

University of Groningen

A multi-dimensional perspective on the gender gap in health among older adults in India and China

Balachandran, Arun

Published in:
Ageing and Society

DOI:
[10.1017/S0144686X19001521](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X19001521)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2021

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Balachandran, A. (2021). A multi-dimensional perspective on the gender gap in health among older adults in India and China: Application of a new ageing measure. *Ageing and Society*, 41(5), 1000-1020. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X19001521>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.


Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

ARTICLE

A multi-dimensional perspective on the gender gap in health among older adults in India and China: application of a new ageing measure

Arun Balachandran^{1,2*}  and K. S. James³

¹Population Research Centre, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, ²Institute for Social and Economic Change, Bengaluru, India and ³International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai, India

*Corresponding author. Email: bchandran.arun@gmail.com

(Accepted 26 September 2019; first published online 30 October 2019)

Abstract

A continuous rise of female life expectancy above that of males among older adults in India and China may give the impression that the relative gender gap in health in these countries is decreasing. However, given the systemic gender bias against older females in these countries across multiple dimensions of health, a fuller understanding of the gender gap in health calls for a multi-dimensional perspective. We estimate a multi-dimensional old-age threshold (MOAT) that specifies different old-age thresholds for female and male populations which accommodates multiple dimensions related to physical, intellectual and general health. We use the MOAT to evaluate the multi-dimensional gender gap in India and China by differencing the MOAT for females with that of males. Females in both countries have a lower MOAT than their male counterparts, indicating an earlier advent of ‘old age’ for females. The multi-dimensional estimates of the gender gap are also higher than the estimates based on only one dimension of health. A considerable level of variation is also observed in the gender gap across provinces. The study illustrates the need to understand the gender gap in health in India and China from a multi-dimensional perspective and provides an innovative way to quantify such a gap. Province-specific as well as health dimension-specific interventions are vital in reducing the gender gap among older adults in these countries.

Keywords: gender gap; multi-dimensional health; India; China; ageing

Introduction

While female life expectancy is higher than that of their male counterparts in the developed countries, this was not the case in developing countries such as India and China during most of the last century. A systemic bias against females in both the family and society at large, such as female infanticide and higher levels of adult

© Cambridge University Press 2019. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

female mortality, resulted in lower levels of female life expectancy (Das Gupta and Mari Bhat, 1997; Meara *et al.*, 2008). However, in the past few decades, female life expectancy in a number of developing countries has increased and surpassed male life expectancy (Saikia *et al.*, 2011; Le *et al.*, 2015). India and China saw a rapid increase in female life expectancies and a widening of gender differences in life expectancies at higher ages in favour of females. For instance, the difference between female and male life expectancies at age 60 in India was only 0.7 years in 1950–1955. This difference had increased to 1.5 years by 2010–2015. Similarly, life expectancy at age 60 was higher by 1.8 years for Chinese females in 1950–1955 and this difference increased to 2.3 in 2010–2015 (United Nations, 2015).

Such improvements in female life expectancy in India and China could lead one to conclude that the relative gender gap in health in these countries is swiftly decreasing. However, making such conclusions based solely on improvements in life expectancy may not capture the gender differences in health. Improvements in life expectancy do not necessarily mean improvements in other aspects of health (Kassebaum *et al.*, 2016). With an increase in life expectancy, morbidity and disability may decline and get compressed into a shorter duration of life or, alternatively, they may increase and expand to occupy a longer period of life (Omran, 1971, 2005; Olshansky *et al.*, 1991). Developing countries such as India and China are experiencing a rapid demographic transition along with nutritional as well as economic transitions. Consequently, their morbidity and disability patterns vary substantially across the lifecourse (Jagger, 2006; George *et al.*, 2018). Hence, in situations where there is an expansion of morbidity, increased life expectancy could lead to widening inequalities in health if all groups are not able to benefit equally from reductions in morbidity and mortality at later ages. In particular, functional and intellectual disability-free life expectancy is considerably lower than actual life expectancy in both India and China (He *et al.*, 2012). The absence of good functional and intellectual abilities severely impairs health and wellbeing among older adults, even when they have a higher life expectancy (Hay *et al.*, 2017). Poorer functional and intellectual abilities not only lead to a greater burden of ill-health, but also result in lower levels of wellbeing among the older population (Engelhardt *et al.*, 2010; Skirbekk and James, 2014). Hence, a narrow focus just on gender differences in life expectancy does not tell us the whole story about gender inequalities in health in later life in these countries. Studies have shown that the female disadvantage in health is not same across different dimensions of health and that this pattern differs between India and China (Santosa *et al.*, 2016; Wheaton and Crimmins, 2016). For instance, although female disadvantage exists in both physical and cognitive health in India and China, the gender gap is higher in cognitive health compared to physical health; and the gap is higher in India than in China. Moreover, the gender gap in different dimensions of health varies across regions within these countries (Santosa *et al.*, 2016; Singh *et al.*, 2018; Oksuzyan *et al.*, 2018). Thus, a comprehensive understanding of the gender gap in health among older adults in India and China requires a multi-dimensional perspective of health that captures physical and intellectual abilities, rather than a uni-dimensional approach which tends to focus on differences in life expectancy alone.

While a systematic disadvantage in multiple dimensions of health among older women in India and China is recognised, the current indicators used to understand

this have a number of drawbacks: (a) these measures abstractly consider the population above an abstract cut-off age, such as 60 or 65, that is traditionally used to define the older population. Thus, these measures assume that there is no change in characteristics of the population above this age threshold either over time or across countries; (b) existing multi-dimensional measures are not sensitive to cultural differences across countries and generalise the constituents of ideal wellbeing in later life. Most of the existing multi-dimensional measures have their origin in the developed countries and assume that the constituents of wellbeing for older people can be directly translated on to older people living in developing countries, such as India and China, as well. This may not be necessarily true. For instance, the Active Ageing Index (UNECE/European Commission, 2015), the Global Age Watch Index (HelpAge, 2015) and the Index of Well-being in the Older Population (Kaneda *et al.*, 2011) are, among others, examples of multi-dimensional indicators that have been recently developed. All of these measures have their origins in the developed world, and aim at capturing the differences in health, capabilities and market participation among the older population in different countries around the world. A chief feature of all these indices is that they consider a higher level of labour force participation among the population above a certain age threshold, such as 60 or 65, as an important component of wellbeing in later life. However, these multi-dimensional measures are not directly applicable to developing countries where staying longer in the labour market may not be an ideal situation for the wellbeing for older people (Singh and Das, 2015). With regard to India and China, continued participation in the labour market in later life is generally the result of (economic) compulsion rather than individual choice (Pang *et al.*, 2004; Singh and Das, 2015). Hence, the existing multi-dimensional indicators wrongly assume that the ideals related to wellbeing in later life do not differ across cultures and between the developed and the developing countries.

Of particular relevance for this study is the ‘prospective age approach’ (Sanderson and Scherbov, 2005; Scherbov and Sanderson, 2016). However, this has similar drawbacks. This is a measure of ageing based on remaining life expectancy rather than time from birth. As such, it assumes that people with the same prospective age have the same remaining life expectancy. The ‘prospective age’ approach was based on the assumption that capturing changes in life expectancy represents the multiple changes in the health and wellbeing of older people. It proposed measuring population ageing using an old-age threshold with a remaining life expectancy of 15 years. Hence, if average life expectancy was 65 in country A then a person would be considered to be an older person at age 50, *e.g.* 15 years before the end of life. However, if average life expectancy was 75 in country B then a person in that country would be considered an older person at age 60. While the measure was successful in capturing the improvements in life expectancy across populations, it has limitations: (a) it wrongly assumes that the changes in life expectancy capture the changes in multiple dimensions of health and (b) it is based on the conditions in developed countries of the world. Setting the old-age threshold with a remaining life expectancy of 15 years was based on the experience of Europe, where the remaining life expectancy at age 65 in 1980 was indeed 15 years (Balachandran *et al.*, *in press*). From this we can see that the existing measures of population ageing that try to capture multi-dimensional changes among older

adults are either based on an abstract definition of old age as the population above age 60 or 65, or are based on the ideals from the developed world on what constitutes wellbeing, or sometimes both. These existing multi-dimensional measures are not appropriate for the cross-country comparison, especially when the developing countries are involved.

Balachandran and James (2019) have proposed a novel multi-dimensional methodology to identify parity of ages across populations after accounting for different dimensions of health or other aspects of wellbeing in the population. This measure also takes a 'progressive' approach to measuring ageing, as proposed by Sanderson and Scherbov (2005, 2013). But it represents an improvement over the existing applications (Sanderson *et al.*, 2016; Balachandran *et al.*, 2017, *in press*) as it is sensitive to the conditions in developing countries. The methodology generates a multi-dimensional old-age threshold (MOAT). This is the value of the old-age threshold that is obtained after accounting for changes in the different dimensions of health and wellbeing of a population. Hence, if the MOAT of a particular population, population A, is 60, and the MOAT of another population B is 65, it means that being age 65 in population B is same as being age 60 if the differences in the multiple dimensions related to health and wellbeing are accounted for. Hence, while the methodology accommodates multiple dimensions of health and wellbeing among older adults, it does not assume the population above an abstract threshold age such as 60 or 65 is 'elderly'.

In this paper, we make use of the MOAT technique (Balachandran and James, 2019) to explore the gender gap in health in India and China from a multi-dimensional perspective. In this way, this paper presents an innovative application of the MOAT technique for understanding the multi-dimensionality of the gender gap in health in later life that is pertinent in these Asian countries. Moreover, given the regional heterogeneity within both India and China, it is crucial that we understand the extent of gender differences in health at the provincial levels not simply at the national level. To do this we apply the MOAT technique to analyse the multi-dimensional gender gap of major provinces in India and China.

Data and methods

Selection of variables

As mentioned above, there are multi-dimensional gender differentials in health among the older population in India and China. A change in general health alone cannot capture the multi-dimensionality in health, given that there are gender differences in physical and intellectual abilities. In order to capture these varied dimensions, it is necessary to choose variables to represent the different dimensions of health. While making this choice, we need to ensure that these variables are appropriate to the context of the population and the region that is being studied.

To represent the different dimensions of health, we used a combination of three variables: (a) one representing general health, (b) one capturing functional abilities, and (c) a third capturing intellectual abilities. We used self-rated health to capture the general health of the different populations considered. Self-rated health has been identified as a good proxy for actual health status and found to be correlated with mortality and morbidity patterns (Jylhä, 2009; Miilunpalo *et al.*, 1997). In addition, it is commonly used to measure health in developing countries such as India

and China, and hence the data for this variable are readily available for gender and at the provincial levels. To understand age-specific levels of self-rated health at a population level, we used the percentage of the population with good or very good self-rated health (coded 1).

In order to capture functional capabilities of the populations considered, we used the percentage of the population which has problems performing activities of daily living (ADL). This variable is particularly useful in countries like India and China where the prevalence of functional disabilities is generally high (Yi *et al.*, 2002; Dsouza *et al.*, 2014). Moreover, self-rated health may not completely represent functional abilities (Tsuji *et al.*, 1994). In developing countries, functional abilities among older people may indicate other vulnerabilities associated with ageing, such as higher levels of admission to retirement homes and low levels of health-care utilisation (Chatterji *et al.*, 2008; Bloom and Eggleston, 2014; Picco *et al.*, 2016). For these reasons, we used ADL to reflect the functional health of the older population in these countries. In order to capture the level of difficulty with performing ADL, we measured an individual's ability to perform six activities: walking, eating, bathing or showering, using the toilet, dressing, and getting in and out of the bed. If an individual has difficulty in any one of these activities they are identified as having functional limitation (coded 0), or else not (coded 1).

To capture intellectual abilities, we measured the level of cognition among the population. A widely used measure of cognitive ability is the number of words recalled out of ten words from a pre-set list (Skirbekk *et al.*, 2012; Weber *et al.*, 2017). A higher number of words recalled indicates better levels of cognition. Cognitive ability has been increasingly recognised as a more dynamic measure of intellectual ability for use with older populations than traditional variables such as years of schooling which tend not change over the lifecourse after a certain level of attainment earlier in life (Skirbekk *et al.*, 2012; Weber *et al.*, 2017). Hence, although the level of cognitive ability tends to be correlated with the levels of education, it is sensitive to changes over the lifecourse. This is particularly important in the context of countries such as India and China because there were very few educational opportunities and infrastructure in these countries prior to the 1960s, due to historical reasons such as the struggle for independence and subsequent lags in development. As a result of this, the present older population in these countries spent their childhood and youth with comparatively fewer educational opportunities. However, as these countries grew economically and socially over time, there were subsequent improvements among these populations over the lifecourse.

Data source

We use the data for India and China from the WHO Study on Global AGEing and Adult Health (WHO-SAGE), Wave 1 (2007–2010) (Kowal *et al.*, 2012) for our analysis. The data are nationally representative data of the population aged 50 and over in these countries. An overview of the data-set is given in Table 1.

Methodology

We use the methodology provided by Balachandran and James (2019) to capture the multiple dimensions of health in later life. Their approach is an extension of

Table 1. Summary of the representative data-set, by country and gender

Country	Gender	Observations	Mean age	Minimum age	Maximum age
India	Male	3,623	62.33	50	105
	Females	3,527	61.35	50	106
	Total	7,150	61.84	50	106
China	Male	6,397	63.27	50	99
	Females	6,821	63.15	50	102
	Total	13,218	63.21	50	102

the characteristics approach by Sanderson and Scherbov (2013; Scherbov and Sanderson, 2016), which stipulates an old-age threshold across populations based on differentials in any dimension of health over the lifecycle. However, Balachandran and James (2019) have improved the characteristics approach by using a multi-dimensional framework. We make use of this multi-dimensional framework to understand the gender gap in health in India and China. This is the first attempt to use such a multi-dimensional framework to understand the gender gap in health in later life in developing countries. Their approach stipulates an old-age threshold for a population that accommodates multiple dimensions of health. The resultant old-age threshold is called the MOAT. The higher the value of the MOAT, the better the achievements of the particular population in terms of different dimensions of health. The methodology for producing the MOAT consists of four steps.

Step 1: Selection of the standard population

To produce the values of the MOAT, first, a standard population is chosen in order to compare the different dimensions against the standard population. A standard population is a hypothetically formulated population based on the age-specific values for each of the three dimensions of the different populations considered in the analysis. The age-specific value of the standard population is obtained by averaging the highest and lowest values for the corresponding age among the different populations considered. In choosing such an age-specific value for the standard population, it is ensured that the standard population chosen is comparable for the different populations considered. Mathematically, this can be expressed as follows:

$$V_{S,T,i} = \frac{V_{\min_{T_k,i}} + V_{\max_{T_k,i}}}{2}$$

where $V_{\min_{T_k,i}}$ refers to the value of the standard population S of the dimension T_k at age i . k can take a value between 1 and 3, as three dimensions: self-rated health, cognition or abilities with ADL. $V_{\min_{T_k,i}}$ is the minimum value of dimension T_k at age i across the populations considered and $V_{\max_{T_k,i}}$ is the maximum value of the dimension T_k at age i across the populations. Since our interest is in analysing the gender gap in India and China, there are essentially four different populations

under the purview of our analysis. These are the male and female populations in each of the two countries.

Hence, the value of self-rated health at different ages is the average of the highest and lowest values among the male and female populations in India and China. Similarly, the age-specific value for cognition is based on the average of the highest and lowest age-specific number of words recalled across the different populations. Likewise, the standard population of the dimension of abilities with ADL is obtained.

Step 2: Selection of frontier value in each dimension

After formulating the standard population for each dimension considered, we obtain a 'frontier value' from the standard population. In the process of obtaining the frontier value, we set a lower and upper bound for the standard population, due to empirical reasons. Since the data we use are representative of the population above age 50, we use age 50 as the lower bound for the standard population. Although there is no upper age limit in the data-sets, an empirical investigation indicates that the comparable samples across the populations considered are much reduced above the age of 85. Hence, we use age 85 as the upper bound of the standard population. In order to smooth the fluctuations occurring in the data, we categorise the data into seven age groups of five-year intervals. We then obtain the frontier value in each dimension from the standard population by averaging the values across the seven age groups between the ages of 50 and 85. Mathematically, this can be expressed as follows:

$$OV_{T_k} = \sum_{i=50}^{85} \frac{V_{S,T_k,I}}{N}$$

where OV_T is the frontier value using the dimension T_k ; $V_{S,T_k,I}$ refers to the value of the standard population S of the dimension T_k at age group I . N is the number of age groups. Since, there are seven age groups in our analysis, the value of N is 7.

Step 3: Identification of the old-age threshold across countries

Once the frontier values for different dimensions are estimated, the next step is to identify each of the dimensions for the different populations under consideration. The old-age threshold is the age at which a population reaches the frontier value. For instance, if the frontier value of the dimension of cognition is four words and the male population in China reaches this value at age 55, then age 55 is considered to be the old-age threshold for that particular dimension. In case the population continues to be at the same level of cognition at age 55, or if it returns back to the same level of cognition at age 55, then age 55 is considered to be the old-age threshold for the population. Mathematically, it can be expressed as follows:

$$OT_{P,T} : IC_{P,T} = OV_T$$

where $OT_{P,T}$ refers to the old-age threshold of the population P with regards to dimension T . This value is given by the last chronological age of the population

P at which the value the dimension T (given by lC_p) is the same as the frontier value of dimension T (given by OV_T). Since we have five-year age groups in the analysis, a linear interpolation technique is used to find the exact old-age threshold.

Step 4: Combining different dimensions

After identifying the different old-age thresholds based on the different dimensions for each population, the different old-age thresholds need to be combined to attain the multi-dimensional measure called the MOAT. We combine the different dimensions by averaging the values of the old-age thresholds using the different variables of self-rated health, cognition and ADL. Such an averaging is in line with many other multi-dimensional measures such as the Human Development Index (HDI). The resultant average old-age threshold is a multi-dimensional one that accommodates for the changes in different dimensions of health. This averaged old-age threshold is called the MOAT. Mathematically it can be expressed as follows:

$$MOAT_p = \sum_{T=1}^3 \frac{OT_{p,T}}{3}$$

where $MOAT_p$ refers to the MOAT of country C . It is given by the average of old-age threshold across characteristic T for the population P (given by $OT_{p,T}$).

Results

We computed the age-specific values of self-rated health, cognition and percentage of population with abilities to perform ADL for the four populations: (a) male population in India, (b) female population in India, (c) male population in China, and (d) female population in China. We also found the age-specific values of the standard population in different dimensions. The results of these computations are plotted in Figure 1.

Figure 1a shows a decline in self-rated good health of the population among the male and female populations in India and China. However, the levels and rate of decline are different across the populations. While the Indian female population has the lowest levels of self-rated health across most parts of the lifecourse, Chinese males have the highest levels of self-rated health. Figure 1b shows that the average mean number of words recalled goes down with age. However, the patterns of decline are different across the populations. A similar pattern can be observed in Figure 1c where the percentage of the population who are able to perform ADL is depicted. In general, this also shows a pattern of decline with increasing age though there are variations in the rate of decline across different populations. In general, it can be seen that the female population of India has lowest absolute values for the different dimensions, whereas the male population of China generally has better levels of performance.

Figure 1 also provides values for the standard population with regard to different dimensions. From the standard population, the frontier values of the different dimensions were calculated. The self-rated health-based frontier value was 28.2,




Figure 1. (a) Percentage of the population with good self-rated health (SRH) across different age groups for the selected populations, 2010. (b) Age-specific values of mean number of words recalled in selected populations, 2010. (c) Percentage of the population able to perform activities of daily living (ADL) in different age groups among selected populations, 2010.

Source: Authors' calculation based on WHO-SAGE (WHO Study on Global AGEing and Adult Health), Wave 1, 2007–2010 (Kowal *et al.*, 2012).

Table 2. Estimates of old-age threshold values for different dimensions and multi-dimensional old-age threshold (MOAT) across gender in India and China, 2010

Population	Self-rated health-based old-age threshold (threshold value = 28.2)	Cognition-based old-age threshold (threshold value = 4.5)	Functional abilities-based old-age threshold (threshold value = 68.1)	MOAT
India:				
Female	54	61	57	57.3
Male	65	73	65	67.7
China:				
Female	64	68	83	71.7
Male	65	72	83	73.3

Source: Authors' calculation based on WHO-SAGE (WHO Study on Global AGEing and Adult Health), Wave 1, 2007–2010 (Kowal *et al.*, 2012).

for cognitive function it was 4.5 and for ADL it was 68.1. This means that the population has good self-rated health until it reaches the age where 28.2 per cent of the population has good self-rated health. Similarly, the population has good cognitive ability until it reaches the age where the frontier value of cognitive function is 4.5 words. Likewise, the age where 68.1 per cent of the population are still able to perform all the ADL is the age at which the population has good functional capabilities. Based on the frontier values identified, we estimate the old-age threshold for different dimensions and the also estimate the MOAT. These estimates are shown in Table 2.

The second, third and fourth columns in Table 2 show the old-age thresholds based on the dimensions of self-rated health, cognition and functional abilities (ADL), respectively, for the selected populations. For instance, the self-rated health-based old-age threshold for the Chinese male population is 65. This means that at age 65, the percentage of the population in the Chinese male population with good self-rated health is the same as the frontier value of 28.2 per cent. Similarly, the cognition-based old-age threshold for the Chinese male population is 72 and the functional abilities-based old-age threshold for China is 83. This means that the Chinese male population attains the cognition-based frontier value of 4.5 words at age 72 and ADL-based frontier value of 68.2 per cent at age 83. It may also be noted that the female population in India has lower values of old-age thresholds in different dimensions as well as in terms of MOAT. The male populations in India and China have higher values of old-age thresholds across the different dimensions in comparison to their female counterparts. The only exception here is for the dimension of ADL abilities in China, where the male and female populations have the same old-age threshold value.

The estimates highlight the relative advantage/disadvantage for each of the populations in terms of different dimensions. For instance, the Indian female population has higher old-age threshold values for the cognition-based old-age threshold in comparison with self-rated health, functional abilities and also based on MOAT.




Figure 2. Gender gap in India and China across different dimensions and multi-dimensional old-age threshold (MOAT), 2010.

Source: Authors' calculation based on WHO-SAGE (WHO Study on Global AGEing and Adult Health), Wave 1, 2007–2010 (Kowal *et al.*, 2012).

This means that the relative performance of the Indian female population in terms of cognition is better than their performance in other dimensions. Contrary to this, the cognition-based old-age threshold of the Chinese female population is lower than their performance in the dimensions of self-rated health and functional abilities.

Gender gap across India and China

A higher old-age threshold depicts better performance of the population in a particular dimension or in terms of multiple dimensions (if MOAT is considered). Likewise, a lower old-age threshold indicates a lower level of performance. Thus, a difference between the old-age thresholds of the male and female populations of a country illustrates the gender gap in the country. In Figure 2, we illustrate the gender gap in India and China for different dimensions and also for MOAT. The higher the value of the gender gap, the higher is the level of disadvantage among females in comparison with males in the particular dimension.

The difference, which represents the gender gap in a country, is clearly higher in India in comparison to that of China across the different dimensions. However, there are differences across the dimensions. The cognition-based gender gap is higher in India than the other dimensions. This is followed by the gender gap in self-rated health and then by functional abilities. A similar pattern is also observed in China. The cognition-based gender gap is higher in China as well, in comparison to the gender gap in the other dimensions. This is followed by the gender gap in the dimension of self-rated health and functional abilities. In fact, the gender gap in China in terms of functional abilities is zero. Both India and China have a significant gender gap in cognition in later life.

The gender gap estimation with MOAT shows the multiple levels of deprivation faced by older women in these countries. The estimates show that the multi-dimensional gender gap in India is much higher than that in China. The values of the gender gap are 10.4 in India and 1.6 in China. This means that the multi-dimensional gender gap is 6.5 times higher in India than in China. The results

based on MOAT clearly add to our understanding of the gender gap as MOAT captures the complexity of this gender gap. A uni-dimensional understanding of the gender gap can, by definition, only identify the gender gap in one specific dimension of health. On the other hand, the MOAT-based analysis of the gender gap is comprehensive and accounts for the gender gap along multiple dimensions. For instance, the MOAT-based gender gap in both India and China is lower than the cognition-based gender gap in the particular countries. However, in both the countries, the MOAT-based gender gap is higher than the gender gap in the dimension for functional abilities. Similarly, the MOAT-based gender gap is lower than the self-rated health-based gender gap in India, whereas in the case of China, the MOAT-based gender gap is higher than that for self-rated health.

The gender gap in India and China at the provincial level

Both India and China are known for having high levels of regional inequalities in health (Yip and Mahal, 2008). The socio-economic inequalities at the provincial levels in these countries are believed to be responsible for this (Dummer and Cook, 2008). Given these regional inequalities, it is important not just to understand the extent of the gender gap in health at the national level. We must also drill down to the regional level to get a more holistic picture of the patterns of gender inequalities in health in these countries. The WHO-SAGE data-set provides information on six Indian provinces and eight Chinese provinces. Among the provinces considered in India, Karnataka and Maharashtra have better levels of HDI values, while Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh have lower levels of HDI values (Global Data Lab, 2017). Among the Chinese provinces considered, Shanghai has the highest levels of HDI values while Yunnan has the lowest levels. It is probable that the gender inequality is lower in provinces with higher levels of HDI values, and this needs to be explored. We illustrate the MOAT and old-age thresholds based on different dimensions for the provinces of India and China in Tables 3 and 4, respectively.

Table 3 shows the MOAT and old-age thresholds based on different dimensions for six different provinces in India. The province of Karnataka has the highest MOAT for females and Assam has the highest MOAT for males. At the same time, the province of Rajasthan has the lowest MOAT value for females, and the provinces of Maharashtra and West Bengal have the lowest values of MOAT for males. Interestingly, the province of Karnataka has a MOAT value for females higher than that of males. This pattern in Karnataka, the South Indian province included in the analysis, is in accordance with the general patterns of health in India, where the South Indian province shows a comparatively higher gender equality (Balarajan *et al.*, 2011). Studies shows that the general health among older adults in the South Indian provinces of Kerala, Tamilnadu and Karnataka is significantly better than their counterparts in rest of India (Rajan *et al.*, 2001; Giridhar *et al.*, 2014). There are also provincial-level patterns for individual dimensions. Some provinces with better performance in MOAT lag in performance in certain dimensions. For instance, Assam has higher values of old-age thresholds based on the dimensions of self-rated health and functional abilities, but has lower old-age threshold based on the dimension of cognition.

Table 3. Estimates of old-age threshold values for different dimensions and multi-dimensional old-age threshold (MOAT) across gender in different provinces of India, 2010

Province	Self-rated health-based old-age threshold		Cognition-based old-age threshold		Functional abilities-based old-age threshold		MOAT	
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
Assam	79	82	46	48	77	79	67.33	69.67
Karnataka	77	78	79	75	58	55	71.33	69.33
Maharashtra	52	64	73	67	62	64	62.33	65.00
Rajasthan	50	59	59	75	63	64	57.33	66.00
Uttar Pradesh	62	73	69	73	68	66	66.33	70.67
West Bengal	51	60	72	68	63	67	62.00	65.00

Source: Authors' calculation based on WHO-SAGE (WHO Study on Global AGEing and Adult Health), Wave 1, 2007–2010 (Kowal *et al.*, 2012).

Table 4. Estimates of old-age threshold values for different dimensions and multi-dimensional old-age threshold (MOAT) across gender in different provinces of China, 2010

Province	Self-rated health-based old-age threshold		Cognition-based old-age threshold		Functional abilities-based old-age threshold		MOAT	
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
Guangdong	70	69	66	70	79	81	71.67	73.33
Hubei	58	55	64	67	85	83	69.00	68.33
Jilin	60	64	64	66	78	81	67.33	70.33
Shaanxi	51	62	58	60	84	82	64.33	68.00
Shangdong	61	70	75	78	81	82	72.33	76.67
Shanghai	75	79	69	75	84	85	76.00	79.67
Yunnan	63	74	69	72	75	80	69.00	75.33
Zhejiang	78	77	67	72	84	85	76.33	78.00

Source: Authors' calculation based on WHO-SAGE (WHO Study on Global AGEing and Adult Health), Wave 1, 2007–2010 (Kowal *et al.*, 2012).

Table 5. Estimates of the multi-dimensional gender gap and the gender gap based on different dimensions in different provinces of India, 2010

Province	Self-rated health (male – female)	Cognition (male – female)	Functional abilities (male – female)	MOAT (male – female)
Assam	3	2	2	2.33
Karnataka	1	–4	–3	–2.00
Maharashtra	12	–6	2	2.67
Rajasthan	9	16	1	8.67
Uttar Pradesh	11	4	–2	4.33
West Bengal	9	–4	4	3.00

Note: MOAT: multi-dimensional old-age threshold.

Source: Authors' calculation based on WHO-SAGE (WHO Study on Global AGEing and Adult Health), Wave 1, 2007–2010 (Kowal *et al.*, 2012).

Similarly, [Table 4](#) shows the MOAT and old-age thresholds based on different dimensions for eight provinces of China. Shanghai has the highest MOAT among the Chinese male population and Zhejiang has the highest MOAT for the Chinese female population. Shaanxi has the lowest MOAT values for the Chinese male and female populations. Patterns in individual dimensions also differ across provinces. The old-age thresholds based on the dimensions of self-rated health and functional abilities are higher in Zhejiang than the old-age thresholds based on cognition. Likewise, the old-age thresholds based on the dimensions of cognition and functional abilities are higher for Hubei than its old-age thresholds based on self-rated health.

We use the MOAT and dimension-specific old-age thresholds across male and female populations to analyse the gender gap in the different provinces in both countries. As executed in the case of estimation of the national comparisons of the gender gap, we find the difference between the MOAT of the male and female population across the different provinces considered to obtain the results of multi-dimensional gender gap at the provincial level. [Tables 5](#) and [6](#) show the results of the estimation of the gender gap in the provinces of India and China, respectively.

[Table 5](#) shows the estimation of the gender gap in the six Indian provinces. Except for the province of Karnataka, all the provinces have a positive value of difference in the MOAT across gender, indicating that the multi-dimensional gender gap is biased against females in these provinces. The province of Rajasthan has the highest gender gap using the MOAT. That is, the female disadvantage is highest in Rajasthan in comparison to the other provinces. After Rajasthan, the gender gap using the MOAT is highest in Uttar Pradesh. This is followed by West Bengal, Maharashtra and Assam in that order. There are also provincial-level patterns in individual dimensions as well. Maharashtra has the highest gender gap in the dimension of self-rated health, followed by Uttar Pradesh. Rajasthan has the highest gender gap in the dimension of cognition. The low level of access to education for females and cultural bias against female literacy can be endorsed with the

Table 6. Estimates of the multi-dimensional gender gap and the gender gap based on different dimensions in different provinces of China, 2010

Province	Self-rated health (male – female)	Cognition (male – female)	Functional abilities (male – female)	MOAT (male – female)
Guangdong	–1	4	2	1.67
Hubei	–3	3	–2	–0.67
Jilin	4	2	3	3.00
Shaanxi	11	2	–2	3.67
Shangdong	9	3	1	4.33
Shanghai	4	6	1	3.67
Yunnan	11	3	5	6.33
Zhejiang	–1	5	1	1.67

Note: MOAT: multi-dimensional old-age threshold.

Source: Authors' calculation based on WHO-SAGE (WHO Study on Global AGEing and Adult Health), Wave 1, 2007–2010 (Kowal *et al.*, 2012).

responsibility of this pattern in the dimension of cognition in the province of Rajasthan (Thomas *et al.*, 1999). The province of West Bengal has the highest gender gap in the dimension of functional abilities.

Table 6 shows the results of the estimation of the gender gap in eight provinces of China. The value of the difference in the MOAT is positive in most provinces of China as well, indicating a gender gap in multi-dimensional health that is biased against females in the Chinese provinces. The only exception to this is the province of Hubei, where the difference in the MOAT values across gender is negative and less than 1. This indicates the slight female advantage in multi-dimensional health in the province. The province of Yunnan has the highest gender gap followed by Shangdong. There are also variations in the gender gap across the dimensions. While Yunnan and Shaanxi have the highest gender gap in the dimension of self-rated health, Shanghai has the highest gender gap in the dimension of cognition. Again, Yunnan has the highest gender gap in the dimension of functional abilities.

Discussion

The paper contributes to the literature in multiple ways. Firstly, it provides an innovative way to use a population ageing measure to understand gender inequalities in health across countries. Secondly, it highlights the need to take a multi-dimensional approach to understanding gender inequalities in health in developing countries. Thirdly, it provides empirical insights into the multi-dimensional gender gap in health in India and China, at the national and provincial levels.

Empirically, the paper illustrates the existence of the multi-dimensional nature of the gender gap in health both in India and China and that this is higher in India than in China. An effort at quantifying the intensity of the multi-dimensional gender gap among the older population shows that the gender gap in India is around 6.5 times higher than in China. The estimations of the multi-dimensional gender

gap in both countries is different from the estimations based merely on one dimension. For instance, the gender gap using the dimension of functional abilities alone is lower in both India and China in comparison with the multi-dimensional estimate of the gender gap in these countries. Similarly, the gender gap using the dimension of cognition is higher than the multi-dimensional gender gap in both countries. It also needs to be noted that the picture presented by the multi-dimensional perspective of the gender gap is also different from that presented by the differences in the life expectancies. While the life expectancies among older females have been continuously increasing in these countries and is higher than those of males, the relative position of females is actually disadvantaged and vulnerable once the multiple dimensions of health are taken into consideration. For instance, for the period of 2005–2010, the difference in life expectancies at age 50 across gender was 2.2 years in India and 2.5 years in China, with a relative advantage for females (United Nations, 2015). Thus, a conclusion based on merely life expectancy may presume female advantage in these countries at older ages. However, our analysis depicts a clear female disadvantage in both the countries once multiple dimensions of health are taken into consideration. Hence, when a multi-dimensional lens is used to understand the gender gap among the older population, a country has better levels of gender equality in health when it simultaneously improves gender equality in multiple dimensions related to health.

The paper also highlights how the multi-dimensional gender gap among the older population is heterogeneous across the provinces of India and China. The provinces of Karnataka in India and Hubei in China have lower levels of gender inequality compared to other provinces in their respective countries. Likewise, the provinces of Rajasthan in India and Yunnan in China have the highest levels of gender inequality. These patterns are in accordance with the wider patterns of health at the provincial level in the countries (Balarajan *et al.*, 2011; Evandrou *et al.*, 2014). Rajasthan and Yunnan are also provinces with relatively lower levels of HDI values, while Karnataka has higher levels of HDI values. However, it may also be noted that our analysis illustrates that the gender gap need not necessarily have a direct association with the levels of HDI values. For instance, the provinces of Shanghai and Maharashtra in China and India, respectively, have relatively higher levels of HDI values, but also have relatively higher levels of gender gap.

Our application also shows that the multi-dimensional gender gap estimations at the provincial level are significantly different from uni-dimensional estimates, thereby underlining the importance of a multi-dimensional perspective for deriving a more holistic picture. There have been some recent efforts to bring out multi-dimensional measures for population ageing in specific contexts and interests, such as the Global Age Watch Index and Active Ageing Index (HelpAge, 2015; UNECE/European Commission, 2015). However, unlike the other existing multi-dimensional measures, our application is progressive as it provides a measurement for comparison of the intensity of the gender gap across populations. The existing multi-dimensional measures, on the other hand, merely index the different improvements in characteristics of older persons and their environments based on an already-existing abstract definition of age 60 or 65 to define older persons.

However, it should be noted that the old-age thresholds need to be cautiously interpreted. The old-age thresholds are estimated on the basis of the standard

population, which is derived from the populations considered. The resultant old-age thresholds are useful for comparisons across the considered populations, but the interpretation of the absolute value of the old-age threshold may not be very useful. However, the important point here is that the old-age thresholds are extremely useful for understanding the gender gap across the countries. A difference between the old-age thresholds derived across the genders in both countries indicates a difference in the relative achievements of the male and female populations in the respective countries.

An important choice in the analysis is the choice of the variables that corresponds to the multiple dimensions relating to different aspects of health. We chose the variables in consideration of the suitability of the region considered for the study, literature and availability of data in the region. However, it would be possible to use different variables that represent different dimensions of health in the region of interest and based on data availability.

Another important assumption in the execution of the methodology is the choice of the weights given to different dimensions. We chose an approach of giving equal weights to different dimensions during the creation of the MOAT. Such an approach is in line with other international multi-dimensional indices for well-being, such as the HDI. The provision of giving equal weights to different dimensions avoids any kind of normative positions on the relative importance of different dimensions considered. However, in future, the methodology to understand the gender gap used in our analysis can also be executed with differential weights if the interest is in understanding the relative importance of certain dimensions.

Recommendations

Our findings have direct implications for policy makers. The start of 'old age' for women is earlier than their male counterparts in these countries and thus a life-course approach to improve different aspects of the health of women should be underscored. Policy makers should concentrate on giving equal educational opportunities to women, given the connection between education at younger ages and cognitive abilities across the lifecourse. The educational opportunities for women in these countries are presently very low. The burden of functional disability is also higher among women than among men, pushing them to 'old age' sooner than males. With a continuous rise in the older population in these countries, the study suggests the need for a comprehensive and holistic gender-sensitive health agenda in India and China. Such a health agenda should recognise the multi-dimensionality of deprivation among different aspects of health among women.

The provincial-level differentials of the gender gap in health directs policy makers to the need to concentrate on older women in regions with a higher gender gap. A cumulative disadvantage for women across the lifecourse in many socio-economic-cultural aspects may be responsible for the multi-dimensional disadvantage in health. A targeted approach for the elimination of the gender gap in these regions is called for.

Our results point towards the serious need to increase not only the health budget, but also budgetary provisions related to education and other quality dimensions for females in India and China. Given the vastness and heterogeneity in

these countries, we acknowledge that there would be a multiplicity of factors that are country- and region-specific that would determine the gender gap in the countries and its provinces. It might be worthwhile in future studies to understand the determinants of the differentials in the gender gap as well. Also, better data documentation initiatives are needed in these countries to capture its heterogeneity.

Acknowledgements. The authors acknowledge valuable comments from Fanny Janssen, Joop de Beer and Leo van Wissen on the earlier version of the manuscript, although any errors are our own and should not tarnish the reputations of these esteemed professionals.

Financial support. The first author acknowledges the support by the Ubbo Emmius Fund (AB; alumnus and friends of the University of Groningen, The Netherlands).

Conflict of interest. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Ethical standards. No ethical approval was required.

References

- Balachandran A, De Beer J, James KS, Van Wissen L and Janssen F (2017) Comparison of ageing in Europe and Asia: refining the prospective age approach with a cross-country perspective. Netherlands Interdisciplinary Demographic Institute (NIDI), The Hague, Working Paper 1. Available at <https://www.nidi.nl/shared/content/output/papers/nidi-wp-2017-01.pdf>.
- Balachandran A, de Beer J, James KS, van Wissen L and Janssen F (in press) Comparison of population ageing in Europe and Asia using a time-consistent and comparative aging measure. *Journal of Aging and Health*. Available online doi:10.1177/0898264318824180.
- Balachandran A and James KS (2019) A multi-dimensional measure of population ageing accounting for Quantum and Quality in life years: an application of selected countries in Europe and Asia. *SSM – Population Health* 7, 1–9.
- Balarajan Y, Selvaraj S and Subramanian SV (2011) Health care and equity in India. *The Lancet* 377, 505–515.
- Bloom DE and Eggleston KN (2014) The economic implications of population ageing in China and India: introduction to the special issue. *Journal of the Economics of Ageing* 4, 1–7.
- Chatterji S, Kowal P, Mathers C, Naidoo N, Verdes E, Smith JP and Suzman R (2008) The health of aging populations in China and India. *Health Affairs* 27, 1052–1063.
- Das Gupta M and Mari Bhat PN (1997) Fertility decline and increased manifestation of sex bias in India. *Population Studies* 51, 307–315.
- Dsouza SA, Rajashekar B, Dsouza HS and Kumar KB (2014) Falls in Indian older adults: a barrier to active ageing. *Asian Journal of Gerontology and Geriatrics* 9, 1–8.
- Dummer TJB and Cook IG (2008) Health in China and India: a cross-country comparison in a context of rapid globalisation. *Social Science and Medicine* 67, 590–605.
- Engelhardt H, Buber I, Skirbekk V and Prskawetz A (2010) Social involvement, behavioural risks and cognitive functioning among older people. *Ageing & Society* 30, 779–809.
- Evandrou M, Falkingham J, Feng Z and Vlachantoni A (2014) Individual and province inequalities in health among older people in China: evidence and policy implications. *Health and Place* 30, 134–144.
- George S, Balachandran A, Omkar Nadh P and Apurva KH (2018) Is drug development in India responsive to the disease burden? A public health appraisal. *Economic and Political Weekly* 53.
- Girdhar G, Sathyanarayana KM, Kumar S, James KS and Alam M (eds) (2014) *Population Ageing in India*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Global Data Lab (2017) International Wealth Index (IWI). Available at <https://globaldatalab.org/shdi/shdi/>.
- Hay SI, Abajobir AA, Abate KH, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abd-Allah F, ... Abera SF (2017) Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 333 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *The Lancet* 390, 1260–1344.

- He W, Muenchrath MN and Kowal PR** (2012) *Shades of Gray: A Cross-country Study of Health and Well-being of the Older Populations in SAGE Countries, 2007–2010*. Washington, DC: US Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration.
- HelpAge** (2015) *Global Age Watch Index2015: Insight Report*. London: HelpAge.
- Jagger C** (2006) Can we live longer, healthier lives? In Yi Z, Crimmins EM, Carrière Y and Robine JM (eds), *Longer Life and Healthy Aging*. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 7–22.
- Jylhä M** (2009) What is self-rated health and why does it predict mortality? Towards a unified conceptual model. *Social Science and Medicine* **69**, 307–316.
- Kaneda T, Lee M and Pollard K** (2011) *SCL/PRB Index of Well-being in Older Populations*. Washington, DC: Population Research Bureau.
- Kassebaum NJ, Arora M, Barber RM, Bhutta ZA, Brown J, Carter A, ... Coggeshall M** (2016) Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 315 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE), 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *The Lancet* **388**, 1603–1658.
- Kowal P, Chatterji S, Naidoo N, Biritwum R, Fan W, Ridaura RL, ... Boerman J** (2012) Data resource profile: the World Health Organization Study on global AGEing and adult health (SAGE). *International Journal of Epidemiology* **41**, 1639–1649.
- Le Y, Ren J, Shen J, Li T and Zhang C-F** (2015) The changing gender differences in life expectancy in Chinese cities 2005–2010. *PLOS ONE* **10**, e0123320.
- Meara ER, Richards S and Cutler DM** (2008) The gap gets bigger: changes in mortality and life expectancy, by education, 1981–2000. *Health Affairs* **27**, 350–360.
- Miilunpalo S, Vuori I, Oja P, Pasanen M and Urponen H** (1997) Self-rated health status as a health measure: the predictive value of self-reported health status on the use of physician services and on mortality in the working-age population. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* **50**, 517–528.
- Oksuzyan A, Singh PK, Christensen K and Jasilionis D** (2018) A cross-national study of the gender gap in health among older adults in India and China: similarities and disparities. *The Gerontologist* **58**, 1156–1165.
- Olshansky SJ, Rudberg MA, Cassel CK, Carnes BA and Brody JA** (1991) Trading off longer life for worsening health: the expansion of morbidity hypothesis. *Journal of Aging and Health* **3**, 194–216.
- Omran AR** (1971) The epidemiologic transition. *Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly* **49**, 509–538.
- Omran AR** (2005) The epidemiologic transition: a theory of the epidemiology of population change. *Milbank Quarterly* **83**, 731–757.
- Pang L, De Brauw A and Rozelle S** (2004) Working until you drop: the elderly of rural China. *The China Journal* **52**, 73–94.
- Picco L, Achilla E, Abdin E, Chong SA, Vaingankar JA, McCrone P, Chua HC, Heng D, Magadi H, Ng LL and Prince M** (2016) Economic burden of multimorbidity among older adults: impact on healthcare and societal costs. *BMC Health Services Research* **16**, 173.
- Rajan SI, Mishra US and Sarma PS** (2001) Health concerns among India's elderly. *International Journal of Aging and Human Development* **53**, 181–194.
- Saikia N, Jasilionis D, Ram F and Shkolnikov VM** (2011) Trends and geographic differentials in mortality under age 60 in India. *Population Studies* **65**, 73–89.
- Sanderson WC and Scherbov S** (2005) Average remaining lifetimes can increase as human populations age. *Nature* **435**, 811–813.
- Sanderson WC and Scherbov S** (2013) The characteristics approach to the measurement of population aging. *Population and Development Review* **39**, 673–685.
- Sanderson WC, Scherbov S, Weber D and Bordone V** (2016) Combined measures of upper and lower body strength and subgroup differences in subsequent survival among the older population of England. *Journal of Aging and Health* **28**, 1178–1193.
- Santosa A, Schröders J, Vaezghasemi M and Ng N** (2016) Inequality in disability-free life expectancies among older men and women in six countries with developing economies. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* **70**, 855–861.
- Scherbov S and Sanderson WC** (2016) New approaches to the conceptualization and measurement of age and aging. *Journal of Aging and Health* **28**, 1159–1177.
- Singh A and Das U** (2015) Increasing compulsion to work for wages: old age labor participation and supply in India over the past two decades. *Population Ageing* **8**, 303–326.

- Singh PK, Jasilionis D and Oksuzyan A** (2018) Gender difference in cognitive health among older Indian adults: a cross-sectional multilevel analysis. *SSM – Population Health* **5**, 180–187.
- Skirbekk V and James KS** (2014) Abuse against elderly in India – the role of education. *BMC Public Health* **14**, 336.
- Skirbekk V, Loichinger E and Weber D** (2012) Variation in cognitive functioning as a refined approach to comparing aging across countries. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **109**, 770–774.
- Thomas V, Wang Y and Fan X** (1999) *Measuring Education Inequality: Gini Coefficients of Education*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Tsuji I, Minami Y, Keyl PM, Hisamichi S, Asano H, Sato M and Shinoda K** (1994) The predictive power of self-rated health, activities of daily living, and ambulatory activity for cause-specific mortality among the elderly: a three-year follow-up in urban Japan. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* **42**, 153–156.
- United Nations** (2015) *World Population Prospects*. New York, NY: United Nations Population Division.
- UNECE/European Commission** (2015) *Active Ageing Index 2014 Analytical Report*. Report prepared by Asghar Zaidi and David Stanton. Brussels.
- Weber D, Dekhtyar S and Herlitz A** (2017) The Flynn effect in Europe – effects of sex and region. *Intelligence* **60**, 39–45.
- Wheaton FV and Crimmins EM** (2016) Female disability disadvantage: a global perspective on sex differences in physical function and disability. *Ageing & Society* **36**, 1136–1156.
- Yi Z, Vaupel JW, Zhenyu X, Chunyuan Z and Yuzhi L** (2002) Sociodemographic and health profiles of the oldest old in China. *Population and Development Review* **28**, 251–273.
- Yip W and Mahal A** (2008) The health care systems of China and India: performance and future challenges. *Health Affairs* **27**, 921–932.

Cite this article: Balachandran A, James KS (2021). A multi-dimensional perspective on the gender gap in health among older adults in India and China: application of a new ageing measure. *Ageing & Society* **41**, 1000–1020. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X19001521>