

University of Groningen

The dialectic of ambiguity

Laar, Jan Albert van

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2003

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Laar, J. A. V. (2003). *The dialectic of ambiguity: a contribution to the study of argumentation*. s.n.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

THE DIALECTIC OF AMBIGUITY

A CONTRIBUTION TO THE STUDY OF ARGUMENTATION

RIJKSUNIVERSITEIT GRONINGEN

THE DIALECTIC OF AMBIGUITY
A CONTRIBUTION TO THE STUDY OF ARGUMENTATION

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van het doctoraat in de
Wijsbegeerte
aan de Rijksuniversiteit Groningen
op gezag van de
Rector Magnificus, dr. F. Zwarts,
in het openbaar te verdedigen op
donderdag 19 juni 2003
om 14.15 uur

door

Jan Albert van Laar

geboren op 9 oktober 1967
te Ede

promotores:

Prof. dr. E.C.W. Krabbe
Prof. dr. F.H. van Eemeren

beoordelingscommissie:

Prof. dr. M.V.B.P.M. van Hees
Prof. dr. F. Veltman
Prof. dr. D.N. Walton

Voor Sanne en Oscar

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This dissertation was written while fulfilling a position as a trainee research assistant (AIO) at the Department of Theoretical Philosophy of the Faculty of Philosophy at the University of Groningen. The position was made possible by a grant ("parelsubsidie") that the University of Groningen awarded to the Department of Theoretical Philosophy for being an outstanding research group. This study is the result of many conversations and discussions with others. First of all I wish to thank my supervisors, Erik Krabbe and Frans van Eemeren, for their thorough supervision, for making every meeting enjoyable, for the freedom that they offered me, and for putting their trust in me. I thank Martin van Hees, Frank Veltman and Douglas Walton for participating in the reading committee and for their useful comments. I also would like to thank the members of the various discussion groups that I have participated in – the members of the Promotion Club Cognitive Patterns of the Department of Theoretical Philosophy; the participants of the Research Colloquia from the Department of Speech Communication, Argumentation Theory and Rhetoric of the University of Amsterdam, and Peter Houtlosser in particular; the members of the promoteam, Henrike Jansen and Janne Maaïke Gerlofs especially; the members of the "Hippe Filosofen"; the members of the "Disco" group; and the members of Grolog. I would also like to thank Tamar Sarnoff and the Language Centre of the University of Groningen in the person of Julia Harvey for helping me with my English.

6 May 2003

Jan Albert van Laar

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: THE DIALECTICAL APPROACH TO ARGUMENT AND ARGUMENT CRITICISM	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1. THE METHODOLOGICAL ASSUMPTION OF THE DIALECTICAL APPROACH	1
2. A LEXICAL-CONCEPTUAL ANALYSIS OF 'DISCUSSION'	3
3. DIALECTICAL MODELS AS EXPLICATA	6
4. AN OUTLINE OF THE VARIOUS FEATURES OF RESOLUTION-ORIENTED MODELS	7
<i>Initial situation</i>	7
<i>Main goal versus participant's aim</i>	7
<i>Rules</i>	8
<i>Two notions of validity</i>	9
5. TWO MODELS FOR DISCUSSION	12
<i>Complex Persuasion Dialogue</i>	13
<i>Critical Discussion</i>	14
6. FALLACIES FROM A DIALECTICAL PERSPECTIVE	15
<i>Hamblin</i>	15
<i>Barth, Martens and Krabbe</i>	15
<i>Walton and Krabbe</i>	16
<i>Pragma-dialectics</i>	17
7. DISCUSSING FALLACIES	18
<i>Modelling an immanent dialectical approach to fallacies</i>	20
8. THE ISSUES OF ACTIVE AMBIGUITY: THREE RESEARCH QUESTIONS	22
CHAPTER 2: THE CONCEPT OF ACTIVE AMBIGUITY	25
1. THE DEFINITION OF <i>ACTIVE AMBIGUITY</i>	25
<i>The definiendum</i>	25
<i>The definition</i>	27
<i>The linguistic clause</i>	28
<i>The relevance clause</i>	30
<i>Unspecified expressions</i>	30
2. WALTON'S CLASSIFICATION	31
3. HAMBLIN'S PROGRAM OF A THEORY OF CHARGES	33
CHAPTER 3: THE LINGUISTIC ASPECT	35
1. FEATURES OF NATURAL LANGUAGES: SEMANTIC AMBIGUITY	35
1.1. UNCLEAR AND AMBIGUOUS LANGUAGE ACCORDING TO PRAGMA-DIALECTICS	35
1.2. DE GROOT AND MEDENDORP ON ELLIPSIS	36
<i>Vague terms and colligenda</i>	36
<i>Ambiguous terms and complenda</i>	37
1.3. NAESS ON THE WAYS MEANING MAY DIVERGE	37
<i>Normative ambiguity</i>	39
<i>Descriptive ambiguity</i>	40
<i>Truth conditional ambiguity</i>	41
<i>Argumentational ambiguity</i>	42
<i>Occurrence synonymity and ambiguity</i>	43
<i>Naess's concepts of semantic ambiguity</i>	44
1.4. THE SUPERVALUATIONAL THEORY OF LANGUAGE	45
<i>Vagueness</i>	45
<i>Precisifications</i>	46
<i>Reasoning with vagueness</i>	48
<i>A supervaluational taxonomy of indefiniteness</i>	50
2. FEATURES OF CONVERSATIONAL SITUATIONS: CONTEXTUAL AMBIGUITY	53
<i>Perelman and Olbrechts-Tyteca on obscuratio</i>	53
<i>Naess on indefiniteness of intention</i>	55
<i>Crawshay-Williams on ignoring purpose or context</i>	57
3. FROM SEMANTIC TO CONTEXTUAL AMBIGUITY	58

CHAPTER 4: THE RELEVANCE ASPECT AND THE WAY TO ASSESS ACTIVE AMBIGUITY	59
INTRODUCTION	59
1. NAESS: PSEUDO-AGREEMENT AND PSEUDODISAGREEMENT	59
2. VAN EEMEREN AND GROOTENDORST: THE PRAGMA-DIALECTICAL ACCOUNT	61
3. WALTON: FALLACIES ARISING FROM AMBIGUITY	62
<i>The fallacy of equivocation</i>	63
<i>Walton's evaluation of the fallacy of equivocation</i>	65
<i>Subtypes of equivocation</i>	67
<i>The fallacy of amphiboly and the fallacy of accent</i>	67
<i>The fallacy of accent</i>	68
<i>The fallacy of figure of speech</i>	69
<i>Quibbling</i>	70
<i>The fallacy of ambiguity</i>	71
4. PERELMAN AND OLBRECHTS-TYTECA ON OBSCURATION	72
5. DE GROOT AND MEDENDORP ON UNDESIRABLE AND UNNECESSARY	75
<i>Unnecessary and undesirable restrictions with respect to meaning</i>	75
<i>Undesirable and unnecessary vagueness or ambiguity</i>	75
<i>Given what perspective is ambiguity or vagueness undesirable or erroneous?</i>	76
6. A CLASSIFICATION OF THE CONSEQUENCES OF CONTEXTUAL AMBIGUITY	76
<i>Misunderstanding</i>	76
<i>Equivocation</i>	79
<i>The relations between misunderstanding and equivocation</i>	82
<i>Possible consequences of contextual ambiguity at a metalevel</i>	83
7. ASSESSING ACTIVE AMBIGUITY	85
8. TOWARDS A DIALECTICAL DIVISION OF LABOUR	88
CHAPTER 5: INFORMAL PROCEDURAL ACCOUNTS	91
FORMAL VERSUS INFORMAL APPROACHES	91
1. DE GROOT AND MEDENDORP ON SIGNIFIC CONCEPT ANALYSIS.....	92
<i>Should we agree on definitions?</i>	94
2. NAESS ON MAKING EXPRESSIONS MORE PRECISE	95
3. PERELMAN AND OLBRECHTS-TYTECA	98
4. VAN EEMEREN AND GROOTENDORST ON RULES FOR DISCUSSION.....	99
5. WALTON ON DIALECTICAL RULES	101
CHAPTER 6: FORMAL PROCEDURAL ACCOUNTS.....	105
1. MACKENZIE	105
<i>Introduction</i>	105
<i>Arguing and inconsistency</i>	105
<i>Equivocation</i>	107
2. VANACKERE'S AMBIGUITY ADAPTIVE LOGIC.....	110
<i>Introduction</i>	110
<i>Pragmatization</i>	111
<i>Points of order</i>	112
<i>Ambiguity adaptive persuasion dialogue</i>	113
<i>Formal description of RPD_{AA}</i>	117
<i>A strategic consideration</i>	121
<i>Three abstract examples of RPD_{AA} discussions</i>	121
<i>The semantics of ambiguity adaptive logic</i>	123
<i>Evaluation</i>	124
3. SUMMARY	126
CHAPTER 7: AMBIGUITY DIALECTIC	127
INTRODUCTION	127
1. DEGREES OF STRICTNESS	127
2. THE GENERAL FORMAT OF AMBIGUITY DIALECTIC	128
3. AN AMBIGUITY CRITICISM RAISED BY BLACK	131
4. WHITE CORRECTS HERSELF.....	139
5. BLACK CORRECTS HIMSELF.....	141

6. WHITE DOES NOT NEED TO CRITICISE BLACK OF USING AN ACTIVE AMBIGUITY	143
7. SOME FEATURES OF DISAMBIGUATION	143
8. THE MODEL FOR AMBIGUITY DIALECTIC	144
<i>The language</i>	144
<i>Presenting a disambiguation</i>	145
<i>Types of locutions</i>	146
<i>Regulative rule</i>	147
<i>Commitment Rules</i>	147
<i>Structural Rules</i>	148
<i>Win-and-Loss Rules</i>	157
9. EXAMPLES OF DIALOGUES ACCORDING TO AMBIGUITY DIALECTIC	157
10. THE CONSEQUENCES OF AMBIGUITY DIALECTIC FOR THE THEORY OF FALLACIES	164
CHAPTER 8: ACTIVE AMBIGUITY IN A DEBATE ON EUTHANASIA AND IN A TOBACCO LAWSUIT	167
INTRODUCTION	167
1. METHODOLOGICAL PRELIMINARIES	167
<i>Semi-conventional validity</i>	167
<i>What is rule governed behaviour?</i>	168
<i>The distinction between the constitutive and regulative rules</i>	170
<i>How to find out about semi-conventionally valid rules?</i>	172
<i>Reconstructing discussion fragments from the perspective of ambiguity dialectic</i>	173
2. THE DEBATE ON EUTHANASIA IN DUTCH PARLIAMENT	175
<i>The bill Termination of Life on Request and Assisted Suicide</i>	176
<i>The debate as seen from the perspective of a persuasion dialogue</i>	177
<i>Case-study 1, euthanasia: Ross on unbearable suffering</i>	178
<i>Case-study 2, euthanasia: Vos on unbearable suffering</i>	181
<i>Case-study 3, euthanasia: Vos and Halsema on unbearable suffering and beyond psychological treatment</i>	184
<i>Case-study 4, euthanasia: Korthals and Kant on realistic therapy</i>	186
3. THE TOBACCO CASE	189
<i>Case-study 5, tobacco: Glenn and Ciresi on cause (1)</i>	190
<i>Case-study 6, tobacco: Glenn and Ciresi on representation</i>	193
<i>Case-study 7, tobacco: Glenn and Ciresi on consistency</i>	195
<i>Case-study 8, tobacco: Glenn and Ciresi on cause (2)</i>	196
<i>Case-study 9, tobacco: Glenn and Ciresi on cause (3)</i>	198
4. GENERAL CONCLUSIONS DERIVED FROM THE CASE-STUDIES	200
CHAPTER 9: FINAL CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER PROSPECTS	203
BIBLIOGRAPHY	205
DUTCH SUMMARY	209

