

## University of Groningen

### Nose In, Fingers Out

Mostert, Irene

DOI:  
[10.33612/diss.132018621](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.132018621)

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*  
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Mostert, I. (2020). *Nose In, Fingers Out: Essays on Board-Governance Effectiveness*. University of Groningen, SOM research school. <https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.132018621>

#### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

#### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

*Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.*

---

## REFERENCES

- Abernethy, M. A., Kuang, Y. F., & Qin, B. 2015. The influence of CEO power on compensation contract design. *The Accounting Review*, 90: 1265-1306. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr-50971>
- Acharya, A. G., & Pollock, T. G. 2013. Shoot for the stars? Predicting the recruitment of prestigious directors at newly public firms. *Academy of Management Journal*, 56(5), 1396-1419. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2011.0639>
- Adams, R. B. 2016. Women on boards: The superheroes of tomorrow? *The Leadership Quarterly*, 27(3), 371–386. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LEAQUA.2015.11.001>
- Adams, R. B., & Ferreira, D. 2009. Women in the boardroom and their impact on governance and performance. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 94(2), 291–309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JFINECO.2008.10.007>
- Adams, R. B., Hermalin, B. E., & Weisbach, M. S. 2010. The role of boards of directors in corporate governance: A conceptual framework and survey. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 48(1): 58–107. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.48.1.58>
- Aguilera, R., Florackis, C., & Kim, H. 2016. Advancing the Corporate Governance Research Agenda. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 24: 172-180. <https://doi.org/10.1111/corg.12167>
- Aiken, L.S., & West, S.G. 1991. *Multiple Regression: Testing and Interpreting Interactions*. London: SAGE Publications.
- Amason, A. C. 1996. Distinguishing the effects of functional and dysfunctional conflict on strategic decision making: Resolving a paradox for top management teams. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39(1): 123–148. <https://doi.org/10.5465/256633>
- Amason, A. C., & Sapienza, H. J. 1997. The effects of top management team size and interaction norms on cognitive and affective conflict. *Journal of Management*, 23(4): 495–516. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639702300401>
- Amason, A. C., & Schweiger, D. M. 1994. Resolving the paradox of conflict, strategic decision making, and organizational performance. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 5: 239-253. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb022745>
- Anderson, C., Angus D. Hildreth, J., & Howland, L. 2015. Is the Desire for Status a Fundamental Human Motive? A Review of the Empirical Literature. *Psychological Bulletin*, 141(3), 574–601. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038781>
- Anderson, C., & Kilduff, G. J. 2009. The pursuit of status in social groups. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 18(5), 295-298. <https://doi.org/10.1111%2Fj.1467-8721.2009.01655.x>
- Anderson, C., Kraus, M. W., Galinsky, A. D., & Keltner, D. 2012. The Local-Ladder Effect: Social Status and Subjective Well-Being. *Psychological Science*, 23(7), 764–771. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797611434537>

- Anderson, C., Srivastava, S., Beer, J. S., Spataro, S. E., & Chatman, J. A. 2006. Knowing your place: Self-perceptions of status in face-to-face groups. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 91(6), 1094-1110. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.91.6.1094>
- Anderson, S. E., & Williams, L. J. 1996. Interpersonal, job, and individual factors related to helping processes at work. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 81, 282--296. doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.81.3.282
- Ashburn-Nardo, L., Voils, C. I., & Monteith, M. J. 2001. Implicit associations as the seeds of intergroup bias: How easily do they take root? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 81(5), 789–799. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.81.5.789>
- Ashford, S. J., Blatt, R., & VandeWalle, D. V. 2003. Reflections on the Looking Glass: A Review of Research on Feedback-Seeking Behavior in Organizations. *Journal of Management*, 29(6), 773–799. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-2063\\_03\\_00079-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-2063_03_00079-5)
- Atwater, L.E., & Brett, J.F. 2006. Feedback format: Does it influence manager's reactions to feedback? *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 79(4), 517-532. <https://doi.org/10.1348/096317905X58656>
- Atwater, L. E., Waldman, D. A., & Brett, J. F. 2002. Understanding and optimizing multisource feedback. *Human Resource Management: Published in Cooperation with the School of Business Administration, The University of Michigan and in alliance with the Society of Human Resources Management*, 41(2), 193-208. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.10031>
- Atwater, L.E., Waldman, D.A., Ostroff, C., Robie, C., & Johnson, K. M. 2005. Self-Other Agreement: Comparing its Relationship with Performance in the U.S. and Europe. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 13(1), 25–40. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0965-075X.2005.00297.x>
- Atwater, L. E., & Yammarino, F. J. 1997. Self-other rating agreement: A review and model. In G. R. Ferris (Eds.), *Research in personnel and human resources management*, Vol. 15 (pp. 121-174). US: Elsevier Science/JAI Press
- Baard, P. P., Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. 2004. Intrinsic need satisfaction: A motivational basis of performance and Well-Being in two work settings 1. *Journal of applied social psychology*, 34: 2045-2068. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2004.tb02690.x>
- Badolato, P. G., Donelson, D. C., & Ege, M. 2014. Audit committee financial expertise and earnings management: The role of status. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 58(2-3): 208-230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2014.08.006>
- Baldenius, T., Melumad, N., & Meng, X. 2014. Board composition and CEO power. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 112: 53-68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2013.10.004>
- Bantel, K. A., & Jackson, S. E. 1989. Top management and innovations in banking: does the composition of the top team make a difference? *Strategic Management Journal*, 10: 107-124. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250100709>
- Barnett, M.L. 2007. Stakeholder influence capacity and the variability of financial returns to corporate social responsibility. *Academy of management review*, 32: 794-816. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2007.25275520>

- Becker, T.E. 2005. Potential problems in the statistical control of variables in organizational research: A qualitative analysis with recommendations. *Organizational Research Methods*, 8: 274-289. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F1094428105278021>
- Berger, J., Rosenholtz, S. J., & Zelditch Jr, M. 1980. Status organizing processes. *Annual review of sociology*, 6(1), 479-508. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.so.06.080180.002403>
- Bergh, D.D. 2001. Executive retention and acquisition outcomes: A test of opposing views on the influence of organizational tenure. *Journal of Management*, 27(5), 603-622. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F014920630102700506>
- Berle, A. & Means, G. 1932. *The modern corporation and private property*. New York: MacMillan.
- Bettencourt, B. A., Dill, K. E., Greathouse, S. A., Charlton, K., & Mulholland, A. 1997. Evaluations of ingroup and outgroup members: The role of category-based expectancy violation. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 33(3), 244-275. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jesp.1996.1323>
- Bezemer, P. J., Maassen, G. F., Van den Bosch, F. A., & Volberda, H. W. 2007. Investigating the Development of the Internal and External Service Tasks of Non-executive Directors: the case of the Netherlands (1997–2005). *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 15(6), 1119-1129. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2007.00635.x>
- Biernat, M., Vescio, T. K., & Billings, L. S. 1999. Black sheep and expectancy violation: Integrating two models of social judgment. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 29(4), 523-542. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-0992\(199906\)29:4%3C523::AID-EJSP944%3E3.O.CO;2-J](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-0992(199906)29:4%3C523::AID-EJSP944%3E3.O.CO;2-J)
- Bliese, P. D. 2000. *Within-group agreement, non-independence, and reliability: Implications for data aggregation and analysis*. San Francisco, CA, US: Jossey-Bass.
- Boeker, W. 1997. Strategic change: The influence of managerial characteristics and organizational growth. *Academy of management journal*, 40: 152-170. <https://doi.org/10.5465/257024>
- Boivie, S., Bednar, M. K., Aguilera, R. V., & Andrus, J. L. 2016. Are boards designed to fail? The implausibility of effective board monitoring. *Academy of Management Annals*, 10(1), 319-407. <https://doi.org/10.5465/19416520.2016.1120957>
- Boivie, S., Graffin, S. D., & Pollock, T. G. 2012. Time for me to fly: Predicting director exit at large firms. *Academy of Management Journal*, 55: 1334-1359. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2010.1083>
- Bono, J. E., & Colbert, A. E. 2005. Understanding responses to multi-source feedback: The role of core self-evaluations. *Personnel Psychology*, 58(1), 171-203. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2005.00633.x>
- Boyd, B. K., Haynes, K. T., & Zona, F. 2011. Dimensions of CEO–board relations. *Journal of Management Studies*, 48(8): 1892-1923. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2010.00943.x>
- Bradley, B. H., Anderson, H. J., Baur, J. E., & Klotz, A. C. 2015. When conflict helps: Integrating evidence for beneficial conflict in groups and teams under three perspectives. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research, and Practice*, 19(4): 243-272. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/gdn0000033>

- Bradley, B. H., Postlethwaite, B. E., Klotz, A. C., Hamdani, M. R., & Brown, K. G. 2012. Reaping the benefits of task conflict in teams: The critical role of team psychological safety climate. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 97(1), 151. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024200>
- Brett, J. F., & Atwater, L. E. 2001. 360° feedback: Accuracy, reactions, and perceptions of usefulness. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(5), 930–942. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.86.5.930>
- Bruynseels, L., & Cardinaels, E. 2014. The audit committee: Management watchdog or personal friend of the CEO?. *The Accounting Review*, 89(1): 113-145. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr-50601>
- Buchholtz, A. K., Amason, A. C., & Rutherford, M. A. 2005. The Impact of Board Monitoring and Involvement on Top Management Team Affective Conflict. *Journal of Managerial Issues*. Pittsburg State University. <https://doi.org/10.2307/40604512>
- Bunderson, J. S. 2003. Recognizing and Utilizing Expertise in Work Groups: A Status Characteristics Perspective. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 48(4), 557–591. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3556637>
- Burke, R. J. 1997. Women directors: selection, acceptance and benefits of board membership. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 5: 118-125. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8683.00052>
- Burkert, M., Davila, A., Mehta, K., & Oyon, D. 2014. Relating alternative forms of contingency fit to the appropriate methods to test them. *Management Accounting Research*, 25(1), 6–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MAR.2013.07.008>
- Cadbury Committee. 1992. *Report on the Financial Aspect of Corporate Governance*. London: Moorgate.
- Canavan, J., Jones, B., & Potter, M.J. 2004. Board tenure: How long is too long? Directors and Boards- American Edition, 28: 39-42.
- Cardinaels, E., & Soderstrom, N. 2013. Managing in a complex world: Accounting and governance choices in hospitals. *European Accounting Review*, 22(4): 647-684. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180.2013.842493>
- Carpenter, M. A., & Westphal, J. D. 2001. The strategic context of external network ties: Examining the impact of director appointments on board involvement in strategic decision making. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44(4): 639–660. <https://doi.org/10.5465/3069408>
- Carter, C., & Lorsch, J. 2004. *Back to the drawing board: Designing corporate boards for a complex world*. Boston, Massachusetts: Harvard Business School Press.
- Chatterjee, A., & Hambrick, D. C. 2011. Executive personality, capability cues, and risk taking: How narcissistic CEOs react to their successes and stumbles. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 56(2): 202-237. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0001839211427534>
- Clark, A. E. 1997. Job satisfaction and gender: why are women so happy at work?. *Labour economics*, 4(4), 341-372. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-5371\(97\)00010-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-5371(97)00010-9)

- Colbert, A. E., Bono, J. E., & Purvanova, R. K. 2016. Flourishing via workplace relationships: Moving beyond instrumental support. *Academy of Management Journal*, 59(4), 1199-1223.  
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2014.0506>
- Cole, M. S., Carter, M. Z., & Zhang, Z. 2013. Leader–team congruence in power distance values and team effectiveness: The mediating role of procedural justice climate. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 98(6), 962. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/a0034269>
- Cortina, J.M, 1993. What is coefficient alpha? An examination of theory and applications. *Journal of applied psychology*, 78: 98. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0021-9010.78.1.98>
- Cuomo, F., Mallin, C., & Zattoni, A. 2016. Corporate governance codes: a review and research agenda. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 24: 222-241.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/corg.12148>
- Daily, C. M., Dalton, D. R., & Cannella Jr., A. A. 2003. Corporate governance : decades of dialogue and data. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(3): 371–382.  
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2003.10196703>
- Dalton, D. R., Daily, C. M., Ellstrand, A. E., & Johnson, J. L. 1998. Meta-analytic reviews of board composition, leadership structure, and financial performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 19(3), 269–290. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0266\(199803\)19:3<269::AID-SMJ950>3.0.CO;2-K](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199803)19:3<269::AID-SMJ950>3.0.CO;2-K)
- Dalton, C. M., & Dalton, D. R. 2005. Boards of directors: Utilizing empirical evidence in developing practical prescriptions. *British Journal of management*, 16, S91-S97.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2005.00450.x>
- Dalton, D. R., & Dalton, C. M. 2011. Integration of Micro and Macro Studies in Governance Research: CEO Duality, Board Composition, and Financial Performance. *Journal of Management*, 37(2): 404–411. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0149206310373399>
- Dalton, D. R., Hitt, M. A., Certo, S. T., & Dalton, C. M. 2007. The Fundamental Agency Problem and Its Mitigation: Independence, Equity, and the Market for Corporate Control. *Academy of Management Annals*, 1: 1–64. <https://doi.org/10.1080/078559806>
- Dane, E. 2010. Reconsidering the trade-off between expertise and flexibility: A cognitive entrenchment perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 35(4), 579-603.  
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.35.4.zok579>
- De Dreu, C. K., & Weingart, L. R. 2003. Task versus relationship conflict, team performance, and team member satisfaction: a meta-analysis. *Journal of applied Psychology*, 88(4), 741-749.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.4.741>
- De Dreu, C. K. W., & West, M. A. 2001. Minority dissent and team innovation: The importance of participation in decision making. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(6): 1191–1201.  
<https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0021-9010.86.6.1191>
- De Haan, J., & Vlahu, R. 2016. Corporate governance of banks: A survey. *The Journal of Economic Surveys*, 30(2): 228-277.

- De Maere, J., Jorissen, A., & Uhlener, L. M. 2014. Board Capital and the Downward Spiral: Antecedents of Bankruptcy in a Sample of Unlisted Firms. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 22(5): 387–407. <https://doi.org/10.1111/corg.12078>
- Demb, A., & Neubauer, F. F. 1992. *The Corporate Board - Confronting the Paradoxes*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Demski, J. S., & Feltham, G. A. 1976. *Cost determination: A conceptual approach*. Ames: Iowa State University Press.
- DeNisi, A. S., & Kluger, A. N. 2000. Feedback effectiveness: Can 360-degree appraisals be improved?. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 14(1), 129-139. <https://doi.org/10.5465/ame.2000.2909845>
- DeSimone, J.A., Harms, P.D., & DeSimone, A.J., 2015. Best practice recommendations for data screening. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 36: 171-181. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.1962>
- De Wit, F. R. C., Jehn, K. A., & Scheepers, D. 2013. Task conflict, information processing, and decision-making: The damaging effect of relationship conflict. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 122(2): 177–189 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2013.07.002>
- Dezsö, C. L., & Ross, D. G. 2012. Does female representation in top management improve firm performance? A panel data investigation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 33(9), 1072–1089. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.1955>
- Diekmann, K. A., Sondak, H., & Barsness, Z. I. 2007. Does fairness matter more to some than to others? The moderating role of workplace status on the relationship between procedural fairness perceptions and job satisfaction. *Social Justice Research*, 20(2), 161-180. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11211-007-0036-x>
- Drymiotis, G. C. 2011. Information Precision and Manipulation Incentives. *Journal of Management Accounting Research*, 23(1), 231–258. <https://doi.org/10.2308/jmar-10067>
- Eagly, A. H., & Johannesen-Schmidt, M. C. 2001. The leadership styles of women and men. *Journal of social issues*, 57(4), 781-797. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-4537.00241>
- Edmondson, A. 1999. Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative science quarterly*, 44(2), 350-383. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2666999>
- Edwards, J. R. 1994. The Study of Congruence in Organizational Behavior Research: Critique and a Proposed Alternative. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 58(1), 51–100. <https://doi.org/10.1006/OBHD.1994.1029>
- Edwards, J. R. 2002. Alternatives to difference scores: Polynomial regression analysis and response surface methodology. In F. Drasgow & N. W. Schmitt (Eds.), *Advances in measurement and data analysis* (pp. 350–400). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass
- Edwards, J. R., & Cable, D. M. 2009. The value of value congruence. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94(3), 654–677. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014891>
- Edwards, J. R., & Lambert, L. S. 2007. Methods for integrating moderation and mediation: A general analytical framework using moderated path analysis. *Psychological Methods*, 12(1), 1-22. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.12.1.1>



- Edwards, J. R., & Parry, M. E. 1993. On the Use of Polynomial Regression Equations As An Alternative to Difference Scores in Organizational Research. *Academy of Management Journal*, 36(6), 1577–1613. <https://doi.org/10.5465/256822>
- Edwards, J. R., & Rothbard, N. P. 1999. Work and family stress and well-being: An examination of person-environment fit in the work and family domains. *Organizational behavior and human decision processes*, 77(2), 85-129. <https://doi.org/10.1006/obhd.1998.2813>
- Ehrhart, M. G., Ehrhart, K. H., Roesch, S. C., Chung-Herrera, B. G., Nadler, K., & Bradshaw, K. 2009. Testing the latent factor structure and construct validity of the Ten-Item Personality Inventory. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 47: 900-905 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2009.07.012>
- Eisenhardt, K. M. 1989. Agency Theory: An Assessment and Review. *The Academy of Management Review*, 14(1): 57-74. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1989.4279003>
- Ellwood, S., & Garcia-Lacalle, J. 2015. The Influence of Presence and Position of Women on the Boards of Directors: The Case of NHS Foundation Trusts. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 130(1), 69–84. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2206-8>
- Ensley, M. D., Pearson, A. W., & Amason, A. C. 2002. Understanding the dynamics of new venture top management teams: cohesion, conflict, and new venture performance. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 17(4), 365–386. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026\(00\)00065-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026(00)00065-3)
- Faleye, O., Hoitash, R., & Hoitash, U. 2011. The costs of intense board monitoring. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 101: 160-181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2011.02.010>
- Fama, E. F. 1980. Agency problems and the theory of the firm. *Journal of political economy*, 88(2), 288-307. <https://doi.org/10.1086/260866>
- Fama, E. F., & Jensen, M. C. 1983. Separation of ownership and control. *Journal of Law & Economics*, 26(2): 301–325. <https://doi.org/10.1086/467037>
- Farh, J.-L., Lee, C., & Farh, C. I. C. 2010. Task conflict and team creativity: A question of how much and when. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 95(6), 1173–1180. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020015>
- Feldman, E.R., & Montgomery, C.A. 2015. Are incentives without expertise sufficient? Evidence from Fortune 500 firms. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36: 113-122. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2211>
- Fentrop, P. 2013. *De geschiedenis van corporate governance*. Assen: Koninklijke van Gorcum B.V.
- Finkelstein, S. 1992. Power and top management teams: Dimensions, measurement, and validation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 35: 505-538. <https://doi.org/10.5465/256485>
- Finkelstein, S., & Hambrick, D. C. 1990. Top-management-team tenure and organizational outcomes: The moderating role of managerial discretion. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 35(3): 484–503. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.2307/2393314>
- Finkelstein, S., & Hambrick, D. C. 1996. *Strategic Leadership - Top executives and their effects on organizations*. Minneapolis: West Publishing Company.
- Finkelstein, S., Hambrick, D. C., & Cannella Jr, A. A. 2009. *Strategic Leadership: Theory and Research on Executives, Top Management Teams, and Boards*. (M. A. Hitt, R. D. Ireland, & R. E. Hoskisson, Eds.) *Strategic Management Series*. New York: Oxford University Press.



- Finkelstein, S., & Mooney, A. C. 2003. Not the usual suspects: How to use board process to make boards better. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 17(2), 101-113.  
<https://doi.org/10.5465/ame.2003.10025204>
- Fleenor, J. W., Smither, J. W., Atwater, L. E., Braddy, P. W., & Sturm, R. E. 2010. Self-other rating agreement in leadership: A review. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 21(6), 1005-1034.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.LEAQUA.2010.10.006>
- Forbes, D. P., & Milliken, F. J. 1999. Cognition and corporate governance: Understanding boards of directors as strategic decision-making groups. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(3): 489-505. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1999.2202133>
- Gehlbach, H. 2015. Seven Survey Sins. *The Journal of Early Adolescence*, 0272431615578276.  
<http://doi.org/10.1177/0272431615578276>
- Golden, B. R., & Zajac, E. J. 2001. When will boards influence strategy? Inclination x power = strategic change. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(12): 1087-1111. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.202>
- Goldsmith, D. J., & Fitch, K. 1997. The normative context of advice as social support. *Human communication research*, 23(4): 454-476. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2958.1997.tb00406.x>
- Gordon, J. N. 2007. The rise of independent directors in the United States, 1950-2005: Of shareholder value and stock market prices. *Stanford Law Review*, 59: 1465-1568.
- Graham, K. A., Dust, S. B., & Ziegert, J. C. 2018. Supervisor-employee power distance incompatibility, gender similarity, and relationship conflict: A test of interpersonal interaction theory. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 103(3), 334-346. <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000265>
- Greitemeyer, T., & Schulz-Hardt, S. 2003. Preference-consistent evaluation of information in the hidden profile paradigm: Beyond group-level explanations for the dominance of shared information in group decisions. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 84: 322-339.  
<https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0022-3514.84.2.322>
- Gupta, A., Otley, D., & Young, S. 2008. Does superior firm performance lead to higher quality outside directorships?. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 21(7): 907-932.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570810907410>
- Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. 1976. Motivation through the design of work: Test of a theory. *Organizational behavior and human performance*, 16(2), 250-279.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073\(76\)90016-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073(76)90016-7)
- Hambrick, D. C. 2007. Upper echelons theory: An update. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(2): 334-343. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2007.24345254>
- Hambrick, D. C., & Cannella Jr, A. A. 1993. Relative standing: A framework for understanding departures of acquired executives. *Academy of Management journal*, 36(4), 733-762.  
<https://doi.org/10.5465/256757>
- Hambrick, D. C., Geletkanycz, M. A., & Fredrickson, J. W. 1993. Top Executive Commitment to the Status Quo: Some Tests of Its Determinants. *Strategic Management Journal*, 14: 401-418.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250140602>
- Hambrick, D. C., & Jackson, E. M. 2000. Outside directors with a stake: The linchpin in improving governance. *California Management Review*, 42: 108-127.

- Hambrick, D.C., & Mason, P.A. 1984. Upper echelons: The organization as a reflection of its top managers. *Academy of management review*, 9:193-206.  
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1984.4277628>
- Hambrick, D.C., Misangyi, V.F., & Park, C.A. 2015. The quad model for identifying a corporate director's potential for effective monitoring: Toward a new theory of board sufficiency. *Academy of Management Review*, 40: 323-344. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2014.0066>
- Hambrick, D. C., Werder, A. V., & Zajac, E. J. 2008. New directions in corporate governance research. *Organization Science*, 19: 381-385. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1080.0361>
- Harrison, J. S., Boivie, S., Sharp, N. Y., & Gentry, R. J. 2018. Saving face: How exit in response to negative press and star analyst downgrades reflects reputation maintenance by directors. *Academy of Management Journal*, 61: 1131-1157. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2016.0471>
- Harrison, D.A., & Klein, K.J. 2007. What's the difference? Diversity constructs as separation, variety, or disparity in organizations. *Academy of management review*, 32:1199-1228.  
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2007.26586096>
- Harrison, D. A., Price, K. H., & Bell, M. P. 1998. Beyond relational demography: Time and the effects of surface-and deep-level diversity on work group cohesion. *Academy of management journal*, 41(1): 96-107. <https://doi.org/10.5465/256901>
- Harvey, S. 2015. When Accuracy Isn't Everything. *Group & Organization Management*, 40(1), 35–61.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1059601114561786>
- Haynes, K., & Hillman, A. 2010. The effect of board capital and CEO power on strategic change. *Strategic Management Journal*, 31(11): 1145–1163. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.859>
- He, J., & Huang, Z. 2011. Board informal hierarchy and firm financial performance: Exploring a tacit structure guiding boardroom interactions. *Academy of Management Journal*, 54(6), 1119-1139.  
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2009.0824>
- Hendry, K., & Kiel, G. C. 2004. The role of the board in firm strategy: Integrating agency and organisational control perspectives. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 12(4): 500-520. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2004.00390.x>
- Hermalin, B. E. 2005. Trends in corporate governance. *The Journal of Finance*, 60(5), 2351-2384.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.2005.00801.x>
- Hermalin, B. E., & Weisbach, M. S. 2003. Boards of Directors as an Endogenously Determined Institution: A Survey of the Economic Literature. *Economic Policy Review*, 9(1): 7–26.
- Herrmann, P., & Datta, D. K. 2002. CEO successor characteristics and the choice of foreign market entry mode: An empirical study. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 33: 551-569.  
<https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8491031>
- Herrmann, P., & Datta, D.K. 2005. Relationships between top management team characteristics and international diversification: An empirical investigation. *British Journal of Management*, 16, pp.69-78. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2005.00429.x>
- Hillman, A. J., & Dalziel, T. 2003. Boards of directors and firm-performance: integrating agency and resource dependence perspectives. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(3): 383–396.  
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2003.10196729>

- Hillman, A. J., Nicholson, G., & Shropshire, C. 2008. Directors' multiple identities, identification, and board monitoring and resource provision. *Organization Science*, 19(3), 441-456.  
<https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1080.0355>
- Hillman, A.J., Shropshire, C., Certo, S.T., Dalton, D.R., & Dalton, C.M. 2011. What I like about you: A multilevel study of shareholder discontent with director monitoring. *Organization Science*, 22: 675-687. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1100.0542>
- Hooghiemstra, R., Hermes, N., Oxelheim, L., & Randøy, T. 2019. Strangers on the board: The impact of board internationalization on earnings management of Nordic firms. *International Business Review*, 28(1): 119-134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2018.08.007>
- Hooghiemstra, R., & van Manen, J. 2004. The independence paradox: (Im)possibilities facing non-executive directors in The Netherlands. *Corporate Governance: An International Perspective*, 12: 314-324. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2004.00372.x>
- Hughes, G.D. 2009. The Impact of Incorrect Responses to Reverse-Coded Survey Items. *Research in the Schools*, 16: 76-88.
- Hurst, J., Leberman, S., & Edwards, M. 2017. Women managing women: An holistic relational approach to managing relationships at work. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 1-17.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/jmo.2017.10>
- Huse, M. 2005. Accountability and creating accountability: A framework for exploring behavioural perspectives of corporate governance. *British journal of management*, 16: S65-S79.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2005.00448.x>
- Ilggen, D. R., & Hollenbeck, J. R. 1991. The structure of work: Job design and roles. In M. D. Dunnette & L. M. Hough (Eds.), *Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology* (pp. 165-207). Palo Alto, CA, US: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- James, L. R., Demaree, R. G., & Wolf, G. 1984. Estimating within-group interrater reliability with and without response bias. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 69: 85-98.  
<https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0021-9010.69.1.85>
- James, L. R., Demaree, R. G., & Wolf, G. 1993. r wg: An assessment of within-group interrater agreement. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 78: 306-309.  
<https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0021-9010.78.2.306>
- Janssen, O., Van De Vliert, E., & Veenstra, C. 1999. How task and person conflict shape the role of positive interdependence in management teams. *Journal of Management*, 25: 117-141.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177%2F014920639902500201>
- Jarzabkowski, P., & Searle, R. H. 2004. Harnessing diversity and collective action in the top management team. *Long Range Planning*, 37(5): 399-419.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2004.07.007>
- Jehn, K. A. 1995. A multimethod examination of the benefits and detriments of intragroup conflict. *Administrative science quarterly*, 256-282. doi:10.2307/2393638
- Jehn, K.A., & Mannix, E.A. 2001. The dynamic nature of conflict: A longitudinal study of intragroup conflict and group performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44, 238-251.  
<https://doi.org/10.5465/3069453>

- Jehn, K. A., Northcraft, G. B., & Neale, M. A. 1999. Why Differences Make a Difference: A Field Study of Diversity, Conflict, and Performance in Workgroups. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(4), 741. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2667054>
- Jeong, S.-H., & Harrison, D. A. 2017. Glass Breaking, Strategy Making, and Value Creating: Meta-Analytic Outcomes of Women as CEOs and TMT members. *Academy of Management Journal*, 60(4), 1219–1252. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2014.0716>
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. 1976. Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of financial economics*, 3(4), 305-360. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(76\)90026-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(76)90026-X)
- Jermias, J., & Gani, L. 2014. The impact of board capital and board characteristics on firm performance. *The British Accounting Review*, 46(2), 135–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.BAR.2013.12.001>
- Johnson, S., Schnatterly, K., Bolton, J. F., & Tuggle, C. 2011. Antecedents of new director social capital. *Journal of Management Studies*, 48: 1782-1803. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2011.01020.x>
- Johnson, S. G., Schnatterly, K., & Hill, A. D. 2013. Board Composition Beyond Independence. *Journal of Management*, 39(1), 232–262. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206312463938>
- Jonsson, E. I. 2005. The role model of the board: A preliminary study of the roles of Icelandic boards. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 13(5), 710-717. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2005.00462.x>
- Judge, T. A. 1994. Person–organization fit and the theory of work adjustment: Implications for satisfaction, tenure, and career success. *Journal of Vocational behavior*, 44(1), 32-54. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jvbe.1994.1003>
- Judge, T. A., LePine, J. A., & Rich, B. L. 2006. Loving yourself abundantly: relationship of the narcissistic personality to self-and other perceptions of workplace deviance, leadership, and task and contextual performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 91(4), 762. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0021-9010.91.4.762>
- Judge, W. Q., & Talaulicar, T. 2017. Board involvement in the strategic decision making process: A comprehensive review. *Annals of Corporate Governance*, 2(2), 51-169. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1561/109.00000005>
- Judge, T. A., Weiss, H. M., Kammeyer-Mueller, J. D., & Hulin, C. L. 2017. Job attitudes, job satisfaction, and job affect: A century of continuity and of change. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 102(3), 356. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/apl0000181>
- Kaczmarek, S., Kimino, S., & Pye, A. 2012. Board task-related faultlines and firm performance: A decade of evidence. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 20(4): 337–351. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2011.00895.x>
- Kang, E., & Kroll, M. 2014. Deciding Who Will Rule: Examining the Influence of Outside Noncore Directors on Executive Entrenchment. *Organization Science*, 25(6): 1662–1683. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2013.0874>

- Kaplan, R. E., & Palus, C. J. 1994. *Enhancing 360-degree feedback for senior executives: How to maximize the benefits and minimize the risks*. Center for Creative Leadership.
- Kark, R., & Carmeli, A. 2009. Alive and creating: The mediating role of vitality and aliveness in the relationship between psychological safety and creative work involvement. *Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Journal of Industrial, Occupational and Organizational Psychology and Behavior*, 30(6), 785-804. <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/job.571>
- Katz, R. 1982. The effects of group longevity on project communication and performance. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 27(1): 81–104. doi:10.2307/2392547
- Katz, D., & Kahn, R. L. 1978. *The social psychology of organizations*. New York: Wiley.
- Khurana, R., & Pick, K. 2004. The social nature of boards. *Brooklyn Law Review*, 70: 1259-1285.
- Kluger, A. N., & DeNisi, A. 1996. The effects of feedback interventions on performance: A historical review, a meta-analysis, and a preliminary feedback intervention theory. *Psychological bulletin*, 119(2), 254-284. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0033-2909.119.2.254>
- Koopmann, J., Lanaj, K., Wang, M., Zhou, L., & Shi, J. 2016. Nonlinear effects of team tenure on team psychological safety climate and climate strength: Implications for average team member performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 101(7), 940-957. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/apl0000097>
- Kor, Y. Y. 2006. Direct and interaction effects of top management team and board compositions on R&D investment strategy. *Strategic Management Journal*, 27(11): 1081–1099. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.554>
- Kor, Y. Y., & Sundaramurthy, C. 2009. Experience-based human capital and social capital of outside directors. *Journal of Management*, 35(4): 981–1006. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0149206308321551>
- Kroll, M., Walters, B. A., & Wright, P. 2008. Board vigilance, director experience, and corporate outcomes. *Strategic Management Journal*, 29(4): 363–382. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.649>
- Lattin, J., Carroll, J.D., & Green, P.E. 2003. *Analyzing Multivariate Data*, London: Thomson Learning.
- Lau, D. C., & Murnighan, J. K. 1998. Demographic diversity and faultlines: The compositional dynamics of organizational groups. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(2): 325-340. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1998.533229>
- Leblanc, R., & Schwartz, M. S. 2007. The Black Box of Board Process: gaining access to a difficult subject. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 15(5): 843–851. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2007.00617.x>
- LeBreton, J.M., & Senter, J. L. 2008. Answers to 20 questions about interrater reliability and interrater agreement. *Organizational Research Methods*, 11: 815-852. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F1094428106296642>
- Liu, D., Zhang, Z., & Wang, M. 2012. Mono-level and multilevel mediated moderation and moderated mediation: Theorization and test. *Empirical methods in organization and management research*, 553-587.

- London, M., & Smither, J. W. 2002. Feedback orientation, feedback culture, and the longitudinal performance management process. *Human Resource Management Review*, 12(1), 81–100. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-4822\(01\)00043-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-4822(01)00043-2)
- Longenecker, C. O., & Gioia, D. A. 1988. Neglected at the top-executives talk about executive appraisal. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 29(2), 41-47.
- Lorsch, J. W. 1989. *Pawns or potentates*. Harvard Business School Press, Boston.
- Lorsch, J. W. 1995. Empowering the board. *Harvard Business Review*, 73: 107-117.
- Lorsch, J. W., & MacIver, E. 1989. *Pawns or potentates: The reality of America's corporate boards*. Boston, Massachusetts: Harvard Business School Press.
- Loyd, D. L., Wang, C. S., Phillips, K. W., & Lount, R. B. 2013. Social Category Diversity Promotes Premeeting Elaboration: The Role of Relationship Focus. *Organization Science*, 24(3), 757–772. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1120.0761>
- Mace, M.L. 1971. *Directors: Myth and Reality*. Harvard Business School Press, Boston.
- Mace, M. L. 1976. Designing a plan for ideal board. *Harvard Business Review*, 54(6), 20.
- Magee, J. C., & Galinsky, A. D. 2008. 8 social hierarchy: The self-reinforcing nature of power and status. *Academy of Management annals*, 2(1), 351-398. <https://doi.org/10.5465/19416520802211628>
- Marr, J. C., & Thau, S. 2014. Falling from great (and not-so-great) heights: How initial status position influences performance after status loss. *Academy of Management Journal*, 57(1), 223-248. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2011.0909>
- Matta, F. K., Scott, B. A., Koopman, J., & Conlon, D. E. 2015. Does Seeing “Eye To Eye” Affect Work Engagement and Organizational Citizenship Behavior? A Role Theory Perspective on LMX Agreement. *Academy of Management Journal*, 58(6), 1686–1708. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2014.0106>
- Mayo, M., Kakarika, M., Pastor, J. C., & Brutus, S. 2012. Aligning or inflating your leadership self-image? A longitudinal study of responses to peer feedback in MBA teams. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 11(4), 631-652. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amle.2010.0069>
- McDonald, M. L., & Westphal, J. D. 2010. A little help here? Board control, CEO identification with the corporate elite, and strategic help provided to CEOs at other firms. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(2), 343-370. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2010.49389006>
- McKee, R. A., Lee, Y. T., Atwater, L., & Antonakis, J. 2018. Effects of personality and gender on self-other agreement in ratings of leadership. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 91(2), 285-315. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joop.12209>
- McNulty, T., & Pettigrew, A. 1996. The contribution, power and influence of part-time board members. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 4: 160-179. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.1996.tb00145.x>
- McNulty, T., & Pettigrew, A. 1999. Strategists on the board. *Organization Studies*, 20(1): 47–74. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0170840699201003>

- Meyer, H. H. 1980. Self-Appraisal of Job Performance. *Personnel Psychology*, 33(2), 291–295. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.1980.tb02351.x>
- Mezirow, J. 1991. Transformative dimensions of adult learning. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Mills, M. 2011. Introducing survival and event history analysis. Sage.
- Minichilli, A., Zattoni, A., Nielsen, S., & Huse, M. 2012. Board task performance: An exploration of micro- and macro-level determinants of board effectiveness. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 33(1): 193–215. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.743>
- Minichilli, A., Zattoni, A., & Zona, F. 2009. Making boards effective: An empirical examination of board task performance. *British Journal of Management*, 20(1): 55-74. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2008.00591.x>
- Mizruchi, M. S., & Stearns, L. B. 2006. The conditional nature of embeddedness: a study of borrowing by large US firms, 1973–1994. *American Sociological Review*, 71(2): 310-333. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F000312240607100207>
- Morrison, E. W., Wheeler-Smith, S. L., & Kamdar, D. 2011. Speaking up in groups: A cross-level study of group voice climate and voice. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 96(1), 183–191. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020744>
- Musteen, M., Datta, D. K., & Kemmerer, B. 2010. Corporate reputation: do board characteristics matter?. *British Journal of Management*, 21(2): 498-510. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2009.00676.x>
- Nembhard, I. M., & Edmondson, A. C. 2006. Making it safe: The effects of leader inclusiveness and professional status on psychological safety and improvement efforts in health care teams. *Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Journal of Industrial, Occupational and Organizational Psychology and Behavior*, 27(7), 941-966. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.413>
- Netemeyer, R.G., Bearden, W.O., & Sharma, S. 2003. *Scaling procedures: Issues and applications*. Sage Publications.
- Newman, A., Donohue, R., & Eva, N. (2017). Psychological safety: A systematic review of the literature. *Human Resource Management Review*, 27(3), 521-535. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmmr.2017.01.001>
- Nordberg, D., & McNulty, T. 2013. Creating better boards through codification: Possibilities and limitations in UK corporate governance, 1992–2010. *Business History*, 55: 348-374. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00076791.2012.712964>
- Nowack, K. M. 2009. Leveraging multirater feedback to facilitate successful behavioral change. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 61(4), 280–297. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017381>
- Nunnally, J. C. 1978. *Psychometric theory* (2nd ed.). New-York: McGraw-Hill.
- Ocasio, W. 1997. Towards an attention-based view of the firm. *Strategic management journal*, 187-206. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0266\(199707\)18:1+%3C187::AID-SMJ936%3E3.0.CO;2-K](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199707)18:1+%3C187::AID-SMJ936%3E3.0.CO;2-K)



- Oliver, A. G., Krause, R., Busenbark, J. R., & Kalm, M. 2018. BS in the boardroom: Benevolent sexism and board chair orientations. *Strategic Management Journal*, 39(1), 113–130. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2698>
- Olson, B. J., Parayitam, S., & Bao, Y. 2007. Strategic Decision Making: The Effects of Cognitive Diversity, Conflict, and Trust on Decision Outcomes. *Journal of Management*, 33(2), 196–222. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206306298657>
- O'Neal, D., & Thomas, H. 1996. Developing the strategic board. *Long Range Planning*, 29: 314-327. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-6301\(96\)00027-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-6301(96)00027-1)
- Park, S. H., & Westphal, J. D. 2013. Social Discrimination in the Corporate Elite. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 58(4), 542–586. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0001839213509364>
- Payne, G. T., Benson, G. S., & Finegold, D. L. 2009. Corporate Board Attributes, Team Effectiveness and Financial Performance. *Journal of Management Studies*, 46(4), 704–731. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2008.00819.x>
- Pelled, L. H., Eisenhardt, K. M., & Xin, K. R. 1999. Exploring the Black Box: An Analysis of Work Group Diversity, Conflict, and Performance. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(1), 1-28. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2667029>
- Peterson, R. S., & Behfar, K. J. 2003. The dynamic relationship between performance feedback, trust, and conflict in groups: A longitudinal study. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 92(1–2), 102–112. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-5978\(03\)00090-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-5978(03)00090-6)
- Petrovic, J. 2008. Unlocking the role of a board director: a review of the literature. *Management Decision*, 46(9): 1373-1392.
- Pettigrew, A. M. 1992. On studying managerial elites. *Strategic Management Journal*, 13: 163–182.
- Pettit, N. C., Yong, K., & Spataro, S. E. 2010. Holding your place: Reactions to the prospect of status gains and losses. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 46(2), 396-401. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2009.12.007>
- Pfeffer, J. 1983. Organizational demography. In L. L. Cummings and B. M. Staw (eds.), *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 5. JAI Press, Greenwich, CT, pp. 299-537.
- Pfeffer, J., & Salancik, G. 1978. *The External Control of Organizations: A Resource-Dependence Perspective*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Phillips, K. W. 2003. The Effects of Categorically Based Expectations on Minority Influence: The Importance of Congruence. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 29(1), 3–13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167202238367>
- Phillips, K. W., Kim-Jun, S. Y., & Shim, S. H. 2011. The value of diversity in organizations: A social psychological perspective. *Social psychology and organizations*, 253-271.
- Phillips, K. W., & Loyd, D. L. 2006. When surface and deep-level diversity collide: The effects on dissenting group members. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 99(2), 143–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.OBHDP.2005.12.001>

- Phillips, K. W., Mannix, E. A., Neale, M. A., & Gruenfeld, D.H., & 2004. Diverse groups and information sharing: The effects of congruent ties. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 40(4), 497–510. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JESP.2003.10.003>
- Phillips, K. W., Northcraft, G. B., & Neale, M. A. 2006. Surface-Level Diversity and Decision-Making in Groups: When Does Deep-Level Similarity Help? *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 9(4), 467–482. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430206067557>
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. 2003. Common method biases in behavioral research: a critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of applied psychology*, 88(5), 879-903. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879>
- Ponomareva, Y., Shen, W., & Umans, T. 2019. Organizational discretion, board control, and shareholder wealth: A contingency perspective. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 27(4): 248-260. <https://doi.org/10.1111/corg.12274>
- Post, C., & Byron, K. 2015. Women on Boards and Firm Financial Performance: A Meta-Analysis. *Academy of Management Journal*, 58(5), 1546–1571. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2013.0319>
- Pozen, R. C. 2010. The case for professional boards. *Harvard Business Review*, 88(12): 50–58.
- Preacher, K. J., Rucker, D. D., & Hayes, A. F. 2007. Addressing moderated mediation hypotheses: Theory, methods, and prescriptions. *Multivariate behavioral research*, 42(1), 185-227. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00273170701341316>
- Preacher, K. J., Zyphur, M. J., & Zhang, Z. 2010. A general multilevel SEM framework for assessing multilevel mediation. *Psychological Methods*, 15(3), 209-233. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0020141>
- Rabe-Hesketh, S., & Skrondal, A. 2012. *Multilevel and Longitudinal Modeling Using Stata* (3rd ed.). College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- Rink, F., & Ellemers, N. 2006. What Can You Expect? The Influence of Gender Diversity in Dyads on Work Goal Expectancies and Subsequent Work Commitment. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 9(4), 577–588. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430206067560>
- Rink, F., & Ellemers, N. 2007a. The Role of Expectancies in Accepting Task-Related Diversity: Do Disappointment and Lack of Commitment Stem From Actual Differences or Violated Expectations? *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 33(6), 842–854. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167207301016>
- Rink, F., & Ellemers, N. 2007b. Diversity as a Basis for Shared Organizational Identity: The Norm Congruity Principle. *British Journal of Management*, 18(s1), S17–S27. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2007.00523.x>
- Rink, F., & Ellemers, N. 2010. Benefiting from deep-level diversity: How congruence between knowledge and decision rules improves team decision making and team perceptions. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 13(3), 345–359. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430209344866>
- Rizzo, J., House, R., & Lirtzman, S. 1970. Role Conflict and Ambiguity in Complex Organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 15(2), 150-163. doi:10.2307/2391486

- Roberts, J., McNulty, T., & Stiles, P. 2005. Beyond Agency Conceptions of the Work of the Non-Executive Director: Creating Accountability in the Boardroom. *British Journal of Management*, 16(s1), S5–S26. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2005.00444.x>
- Rudman, L. A. 1998. Self-promotion as a risk factor for women: the costs and benefits of counterstereotypical impression management. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 74(3), 629. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0022-3514.74.3.629>
- Ruigrok, W., Peck, S.I., & Keller, H. 2006. Board characteristics and involvement in strategic decision making: Evidence from Swiss companies. *Journal of Management Studies*, 43: 1201-1226. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2006.00634.x>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. 2000. Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American psychologist*, 55: 68.
- Sala, F. 2003. Executive Blind Spots: Discrepancies Between Self-and Other-Ratings. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 55(4), 222. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/1061-4087.55.4.222>
- Sanner, B., & Bunderson, J. S. 2015. When feeling safe isn't enough: Contextualizing models of safety and learning in teams. *Organizational Psychology Review*, 5(3), 224–243. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2041386614565145>
- Sargeant, J., Mann, K., & Ferrier, S. 2005. Exploring family physicians' reactions to multisource feedback: perceptions of credibility and usefulness. *Medical Education*, 39(5), 497–504. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2929.2005.02124.x>
- Sargeant, J., Mann, K., Sinclair, D., Van der Vleuten, C., & Metsemakers, J. 2008a. Understanding the influence of emotions and reflection upon multi-source feedback acceptance and use. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*, 13(3), 275–288. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-006-9039-x>
- Sargeant, J., Mann, K., van der Vleuten, C., & Metsemakers, J. 2008b. "Directed" self-assessment: Practice and feedback within a social context. *Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions*, 28(1), 47–54. <https://doi.org/10.1002/chp.155>
- Scandura, T. A., & Williams, E. A. 2000. Research methodology in management: Current practices, trends, and implications for future research. *Academy of Management journal*, 43:1248-1264. <https://doi.org/10.5465/1556348>
- Schriesheim, C.A., Cogliser, C.C., Scandura, T.A., Lankau, M.J., & Powers, K.J. 1999. An empirical comparison of approaches for quantitatively assessing the content adequacy of paper-and-pencil measurement instruments. *Organizational Research Methods*, 2:140-156. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F109442819922002>
- Schriesheim, C.A., Powers, K.J., Scandura, T.A., Gardiner, C.C., & Lankau, M.J. 1993. Improving construct measurement in management research: Comments and a quantitative approach for assessing the theoretical content adequacy of paper-and-pencil survey-type instruments. *Journal of Management*, 19:385-417. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0149-2063\(93\)90058-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0149-2063(93)90058-U)
- Shah, P. P., & Jehn, K. A. 1993. Do friends perform better than acquaintances? the interaction of friendship, conflict, and task. *Group Decision and Negotiation*, 2(2): 149–165. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01884769>

- Shanock, L. R., Baran, B. E., Gentry, W. A., Pattison, S. C., & Heggstad, E. D. 2010. Polynomial Regression with Response Surface Analysis: A Powerful Approach for Examining Moderation and Overcoming Limitations of Difference Scores. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 25(4), 543–554. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-010-9183-4>
- Shen, W. 2003. The dynamics of the CEO-board relationship: an evolutionary perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(3): 466–476. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2003.10196776>
- Shivdasani, A., & Yermack, D. 1999. CEO involvement in the selection of new board members: An empirical analysis. *The Journal of Finance*, 54: 1829-1853. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-1082.00168>
- Silva, P., & Tosi, H. L. 2004. Determinants of the Anonymity of the CEO Evaluation Process. *Journal of Managerial Issues*. Pittsburg State University. <https://doi.org/10.2307/40601185>
- Simons, T., Pelled, L. H., & Smith, K. A. 1999. Making use of difference: Diversity, debate, and decision comprehensiveness in top management teams. *Academy of Management Journal*, 42: 662-673. <https://doi.org/10.5465/256987>
- Smith, A. 1776. *An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations* (Bantam Classic Edition March 2003 ed.). New York: Bantam Dell.
- Smither, J. W., London, M., & Reilly, R. R. 2005. Does performance improve following multisource feedback? A theoretical model, meta-analysis, and review of empirical findings. *Personnel psychology*, 58(1), 33-66. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2005.514\\_1.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2005.514_1.x)
- Sonnenfeld, J.A., 2002. What makes great boards great. *Harvard business review*, 80(9), 106-113.
- Sonnenfeld, J.A, Kusin, M., & Walton, E. 2013. What CEOs really think of their boards. *Harvard Business Review*, 91(4): 93–106.
- Sousa-Poza, A., & Sousa-Poza, A. A. 2000. Well-being at work: a cross-national analysis of the levels and determinants of job satisfaction. *The journal of socio-economics*, 29(6), 517-538. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-5357\(00\)00085-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-5357(00)00085-8)
- Sprinkle, G. B. 2003. Perspectives on experimental research in managerial accounting. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 28(2-3): 287-318. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682\(01\)00058-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682(01)00058-7)
- Srikanth, K., Harvey, S., & Peterson, R. 2016. A Dynamic Perspective on Diverse Teams: Moving from the Dual-Process Model to a Dynamic Coordination-based Model of Diverse Team Performance. *The Academy of Management Annals*, 10(1), 453–493. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19416520.2016.1120973>
- Srinivasan, S. 2005. Consequences of financial reporting failure for outside directors: Evidence from accounting restatements and audit committee members. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 43(2): 291-334.
- Staw, B.M., Sandelands, L.E., & Dutton, J.E., 1981. Threat rigidity effects in organizational behavior: A multilevel analysis. *Administrative science quarterly*, 501-524. doi:10.2307/2392337
- Steenkamp, J. B. E., & Burgess, S. M. 2002. Optimum stimulation level and exploratory consumer behavior in an emerging consumer market. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 19: 131-150. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8116\(02\)00063-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8116(02)00063-0)

- Stiles, P., & Taylor, B. 2001. *Boards at Work - How Directors view their Roles and Responsibilities*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Sundaramurthy, C., & Lewis, M. 2003. Control and collaboration: Paradoxes of governance. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(3): 397–415. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2003.10196737>
- Swain, S.D., Weathers, D., & Niedrich, R.W., 2008. Assessing three sources of misresponse to reversed Likert items. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 45: 116-131. <https://doi.org/10.1509%2Fjmk.45.1.116>
- Tajfel, H. 1978. Social categorization, social identity and social comparison. In H. Tajfel (Ed.), *Differentiation between social groups*: 61-76. London: Academic Press.
- Tasheva, S. N., & Hillman, A. 2018. Integrating diversity at different levels: multi-level human capital, social capital, and demographic diversity and their implications for team effectiveness. *Academy of Management Review*, <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2015.0396>
- Terjesen, S., Sealy, R., & Singh, V. 2009. Women directors on corporate boards: A review and research agenda. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 17: 320-337. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2009.00742.x>
- Thomsen, S., & Pedersen, T. 2000. Ownership structure and economic performance in the largest european companies. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(6), 689–705. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0266\(200006\)21:6%3C689::AID-SMJ115%3E3.0.CO;2-Y](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(200006)21:6%3C689::AID-SMJ115%3E3.0.CO;2-Y)
- Torchia, M., Calabrò, A., & Huse, M. 2011. Women Directors on Corporate Boards: From Tokenism to Critical Mass. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 102(2), 299–317. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-0815-z>
- Torelli, C. J., Leslie, L. M., Stoner, J. L., & Puente, R. 2014. Cultural determinants of status: Implications for workplace evaluations and behaviors. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 123(1), 34-48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2013.11.001>
- Tosi, H. L., & Gomez-Mejia, L. R. 1994. CEO Compensation Monitoring and firm performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 37(4), 1002–1016. <https://doi.org/10.5465/256609>
- Triana, M. del C., Miller, T. L., & Trzebiatowski, T. M. 2014. The Double-Edged Nature of Board Gender Diversity: Diversity, Firm Performance, and the Power of Women Directors as Predictors of Strategic Change. *Organization Science*, 25(2), 609–632. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2013.0842>
- Tubre, T. C., & Collins, J. M. 2000. Jackson and Schuler (1985) Revisited: A Meta-Analysis of the Relationships Between Role Ambiguity, Role Conflict, and Job Performance. *Journal of Management*, 26(1), 155–169. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920630002600104>
- Tuggle, C. S., Schnatterly, K., & Johnson, R. A. 2010. Attention patterns in the boardroom: How board composition and processes affect discussion of entrepreneurial issues. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53: 550-571. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2010.51468687>
- Tuggle, C. S., Sirmon, D. G., Reutzell, C. R., & Bierman, L. 2010. Commanding board of director attention: investigating how organizational performance and CEO duality affect board members' attention to monitoring. *Strategic management journal*, 31(9), 946-968. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.847>

- Turner, J. C., Hogg, M. A., Oakes, P. J., Reicher, S. D., & Wetherell, M. S. 1987. *Rediscovering the social group: A self-categorization theory*. Basil Blackwell.
- Van den Broeck, A., Ferris, D. L., Chang, C. H., & Rosen, C. C. 2016. A review of self-determination theory's basic psychological needs at work. *Journal of Management*, 42: 1195-1229. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0149206316632058>
- Van der Kolk, B., Van Veen-Dirks, P. M., & Ter Bogt, H. J. 2019. The impact of management control on employee motivation and performance in the public Sector. *European Accounting Review*, 28: 901-928. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180.2018.1553728>
- Van der Vegt, G. S., & Bunderson, J. S. 2005. Learning and performance in multidisciplinary teams: The importance of collective team identification. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48: 532-547. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2005.17407918>
- Van der Vegt, G. S., Bunderson, J. S., & Oosterhof, A. 2006. Expertness diversity and interpersonal helping in teams: Why those who need the most help end up getting the least. *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(5), 877-893. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2006.22798169>
- Van Dijk, H., Meyer, B., van Engen, M., & Loyd, D. L. 2017. Microdynamics in Diverse Teams: A Review and Integration of the Diversity and Stereotyping Literatures. *Academy of Management Annals*, 11(1), 517-557. <https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2014.0046>
- Van Ees, H., Gabrielsson, J., & Huse, M., 2009. Toward a behavioral theory of boards and corporate governance. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 17(3), 307-319. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2009.00741.x>
- Van Knippenberg, D., De Dreu, C. K., & Homan, A. C. 2004. Work group diversity and group performance: an integrative model and research agenda. *Journal of applied psychology*, 89(6), 1008 -1022. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0021-9010.89.6.1008>
- Vansteenkiste, M., Neyrinck, B., Niemiec, C. P., Soenens, B., De Witte, H., & Van den Broeck, A. 2007. On the relations among work value orientations, psychological need satisfaction and job outcomes: A self-determination theory approach. *Journal of occupational and organizational psychology*, 80: 251-277. <https://doi.org/10.1348/096317906X111024>
- Van Veen-Dirks, P. 2010. Different uses of performance measures: The evaluation versus reward of production managers. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 35(2): 141-164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2009.02.002>
- Veltrop, D. B., Molleman, E., Hooghiemstra, R., & van Ees, H. 2018. The Relationship Between Tenure and Outside Director Task Involvement: A Social Identity Perspective. *Journal of Management*, 44(2), 445-469. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206315579510>
- Walters, B. A., Kroll, M. J., & Wright, P. 2007. CEO tenure, boards of directors, and acquisition performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 60(4), 331-338. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2006.12.001>
- Westphal, J. D. 1999. Collaboration in the boardroom: Behavioral and performance consequences of CEO-board social ties. *Academy of Management Journal*, 42(1): 7-24. <https://doi.org/10.5465/256871>

- Westphal, J. D., & Bednar, M. K. 2005. Pluralistic ignorance in corporate boards and firms' strategic persistence in response to low firm performance. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 50(2): 262–298. <https://doi.org/10.2189/asqu.2005.50.2.262>
- Westphal, J. D., & Graebner, M. E. 2010. A matter of appearances: How corporate leaders manage the impressions of financial analysts about the conduct of their boards. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(1), 15-44. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2010.48036721>
- Westphal, J. D., & Khanna, P. 2003. Keeping Directors in Line: Social Distancing as a Control Mechanism in the Corporate Elite. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 48(3), 361–398. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3556678>
- Westphal, J. D., & Stern, I. 2007. Flattery Will Get You Everywhere (Especially If You Are A Male Caucasian): How Ingratiation, Boardroom Behavior, And Demographic Minority Status Affect Additional Board Appointments at U.S. Companies. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(2), 267–288. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2007.24634434>
- Westphal, J. D., & Zajac, E. J. 1995. Who shall govern - Ceo/board power, demographic similarity, and new director selection. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 40(1): 60–83. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.2307/2393700>
- Westphal, J. D., & Zajac, E. J. 2001. Decoupling policy from practice: The case of stock repurchase programs. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 46: 202-228. <https://doi.org/10.2307%2F2667086>
- Westphal, J. D., & Zajac, E. J. 2013. A behavioral theory of corporate governance: Explicating the mechanisms of socially situated and socially constituted agency. *The Academy of Management Annals*, 7(1), 607-661. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19416520.2013.783669>
- Wiersema, M. F., & Bantel, K. A. 1992. Top Management Team Demography and Corporate Strategic Change. *Academy of Management Journal*, 35(1), 91–121. <https://doi.org/10.5465/256474>
- Withers, M. C., Hillman, A. J., & Cannella, A. A. 2012. A Multidisciplinary Review of the Director Selection Literature. *Journal of Management*, 38(1), 243–277. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206311428671>
- Wowak, A. J., Mannor, M. J., Arrfelt, M., & McNamara, G. 2016. Earthquake or glacier? How CEO charisma manifests in firm strategy over time. *Strategic Management Journal*, 37(3): 586–603. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2346>
- Yoo, J.W. and R. Reed 2015. The effects of top management team external ties and board composition on the strategic choice of late movers. *Long Range Planning*, 48: 23-34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2013.08.002>
- Young, G. J., Stedham, Y., & Beekun, R. I. 2000. Boards of Directors and the Adoption of a CEO Performance Evaluation Process: Agency - and Institutional - Theory Perspectives. *Journal of Management Studies*, 37(2), 277–296. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6486.00181>
- Zald, M. N. 1969. The power and functions of boards of directors: A theoretical synthesis. *American Journal of Sociology*, 75: 97–111. <https://doi.org/10.1086/224747>
- Zattoni, A., & Cuomo, F. 2010. How independent, competent and incentivized should non-executive directors be? An empirical investigation of good governance codes. *British Journal of Management*, 21(1): 63-79. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2009.00669.x>



- Zhang, Y., LePine, J.A., Buckman, B.R., & Wei, F. 2014. It's not fair... or is it? The role of justice and leadership in explaining work stressor–job performance relationships. *Academy of Management Journal*, 57(3), 675-697. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2011.1110>
- Zhang, Z., Wang, M., & Shi, J. 2012. Leader-Follower Congruence in Proactive Personality and Work Outcomes: The Mediating Role of Leader-Member Exchange. *Academy of Management Journal*, 55(1), 111–130. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2009.0865>
- Zhu, D.H. 2013. Group polarization on corporate boards: Theory and evidence on board decisions about acquisition premiums. *Strategic Management Journal*, 34: 800-822. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2039>
- Zhu, D. H., & Westphal, J. D. 2014. How directors' prior experience with other demographically similar CEOs affects their appointments onto corporate boards and the consequences for CEO compensation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 57(3), 791-813. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2011.0590>