

University of Groningen

## Nonlinear inner-outer factorization

Schaft, A.J. van der; Ball, J.A.

*Published in:*

Proceedings of the 33rd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, 1994

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*

1994

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Schaft, A. J. V. D., & Ball, J. A. (1994). Nonlinear inner-outer factorization. In *Proceedings of the 33rd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, 1994* (pp. 2549-2552). University of Groningen, Johann Bernoulli Institute for Mathematics and Computer Science.

### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

*Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.*

## Nonlinear inner-outer factorization

A.J. van der Schaft \*

J.A. Ball †

### Abstract

It is shown how the method for inner-outer factorization of stable nonlinear state space systems as put forward in [11] may be extended to the non-invertible case by replacing a Hamilton-Jacobi equation by a dissipation inequality. The construction of the outer factor is based on the factorization of this inequality.

In linear control theory inner-outer factorization (or more generally  $J$ -inner-outer factorization) of rational matrices has played an important role e.g. in the theory of  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$  optimal control. In linear as well as in nonlinear theory [10], [16], [6] it has been argued that the control design of non-minimum phase stable systems can be based upon the inverse of the minimum phase (outer) factor, with the inner factor remaining as a limiting element in the closed-loop system. In a series of papers, see e.g. [2], [3], [1], Ball and Helton have investigated inner-outer factorization of nonlinear input-output operators and of nonlinear state space systems in discrete time. In the present note we will study inner-outer factorization of nonlinear state space systems in continuous time, using a quite different approach. Indeed our method will be a kind of "nonlinear spectral factorization" and concentrates on finding first the *outer* factor (instead of starting with the *inner* factor). The present paper is a continuation of [12] where the *invertible* case has been studied, and concentrates on the *non-invertible* case. More details will appear in [4].

Consider a (smooth) nonlinear system

$$\Sigma : \begin{cases} \dot{x} = a(x) + b(x)u, & u \in \mathbb{R}^m \\ y = c(x) + d(x)u, & y \in \mathbb{R}^p \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  are local coordinates for the state space manifold  $M$ , with globally asymptotically stable equilibrium 0 (thus  $a(0) = 0$ ). Without loss of generality we assume  $c(0) = 0$ . The problem of inner-outer factorization consists in finding a *lossless* nonlinear system  $\Theta$  (the *inner* factor) and an *asymptotically stable* and *minimum phase* nonlinear system  $R$  (the *outer* factor), such that symbolically

$$\Sigma = \Theta \cdot R. \quad (2)$$

By this we mean that for every initial condition of  $\Sigma$  there exist initial conditions of  $\Theta$  and  $R$  such that the input-output behavior of  $\Sigma$  equals the input-output behavior of

the series interconnection of  $R$  followed by  $\Theta$ .

Let us recall [14] that a nonlinear system (1) is called *lossless* with respect to the *supply rate*  $\frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|y\|^2$  if there exists a function  $V(x) \geq 0$  (the *storage function*) such that

$$V(x(t_1)) - V(x(t_0)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (\|u(t)\|^2 - \|y(t)\|^2) dt \quad (3)$$

for all  $t_0, t_1$  and  $u(\cdot)$ , or equivalently, if  $V$  is  $C^1$ ,

$$V_x(x) [a(x) + b(x)u] = \frac{1}{2} u^T u - \frac{1}{2} [c(x) + d(x)u]^T [c(x) + d(x)u] \quad (4)$$

for all  $x, u$ . ( $V_x(x)$  denotes the row vector of partial derivatives of  $V(x)$ .) Taking  $t_0 = 0$  and  $t_1 = \infty$  in (3), it follows that (1) is  *$L_2$ -norm preserving*. Furthermore, a nonlinear (1) is called *minimum phase* if 0 is a Lyapunov stable equilibrium of its zero-dynamics [8].

Our approach for constructing the outer factor  $R$  runs as follows. First we consider the *Hamiltonian extension* of

$\Sigma$ , see [5]

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = a(x) + b(x)u \\ \dot{p} = - \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x) + \frac{\partial b}{\partial x}(x)u \right]^T p \\ - \frac{\partial^T c}{\partial x}(x)u_a - u^T \frac{\partial^T d}{\partial x}(x)u_a, \quad u_a \in \mathbb{R}^p \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{cases} y = c(x) + d(x)u, \\ y_a = b^T(x)p + d^T(x)u_a, \quad y_a \in \mathbb{R}^m \end{cases}$$

which is Hamiltonian system living on  $T^*M$  (with coordinates  $(x, p)$ ), having inputs  $(u, u_a)$  and outputs  $(y, y_a)$ . Imposing the interconnection  $u_a = y$  to (5) leads to the Hamiltonian system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}(x, p, u) \\ \Sigma^* \Sigma : \dot{p} &= - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(x, p, u) \\ y_a &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial u_a}(x, p, u) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with Hamiltonian function

$$H(x, p, u) = p^T [a(x) + b(x)u] + \frac{1}{2} [c(x) + d(x)u]^T [c(x) + d(x)u] \quad (7)$$

Note that for a *linear* system (1)  $\Sigma^* \Sigma$  reduces to the series interconnection of  $\Sigma$  and its adjoint linear system  $\Sigma^*$ , having transfer matrix  $G^T(-s)G(s)$  ( $G(s)$  being the transfer matrix of  $\Sigma$ ). In [12] we have shown how to obtain the outer factor  $R$  by "spectral factorization" of the Hamiltonian system  $\Sigma^* \Sigma$ , assuming the invertibility condition

\*Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Twente, P.O. Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, Fax 31-53-340733, e-mail twarjan@math.utwente.nl

†Department of Mathematics, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, U.S.A.

$$E(x) := d^T(x)d(x) \text{ is invertible for all } x \quad (8)$$

In fact, if (8) is satisfied then we may directly compute the inverse system  $(\Sigma^*\Sigma)^{-1}$ . The outer factor  $R$  is now obtained by computing the stable invariant manifold of the inverse system via the Hamilton-Jacobi equation in  $P(x)$

$$P_x(x)[a(x) - b(x)E^{-1}(x)d^T(x)c(x)] + \frac{1}{2}c^T(x)[I_p - d(x)E^{-1}(x)d^T(x)]c(x) - \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}P_x(x)b(x)E^{-1}(x)b^T(x)P_x^T(x) = 0, \quad P(0) = 0,$$

with  $P_x(x) = \left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_1}(x), \dots, \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_n}(x) \right)$ . Factorizing  $E(x) = d^T(x)d(x)$  as

$$d^T(x)d(x) = \bar{d}^T(x)\bar{d}(x) \quad (10)$$

for some  $m \times m$  matrix  $\bar{d}(x)$  (this may be always done; however for  $\bar{d}$  to depend *smoothly* on  $x$  we need to invoke Morse's Lemma), the outer factor is now given as

$$R : \begin{cases} \dot{x} = a(x) + b(x)u, & u \in \mathbb{R}^m \\ \bar{y} = \bar{c}(x) + \bar{d}(x)u, & \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

$$\bar{c}(x) = \bar{d}(x)E^{-1}(x) \left[ d^T(x)c(x) + b^T(x)P_x^T(x) \right]$$

In this paper we will concentrate on the non-invertible case, i.e. if (8) is not satisfied. First of all, we note that (9) is the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation corresponding to the cost-functional (with  $x_0$  the initial condition)

$$J(x_0, u) = \int_0^\infty \|y(t)\|^2 dt, \quad (12)$$

for  $\Sigma$ , and that  $H$  as given in (7) is the pseudo-Hamiltonian of the Maximum Principle. If (8) is not satisfied then this optimal control problem is *singular*. Our approach will be heavily motivated by the work of Hill and Moylan [7], and the work of Willems [15] and Schumacher [13] on singular  $LQ$ -control where it is shown that the Riccati-equation for the *regular*  $LQ$  optimal control problem may be replaced by a *matrix inequality* in the singular case. We define the optimal cost for any  $x_0$  as

$$P^+(x_0) = \inf \{ J(x_0, u) \mid u \text{ admissible}, x(\infty) = 0 \} \quad (13)$$

**Assumption 1**  $P^+(x_0)$  exists for every  $x_0$ , and  $P^+$  is a smooth function on  $M$ .

We now consider the *dissipation inequality* corresponding to the pseudo-Hamiltonian (7)

$$P_x^T(x)[a(x) + b(x)u] + \frac{1}{2}[c(x) + d(x)u]^T[c(x) + d(x)u] \geq 0, \quad P(0) = 0, \quad (14)$$

which should hold for every  $x$  and  $u$ . It immediately follows from (13) that  $P^+$  satisfies (14). Furthermore (compare [15], [13])

**Proposition 2** Let  $P$  satisfy (14), then  $P(x) \leq P^+(x)$ , for all  $x$ .

**Proof** Let  $P$  be any solution to (14). Consider any input function  $u$  on the time-interval  $[0, T]$ , and integrate (14) from  $t = 0$  to  $t = T$  for this particular  $u$  to obtain

$$P(x(T)) - P(x(0)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|y\|^2 dt \geq 0 \quad (15)$$

Now let  $u$  be defined on  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ . Then it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \|y\|^2 dt \geq P(x(0)) \quad (16)$$

and thus by definition of  $P^+$  we obtain  $P^+(x(0)) \geq P(x(0))$  for all  $x(0) \in M$ .  $\square$

Thus  $P^+$  is completely characterized as the maximal solution to (14), and, in principle, may be *computed* this way.

Now consider the following smooth function of  $x$  and  $u$

$$K^+(x, u) := P_x^+(x)[a(x) + b(x)u] + \frac{1}{2}[c(x) + d(x)u]^T[c(x) + d(x)u] \quad (17)$$

Clearly,  $K^+(0, 0) = 0$  and  $K^+(x, u) \geq 0$ . Our next main assumption is

**Assumption 3** There exists a smooth mapping  $\bar{G} : M \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\bar{p}}$  for some  $\bar{p} \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$K^+(x, u) = \frac{1}{2} \bar{G}^T(x, u) \bar{G}(x, u) \quad (18)$$

Note that *without* the smoothness assumption Assumption 3 is trivially satisfied since we may take  $\bar{p} = 1$  and  $\bar{G}(x, u) = \sqrt{K^+(x, u)}$ .

Sufficient conditions for the *local* existence of a smooth  $\bar{G}$  satisfying (18) are provided by the following generalization of Morse's Lemma:

**Lemma 4** Suppose the Hessian matrix of  $K^+$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 K^+}{\partial x^2}(x, u) & \frac{\partial^2 K^+}{\partial x \partial u}(x, u) \\ \frac{\partial^2 K^+}{\partial x \partial u}(x, u) & \frac{\partial^2 K^+}{\partial u^2}(x, u) \end{bmatrix} \quad (19)$$

has constant rank, say  $\bar{p}$ , on a neighborhood of  $(x, u) = (0, 0)$ . Then locally near  $(0, 0)$  there exists a  $C^\infty$  mapping  $\bar{G} : M \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\bar{p}}$  such that (18) is satisfied.

**Proof** Can be based on [9].  $\square$

Now let us define the *new system*  $\bar{\Sigma}$ , defined as

$$\bar{\Sigma} : \begin{cases} \dot{x} = a(x) + b(x)u, & u \in \mathbb{R}^m, x \in M \\ \bar{y} = \bar{G}(x, u), & \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{\bar{p}} \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

It can be readily checked that in the *invertible* case (i.e.  $E(x) = d^T(x)d(x)$  being invertible)  $\bar{\Sigma}$  coincides with  $R$  given in (11). We claim that also in the non-invertible case  $\bar{\Sigma}$  is the outer factor of  $\Sigma$ . In order to prove this we first consider the dissipation in equality (14) for  $\bar{\Sigma}$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}_x(x) [a(x) + b(x)u] + \frac{1}{2} \bar{G}^T(x, u) \bar{G}(x, u) &\geq 0, \\ \bar{P}(0) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

**Lemma 5** The maximal solution  $\bar{P}^+$  to (21) is  $\bar{P}^+ = 0$ .

**Proof** Clearly  $\bar{P} = 0$  satisfies (21). Let now  $\bar{P} \geq 0$  satisfy (21). Then by adding (21) and (14) for  $P = P^+$ , and using (17), (18) we conclude that  $P^+ + \bar{P}$  is a solution to (14). By Proposition 2 this implies  $\bar{P} = 0$ .  $\square$

This lemma is instrumental in proving the main result:

**Theorem 6** The zero-dynamics of  $\bar{\Sigma}$  is not exponentially unstable.

For the proof, based on Lemma 5 and a linearization idea (making use of the linear results described in [13], [15]) we refer to [4]. It follows that if the zero-dynamics of  $\bar{\Sigma}$  does not have imaginary eigenvalues, then it will be actually (locally) asymptotically stable, and thus  $\bar{\Sigma}$  is an outer factor of  $\Sigma$ !

The inner factor  $\Theta$  of  $\Sigma$  is now easily obtained, at least in the following "right factorization" format:

$$\Theta : \begin{cases} \dot{x} = a(x) + b(x)u \\ y = c(x) + d(x)u \\ \bar{y} = \bar{G}(x, u) \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

(with driving variables  $u$ ). Indeed, by considering (14) for  $P = P^+$  and (18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P^+(x(t_1)) - P^+(x(t_0)) + \\ \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \|y(t)\|^2 dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \|\bar{y}(t)\|^2 dt \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

implying that  $\Theta$  is lossless (from  $\bar{y}$  to  $y$ ), with storage function  $P^+$ .

An explicit input-output representation of  $\Theta$ , however, may not be easily obtainable, due to non-invertibility of  $E(x) = d^T(x)d(x)$ .

A useful property of the inner factor  $\bar{\Sigma}$  is that  $\bar{\Sigma}$  and  $\Sigma$  have the same static gains, in the following sense. Consider the set of all controlled equilibria for  $\Sigma$ , i.e.,

$$E_c = \{(x, u) \in M \times \mathbf{R}^m | a(x) + b(x)u = 0\} \quad (24)$$

**Lemma 7** Consider  $\Sigma$  and  $\bar{\Sigma}$ . For every  $(x, u) \in E_c$

$$\|c(x) + d(x)u\| = \|\bar{G}(x, u)\| \quad (25)$$

(or equivalently  $\|y\| = \|\bar{y}\|$ ).

**Proof** Consider the equality

$$\begin{aligned} P_x^+(x)[a(x) + b(x)u] + \\ \frac{1}{2}[c(x) + d(x)u]^T [c(x) + d(x)u] = \\ \frac{1}{2} \bar{G}^T(x, u) \bar{G}(x, u) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

on  $E_c$ .  $\square$

Thus, if we compare the step responses of  $\Sigma$  and  $\bar{\Sigma}$  for every constant input  $u$ , then the static gains of  $\Sigma$  and  $\bar{\Sigma}$  (assuming that the corresponding controlled equilibrium  $(x, u)$  of  $\dot{x} = a(x) + b(x)u$  is (globally) asymptotically stable) are equal. Thus for output set-point control of  $\Sigma$  one may also consider its outer factor  $\bar{\Sigma}$ , which is asymptotically equivalent to  $\Sigma$ . The control of  $\Sigma$  thus can be based on  $\bar{\Sigma}$ , and since  $\bar{\Sigma}$  is minimum phase, inversion techniques can be applied. This idea, which generalizes an old idea in linear control theory (see e.g. [10]), is discussed in [16], [6].

## References

- [1] J.A. Ball, Lossless dynamical systems and nonlinear Livsic-Brodskii nodes, preprint 1992.
- [2] J.A. Ball, J.W. Helton, Factorization and general properties of nonlinear Toeplitz operators, *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, Vol. 41 (1989), Birkhäuser, Basel, 25-41.
- [3] J.A. Ball, J.W. Helton, Inner-outer factorization of nonlinear operators, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 104 (1992), 363-413.
- [4] J.A. Ball, A.J. van der Schaft, "Inner-outer factorization of nonlinear systems: the non-invertible case", in preparation.
- [5] P.E. Crouch, A.J. van der Schaft, *Variational and Hamiltonian Control Systems*, LNCIS 101, Springer, Berlin (1987).
- [6] F.J. Doyle, F. Allgöwer, M. Morari, A normal form approach to approximate input-output linearization for maximum phase nonlinear systems, preprint.
- [7] D. Hill, P. Moylan, The stability of nonlinear dissipative systems, *IEEE Trans. Aut. Contr.*, AC-21, pp. 708-711, 1976.
- [8] A. Isidori, *Nonlinear Control Systems* (2nd edition), Springer, New York (1989).
- [9] H.Th. Jongen, P. Jonker, F. Twilt, *Nonlinear Optimization in  $\mathbf{R}^n$* , Peter Lang, Frankfurt a. M. (1983).
- [10] M. Morari, E. Zafriou, *Robust Process Control*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1989.
- [11] A.J. van der Schaft,  $L_2$ -gain analysis of nonlinear systems and nonlinear state feedback  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$  control, *IEEE Trans. Aut. Contr.*, 37 (1992), 770-784.
- [12] A.J. van der Schaft, J.A. Ball, Inner-outer factorization of nonlinear state space systems, pp. 529-532 in *Systems and Networks: Mathematical Theory and Applications*, Volume II (eds. U. Helmke, R. Menickoff, J. Saurer), Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [13] J.M. Schumacher, The role of the dissipation matrix in singular optimal control, *Systems & Control Letters*, 2, pp. 262-266, 1983.
- [14] J.C. Willems, Dissipative Dynamical Systems, Part I: General Theory, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, 45 (1972), 321-351.
- [15] J.C. Willems, Least squares stationary optimal control and the algebraic Riccati equation, *IEEE Trans. Aut. Contr.* 16, pp. 621-634, 1971.

- [16] R.A. Wright, C. Kravaris, Nonminimum-phase compensation for nonlinear processes, *AIChEj*, 38, pp. 26-40, 1992.