughout development 400 (Ebling, 1994; Phalen et al., 2009). In common voles, female gonadal weight is increasing from 401 day 30 to day 50 in LP animals, whereas gonadal weight in SP females remains the same (Fig. 402 3D,F). Also, tundra vole female gonadal weight is not increased in this period of development 403 under both LP and SP conditions. Puberty onset, based on gonadal weight, in common voles is 404 later in time compared to Siberian hamsters (Phalen et al., 2009), while earlier in time 405 compared to tundra voles. Therefore, LP common voles increase gonadal weight earlier in 406 development (i.e. > 30 days old) compared to LP tundra voles (i.e. > 50 days old), in order to 407 increase reproductive activity and prepare for pregnancy. An alternative hypothesis is that the 408 tundra vole may sense 16:8 not as too short for spring stimulation of reproduction, but rather 409 as too long to switch off reproduction in autumn. These results suggest that tundra vole females 410 have a different reproductive onset compared to common vole females under constant 411 photoperiods. However, based on our data we cannot conclude whether the timing of the breeding season is different between those species, since we did not use naturally changing 412 413 photoperiods to simulate different seasons. This can be tested by exposing voles to a broader 414 range of different photoperiod regimes, mimicking spring and autumn photoperiod conditions 415 in the laboratory. Our data shows that the common vole invests more energy into gonadal 416 growth, whereas the tundra vole invests more energy into body mass growth independent of 417 gonadal growth under LP. This suggests that both body mass growth and gonadal development 418 are plastic and can be differentially affected by photoperiod, perhaps through different 419 mechanisms. In Siberian hamsters, the growth hormone (GH) axis is involved in photoperiodic 420 regulation of body mass (Dumbell et al., 2015; Scherbarth et al., 2015). Our results indicate a 421 different role for the GH-axis in seasonal body mass regulation in tundra voles and common 422 voles.

423

424 Photoperiod induced changes in hypothalamic gene expression

425 Common vole males show a clear photoperiodic response in both hypothalamic gene 426 expression and gonadal activation. Genes in the female PNES are strongly regulated by 427 photoperiod, which is not reflected in gonadal growth. In tundra voles, PNES gene expression 428 profiles change accordingly to photoperiod, however the gonadal response is less sensitive to 429 photoperiod, which is similar to the photoperiodic response observed in house mice (Masumoto 430 et al., 2010). Because the tundra vole is more common at high latitudes, where they live in 431 tunnels covered by snow in winter and early spring, photoperiodic information might be 432 blocked during a large part of the year for these animals (Evernden and Fuller, 1972; Korslund, 433 2006). For this reason, other environmental cues, such as metabolic status, may integrate in the

434 PNES in order to regulate the gonadal response and therefore timing of reproduction.

435

436 Photoperiod induced changes in $Tsh\beta$ sensitivity

In both vole species $Tsh\beta$ expression is higher under LP conditions during all stages of development (Fig. 4C,D), which is in agreement with previous studies in other mammals, birds and fish (for review see Dardente et al., 2014; Nakane and Yoshimura, 2019). We sampled 17 hours after lights off, when $Tsh\beta$ expression is peaking. EYA3 is a transcription factor that binds to the $Tsh\beta$ promoter, which promotes transcription. Perhaps we sampled too late in order to find photoperiodic induced changes in *Eya3* expression, (Fig. S1A,B), since in mice *Eya3* is peaking 12 hours after lights off under LP conditions (Masumoto et al., 2010).

444 TSH binds to its receptor in the tanycytes around the third ventricle. Although, less 445 pronounced in common voles, elevated Tshr expression under SP (Fig. 4E,F) may be caused 446 by low $Tsh\beta$ levels in the same animals (Fig. 4C,D). In a previous study, a similar relationship 447 between *Tshr* and *Tsh* β expression in the pars tuberalis and medial basal hypothalamus (MBH) 448 of Siberian hamsters has been observed (Sáenz de Miera et al., 2017). In our study, the 449 ependymal paraventricular zone (PVZ) around the third ventricle of the brain and the pars 450 tuberalis are both included in samples for RNA extraction and qPCR, therefore, we cannot 451 distinguish between these two brain areas. Brains were collected 17 hours after lights off, when 452 Tshr mRNA levels in the pars tuberalis and PVZ are predicted to be similar based on studies 453 in sheep (Hanon et al., 2008). Similar circadian expression patterns are expected in brains of 454 seasonal long-day breeding rodents. Therefore, the observed increase in Tshr expression in SP 455 voles, of both species and sexes, (Fig. 4E,F) may relate to high TSH density in the tanycytes 456 lining the third ventricle, which might lead to increased TSH sensitivity later in life. The high 457 Tshr expression in voles developing under SP (Fig. 4E,F) may favour a heightened sensitivity 458 to increasing TSH, photoperiods increase later in life. This in turn would promote increased 459 DIO2 and decreased DIO3 levels in spring. Interestingly, photoperiodic responses on Tshr are 460 more pronounced in tundra voles than in common voles, suggesting that tundra voles are more 461 sensitive to TSH protein when raised under SP. However, TSH is a dimer of α GSU and TSH β , 462 and we did not measure α GSU levels in this study.

463 Our vole lab populations are originally from the same latitude in the Netherlands (53°N)
464 where both populations overlap. This is for the common vole the center (mid-latitude) of its
465 distribution range, while our lab tundra voles are from a relict population at the lower boundary

of its geographical range, which is an extension for this species to operate at southern limits.
For this reason, local adaptation of the PNES may have evolved differently in the two species.
The elevated *Tshr* expression and therefore the possible higher sensitivity to photoperiod in
tundra voles raised under SP, might favour photoperiodic induction of reproduction earlier in
the spring. This might be a strategy to cope with the extremely early spring onset at the low
latitude for this relict tundra vole population.

Interestingly, the large peak in $Tsh\beta$ expression (Fig. 4C) that is only observed in 21day old LP common vole males may be responsible for the drastic increase in testis weight when animals are 30 days old. Faster testis growth in LP common vole males (Fig. 3C) might be induced by the 2-3 fold higher $Tsh\beta$ levels compared to LP tundra vole males (Fig. 4C). However, this data have to be interpreted with caution since the current study only considered gene expression levels and did not investigate protein levels.

The reduced Tshr expression under LP early in life (Fig. 4E,F) may be induced by 478 479 epigenetic mechanisms, such as increased levels of DNA methylation in the promoter of this 480 gene, which will reduce its transcription. A role for epigenetic regulation of seasonal 481 reproduction has been proposed based on studies of the adult hamster hypothalamus (Stevenson 482 and Prendergast, 2013). In order to study the effects of photoperiodic programming in 483 development, DNA methylation patterns of specific promoter regions of photoperiodic genes 484 at different circadian time points need to be studied in animals exposed to different 485 environmental conditions earlier in development.

486

487 *Photoperiod induced changes in hypothalamic Dio2/Dio3 expression*

488 The photoperiodic induced $Tsh\beta$ and Tshr expression patterns are only reflected in the 489 downstream *Dio2/Dio3* expression differences in the beginning of development (Fig. 4K,L), 490 suggesting that this part of the pathway is sensitive to TSH at a very young age. However, *Dio2* 491 and *Dio3* are also responsive to metabolic status, which can change as a consequence of 492 changing DIO2/DIO3 levels. Tundra and common vole females show similar photoperiodic 493 induced $Tsh\beta$ patterns, while photoperiodic responses on Tshr are larger in tundra voles. The 494 higher Tshr levels in tundra voles may be responsible for the higher Dio2, and lower Dio3 495 levels in tundra vole females compared to common vole females. However, the photoperiodic 496 induced differences in gene expression levels between species is not reflected in female 497 gonadal weight, indicating that additional signaling pathways are involved in regulating ovary

and uterus growth. In males, *Dio2/Dio3* patterns are mainly determined by photoperiod, while
different photoperiodic responses between species are lacking.

500 *Dio2* and *Tsh* β expression correlate only at the beginning of development (i.e. 15 and 501 21 days old) (Fig. 5A-D). These results are partly in agreement with the effects of constant 502 photoperiod on hypothalamic gene expression in the Siberian hamster, showing induction of 503 Dio2 at birth when gestated under LP, and induction of Dio3 at 15 days old when exposed to 504 SP (Sáenz de Miera et al., 2017). Furthermore, it is thought that *Dio2/Dio3* expression profiles 505 will shift due to both photoperiodic and metabolic changes rather than by constant conditions. 506 Also, negative feedback on the Dio2/Dio3 system might be induced by changes in metabolic 507 status. In wild populations of Brandt's voles (Lasiopodomys brandtii), seasonal regulation of 508 these genes, show elevated *Dio2/Dio3* ratios in spring under natural photoperiods, suggesting 509 the crucial role for those genes in determining the onset of the breeding season in wild 510 populations (Wang et al., 2019).

511

512 Photoperiod induced changes in hypothalamic Kiss1 and Npvf expression

In females, both *Kiss1* and *Npvf* expression is higher under LP dependent on age (Fig. S1D,F), whereas in males no effects of photoperiod on these genes are found (Fig. S1C,E). Other studies report inconsistent photoperiodic/seasonal effects on ARC *Kiss1* expression in different species, which may be related to a negative sex steroid feedback on *Kiss1* expressing neurons (for review see, Simonneaux, 2020). For this reason, sex and species dependent levels of steroid negative feedback on both *Kiss1* and *Rfrp* expressing neurons in the caudal hypothalamus are expected.

520

521 In conclusion, our data show that somatic growth is photoperiodic sensitive in the tundra vole 522 while gonadal growth is photoperiodic sensitive in the common vole. Our finding that the SP 523 induced *Tshr* expression is more pronounced in the developing hypothalamus of the tundra 524 vole, may lead to the expectation that programming of TSH sensitivity is an important 525 regulator of the PNES in this species. Reproductive development seems to be more 526 dominated by photoperiodic responses in the common vole than in the tundra vole. It is not 527 excluded that the PNES of the tundra vole has lost its photoperiodic capacity and instead 528 adopted responses to other environmental variables in its post-glacial relict population at the 529 southern edge of its distribution. This opens the possibility that the tundra vole has a stronger 530 response to other environmental cues (e.g. temperature, food, snow cover). Both vole species

- 531 develop their PNES differently, depending on photoperiod early in development, indicating
- that they use environmental cues differently to time reproduction.
- 533
- 534 <u>Acknowledgements</u>
- 535 We would like to thank Saskia Helder for her valuable help in animal care.
- 536
- 537 <u>Competing interests</u>
- 538 No competing interests declared
- 539
- 540 <u>Funding</u>
- 541 This work was funded by the Adaptive Life program of the University of Groningen (B050216
- 542 to LvR and RAH), and by the Arctic University of Norway (to JvD and DGH).

- 543 <u>References</u>
- 544 Baker, J. (1938). The evolution of breeding seasons. *Evol. Essays Asp. Evol. Biol.* 161–177.
- 545 Caro, S. P., Schaper, S. V., Hut, R. A., Ball, G. F. and Visser, M. E. (2013). The Case of
 546 the Missing Mechanism: How Does Temperature Influence Seasonal Timing in
 547 Endotherms? *PLoS Biol.* 11,.
- 548 Dalum, J. Van, Melum, V. J., Wood, S. H. and Hazlerigg, D. G. (2020). Maternal
 549 photoperiodic programming: melatonin and seasonal synchronization before birth.
- 550 Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne). 10, 1–7.
- Dardente, H., Wyse, C. A., Birnie, M. J., Dupré, S. M., Loudon, A. S. I., Lincoln, G. A.
 and Hazlerigg, D. G. (2010). A molecular switch for photoperiod responsiveness in
 mammals. *Curr. Biol.* 20, 2193–2198.
- Dardente, H., Hazlerigg, D. G. and Ebling, F. J. P. (2014). Thyroid hormone and seasonal
 rhythmicity. *Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne).* 5, 1–11.
- Dardente, H., Wood, S., Ebling, F. and Sáenz de Miera, C. (2018). An integrative view of
 mammalian seasonal neuroendocrinology. *J. Neuroendocrinol.* 31,.
- Dumbell, R. A., Scherbarth, F., Diedrich, V., Schmid, H. A., Steinlechner, S. and
 Barrett, P. (2015). Somatostatin Agonist Pasireotide Promotes a Physiological State
- Resembling Short-Day Acclimation in the Photoperiodic Male Siberian Hamster
 (Phodopus sungorus). *J. Neuroendocrinol.* 27, 588–599.
- (1 hodopus sungorus). 5. Wear benaber mot. 21, 566–555.
- 562 Ebling, F. J. P. (1994). Photoperiodic differences during development in the dwarf hamsters
 563 phodopus sungorus and phodopus campbelli. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 95, 475–482.
- 564 Evernden, L. N. and Fuller, W. A. (1972). Light alteration caused by snow and its
 565 importance to subnivean rodents. *J. Zool.* 50, 1023–1032.
- 566 Gall, C. Von, Stehle, J. H. and Weaver, D. R. (2002). Mammalian melatonin receptors:
- 567 molecular biology and signal transduction. *Cell Tissue Res* **309**, 151–162.
- 568 Gall, C. V. O. N., Weaver, D. R., Moek, J., Jilg, A., Stehle, J. H. and Korf, H.-W. (2005).
- Melatonin Plays a Crucial Role in the Regulation of Rhythmic Clock Gene Expression
 in the Mouse Pars Tuberalis. *ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 511, 508–511.
- 571 Gerkema, M. P., Daan, S., Wilbrink, M., Hop, M. W. and Van Der Leest, F. (1993).
- 572 Phase control of ultradian feeding rhythms in the common vole (Microtus arvalis): The
- 573 roles of light and the circadian system. J. Biol. Rhythms 8, 151–171.
- 574 Guerra, M., Blázquez, J. L., Peruzzo, B., Peláez, B., Rodríguez, S., Toranzo, D., Pastor,
- 575 **F. and Rodríguez, E. M.** (2010). Cell organization of the rat pars tuberalis. Evidence
- 576 for open communication between pars tuberalis cells, cerebrospinal fluid and tanycytes.

- 577 *Cell Tissue Res.* **339**, 359–381.
- 578 Hanon, E. A., Lincoln, G. A., Fustin, J.-M., Dardente, H., Masson-Pévet, M., Morgan, P.
- J. and Hazlerigg, D. G. (2008). Ancestral TSH mechanism signals summer in a
 photoperiodic mammal. *Curr. Biol.* 18, 1147–1152.
- Hoffmann, K. (1973). Effects of short photoperiods on puberty, growth and moult in the
 Djungarian hamster (Phodopus sungorus). *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 54, 29–35.
- Horton, T. H. (1984a). Growth and reproductive development of male Microtus montanus is
 affected by the prenatal photoperiod. *Biol. Reprod.* 31, 499–504.
- Horton, T. H. (1984b). Growth and reproductive is affected development by the prenatal of
 male Microtus montanus photoperiod. *Biol. Reprod.* 504, 499–504.
- 587 Horton, T. H. (1985). Cross-fostering of Voles Demonstrates In Utero Effect of Photoperiod.
 588 *Biol. Reprod.* 33, 934–939.
- Horton, T. H. and Stetson, M. H. (1992). Maternal transfer of photoperiodic information in
 rodents. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* 30, 29–44.
- Hut, R. A. (2011). Photoperiodism : Shall EYA Compare Thee to a Summer's Day? *Curr*. *Biol.* 21, R22–R25.
- Hut, R. A., Paolucci, S., Dor, R., Kyriacou, C. P. and Daan, S. (2013). Latitudinal clines:
 an evolutionary view on biological rhythms. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 280, 20130433–
 20130433.
- Klosen, P., Hicks, D., Pevet, P. and Felder-Schmittbuhl, M. P. (2019). MT1 and MT2
 melatonin receptors are expressed in nonoverlapping neuronal populations. *J. Pineal Res.* 67, 1–19.
- Korslund, L. (2006). Activity of root voles (Microtus oeconomus) under snow: Social
 encounters synchronize individual activity rhythms. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 61, 255–
 263.
- Król, E., Douglas, A., Dardente, H., Birnie, M. J., Vinne, V. van der, Eijer, W. G.,
 Gerkema, M. P., Hazlerigg, D. G. and Hut, R. A. (2012). Strong pituitary and
- 604 hypothalamic responses to photoperiod but not to 6-methoxy-2-benzoxazolinone in
- female common voles (Microtus arvalis). *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **179**, 289–295.
- Lechan, R. M. and Fekete, C. (2005). Role of thyroid hormone deiodination in the
 hypothalamus. *Thyroid* 15, 883–897.
- Magner, J. A. (1990). Thyroid-Stimulating Hormone: Biosynthesis, Cell Biology, and
 Bioactivity. 11, 354–385.
- 610 Malyshev, A. V, Henry, H. A. L. and Kreyling, J. (2014). Relative effects of temperature

- 611 vs photoperiod on growth and cold acclimation of northern and southern ecotypes of the
- 612 grass Arrhenatherum elatius. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **106**, 189–196.
- 613 Masumoto, K. H., Ukai-Tadenuma, M., Kasukawa, T., Nagano, M., Uno, K. D., Tsujino,
- K., Horikawa, K., Shigeyoshi, Y. and Ueda, H. R. (2010). Acute induction of Eya3 by
 late-night light stimulation triggers TSHβ expression in photoperiodism. *Curr. Biol.* 20,
 2199–2206.
- Nakane, Y. and Yoshimura, T. (2019). Photoperiodic Regulation of Reproduction in
 Vertebrates. *Annu. Rev. Anim. Biosci.* 7, 173–94.
- Nakao, N., Ono, H., Yamamura, T., Anraku, T., Takagi, T., Higashi, K., Yasuo, S.,
 Katou, Y., Kageyama, S., Uno, Y., et al. (2008). Thyrotrophin in the pars tuberalis
 triggers photoperiodic response. *Nature* 452, 317–322.
- 622 Ono, H., Hoshino, Y., Yasuo, S., Watanabe, M., Nakane, Y., Murai, A., Ebihara, S.,
- Korf, H.-W. and Yoshimura, T. (2008). Involvement of thyrotropin in photoperiodic
 signal transduction in mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 105, 18238–18242.
- Peacock, J. M. (1976). Temperature and leaf growth in four grass species. J. Appl. Ecol. 13,
 225–232.
- Pfaffl, M. W. (2001). A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29, 16–21.
- 629 Phalen, A. N., Wexler, R., Cruickshank, J., Park, S. and Place, N. J. (2009). Photoperiod-
- 630 induced differences in uterine growth in Phodopus sungorus are evident at an early age
- 631 when serum estradiol and uterine estrogen receptor levels are not different. *Comp.*
- 632 Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 155, 115–121.
- Prendergast, B. J., Gorman, M. R. and Zucker, I. (2000). Establishment and persistence of
 photoperiodic memory in hamsters. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 97, 5586–5591.
- 635 Prendergast, B. J., Pyter, L. M., Kampf-Lassin, A., Patel, P. N. and Stevenson, T. J.
- 636 (2013). Rapid induction of hypothalamic iodothyronine deiodinase expression by
- 637 photoperiod and melatonin in juvenile Siberian hamsters (Phodopus sungorus).
- 638 *Endocrinology* **154**, 831–841.
- 639 **Robson, M. J.** (1967). A comparison of british and North African varieties of tall fescue
- 640 (Festuca arundinacea). I. Leaf growth during winter and the effects on it of temperature
- 641 and daylength. J. Appl. Ecol. 4, 475–484.
- 642 Sáenz de Miera, C., Bothorel, B., Jaeger, C., Simonneaux, V. and Hazlerigg, D. (2017).
- 643 Maternal photoperiod programs hypothalamic thyroid status via the fetal pituitary gland.
- 644 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 114, 8408–8413.

645	Sáenz de Miera, C., Beymer, M., Routledge, K., Król, E., Selman, C., Hazlerigg, D. G.
646	and Simonneaux, V. (2020). Photoperiodic regulation in a wild-derived mouse strain. J.
647	<i>Exp. Biol.</i> 223 , 1–9.
648	Sáenz De Miera, C. (2019). Maternal photoperiodic programming enlightens the internal
649	regulation of thyroid-hormone deiodinases in tanycytes. J. Neuroendocrinol. 31, 12679.
650	Sáenz De Miera, C., Monecke, S., Bartzen-Sprauer, J., Laran-Chich, M. P., Pévet, P.,
651	Hazlerigg, D. G. and Simonneaux, V. (2014). A circannual clock drives expression of
652	genes central for seasonal reproduction. Curr. Biol. 24, 1500-1506.
653	Scherbarth, F., Diedrich, V., Dumbell, R. A., Schmid, H. A., Steinlechner, S. and
654	Barrett, P. (2015). Somatostatin receptor activation is involved in the control of daily
655	torpor in a seasonal mammal. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 309, 668–674.
656	Simonneaux, V. (2020). A Kiss to drive rhythms in reproduction. Eur. J. Neurosci. 51, 509–
657	530.
658	Stetson, M. H., Elliott, J. A. and Goldman, B. D. (1986). Maternal transfer of
659	photoperiodic information influences the photoperiodic response of prepubertal
660	Djungarian hamsters (Phodopus sungorus sungorus). Biol. Reprod. 34, 664-669.
661	Stevenson, T. J. and Prendergast, B. J. (2013). Reversible DNA methylation regulates
662	seasonal photoperiodic time measurement. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 110, 4644-4646.
663	Stumpfel, S., Bieber, C., Blanc, S., Ruf, T. and Giroud, S. (2017). Differences in growth
664	rates and pre-hibernation body mass gain between early and late-born juvenile garden
665	dormice. J. Comp. Physiol. B 187, 253–263.
666	Team, R. C. (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Found. Stat.
667	Comput. Vienna, Austria.
668	Tkadlec, E. (2000). The effects of seasonality on variation in the length of breeding season
669	in arvicoline rodents. Folia Zool. 49, 269–286.
670	van de Zande, L., van Apeldoorn, R. C., Blijdenstein, A. F., de Jong, D., van Delden, W.
671	and Bijlsma, R. (2000). Microsatellite analysis of population structure and genetic
672	differentiation within and between populations of the root vole, Microtus oeconomus in
673	the Netherlands. Mol. Ecol. 9, 1651–1656.
674	Wang, D., Li, N., Tian, L., Ren, F., Li, Z., Chen, Y., Liu, L., Hu, X., Zhang, X., Song, Y.,
675	et al. (2019). Dynamic expressions of hypothalamic genes regulate seasonal breeding in
676	a natural rodent population. Mol. Ecol. 28, 3508–3522.
677	Wickham, H. (2016). ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New
678	York.

- Williams, L. M. and Morgan, P. J. (1988). Demonstration of melatonin-binding sites on the
 pars tuberalis of the rat. *J. Endocrinol.* 119, 1–3.
- 681 Wood, S. H., Christian, H. C., Miedzinska, K., Saer, B. R. C., Johnson, M., Paton, B.,
- 682 Yu, L., McNeilly, J., Davis, J. R. E., McNeilly, A. S., et al. (2015). Binary switching
- of calendar cells in the pituitary defines the phase of the circannual cycle in mammals.
- 684 *Curr. Biol.* **25**, 2651–2662.
- Kellon, S. M. and Goldman, B. D. (1984). Photoperiod Control of Reproductive
 Development in the Male Djungarian Hamster (Phodopus sungorus)*. *Endocrinology*114, 664–670.
- 688
- 689
- 690 Supplementary information
- 691 Table S1
- 692 Preparation 40 µL Reversed-Transcription reactions concentrations of components used for RT

Component	Stock concentration	Final concentration
Oligo(dT) ₁₈	100 μΜ	5 μΜ
5X Reaction buffer	5X	1X
RiboLock RNase Inhibitor	20 U/µL	1 U/µL
dNTP Mix	10 mM	1 mM
RevertAid H Minus Reverse	200 U/µL	10 U/µL
Transcriptase		
Template RNA	0.1 μg/μl	1 µg/µl

693

694

695 Table S2

- 696 Primers used for qPCR. Primer sequences were gene specific for M. arvalis and M. oeconomus, except for Tshß
- 697 reversed and Tshr forward for M. arvalis, and Dio3 forward and Eya3 reversed for M. oeconomus, which differ
- 698 *in 1 nucleotide from the used primers.*

Gene	Forward primer sequence ('5-'3)	Reverse primer sequence ('5-'3)
Dio2	CAGCCAACTCCGGACTTCTT	GCCGACTTCCTGTTGGTGTA
Dio3	CAAGCATTTCCTGCGTCGTC	GATACGCAGATGGGTGGGTC
Dnmt1	TAGCCACCAAACGAAGACCC	GTTCGAGCCGCCTTTTTCTC
Dnmt3a	GAGAGGGAACTGAGACCCCA	CCCGTTTCCGTTTGCTGATG
ЕуаЗ	TGTTGGGTTCACACTCCCTG	GGGCAAAGTAAGCAGGTGTA

Gapdh	GCTGCCCAGAACATCATCCCTG	GACGACGGACACATTGGGGGTA
Kiss1	CCATGCCCACCGGTTGAGAG	GCCGAAGGAGTTCCAGTTGT
Mtnr1a	ATCGCCATTAACCGCTACTG	GAGAGTTCCGGTTTGCAGGT
Npvf	AGGCAGGGATCTTGAACCAC	TCTCTGTAGCCAGCGACTCA
Tshβ	GCTTATGGCAACAGGGTAGGA	AATACGCGCTCTCCCAGGAT
Tshr	ATCCCCAGTCTCGCGTTTTC	GCTTCTGGTGTTGCGGATTT

701 Table S3

702 Thermal cycling conditions for qPCR.

qPCR step	T (°C)	Duration (seconds)	Cycles	703
Enzyme activation	95	180	Hold	704
Denaturation	95	3	40	705
Annealing/	60	20	40	706
extension/ data				707
acquisition				708
Dissociation	95	3		709
	65	5		710
	95	15		711
				712

	body ma	ass (m)			gonads	(m)			GSI (m)				
	Df	SS	F	р	Df	SS	F	р	Df	SS	F	p	
pp	1,66		22.5261	< 0.001	1,56	0.4619	118.426	< 0.001	1,56	7.172	132.347	< 0.001	
age	1,76		320.7922	< 0.001	3,56	0.9478	80.998	< 0.001	3,56	8.307	51.101	< 0.001	
species	1,66		58.5611	< 0.001	1,56	0.0337	8.641	< 0.01	1,56	0.247	4.551	< 0.05	
pp:age	1.76		6.8905	< 0.001	3,56	0.1169	9.994	< 0.001	3,56	1.042	6.411	< 0.001	
pp:species	1.66		7,9873	< 0.05	1.56	0.0011	0.276	ns	1.56	1.033	19.060	< 0.001	
age:species	1.76		44.6027	< 0.001	3.56	0.0352	3.012	< 0.05	3,56	0.028	0.171	ns	
np:age:species	1,76		0.0826	ns	3 56	0.0028	0.238	< 0.05 ns	3 56	0.354	2 175	ns	
ppiugenspecies	Mtnrla	(m)	010020	110	Tshh (r	n)	0.200		Tshr (r	n)	21170	110	
	Df	(III) SS	F	n		u) SS	F	n		Df SS F n			
	1 42	0.12	1 0.090	<i>p</i>	1 42	65.07	1 70 000	p < 0.001	1 42	4 202	1 22 264	p < 0.001	
pp	1,42	0.12	0.080	IIS	1,42	03.07	10.022	< 0.001	1,42	4.303	35.504	< 0.001	
age	5,42	4.23	0.930	IIS	5,42	11.43	4.023	< 0.01	5,42	1.015	4.170	< 0.03	
species	1,42	4.37	2.899	ns	1,42	4.15	5.028	< 0.05	1,42	9.763	/5./09	< 0.001	
pp:age	3,42	0.85	0.188	ns	3,42	9.18	3.708	< 0.05	3,42	0.690	1.783	ns	
pp:species	1,42	2.53	1.6/6	ns	1,42	2.55	3.084	ns	1,42	1.053	8.165	< 0.01	
age:species	3,42	1.17	0.258	ns	3,42	7.26	2.933	< 0.05	3,42	0.320	0.827	ns	
pp:age:species	3,42	6.03	1.333	ns	3,42	8.91	3.596	< 0.05	3,42	0.953	2.464	ns	
	Dio2 (m	.)			<i>Dio3</i> (n	n)			Dio2/D	<i>io3</i> (m)			
	Df	SS	F	р	Df	SS	F	р	Df	SS	F	р	
pp	1,42	1.409	14.702	< 0.001	1,42	41.7	4.838	< 0.05	1,42	10.25	8.537	< 0.01	
age	3,42	0.771	2.683	ns	3,42	74.6	2.885	< 0.05	3,42	7.18	1.994	ns	
species	1,42	0.018	0.188	ns	1,42	7.6	0.878	ns	1,42	0.32	0.267	ns	
pp:age	3,42	0.418	1.456	ns	3,42	3.3	0.129	ns	3,42	2.74	0.760	ns	
pp:species	1,42	0.002	0.017	ns	1,42	10.1	1.173	ns	1,42	0.01	0.008	ns	
age:species	3.42	0.540	1.877	ns	3.42	14.1	0.545	ns	3.42	5.82	1.617	ns	
np:age:species	3.42	4.025	0.897	ns	3.42	6.0	0.233	ns	3.42	3.94	1.095	ns	
ppiugeispeeres	Eva3 (m))	01077	110	Kiss1 (1	n)	01200		Nnvf (r	n)	11070	110	
	Df	50 20	F	n	Df	22	F	n	Df	55	F	n	
22	1 42	3 17	1 722	P ns	1 42	33 737	2 056	P no	1 42	0.253	0.606	P ns	
pp	2 42	22.40	2 716		2.42	5002	2.930	< 0.001	2 42	12 760	10.000	< 0.001	
age	5,42	22.49	3.710	< 0.03	3,42	1252	21.160	< 0.001	5,42	12.709	0.203	< 0.001	
species	1,42	90.00	47.928	< 0.001	1,42	1252	15.021	< 0.001	1,42	0.280	0.672	ns	
pp:age	3,42	2.22	0.367	ns	3,42	240	0.998	ns	3,42	0.572	0.457	ns	
pp:species	1,42	3.73	1.850	ns	1,42	186	2.325	ns	1,42	0.056	0.134	ns	
age:species	3,42	12.50	2.066	ns	3,42	172	0.715	ns	3,42	1.061	0.848	ns	
pp:age:species	3,42	0.15	0.025	ns	3,42	80	0.331	ns	3,42	2.373	1.896	ns	
	Dnmt1 (2	m)			Dnmt3c	ı (m)							
	Df	SS	F	D	Df	SS	F	n					
				r				P					
pp	1,42	1.19	0.676	ns	1,42	1.41	0.767	r ns					
pp age	1,42 3,42	1.19 76.07	0.676 14.377	ns < 0.001	1,42 3,42	1.41 3.58	0.767 0.651	P ns ns					
pp age species	1,42 3,42 1,42	1.19 76.07 7.79	0.676 14.377 4.419	ns < 0.001 < 0.05	1,42 3,42 1,42	1.41 3.58 11.78	0.767 0.651 6.413	P ns ns < 0.05					
pp age species pp:age	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796	ns < 0.001 < 0.05 ns	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350	r ns < 0.05 ns					
pp age species pp:age pp:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886	ns < 0.001 < 0.05 ns ns	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023	r ns < 0.05 ns ns					
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72	$\begin{array}{c} 0.676 \\ 14.377 \\ 4.419 \\ 0.796 \\ 1.886 \\ 0.892 \end{array}$	ns < 0.001 < 0.05 ns ns ns	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558	r ns ns c 0.05 ns ns ns ns					
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 3,42	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008	ns < 0.001 < 0.05 ns ns < 0.05	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 3,42	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401	r ns s ns ns ns ns ns ns ns					
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 3,42 body m	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008	ns < 0.001 < 0.05 ns ns < 0.05	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 3,42	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401	r ns < 0.05 ns ns ns ns ns	GSI (f)				
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 3,42 body ma	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f)	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008	ns < 0.001 < 0.05 ns ns < 0.05	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 gonads	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401	r ns < 0.05 ns ns ns ns	GSI (f)	22	F	2	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008	$p = \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i>	<i>p</i> ns	GSI (f) Df	SS 0.00002	F 0.0111	p	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169 3274	$p = \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0000542	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737	p ns ns < 0.05 ns ns ns ns $< p$ ns < 0.05	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3 50	SS 0.00002 0.04933	F 0.0111 8 281	<i>p</i> 	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4062	$p = \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0000542 0.0000542	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737 7.216	p ns ns < 0.05 ns ns ns ns < 0.05 < 0.01	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05091	F 0.0111 8.281 25 502	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0208	ns < 0.001 < 0.05 ns ns < 0.05 p < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50 1,50	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0004270	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737 7.316	P ns s s s ns ns s s s s s s s s s s s s	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 2,50	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.450	<i>p</i> < 0.001 < 0.001	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp age species pp:age	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 0.0344	ns < 0.001 < 0.05 ns ns < 0.05 p < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 ns	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0003350 0.00003350	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.225	<i>p</i> <i>p</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i>	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052	<i>p</i> < 0.001 < 0.001 ns	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age species pp:age pp:age	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 12.0245	ns < 0.001 < 0.05 ns ns < 0.05 p < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 ns < 0.01 ns	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0004222	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.235 2.022	<i>p</i> <i>p</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i>	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.005	<i>p</i> < 0.001 < 0.001 ns < 0.05 : 0.05	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age species pp:age pp:species age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245	ns < 0.001 < 0.05 ns ns < 0.05 p < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 ns < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0005309	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.235 3.033 1.050	P ns s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995	<i>p</i> < 0.001 < 0.001 ns < 0.05 < 0.05	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,78	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721	ns < 0.001 < 0.05 ns ns < 0.05 p < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 ns < 0.001 ns	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 3,50	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0005309 0.0003238	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.235 3.033 1.850	P ns s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 3,50 3,50	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101	p	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age species pp:age pp:species age:species age:species age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,78	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721	ns < 0.001 < 0.05 ns ns < 0.05	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 3,50 3,50 Tshβ (ft	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0005309 0.0003238	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.235 3.033 1.850	P ns s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 3,50 Tshr (f	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101	p	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age species pp:age pp:species age:species age:species pp:age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 Df 1,60 1,78 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,78 1,78 1,78 1,78 1,78 1,78 1,78	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721 <i>F</i>	P (0.001 (0.05) ns ns (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.001 (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.05) (0.001)	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 \mathbf{gonads} Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 $\mathbf{J},50$ 3,50 $\mathbf{J},50$ $\mathbf{J},50$ 3,50 $\mathbf{J},50$ 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0005309 0.0003238) SS	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.235 3.033 1.850 <i>F</i>	P ns ns s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 3,50 Tshr (f Df	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251) SS	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101 F	$p \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ ns \\ < 0.05 \\ < 0.05 \\ ns \\ p$	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age species pp:age pp:species age:species age:species pp:age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,40 1,78	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS (f) SS 1.59	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721 <i>F</i> 1.593	P (0.001 (0.05) ns ns (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.001 (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.05) (0.001)	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 \mathbf{gonads} Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0005309 0.0003238) SS 128.65	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.235 3.033 1.850 <i>F</i> 127.264	P ns ns < 0.05 ns ns ns ns ns < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.01 < 0.05 < 0.01 < 0.05 = 0.05 < 0.01 < 0.05 ns	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 3,50 Tshr (f) Df 1,40	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251) SS 0.869	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101 F 4.687	$p \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.05 \\ < 0.05 \\ < 0.05 \\ ns \\ p \\ < 0.05 \\ $	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,40 3,40	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS (f) SS 1.59 27.14	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721 <i>F</i> 1.593 9.041	r s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 \mathbf{gonads} Df 1,50 3,50 1,40 1,40 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,50 1,50 1,50 1,50 1,50 1,50 1,50 1,5	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0005309 0.0003238) SS 128.65 4.92	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.235 3.033 1.850 <i>F</i> 127.264 1.621	$p = \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 3,50 Tshr (f Df 1,40 3,40	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251) SS 0.869 1.213	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101 F 4.687 2.182	$p \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ ns \\ < 0.05 \\ < 0.05 \\ ns \end{bmatrix}$	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,40 3,40 1,40	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS (f) SS 1.59 27.14 0.08	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721 <i>F</i> 1.593 9.041 0.084	P (0.001 (0.05) ns ns (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) (0.001 (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.05) (0.001) (0.	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 \mathbf{gonads} Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0005309 0.0003238) SS 128.65 4.92 0.09	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.235 3.033 1.850 <i>F</i> 127.264 1.621 0.088	P ns ns s s s ns ns ns ns ns e 0.05 < 0.01 s 0.05 < 0.01 < 0.05 ns e 0.05 s ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns n	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 3,50 Tshr (f Df 1,40 3,40 1,40	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251 SS 0.869 1.213 12.811	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101 F 4.687 2.182 69.096	p < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 ns < 0.05 < 0.05 ns p < 0.05 ns < 0.001	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS (f) SS 1.59 27.14 0.08 3.90	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721 <i>F</i> 1.593 9.041 0.084 1.300	P s = 0.001 s = 0.005 ns ns s = 0.05 p s = 0.001 s = 0.001 s = 0.001 s = 0.001 s = 0.001 s = 0.001 ns s = 0.001 s = 0.001 s = 0.001 s = 0.001 s = 0.001 s = 0.005 s = 0.001 s = 0	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,50 3,50 1,40 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,40 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,40 3,50 1,40 3,50 1,40 3,50 1,40 3,50 1,40 3,50 1,40 3,50 3,50 1,40 3,50 1,40 3,50 3,50 1,40 3,50 3,50 1,40 3,50 3,50 1,40 3,50 3,50 3,50 1,40 3,40 3,50 3,50 3,50 3,50 3,50 3,50 3,50 3,5	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0005309 0.0003238) SS 128.65 4.92 0.09 5.17	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.235 3.033 1.850 <i>F</i> 127.264 1.621 0.088 1.706	<i>p</i> <i>p</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i>	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 3,50 Tshr (f Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251 SS 0.869 1.213 12.811 0.687	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101 F 4.687 2.182 69.096 1.234	p < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 ns < 0.05 ns < 0.05 ns	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS (f) SS 1.59 27.14 0.08 3.90 0.06	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721 <i>F</i> 1.593 9.041 0.084 1.300 0.057	P s = 0.001 s = 0.005 ns ns s = 0.05 p s = 0.001 s = 0.005 s = 0.001 s = 0.001	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0005309 0.0003238) SS 128.65 4.92 0.09 5.17 0.02	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.235 3.033 1.850 <i>F</i> 127.264 1.621 0.088 1.706 0.018	P ns ns ns (0.05 ns ns ns (0.05 (0.01 (0.05 (0.01) (0.05) ns (0.05) ns (0.001 (0.05) ns (0.05) ns (0.05) (0.05) (0.05) ns (0.0	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 3,50 Tshr (f Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251 SS 0.869 1.213 12.811 0.687 0.193	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101 F 4.687 2.182 69.096 1.234 1.043	p ns < 0.001 < 0.001 ns < 0.05 < 0.05 ns < 0.05 ns < 0.05 ns < 0.001 ns < 0.001 ns < 0.05 ns < 0.001 ns < 0.05 < 0.005 ns < 0.05 ns < 0.005 ns < 0.05 ns < 0.005 ns < 0.005 ns	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age pp:age:species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS (f) SS 1.59 27.14 0.08 3.90 0.06 1.95	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721 <i>F</i> 1.593 9.041 0.084 1.300 0.057 0.648	ns < 0.001 < 0.05 ns ns < 0.05 p < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 ns < 0.001 s = 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.05	$\begin{array}{c} 1,42\\ 3,42\\ 1,42\\ 3,42\\ 1,42\\ 3,42\\ 3,42\\ \hline \textbf{gonads}\\ \textbf{Df}\\ 1,50\\ 3,50\\ 1,50\\ 3,50\\ 1,50\\ 3,50\\ 1,50\\ 3,50\\ \textbf{J},50\\ 3,50\\ \textbf{J},50\\ \textbf{J},5$	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0003500 0.0004222 0.0005309 0.0003238) SS 128.65 4.92 0.09 5.17 0.02 1.16	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.235 3.033 1.850 <i>F</i> 127.264 1.621 0.088 1.706 0.018 0.382	P ns s ns ns ns ns ns ns ns s s s s s s	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 3,50 7 <i>shr</i> (f) Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251 SS 0.869 1.213 12.811 0.687 0.193 1.277	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101 F 4.687 2.182 69.096 1.234 1.043 2.297	p s < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.05 < 0.05 s < 0.05 ns < 0.05 ns < 0.001 ns ns ns ns	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 3,40 3,40	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS 1.59 27.14 0.08 3.90 0.06 1.95 0.90	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721 <i>F</i> 1.593 9.041 0.084 1.300 0.057 0.648 0.299	ns < 0.001 < 0.05 ns ns < 0.05 p < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 ns < 0.001 ns < 0.001 s ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns	$\begin{array}{c} 1,42\\ 3,42\\ 1,42\\ 3,42\\ 1,42\\ 3,42\\ 3,42\\ \hline \textbf{gonads}\\ \textbf{Df}\\ 1,50\\ 3,50\\ 1,50\\ 3,50\\ 1,50\\ 3,50\\ 1,50\\ 3,50\\ \textbf{J},50\\ 3,50\\ \textbf{J},50\\ 3,50\\ \textbf{J},50\\ 3,50\\ \textbf{J},50\\ 3,50\\ \textbf{J},40\\ 3,40\\ 1,40\\ 3,40\\ 3,40\\ 3,40\\ 3,40\\ 3,40\\ \textbf{J},40\\ 3,40\\ 3,40\\ \textbf{J},40\\ 3,40\\ \textbf{J},40\\ $	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0003500 0.0004222 0.0005309 0.0003238) SS 128.65 4.92 0.09 5.17 0.02 1.16 2.31	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401	P ns s s s s ns ns ns ns s s s s s s s s	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 3,50 3,50 Tshr (f) Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 3,40	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251 SS 0.869 1.213 12.811 0.687 0.193 1.277 0.329	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101 F 4.687 2.182 69.096 1.234 1.043 2.297 0.592	p s < 0.001 s < 0.001 s < 0.05 s < 0.05 ns s < 0.05 ns s < 0.05 ns s < 0.001 ns ns ns ns ns ns	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 Di	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS (f) SS 1.59 27.14 0.08 3.90 0.06 1.95 0.90	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721 <i>F</i> 1.593 9.041 0.084 1.300 0.057 0.648 0.299	ns < 0.001 < 0.05 ns ns < 0.05 p < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 ns < 0.001 ons < 0.001 ns < 0.001 ns s < 0.001 s ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns s ns s ns	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 0,340	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0004270 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0004220 0.0003238) SS 128.65 4.92 0.09 5.17 0.02 1.16 2.31	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401	P ns s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 3,50 3,50 Tshr (f) Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 Di 2/0	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251 SS 0.869 1.213 12.811 0.687 0.193 1.277 0.329 bio3 (f)	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101 F 4.687 2.182 69.096 1.234 1.043 2.297 0.592	p < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 ns < 0.05 < 0.05 ns < 0.05 ns < 0.001 ns ns ns ns ns ns	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,60 1,70 1,60 1,60 1,60 1,60 1,60 1,60 1,60 1,6	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS (f) SS 1.59 27.14 0.08 3.90 0.06 1.95 0.90	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721 <i>F</i> 1.593 9.041 0.084 1.300 0.057 0.648 0.299	ns < 0.001 < 0.05 ns ns < 0.05 p < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 ns < 0.01 < 0.001 ns < 0.001 ns s < 0.001 s ns ns ns ns ns ns	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,50 3,50 1,50 1,50 1,50 1,50 1,50 1,50 1,50 1	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0004270 0.0004270 0.0004222 0.0004270 0.0004222 0.0004270 0.0004222 0.0004223 SS 128.65 4.92 0.09 5.17 0.02 1.16 2.31	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.235 3.033 1.850 <i>F</i> 127.264 1.621 0.088 1.706 0.018 0.382 0.761 <i>F</i>	P ns sns sns ns ns ns ns ns sns s sns sn	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 Tshr (f) Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 Dio2/D	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251 SS 0.869 1.213 12.811 0.687 0.193 1.277 0.329 bio3 (f)	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101 F 4.687 2.182 69.096 1.234 1.043 2.297 0.592 F	<i>p</i> < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 ns < 0.05 ns < 0.001 ns ns ns ns ns ns	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 3,40 Dio2 (f) Df	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS 1.59 27.14 0.08 3.90 0.06 1.95 0.90 SS 0.422	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721 <i>F</i> 1.593 9.041 0.084 1.300 0.057 0.648 0.299 <i>F</i>	ns < 0.001 < 0.05 ns ns < 0.05 p < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 ns < 0.001 ns < 0.001 ns < 0.001 ns s < 0.001 s < 0.001 < 0.005 ns ns ns ns ns s ns s ns s = 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.005 ns ns s = 0.005 ns ns s = 0.001 < 0.001	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50 1,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0004270 0.0004222 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0003238) SS 128.65 4.92 0.09 5.17 0.02 1.16 2.31) SS 0.07	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.235 3.033 1.850 <i>F</i> 127.264 1.621 0.088 1.706 0.018 0.382 0.761 <i>F</i> 2.206	P ns sns sns ns ns ns ns ns sns s sns s sns sns ns	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 Tshr (f) Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251 SS 0.869 1.213 12.811 0.687 0.193 1.277 0.329 bio3 (f) SS 26.20	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101 F 4.687 2.182 69.096 1.234 1.043 2.297 0.592 F 5.076	p ns < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 ns < 0.05 < 0.05 ns < 0.05 ns < 0.001 ns ns ns ns ns ns	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,40 3,40 2,40 1,40 2,40 2,40 2,40 1,40 2,40 2,40 2,40 2,40 2,40 2,40 2,40 2	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS 1.59 27.14 0.08 3.90 0.06 1.95 0.90 SS 0.422 2.252	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721 <i>F</i> 1.593 9.041 0.084 1.300 0.057 0.648 0.299 <i>F</i> 2.065 5 2.15	P (0.001 (0.05) ns ns (0.05) (0.001 (0.001 (0.001 (0.001 (0.001 (0.001 ns (0.001 ns (0.001 ns ns ns ns ns (0.05) (0.00)	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,40 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,40 3,50 1,40 3,50 1,40 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,40 3,50 1,40 3,40 3,40 3,40 3,40 3,40 3,40 3,40 3	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0004270 0.0004222 0.0005309 0.0003238) SS 128.65 4.92 0.09 5.17 0.02 1.16 2.31) SS 9.07 81.75	$F = \begin{array}{c} 0.767 \\ 0.651 \\ 6.413 \\ 0.350 \\ 0.023 \\ 0.558 \\ 1.401 \end{array}$	p p p p p p p p	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 Tshr (f Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 Dio2/D Df 1,40 2,40	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251) SS 0.869 1.213 12.811 0.687 0.193 1.277 0.329) io3 (f) SS 26.29 24.84	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101 F 4.687 2.182 69.096 1.234 1.043 2.297 0.592 F 5.976 2.610	<i>p</i> < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 ns < 0.05 ns < 0.001 ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age pp:age:species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age pp:species age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 D f 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS 1.59 27.14 0.08 3.90 0.06 1.95 0.90 SS 0.422 3.262 1.400	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721 <i>F</i> 1.593 9.041 0.084 1.300 0.057 0.648 0.299 <i>F</i> 2.065 5.318 6.995	P (0.001 (0.05) ns ns (0.05) (0.001 (0.001 (0.001 (0.001 (0.001 (0.001 ns (0.001 ns (0.001 ns ns ns ns ns (0.05) (0.00)	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0004270 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0005309 0.0003238) SS 128.65 4.92 0.09 5.17 0.02 1.16 2.31) SS 9.07 81.75 25.65	$F = \begin{array}{c} 0.767 \\ 0.651 \\ 6.413 \\ 0.350 \\ 0.023 \\ 0.558 \\ 1.401 \end{array}$	$p = \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 7shr (f) Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 Dio2/D Df 1,40 3,40	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251 SS 0.869 1.213 12.811 0.687 0.193 1.277 0.329 bio3 (f) SS 26.29 34.84 (f)	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101 F 4.687 2.182 69.096 1.234 1.043 2.297 0.592 F 5.976 2.640 1.522	<i>p</i> < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 ns < 0.05 ns < 0.001 ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age pp:age:species pp:age pp:age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age pp:species age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,60 1,78 1,78 Mtnr1a Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 Dio2 (f) Df 1,40 3,40	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS 1.59 27.14 0.08 3.90 0.06 1.95 0.90 SS 0.422 3.262 1.408	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721 <i>F</i> 1.593 9.041 0.084 1.300 0.057 0.648 0.299 <i>F</i> 2.065 5.318 6.886 6.886	P s (0.001 s (0.05) ns ns s (0.05) ns s (0.001 s (0.001) s (0.001) ns s (0.001) ns s (0.001) ns ns ns ns ns ns s (0.05) ns s (0.001) ns s (0.001) ns s (0.001) ns s (0.001) s (0.001	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0004222 0.0004270 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0005309 0.0003238) SS 128.65 4.92 0.09 5.17 0.02 1.16 2.31) SS 9.07 81.75 25.09 1.26	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 F 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.235 3.033 1.850 F 127.264 1.621 0.088 1.706 0.018 0.382 0.761 F 2.206 6.629 6.105 0.206	P ns s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 3,50 3,50 Tshr (f) Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251 SS 0.869 1.213 12.811 0.687 0.193 1.277 0.329 Dio3 (f) SS 26.29 34.84 6.69 2.6.27	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101 F 4.687 2.182 69.096 1.234 1.043 2.297 0.592 F 5.976 2.640 1.522 2.701	p s s s s s s s s s s s s s	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age pp:age:species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age pp:age pp:age pp:age;species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,40 3,40 1,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS 1.59 27.14 0.08 3.90 0.06 1.95 0.90 SS 0.422 3.262 1.408 1.088	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721 <i>F</i> 1.593 9.041 0.084 1.300 0.057 0.648 0.299 <i>F</i> 2.065 5.318 6.886 1.775	r s s s s s s s s s s s s s	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 Tshβ(f Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0004222 0.0004270 0.0004222 0.0004223 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004223 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004223 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004222 0.0004223 0.0004223 0.0004223 0.0004222 0.0004223 0.0004222 0.0004223 0.0004222 0.0009 5.17 0.02 1.16 2.31) SS 9.07 81.75 25.09 4.39 1.41	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.235 3.033 1.850 <i>F</i> 127.264 1.621 0.088 1.706 0.018 0.382 0.761 <i>F</i> 2.206 6.629 6.105 0.356	P ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns e 0.05 e 0.01 e 0.05 ns e 0.01 e 0.05 ns e 0.01 e 0.05 ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 7 <i>shr</i> (f) Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00869 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251 SS 0.869 1.213 12.811 0.687 0.193 1.277 0.329 Pio3 (f) SS 26.29 34.84 6.69 36.77	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101 F 4.687 2.182 69.096 1.234 1.043 2.297 0.592 F 5.976 2.640 1.522 2.786	p s s s s s s s s s s s s s	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age pp:age species pp:age pp:species age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,40 3,40 1,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1,40 1	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS 1.59 27.14 0.08 3.90 0.06 1.95 0.90 SS 0.422 3.262 1.408 1.088 0.010	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721 <i>F</i> 1.593 9.041 0.084 1.300 0.057 0.648 0.299 <i>F</i> 2.065 5.318 6.886 1.775 0.047	r s s s s s s s s s s s s s	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 Tshβ (f Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0004222 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0003309 0.0003238) SS 128.65 4.92 0.09 5.17 0.02 1.16 2.31) SS 9.07 81.75 25.09 4.39 14.61	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 <i>F</i> 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.235 3.033 1.850 <i>F</i> 127.264 1.621 0.088 1.706 0.018 0.382 0.761 <i>F</i> 2.206 6.629 6.105 0.356 3.555	P ns ns ns s ns ns ns ns ns s s s s s s	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 3,50 3,50 Tshr (f Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251 SS 0.869 1.213 12.811 0.687 0.193 1.277 0.329 Pio3 (f) SS 26.29 34.84 6.69 36.77 6.16	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101 F 4.687 2.182 69.096 1.234 1.043 2.297 0.592 F 5.976 2.640 1.522 2.786 1.399	p s s s s s s s s s s s s s	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species age:species age:species age:species age:species age:species age:species age:species age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,40 3,40	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS 1.59 27.14 0.08 3.90 0.06 1.95 0.90 SS 0.422 3.262 1.408 1.088 0.010 1.674	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721 <i>F</i> 1.593 9.041 0.084 1.300 0.057 0.648 0.299 <i>F</i> 2.065 5.318 6.886 1.775 0.047 2.730	r s s s s s s s s s s s s s	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 $Tsh\beta$ (f Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0004270 0.0004222 0.0005309 0.0004222 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0003238) SS 128.65 4.92 0.09 5.17 0.02 1.16 2.31) SS 9.07 81.75 25.09 4.39 14.61 50.15	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 F 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.235 3.033 1.850 F 127.264 1.621 0.088 1.706 0.018 0.382 0.761 F 2.206 6.629 6.105 0.356 3.555 4.067	P ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns < 0.05 < 0.01 < 0.05 < 0.01 < 0.05 ns < 0.01 < 0.05 ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns s ns s ns	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 3,50 3,50 Tshr (f Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251 SS 0.869 1.213 12.811 0.687 0.193 1.277 0.329 bio3 (f) SS 26.29 34.84 6.69 36.77 6.16 10.51	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101 F 4.687 2.182 69.096 1.234 1.043 2.297 0.592 F 5.976 2.640 1.522 2.786 1.399 0.796 0.796	p s s s s s s s s s s s s s	
pp age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species pp:age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age:species age:species pp:age pp:species age:species pp:age species pp:age:species	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 body ma Df 1,60 1,78 1,40 3,40 3,40	1.19 76.07 7.79 4.21 3.33 4.72 15.91 ass (f) SS 1.59 27.14 0.08 3.90 0.06 1.95 0.90 SS 0.422 3.262 1.408 1.088 0.010 1.674 0.168	0.676 14.377 4.419 0.796 1.886 0.892 3.008 <i>F</i> 14.9452 169.3274 17.4063 0.0398 9.0244 13.0245 0.2721 <i>F</i> 1.593 9.041 0.084 1.300 0.057 0.648 0.299 <i>F</i> 2.065 5.318 6.886 1.775 0.047 2.730 0.273	r s s s s s s s s s s s s s	1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 1,42 3,42 3,42 3,42 gonads Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 $Tsh\beta$ (f Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40	1.41 3.58 11.78 1.93 0.04 3.08 7.72 (f) SS 0.0000919 0.0006542 0.0004270 0.0004270 0.0003350 0.0004222 0.0005309 0.0003238) SS 128.65 4.92 0.09 5.17 0.02 1.16 2.31) SS 9.07 81.75 25.09 4.39 14.61 50.15 16.20	0.767 0.651 6.413 0.350 0.023 0.558 1.401 F 1.575 3.737 7.316 1.913 7.235 3.033 1.850 F 127.264 1.621 0.088 1.706 0.018 0.382 0.761 F 2.206 6.629 6.105 0.356 3.555 4.067 1.314	P ns s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	GSI (f) Df 1,50 3,50 1,50 3,50 3,50 3,50 Tshr (f Df 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40 1,40 3,40	SS 0.00002 0.04933 0.05081 0.00805 0.01784 0.01251 SS 0.869 1.213 12.811 0.687 0.193 1.277 0.329 bio3 (f) SS 26.29 34.84 6.69 36.77 6.16 10.51 35.21	F 0.0111 8.281 25.592 1.459 4.052 2.995 2.101 F 4.687 2.182 69.096 1.234 1.043 2.297 0.592 F 5.976 2.640 1.522 2.786 1.399 0.796 2.668	p s s s s s s s s s s s s s	

	Df	SS	F	р	Df	SS		F	р	Df	SS	F	р
рр	1,40	0.32	0.303	ns	1,40		191	4.057	ns	1,40	3.785	14.783	< 0.001
age	3,40	10.62	3.351	< 0.05	3,40		4491	31.856	< 0.001	3,40	10.547	13.730	< 0.001
species	1,40	60.63	57.392	< 0.001	1,40		1345	28.629	< 0.001	1,40	0.796	3.108	ns
pp:age	3,40	2.99	0.943	ns	3,40		680	4.820	< 0.01	3,40	2.698	3.513	< 0.05
pp:species	1,40	0.02	0.021	ns	1,40		3	0.061	ns	1,40	1.123	4.385	< 0.05
age:species	3,40	5.07	1.601	ns	3,40		978	6.938	< 0.001	3,40	0.458	0.596	ns
pp:age:species	3,40	6.82	2.153	ns	3,40		843	5.980	< 0.01	3,40	0.876	1.140	ns
728 Table S4. Statistics for type I two-way ANOVA's													

Table S4. Statistics for type I two-way ANOVA's

729



731 Figure S1. Effects of constant photoperiod on gene expression levels in the developing hypothalamus. 732 Relative gene expression levels of (A, B) Eya3, (C, D) Kiss1, (E, F) Npvf expression in the hypothalamus of 733 developing common (orange circles) and tundra vole (blue triangles) males and females respectively, under LP 734 (open symbols, dashed lines) or SP (closed symbols, solid lines). Lines connect averages representing non-735 repeated measures. Data are mean±s.e.m.. Male tundra vole LP: n=16, male tundra vole SP: n=13, male 736 common vole LP n=14, male common vole SP n=15. female tundra vole LP: n=16, female tundra vole SP: 737 n=16, female common vole LP n=8, female common vole SP n=16. Significant effects (ANOVA, post-hoc 738 Tukey) of photoperiod at specific ages are indicate for tundra voles (blue asterisks) and common voles (orange

- asterisks), significant effects of species are indicated by black asterisks. Significant effects of: photoperiod (pp),
- $740 \qquad \text{age (age), species (sp) and interactions are shown in each graph, } {}^*p < 0.05, \, {}^{**}p < 0.01, \, {}^{***}p < 0.001. \, \text{Statistic}$
- results for two-way ANOVA's (photoperiod, age and species) can be found in table S4.