



University of Groningen

Can I handle the scalpel?

Nieboer, Patrick; Cnossen, Fokeltje; Bulstra, Sjoerd; Stevens, Martin; Jaarsma, Debbie

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2016

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Nieboer, P., Cnossen, F., Bulstra, S., Stevens, M., & Jaarsma, D. (2016). Can I handle the scalpel? Different views on critical subtask assessment between residents and expert surgeons. Poster session presented at International Meeting for Behavioural Sciences Applied to Surgery and Acute Care Settings, Aberdeen, United Kingdom.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.



Patrick Nieboer, MD

Sjoerd Bulstra, MD,

Martin Stevens, PhD

University medical Centre Groningen, Dep. of orthopeadic surgery

Debbie Jaarsma, PhD University medical Centre Groningen, Dep. of medical education

Fokie Cnossen, PhD

University of Groninger Center of artificial

ntelligence and ognitive engineering

Can I handle the scalpel? Different views on critical subtask assessment between residents and expert surgeons

Introduction

• Experts have two roles once they entrust residents to 'handle the scalpel' in the operation theatre: As a teacher: Allowing the resident to progress to autonomous task performance. As an expert: Striving for the best possible outcome for the patient.

· Residents have two goals as learners in the operation theatre:

- a. 'Handle the scalpel' as long as possible.
 - b. Elicit expert information when their expertise becomes insufficient.

Complex or critical subtasks execution has an effect on :

- a. Experts: outcome becomes more important than teaching.
 - b. Residents: they need teachers even more to 'keep the scalpel' themselves.

Objective

What is the complexity of all the different subtask of a single orthopaedic surgical procedure:

a. According to the experts?

b. According to the residents?

Methods		Subtask	Skill (predominantly)	Experts	Residents
 56 subtasks were identified in an uncemented total hip replacement procedure for osteoarthritis. 		Jublask	okii (predominantiy)	High attention	High attentio
 21 residents and 17 experts rated how much attention they need 	1	Assessing angle and height of collum osteotomy femur	Judgement / Decision making	Yes	Yes
for every subtask of the procedure on a 5-point Likert scale.	2	Exposing acetabulum with homan retractors / pins	Motor	Yes	
• High attention sub tasks were defined as a median attention score of 4 or more.	3	Reaming acetabulum in right angle and volume	Motor + Judgement / Decision making	Yes	Yes
We asked the participants to provide arguments for high attention scores.	4	Testing trial cup acetabulum and assess definite size	Judgement / Decision making	Yes	Yes
	5	Insert cup acetabulum	Motor	Yes	Yes
Results	6	Assessing cup position acetabulum	Judgement / Decision making	Yes	Yes
Both experts and residents expected to need normal attention for the majority of the subtasks.	7	Assessing direction of broaching femur	Judgement / Decision making	Yes	
• Experts had: a. High attention scores on 12 subtasks (table).	8	Assess position trial prosthesis and determine off set femur	Judgement / Decision making	Yes	Yes
b. Frequent Arguments: 'Crucial for end result', 'Essential for outcome', 'Prevention of complications such as luxation'.	9	Assessing definitive stem size femur	Judgement / Decision making	Yes	
• Residents had:	10	Definitive stem placement femur	Motor	Yes	
 a. High attention scores on 8 subtasks (table). b. Frequent Arguments: 'Little room for error', 'Is difficult', 'Must be perfect'. 	11	Assessing definitive stem position and anteversion angle	Judgement / Decision making	Yes	Yes
	12	Assessing definitive position, stability and lenght uncemented	Judgement / Decision making	Yes	Yes
 The majority of these critical tasks can be characterised as 'point of no return' judgment and decision making (table). 		Total		12	8

Conclusion and discussion:

•Experts' high attention for subtasks was different from residents':

Experts: effects on patient outcome and complications.

Residents: more anxious about their own task performance.

·Critical subtasks are characterised by judgment and decision making as key competences.

•Experts and residents might both benefit when they identify and discuss critical and complex subtasks before going into the operation theatre, because:

A. Experts as teachers can adapt their guiding strategies to the residents' needs.

B. Residents as learners need to appreciate when the focus of experts shifts towards patient outome rather than to teaching.

