



University of Groningen

Default Mode Network Connectivity and Social Dysfunction in Major Depressive Disorder

Saris, Ilja M. J.; Penninx, Brenda W. J. H.; Dinga, Richard; van Tol, Marie-Jose; Veltman, Dick J.; van der Wee, Nic J. A.; Aghajani, Moji

Published in: Scientific Reports

DOI: 10.1038/s41598-019-57033-2

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2020

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Saris, I. M. J., Penninx, B. W. J. H., Dinga, R., van Tol, M-J., Veltman, D. J., van der Wee, N. J. A., & Aghajani, M. (2020). Default Mode Network Connectivity and Social Dysfunction in Major Depressive Disorder. Scientific Reports, 10(1), [194]. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-57033-2

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

OPEN

Default Mode Network Connectivity and Social Dysfunction in Major Depressive Disorder

Ilja M. J. Saris^{1*}, Brenda W. J. H. Penninx¹, Richard Dinga¹, Marie-Jose van Tol⁴, Dick J. Veltman¹, Nic J. A. van der Wee^{2,3} & Moji Aghajani¹

Though social functioning is often hampered in Major Depressive Disorder (MDD), we lack a complete and integrated understanding of the underlying neurobiology. Connectional disturbances in the brain's Default Mode Network (DMN) might be an associated factor, as they could relate to suboptimal social processing. DMN connectional integrity, however, has not been explicitly studied in relation to social dysfunctioning in MDD patients. Applying Independent Component Analysis and Dual Regression on resting-state fMRI data, we explored DMN intrinsic functional connectivity in relation to social dysfunctioning (i.e. composite of loneliness, social disability, small social network) among 74 MDD patients (66.2% female, Mean age = 36.9, SD = 11.9). Categorical analyses examined whether DMN connectivity differs between high and low social dysfunctioning MDD groups, dimensional analyses studied linear associations between social dysfunction and DMN connectivity across MDD patients. Threshold-free cluster enhancement (TFCE) with family-wise error (FWE) correction was used for statistical thresholding and multiple comparisons correction (P < 0.05). The analyses cautiously linked greater social dysfunctioning among MDD patients to diminished DMN connectivity, specifically within the rostromedial prefrontal cortex and posterior superior frontal gyrus. These preliminary findings pinpoint DMN connectional alterations as potentially germane to social dysfunction in MDD, and may as such improve our understanding of the underlying neurobiology.

Adaptive social functioning is necessary for human survival^{1,2}. Regretfully, social behavior is often severely hampered in neuropsychiatric diseases such as Major Depressive Disorder (MDD)^{3,4} with residual dysfunction remaining even after complete remission of depressive symptoms^{5,6}. Recent data even suggests that successful MDD remission requires not only a decrease in depressive symptoms but also significant improvements in the social domain⁴. Consonant with this premise, dysfunctions in the social domain are considered an important aspect of MDD⁷ and are according to patients one of the most debilitating consequences of the disorder⁸. Social dysfunction has been studied and established in various ways in MDD patients over the years^{7,9}, yet a complete and integrated understanding of the underlying neurobiology is still lacking^{3,4}. Knowledge on how major neurobiological systems may contribute to social dysfunction in MDD could allow novel insights into underlying pathomechanisms and aid clinical care.

A neurobiological system potentially relevant to both social (dys)functioning and MDD pathophysiology is the brain's Default Mode Network (DMN), which has been shown to play a critical role in various aspects of human social behavior¹⁰⁻¹⁴. The complexity of DMN function and its subsystems is reflected by the broad scope of brain areas involved in the DMN¹⁴⁻¹⁶. The DMN consists of 2 subsystems and one mediating core system¹⁵. The core DMN system mainly processes personally relevant, sociocognitive information, with the rostromedial prefrontal cortex (PFC) and posterior cingulate cortex being its key nodes^{14,15}. The medial temporal DMN subsystem is associated with recollection of experiences and autobiographical processing, and is comprised of the hippocampal formation, retrosplenial cortex, inferior parietal lobule, and ventromedial PFC^{14,15}. The dorsal medial DMN subsystem, on the

¹Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam Neuroscience, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ²Department of Psychiatry, Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, The Netherlands. ³Leiden Institute for Brain and Cognition, Leiden, The Netherlands. ⁴BCN Neuroimaging Center, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands. *email: i.saris@amsterdamumc.nl

other hand, is predominantly involved in socially-colored, meta-cognitive processes and mentalizing (i.e., inferences about others' internal state)^{14,15}. The dorsal medial subsystem comprises the temporal poles, lateral temporal cortex, temporoparietal junction, superior frontal gyrus and dorsomedial PFC^{14,15}. The different subsystems of the DMN are highly intertwined and this allows for complex interactions and parallel functioning, which is a key ingredient to DMN modulation of intricate human social behaviors¹⁵. Along the same line, disturbances in one area or subsystem of DMN tend to trigger widespread disruptions across the DMN^{14,15}. A whole-network approach of DMN among socially dysfunctional MDD patients, capturing DMN network connectivity in its entirety, thus seems more adequate as an initial, hypothesis-generating step than investigating DMN subsystems.

Alterations in DMN connectional integrity among MDD patients have been described in several overview papers, and putatively linked to deficits in sociocognitive processes that the DMN seems to subserve (e.g., self-referential processing, mentalizing, emotion recognition/resonance)^{4,17-19}. The most consistent finding across all studies is altered functional connectivity patterns within prefrontal nodes of the DMN, particularly in rostro-medial/ventromedial PFC regions^{17,19-23}. Of note, DMN disturbances are also observed in other neuropsychiatric disorders characterized by severe social dysfunctioning, including autism, schizophrenia and social phobia²⁴⁻²⁶, thus further corroborating the importance of DMN to both normal and disturbed social functioning. As stated by Kaiser *et al.*¹⁸ in their seminal overview paper, specific patterns of network dysfunction may contribute to core deficits in social, cognitive, and affective functions that could trigger clinical symptoms in neuropsychiatric disorders such as MDD. Hence, a functional network approach towards social functioning in MDD offers the opportunity to study the dynamics of interconnected areas that interact to allow adaptive social behavior.

In summary, social function is often severely impaired in MDD, and alterations in key social processes may be reflected by changes in DMN connectivity. To our knowledge, the intrinsic connectional integrity of DMN has not been studied yet in relation to social dysfunction in MDD. Probing how social dysfunction may both categorically and dimensionally²⁷⁻²⁹ relate to DMN connectivity in MDD could, however, further our understanding of underlying neurobiology and plausibly aid future treatment strategies. This premise is increasingly echoed in the field, and in particular by the Pan-European PRISM study³⁰, which upholds that social dysfunction may have a distinct neurobiological signature, be transdiagnostic in nature, and carry clinical/therapeutic relevance. Social functioning, however, is a complex and dynamic process, and often difficult to capture adequately along one specific domain. In order to cover social functioning more broadly and fully, here we assess the cumulative association of three important social dysfunction indices and DMN connectivity within MDD patients. These indices include loneliness, perceived social disability, and small social network; factors not only present among MDD patients in varying levels but also associated with adverse neurobiological changes^{11,31-38}. Using this cumulative social dysfunction score, we explored the effect of social dysfunction on DMN whole-network connectivity among MDD patients, both categorically and dimensionally. The categorical analyses examined whether DMN connectivity differs between high and low social dysfunction MDD groups, while the dimensional analysis tested whether a linear association can be found between social dysfunction and DMN connectivity across MDD patients. Post-hoc sensitivity analyses additionally investigated the influence of current comorbid anxiety disorder, antidepressant use, and depression severity on DMN-social dysfunction relationships.

Results

Sample characteristics. The mean age of the study sample (N=74) was 36.9 years (SD=11.9) and 66.2% were females (Table 1). MDD patients low in social dysfunction were younger, more often female and had a higher level of education. Whereas depressive symptom severity was slightly higher in the MDD high social dysfunction group, the other clinical psychiatric characteristics (comorbid anxiety disorder, antidepressant use, symptom duration, age of onset) did not differ between groups. Within the total sample, 54.1% had a current comorbid anxiety disorder and a mean IDS score of 21.5 (mild depressive symptomatology). Participants had symptom durations of on average 33.5% of all follow-up months and the mean age of onset was 25.1 years. Antidepressants were used by 31.1% of the participants.

Functional connectivity analysis. Twenty functional networks were generated during the probabilistic Independent Component Analysis (ICA) and entered into Dual Regression, with the DMN network being selected for further analysis (Fig. 1 and 2). The DMN has been consistently found across subjects and over time using the same methods as applied here^{39,40}, with DMN architecture also emerging among all of our participants. Using the composite social dysfunction score, we next examined the association of social dysfunction and DMN connectivity in MDD patients, both categorically and dimensionally. Whole-DMN categorical analyses revealed diminished DMN connectivity in high social dysfunction MDD patients, specifically within the rostromedial prefrontal cortex (rmPFC) and posterior superior frontal gyrus (pSFG) subsections of the DMN (TFCE & FWE corrected, P < 0.05) (Fig. 2). Our whole-DMN dimensional analyses similarly suggested a pattern of reduced DMN connectivity as a function of more social dysfunction, though this effect was not statistically significant (TFCE & FWE corrected, P = 0.33). Exploratory analyses revealed that at a more lenient threshold (P < 0.001, uncorrected), the same pattern of diminished DMN connectivity within the left rmPFC and pSFG could be observed, with an average correlation r = -0.43, *P*-uncorrected < 0.001. When we moreover reran the *dimensional analyses* focusing specifically on effect sites from the categorical analysis (i.e., parts of the left rmPFC and pSFG), we similarly found an association between diminished DMN connectivity and higher social dysfunction levels across participants (*TFCE* & *FWE* corrected, P < 0.05) with an average correlation r = -0.58, *P*-corrected < 0.05 (see Fig. 2). Taken as a whole, our analyses cautiously link more severe social dysfunctioning among MDD patients to diminished DMN connectivity, in particular when comparing low and high social dysfunctioning MDD patients.

Sensitivity analyses. The post-hoc sensitivity analyses revealed that between-group differences in DMN connectivity in high vs. low social dysfunctioning MDD groups remained significant, while excluding MDD

Variable	MDD high social dysfunction (N = 37)	MDD low social dysfunction (N = 37)	p-value, effect sizes (Cohen's d Phi)	MDD entire sample (N = 74)
Age (mean \pm SD)	39.8 (11.9)	33.9 (11.3)	0.03; 0.5	36,9 (11,9)
Sex (% female)	51.4%	81.1%	0.01; 0.3	66,2%
Years of education (mean \pm SD)	11.4 (2.0)	12.6 (2.9)	0.03; 0.5	12,0 (2,4)
Number of individuals per scan site				
- UMCG Groningen	15	8		
- LUMC Leiden	15	18		
- Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam	7	11		
Comorbid anxiety disorder (%)	64.7%	43.2%	0.06; -0.2	54.1%
Antidepressant use (%)	40.5%	21.6%	0.08; -0.2	31.1%
Depression severity (IDS) (mean \pm SD)	26.6 (11.1)	19.7 (10.2)	0.01; 0.6	23.1 (11.2)
Symptom duration (% time with symptoms)	39.7%	27.2%	0.06; 0.5	33.5%
Age of onset (years) (mean \pm SD)	25.6 (11.9)	24.7 (10.7)	0.01; 0.01	25.1 (11.3)
Social Dysfunction				
$Standardized/log-transformed$ (mean \pm SD)				
- Social dysfunction composite **	0.9 (0.2)	-0.02 (0.5)	0,00; 2,9	0.4 (0.6)
- Loneliness**	1.0 (0.3)	0.1 (0.7)	0,00; 1,7	0.6 (0.7)
- Perceived social disability**	1.1 (0.3)	0.0 (0.8)	0,00; 1,8	0.6 (0.8)
- Small Social Network**	0.6 (0.3)	-0.2 (1.0)	0,00; 1,1	0.2 (0.9)
Raw (mean ± SD)				
- Loneliness**	8.7 (2.4)	4.2 (2.6)	0,00; 1,8	6,4 (3,4)
- Perceived social disability**	15.7 (3.4)	9.8 (3.7)	0,00; 1,7	12,8 (4,5)
- Small Social Network**	5.1 (0.5)	3.9 (1.0)	0,00; 1.5	4.5 (1.0)

Table 1. Sample Characteristics. Chi-square tests were employed for categorical variables, and independentsample t-test for continuous variables. Effect sizes for continuous data was calculated using Cohen's d, fordichotomous data phi coefficient. Higher scores on social dysfunction measures denote more subjectivelyexperienced social dysfunction. A higher social dysfunction composite score thus indicates more severe socialdysfunction (more loneliness, higher perceived social disability, smaller social network). IDS = Inventory ofdepressive symptomatology. * = P < 0.05; **P < 0.001; ns = not significant at P < 0.05 Note: Data on depressiveduration missing in 1 MDD patient with low social dysfunction. Data on depressive severity missing in 2 MDDpatients: 1 high and 1 low on social dysfunction.

patients with current comorbid anxiety disorders (N = 40 excluded) (F(1,27) = 21.98, P < 0.05) or using antidepressants (N = 23 excluded) (F(1,44) = 66.84, P < 0.05). Including all patients and covarying for comorbidity, antidepressant use, and depression severity, on top of age, sex, education, and scanner location, also did not affect the documented between-group DMN effects (F(1,64) = 48.26, P < 0.05).

Composite score vs. individual social dysfunction indices. We opted to use a cumulative measure of social dysfunctioning and examine its association with DMN connectivity among MDD patients. This cumulative measure was generated by combining three separate questionnaires that were most affected in MDD patients with social dysfunction, as reflected in their medium to large effect sizes (ranging from 0.54-1.19). In addition, for these three questionnaires, there is considerable evidence of their impact on neurobiological indicators^{11,32-37,41-43}. Lastly, these indicators are also employed in the Pan-European PRISM study on the neurobiology of social dysfunction³⁰. Each questionnaire assesses a different domain of social dysfunction: loneliness, perceived social disability, and a small social network. This resulted in a social dysfunction composite index that (1) captures multiple domains of social dysfunctioning at once and more fully than each individual measure separately, (2) makes multiple testing of brain-behavior relations for each individual measure redundant, (3) and allows insight into the *cumulative association* of social dysfunction on brain network connectivity. The fact that the three separate questionnaires were also highly correlated (r = 0.40 - 0.50, Ps < 0.01), and thus prone to multicollinearity, further justifies the use of the composite score rather than individual questionnaires. Moreover, when we reran our connectivity analyses using the total sum scores of each social dysfunction questionnaire (both separately and in one model), no significant DMN effects emerged, and none of the questionnaires' total sum score was predictive of DMN connectivity strength in the effect sites documented here (*TFCE* & *FWE* corrected, Ps > 0.20). These findings thus cautiously hint that the cumulative social dysfunction index is ostensibly better able to pick up subtle brain-behavior relations, at least in this specific dataset, which echoes to some extent the current understanding on the topic⁴.

Discussion

The current study explored the relation between social dysfunctioning (operationalized as a composite of loneliness, perceived disability and small social network) and DMN whole-network connectivity among MDD patients. The analyses cautiously linked greater social dysfunctioning among MDD patients to diminished DMN connectivity, specifically within the rmPFC and pSFG. These preliminary findings pinpoint DMN connectional alterations as potentially germane to social dysfunction in MDD, and may as such improve our understanding of the underlying neurobiology.

Network Identification



Figure 1. Functional connectivity analyses of the Default Mode Network (DMN). Collected resting-state fMRI data were first extensively preprocessed and cleaned⁶⁷. Data from all participants was next concatenated across time and submitted to a probabilistic group independent component analysis (ICA) using MELODIC. The group ICA produced a set of 20 independent spatial maps/components (i.e., functional networks). The set of spatial maps generated by MELODIC was then used to generate subject-specific versions of these spatial maps, and associated time courses, using Dual Regression. That is, for each subject, the group-average set of spatial maps was regressed (as spatial regressors in multiple regression) onto the subject's 4D space-time dataset. This resulted in a set of subject-specific time series, one per group-level spatial map. Next, these time series were regressed (as temporal regressors, again using multiple regression) against the same 4D dataset, resulting in a set of subject-specific spatial maps, one per group-level spatial map. Our component of interest (i.e., DMN) was then selected based on spatial similarity to functional networks described in prior seminal papers on DMN connectivity and architecture. Finally, permutation testing (N = 5000) was used to probe the association between DMN connectivity and social dysfunction, both categorically and dimensionally, while correcting for age, sex, education, and scanner location. Results were adjusted for multiple comparisons using Threshold-Free Cluster Enhancement with Family-Wise Error correction at P < 0.05. Adapted and reprinted with permission from Wiley Periodicals, Inc.: Human Brain Mapping⁷⁸

DMN connectivity and social dysfunction. One of the key findings of this study is diminished connectional integrity of the DMN within its rmPFC subregion among MDD patients with more severe social dysfunction. This finding builds upon prior research suggesting that DMN connectional integrity is not only indispensable to adaptive human social functioning^{10,11,15}, but also to positive social interaction⁴³. It furthermore echoes findings in other neuropsychiatric disorders also characterized by severe social deficits (i.e., schizophrenia, autism), wherein diminished DMN connectivity with its rmPFC node similarly relates to more severe social dysfunctioning^{12,44}. Of note, disruptions across multiple brain networks with the rmPFC as the core region are reported in MDD patients, and tentatively implicated as a key pathological feature of the disorder^{22,23}. The rmPFC region is a key node of the DMN core system and mainly supports self-relevant sociocognitive and socioaffective processes^{14,15}. The rmPFC, as part of the DMN, is for instance activated when one's memory is employed to construct future social scenes⁴⁵, and also supports emotion regulation by drawing on past experiences¹⁰. The rmPFC



Figure 2. DMN connectivity and social dysfunction in MDD patients. The left panel depicts anterior (A), superior (B), and medial (C) views of the DMN (yellow-orange), along with its rmPFC and pSFG subregions (blue) that showed diminished connectivity in MDD patients with high vs. those with low social dysfunction (*TFCE* & *FWE* corrected, P < 0.05). The rmPFC effect site is depicted in figure (C) and the pSFG site in figures (A,B), with black edged circles marking the effect sites for better visibility. The yellow-orange scalar bar represents connectivity strengths (Z-value) within DMN, while the blue scalar bar reflects significance level of between-group differences in DMN connectivity (P-value). The distribution plot (middle panel, D) provides a quantitative visualization of this categorical between-groups effect, wherein mean connectivity estimates from the DMN effect sites (y axis) are plotted for each group separately (x axis). Exploratory dimensional analysis focusing on effect sites from the categorical analysis (i.e., parts of the rmPFC and pSFG), revealed the same pattern of diminished DMN connectivity as a function of higher social dysfunction levels across participants (*TFCE* & *FWE* corrected, P < 0.05). The scatter plot (middle panel, E) provides a quantitative visualization of this effect, wherein mean connectivity estimates from the DMN effect sites (y axis) are plotted against social dysfunction composite scores (x axis). The black line depicts the slope of the association, with the grey bands indicating the 95% confidence interval of the slope. DMN = Default Mode Network; MDD = Major Depressive Disorder; rmPFC = Rostromedial Prefrontal Cortex; pSFG = Posterior Superior Frontal gyrus; TFCE = Threshold Free Cluster Enhancement; FWE = Family-Wise Error.

is also implicated in the so-called "extended social affective default network", which supposedly governs various aspects of higher-order socioaffective information processing⁴⁶. The rmPFC as part of the DMN core system is also crucially involved in coupling between DMN subsystems, which allows for complex interactions and parallel functioning¹⁵. Connectional disturbances in the rmPFC part of the DMN may therefore not only upset functions tightly coupled to this subregion, but also prompt disruptions across DMN subsystems and their associated functions. This certainly fits the behavioral profile of most MDD patients, wherein a host of social dysfunctions tend to surface, ranging from biased self-related processing and social cognition to impaired interpersonal function^{3,4}. These social deficits moreover contribute to greater MDD severity^{3,4}, thus further highlighting the relevance of maladaptive social processing to MDD clinical presentation. Taken as a whole, our finding seems to suggest that diminished DMN connectivity, specifically within its rmPFC subregion, may carry relevance for a wide range of social deficits among more socially dysfunctional MDD patients. Future studies are warranted though to further explore and validate our tentative finding and interpretations, given the complexity of the DMN system and its modulation of intricate human social behavior.

We also found diminished DMN connectivity within the pSFG subregion among the more socially dysfunctional MDD patients. The pSFG is a posterosuperior PFC region that borders the precentral gyrus, and is bounded laterally by the superior frontal and cingulate sulci47. The pSFG is reckoned as a node within the dorsal medial DMN subsystem, and within this role supportive of interpersonal sociocognitive processes such as mentalizing and theory of mind (ability to understand others' intentions/emotions/beliefs/desires)^{14,15,48}. One may thus speculate that adverse connectional changes in this specific DMN subregion as documented here, could reflect biased mentalizing and theory of mind processes critical to adaptive social function. Depressed patients do in some cases indeed show deficits in these sociocognitive processes^{3,4,49,50}, which apparently are to some extent driven by functional anomalies in brain regions that partly fall within the dorsal medial DMN subsystem^{3,4}. Of note, more severe mentalizing and theory of mind deficits seemingly also predict increased MDD severity^{3,4,49}, which again underscores the importance of impaired social functioning to less favorable MDD clinical presentation. It is interesting that mentalizing and theory of mind deficits in other neuropsychiatric disorders also seem to coincide with altered DMN connectivity with its pSFG subregion^{12,51,52}. In sum, our finding may cautiously link altered pSFG connectivity within the DMN to suboptimal interpersonal and social interactive processing in more socially dysfunctional MDD patients. Further investigation and future replication of our finding is warranted though, as within the context of DMN, the contributions of pSFG to (mal)adaptive social processing are still understudied in MDD.

Categorical versus dimensional approach. Social dysfunction and MDD are two intertwined and extremely complex phenomena that seem notoriously difficult to capture along one dimension or methodology⁴. Solely a categorical or dimensional examination of these two intertwined phenomena would likely lead to a fractured understanding of them and cause loss of information. Following this perspective and consonant with an increasing number of recent studies^{27-30,53}, both categorical and dimensional analyses were performed to study the association between social dysfunctioning and DMN connectivity among MDD patients. The dimensional analysis tested whether a linear association could be found between individual participant's composite score and DMN connectivity across participants (i.e., significant slope across group), while the categorical analyses explored whether DMN connectivity differed between the high and low social dysfunction groups (i.e., different slopes for each group). The categorical analyses revealed diminished DMN connectivity among MDD patients with more severe social dysfunctioning. Whole-DMN dimensional analyses similarly revealed a pattern for reduced DMN connectivity as a function of more social dysfunctioning, though this effect did not pass statistical significance. Exploratory dimensional analysis did show that these patterns more prominently echoed that of the categorical analysis, when adopting a more lenient threshold (P < 0.001, uncorrected), or utilizing a region of interest approach. The exploratory nature of these post-hoc analyses, however, does warrant cautious interpretation, as they mainly served to aid transparency and completeness. The distribution of data and amount of variance across participants vs. within groups, the possibility of ceiling effects, and differences in statistical power may explain the subtle differences in outcomes of the categorical vs. dimensional analyses. In addition, one should consider the possibility that contrasting the extremes (as in categories) may better pick up subtle brain-behavior effects, then when enforcing a linear trend that in reality is subthreshold or perhaps not linear in nature. Yet, taken as a whole, both approaches seem to converge on the same pattern by cautiously linking more severe social dysfunctioning among MDD patients to diminished DMN connectivity. Nonetheless, the preliminary nature of the findings and interpretations do necessitate replication and further exploration to fully appreciate their relevance.

Limitations and strengths. The cross-sectional and exploratory nature of this study does not allow for firm causal inferences, and longitudinal research in preferably larger samples is warranted to tackle this limitation. The current study employed a composite index of social dysfunction among MDD patients, which notwithstandingly has its merits as mentioned earlier, but in essence remains a subjective proxy for social disability. Social processing and functioning are multifaceted and complex phenomena, which are hard, if not impossible, to capture and reduce to a numerical value. A more in-depth examination of the composition of social networks or the nature of perceived social disability and loneliness are promising avenues for future research. In the end, the dissection of a complex phenotype such as social dysfunction requires the assessment of as much as possible putative contributors⁴. Although the used questionnaires are validated and specifically developed to study different aspects of social dysfunctioning, a more objective approach of social dysfunctioning would be valuable in complementing subjective self-assessments. However, the NESDA cohort study, from which we include a subset of participants, simply lacks more objective measures. The use of more objective measures is therefore beyond the scope of this paper. Validated questionnaires specifically developed to study different aspects of social (dys)functioning were used instead. This study moreover has a within-patient design, with all participants with depression likely

experiencing some degree of low confidence within the social domain, as this is a disease-inherent feature. This lack of social confidence, however, should not be seen as an additional source of bias in their self-reported social (dys)functioning. The current study and its findings should thus ideally serve as a point of departure or source of hypothesis generation for more in-depth examination of social dysfunction and its biobehavioral underpinnings in the future. We additionally did not include healthy controls in the analyses, as the main objective was to probe the association between social dysfunction and DMN connectivity specifically and exclusively in MDD patients. While some in the field may deem this a potential limitation, an increasing number of seminal studies on MDD neurobiology are employing this within-patient methodology (e.g.^{54,55},), for it may aid the interpretability of findings. This is especially true in situations wherein explanatory and criterion variables of interest both tend to systematically differ between MDD patients and healthy participants (e.g., differences in general neurobiology, range of social dysfunction, clinical and sociodemographic characteristics). Simply correcting for these factors does not fully eliminate their confounding impact, thus rendering the interpretation of findings more arduous. Moreover, the topological architecture of the DMN can be reliably and consistently represented across populations^{40,56}, making the inclusion of healthy controls for the current investigation not a prerequisite. In addition to above-mentioned limitations, it should be noted that the NESDA study excludes patients using non-SSRI antidepressants, which may introduce a selection bias and plausibly mitigate the generalizability of the findings.

Notwithstanding these limitations, our study also has several strengths worth mentioning. It is one of the first studies that explicitly aimed to unravel the neurobiological underpinnings of social dysfunction in MDD. This is of relevance, as social dysfunction has been studied and established in various ways in MDD^{7,9}, though a complete and integrated understanding of the underlying neurobiology is still lacking³. The sample is moreover very well described and rather homogeneous in terms of clinical presentation, with the high and low social dysfunction groups being not much different on key clinical parameters. We also corrected for relevant clinical and sociode-mographic factors, which collectively aid the reliability of the study findings.

Conclusions

In summary, our preliminary findings cautiously link greater social dysfunctioning among MDD patients to diminished DMN connectivity, specifically within its rmPFC and pSFG subregions. The findings seem to provide relevant, yet preliminary, clues on the neurobiology underlying social dysfunction in MDD, by highlighting DMN connectional disturbances as a potentially important factor. These initial exploratory findings should be further explored and validated, ideally through multimodal examination of DMN connectivity and complex network analyses (e.g., graph theory), to attain a more fine-grained representation of DMN and its network dynamics. The current findings could plausibly serve as a point of departure or source of hypothesis generation for these future endeavors.

Methods

Participants. Participants were recruited from the longitudinal, naturalistic Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NESDA⁵⁷). The study protocol for NESDA was carried out in accordance with guidelines approved by the Ethical Review Board of the VU University Medical Centre and by local review boards at each participating centre (University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG), Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC)). Informed written consent was given by all participants. DSM-IV diagnoses of current (6-month recency) MDD were established using the Composite International Diagnostic Interview lifetime version 2.1. Exclusion criteria for MDD patients within the NESDA-MRI study were the presence of Axis I disorders other than depressive or anxiety disorders (i.e., panic, social anxiety and/or generalized anxiety disorder), use of psychotropic medication other than a stable use of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors or infrequent benzodiazepine use, presence or history of major internal or neurological disorder, dependency or recent abuse (past year) of alcohol or drugs, hypertension, presence of MRI-contraindications and not being fluent in Dutch language. MDD patients were recruited through general practitioners, primary care, and specialized mental care institutions. Resting-state fMRI data were available for 120 participants with depression. Participants were excluded if their fMRI images were of substandard quality (e.g., due to movements or technical issues, N = 24) or data were missing on social dysfunctioning questionnaires (N = 22). We included 74 individuals with a 6-month DSM-IV diagnosis of MDD (mean age = 36.9, SD = 11.9; 66.2% female).

Social dysfunction. To cover social dysfunctioning a social composite score was calculated using three validated (subscales of) questionnaires that probed loneliness, perceived social disability, and small social network size. These three proxy indicators are moderately correlated with each other (r = 0.40-0.50, Ps < 0.01) and have been shown predictive of social dysfunctioning and adverse neurobiological changes^{11,32-37,41-43}. These three indicators of social dysfunctioning moreover emerged as being prominently affected in MDD patients as compared to healthy controls in a separate study by our group (effect sizes ranging from 0.54 to 1.19³⁸), and also are employed in the Pan-European PRISM study on the neurobiology of social dysfunction³⁰. Subjective feelings of loneliness were measured using the loneliness questionnaire⁵⁸, which consists of 11 items that are scored on a 3-point Likert scale. Perceived social disability, or difficulties in making new or maintaining friendships, was measured using the social interaction subscale domain of the World Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule (WHO-DAS)^{59,60}, which consists of 5 items that are scored on 5-point Likert scale. Social network size was assessed using the close person inventory^{61,62}, wherein the number of adults with whom the participant has regular and important contact with is scored on a 6-point ordinal scale (number of individuals in a network: >20, 16–20, 11–15, 6–10, 2–5, 0–1). The social network size scores were reversed, so that in line with the other two questionnaires higher scores would denote more social dysfunction, hence allowing for a more intuitive and reliable composite score. In line with prior work⁶³, this composite score was calculated by first log transforming and standardizing the individual questionnaire scores, subsequently summing them up, and then dividing the sum by three. A higher composite score thus indicates more social dysfunction (more loneliness, higher perceived social disability, smaller social network). The correlations between this composite score and the individual questionnaires were all above r = 0.73 (*P*'s < 0.001). This social dysfunction composite score thus captures multiple domains of social dysfunctioning at once and more fully, makes multiple testing of each individual measure redundant, and allows insight into the cumulative effect of social dysfunction on brain network connectivity.

MRI data acquisition. Imaging data were acquired using Philips 3 T MR- systems (Best, the Netherlands) located at the LUMC, AMC, and UMCG, equipped with a SENSE-8 (LUMC and UMCG) and a SENSE-6 (AMC) channel head coil respectively. Resting-state fMRI (RS-fMRI) data were acquired using a T2-weighted gradient echo echo-planar imaging with the following scan parameters in Amsterdam and Leiden: 200 whole-brain volumes; repetition time (TR) 2300 ms; echo time 30 ms; flip angle 801; 35 transverse slices; no slice gap; field of view 220×220 mm; in-plane voxel size 2.3×2.3 mm; slice thickness 3 mm; duration 7.51 min. Parameters in Groningen were identical, apart from: echo time 28 ms; 39 transverse slices; in-plane voxel size 3.45×3.45 mm. A sagittal 3-dimensional gradient-echo T1-weighted image was acquired for registration purposes and gray matter analysis with the following scan parameters: repetition time 9 ms; echo time 3.5 ms; flip angle 801; 170 sagittal slices; no slice gap; field of view 256×256 mm; 1 mm isotropic voxels; duration 4.5 min. In the darkened MRI room participants were instructed to lie still with their eyes closed and not to fall asleep. Participants confirmed wakefulness after the scanning session. No abnormalities were found upon inspection of the subjects' structural images by a neuroradiologist.

MRI data preprocessing. The RS-fMRI imaging data was preprocessed and analyzed using sing FMRIB Software Library (FSL) version 5.0.10 and included removing of scanner, (micro)motion, and physiological artefacts using a combination of FSL FIX⁶⁴, ICA-AROMA⁶⁵, motion correction (realignment) using McFLIRT⁶⁶, grand mean scaling, spatial smoothing with 6 mm Gaussian kernel, high pass filtering (Gaussian-weighted least-squares straight line fitting with a 0.01 Hz cut-off) and is described in depth elsewhere⁶⁷. Additional nuisance signal regression was performed according to pipeline recommended in (50) and consisted of regressing mean signals from the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and white matter (WM). CSF and WM masks were obtained by multiplying subject-specific T1 segmentations obtained using FSL's FAST⁶⁸ with the MNI152-based CSF and WM anatomical priors provided as part of FSL and thresholded with a 0.95 threshold. The resulting RS-fMRI images were registered to Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space using transformation matrices obtained from the first co-registration of functional images to T1 image using the FLIRT boundary based registration tool⁶⁹ and registering the T1 images to MNI template brain using FMRIB's linear image registration tool (FLIRT)⁷⁰. Participants were excluded if head movement was above 2.5 mm | 0.4 rad, or if functional images were of insufficient quality.

Functional connectivity analysis. Figure 1 depicts the analytical pipeline employed in this study, which we will further outline in the following paragraphs. Functional connectivity analysis was carried out using probabilistic Independent Component Analysis (ICA71;), as implemented in FSL's Multivariate Exploratory Linear Decomposition into Independent Components tool (MELODIC). Default group ICA processing steps were applied to the individual preprocessed and normalized data sets: masking of non-brain voxels, voxel-wise de-meaning of the data, and normalization of the voxel-wise variance based on all data sets. Subsequently, the preprocessed data were concatenated in time to create a single 4D data set that was then projected into a 20-dimensional subspace using principal component analysis. The observations were decomposed into 20 sets of independent vectors that describe signal variation across the temporal (time courses) and spatial (maps) domains by optimizing for non-Gaussian spatial source distributions using a fixed-point iteration technique. We chose to use 20 independent components to reach the same balance between the amount of clustering and splitting as previous studies applying the same techniques and capture the complete DMN^{40,56}. In short, probabilistic ICA within MELODIC thus uses all the data available within the fMRI dataset to decompose the entire temporal fMRI dataset into independent spatial components, which relate to intrinsically connected functional brain networks. The set of spatial maps/components generated by MELODIC was used to generate subject-specific versions of the spatial maps, and associated time courses, using Dual Regression⁷². That is, for each subject, the group-average set of spatial maps was regressed (as spatial regressors in multiple regression) onto the subject's 4D space-time dataset. This resulted in a set of subject-specific time series, one per group-level spatial map. Next, these time series were regressed (as temporal regressors, again using multiple regression) against the same 4D dataset, resulting in a set of subject-specific spatial maps, one per group-level spatial map.

Our component of interest (i.e., DMN; Fig. 1) was then selected based on spatial similarity to functional networks described in prior seminal papers on DMN large-scale connectivity and architecture (e.g. 40,72 ,). This component, reflecting the DMN, included the vmPFC, posterior cingulate, retrosplenial cortex, inferior parietal lobule, lateral temporal cortex, and dmPFC. The composite social dysfunction score was used in subsequent statistical inferences to assess the relation between social dysfunction and DMN connectivity in MDD patients, both categorically and dimensionally. In the categorical analyses, MDD patients were divided into a high and a low social dysfunction group based on the group median of the social dysfunction composite (Median = 0.44), and the analyses examined whether the association between composite and DMN connectivity differed between the two groups. The dimensional analysis included all MDD patients in one large group and assessed whether across participants a linear association could be found between individual participant's composite score and DMN connectivity.

All statistical analyses were performed using FSL's non-parametric, permutation-based Randomise tool⁷³, which included 5000 random permutations to build up the null distribution of the cluster size statistic while testing our contrasts of interest in the categorical and dimensional analyses. Four nuisance regressors (all demeaned across participants) describing age, sex, education, and scanner location were added to the model. Statistical maps were thresholded using Threshold-Free Cluster Enhancement (TFCE⁷⁴,) with family-wise error (FWE) correction at P < 0.05 to control for multiple comparisons. TFCE is currently one of the most robust methods for finding significant "clusters" in voxelwise MRI data, without having to define clusters in a binary^{74,75}. Cluster-like structures are enhanced but the image remains fundamentally voxelwise⁷⁴. The control of multiple comparisons across relevant voxels was achieved through sequential/serial FWE-correction⁷⁴ with $\alpha = 0.05$, meaning the chance of false positives occurring over the entire voxel space is no more than 5%.

Sensitivity analyses. Similar to prior work^{76,77}, we performed post-hoc sensitivity analyses to examine the association between current comorbid anxiety disorders and antidepressant use and DMN connectivity. Using individual participants' connectivity strength level (i.e., mean *Z*-scores) within DMN regions of significant effect, analyses of variance (ANOVA's) were conducted to compare MDD patients with high versus low social dysfunction, excluding either those with a comorbid disorder or those using antidepressants. Finally, we explored whether covarying for comorbidity and antidepressant use, on top of age, sex, education, and scanner location, would affect any of the findings. All analyses were done using SPSS version 22.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois).

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from www.nesda.nl but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available. Data are however available from the authors upon reasonable request from www.nesda.nl.

Received: 26 March 2019; Accepted: 20 November 2019; Published online: 13 January 2020

References

- 1. Eisenberger, N. I. & Cole, S. W. Social neuroscience and health: neurophysiological mechanisms linking social ties with physical health. *Nat. Neurosci.* 15, 669–74 (2012).
- Valtorta, N. K., Kanaan, M., Gilbody, S. & Hanratty, B. Loneliness, social isolation and risk of cardiovascular disease in the English Longituidnal Study of Ageing. Eur. J. Prev. Cardiol. Online fir. (2018).
- 3. Kupferberg, A., Bicks, L. & Hasler, G. Social functioning in major depressive disorder. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 69, 313–332 (2016).
- 4. Porcelli, S. *et al.* Social brain, social dysfunction and social withdrawal. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **97**, 10–33 (2019).
- 5. Ormel, J. *et al.* Depression, anxiety, and social disability show synchrony of change in primary care patients. *Am. J. Public Health* **83**, 9–12 (1993).
- Kennedy, N., Foy, K., Sherazi, R., McDonough, M. & McKeon, P. Long-term social functioning after depression treated by psychiatrists: A review. *Bipolar Disord.* 9, 25–37 (2007).
- 7. Hirschfeld, R. M. et al. Social functioning in depression: a review. J. Clin. Psychiatry 61, 268–75 (2000).
- Zimmerman, M. et al. How Should Remission From Depression Be Defined? The Depressed Patient's Perspective. Am. J. Psychiatry 163, 148–150 (2006).
- 9. Santini, Z. I., Koyanagi, A., Tyrovolas, S., Mason, C. & Haro, J. M. The association between social relationships and depression: A systematic review. J. Affect. Disord. 175, 53–65 (2015).
- 10. Li, W., Mai, X. & Liu, C. The default mode network and social understanding of others: what do brain connectivity studies tell us. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 8, 74 (2014).
- Mars, R. B. *et al.* On the relationship between the "default mode network" and the "social brain". *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 6, 1–9 (2012).
 Padmanabhan, A., Lynch, C. J., Schaer, M. & Menon, V. The Default Mode Network in Autism. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2017.04.004 (2017).
- Schilbach, L., Eickhoff, S. B., Rotarska-Jagiela, A., Fink, G. R. & Vogeley, K. Minds at rest? Social cognition as the default mode of cognizing and its putative relationship to the 'default system' of the brain. *Conscious. Cogn*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. concog.2008.03.013 (2008).
- Spreng, R. N. & Andrews-Hanna, J. R. The Default Network and Social Cognition. in Brain Mapping: An Encyclopedic Reference, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-397025-1.00173-1 (2015).
- Andrews-Hanna, J. R., Smallwood, J. & Spreng, R. N. The default network and self-generated thought: Component processes, dynamic control, and clinical relevance. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci, https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12360 (2014).
- Buckner, R. L., Andrews-Hanna, J. R. & Schacter, D. L. The brain's default network: Anatomy, function, and relevance to disease. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1440.011 (2008).
- 17. Mulders, P. C., van Eijndhoven, P. F., Schene, A. H., Beckmann, C. F. & Tendolkar, I. Resting-state functional connectivity in major depressive disorder: A review. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 56, 330–344 (2015).
- Kaiser, R. H., Andrews-Hanna, J. R., Wager, T. D. & Pizzagalli, D. A. Large-Scale Network Dysfunction in Major Depressive Disorder. JAMA. *Psychiatry* 02478, 603–611 (2015).
- 19. Greicius, M. D. *et al.* Resting-state Functional Connectivity in Major Depression: Abnormally Increased Contributions from Subgeneual Cingulate Cortex and Thalamus. *Bio* **65**, 429–437 (2007).
- Dutta, A., McKie, S. & Deakin, J. F. W. Resting state networks in major depressive disorder. *Psychiatry Res. Neuroimaging* 224, 139–151 (2014).
- Zhu, X. et al. Evidence of a dissociation pattern in resting-state default mode network connectivity in first-episode, treatment-naive major depression patients. Biol. Psychiatry, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.10.035 (2012).
- Scheinost, D. *et al.* Multimodal Investigation of Network Level Effects Using Intrinsic Functional Connectivity, Anatomical Covariance, and Structure-to-Function Correlations in Unmedicated Major Depressive Disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 43, 1119–1127 (2018).
- Murrough, J. W. et al. Reduced global functional connectivity of the medial prefrontal cortex in major depressive disorder. Hum. Brain Mapp. 37, 3214–3223 (2016).
- 24. Mazza, M. *et al.* Dysfunctional neural networks associated with impaired social interactions in early psychosis: An ICA analysis. *Brain Imaging Behav.* 7, 248–259 (2013).
- Di Martino, A. et al. Functional brain correlates of social and non-social processes in autism spectrum disorders: an ALE metaanalysis. Biol. Psychiatry 65, 63–74 (2009).
- 26. Arnold Anteraper, S. *et al.* Hyper-connectivity of subcortical resting-state networks in social anxiety disorder. *Brain Connect.* **4**, 81–90 (2014).

- Gur, R. E., Moore, T. M., Calkins, M. E., Ruparel, K. & Gur, R. C. Face Processing Measures of Social Cognition: A Dimensional Approach to Developmental Psychopathology. *Biol. Psychiatry Cogn. Neurosci. Neuroimaging* 2, 502–509 (2017).
- 28. Fuchs, T. Subjectivity and Intersubjectivity in Psychiatric Diagnosis. Psychopathology 43, 268-274 (2010).
- Hudziak, J. J., Achenbach, T. M., Althoff, R. R. & Pine, D. S. A Dimensional approach to developmental psychopathology. Int. J. Methods Psychiatr. Res. 16(Suppl 1), S16–23 (2007).
- Kas, M. J. et al. A quantitative approach to neuropsychiatry: The why and the how. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev, https://doi.org/10.1016/J. NEUBIOREV.2017.12.008 (2017).
- 31. Kraft, I. et al. Quality of life is related to the functional connectivity of the default mode network at rest, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11682-018-9954-5 (2017).
- Cacioppo, J. T., Norris, C. J., Decety, J. & Monteleone, G. In the Eye of the Beholder: Individual Differences in Perceived Social Isolation Predict Regional Brain Activation to Social Stimuli. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 21, 83–92 (2009).
- Cacioppo, S., Capitanio, J. P. & Cacioppo, J. T. Toward a neurology of loneliness. *Psychological bulletin*, https://doi.org/10.1037/ a0037618 (2014).
- 34. Nakagawa, S. et al. White matter structures associated with loneliness in young adults. Sci. Rep. 5, 1–11 (2015).
- Roiz-Santiáñez, R. et al. Three-year longitudinal population-based volumetric MRI study in first-episode schizophrenia spectrum patients. Psychol. Med. 44, 1591–1604 (2014).
- Noonan, M. P., Mars, R. B., Sallet, J., Dunbar, R. I. M. & Fellows, L. K. The structural and functional brain networks that support human social networks. Behav. Brain Res. 0–1, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2018.02.019 (2018).
- Lewis, P. A., Rezaie, R., Brown, R., Roberts, N. & Dunbar, R. I. M. Ventromedial prefrontal volume predicts understanding of others and social network size. *Neuroimage* 57, 1624–1629 (2011).
- Saris, I. M. J., Aghajani, M., Werff, S. J. A., Wee, N. J. A. & Penninx, B. W. J. H. Social functioning in patients with depressive and anxiety disorders. Acta Psychiatr. Scand, https://doi.org/10.1111/ACPS.12774, (2017).
- Smith, S. M. et al. Correspondence of the brain's functional architecture during activation and rest. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/19620724-correspondence-of-the-brains-functional-architecture-during-activation-and-rest/ (2009).
- 40. Laird, A. R. et al. Behavioral Interpretations of Intrinsic Connectivity Networks. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 23, 4022-4037 (2011).
- 41. Bickart, K. C., Wright, C. I., Dautoff, R. J., Dickerson, B. C. & Barrett, L. F. Amygdala volume and social network size in humans. *Nat. Neurosci.* 14, 163–164 (2011).
- 42. Hill, R. A. & Dunbar, R. I. M. Social network size in humans. Hum. Nat. 14, 53-72 (2003).
- 43. Che, X. *et al.* Synchronous activation within the default mode network correlates with perceived social support. *Neuropsychologia* 63, 26–33 (2014).
- 44. Fox, J. M. et al. Default mode functional connectivity is associated with social functioning in schizophrenia. J. Abnorm. Psychol. 126, 392–405 (2017).
- Andrews-hanna, J. R., Reidler, J. S., Sepulcre, J., Poulin, R. & Buckner, R. L. Functional-Anatomic Fractionation of the Brain's Default Network. Neuron 65, 550–562 (2010).
- Amft, M. et al. Definition and chracterization of an extended social-affective default network. Brain Structure and Function 220 (2015).
- Li, W. et al. Subregions of the human superior frontal gyrus and their connections. Neuroimage, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2013.04.011 (2013).
- Andrews-Hanna, J. R. The brain's default network and its adaptive role in internal mentation. *Neuroscientist*, https://doi. org/10.1177/1073858411403316 (2012).
- 49. Bora, E. & Berk, M. Theory of mind in major depressive disorder: A meta-analysis. J. Affect. Disord. 191, 49-55 (2016).
- 50. Fujino, J. et al. Altered brain response to others' pain in major depressive disorder. J. Affect. Disord. 165, 170-175 (2014).
- Guo, W. et al. Abnormal default-mode network homogeneity in first-episode, drug-naive schizophrenia at rest. Prog. Neuro-Psychopharmacology Biol. Psychiatry 49, 16–20 (2014).
- Fox, J. M. et al. Default mode functional connectivity is associated with social functioning in schizophrenia: Correction to Fox et al. (2017). J. Abnorm. Psychol. 126, 693–693 (2017).
- Fuchs, T. & Pallagrosi, M. Phenomenology of Temporality and Dimensional Psychopathology. In Dimensional Psychopathology 287-300 (2018).
- Felger, J. C. et al. Inflammation is associated with decreased functional connectivity within corticostriatal reward circuitry in depression. Mol. Psychiatry, https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2015.168 (2016).
- Mehta, N. D. et al. Inflammation negatively correlates with amygdala-ventromedial prefrontal functional connectivity in association with anxiety in patients with depression: Preliminary results. Brain. Behav. Immun. 73, 725–730 (2018).
- Smith, S. M. et al. Correspondence of the brain's functional architecture during activation and rest. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 106, 13040-5 (2009).
- Penninx, B. W. J. H. et al. The Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NESDA): rationale, objectives and methods. Int. J. Methods Psychiatr. Res. 17, 121–40 (2008).
- 58. de Jong-Gierveld, J. & Kamphuis, F. The Development of a Rasch-Type Loneliness Scale. Appl. Psychol. Meas. 9, 289-299 (1985).
- Chwastiak, L. A. & Von Korff, M. Disability in depression and back pain: Evaluation of the World Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule (WHO DAS II) in a primary care setting. J. Clin. Epidemiol. 56, 507–514 (2003).
- 60. Ustün, T. Bedirhan *et al.* Developing the World Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule 2.0. Bulletin of the World Health Organization vol. 88(11), 815–23, https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.09.067231, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21076562-developing-the-world-health-organization-disability-assessment-schedule-20/?from_term=developing+the+whodas+AND+ustun&from_sort=date&from_pos=1 (2010).
- Fuhrer, R. & Stansfeld, S. A. How gender affects patterns of social relations and their impact on health: A comparison of one or multiple sources of support from 'close persons'. Soc. Sci. Med. 54, 811–825 (2002).
- Stansfeld, S. & Marmot, M. Deriving a survey measure of social support: the reliability and validity of the Close Persons Questionnaire. Soc. Sci. Med. 35, 1027–35 (1992).
- Gaspersz, R. et al. The role of anxious distress in immune dysregulation in patients with major depressive disorder. Transl. Psychiatry, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-017-0016-3 (2017).
- 64. Salimi-Khorshidi, G. et al. Automatic denoising of functional MRI data: Combining independent component analysis and hierarchical fusion of classifiers. *Neuroimage* **90**, 449–468 (2014).
- Pruim, R. H. R. et al. ICA-AROMA: A robust ICA-based strategy for removing motion artifacts from fMRI data. Neuroimage 112, 267–277 (2015).
- Jenkinson, M., Bannister, P., Brady, M. & Smith, S. Improved optimization for the robust and accurate linear registration and motion correction of brain images. *Neuroimage*, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-8119(02)91132-8 (2002).
- 67. Dinga, R. *et al.* Evaluating the evidence for biotypes of depression: Methodological replication and extension of Drysdale *et al.* (2017). *NeuroImage Clin.* **22** (2019).
- Zhang, Y., Brady, M. & Smith, S. Segmentation of brain MR images through a hidden Markov random field model and the expectation-maximization algorithm. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* 20, 45–57 (2001).
- 69. Greve, D. N. & Fischl, B. Accurate and robust brain image alignment using boundary-based registration. *Neuroimage* **48**, 63–72 (2009).

- 70. Jenkinson, M. & Smith, S. A global optimisation method for robust affine registration of brain images. *Med. Image Anal.* 5, 143–156 (2001).
- 71. Beckmann, C. Investigations into resting-state connectivity using independent component analysis. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc.* 360, 1001–1013 (2005).
- 72. Beckmann, C., Mackay, C., Filippini, N. & Smith, S. Group comparison of resting-state FMRI data using multi-subject ICA and dual regression. *Neuroimage* 47, S148 (2009).
- Winkler, A. M., Ridgway, G. R., Webster, M. A., Smith, S. M. & Nichols, T. E. Permutation inference for the general linear model. *Neuroimage* 92, 381–397 (2014).
- 74. Smith, S. M. & Nichols, T. E. Threshold-free cluster enhancement: addressing problems of smoothing, threshold dependence and localisation in cluster inference. *Neuroimage* 44, 83–98 (2009).
- Eklund, A., Nichols, T. E. & Knutsson, H. Cluster failure: Why fMRI inferences for spatial extent have inflated false-positive rates. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 113, 7900–7905 (2016).
- Roy, A. K. *et al.* Intrinsic functional connectivity of amygdala-based networks in adolescent generalized anxiety disorder. J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry 52, 290–299 (2013).
- 77. Cullen, K. R. *et al.* Abnormal amygdala resting-state functional connectivity in adolescent depression. *JAMA Psychiatry2* 71, 1138–1147 (2014).
- Smith, D. V., Sip, K. E. & Delgado, M. R. Functional connectivity with distinct neural networks tracks fluctuations in gain/loss framing susceptibility. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 36, 2743–2755 (2015).

Acknowledgements

The infrastructure for the NESDA study (www.nesda.nl) is funded through the Geestkracht program of the Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMw, grant number 10-000-1002) and financial contributions by participating universities and mental health care organizations (VU University Medical Center, GGZ inGeest, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden University, GGZ Rivierduinen, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Lentis, GGZ Friesland, GGZ Drenthe, Rob Giel Onderzoekscentrum). Analyses were supported by the EU-PRISM Project (www.prism-project.eu), which has received funding from the Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking under grant agreement No 115916. This Joint Undertaking receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme and EFPIA. This publication reflects only the authors' views neither IMI JU nor EFPIA nor the European Commission are liable for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

Author contributions

I.M.J.S. and M.A. performed the analyses. I.M.J.S., B.W.J.H.P. and M.A. conceived the study, interpreted the data and wrote the main manuscript. M.A. prepared Figures 1 & 2. B.W.J.H.P., R.D., MJ.T., D.V. and N.J.A.W. contributed to the samples used in this manuscript and revised the article.

Competing interests

B.P. received (non-related) research funding from Boehringer Ingelheim and Jansen Research.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to I.M.J.S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020