





The symbiotic bacteria Alcaligenes faecalis of the entomopathogenic nematodes Oscheius spp. exhibit potential biocontrol of plant- and entomopathogenic fungi

Shan, Shaojie; Wang, Wenwu; Song, Chunxu; Wang, Minggang; Sun, Bingjiao; Li, Yang; Fu, Yaqi; Gu, Xinghui; Ruan, Weibin; Rasmann, Sergio

Published in: Microbial Biotechnology

DOI: 10.1111/1751-7915.13365

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2019

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Shan, S., Wang, W., Song, C., Wang, M., Sun, B., Li, Y., Fu, Y., Gu, X., Ruan, W., & Rasmann, S. (2019). The symbiotic bacteria Alcaligenes faecalis of the entomopathogenic nematodes Oscheius spp. exhibit potential biocontrol of plant- and entomopathogenic fungi. *Microbial Biotechnology*, *12*(3), 459-471. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.13365

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

microbial biotechnology

The symbiotic bacteria *Alcaligenes faecalis* of the entomopathogenic nematodes *Oscheius* spp. exhibit potential biocontrol of plant- and entomopathogenic fungi

Shaojie Shan,¹ D Wenwu Wang,¹ Chunxu Song,² D Minggang Wang,³ B Bingjiao Sun,¹ D Yang Li,¹ D Yaqi Fu,¹ Xinghui Gu,⁴ Weibin Ruan^{1,*} D and Sergio Rasmann⁵ D ¹College of Life Sciences, Nankai University, Tianjin

300071, China.

 ²Department of Molecular Genetics, Groningen Biomolecular Sciences and Biotechnology Institute, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands.
³Department of Plant Protection Biology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, PO Box 102, SE-23053 Alnarp, Sweden.

⁴Disease and Insect Bio-control Engineering Research Center of National Tobacco Industry, Yuxi, 653100 Yunnan, China.

⁵Laboratory of Animal Ecology and Entomology, Institute of Zoology, University of Neuchâtel, CP 2, CH-2007 Neuchâtel, Switzerland.

Summary

Soil-dwelling entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) kill arthropod hosts by injecting their symbiotic bacteria into the host hemolymph and feed on the bacteria and the tissue of the dying host for several generations cycles until the arthropod cadaver is completely depleted. The EPN-bacteria-arthropod cadaver complex represents a rich energy source for the surrounding opportunistic soil fungal biota and other competitors. We hypothesized that EPNs need to protect their food source until depletion and that the EPN symbiotic bacteria produce volatile and non-volatile exudations that deter different soil

Received 21 September, 2018; accepted 13 December, 2018. *For correspondence. E-mail ruanweibin2004@hotmail.com; Tel. +86 2223504397; Fax +86 2223508800. *Microbial Biotechnology* (2019) **12**(3), 459–471 doi:10.1111/1751-7915.13365 **Funding Information**

This work was jointly supported by National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFD0201002) and Natural Science Foundation of China (31470495), China Yunnan Provincial Company of National Tobacco Corporation (2017YN15) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (B08011) to Dr. Ruan.

fungal groups in the soil. We isolated the symbiotic bacteria species (*Alcaligenes faecalis*) from the EPN *Oscheius* spp. and ran infectivity bioassays against entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) as well as against plant pathogenic fungi (PPF). We found that both volatile and non-volatile symbiotic bacterial exudations had negative effects on both EPF and PPF. Such deterrent function on functionally different fungal strains suggests a common mode of action of *A. faecalis* bacterial exudates, which has the potential to influence the structure of soil microbial communities, and could be integrated into pest management programs for increasing crop protection against fungal pathogens.

Introduction

Soil-dwelling nematodes (phylum Nematoda) occupy a large fraction of the soil's living matter and are key biotic agents influencing several ecosystem functions such as ecosystem productivity, soil organic matter decomposition and nutrient cycling (Kaya and Gaugler, 1993; Williamson and Gleason, 2003; Yeates et al., 2009; Kergunteuil et al., 2016). Among soil nematodes, entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs), which are obligate predators of arthropods, are widely studied because of their efficiency in controlling arthropod pests of crops (Poinar, 1990) in a variety of agro-ecosystems (Shapiro-Ilan et al., 2006; Heve et al., 2016). While most of the EPNs are known belonging to the genera Heterorhabditis and Steinernema, recently, Oscheius spp. was also found to be entomopathogenic, carrying several bacteria species (Park et al., 2011). The mode of infection of EPNs in commercial can be summarized as follows. First, the third-instar, free-living, infective juveniles (IJs) enter the arthropod host through the mouth, spiracles and anus. Second, the nematodes release symbiotic bacteria from the intestines into the insect hemolymph. Third, the bacteria multiply rapidly and kill the host within 48 h, while the young nematodes feast on the bacteria and the tissue of the dying insect, grow and reproduce within the dead host (Wang and Gaugler, 1998). When the host cadaver is depleted of resources, third instar EPNs and their

© 2019 The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Applied Microbiology. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

symbiotic bacteria emerge as the next generation of infective juveniles (IJs) in the soil, ready for searching and entering a novel host (Poinar, 1990).

The EPN-bacteria-arthropod cadaver complex, in turn, can also serve as energy source for the surrounding soil biota. For instance, entomopathogenic fungi (Resquín-Romero et al., 2016), entomopathogenic bacteria (Mishra, 2018), predatory insects (Zhou et al., 2002; Fenton et al., 2011) and other free-living nematodes (Campos-Herrera et al., 2015) could all take advantage of this newly formed energy source in the soil. Therefore, to be successful and evolutionary stable, the EPN-bacteria mutualism should put in place strategies to prevent the attack from all those other opportunistic scavengers. Non-volatile and volatile metabolites have been shown to play a crucial role in mediating interactions within the soil ecosystem (Rasmann and Turlings, 2016; van Dam and Bouwmeester, 2016). For example, non-volatile root exudates have been shown to shape soil fungal community composition and diversity (Broeckling et al., 2008). Similarly, volatile organic compounds have been shown to mediate a multitude of interactions among plant and other soil biota, or among soil biota (Weisskopf et al., 2016; Bailly and Weisskopf, 2017). These volatiles can promote plant root development (Bailly et al., 2014) or defend plants against infection by root pathogens (Sohrabi et al., 2017). Furthermore, these volatiles can also be used by EPNs as cues to locate their hosts near the site of root wounding (Rasmann et al., 2005; Ali et al., 2010). Interestingly, it was shown that EPNssymbiotic bacteria are constantly releasing volatile and non-volatile metabolites into soil (Jones et al., 2017), therefore potentially mediating biotic interactions.

We here hypothesized that EPN-symbiotic entomopathogenic bacteria volatile and non-volatile exudations can act as deterrent against a wide range of soil microbes. Specifically, we asked whether the exudation of EPN-mutualistic bacteria could affect the growth of (i) entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) and (ii) plant pathogenic fungi (PPF). By testing different fungal guilds of the soil, we aimed at detecting a generalizable activity of bacterial exudation on the surrounding fungal community. We designed a series of infectivity bioassays using symbiotic bacteria from field-isolated EPNs. We ran these bioassays adding non-volatile and volatile bacteria exudates and analysed the active compounds in these chemicals. If entomopathogenic bacteria could deter entomopathogenic fungi, this could contribute maintaining entomopathogenic nematode populations, and in turn favour their control effect on arthropod pests of plants. If entomopathogenic bacterial could deter plant-pathogenic fungi, this could directly favour plant performance (Fig. S1).

Results

Antifungal effect of entomopathogenic bacteria non-volatile compounds

Petri dish experiment. We found that in the presence of EPB A. faecalis, the colony size of all three EPFs did not change from the initial size of 5 mm in diameter, while in the absence of EPB, the colony size increased dramatically for all fungal species to an average of about diameter 40 mm in (Fia. 1A. treatment effect. $F_{1.87} = 33125, P < 0.0001$). The size of the colony differed among EPF species (Fig. 1A, species effect, $F_{3.87} = 181$, P < 0.0001, and species \times treatment effect, $F_{3.87} = 181$, P < 0.0001). The cell-free experiment corroborated the above results and showed a strong inhibitory effect of the EPB filtrate on fungal colony size, but this was dose dependent. Only the 5% and 10% dilutions showed a significant inhibition of the fungal colony (Fig. 1B, treatment effect, $F_{3.87} = 263$, P < 0 .0001). The size of the colony differed among EPF species (Fig. 1B, species effect, $F_{3.87} = 2524$, P < 0.0001, and species \times treatment effect, $F_{3.87} = 1.52$, P = 0.14).

Cherry tomato fruit experiment. We found a strong inhibitory effect of EPB *A. faecalis* on the development of the PPF *B. cinerea* on tomato fruits, in which damage severity dropped three points in average when the PPF were co-inoculated with EPBs. (Fig. 2A, treatment effect, $F_{66,205} = 601$, P < 0.001). We also found an inhibitory effect of *A. faecalis* cell-free supernatant on the development of the PPF *B. cinerea* on tomato fruits, but to a lesser extent than when compared to the full cellular extract (Fig. 2B, treatment effect, $F_{66,205} = 601$, P < 0.001).

In vivo antifungal effects of symbiotic bacteria against *EPF* on G. mellonella *larvae*. The effect of the EPB on colonization by three EPF on *G. mellonella* larvae was dramatic. None of the larvae that were inoculated with EPB showed signs of fungal infection after 4 days, while all larvae that did not receive EPB resulted to be infected, independently of the sterile water or TSY medium treatment application (Fig. S3).

Antifungal effect of entomopathogenic bacteria volatile organic compounds

Petri dish experiment. Volatiles released by EPB *A. faecalis* significantly inhibited the growth of PPF *B. cinerea* (Fig. 3, treatment effect, $F_{2,131} = 228$, P < 0.001), for all four fungal species, although with some degree of variation across species (species effect, $F_{3,131} = 1523$, P < 0.001, and species × treatment effect, $F_{6,131} = 8.47$, P < 0.001).

^{© 2019} The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Applied Microbiology., *Microbial Biotechnology*, **12**, 459–471



Fig. 1. Colony development (shown as the boxplots of average colony diameter in mm) of PPF *Botrytis cinerea*, and EPF *Mucor circinelloides*, *M. racemosus* and *Rhizomucor variabilis* in the presence (+EPB), or the absence (-EPB) of *Alcaligenes faecalis*. Panel (A) shows the effect using whole cell cultures. (Panel B) represents fungal colony developments when in presence of EPB cell-free filtrates (1%, 5% and 10% dilution of the initial filtrate. Grey boxes represent the control without EPBs. Letters above boxplots indicate significant difference among treatments and species ($P \le 0.05$, Turkey HSD).

Cherry tomato fruit experiment. We found a strong inhibitory effect of EPB *A. faecalis* VOCs on the development of the PPF *B. cinerea* on tomato fruits (Fig. 2C, treatment effect, $F_{65,66} = 281.51$, P < 0.001).

EPB VOCs collection and analysis. Chromatographic analyses of VOCs produced by the EPB *A. faecalis* (using the 75 μ m Carboxen/PDMS fibre, which displayed the best extraction efficiency) showed that dimethyl disulfide was the most abundant compound produced (32%), followed by isobutyl isovalerate (5%; Table 1, Fig. S6).

Antifungal effects of pure VOCs compounds against PPF and EPF. We found that, both for the DMDS and mixture of VOCs experiments, a variable effect of serial dilution of EBP *A. faecalis* on the colony size of different fungal species [Fig. 4A (DMDS), serial dilution treatment

effect. $F_{5.263} = 48.79, P < 0.001,$ species effect. $F_{3,263} = 556.8$, P < 0.001 and species \times treatment effect, F_{15,263} = 25.18, P < 0.001. Figure 4B (Mixture), serial dilution treatment effect, $F_{5,263} = 79.19$, P < 0.001, species effect, $F_{3,263}$ = 608.9, P < 0.001 and species \times treatment effect, F_{15,263} = 28.08, P < 0.001]. Specifically, only B. cinerea (the PPF) and the EPF R. variabilis responded positively to the pure compound application, but only on dilutions lower than 10⁵ (see black boxes in Fig. 4). We did not detect antifungal activity for the isopentyl isopentanoate and isobutyl isovalerate (Fig. S8).

Discussion

We isolated the symbiotic bacterium (EPB) *A. faecalis* from the entomopathogenic nematode *Oechinus* spp. from the field and ran bioassays against entomopathogenic fungi



Fig. 2. Inhibition of PPF *Botrytis cinerea* growth by metabolites of EPB *Alcaligenes faecalis*. Shown are boxplot for disease infection severity due to PPF on tomato fruits under (A) direct contact, (B) using cell-free filtrates and (C) transmission of volatile organic compounds. EPB: symbiotic bacteria; PPF: plant pathogenic fungi; TSY: TSY medium; Control: sterile distilled water. After 7 days inoculation, the severity of infections was determined as the proportion of decayed area according to a scale from 0–4: 0, No decay; 1, decayed area < 1/8; 2, decayed area from 1/4 to 1/2; 4, decayed area > 1/2. An example of infection severity is shown in the inserted figure in the graph.

(EPFs) and plant-pathogenic fungi (PPF) by separating non-volatile and volatile bacterial exudates. We found that the exudates of the symbiotic bacteria had negative effects on both PPF and EPF.

Entomopathogenic bacteria and their association with entomopathogenic nematodes

Besides the classically studied EPN species in the genera *Steinernema* and *Heterorhabditis*, several nematode

species from genus *Oscheius* have been confirmed as EPNs (Ye *et al.*, 2010; Pervez *et al.*, 2013; Zhou *et al.*, 2017). Similar to *Steinernema* and *Heterorhabditis*, *Oscheius* species are in association with several bacteria strains that belong to three classes; namely the beta-proteobacteria (*Alcaligenes*), the gamma-proteobacteria (*Enterobacter, Proteus, Providencia, Pseudomonas, Stenotrophomonas*) and the Bacilli (*Bacillus, Enterococcus, Lysinibacillus*; Sangeetha *et al.*, 2016). In our experiment, we primarily isolated the bacterium *A. faecalis;*



Fig. 3. Colony development (shown as the boxplots of average colony diameter in mm) of the PPF *Botrytis cinerea*, and the EPF *Mucor circinelloides*, *M. racemosus* and *Rhizomucor variabilis* in the presence of (i) EPB *Alcaligens faecalis* (black boxes, +EPB), (ii) in the presence of *A. faecalis* and charcoal in the chamber (+EPB + Charcoal) and (iii) or the absence of *A. faecalis* bacterial colonies producing volatile organic compounds in the chamber (-EPB). Letters above boxplots indicate significant differences among treatments and species ($P \le 0.05$, Turkey HSD).

Table 1. Volatile organic compounds profiles of EPB Alcaligenes faecalis. Shown are the retention times, and the relative peak area compared to the total area of each compound sampled using a 75 μm Carboxen/PDMS SPME fibre.

Retention time (min)	Compound	CAS	Relative area (%)
3.989	Dimethyl disulfide	000624-92-0	31.654
8.345	1,3-xylene	000108-38-3	3.701
9.258	1,3,5,7-cyclooctatetraene	000629-20-9	2.393
10.390	Butyl isobutyrate	000097-87-0	3.385
12.456	Dimethyl trisulfide	003658-80-8	1.962
14.288	Isobutyl isovalerate	000589-59-3	4.904
18.052	Butanoic acid, 2-methyl-, 3-methylbutyl ester	027625-35-0	0.353
18.260	Isopentyl isopentanoate	000659-70-1	1.709
18.362	Butanoic acid, 3-methyl-, pentyl ester	025415-62-7	3.859
19.598	(1r,4r)-(+)-camphor	000464-49-3	0.328

however, this does not exclude *Oscheius* nematodes to bear other bacteria species. Indeed the isolation method we choose, based on the selectivity for bromothymol blue, favours the isolation of specific bacterial variants, in our case *A. faecalis*.

While the general dogma suggests that *Steinernema* and *Heterorhabditis* are in association with unique bacterial strains (Forst *et al.*, 1997; Goodrichblair, 2007; Clarke, 2008), *A. faecalis* strain MOR02 was also found in the oesophagus and intestine of the *Steinernema feltiae*, *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora*. Later, this *A. faecalis* strain was confirmed to be an

entomopathogenic bacterium; *A. feacalis* MOR02-inoculated *G. mellonella* larvae suffered more than 96% mortality after 24 h (Quiroz-Castañeda *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, *A. faecalis* can cause mortality of arthropod larvae via haemocoelic lesion and can be used as food source for EPN growth, development and reproduction (Park *et al.*, 2011).

Recently, it has been suggested that EPNs could kill an arthropod host without the use of symbiotic bacteria. For instance, the EPN S. carpocapsae was shown to be able to kill insects and partly degrade insect tissues in the absence of any bacteria; however, the pathogenicity of such axenic nematodes was weaker than that of monoxenic nematodes (Darsouei et al., 2017). While, axenic H. bacteriophora were unable to kill G. mellonella larvae, axenic S. carpocapsae could kill insect hosts but produce very low number of progeny (Han and Ehlers, 2000). This together suggests an almost obligatory relationship between EPNs and their symbionts. Indeed symbiotic bacteria are also able to suppress the immune system of the hosts (Darsouei et al., 2017), thus favouring nematode colonization of their host and successful propagation. Future research should thus highlight the specific impact of A. faecalis on the hosts' immune responses, and ultimately the fitness benefits for Oscheius nematodes.

To summarize, a multitude of different bacterial species can co-exist inside a nematode (Berg *et al.*, 2016). Recently, Maher *et al.* (2016) found that EPNs could extend their ecological niche breadth by associating with



Fig. 4. Antifungal activity of dimethyl disulfide (DMDS) and a mixture of pure compounds against PPF *Botrytis cinerea*, and EPF *Mucor circinel-loides*, *M. racemosus* and *Rhizomucor variabilis*. Shown are the boxplots for (A) the effect of a serial dilution $(10^2, 10^3, 10^4, 10^5, 10^6)$ of dimethyl disulfide (DMDS) and (B) effect of the same serial dilution of a compound mixture. For the mixture, the compounds were mixed according to the ratio: dimethyl disulfide : isobutyl isovalerate : isopentyl isopentanoate = 20 : 4 : 1 (m:m:m). Control corresponds to no compounds added to the chamber (grey boxes). Black boxes show significant difference compared to the control ($P \le 0.05$, Turkey HSD).

different symbiotic bacteria. Therefore, the spectrum of ecological consequences that are mediated by EPB extends from influencing the surrounding soil biota to modifying the behaviour of the symbiotic nematodes (Kenney and Eleftherianos, 2015), which should cascade down to influence the whole soil community dynamics of interactions.

EPBs protect infected host cadavers

In the present study, volatile and non-volatile metabolites of EPB *A. faecalis* showed antifungal activity against the entomopathogenic fungi, *M. circinelloides*, *M. racemosus* and *R. variabilis*, all isolated from the same area in which the EPN *Oscheius* sp. naturally occurred. All together, this confirms that *A. faecalis* acts as an EPB that could benefit EPNs against opportunistic soil-dwelling entomopathogenic fungi when in association with an arthropod host cadaver. Generally, an EPN infects arthropods and then releases symbiotic bacteria into the hemolymph, which induce septicemia that kills the host, rendering the insect host corpse suitable for EPN development and reproduction. Depending on the available resources, one or more EPN generations will occur within the cadaver, and a large number of infective juveniles are gradually released into environment for infecting other hosts and continuing their life cycle (Poinar, 1990). Since the defence system of the insect cadavers is completely lost, the symbiotic bacteria must then provide defences in order to avoid the loss of the EPN population inside the cadaver (Jones et al., 2016) from foraging insects ants (Zhou et al., 2012), cricket and wasps (Gulcu et al., 2012), beetles (Jones et al., 2017), bird (Fenton et al., 2011) and even fishes (Raja et al., 2016). However, besides the abovementioned predators, insect cadavers containing EPNs will also suffer risk of infection from entomopathogenic fungi, entomopathogenic bacteria and even competition with other soil free-living nematodes. While we here did not address the nature of non-volatile metabolites released by A. faecalis that increase insect host cadaver resistance against EPF, we were able to detect a variety of volatile organic compounds produced by A. faecalis, including dimethyl disulfide (DMDS), toxic to EPF. Specifically, we found that pure DMDS and mixture of main components of volatile metabolites of A. faecalis had negative effects on the EPF R. variabilis in a dosedependent manner. Several A. faecalis VOCs have been previously characterized. For instance, Bhattacharyya et al. (2015) identified several VOCs from A. faecalis

including butyric acid, propionic acid and benzoic acid, and reported that those VOCs induced tolerance to salt stress in Arabidopsis thaliana by influencing the auxin and gibberellin pathways and upregulating the expression of key ion transporters (Bhattacharvya and Lee. 2017). In contrast to soluble compounds, volatile organic compounds can diffuse easily through air- and gas-filled pores in the soil and likely play an important role in longdistance microbial interactions. Our results in combination with Bhattacharvva's research thus suggest that A. faecalis VOCs can influence a wider range of ecological relationships. Therefore, the ecological function of A. faecalis chemical exudates has to be studied on a case-by-case in order to understand the consequences of each individual compound on the soil community interactions.

EPBs protect plants against EPF and insect pests

Based on our results, we argue that EPBs can favour plant in two ways: first, in our study, *A. faecalis* nonvolatile and volatile metabolites, such as DMDS, inhibited the growth of the plant pathogenic fungi *B. cinerea*. This is in line with studies showing that volatile compounds such as DMDS carry antifungal properties against a wide range of PPF (Pecchia *et al.*, 2017). Interestingly, *R. variabilis* EPF has been reported to act as entomopathogenic, as well as being pathogenic to plants (Kulimushi *et al.*, 2017), demonstrating the breadth of potential effects of *A. faecalis* exudates on the surrounding fungal community.

Second, we argue that EPBs can attract and maintain EPNs near the pest cadaver, thus potentially maintaining viable populations of EPNs near the rhizosphere. It was previously shown that EPNs can use root-derived volatiles, including terpene compounds but also DMDS (Turlings *et al.*, 2012), for locating their host (Stock *et al.*, 2005; Tonelli *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, we could speculate that the continuous presence of cadavers in the root zone, and the subsequent continuous release of volatiles, might exert a positive effect on EPNs populations, in turn favouring plants by decreasing arthropod attack and by had potential negative effect on fungal infection.

Implementing EPB into IPM strategies

Different combinations of EPNs and commercial EPF for increasing plant growth and protection have already been attempted in several greenhouse and field trials. Generally, however, they did not show clear additive or synergistic effect of both biocontrol agents and even find negative interactive effects (Wu *et al.*, 2014; Imperiali *et al.*, 2017; Wakil *et al.*, 2017). EPNs were detected in larvae only in a few cases (11%) in the EPF and EPNs

combination treatments (Tarasco et al., 2011). In another study, insect larvae pre-inoculated with the EPF Beauveria bassiana prior to nematode application vielded substantially lower number of IJs indicating apparent antagonistic interaction between EPF and EPN within the insect (Darissa and Iraki, 2014). Because of such potentially strong nematicidal activity (Hao Lu et al., 2014), A. faecalis might not be best to directly use in combination with EPNs without additional research. For instance, due to its insecticidal character (Essawy et al., 2015), DMDS has been recommended as a more ecologically sound alternative to the very polluting CH₃Br for soil fumigations. Further studies should thus be put forward for integrating high DMDS production A. faecalis strains into integrated pest management control programs. Applying EPNs with such high DMDS-producing strains in the field will reduce both fungal and arthropod pest load near roots of crop plants (Yokoyama et al., 2013), ultimately helping reducing synthetic pesticide application worldwide.

Experimental procedures

Isolation and identification of microorganisms

The field site used for collecting entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) and symbiotic microbial communities (entomopathogenic bacteria EPB, and entomopathogenic fungi EPF) is situated in Yuxi, Yunnan province, China (24.17291°N; 102.524987°E). Sampling occurred during summer 2016. Five soil cores of about 20 cm depth were collected using 3.6 cm diameter augers, and bulked into a single sample of about 1.5 Kg of soil, mixed thoroughly and placed into a cooler for transport.

Entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs). Collection of EPNs was done by placing five final instars of Galleria mellonella (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) larvae in plastic cups (top diameter 7.5 cm, bottom diameter 4.8 cm, height 8 cm) filled with 180 g of fresh soil, about 10% moisture. and kept at 25°C. After 5 days, all dead larvae were removed from the soil in the cups and placed on White traps (White, 1927) for EPN emergence. The emerged third-instars juvenile EPNs were rinsed three times with sterile distilled water and stored at 14°C. To double check for their pathogenicity against G. mellonella larvae, the recovered EPN juveniles were again added to a 6 cm petri dish filled with a sterile sand (about 10%) moisture) containing with 5 G. mellonella larvae. The subsequent isolation of EPNs followed the same protocol as above. Final EPN colonies were stored at 16°C for further use. For taxonomic assignment, EPNs' DNA was extracted from a single female adult nematode, which was dissected to remove bacterial cells, and placed into a PCR tube with 10 μ l of lysis

buffer (50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris pH 8.3, 2.5 mM MgCl₂ \cdot 6H₂O, 0.45% Nonidet P-40, 1% Triton X-100 and 60 µg ml⁻¹ proteinase K). The mixture was incubated at 60°C for 1 h and 95°C for 15 min in a thermocycler, for amplification and sequencing (see supplementary methods in Appendix S1). Based on the sequence comparison using blast search at Genebank, EPNs were identified as *Oscheius* sp. (Rhabditida: Rhabditidae).

Entomopathogenic bacteria (EPB). EPB were obtained after inoculating last-instars G. mellonella larvae with 15 µl of nematode suspension (approximately 100 IJs per larvae) in a 24-well cell culture plate lined with filter paper. Infected larvae were maintained at 25°C for 36-48 h. after which, all dead larvae were surface-sterilized with 75% alcohol for 1 min. A drop of haemolymph was next added onto NBTA medium (45 g nutrient agar, bromothymol blue and 40 mg triphenyl 25 ma tetrazolium in 1 l of distilled water) in a 9 cm Petri dishes and incubated at 25°C. After 48 h, pure colonies of the bacteria were inoculated on nutrient agar medium and incubated at 25°C for 48 h. The bacterial isolates were cultured in trypticase soy yeast (TSY) medium (4% tryptic soy broth, 0.5% yeast extract) and finally stored at 4°C until experiments (see below). EPB DNA extraction was performed using Bacteria Genomic DNA Kit (CWBiotech, Beijing, China). EPB was grown in TSY medium at 22°C, 150 rpm for 36 h in an incubator shaker (HNY-2102C; Tianjin Honour Instrument Co. Ltd., Tianjin, China). Based on the sequence comparison using blast search at Genebank (Appendix S1), the EPB identified was the Gram-negative bacteria Alcaligenes faecalis (Burkholderiales: Alcaligenaceae).

Confirmation of bacterial symbionts. To confirm that the collected EPBs were in the nematode gut and not on the surface, we performed an additional nematode surface sterilization experiment based on Berg et al. (2016). Specifically, nematodes were collected in a 50 ml tube. transferred to a 15 ml conical tube and washed six times with M9 salt solution (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) to remove soil particles and external bacteria. In each wash, worms were either allowed to precipitate by gravity (to separate adults from larvae) or centrifuged at 1800 rpm for 3 min, supernatant was removed, and the pellet was re-diluted (100-fold volume of M9 added). Following washes, worms were placed onto an unseeded NGM plate supplemented with 100 µg ml⁻¹ gentamicin for 1 h for surface sterilization. A sample of the last wash medium was spread on an LB plate without antibiotics to confirm lack of external bacteria. The washed and surface-sterilized worms were ground using a motorized pestle in 300 µl of M9, pelleted, and bacteria from the grown on medium (25°C, 2 days). Isolates were identified through sequencing of the fulllength 16S rDNA gene as described above and yielded to the same *A. faecalis* species.

Entomopathogenic fungi (EPF). For EPF extraction, 20 g of soil were added with 1 ml of distilled water and placed in a 9 cm diameter Petri dish. Two last-instars G. mellonella larvae were then added to each dish and incubated at 27°C until larvae died. The fungi growing on the larval cadavers were next inoculated on potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium, and the purified fungal was then re-inoculated onto additional colony G. mellonella larvae for two complete culture cycles to ascertain pathogenicity (i.e. the purified culture killed the larvae). During each culture cycle, a Petri dish without the fungal addition was used as control. The confirmed fungal pathogens were rDNA sequenced (Appendix S1). Fungal DNA was extracted using DNA guick Plant System (Tiangen Biotech Ltd, Beijing, China). Based on the sequence comparison using blast search at Genebank, three species of EPF were identified as Mucor circinelloides, Mucor racemosus and Rhizomucor variabilis (Mucoraceae). The isolated fungal colonies were stored at 4°C on PDA medium (potato-glucoseagar) and routinely subcultured on PDA (pH 6.50) in Petri dishes (90 mm in diameter) in dark at 25°C.

Experimental design. We divided the experiments in two parts, first to test the direct effect of EPB *A. faecalis* bacteria non-volatiles metabolites on plant pathogenic (PPF) and on entomopathogenic fungi (EPF), and second, to test for the effect of EPBs volatile organic compounds (VOCs) on the same combinations of microbes. For EPF, we used the soil-isolated (*Mucor circinelloides, Mucor racemosus, Rhizomucor variabilis*). For PPF, we used *Botrytis cinerea* (Helotiales, Sclerotiniaceae), which was kindly provided by Yonghong Li, Nankai University and maintained on PDA medium.

Antifungal effect of entomopathogenic bacteria A. faecalis non-volatile compounds

Preparation of whole cell suspension and cell-free supernatant. A single bacterial colony was transferred and grown in 100 ml TSY medium and shaken at 180 rpm for 24 h. The concentration of the whole cell suspension was adjusted to 3×10^{6} cells ml⁻¹ using TSY medium (Wang *et al.*, 2011).

In addition, to confirm that the antifungal effect we would see was not produced by random parts of the bacterial cell degradation, we also performed a cell-free experiment. To prepare cell-free supernatant, the whole cell suspension was centrifuged at 10 000 rpm for

10 min. The supernatant was filtered through a 0.22 mm filter, and the flow-through was used as the cell-free supernatant (Bussaman *et al.*, 2012).

Petri dish experiment. First, the antifungal activity of A. faecalis non-volatile compounds on B. cinerea and the three entomopathogenic fungi (M. circinelloides, M. racemosus, R. variabilis) was assessed using a modified method from (Bach et al., 2016). Briefly, 0.1 ml A. faecalis bacterial suspension $(3 \times 10^6 \text{ cells ml}^{-1})$ was smeared on TSY-agar medium in 9 cm Petri dish, after which, a 5 mm wide fungal colony was inoculated in the centre of the Petri dish and incubated at 27°C in the dark (Wang et al., 2011). The control treatment consisted of the same manipulation but without A. faecalis in the substrate (n = 6 replicates per treatment and per fungal species, and repeated twice). Second, the antifungal activity of A. faecalis cell-free supernatant on B. cinerea and the three entomopathogenic fungi was also evaluated. Briefly, A. faecalis cell-free supernatant was added into TSYagar medium in 9 cm Petri dish at the concentration of 1%, 5% and 10%, after which, a 5 mm wide fungal colony was inoculated in the centre of the Petri dish and incubated at 27°C in the dark. The control treatment consisted of the same manipulation but without cell-free supernatant in the substrate (n = 6 replicates per)treatment and per fungal species, and repeated twice). For both experiments, after 5 days, the diameter of the fungal colonies was measured using the cross method. The effect of EPB on fungal colony size was assessed using a two-way mixed effect ANOVA model, with fungal species and bacterial treatments as fixed factors, and repetition of the experiments as random factor (function Imer in the package Ime4 (Bates et al., 2014) in R (R Development Core Team. 2015).

Cherry tomato fruits experiment. We next evaluated the effect of entomopathogenic bacteria A. faecalis (EPB) non-volatile metabolites on plant-pathogenic fungi B. cinerea (PPF) when growing on fresh cherry tomato fruits (Lycopersivon esculentum Mill). The EPB A. faecalis inoculum was prepared in 100 ml TSY medium on a rotary shaker at 180 rpm. 27°C for 24 h. and the bacterial suspension was diluted to 6×10^6 cells ml⁻¹. The PPF *B. cinerea* was cultured in PDA medium at 27°C in the dark. Before the experiment, spores were rinsed with 1 ml of sterile distilled water and diluted to 6×10^6 cells ml⁻¹. EPB and PPF suspensions were mixed at the rate of 1:1 (v/v) so that the EPB and PPF spore were both at 3 \times 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ in the experimental mixture. For the control treatment, without PPF, the individual microorganism suspensions were diluted to 3×10^6 cells ml⁻¹ in TSY medium (for EPB), or in distilled water (for PPF). Before the onset of the experiment, the surface of cherry tomato fruit was sterilized by 75% ethanol and artificially wounded by piercing once with a 10 µl sterile pipette tip to a depth of about 3 mm. After that, 10 µl of 6 different suspensions were pipetted to the artificial wounded area, including (i) PPF spore suspension only, (ii) PPF spore diluted in TSY medium, (iii) EPB suspension only, (iv) the mixture of PPF and EPB, (v) TSY medium only and (vi) sterile distilled water only. The experimental design is shown in Fig. S2. The treated tomatoes were placed in a sterile plastic box (15 \times 6 \times 9 cm) sealed with Parafilm at $27^{\circ}C$ in dark (N = 6 replicates per treatment, and repeated twice). Seven days after inoculation, the severity of infections was determined as the proportion of decayed area according to a scale from 0-4: 0 = Nodecay: 1 = decayed area < 1/8 of the total fruit area; 2 = decayed area between 1/8 to 1/4 of the total fruit area; 3 = decayed area between 1/4 to 1/2 of the total fruit area; and 4 = decayed area > 1/2 of the total fruit area. The PPF damage size on tomato fruits was assessed with two-way mixed effect model, with fungal species and bacterial treatments as fixed factors, and experiment as random factor, as described above. As mentioned above, we again evaluated the EPB nonvolatile metabolites antifungal activity on PPF using cellfree supernatant. The experimental was reproduced as explained above for the bacterial suspension experiment. The only difference consisted in replacing the bacterial suspension with the cell-free supernatant. Final PPF spore was at 3×10^6 cells ml⁻¹ in the experimental mixture.

In vivo antifungal effects of EPB against EPF on G. larvae. The entomopathogenic mellonella fungi M. circinelloides, M. racemosus and R. variabilis were used for evaluating the antifungal effect of the EPB (A. faecalis) in vivo. For causing fungal infection, the pleopod of healthy larvae of G. mellonella, sterilized by 75% ethanol, was pierced by a 10 µl micro-syringe and injected with 2 µl of EPB suspension in either TSY medium or sterile distilled water (Fig. S3). After that, larvae were placed into a sterile Petri dish (diameter 9 cm) and cultured at 27°C in the dark. Twenty-four hours later, 100 μ l of EPF spore suspension (3 \times 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹) larvae was dripped on the surface of the treated G. mellonella larvae, and immediately put on the PDA medium without any loss of spore suspension and cultured at 27°C in the dark. After 4 days, the number of G. mellonella infected by EPF was counted. Overall, the experiment included 12 treatments (Fig. S3); three EPF species plus one control treatment by three types of suspensions for inoculum (EPB, TSY medium, and sterile distilled water SDW). Each treatment had six

468 S. Shan et al.

replicates with one larva per replicate, and the experiment was performed twice. The same procedure was performed by replacing the bacterial suspension with the cell-free supernatant. Final EPF spore was at 3×10^{6} cells ml⁻¹ in the experimental mixture.

Antifungal effect of entomopathogenic bacteria volatile organic compounds

Petri dish experiment. To measure the antagonistic effect of A. faecalis VOC emissions on plant-pathogenic fungi B. cinerea colony growth, we built an experimental arena using 15 cm diameter Petri dishes. Inside each larger Petri dish, three lids of 6 cm diameter Petri dishes were evenly placed, one lid with TSY-agar medium for EPB inoculation, one with PDA medium for fungal colony inoculation and one empty or with charcoal (Fig. S4). Three treatments for each repetition were (i) one large Petri dish containing fungal colonies only, (ii) one large Petri dish containing both the fungal colonies and the bacterial colonies and (iii) one large Petri dish containing the fungal colonies and the bacterial colonies, plus the third small dish containing charcoal. The charcoal has strong VOCs trapping properties, and we therefore expected that placing charcoal in the chamber would inhibit the putative effect of VOCs on fungal growth. The initial colony diameter of bacteria and fungi was 5 mm in all cases. After inoculation, the plates were immediately wrapped in Parafilm to maintain the VOCs inside the experimental chamber, and incubated at 22°C in the dark (Fig. S2). After 4 days, the diameter of the fungal colony on each lid was measured. Each treatment had six replications, and the experiment was repeated twice. The effect of EPB VOCs on fungal colony size was assessed with two-way mixed effect model, with fungal species and bacterial treatments as fixed factors. and experiment as random factor, as described above.

Cherry tomato fruit experiment. The antifungal effect of VOCs released by EPB on PPF growing on tomato fruits was evaluated in sterile plastic boxes (15 \times 6 \times 9 cm; Fig. S5). In each box, on one side of each box, we placed six tomato fruits. The surface of cherry tomato fruit was sterilized by 75% ethanol and artificial wounded by piercing once with a 10 µl sterile pipette tip to a depth of about 3 mm. After that, the wound was smeared with PPF spore suspension, or sterile distilled water as control treatment. The other side of the plastic box contained a 35 mm diameter Petri dish filled with 10 µl of EPB (A. faecalis) suspension on TSY-agar medium, or TSY medium only or no medium as controls. The density of EPB and PPF spore suspension was 3×10^6 cells ml⁻¹. In total, we thus obtained six treatments as follows: (i) PPF (on fruit) + EPB suspension on TSY-agar medium (in Petri dish), (ii) PPF (on fruit) + TSY-agar medium (in Petri dish), (iii) PPF (on fruit) + empty Petri dishes, (iv) sterile distilled water(on fruit) + EPB suspension on TSY-agar medium (in Petri dish), (v) sterile distilled water (on fruit) + TSY-agar medium (in Petri dish) and (vi) sterile distilled water (on fruit) + empty Petri dishes. After 6 days, the disease index was scored as described above. The effect of EPB VOCs on disease severity was assessed with oneway mixed effect model, with the six treatments as fixed factors, and experiment as random factor, as described above.

EPB VOCs collection and analysis. Volatile organic compounds produced by the symbiotic bacteria were collected using a slightly modified method (Wgd et al., 2005). The colony of bacteria (diameter 5 mm) was inoculated into a 100 ml conical flask containing 10 ml TSY-agar medium, and 1 ml of the internal standard solution (1 µg ml⁻¹ of 1,4-cineol, CAS No. CAS 470-67-7, Sigma-Aldrich, dissolved in water). The flasks was next sealed and cultured 2 days at 22°C in the dark. Flasks with TSY medium only were used as control. Two days after symbiotic bacteria growth, VOCs were collected with solid phase micro-extraction method (SPME). Before the collection of volatiles, the SPME fibres (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA) were preconditioned in the GC injection port at 280°C for 10 min. One SPME fibre was next inserted in the flasks through the septum and exposed for 60 min to the headspace of the culture for VOCs collection. Five types of SPME fibres were employed to evaluate the extraction efficiency of the different polymers; including 75 µm Carboxen/PDMS, 7 µm PDMS, 100 µm PDMS, 65 µm PDMS/DVB and 50/30 µm DVB/CAR/PDMS (Fig. S6). VOCs were analysed with gas chromatograph-mass spectrometry (GC-MS; Agilent 7890-5975C Santa Clara, CA, USA). SPME fibres were next thermally desorbed in the injection port of the GC (Agilent 7890) by heating the fibre for 5 min at 250°C. VOCs separation was done on a HP-5 ms non-polar column (Agilent, 30 m \times 0.25 mm i.d, 0.25 µm thin layer). Helium was used as carrier gas with a flow rate of 1.0 ml min⁻¹. Manual injection was carried out in splitless mode. The temperature program worked as follows: 40°C for 5 min, 4°C min⁻¹ to 100°C for 3 min, 5°C min⁻¹ to 200°C for 3 min, 5°C min⁻¹ to 280°C for 15 min. Detection was done on a MS (Agilent 5975C). Compounds were initially identifies by comparison with spectra from the NIST library and identification of the described VOCs (see Table 1) was confirmed using pure standards. Quantification of VOCs was done by calculating the ratio of individual compound peak area with the total peak area relatively and corrected by the area of the IS.

Antifungal effects of pure compounds VOCs against PPF and EPF. Based on the above VOCs analyses (see Results. Fig. 3. and Table 1) we selected several EPBsproduced compounds for assessing specificity of VOCs antifungal effect. Dimethyl disulfide (2 μ g ml⁻¹), isobutyl isovalerate (0.4 μ g ml⁻¹) and isopentyl isopentanoate $(0.1 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1})$ were three of the most abundant constituents present in the VOCs produced after 1 h exposure (Fig. S6). The pure compounds were purchased (Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and used for antifungal bioassays. For each single pure compound, we performed a serial dilution $(10^2, 10^3, 10^4,$ 10^5 , 10^6) to obtain the corresponding concentration for antifungal bioassay (For dimethyl disulfide, the concentrations were 113, 11.3, 1.13, 1.13×10^{-1} , $1.13 \times 10^{-2} \,\mu mol \, ml^{-1}$, respectively; For isopentyl isopentanoate, the concentrations were 50, 5.0, 0.50, 0.50×10^{-1} , $0.50 \times 10^{-2} \ \mu mol \ ml^{-1}$, respectively; For isobutyl isovalerate, the concentrations were 54, 5.4, 0.54, 0.54×10^{-1} , $0.54 \times 10^{-2} \ \mu mol \ ml^{-1}$, respectively). For assessing the effect of the three compounds' mixture of three volatile organic compounds, dimethyl disulfide, isobutyl isovalerate and isopentyl isopentanoate were mixed according to the ratio of 20:4:1 (m:m:m), which is based on the SPME results, and then diluted (10², 10³, 10⁴, 10⁵, 10⁶) for bioassay. One hundred microlitres of single compounds or the mixture of the three compounds for each dilution was added to sterile filter paper discs (5 mm) and placed on PDA medium for evaluating the antifungal effects on PPF (Botrytis cinerea), or TSY-agar medium for evaluating the antifungal effects on EPF Mucor circinelloides, Mucor racemosus, Rhizomucor variabilis in divided agar plates (Fig. S7). After 4 days, the diameter of the fungal colonies was measured. Control Petri dishes only had the corresponding fungi. Each treatment had six replications and the experiment was performed twice. The effect of VOCs on fungal colony size was assessed with two-way mixed effect model, with fungal species and serial dilution treatments as fixed factors, and experiment as random factor, as described above for the DMDS and the mixture experiment separately.

Conflict of interests

None declared.

References

Ali, J.G., Alborn, H.T., and Stelinski, L.L. (2010) Subterranean herbivore-induced volatiles released by citrus roots upon feeding by *Diaprepes abbreviatus* recruit entomopathogenic nematodes. *J Chem Ecol* **36**: 361– 368.

- Bach, E., Seger, G.D.D.S., Fernandes, G.D.C., Lisboa, B.B., and Passaglia, L.M.P. (2016) Evaluation of biological control and rhizosphere competence of plant growth promoting bacteria. *Appl Soil Ecol* **99**: 141–149.
- Bailly, A., and Weisskopf, L. (2017) Mining the volatilomes of plant-associated microbiota for new biocontrol solutions. *Front Microbiol* 8: 1638.
- Bailly, A., Groenhagen, U., Schulz, S., Geisler, M., Eberl, L., and Weisskopf, L. (2014) The inter-kingdom volatile signal indole promotes root development by interfering with auxin signalling. *Plant J* 80: 758–771.
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., and Walker, S. (2014) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Stat Comput arXiv* **1406**: 133–199.
- Berg, M., Stenuit, B., Ho, J., Wang, A., Parke, C., Knight, M., et al. (2016) Assembly of the Caenorhabditis elegans gut microbiota from diverse soil microbial environments. *ISME J* 10: 1998–2009.
- Bhattacharyya, D., and Lee, Y.H. (2017) A cocktail of volatile compounds emitted from *Alcaligenes faecalis* JBCS1294 induces salt tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by modulating hormonal pathways and ion transporters. *J Plant Physiol* **214:** 64–73.
- Bhattacharyya, D., Yu, S.M., and Yong, H.L. (2015) Volatile compounds from *Alcaligenes faecalis* JBCS1294 confer salt tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* through the auxin and gibberellin pathways and differential modulation of gene expression in root and shoot tissues. *Plant Growth Regul* **75**: 297–306.
- Broeckling, C.D., Broz, A.K., Bergelson, J., Manter, D.K., and Vivanco, J.M. (2008) Root exudates regulate soil fungal community composition and diversity. *Appl Environ Micro* **74**: 738–744.
- Bussaman, P., Sa-Uth, C., Rattanasena, P., and Chandrapatya, A. (2012) Acaricidal activities of whole cell suspension, cell-free supernatant, and crude cell extract of *Xenorhabdus stokiae* against mushroom mite (*Luciaphorus* sp.). J Zhejiang Univ Sci B **13**: 261–266.
- Campos-Herrera, R., Jaffuel, G., Chiriboga, X., Blanco-Pérez, R., Fesselet, M., Půža, V., *et al.* (2015) Traditional and molecular detection methods reveal intense interguild competition and other multitrophic interactions associated with native entomopathogenic nematodes in Swiss tillage soils. *Plant Soil* **389**: 237–255.
- Clarke, D. J. (2008) Photorhabdus: a model for the analysis of pathogenicity and mutualism. *Cell Microbiol* **10**: 2159–2167.
- Darissa, O.M., and Iraki, N.M. (2014) Antagonistic interactions between the biocontrol agents *Beauveria bassiana* and *Heterorhabditis indica*. *Russ J Nematol* **22**: 23–29.
- Darsouei, R., Karimi, J., Hosseini, M., and Ghadamyari, M. (2017) Immune defence components of *spodoptera exigua* larvae against entomopathogenic nematodes and symbiotic bacteria. *Biocontrol Sci Techn* 27: 867–885.
- Essawy, A.E., Gaaboub, I.A., Abdel-Moneim, A.M., and El-Sayed, S.A. (2015) Neuropathological effect of dimethyl disulfide on neurons of the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria. Toxicol Ind Health* **31:** 422–428.
- Fenton, A., Magoolagan, L., Kennedy, Z., and Spencer, K.A. (2011) Parasite-induced warning coloration: a novel form of host manipulation. *Anim Behav* **81:** 417–422.

^{© 2019} The Authors. Microbial Biotechnology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Applied Microbiology., Microbial Biotechnology, 12, 459-471

470 S. Shan et al.

- Forst, S., Dowds, B., Boemare, N., and Stackebrandt, E. (1997) *Xenorhabdus* and *Photorhabdus* spp.: bugs that kill bugs. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **51:** 47–72.
- Goodrichblair, H. (2007) They've got a ticket to ride: xenorhabdus nematophila-steinernema carpocapsae symbiosis. Curr Opin Microbiol 10: 225-230.
- Gulcu, B., Hazir, S., and Kaya, H.K. (2012) Scavenger deterrent factor (SDF) from symbiotic bacteria of entomopathogenic nematodes. *J Invertebr Pathol y* **110**: 326– 333.
- Han, R. and Ehlers, R.U. (2000) Pathogenicity, development, and reproduction of *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* and *Steinernema carpocapsae* under axenic in vivo conditions. *J Invertebr Pathol*, **75**, 55–58.
- Heve, W.K., El-Borai, F.E., Carrillo, D., and Duncan, L.W. (2016) Biological control potential of entomopathogenic nematodes for management of Caribbean fruit fly, *Anastrepha suspensa* Loew (Tephritidae). *Pest Manag Sci* 73: 1220–1228.
- Imperiali, N., Chiriboga, X., Schlaeppi, K., Fesselet, M., Villacrés, D., Jaffuel, G., *et al.* (2017) Combined field inoculations of Pseudomonas bacteria, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, and entomopathogenic nematodes and their effects on wheat performance. *Front Plant Sci* 8: 1809.
- Jones, R.S., Fenton, A., and Speed, M.P. (2016) "Parasiteinduced aposematism" protects entomopathogenic nematode parasites against invertebrate enemies. *Behav Ecol* **27:** 645–651.
- Jones, R.S., Fenton, A., Speed, M.P., and Mappes, J. (2017) Investment in multiple defences protects a nematode-bacterium symbiosis from predation. *Anim Behav* **129:** 1–8.
- Kaya, H., and Gaugler, R. (1993) Entomopathogenic nematodes. Annu Rev Entomol **38:** 181–206.
- Kenney, E., and Eleftherianos, I. (2015) Entomopathogenic and plant pathogenic nematodes as opposing forces in agriculture. *Int J Parasitol* **46:** 13–19.
- Kergunteuil, A., Campos-Herrera, R., Sánchez-Moreno, S., Vittoz, P., and Rasmann, S. (2016) The abundance, diversity, and metabolic footprint of soil nematodes is highest in high elevation alpine grasslands. *Front Ecol Evol* **4**: 84.
- Kulimushi, P.Z., Arias, A.A., Franzil, L., Steels, S., and Ongena, M. (2017) Stimulation of Fengycin-type antifungal lipopeptides in *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* in the presence of the maize fungal pathogen *Rhizomucor variabilis*. *Front Microbiol* 8: 850.
- Lu, H., Wang, X., Zhang, K., Xu, Y., Zhou, L., and Li, G. (2014) Identification and nematicidal activity of bacteria isolated from cow dung. *Ann Microbiol* **64**: 407–411.
- Maher, A.M., Asaiyah, M.A., Brophy, C., and Griffin, C.T. (2016) An entomopathogenic nematode extends its niche by associating with different symbionts. *Microb Ecol* **73**: 1–13.
- Mishra, K.K. (2018) Pesticidal prospectives of chitinolytic bacteria in agricultural pest management. *Soil Biol Biochem* **116:** 52–66.
- Park, H.W., Kim, Y.O., Ha, J.-S., Youn, S.H., Kim, H.H., Bilgrami, A.L., and Shin, C.S. (2011) Effects of associated bacteria on the pathogenicity and reproduction of the

insect-parasitic nematode *Rhabditis blumi* (Nematoda: Rhabditida). *Can J Microbiol* **57:** 750–758.

- Pecchia, S., Franceschini, A., Santori, A., Vannacci, G., and Myrta, A. (2017) Efficacy of dimethyl disulfide (DMDS) for the control of chrysanthemum Verticillium wilt in Italy. *Crop Prot* **93**: 28–32.
- Pervez, R., Eapen, S.J., Devasahayam, S., and Jacob, T.K. (2013) A new species of entomopathogenic nematode Oscheius gingeri sp. n. (Nematoda: Rhabditidae) from ginger rhizosphere. Arch Phytopathol Plant Prot 46: 526– 535.
- Poinar, G.O. (1990) Taxonomy and biology of Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae. In *Entomopathogenic Nematodes in Biological Control.* Gaugler, R., and Kaya, H.K. (eds). Boca Raton: CRC.
- Quiroz-Castañeda, R.E., Mendoza-Mejía, A., Obregón-Barboza, V., Martínez-Ocampo, F., Hernández-Mendoza, A., Martínez-Garduño, F., *et al.* (2015) Identification of a new *Alcaligenes faecalis* strain MOR02 and assessment of its toxicity and pathogenicity to insects. *Biomed Res Int* **2015**: 1–10.
- R Development Core Team (2015) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.* Vienna: Coreteam, R Development Core Team, **1**, pp. 12–21.
- Raja, R.K., Aiswarya, D., Gulcu, B., Raja, M., Perumal, P., Sivaramakrishnan, S., *et al.* (2016) Response of three cyprinid fish species to the Scavenger Deterrent Factor produced by the mutualistic bacteria associated with entomopathogenic nematodes. *J Invertebr Pathol* 143: 40–49.
- Rasmann, S., and Turlings, T.C. (2016) Root signals that mediate mutualistic interactions in the rhizosphere. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* **32:** 62–68.
- Rasmann, S., Köllner, T.G., Degenhardt, J., Hiltpold, I., Toepfer, S., Kuhlmann, U., *et al.* (2005) Recruitment of entomopathogenic nematodes by insect-damaged maize roots. *Nature* **434**: 732–737.
- Resquín-Romero, G., Garrido-Jurado, I., and Quesada-Moraga, E. (2016) Combined use of entomopathogenic fungi and their extracts for the control of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisduval) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Biol Control* **92**: 101–110.
- Sangeetha, B.G., Rajitha, M., Shyni, B. and Mohandas, C. (2016) Molecular characterization and amplified ribosomal DNA restriction analysis of entomopathogenic bacteria associated with *Rhabditis* (*Oscheius*) spp. *3. Biotechnology* **6**, 32.
- Shapiro-Ilan, D.I., Gouge, D.H., Piggott, S.J., and Fife, J.P. (2006) Application technology and environmental considerations for use of entomopathogenic nematodes in biological control. *Biol Control* **38**: 124–133.
- Sohrabi, R., Ali, T., Rakotondraibe, L.H., and Tholl, D. (2017) Formation and exudation of non-volatile products of the arabidiol triterpenoid degradation pathway in Arabidopsis roots. *Plant Signaling Behav* **12:** 1.
- Stock, S.P., Caicedo, A.M., and Calatayud, P.A. (2005) *Rhabditis (Oscheius) colombiana* n. sp. (Nematoda: Rhabditidae), a necromenic associate of the subterranean burrower bug *Cyrtomenus bergi* (Hemiptera: Cydnidae) from the Cauca Valley, Colombia. *Nematology* **7**: 363– 373.

- Tarasco, E., Alvarez, C.S., Triggiani, O., and Moraga, E.Q. (2011) Laboratory studies on the competition for insect haemocoel between *Beauveria bassiana* and *Steinernema ichnusae* recovered in the same ecological niche. *Biocontrol Sci Techn* **21:** 693–704.
- Tonelli, M., Leite, L.G., Silva, W.D., Martins, F., and Bento, J.M.S. (2016) Attraction of entomopathogenic nematodes to sugarcane root volatiles under herbivory by a sap-sucking insect. *Chemoecology* 26: 59–66.
- Turlings, T.C.J., Hiltpold, I., and Rasmann, S. (2012) The importance of root-produced volatiles as foraging cues for entomopathogenic nematodes. *Plant Soil* **358**: 51–60.
- van Dam, N.M., and Bouwmeester, H.J. (2016) Metabolomics in the rhizosphere: tapping into belowground chemical communication. *Trends Plant Sci* **21**: 256–265.
- Wakil, W., Yasin, M., and Shapiroilan, D. (2017) Effects of single and combined applications of entomopathogenic fungi and nematodes against *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus* (Olivier). *Sci Rep* **7**: 5971.
- Wang, Y., and Gaugler, R. (1998) Host and penetration site location by entomopathogenic nematodes against japanese beetle larvae. *J Invertebr Pathol* **72:** 313–318.
- Wang, H., Liu, Y.Q., Dong, H., Qin, L., Cong, B., and Li, T.L. (2011) Antibiotic activity of bacterial isolates associated with entomopathogenic nematodes. *Afr J Microbiol Res* 5: 5039–5045.
- Weisskopf, L., Ryu, C.M., Raaijmakers, J.M., and Garbeva, P. (2016) Editorial: smelly fumes: volatile-mediated communication between bacteria and other organisms. *Front Microbiol* **7**: 2031.
- Wgd, F., Ramarathnam, R., Krishnamoorthy, A.S., and Savchuk, S.C. (2005) Identification and use of potential bacterial organic antifungal volatiles in biocontrol. *Soil Biol Biochem* **37**: 955–964.
- White, G.F. (1927) A method for obtaining infective nematode larvae from cultures. *Science* **66:** 302–303.
- Williamson, V.M., and Gleason, C.A. (2003) Plant-nematode interactions. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* **6:** 327–333.
- Wu, S., Youngman, R.R., Kok, L.T., Laub, C.A., and Pfeiffer, D.G. (2014) Interaction between entomopathogenic nematodes and entomopathogenic fungi applied to third instar southern masked chafer white grubs, *Cyclocephala lurida* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae), under laboratory and greenhouse conditions. *Biol Control* **76:** 65–73.
- Ye, W.M., Torresbarragan, A., and Cardoza, Y.J. (2010) Oscheius carolinensis n. sp. (Nematoda: Rhabditidae), a potential entomopathogenic nematode from vermicompost. Nematology **12**: 121–135.
- Yeates, G.W., Ferris, H., Moens, T., and van der Putten, W.H. (2009) The role of nematodes in ecosystems. In *Nematodes as Environmental Biondicators.* Wilson, M.,

and Kakouli-Duarte, T. (eds). Wallingford, U.K: CABI, pp. 1–44.

- Yokoyama, S., Adachi, Y., Asakura, S., and Kohyama, E. (2013) Characterization of alcaligenes faecalis strain AD15 indicating biocontrol activity against plant pathogens. J Gen Virol 59: 89–95.
- Zhou, X., Kaya, H.K., Heungens, K., and Goodrich-Blair, H. (2002) Response of ants to a deterrent factor(s) produced by the symbiotic bacteria of entomopathogenic nematodes. *Appl Environ Micro* 68: 6202–6209.
- Zhou, X., Yu, G., and Wu, F. (2012) Responses of soil microbial communities in the rhizosphere of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) to exogenously applied p-Hydroxybenzoic acid. J Chem Ecol **38**: 975–983.
- Zhou, G., Yang, H., Wang, F., Bao, H., Wang, G., Hou, X., et al. (2017) Oscheius microvilli n. sp. (Nematoda: Rhabditidae): a facultatively pathogenic nematode from Chongming island, China. J Nematol 49: 33–41.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Fig. S1. Overview of the experimental design for measuring the impact of EPNs-associated bacteria on entomopathogenic fungi and plant pathogenic fungi.

Fig. S2. Experimental design for testing the antifungal effects of EPB metabolites against PPF on cherry tomatoes fruits.

Fig. S3. *In vitro* inhibition of EPF growth on *G. mellonella* larvae by *EPB Alcaligenes faecalis* inoculation.

Fig. S4. Experimental design for testing the antagonistic effect of EPB VOCs on PPF or EPF.

Figure S5. . Experimental design for testing the effects of EPB VOCs against PPF on cherry tomatoes fruits.

Fig. S6. Total ion chromatograms for EPB VOCs emissions. **Fig. S7.** Experimental design for testing the antifungal effects of pure volatile organic compounds (VOCs) against PPF and EPF.

Fig. S8. Antifungal activity of isobutyl isovalerate and isopentyl isopentanoate against PPF *Botrytis cinerea* (A,B), and EPF *Mucor circinelloides* (C,D), *M. racemosus* (E,F), and *Rhizomucor variabilis* (G). Both of isobutyl isovalerate and isopentyl isopentanoate didn't show any antifungal activity to the PPF and EPF.

Appendix S1. PCR amplifications, cloning and analyses.