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## 1. Introduction

Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be real numbers,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(T)=\sum_{i \in T} \lambda_{i}, \quad T \subset[n]=\{1, \ldots, n\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\lambda(\phi)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\lambda([n])=\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{n}, x=x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hurwitz [2] by elementary analytical methods proved the identities

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum \prod_{i=1}^{s} x_{i}\left(x_{i}-\lambda\left(T_{i}\right)\right)^{t_{i}-1}=x(x-\lambda)^{n-1},  \tag{3}\\
\sum\left(x_{s}-\lambda\left(T_{s}\right)\right)^{t_{s}} \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} x_{i}\left(x_{i}-\lambda\left(T_{i}\right)\right)^{t_{i}-1}=(x-\lambda)^{n}, \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the sums are over all ordered partitions $\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{s}\right)$ of $[n]$ with $T_{i}=\phi$ admitted and $t_{i}=\left|T_{i}\right|$. Françon [1] proved (3) for $s=2$ by combinatorial methods. For $s=2$ and $\lambda_{i}=\lambda$ the relations (3) and (4) reduce to forms of Abel's generalized binomial formula.

We extend these results by applying the theory of polynomials of binomial type developed in [3] and [4] from which we will cite freely. Let $Q=D P$ be a delta operator on the set of all real polynomials with $D=d / d x$ and $P$ an invertible shift-invariant operator that maps any polynomial into a polynomial of the same degree. Shift-invariance means $E^{a} P=P E^{a}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{a} f(x)=f(x+a) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Shift-invariant operators commute. To $Q$ belongs a unique sequence of
basic polynomials $q_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, defined by $q_{0}(x)=1, q_{n}(0)=0, Q q_{n}=n q_{n-1}$, $n \geqslant 1$. The polynomial $q_{n}$ has degree $n$ and the sequence $\left\{q_{n}\right\}$ is of binomial type. The explicit form of the $q_{n}$ is given by theorem 4 in [3] and [4]. We choose the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n}(x)=x r_{n}(x), \quad r_{n}(x) \equiv r(n ; x)=P^{-n} x^{n-1}, \quad n \geqslant 1, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which also holds for $n=0$ if we allow $P^{0} x^{1}=I x^{-1}=x^{-1}$ so that $r_{0}(x)=x^{1}$. In Section 2 we prove the following generalization of (3) and (4).

Theorem 1. For $r(n ; x)$ defined by (6) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum \prod_{i=1}^{s} x_{i} r\left(t_{i} ; x_{i}-\lambda\left(T_{i}\right)\right)=x r(n ; x-\hat{\lambda})  \tag{7}\\
\sum r\left(t_{s}+1 ; x_{s}-\hat{\lambda}\left(T_{s}\right)\right) \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} x_{i} r\left(t_{i} ; x_{i}-\lambda\left(T_{i}\right)\right)=r(n+1 ; x-\lambda), \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the summations are as in (3) and (4) and $t_{i}=\left|T_{i}\right|$.
It is noted that $r_{0}(x-\lambda(\phi))=x^{-1}$ since $\lambda(\phi)=0$ so that both sides of (7) and (8) are polynomials of degree $n$ in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. For $P=I$ we have $r_{n}(x)=x^{n-1}$ and (7), (8) reduce to (3), (4). For $Q=I-E^{-1}$ we have $q_{n}(x)=x^{(n)}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{(0)}=1, x^{(n)}=x(x+1) \cdots(x+n-1), \quad n \geqslant 1 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $r_{n}(x)=(x+1)^{(n-1)}, n \geqslant 0$, if we define $(x+1)^{(-1)}=x^{-1}$ and (7) and (8) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum \prod_{i=1}^{v} x_{i}\left(x_{i}+1-\lambda\left(T_{i}\right)\right)^{\left(t_{i}-1\right)}=x(x+1-\lambda)^{(n-1)}  \tag{10}\\
\sum\left(x_{s}+1-\lambda\left(T_{s}\right)\right)^{\left(t_{s}\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} x_{i}\left(x_{i}+1-\lambda\left(T_{i}\right)\right)^{\left(t_{i}-1\right)}=(x+1-\lambda)^{(n)} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

A combinatorial application of (10) and (11) will be given in Section 2.

## 2. Proof and Application

First, we prove (7). From (6), since $P^{-1}$ also is shift-invariant,

By Theorem 2 in [3] or [4] we have

$$
P^{-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} D^{k} / k!
$$

Since $\left(x_{i}-\lambda\left(T_{i}\right)\right)^{t_{i}-1}$ has degree smaller than $n$, we may replace $P^{-1}$ in (12) by

$$
V=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} D^{k} / k!
$$

if $b_{k}=a_{k}, k \leqslant n$. For $V$ we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
V f(x)=\int f(x+u) d F(u), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of bounded variation. We may choose $F$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{k}=\int u^{k} d F(u)=a_{k}, \quad k=0, \ldots, n . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is sufficient to take $F$ constant except for $n+1$ jumps of magnitudes $\eta_{0}, \ldots, \eta_{n}$. The coefficient determinant of Eqs. (14) in $\eta_{0}, \ldots, \eta_{n}$ then is Vandermonde's. If $T_{i}=\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}\right\}$ we have from (12) and (13),

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(t_{i} ; x_{i}-\lambda\left(T_{i}\right)\right)=\int \cdots \int\left(x_{i}+u_{j i}+\cdots+u_{j_{h}}-\lambda\left(T_{i}\right)\right)^{h-1} d F\left(u_{i_{1}}\right) \cdots d F\left(u_{j h}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $T_{i}=\phi$ we have $r\left(t_{i} ; x_{i}-\lambda\left(T_{i}\right)\right)=x_{i}^{-1}$. Substituting this into the left-hand side of (7), applying Fubini's theorem to the integrations, interchanging sum and integral and applying (3) with $\lambda_{i}$ replaced by $\lambda_{i}-u_{i}$ shows that the left-hand side of (7) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \int & \cdots \int\left(x+u_{1}+\cdots+u_{n}-\lambda\right)^{n-1} d F\left(u_{1}\right) \cdots d F\left(u_{n}\right) \\
& =x V^{n}(x-\lambda)^{n-1}=x P^{-n}(x-\lambda)^{n-1}=x P^{-n} E^{-\lambda} x^{n-1} \\
& =x E^{-\lambda} P^{-n} x^{n-1}=x r(n ; x-\lambda),
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (7).

In the proof of (8) we have (15) for $i \leqslant s-1$ and, if $T_{s}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right)$, in the same way as above,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r\left(t_{s}+\right. & \left.1 ; x_{s}-\lambda\left(T_{s}\right)\right) \\
& =E^{-\lambda\left(T_{s}\right)} P^{-k-1} x_{s}^{k}=P^{-k-1}\left(x_{s}-\lambda\left(T_{s}\right)\right)^{k}=V^{k+1}\left(x_{s}-\lambda\left(T_{s}\right)\right)^{k} \\
& =\int \cdots \int\left(x_{s}+v+u_{i_{1}}+\cdots+u_{i_{k}}-\lambda\left(T_{s}\right)\right)^{k} d F(v) d F\left(u_{i_{1}}\right) \cdots d F\left(u_{i_{k}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting this, together with (15), into the left-hand side of (8), applying Fubini's theorem, interchanging sum and integral and applying (4) with $x_{s}$ replaced by $x_{s}+v$ and $\lambda_{i}$ by $\lambda_{i}-u_{i}$ shows that the left-hand side of (8) is equal to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int \cdots \int\left(x+v+u_{1}+\cdots+u_{n}-\lambda\right)^{n} d F(v) d F\left(u_{1}\right) \cdots d F\left(u_{n}\right) \\
\quad=V^{n+1}(x-\lambda)^{n}=P^{-n-1}(x-\lambda)^{n}=r(n+1 ; x-\lambda)
\end{gathered}
$$

which proves (8).
The relation (10) solves the following combinatorial problem. We want to construct $s$ labeled necklaces of prescribed positive integer lengths $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}$ from distinguishable beads with positive integer lengths. There are $n$ beads of lengths $\lambda_{i} \geqslant 2, i \in[n]$ and $a-\lambda$ beads of length 1 , where $a=$ $a_{1}+\cdots+a_{s}, \lambda=\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{n}$, so that the sum of the lengths of the beads fits exactly.

A necklace does not change by circular permutation. In how many ways can this be done? We impose the restrictive condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \leqslant a_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, s \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without this condition the problem seems to become far more difficult.
First divide the $n$ beads with lengths $\lambda_{i} \geqslant 2$ into $s$ subsets $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{s}$ and assign $T_{i}$ to the $i$ th necklace. Distributing the $a-\lambda$ remaining beads over the necklaces and ordering the beads in the necklaces then can be done in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (a-\lambda)!\prod_{i=1}^{s}\left\{\left(a_{i}-\lambda\left(T_{i}\right)+t_{i}-1\right)!/\left(a_{i}-\lambda\left(T_{i}\right)\right)!\right\} \\
& \quad=(a-\lambda)!\prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(a_{i}+1-\lambda\left(T_{i}\right)\right)^{\left(t_{i}-1\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

ways with $t_{i}=\left|T_{i}\right|$ and $\lambda\left(T_{i}\right)$ given by (1), noting that $(x+1)^{(-1)}=x^{-1}$.

Summing over all ordered partitions ( $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{s}$ ) of $[n]$ shows with (10) that the number of ways is

$$
(a-\lambda)!a(a+1-\lambda)^{(n-1)}\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{s}\right)^{-1}=a(a-\lambda+n-1)!\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{s}\right)^{-1} .
$$

This relation will be used elsewhere to solve a problem on cycles of a random permutation.
If the $s$ th necklace is replaced by a string of beads of length $a_{s}$, we find by (11) that, again under (16), the number of ways of distributing the beads is $(a-\lambda+n)!\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{s-1}\right)$. When two or more necklaces are replaced by strings there does not seem to be a simple answer. This corresponds to the fact that replacing more than one factor $x_{i} r\left(t_{i} ; x_{i}-\lambda\left(T_{i}\right)\right)$ in (7) by $r\left(t_{i}+1 ; x_{i}-\lambda\left(T_{i}\right)\right)$ does not result in a formula like (8), as was already remarked by Hurwitz [2] for (3) and (4).
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