





Molecular Basis of Transmembrane Signal Transduction in Dictyostelium discoideum

Janssens, Pim M.W.; Haastert, Peter J.M. van

Published in: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 1987

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Janssens, P. M. W., & Haastert, P. J. M. V. (1987). Molecular Basis of Transmembrane Signal Transduction in Dictyostelium discoideum. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *84*(14).

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Molecular Basis of Transmembrane Signal Transduction in Dictyostelium discoideum

PIM M. W. JANSSENS* AND PETER J. M. VAN HAASTERT

Cell Biology and Genetics Unit, Zoological Laboratory, University of Leiden, 2311 GP Leiden, The Netherlands

INTRODUCTION	306
PHYSICIOCY OF SIGNAL TRANSDUCTION IN D. DISCOLDFUM	307
AMP BECEPTOPS IN D. DISCOLDEUM	200
Introduction	
Ligand Spacificity and Activation Machanism of the Decentor	
Ligand Specificity and Activation Mechanism of the Receptor	
Kinetic Properties of the CAMP Receptor	
Coupling of Receptor Forms to G-Protein and Adenylate and Guanylate Cyclase	
Isolation of the Receptor	
Agents That Modulate cAMP Binding	
FOLIC ACID RECEPTORS	
ADENYLATE CYCLASE	405
Basal Activity	
Stimulated Activity	
GUANYLATE CYCLASE AND THE INOSITOL PHOSPHATE PATHWAY	
DESENSITIZATION TO CAMP	
Alterations in Binding Properties	
Covalent Modification of Receptors	
COMPARISON WITH OTHER EUCARYOTIC MICROBES	
PROSPECTS	410
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	
LITERATURE CITED	

INTRODUCTION

Living cells are in a constant process of information exchange with their environment. The kind of information to which cells can respond is often chemical and largely determined by the assortment of receptors they possess on their surface. Occupation of cell surface receptors by a specific ligand (or excitation by light, in the case of photoreceptors) triggers a sequence of reactions between proteins in the plasma membrane, which results in the alteration of the activity of effectors: membrane-bound enzymes or ion pores. This process is called transmembrane signal transduction or, briefly, signal transduction.

Receptors either have direct effector functions (i.e., are multifunctional molecules) (e.g., see references 43 and 93) or are coupled to effectors via transducers (14, 15, 115). The latter have been identified as guanine nucleotide binding proteins, or G-proteins for short (15, 103, 271). The heterotrimeric G-proteins, of which there are at least four types, regulate the activity of enzymes such as adenylate cyclase (15, 103), cyclic guanosine 3',5'-phosphate (cGMP) phosphodiesterase (271), phospholipase C (170), and maybe also some ion pores (23, 233), as well as guanylate cyclase (164). The levels of the intracellular regulatory compounds (second messengers) regulated by these enzymes and ion pores have a profound influence on cellular function (41, 102, 218). Some of the protein kinases through which the second messengers exert their effects on cellular functions also phosphorylate components of the signal transduction system itself. This, together with phosphorylation by receptorspecific kinases, appears to be a crucial event in the attenuation of responsiveness, generally referred to as desensitization (10, 11, 133, 166, 237, 267, 270). Most of this knowledge has been obtained from the study of vertebrate signal transduction systems, which nowadays serve an exemplary function for research on signal transduction in other organisms, including the eucaryotic microbes.

The eucaryotic microbe Dictyostelium discoideum has long been used as a model system for cell differentiation and pattern formation because of its life cycle. Within 24 h after the removal of nutrients, the solitary amoebae of this slime mold aggregate and form a multicellular fruiting body which consists of two cell types (17). Obviously, a major factor in this developmental process is cell-cell communication. First, solitary cells attract one another chemotactically (53, 97, 215, 243). Second, cell differentiation and the spatial distribution of the cell types in the multicellular aggregate are regulated by cell-cell contacts and diffusable factors secreted by the cells. These cell interactions work in concert with other factors, such as cell cycle phase, to control development (176, 208, 264, 272). Since the late 1960s, several compounds have been found that transmit information between D. discoideum cells. The first to be identified was cyclic adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate (cAMP) (161). cAMP is the chemoattractant that governs the aggregation of solitary living cells after food exhaustion (54, 94); furthermore, it stimulates differentiation of the starving cells (39, 208, 272). Soon after, the proteins that detect and metabolize cAMP were discovered. These include cAMP receptors (105, 110, 180), adenylate cyclase (145, 245), and cAMPphosphodiesterase (36, 181, 229). In the 1970s, other signal molecules were discovered: compounds with chemotactic activity for solitary D. discoideum cells, such as folic acid

^{*} Corresponding author.

and pterin (226, 227), and factors that affect multicellular stages, such as differentiation-inducing factor (DIF) (284), slug-turning factor (85), spore-inducing factor (329), and adenosine (216, 257). The discovery of these signal molecules together with cellular responses to each of them allowed *D. discoideum* to become a useful model system for the study of signal transduction.

PHYSIOLOGY OF SIGNAL TRANSDUCTION IN D. DISCOIDEUM

Vegetative amoebae of D. discoideum feed on bacteria. It is supposed that they find their food by means of chemotactic attraction to folic acid and other pteridines secreted by bacteria (226, 227). Mutant D. discoideum strains (termed axenic strains) that can grow in artificial liquid media of defined composition (89, 236) have been isolated (273; reviewed in reference 212). Use of these strains in axenic culture allows a more rigid control of growth conditions and the culture of cells on a larger scale. When food is exhausted, cells start to differentiate, resulting within 24 h in the formation of a multicellular fruiting body (17). About 5 h after the initiation of starvation, cells acquire the capacity to attract one another by means of a chemotactic machinery, acting in concert with a signal relay system. D. discoideum cells start to secrete the chemoattractant cAMP. Other slime molds secrete other chemoattractants such as pteridines in D. minutum and D. lacteum (67, 299) or a modified peptide in Polysphondylium violaceum (265). During 1 to 2 h after initiation of the chemoattractant secretion, several thousand cells aggregate and stick together by means of cell adhesion molecules located on the cell surface (8, 17, 22). This aggregate starts to behave as an organized multicellular individual, moving over the substratum seeking the best conditions for formation of a fruiting body. Within the aggregate, two major cell types become apparent: prestalk cells in the anterior and prespore cells in the posterior part. These give rise to stalk and spore cells in the fruiting body (17).

The physiology of signal transduction during the aggregation process has been described in detail in a number of reviews (39, 53, 54, 90, 94, 186, 215, 243, 287, 306). Here, only the most prominent features are dealt with.

By the time of aggregation, each D. discoideum cell has acquired the ability to respond chemotactically to extracellular cAMP and to transmit this signal to its neighbors (signal relay). Some cells in the population spontaneously start to emit small pulses of cAMP at a frequency of once per 6 to 9 min. Neighboring cells detect this cAMP by means of cell surface receptors and move towards the emitted signal. Concomitantly, adenylate cyclase is transiently activated at least 3- to 10-fold in these cells (53, 148, 245, 248), maximal activity being reached about 1 min after stimulus presentation (53). As a result, intracellular cAMP rises, peaking 1 to 3 min after the first contact of the cells with extracellular cAMP. This cAMP is secreted at a rate proportional to its intracellular concentration (53, 292), thereby increasing cAMP extracellularly. A neighboring cell will react chemotactically to this secreted cAMP and relay the signal by the same mechanism to the next neighbor. A wave of cAMP thus travels from cell to cell (283). When adenylate cyclase is not activated, the concentration of environmental cAMP is decreased by the action of extracellular and cell surface cAMP-phosphodiesterase (36, 181, 229). Regulation of the extracellular cAMP concentration by secreted phosphodies-

 TABLE 1. Responses known to be induced by cAMP in aggregation-competent D. discoideum cells

Responses	Reference(s)
Activation of adenylate cyclase and secretion of produced cAMP from the cells	
Decrease in optical density of cell suspension	
Fluctuations in amt of actin associated with Triton-insoluble cvtoskeletons	203. 205
Increases in phosphorylation of heavy and light chains of myosin	12, 179, 237
Increase in amt of cell-associated Ca ²⁺ .	30, 328
Increase in intracellular concn of cGMP. Alterations in level of methylation of	201, 336
phospholipids Efflux of K ⁺ ions from the cells	198 2
Efflux of protons from the cells	182, 183
cellular vesicles	177

terase and its inhibitor (139, 242) must be a prerequisite for proper cell aggregation, because a phosphodiesterasedeficient mutant is unable to aggregate unless exogenous phosphodiesterase is supplied (49). There is no evidence that active regulation of phosphodiesterase is necessary for the generation of the cAMP relay response.

The responses of aggregating cells to extracellular cAMP are most conveniently investigated with cell suspensions (95) or with cells on filter disks in a perfusion apparatus (56). In both setups, large numbers of cells can be activated synchronously. Of all the responses to chemoattractants, the most thoroughly studied is the cAMP relay response. This focus of attention derives from the fact that the biological significance of this response is clear, as explained above. Other responses induced by addition of cAMP to a suspension of aggregation-competent cells are listed in Table 1. Most of the listed responses have been confirmed by various groups since their original discovery (see reviews in references 53, 90, and 306). An increase in protein carboxyl methylation has also been reported to be a response to cAMP (198, 315), but subsequent investigations suggest that this conclusion was based on artifacts (317). Except for the cAMP-induced accumulation (30), all of the responses are transient; Ca² i.e., the induced change returns partially or completely to prestimulus levels, even while the stimulus persists.

Several of the responses induced by cAMP in aggregating cells were also found to be induced by folic acid in vegetative cells, or in cells starved for a few hours (2, 53, 201, 203, 334, 335). Some of the responses have also been shown to occur in slime mold species other than D. discoideum following application of the chemoattractants that these species use (193, 204, 289, 310, 331). The cAMP response to folic acid of D. discoideum cells that have been starved for a few hours is atypical in that this response appears not to result from a direct stimulation of adenylate cyclase via folic acid receptors. Rather, it might be mediated via cAMP receptors (55). Such starved cells spontaneously secrete small amounts of cAMP; folic acid seems to make cells more sensitive to cAMP. As a result, these cells become stimulated by their own secreted cAMP when folic acid is presented (55). The other folic acid-mediated responses appear to operate differently. Folic acid or other pteridines induce responses such as chemotaxis, a transient decrease in optical density, fluctuations in the association of actin to cytoskeletons, and a

transient increase in cGMP in vegetative *D. discoideum* cells (201, 203, 227, 334, 335) or in other slime mold species (193, 195, 204, 310), both of which are insensitive to cAMP. Furthermore, adaptation of *D. discoideum* cells to cAMP does not abolish these same responses to folic acid (see below; 55, 205, 289, 290). Finally, folic acid induces a decrease in optical density even in the presence of caffeine (55), a compound that inhibits cAMP secretion (25). Therefore, it is unlikely that these responses to pteridines are mediated via cAMP receptors.

The changes in optical density (95), in cytoskeletal actin (204), and in the phosphorylation of myosin (12, 237) following application of cAMP to aggregation-competent Dictyostelium cells probably reflect the chemotactic movement in response to chemoattractants. The chemotactic movement (91, 96, 98) as well as the optical density (95) and actin (203) responses are all observed within a few seconds after receptor occupation. An increase in cell-associated ⁴⁵Ca, accumulated from the extracellular medium, was observed within 6 s after application of chemoattractants (30). In cell-free extracts, Ca²⁺ regulates the organization of the contractile proteins actin and myosin (269) and inhibits myosin heavy-chain kinase (187). Local application of the Ca ionophore A23187 to an amoeba in the presence of extracellular Ca^{2+} causes a pseudopod to extend at that site (178). Therefore, it was proposed that the chemotactic reaction is regulated by an influx of extracellular calcium ions (e.g., see references 30 and 178). However, in a recent study Europe-Finner and Newell observed that the initial rate of ⁴⁵Ca uptake was independent of the presence or absence of chemoattractants (78). There was, however, a difference in the extent of ⁴⁵Ca uptake, which became evident only 10 to 30 s after presentation of chemoattractant to the cells (78). This result suggests that the accumulation of extracellular Ca^{2+} is a slow response, eventually involved only in the regulation of other slow responses.

Whether or not extracellular Ca²⁺ is essential for chemotaxis and cell aggregation is a matter of debate, indeed. Some investigators have reported that chemotaxis, cell aggregation, or the light-scattering response of cells is inhibited by a Ca²⁺ chelator such as EGTA [ethylene glycol-bis(\betaaminoethyl ether)-N, N, N', N'-tetraacetic acid] (178, 188). Other investigators have reported that these responses take place when the extracellular Ca^{2+} concentration is low (193, 200, 253). Part of this controversy was resolved by Europe-Finner et al. (77), who observed that the sensitivity of aggregation to EGTA was strain dependent. With some strains aggregation was inhibited by EGTA only after thorough washing of the cells in the presence of EGTA (77). Such washing might deplete intracellular Ca²⁺ pools. Thus, these results (77) might not indicate that extracellular Ca^{2+} is needed for chemotaxis and cell aggregation, but that intracellular Ca²⁺ is required. This conclusion would not be surprising because Ca^{2+} ions play a role in the cell motility apparatus (269). In fact, discussion of the possible role of Ca^{2+} in the regulation of the chemotactic and other responses is hindered by lack of measurements of cytosolic Ca²⁺ concentrations during chemotactic stimulation. This deficiency is a consequence of the problem that the widely used Ca^{2+} indicator Quin 2, and related compounds, is difficult to introduce into and is not easily hydrolyzed by D. discoideum cells (H. Padh and M. Brenner, Cell. Slime Mold Newsl., vol. 54, March 1984; M. van Lookeren Campagne and R. Aerts, unpublished observations). At present it cannot even be rigorously excluded that chemoattractants merely induce an increase in Ca²⁺ binding to the cell surface

rather than affecting its uptake. However, this possibility seems unlikely.

Besides the cAMP relay response and the role of Ca^{2+} in signal transduction, an intensively studied response to chemoattractants is the transient rise in intracellular cGMP. The maximal cGMP concentration in wild-type D. discoideum cells is reached around 10 s after stimulus presentation (196, 336). This response probably results from a stimulation, at least three- to sixfold, of the activity of guanylate cyclase (197). About 20% of the accumulated cGMP is secreted; the remainder is degraded intracellularly (310). cGMP appears to have a role in regulating the chemotactic machinery, as suggested by observations on a socalled streamer F mutant (215, 252). This mutant shows dramatically prolonged periods of chemotactic movement during cell aggregation. These periods correspond with prolonged periods of elevation of intracellular cGMP, following stimulation with chemoattractant. The mutant possesses little intracellular cGMP-phosphodiesterase (252, 311). How cGMP regulates chemotaxis or other cellular processes in D. discoideum is unknown; maybe it acts via the intracellular cGMP receptor protein that has been demonstrated (202, 312). Whether or not this receptor protein regulates a cGMP-dependent protein kinase as do receptor proteins in other cells (102, 116) is unknown.

Less is known about the significance of the other responses to *D. discoideum* signal transduction. A study using transmethylase inhibitors suggests that there is no direct relationship between phospholipid methylation and adenylate cyclase activation or cAMP secretion (316). Phospholipid methylation (198) and also K⁺ and proton efflux (2, 182, 183) might play a role in the slower responses of cells to chemoattractants, e.g., the stimulation of cell differentiation. The correlation of the signal relay process with changes in intracellular vesicles (177) points to the possibility that cAMP produced by the activated adenylate cyclase is secreted from the cells in vesicles.

As mentioned above, almost all known responses of D. discoideum cells to chemoattractants are transient. In fact, this is a prerequisite for the functioning of the signal transduction system, because cells can (and do) stimulate themselves via their surface cAMP receptors with the secreted cAMP, produced by the activated adenylate cyclase (53, 56). As a result of this positive-feedback loop, activation would go on indefinitely, or in practice, until the cells were exhausted, were it not that the adenylate cyclase response adapts. We define adaptation here as the characteristic of a response to terminate (not just to diminish), even though the stimulus remains present at the same level. It is meant here as a specific case of homologous desensitization, which is a type of response attenuation encountered in almost all signal transduction systems (162, 267). Activation and adaptation of the cAMP relay response in D. discoideum were investigated in detail by Devreotes and co-workers (58, 72-74). The response can be adequately described by assuming separate excitation and adaptation processes (53, 73, 74). Following application of a stimulus, excitation and adaptation build up to a new level that is the same for both and is determined by the magnitude of the stimulus. However, adaptation builds up more slowly than excitation. The transient excess of excitation over adaptation determines the magnitude of the response, i.e., the activation of adenylate cyclase. After excitation and adaptation have reached their equilibrium levels, further activation can only be obtained by increasing the stimulus level, up to a concentration which saturates the system (10^{-5} M cAMP; 58). After removal of the stimulus

cells recover their ability to give a cAMP relay response to the same or lower stimulus levels with a half-life ($t_{0.5}$) of 3 to 4 min (deadaptation) (73). Other responses of *D. discoideum* for which adaptation has been studied in some detail are the chemotactic response (3, 91, 290), the optical density change (200, 333), the cGMP response (289, 308, 333), the changes in cytoskeletal actin (205), and the increase in myosin phosphorylation (12).

The availability of different chemoattractants that can be sensed by the same cell offers the possibility of investigating the interaction between various transduction pathways. A phenomenon encountered in many organisms is heterologous desensitization: prolonged application of a specific signal compound leads to a decrease in sensitivity of the cell to other signal molecules that act via receptors other than those that recognize the compound presented (267). Heterologous desensitization has not yet been demonstrated in Dictyostelium spp. The pathways of adaptation to folic acid and to cAMP proved to be separate for all responses investigated so far, namely, chemotactic cell movement (290), cGMP response (289), and changes in cytoskeletonassociated actin (205). Application of folic acid does not make cells insensitive to a cAMP stimulus and vice versa. This suggests that adaptation results from alterations in components which are unique to the folic acid and cAMP signal transduction pathways, for instance, the cell surface receptors for either of these compounds.

cAMP RECEPTORS IN D. DISCOIDEUM

Introduction

Starvation of D. discoideum cells triggers the appearance of cAMP receptors (105, 110, 180), adenylate cyclase (145, 224), cAMP phosphodiesterase (144, 181), and cell adhesion molecules (8, 22); all contribute to cell aggregation. The development of cAMP receptors in cell suspensions of starving D. discoideum cells can be accelerated by pulses of cAMP (149, 246, 338) and folate (134). The number of cAMP receptors on cells is maximal at the time of aggregation; subsequently, the number decreases (105, 110). Receptors specific for cAMP have been found on the surface of aggregating cells of four Dictyostelium species that use cAMP as chemoattractant; three other species that use other chemoattractants lack detectable cAMP binding activity during the aggregation period (192, 210, 256). However, all of these species acquire cAMP receptors in later multicellular phases of differentiation, during which cAMP appears to act as a morphogen (256).

A challenge after the discovery that cAMP acts as chemoattractant of D. discoideum during cell aggregation (161) was to measure receptors without interference by cell surface phosphodiesterase, which is abundant on aggregating cells (144, 181). Both activities were initially discriminated by exploiting the observation that cGMP is a good substrate for the enzyme, but a weak chemoattractant (110, 160, 180). Soon various other cAMP derivatives were found that discriminate between chemotaxis and receptor binding on the one hand and hydrolysis by phosphodiesterase on the other hand (97, 191, 194). The discrimination between proteins with enzymatic or binding activities (including the intracellular cAMP-dependent protein kinase) on the basis of their substrate specificity has become much easier in recent years because of the increased knowledge about the cyclic nucleotide specificities of these proteins (60, 291, 303-305). Furthermore, cAMP-phosphodiesterase activity was found to be inhibited by sulfydryl compounds such as dithiothreitol (105, 110, 229). Inclusion of this compound in binding assays enables cAMP receptors to be measured without interference by phosphodiesterase.

Ligand Specificity and Activation Mechanism of the Receptor

The structural requirements for ligand binding to the cell surface cAMP receptor were defined investigating the competition of 16 nucleotides with the binding of radioactive cAMP to cells (305). Competition was tested at two cAMP concentrations, 10^{-9} and 10^{-7} M, so that the specificity of both the low- and high-affinity receptor forms (see next subsection) was studied. The potency of inhibition for all nucleotides was the same at both cAMP concentrations, suggesting that low- and high-affinity receptor forms with different binding specificities do not exist (305). The binding affinity of various derivatives for the receptor suggests that cAMP probably binds to the receptor in the anti conformation; the adenine moiety is probably positioned in a hydrophobic cleft, while two H-bridges are formed: one with the amino group on the purine and one with the 3'-oxygen atom of the cyclic phosphate group (Fig. 1) (305).

The specificity of binding of about 10 cAMP analogs to the receptor is closely correlated with the specificity of induction of several biological responses, including chemotaxis (191, 291, 304), the cGMP response (305), the cAMP relay response (279), the stimulation of synthesis of phosphodiesterase in early development (as determined at threshold concentrations) (309), and expression of various genes later in development, determined by synthesis of specific proteins (255) or messenger ribonucleic acids (109, 221). This correlation suggests that all of these responses are triggered via a similar kind of receptor protein.

Several compounds have been found that antagonize biological responses (chemotaxis or the cGMP response) at concentrations that are approximately half-saturating for binding (291, 301, 305). Some of these compounds were classified as partial antagonists: at low concentrations they inhibited chemotaxis to cAMP, but at higher concentrations they became attractants (291, 301). Two full antagonists for chemotaxis, the cGMP response and the cAMP relay response, have been found. These compounds competitively inhibited responses to cAMP, without themselves eliciting a response at any concentration (291, 305). Both full antagonists are analogs of cAMP, modified in the phosphate moiety of the molecule, namely, the Rp isomer of cAMP-S and the Rp isomer of cAMP-dimethylamidate (291, 301). The structures of these antagonists suggest that the configuration of the cyclic phosphate group is critical for activation of the receptor. Comparison of the chemical structures of antagonists and agonists (like the Sp isomers of both cAMP analogs) led to the proposal that the receptor becomes covalently linked to the cyclic phosphate group during activation of the receptor (301).

Theoretically, a receptor can respond to its ligand in two ways: an occupancy receptor responds in proportion to the duration of occupation with ligand; a rate receptor responds in proportion to the number of associations with ligand (231, 288). Thus, an occupancy receptor gives the greatest response with tight-binding agonists, whereas a rate receptor gives maximal responses with agonists that rapidly exchange, i.e., that have a high dissociation rate and, consequently (most often), a low affinity. The latter type of response was found when the induction of total cellular and



FIG. 1. Model of the binding of cAMP to the cell surface cAMP receptor in *D. discoideum* based on the study of the competition of cAMP binding by cAMP derivatives. Binding of cAMP is in the anti configuration, via two hydrogen bonds, at N_6 and $O_{3'}$, and with the adenine moiety in a hydrophobic cleft (indicated by dots). Reproduced from reference 305, with permission.

secreted phosphodiesterase by pulses of cAMP and cAMP analogs was investigated (309). At high concentrations, low-affinity analogs induced higher levels of phosphodiesterase than did high-affinity analogs. These results suggest that the *Dictyostelium* cAMP receptor functions as a rate receptor (309). It might have been useful if this hypothesis had been confirmed by investigation of the induction of markers (or cellular responses) other than phosphodiesterase. However, in the meantime we have obtained insight into the molecular interactions of receptors and G-protein(s) (see below); we presently consider such insight more fundamental than the distinction between the occupancy- and ratereceptor concepts.

Kinetic Properties of the cAMP Receptor

In the late 1970s, investigators observed that much of the cAMP-receptor complex of *D. discoideum* cells dissociates very rapidly (141, 210). Present binding assays therefore use filtration without washing (44, 105), sedimentation of cells or membranes without washing (119, 180), or sedimentation of cells or membranes through silicone oils (247). The relevance of data derived from the so-called ammonium sulfate stabilization assay (305) to the in vivo situation is not always apparent, because ammonium sulfate decreases the dissociation rate of the cAMP-receptor complex and alters the affinity and the site distribution of the kinetic receptor forms (see below). In addition, ammonium sulfate exposes latent binding sites and down-regulated receptors (120, 295, 297).

Curves relating the equilibrium binding of cAMP to intact cells or isolated membranes are nonlinear, indicating the existence of site heterogeneity or negative cooperativity or both (44, 105, 150, 210). Assuming site heterogeneity, these equilibrium binding curves are compatible with the existence of two classes of receptors with K_{ds} of about 10 and 150 nM (44, 105, 210). Evidence for positive cooperativity in equi-

librium cAMP binding has also been found (44, 120, 207, 217).

The earlier studies on the kinetics of cAMP binding (141, 210) have recently been extended, producing direct evidence for the existence of receptor heterogeneity and cooperativity in cAMP binding. Four different kinetic receptor forms, which differ from each other in dissociation rate or apparent affinity, have been distinguished on *D. discoideum* cells (298, 300). These forms have been called H, L, S, and SS (Table 2). cAMP bound to the H and L forms dissociates relatively fast, but the apparent affinity of the L form is much lower than that of the H form (Table 2) (298). During association of cAMP with cells, the H form converts to the L form with a $t_{0.5}$ of about 10 s (298). As soon as the cAMP is removed, the affinity of cAMP binding is restored with a $t_{0.5}$ of 70 s (29); this probably reflects the formation of the original H form.

The S and SS forms have a similar affinity for cAMP, but they are easily distinguished by dissociation kinetics; both dissociate slowly, but at 10-fold different rates (Table 2). The

TABLE 2. Kinetic cAMP receptor forms observed in D. discoideum cells and isolated membranes^a

Receptor form	Apparent <i>K_D</i> (nM)	Dissociation rate constant, k_{-1} , 20°C (s ⁻¹) ^b	No. of sites per cell	Effect of guanine nucleotides on abundancy
H ^c	60	4×10^{-1}	} 77,000	Decrease
L ^c	450	10 × 10^{-1}		Increase
S	6–13	4.3×10^{-2}	2,300	Decrease
SS	6–13	4.7×10^{-3}	1,100	Decrease

^a Data were compiled from references 118, 119, 293, 298, 300.

^b The H and L forms have recently been called A sites $(A^{H} \text{ and } A^{L})$ and the S and SS forms have been called B sites $(B^{S} \text{ and } B^{SS})$ to mark their possible coupling to adenylate cyclase and guanylate cyclase, respectively (300). At 0°C, dissociation rate constants are 1.4- to 4-fold lower (300). ^c In preparations of isolated membranes, no discrimination was made

^c In preparations of isolated membranes, no discrimination was made between H and L in dissociation kinetics and forms with k_{-1} of $>10^{-1}$ s⁻¹ were designed fast forms (118, 119, 300).

apparent number of sites of the S and SS forms is much lower than that of the H and L sites (Table 2) (300).

All receptor forms have also been observed in isolated membranes (118, 119, 293, 300). The H and L forms were not always distinguished from each other and were collectively called the fast (F) form, which applies to all forms with $k_{-1} > 10^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Table 2) (118, 119, 300). In contrast to the situation in cells, the H \rightarrow L transition does not appear to take place during association in isolated membranes (118, 293). In isolated membranes part of the SS form converts to faster dissociating (maybe S) forms during dissociation in the presence of high cAMP concentrations, indicating the participation of cooperative interactions (118).

Notwithstanding that the kinetics of cAMP binding to cells and isolated membranes are accurately explained by assuming the separate receptor forms H, L, S, and SS and cooperative effects, it may be noted that these are all hypothetical entities, the existence of which has been demonstrated only by kinetic means in rather complex systems. Nevertheless, it is likely that at least some of the proposed receptor forms and interconversions account for the site heterogeneity or cooperativity suggested by the equilibrium binding studies on cells and in isolated membranes.

Coupling of Receptor Forms to G-Protein and Adenylate and Guanylate Cyclase

The binding of subsaturating concentrations of cAMP to isolated membranes is decreased by guanosine di- and triphosphates (118, 119, 293, 300). The decrease results from a lowered affinity of the receptor for cAMP, not a decrease in the total number of binding sites. Furthermore, guanine nucleotides accelerate the overall dissociation of cAMP bound to isolated membranes (118, 119, 300). However, they have no effect on cAMP bound to intact D. discoideum cells (119), suggesting that guanine nucleotides do not compete with cAMP at the binding site of the receptor, but exert their effect via an interaction at the cytoplasmic surface of the membrane. The decrease in affinity of membranes for cAMP and the accelerated dissociation result from a reduction in the relative abundancy of the SS, S, and H receptor forms, which apparently become converted to forms with a lower affinity and higher dissociation rate (118, 119, 293, 300) (Table 2).

The effects of guanine nucleotides on cAMP receptors in Dictyostelium spp. are reminiscent of their effects on Gprotein-coupled receptors in vertebrates (15). This similarity suggests a role for a G-protein in Dictyostelium signal transduction, as was first proposed by Leichtling et al. (167). The supposition that G-protein(s) functions in Dictyostelium signal transduction is further supported by the recent observations that guanine nucleotides modulate the activity of Dictyostelium adenylate cyclase (277, 307) (see below). Furthermore, both cAMP and folic acid increase the equilibrium binding of [³H]guanosine 5'-triphosphate (GTP) to membranes and accelerate its dissociation (69). These results suggest that binding of agonists to receptors stimulates the exchange of free GTP with guanine nucleotides that are bound to G-protein. The same has been demonstrated to occur in vertebrates (15). Definite proof of a role for a G-protein in Dictyostelium signal transduction, i.e., its isólation and reconstitution into a functional system, has not yet been obtained.

In vertebrates, high- and low-affinity receptor forms are explained by the different complexes that can be formed between receptors and guanine nucleotide-occupied or empty G-proteins (15). By analogy, we proposed that the different kinetic forms of the receptor (Table 2) mirror the association states of cAMP receptors with G-protein (118, 300) (Fig. 2, inset). Binding of guanine nucleotides to the receptor-G-protein complex induces shifts in the equilibria between the various forms, which are observed experimentally as changes in abundance.

One might wonder whether in Dictyostelium spp. all effectors are regulated via the same G-protein and cAMP receptors. Two lines of evidence suggest that adenylate cyclase and guanylate cyclase in Dictyostelium spp. are activated via different pathways. First, a study of the behavior of kinetic receptor forms during down-regulation of receptors (see also below) has shown that the observed reduction of the number of cAMP binding sites results from a decrease in the number of fast-dissociating receptor forms H and L (Table 2) (137). The number of slowly dissociating S and SS sites does not decrease in down-regulated cells; rather, their affinity for cAMP decreases 10-fold (137). Measurement of the cAMP-evoked cAMP and cGMP responses showed that cells, when down-regulated, accumulate significantly decreased amounts of cAMP in response to a saturating stimulus dose. In contrast, the dose-response curve for the cGMP response was shifted to 20-fold-higher stimulus levels, but the maximally attainable cGMP response was unchanged (137). So, the capacity of both the H and L receptor forms and the cAMP response were decreased, while the affinity of both the S and SS receptor forms and the cGMP response were decreased.

Another argument against a single set of receptors and G-proteins mediating all signal transduction comes from a study of the effect of extracellular Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , and Mn^{2+} ions on the cAMP-evoked cAMP and cGMP responses in *Dictyostelium* spp. It was found that the cation concentrations at which alterations in the fast-dissociating H and L receptor forms are observed correlated with the cation concentrations at which alterations in the cAMP response were induced (294). The cation concentrations at which alterations in the slowly dissociating receptors were observed correlated with the concentrations at which changes in the cGMP response were found (294).

Both sets of data (137, 294) can be best explained by assuming that the H and L receptor forms are involved in the activation of adenylate cyclase while the S and SS receptor forms are involved in the activation of guanylate cyclase (Fig. 2). Such a scheme would be analogous to the scheme proposed for the coupling of folate receptors to these enzymes in Dictyostelium spp.: fast-dissociating receptors appear to couple to adenylate cyclase and slowly dissociating receptors appear to couple to guanylate cyclase (see next section) (65, 66). A difference between folate receptors and cAMP receptors is, however, that the fast and slowly dissociating receptors for folate can be discriminated on the basis of their analog specificities (see next section), while cAMP receptors cannot. In analogy with the folate receptors, we have termed the cAMP receptors which are proba-bly coupled to adenylate cyclase "A sites," and those probably coupled to guanylate cyclase "B sites" (300). Thus, the fast-dissociating H and L receptor forms are the A sites and the slowly dissociating forms S and SS B sites (Table 2). Since both the H and L and S and SS receptor forms appear to be coupled to G-proteins (118, 119, 293, 300), it was proposed that both the A and B sites are coupled to adenylate and guanylate cyclases through a G-protein activation cycle (Fig. 2) (300). Such a hypothesis predicts more receptor forms than have been hitherto observed.



FIG. 2. Model of coupling of A and B sites to adenylate and guanylate cyclase. R, Receptor (\mathbb{R}^A , A sites; \mathbb{R}^B , B sites); G, G-protein; A.C., adenylate cyclase; G.C., guanylate cyclase; PIC, phospholipase C; PIP₂, phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate; DAG, diacylglycerol; IP₃, inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate. The inset gives a general G-protein activation cycle as supposed to be working at both \mathbb{R}^A and \mathbb{R}^B sites.

However, not all receptor forms are necessarily observable; some forms might exist only transiently for very short times after receptor occupation. Furthermore, the fast-dissociating component of the dissociation process is difficult to analyze, and it may very well be that there exist other fastdissociating forms in addition to the H and L forms. The hypothesis that different subsets of receptors are coupled to adenylate and guanylate cyclase through different G-proteins needs to be elaborated.

Isolation of the Receptor

Addition of detergents such as Triton X-100 to Dictyostelium amoebae results in cell lysis and extraction of the majority of cellular proteins. The insoluble residue left is termed the cytoskeleton (28, 219). At a pH of about 6.1, a cAMP binding activity is present on such residues, which has properties of the cell surface receptor (92; unpublished observations), indicating that in vivo the receptor is attached to the cytoskeleton. Such a hypothesis agrees with the finding that cAMP receptors are found in a very highmolecular-weight aggregate in gel filtration experiments, following extraction of isolated membranes with the detergent CHAPS {3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1propanesulfonate} (121; unpublished observations). Similar observations have been made with adenylate cyclase (39, 122a). Whether indeed cAMP receptors in vivo are linked to the cytoskeleton must be proven by other approaches, e.g., by receptor mobility studies with antibodies against the cAMP receptor. Such antibodies are now available (see below).

Membrane preparations enriched in cell surface cAMP receptors have been obtained by centrifugation of cell homogenates (293), sometimes followed by fractionation of the sediments in either aqueous two-phase separations (207) or sucrose gradients (118, 122a, 141). Such preparations are useful for studying the effects of regulatory compounds (e.g., guanine nucleotides) on cAMP receptors and may provide starting material for solubilization of the receptor and its purification. Alternative methods for membrane isolation, involving binding of cells to polylysine beads and subsequent cell lysis (resulting in membranes attached to the beads) (147) or membrane shedding from cells induced by guanidine-HCl (44, 111), have also been reported.

In most cases when detergents are added to receptorenriched membrane preparations, cAMP binding activity is rapidly lost. Of 11 detergents we tested, appreciable binding activity was retained with only 2 dipolar ionic detergents (121). This could agree with data of Meyers-Hutchins and Frazier, who observed appreciable cAMP binding activity only after fractionation of Emulphogene-solubilized membrane proteins over diethylaminoethyl-Sephadex (207). These results suggest that the cAMP receptor is strongly dependent on the proper lipid environment for binding activity, a conclusion also drawn from the observation that the cAMP binding activity is very sensitive to the presence of unsaturated fatty acids (see below) (122).

When solubilization of the cAMP receptor in membranes is attempted with the dipolar ionic detergent CHAPS, cAMP becomes persistently bound to the receptor (121). This suggests that in the presence of this detergent a conformational change of the receptor has taken place such that bound cAMP is locked in the binding site, while empty binding sites have become inaccessible (121). This behavior is reminiscent of that of the β -adrenergic receptor in the presence of deoxycholate (258).

Aiming at purification of the receptor, investigators have circumvented the problems with solubilization of the receptor by making use of photoaffinity labeling. Visualization of cAMP binding proteins in Dictyostelium cells and isolated membranes has been reported by three groups, using 8azido-cAMP (8N₃-cAMP) as a photoaffinity label. As the receptor has about a 10-fold-lower affinity $(k_d, \sim 300 \text{ nM})$ for this reagent than for cAMP (154), relatively high concentrations of labeled ligand have to be used with the risk of nonspecific labeling of proteins. Maybe for this reason the studies of Klein and co-workers (129, 151) showed labeling of many proteins in addition to the cell surface cAMP receptor. A useful method to decrease nonspecific labeling was used by Devreotes et al. (154, 278), who, after binding of $8N_3$ -[³²P]cAMP to cells, washed the cells in the presence of high concentrations of ammonium sulfate and only then irradiated them with ultraviolet light. Ammonium sulfate retards the dissociation of the 8N₃-cAMP-receptor complex (295, 305), but apparently not the dissociation of nonspecifically bound ligand. As ammonium sulfate decreases, but does not stop the dissociation of the ligand (295), it would be expected that predominantly slowly dissociating receptors are photolabeled. However, a comparison made between the saturation curves for binding and photolabeling suggests that all binding sites give the same product on gels (154).

Both Klein et al. and Devreotes et al., working with different techniques, have identified two closely related proteins of about 45,000 molecular weight that show properties expected for the cell surface receptor, namely (129, 154, 278); (i) competition of 8N₃-cAMP binding and photoaffinity labeling by low concentrations of cAMP and by cAMP derivatives with a potency according to their affinity for the receptor; (ii) abundance of the products of photolabeling in *Dictyostelium* cells around the time of cell aggregation; (iii) presence in membrane preparations and not in soluble cell fractions; (iv) lack of reaction with antibodies against cAMP-dependent protein kinase; (v) difference from cell surface cAMP-phosphodiesterase as apparent from molecular weight (cf. reference 322) and from its presence in a phosphodiesterase-deficient mutant.

Evidently, both groups have identified the same two proteins as the cAMP receptor. On gels, these two proteins differ in molecular weight by about 2,000; the lower- and higher-mobility proteins were termed D or P47 and R or P45, respectively (57, 129). Both groups have presented evidence that the lower-mobility protein is a phosphorylation product of the high-mobility protein (151, 153, 173).

Devreotes and co-workers have purified the phosphoprotein to homogeneity by hydroxyapatite chromatography and two times a different one-dimensional gel electrophoresis (153). The phosphoprotein copurifies with the photoaffinity-labeled protein, which indicates that they are identical. The high- and low-mobility proteins were shown to be related by peptide mapping (153). The low-mobility protein contains about seven phosphate residues; the high-mobility protein contains about one (153). Different antibodies have been raised against the low-mobility protein that was either completely purified (155) or excised from gels on which solubilized plasma membrane proteins were electrophoresed (152). The availability of antibodies against two closely related proteins which appear to be the cell surface cAMP receptor opens the way for the molecular cloning and study of the receptor gene; in fact, a first claim for the cloning of the receptor gene has recently been made (152).

A different result was obtained by Meyers-Hutchins and Frazier (207), the third group that used photoaffinity labeling in an attempt to purify the cAMP receptor. These investigators used different cellular material for their photoaffinity labeling than Klein and Devreotes and co-workers. They started with a fraction containing cAMP binding activity, obtained from a diethylaminoethyl-Sephadex column on which Emulphogene-solubilized membranes were applied (207). A 70-kilodalton (kDa) protein from this fraction was photoaffinity labeled with 8N₃-[³²P]cAMP, which labeling could partially be inhibited by excess unlabeled cAMP (207). An acidic 70-kDa glycoprotein was purified by subsequent decyl-agarose chromatography and preparative gel electrophoresis, and photoaffinity labeling of this protein was completely blocked by unlabeled cAMP. This protein was present in aggregation-competent Dictyostelium cells and absent in vegetative cells. The cAMP binding fraction eluted from the decyl-agarose column was partially characterized. Its affinity for cAMP and its nucleotide binding specificity matched that of the chemotactic cAMP receptor (207). However, the binding specificity was investigated with only four nucleotides, which is a rather limited survey in view of the current knowledge (see above).

The relationship between the proteins identified by Devreotes' and Klein's groups on the one hand and by Frazier's group on the other is not clear. A 70-kDa protein was not detected by photoaffinity labeling of cells, or at most in minuscule amounts (154). The possibility that the 70-kDa protein is a precursor of the M_r -45,000 proteins is unlikely, because a 70-kDa protein was not observed after in vitro translation of messenger ribonucleic acid from preaggregation cells; rather, a product of 37 kDa was detected with the antiserum prepared against the photoaffinity-labeled product of M_r 45,000 (155). On the other hand, the 70-kDa protein might be an aggregation product of the \simeq 45-kDa proteins, as it has been observed that the latter have a high tendency to aggregate, even in the presence of detergent (153). The relative amounts of the two proteins of about 45 kDa vary according to the state of adaptation of the cells (see section, "Desensitization to cAMP"). This, together with the arguments given above, makes them the most interesting candidates for the cAMP receptor.

Agents That Modulate cAMP Binding

A number of compounds in addition to guanine nucleotides modulate the cAMP receptor function in *Dictyostelium* spp. (see above), but their mechanisms of action are less clear.

Millimolar concentrations of divalent cations and polyvalent anions cause a two- to threefold increase in the number of cAMP binding sites as detected on cells and in isolated membranes (120, 121, 128, 294, 295). In addition, some salts (notably ammonium sulfate) increase the affinity of receptors for cAMP (120, 295). The effect of saturating concentrations of different ions is not additive (120, 295). This, however, does not mean that all ions act by the same mechanism. For instance, Ca^{2+} ions, but not Mg^{2+} ions, counteract the effect of unsaturated fatty acids on cAMP binding, while in the absence of fatty acids both ions increase the binding of cAMP (122) (see below). Also, ammonium sulfate can induce the exposure of down-regulated cAMP receptors in cells, while Ca^{2+} ions cannot (297). The effect of Ca^{2+} on cAMP binding to cells is rapid (within seconds) and reversible (128); inhibitors of Ca^{2+} uptake do not counteract the effect (294). These results suggest that Ca^{2+} ions act at the extracellular surface of the cell membrane. The observation that exposure of cryptic receptors can be induced in metabolically arrested cells and in isolated membranes (120, 121, 128) suggests that these receptors are hidden within the membrane itself and are not derived from intracellular stores by some kind of exocytosis. A reasonable hypothesis seems that polyvalent cations and anions alter the structure of the membrane in such a way that hidden sites become accessible. Such a working mechanism might be similar to that proposed for the effect of cholesterol on biological membranes; stiffening of the membrane structure by cholesterol also results in exposure of hidden membrane proteins (266).

The physiological function of the ionic effects on cAMP receptors, or of the cryptic receptors themselves, is open to speculation. Extracellular ionic conditions strongly influence cellular processes related to signal transduction and cell differentiation in *D. discoideum* (171, 184, 294) (see also "Physiology of Signal Transduction in *D. discoideum*"). Some of these effects might result from altered receptor function. However, it is not clear how in vivo cells could modulate the exposure of cryptic receptors. Presently, ionic conditions are mainly used as a tool for modulating the functioning of the cAMP receptor and the processes regulated via the receptor, as was described above.

Millimolar concentrations of adenosine and related compounds inhibit the binding of cAMP to cells (217). In wild-type cells, starved for relatively short times, and in axenic cells, the inhibition is partially noncompetitive (217, 276, 291), whereas in wild-type cells starved for longer periods fully competitive inhibition is found (276). The observed noncompetitive nature of inhibition argues against the possibility that adenosine inhibits the binding of cAMP only by interacting with the cAMP binding site of the receptor. Uptake of adenosine does not appear to be required for its effect, because inhibitors of adenosine uptake did not counteract the effect on cAMP binding (313). Therefore, there might be an adenosine receptor at the outer surface of the plasma membrane which mediates the effects of adenosine. Study of the equilibrium binding of [³H] adenosine to Dictyostelium cells reveals two binding components (216, 291), suggesting the existence of multiple adenosine receptors. The affinity of one component $(K_d, 300)$ μ M) (216, 291) is such that it could mediate the effects of adenosine on cAMP binding and on various responses. Whether this binding component indeed represents a protein needs further support, especially because its number of binding sites is rather high (7 \times 10⁶ per cell) (216, 291). If these binding sites would represent a protein with a normal molecular weight, say 50,000, they would comprise nearly 1% of total cellular protein, which seems excessive for a protein with a regulatory function.

Millimolar concentrations of adenosine inhibit the cAMPinduced cGMP and cAMP responses (25, 276, 291), chemotaxis (291), and the formation of cell aggregates (216, 217). In later developmental stages adenosine inhibits the induction of prespore cell differentiation by cAMP, which has led to the hypothesis that adenosine is a morphogen for *D. discoideum* (257, 327). All of the above-mentioned effects of adenosine might result from alterations in cAMP receptor function (either by competition with cAMP binding or via an adenosine receptor), as in each of these processes cAMP receptors play a more or less decisive role (*see* "Ligand Specificity and Activation Mechanism of the Receptor"; 53, 221, 255, 257). However, it is questionable whether adenosine ever accumulates in millimolar concentrations in the extracellular space. Indeed, this might occur in multicellular aggregates, where the extracellular space is small, but it seems unlikely in the preaggregative phase of development. In the multicellular stage a cAMP-regulated signal transduction system also appears to operate since receptors (135, 256), a cAMP response, and a cGMP response (138, 220) can be demonstrated. It might be that the effects of adenosine on signal transduction in aggregating cells merely foreshadow the role of adenosine in multicellular *D. discoideum* aggregates.

Micromolar concentrations of unsaturated fatty acids noncompetitively inhibit the binding of cAMP to isolated membranes and intact cells (122). The inhibition is counter-acted by Ca^{2+} but not by Mg^{2+} ions. This and other arguments suggest that the effect of unsaturated fatty acids on the receptor results from alterations in the lipid bilayer structure of the membrane (122). Such a working mechanism has also been proposed for other systems (142, 143). Conditions to make the effect of unsaturated fatty acids on the cAMP receptor reversible have not been found (122). Reversibility would make a role in regulation of receptor functions in vivo more likely. Unsaturated fatty acids have been observed to alter various cellular functions in D. discoideum; e.g., they inhibit cell differentiation (326). Furthermore, inhibitors of the oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids inhibit the cAMP-induced light-scattering response (254). Phospholipids in D. discoideum membranes are unusually rich in unsaturated fatty acids (326), thus forming a large store of free unsaturated fatty acids. Whether this store is sometimes used, and whether unsaturated fatty acids play a role in the regulation of *Dictvostelium* signal transduction. is not known, as no data on the concentration of free unsaturated fatty acids in the plasma membrane are available.

The stalk cell differentiation-inducing factor (DIF) (284) is another lipophilic compound which modulates the binding of cAMP to its receptor. It decreases the affinity of the receptor for cAMP, as studied in intact aggregation-competent cells (324). Concomitantly, DIF inhibits the cAMP relay response, but not the cAMP-induced cGMP response (324). The amounts of DIF, necessary to observe effects on cAMP binding and relay with a certain amount of cells, are of the same order of magnitude as those affecting stalk cell differentiation (324), which opens the possibility that the effects of DIF on signal transduction are physiologically relevant. The interference of DIF with the signal transduction system deserves special attention, as both DIF and cAMP relay appear to be important for morphogenesis in multicellular D. *discoideum* aggregates (138, 176, 220, 256).

FOLIC ACID RECEPTORS

Vegetative Dictyostelium amoebae are chemotactically attracted by folic acid and pteridines (226, 227). Within several hours of starvation, amoebae lose the sensitivity to folic acid, concomitantly with their folic acid receptors, and gain receptors for cAMP (66, 214, 281, 332). Various lines of evidence suggest that folates, pteridines, and cAMP are detected by different types of cell surface receptors (53, 301, 332); however, direct binding studies on pteridine receptors have not been done yet. Initial studies on the folic acid receptors were complicated by the high activity of the folic acid-degrading enzyme folate deaminase that is present on the surface of D. discoideum cells (13, 330). This problem was solved by using the folate deaminase inhibitor 8azaguanine (59) or the degradation-resistant folic acid analog methotrexate (71, 214). In the first binding studies a high-affinity binding site was detected that had almost the same affinity for folic acid and its deaminated product 2-deaminofolic acid (K_d , 100 to 300 nM; about 10⁵ sites per cell [286, 332]). However, amoebae are chemotactically at least 10⁴ times less sensitive to 2-deaminofolic acid than to folic acid (228, 301), suggesting that these binding sites are not the chemotaxis receptor for folic acid. De Wit observed another receptor which has binding affinities for folic acid and its derivatives that correlate much better with the chemotactic activities of these compounds (59, 65). This receptor was present only in small numbers (1,500 sites per cell) (71); some studies could not even detect it (281).

Detailed investigations on the pharmacology and kinetics of the folic acid binding activity of cells and isolated membranes have shown as many as five kinetic receptor forms (62, 71). Two kinetic forms, A^{H} and A^{L} , are of a "nonselective" type and bind both folic acid and deaminofolic acid. The specificity of these forms does not correlate with that of chemotaxis, but with the specificity of the folic acid-induced cAMP response, which occurs in early aggregative cells (55, 65, 66). This response probably results from an indirect activation of adenylate cyclase via cAMP receptors (55), as mentioned above ("Physiology of Signal Transduction in D. discoideum"). The other kinetic forms, B^F, B^S, and B^{SS}, bind folic acid more selectively and have a binding specificity correlating with that of chemotaxis, the folic acidinduced cGMP response, and the stimulation of expression of two developmental markers (64, 65, 314). The main difference between the A and B receptor types is that the A sites have much less specific requirements with respect to the structure of the pterine part of the folic acid molecule than the B sites (65). The binding specificities distinguish both A and B receptor types from each other and from the two folic acid-degrading enzymes present on cells, i.e., folate deaminase (13, 330) and folate C₉-N₁₀-cleaving enzyme (65, 67).

Many properties of folic acid receptors on vegetative *D. discoideum* cells are similar to those of cAMP receptors on aggregative cells. Similar kinetic forms exist, and different subsets of receptors appear to couple to guanylate and adenylate cyclase. Folic acid receptors probably interact with a G-protein because guanine nucleotides modulate the ligand binding to both the A and B folic acid receptor types (61, 63); vice versa, folic acid modulates the binding of GTP to isolated membranes (69). These observations have led to models explaining the different kinetic forms of the folic acid receptor swith empty or occupied G-proteins (62, 63). Similar models have been proposed for vertebrate signal transduction systems (15) and for signal transduction through cAMP receptors in *D. discoideum* (Fig. 2) (118, 300).

Relatively little progress has been made towards the molecular identification and isolation of folic acid receptors. Some folic acid receptors might be associated with the cytoskeleton (281), similar to cAMP receptors (92). Several proteins from solubilized D. discoideum membranes bind to folic acid-derivatized Sepharose and are specifically eluted (286). Among these might be the folic acid receptors.

ADENYLATE CYCLASE

Basal Activity

All adenylate cyclase activity in *D. discoideum* is particulate (107, 224). Histochemical data suggest that the enzyme

is localized on the inner side of the plasma membrane (48, 84). However, adenylate cyclase does not copurify on sucrose gradients with plasma membrane marker enzymes such as alkaline phosphatase and 5'-nucleotidase (112, 122a, 212, 230). This could imply that *Dictyostelium* adenylate cyclase is localized in specialized domains of the plasma membrane that contain little of these plasma membrane markers (cf. references 39 and 122a). Such uneven distribution of different plasma membrane-bound proteins has also been found in other cells (104, 126).

The adenylate cyclase activity in homogenates of aggregating cells is very unstable (107, 206, 224). The basal enzyme activity is about 10 pmol min⁻¹ mg of protein⁻¹ with Mg-adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP) as substrate (45, 53, 248). This activity is stimulated by Mn^{2+} ions (45, 47, 171) and inhibited by Ca^{2+} ions (45, 145, 171, 248); the latter inhibition is antagonized by Mn^{2+} ions (45, 171, 248), the latter adenylate cyclase has a K_m of 0.4 mM for Mg²⁺-ATP (171). In the presence of Mn^{2+} ions the kinetics are non-Michaelian, being best described by two K_m values of 0.02 and 0.4 mM ATP (107, 239). The basal enzyme activity is inhibited by preincubation with a heat-stable inhibitor, present in soluble as well as particulate fractions of vegetative cells (47). The roles of Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , or Mn^{2+} ions and the heat-stable inhibitor in the regulation of adenylate cyclase in vivo are unknown. Compounds such as NaF (or AlF₃) and Forskolin that modulate the activity of, respectively, Gprotein-coupled and uncoupled adenylate cyclase in vertebrates (15) do not modulate Dictyostelium cyclase (24, 119, 145, 206, 245). Similar observations were made in other eucaryotic microbes (32, 35, 50, 86, 123, 124, 156, 225, 249, 323; exceptions, in which NaF inhibits, are reported in references 40, 42, 106, 185).

Adenylate cyclase activity remains associated with an insoluble protein residue following extraction of membranes with the detergent CHAPS (39, 122a). Up to 60% of basal adenylate cyclase is solubilized by this detergent when membranes are preincubated with 1 M NaCl before the addition of CHAPS (108). Lubrol PX is probably a better solubilizing detergent than CHAPS, as assessed from the size of adenylate cyclase determined by gel filtration chromatography (122a).

Stimulated Activity

The periodic activation of adenylate cyclase in aggregating D. discoideum cells is the central event in the cAMP relay response and is responsible for the propagation of the cAMP signal from cell to cell. Activation of adenylate cyclase in vivo may require the movement of proteins in the plasma membrane, because treatment of cells with cross-linking agents such as lectins, antibodies, or chemical compounds inhibits the cAMP relay response and prevents activation of adenylate cyclase (88). Some important components of the signal transduction system are probably associated with the cytoskeleton, for instance, cAMP receptors (92) (see above) or adenylate cyclase (39, 122a). The submembrane cytoskeleton might have to move for adenylate cyclase activation, and this movement would be inhibited by the cross-linkers. Interestingly, the cAMP-mediated cGMP response is not inhibited but is potentiated by the lectin concanavalin A (200)

Until very recently, the only way to demonstrate the activated state of adenylate cyclase was by stimulating the enzyme with cAMP in vivo, rapidly lysing the cells, and instantaneously measuring enzyme activity in vitro (53, 148,

171, 245, 248). The 3- to 10-fold increase in enzyme activity thus observed rapidly decays to basal activity, a process which can be retarded at 0°C (39, 246). More detailed investigations of the "in vivo stimulation-in vitro measurement" approach were recently reported by Padh and Brenner (223). They observed that inclusion of $[\alpha^{-32}P]ATP$ in the mixture during cell lysis resulted in an eightfold increase in basal adenylate cyclase activity as compared to the situation normally used, in which $[\alpha^{-32}P]ATP$ is added after cell lysis. As a result, the stimulus-induced increase in the adenylate cyclase activity in homogenates was relatively much lower when the substrate $[\alpha^{-32}P]ATP$ was added prior to cell lysis instead of thereafter. The authors presented evidence suggesting that a significant fraction of the enzyme becomes latent shortly after cell lysis, more so in homogenates from unstimulated cells than from stimulated cells. This might indicate that activation of adenylate cyclase in vivo is correlated with an increased accessibility of ATP to the enzyme (223).

Several observations challenge the interpretation of the "substrate accessibility" studies of Padh and Brenner (223) and their relevance to the regulation of adenylate cyclase in vivo. First, there is almost no difference in cAMP production between lysis in the presence and absence of $[\alpha^{-32}P]ATP$, when enzyme activity is measured with Mn²⁺ ions instead of Mg²⁺ions; in parallel experiments with Mg²⁺ions (as used by Padh and Brenner [223]), the differences are 7- to 15-fold (A. Theibert and P. Devreotes, personal communication). As in many other systems, Dictyostelium adenylate cyclase is stimulated by Mn²⁺ ions and uncoupled from guanine nucleotide regulatory proteins (277; see below). It would be expected that latency of adenylate cyclase is not affected by its intrinsic activity. Second, even when cells are lysed in the presence of Mg^{2+} [α -³²P]ATP, an increase is observed in the formation of [³²P]cAMP in homogenates from stimulated cells compared to unstimulated cells, although this increase is greater when cells are lysed in the absence of $[\alpha^{-32}P]ATP$ (223). Third, higher adenylate cyclase activity is also observed in homogenates from stimulated cells, as compared to unstimulated cells, when cells are lysed with the detergent CHAPS (88). It is unlikely that after lysis by detergents differences in enzyme sequestration are preserved. This suggests that altered substrate accessibility, when occurring, is not the sole regulatory mechanism of adenylate cyclase activity in vivo.

The activation of adenylate cyclase in vivo probably results, at least partly, from coupling of the enzyme to a G-protein (277, 307). While many investigators tried in vain to activate the enzyme with guanine nucleotides (cf. reference 53), this now appears to be possible under specific conditions. About 10- to 20-fold stimulation of adenylate cyclase occurs when guanine nucleotides are present during cell lysis or within 5 min thereafter; a preincubation of about 5 min at 0°C of cell homogenate with guanine nucleotides is required to obtain maximal stimulation (277). Alternatively, a twofold activation of adenylate cyclase in crude membrane preparations is observed when enzyme incubations are performed at temperatures between 0 and 10°C (307). In addition, inhibition of adenylate cyclase by guanosine triphosphates becomes detectable when membranes are preincubated with ATP_γS, suggesting that an inhibitory G-protein may also be present (307). It is not clear why the specific conditions are necessary to observe effects of guanine nucleotides on adenylate cyclase. They might relate to necessary coupling/uncoupling events of G-protein to adenylate cyclase or to a transient latency of adenylate cyclase or

G-protein. Also, it should be noted that the *Dictyostelium* adenylate cyclase system is rather unusual, compared to other systems, in that the product of the enzyme, cAMP, is the agonist of the receptor which regulates the enzyme. The possibility of a positive-feedback loop in vivo, with cells stimulating themselves with their secreted cAMP (53, 56), may require more control elements than in other systems.

The observations of Theibert and Devreotes and ourselves regarding the stimulation of adenylate cyclase are qualitatively similar in many respects (277, 307). Adenylate cyclase, as measured with Mg-ATP, was stimulated by micromolar concentrations of guanosine triphosphates, nonhydrolyzable analogs being better than GTP. The nonhydrolyzable guanosine diphosphate GDPBS did not stimulate adenylate cyclase and antagonized the stimulation by guanosine triphosphates. In the presence of Mn^{2+} ions, micromolar concentrations of guanine nucleotides did not stimulate enzyme activity, but were slightly inhibitory, especially at higher concentrations (50 to 1,000 μ M). Such observations have also been reported by Khachatrian et al. (140). Because millimolar concentrations of Mn^{2+} ions are unphysiological (222), and guanosine diphosphates tended to inhibit more strongly than guanosine triphosphates in the presence of Mn^{2+} ions (140), it is unlikely that these inhibitory effects are related to a physiologically significant regulation via G-proteins. Guanine nucleotide stimulation of adenylate cyclase in thoroughly washed membranes depended on the addition of a cytosolic fraction (307). This was especially apparent from studies with a mutant which has lost the cAMP relay response in vivo. Adenylate cyclase activity in this mutant could not be stimulated by guanine nucleotides, unless cytosol from wild-type cells was added (277, 307). Various lines of evidence suggest that the cytosolic factor is not identical to a soluble G-protein (307), but more definite conclusions have to await its further characterization.

In both types of preparations (277, 307), cAMP stimulated the activity of adenylate cyclase 1.3- to 4-fold in both the presence and the absence of guanine nucleotides. Theibert and Devreotes could demonstrate this stimulation of activity only when cAMP was added to cells shortly prior to lysis (277). This in fact is the in vivo stimulation-in vitro measurement approach, mentioned above. In contrast, we could observe stimulation of cyclase by adding cAMP to our crude membrane preparations (307).

In conclusion, the guanine nucleotide stimulation of adenylate cyclase and its potentiation by cAMP support the concept of a receptor-G_s protein-adenylate cyclase coupling. However, the observation that cAMP must be added to intact cells and guanine nucleotides directly after cell lysis (277), or alternatively that all measurements must be done below 10°C to observe regulation of the enzyme (307), suggests that other control mechanisms operate in vivo which are incompletely controlled in vitro. Such mechanisms might also involve a special architecture of the adenylate cyclase system, i.e., linkage to the cytoskeleton or compartmentalization of the enzyme.

GUANYLATE CYCLASE AND THE INOSITOL PHOSPHATE PATHWAY

Guanylate cyclase activity is present in both vegetative and aggregating D. discoideum cells and is stimulated via folic acid and cAMP receptors. Basal enzyme activity in aggregating cells is two- to sixfold higher than in vegetative cells (199, 222, 325). Guanylate cyclase is found in soluble and particulate cell fractions (122a, 197, 222, 325), as in many higher organisms (211). It is not known whether these activities represent different molecular entities. Soluble guanylate cyclase has an M_r of \approx 250 kDa (222). Attempts to further purify this enzyme are hampered by the instability of the enzyme (222).

As in vertebrates (211), Dictyostelium guanylate cyclase in vitro can as yet only be measured in the presence of Mn² ions $(K_M, 0.7 \text{ mM Mn}^{2+})$ (222). This seems unphysiological, because the intracellular Mn²⁺ concentration in *D. discoid*eum is 5 to 10 μ M (222). Apparently, the in vitro enzyme measurements are not representative of the in vivo conditions, which is a major obstacle for the study of the regulation of this enzyme. The activity as measured with Mn²⁺-GTP is stimulated by micromolar concentrations of ATP and AppNHp (190, 222). ATP, but not AppNHp, added to intact aggregation-competent cells increases the cAMP-induced cGMP response (189). The relevance of these observations to the regulation of the enzyme in vivo is unknown. Only Mato and Malchow (197) have measured the activated state of guanylate cyclase in vitro, following the same approach as used for adenylate cyclase. After stimulation of cells with cAMP and rapid cell lysis by sonication, three- to sixfold activated guanylate cyclase activity persisted in homogenates for about 1 min, as measured with Mn²⁺-GTP (197).

Europe-Finner and Newell recently obtained important evidence which suggests that guanylate cyclase is regulated via the inositol phosphate pathway. They reported that the addition of inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate to saponin-permealized cells results in a rapid transient elevation of cGMP (79) and a permanent increase in cytoskeleton-associated actin (80). Similar responses can be evoked by addition of Ca^{2+} ions to permeabilized cells (80, 268). In higher organisms, as well as in *Dictyostelium* spp., inositol trisphosphate stimulates the liberation of Ca^{2+} ions from cellular, nonmitochondrial stores (14, 81, 115). Taken together, these data might suggest that Ca^{2+} is the direct activator of *Dictyostelium* guanylate cyclase.

In ciliates, Ca^{2+} ions activate guanylate cyclase via the universal Ca effector protein calmodulin (131, 157, 259). Padh and Brenner, however, observed that neither Ca^{2+} ions nor calmodulin could stimulate *D. discoideum* guanylate cyclase activity in vitro with either Mn^{2+} -GTP or Mg^{2+} -GTP as substrate (222). These and some other aspects of the regulation of *Dictyostelium* guanylate cyclase remain to be clarified. For instance, inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate also evoked a (small) cGMP and an actin response in nonpermeabilized cells (80). Furthermore, the inositol 1,4,5trisphosphate-induced cGMP response in permeabilized cells did not require the addition of GTP or ATP (79), although it might be expected that cellular GTP, ATP, and other small molecules should have leaked out of the cells.

Dictyostelium cells contain polyphosphoinositides (M. M. van Lookeren Campagne, unpublished observations) and phosphatidylinositol kinase (318). Direct evidence for the existence of a cAMP receptor and G-protein-regulated phosphatidylinositol cycle was recently presented by Europe-Finner and Newell (82, 83). They reported that in [³H]inositol-labeled intact or permeabilized aggregation-competent *Dictyostelium* cells cAMP and guanosine triphosphates induce the accumulation of radio-activity that coelutes with inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate and other inositol phosphates on anion-exchange columns (82, 83). Basal or activated phospholipase C activity, i.e., the turnover of

(poly)phosphatidyl inositol, has not yet been demonstrated directly (cf. references 117 and 302).

In summary, although some important regulatory mechanisms are still unknown, recent progress is bringing new impetus into guanylate cyclase research in *D. discoideum*. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (130) and *Dictyostelium* spp. are the only eucaryotic microorganisms for which evidence for a phosphatidylinositol cycle has been obtained so far. *Dictyostelium* is probably the first organism in which the inositol phosphate pathway is linked to guanylate cyclase, actin polymerization, and cell locomotion (Fig. 2).

DESENSITIZATION TO cAMP

Homologous (or agonist-specific) desensitization in vertebrate signal transduction systems results primarily from alterations in the receptors (267). The same may be the case in *D. discoideum*. This is suggested by studies of the binding characteristics and the physicochemical properties of the cAMP receptor during signal transduction and desensitization.

Alterations in Binding Properties

Ligand-induced alterations in ligand binding in D. discoideum were first observed by Klein and Juliani (146, 150). cAMP induces a decrease in the number of cAMP binding sites on cells (146, 150), a phenomenon termed downregulation (267). Originally, high cAMP concentrations (10^{-4}) to 10^{-3} M) were reported to be necessary (146, 150), but much lower ligand concentrations are sufficient when the phosphodiesterase activity is reduced by using a phosphodiesterase-deficient mutant, a phosphodiesterase inhibitor (dithiothreitol), or a nonhydrolyzable analog of cAMP (146, 297). The minimal concentration of cAMP necessary to induce down-regulation was found by maintaining the extracellular cAMP concentration constant, i.e., by inhibition of phosphodiesterase with dithiothreitol and inhibition of adenylate cyclase with caffeine (25, 275). Under these conditions a half-maximal loss of cAMP binding sites was induced by exposing cells for 15 min at 20°C to 50 nM cAMP (297). The molecular mechanism of down-regulation in D. discoideum and the fate of down-regulated receptors are not known. It has been suggested that accessible receptor sites are lost as a result of the formation of an extremely slowly dissociating cAMP-receptor complex (146). Following removal of cAMP, lost sites reappear slowly with a $t_{0.5}$ of about 1 h at 20°C (137, 150, 297). Protein synthesis is not required for the reappearance of the receptors, suggesting that down-regulated receptors are not degraded. Binding studies of the residual receptors imply that the H and L kinetic forms of the receptor are reduced in number, whereas the S and SS forms are reduced in affinity (Table 2), as mentioned above (137). Down-regulation affects the cAMP relay response by decreasing the amount of cAMP accumulated in response to a saturating stimulus dose, whereas the dose-response curve for the cGMP response is shifted to higher stimulus concentrations (137) (see subsection, "Coupling of Receptor Forms to G-Protein and Adenylate and Guanylate Cyclases").

Recently, a more rapid alteration of cAMP binding was observed that may be related to desensitization. A short preincubation of cells with cAMP prevented the subsequent binding of ligand to the SS form of the receptor (300). From analysis of the association kinetics of cAMP binding to cells it was inferred that occupied SS sites originate from the conversion of other sites, which have higher "on" rates (300). Apparently, cAMP-occupied SS sites can only be formed when the cAMP concentration is raised. Thus, formation of occupied SS sites depends on the prevailing cAMP concentration, which is akin to adaptation at the receptor binding level. Based on the assumption that the SS receptor form is involved in guanylate cyclase activation (see above), it was proposed that cessation of new formation of occupied SS sites is directly responsible for the cessation of the enzyme (300).

A third ligand-induced change in ligand binding was already mentioned and is the conversion of the H receptor form to the L form shortly after initiation of cAMP binding (29, 137, 298). Assuming that the H and L forms are involved in adenylate cyclase regulation (see above), this change is too fast ($t_{0.5}$ of 10 s [298]) to be related to adenylate cyclase adaptation ($t_{0.5}$ of 3 min [53, 74]).

Covalent Modification of Receptors

Devreotes et al. and Klein et al. have identified by photoaffinity labeling two closely related proteins of M_r ≅45,000 which are different forms of the same cAMP receptor protein, as described above (subsection, "Isolation of the Receptor'') (129, 151, 154, 278). The low-mobility protein in gels is a phosphorylation product of the high-mobility protein (151, 153, 173). Photoaffinity labeling and in vivo phosphorylation experiments revealed that the low-mobility strongly phosphorylated protein predominates in cells after stimulation by cAMP and the high-mobility weakly phosphorylated protein is abundant in cells that are not stimulated (57, 151, 154). As a result, in autonomously oscillating cell suspensions the abundancy of the low- and high-mobility receptor proteins alternates with the frequency of the oscillatory activation of the cells (154). Apparently, occupation of the receptor by cAMP triggers a signal that gives rise to increased receptor phosphorylation.

The appearance and disappearance of the low- and highmobility receptor proteins during cAMP stimulation of cells correlate well with adaptation of adenylate cyclase (57). First, the cAMP-induced transition of high- to low-mobility receptor protein occurred with the same rate as adaptation of adenylate cyclase, both having a $t_{0.5}$ of 2.5 to 3 min (57, 74). This rate is compatible with the rate of appearance of the strongly phosphorylated protein, as observed by Klein et al. (151, 173). Second, the amount of low-mobility receptor protein in stimulated cells was proportional to the concentration of extracellular cAMP presented and matched the dose-response curve for adaptation. Finally, the lowmobility protein persisted as long as the cAMP concentration was unchanged, while as soon as cAMP was removed, the high-mobility receptor protein returned with a $t_{0.5}$ of 5 to 6 min at 20°C (57). About the same rate is observed for de-adaptation of adenylate cyclase ($t_{0.5}$ of 3 to 4 min [73]). Mathematical models have been designed that could explain both adenylate cyclase adaptation and autonomous oscillatory signalling in cell suspensions through receptor modification and positive-feedback stimulation (104, 158, 263).

The transition of the receptor protein from the high- to the low-mobility form is probably not related to activation of adenylate cyclase. Receptor modification takes place in the presence of caffeine (154), whereas this compound blocks activation of adenylate cyclase, but not adaptation (25, 275). Furthermore, it is also unlikely that the high- to low-mobility form transition is related to either guanylate cyclase activation or adaptation, because both of these processes are >10-fold faster (308) than the receptor transition.

The relationship between the physical and binding characteristics of the receptor have been investigated by Devreotes and co-workers (57, 154). They reported that phosphorylated receptors have a lower affinity for cAMP. However, interpretation of this result is complicated because cAMP binding, like the photoaffinity labeling, was done in the presence of ammonium sulfate, which profoundly alters the receptor binding properties and exposes down-regulated receptors (120, 295, 297). The relationship between receptor phosphorylation and the various kinetic forms of the receptor (Table 2) is not obvious. We might suppose that the photolabeled products on gels are representative of the majority of cAMP binding sites on cells and that, as a result, most of the receptors undergo modifications (154; see subsection, "Isolation of the Receptor"). This would suggest that the H and L kinetic forms of the receptor, which together comprise 96% of the total number of binding sites on Dictyostelium cells, are the subjects of receptor modification and phosphorylation. However, it is unlikely that the H and L forms are identical to the high- and low-mobility proteins, respectively, because the transition of H to L following application of cAMP to cells is much faster $(t_{0.5} \text{ of } 10 \text{ s } [298])$ than the transition of the high- to lowmobility protein ($t_{0.5}$ of 2.5 min [57]). In addition, it is not known whether the S and SS forms of the receptor have been detected in photoaffinity labeling and phosphorylation experiments. Thus, a comparison between photoaffinity labeling data and binding characteristics of the receptor presently cannot clarify the relationship between modification and the kinetic forms of the receptor.

Incubation of membranes under conditions designed to stimulate protein phosphorylation alters the binding properties of both the H and L and S and SS kinetic forms or their putative coupling to G-proteins or both (174, 296, 302). In addition it abolishes GTP stimulation of adenylate cyclase (307). These observations, however, give no insight into the relationship between binding and receptor modification, because possible physical alterations in the receptors resulting from phosphorylation were either not observed (296) or not investigated (174, 302). The altered receptor binding properties were assumed to be caused by phosphorylations catalyzed by different kinases, such as protein kinase C (302), kinase A (174), or an endogenous membrane-bound kinase (296). It is likely that phosphorylation of receptors and possibly other transducing elements by various kinases can occur in Dictyostelium spp. and plays a role in the regulation of desensitization, as also occurs in vertebrate systems (10, 11, 133, 136, 166, 270). At present we are only at the beginning of unraveling the complex phenomena related to phosphorylation and desensitization in Dictyostelium species.

COMPARISON WITH OTHER EUCARYOTIC MICROBES

The eucarvotic microbes form a vastly divergent group, including organisms as different as fungi, protozoa, and algae. A large number of behavioral studies are at hand (159, 165, 169), and in many organisms alterations of cyclic nucleotide levels have been correlated with a specific growth phase or behavior (225). The enzyme activities involved in cyclic nucleotide metabolism have often been demonstrated and partly characterized, but the biochemistry underlying the regulation of the enzymes is still largely unknown (1, 42, 113, 123, 175, 225). Among the eucaryotic microbes, molecules possibly involved in signal transduction have been studied in some detail in only a few organisms besides *Dictyostelium* spp., notably, *Paramecium*, *Tetrahymena*, *Saccharomyces*, *Neurospora*, *Mucor*, and *Trypanosoma* species.

The study of extracellular messenger molecules and cell surface receptors in lower eucaryotes has been approached from two directions. First, evidence for chemoattractants or pheromones has been found in various species, and some compounds have been identified (16, 132, 159, 165, 169, 209, 280). In a few organisms, including *Dictyostelium* spp., these studies have been followed by binding studies, giving direct evidence for cell surface receptors (31, 51, 125, 262). To our knowledge, besides the *Dictyostelium* cAMP receptor, no membrane receptor has been isolated from eucaryotic microorganisms. However, in *S. cerevisiae* the genes of the receptors for the pheromones α -factor and **a**-factor have been cloned and sequenced (30a, 107a, 213a).

The second approach is based on the observation that microorganisms can either produce or respond to substances that are very similar to vertebrate signal molecules such as hormones (168). This suggests an early evolutionary appearance of vertebrate signal compounds and their receptors (cf. references 168 and 172). However, this approach has not been very fruitful for the understanding of signal transduction mechanisms, because either the vertebratelike substances produced were almost never found to affect the microorganisms themselves (106, 168) or specific vertebrate compounds that affected microorganisms were not produced in sufficiently high concentrations by these microorganisms to be important (4, 42, 127).

Until a few years ago, the regulation of adenylate cyclase in microorganisms was largely unknown; guanine nucleotides had no influence on the enzyme activity, and the unphysiological substrate Mn-ATP was often strongly preferred over Mg-ATP (32, 123, 175, 225, 260). More recently, however, data have accumulated that suggest the regulation of adenylate cyclase by GTP-binding regulatory proteins in Phycomyces (42), Saccharomyces (20, 35), Neurospora (86, 249, 250), Trypanosoma (75, 76), and Dictyostelium (see subsection, "Stimulated Activity") species. The characterization and isolation of the presumed GTP binding regulatory proteins are still in a preliminary phase. At best, reconstitution experiments with crude fractions have been performed (20, 250). In Neurospora and Trypanosoma species, reconstitution of guanine nucleotide and hormone-sensitive adenylate cyclase could also be accomplished with G-proteins and hormone receptors from detergent extracts of vertebrate membranes (75, 86). These unique observations suggest a close homology between fungal and vertebrate signal transduction systems. This seems to contrast with a study using antibodies against subunits of purified G-protein: even antibodies against the most homologous subunit of different G-proteins, β (101, 244), do not recognize a similar protein in invertebrate organisms (5). Although information about the homology of adenylate cyclase systems in higher and lower eucaryotes may be inconclusive and scarce, it is likely that the overall regulation of adenylate cyclase in some eucaryotic microorganisms is the same as in vertebrates.

Not all adenylate cyclase activity in microorganisms is regulated by guanine nucleotides. For instance, adenylate cyclase in trypanosomes is stimulated by Ca^{2+} ions (319, 320) and may resemble the Ca/calmodulin-regulated enzyme

prominent in vertebrate brain tissue (27, 37). However, the calmodulin antagonist trifluoperazine had no effect on activation of *Trypanosoma* adenylate cyclase by Ca^{2+} ions (320). It is not clear whether the Ca^{2+} -stimulated adenylate cyclase in *Trypanosoma* spp. is the same enzyme as the one that can be activated by (vertebrate) G-proteins (75). In other eucaryotic microbes adenylate cyclases are inhibited by Ca^{2+} ions (1, 42, 213). Adenylate cyclase of *Paramecium* spp. is peculiar, because its activity seems to be regulated by K⁺ ions (156). In *Neurospora* spp. a soluble adenylate cyclase has been purified to homogeneity (238). This enzyme appears to be different from the G-protein-regulated adenylate cyclase in this organism (250).

A most exciting development has come with the discovery of the ras protooncogenes in divergent eucaryotes including Dictyostelium spp. and yeasts (52, 232, 234, 235, 241). The products of ras genes are proteins of $M_r \sim 21,000$ having guanosine triphosphatase activity, as do the α subunits of G-proteins (100, 274). In S. cereviseae, strains deficient in ras function lack GTP-stimulated adenylate cyclase and have a phenotype similar to that of adenylate cyclasedeficient strains (282). The guanine nucleotide sensitivity of cyclase in ras-deficient strains can be restored by reconstitution with yeast or human ras proteins (26, 282). The identification of the gene encoding the catalytic subunit of adenylate cyclase in S. cerevisiae (21, 34) has enabled the successful reconstitution of adenylate cyclase and the S. cereviseae RAS gene products, using extracts of transformed bacteria in which each of the genes was expressed (285). These observations have raised the question whether in S. cerevisiae the RAS protein is identical to the endogenous GTP binding regulatory protein (18). However, mammalian adenylate cyclase is not affected by reconstitution with ras proteins (9), suggesting that ras is not a regulatory component of the mammalian enzyme. In addition, overexpression of endogenous or mutated ras genes in Dictyostelium spp. does not alter the regulation of adenylate cyclase by cAMP in vivo or by guanine nucleotides in vitro (204a, 240). Dictyostelium cells transformed with mutated ras genes show altered adaptation of the cAMP-induced cGMP response (204a). This may indicate that in Dictyostelium cells ras proteins are involved in the regulation of guanylate cyclase or phosphatidylinositol turnover, which is linked to guanylate cyclase (see section, "Guanylate Cyclase and the Inositol Phosphate Pathway"). Recently, data have been presented which suggest that also in vertebrates ras proteins are involved in the regulation of phosphatidylinositol hydrolysis (38, 87, 321), and this may be more general than the involvement of ras in the regulation of adenylate cyclase occurring in yeasts.

Guanylate cyclase has been thoroughly investigated in the ciliates Paramecium and Tetrahymena. This enzyme is regulated by Ca²⁺ ions via the Ca²⁺ regulatory protein calmodulin (131, 157, 259). Paramecium calmodulin can activate a vertebrate enzyme (phosphodiesterase) and calmodulin from soya bean, pig brain, or Tetrahymena will activate guanylate cyclase in Paramecium membranes (157, 261). These data suggest a strong conservation of the Ca²⁺/calmodulin regulatory mechanisms during evolution. Intracellular Ca²⁺ concentrations in ciliates fluctuate in response to various stimuli, giving rise to a Ca^{2+}/K^+ action potential across the plasma membrane (163). Investigations with several Ca^{2+} channel mutants indicate that the activity of guanylate cyclase in the cilia (cf. reference 260) is directly determined by the Ca²⁺ fluxes across the ciliary membrane (261).

In many of the eucaryotic microbes studied so far, it is unclear whether adenylate and guanylate cyclase form a part of a signal transduction system, e.g., in Neurospora (249), Mucor (33), Acanthamoeba (1, 40), and Alternaria (123) species (cf. reference 225). The currently most advanced model systems for transmembrane signal transduction in lower eucaryotes are the ciliates Paramecium and Tetrahymena and the slime mold Dictyostelium. Rapid progress is also being made with yeasts (cf. reference 19). Prominent in the ciliates are electrical phenomena, such as the Ca²⁺ action potential, that result from chemical or mechanical stimuli (163). *Paramecium* therefore aphoristically has been called "a swimming neuron" (163). Chemical phenomena are prominent in Dictyostelium spp. following stimulation by chemoattractants. Therefore, Dictyostelium sp. may be considered the model hormone-responsive cell among the eucaryotic microbes.

PROSPECTS

In recent years much of the biochemical basis of transmembrane signal transduction in *D. discoideum* has been defined. The pharmacology and the kinetics of ligand binding to cAMP and folic acid receptors have been described and the cAMP receptor has been purified. Strong evidence for a G-protein(s) has been obtained from the study of cAMP and folic acid receptors as well as adenylate cyclase. Evidence for the regulation of guanylate cyclase via a phosphatidylinositol phosphate cycle has been obtained, and some light has been shed on the mechanism of adaptation in *Dictyostelium* spp. This has led to a model of *Dictyostelium* signal transduction which has close analogies with vertebrate systems (Fig. 2). Apparently, the signal transduction systems in vertebrates are of very ancient evolutionary origin.

Now that the homology with vertebrates seems clear, the peculiarities of the *Dictyostelium* signal transduction system may also be discovered. For instance, why does *Dictyostelium* adenylate cyclase resist activation in vitro by receptor ligands and guanine nucleotides, under conditions which operate in vertebrates? This may be related to the architecture of the system, e.g., coupling of its elements to the cytoskeleton. The answer may be valuable for the study of other eucaryotic microbes.

The isolation of components presently suggested to operate in signal transduction is a major task to perform in the coming years: receptors, G-proteins, adenylate cyclase, phospholipase C, Ca²⁺-regulated guanylate cyclase, and protein kinases regulating the system, to mention some of them. Significant progress has only been made with the cell surface cAMP receptor. The experience in vertebrates shows that isolation is not always an easy task, and it may take several years before reconstitution of various components will be accomplished. However, only this approach will give definite proof of the existence of the components now supposed to be involved and their interactions. The discovery of new factors involved in the regulation of signal transduction may be expected. The role of some of other factors needs to be more clearly defined, e.g., protein kinases and ras proteins. The regulation of guanylate cyclase via receptors coupled to G-proteins remains to be substantiated. The mechanism of cAMP secretion is still largely unknown. Insight into this mechanism could provide essential information on the compartmentation of receptors, adenylate cyclase, and produced cAMP. The study of mutants may be of great help in defining the function of the components and regulatory mechanisms involved in *Dictyostelium* signal transduction. In general, mutants are more easily obtained in this lower eucaryote than in vertebrates, and many *Dictyostelium* mutants are already available (cf. references 6, 7, and 46). With these tools at hand, a detailed description of *Dictyostelium* signal transduction in molecular terms may not be so far away.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to J. E. Segall, A. J. Durston, R. van Driel, T. M. Konijn, and R. de Wit for carefully reading this manuscript and to P. Devreotes and A. Theibert for making available some of their unpublished results. M. Vogelsang-Liem is thanked for her skillful secretarial assistance.

This work was supported by the Organization for Fundamental Biological Research (BION) and the C. and C. Huygens Fund, which are financed by the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Scientific Research.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Achar, S. B., and R. A. Weisman. 1980. Adenylate cyclase activity during growth and encystment of *Acanthamoeba castellanii*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **629**:225–234.
- Aeckerle, S., B. Wurster, and D. Malchow. 1985. Oscillations and cyclic AMP-induced changes of the K⁺ concentration in Dictyostelium discoideum. EMBO J. 4:39-43.
- Alcantara, F., and M. Monk. 1974. Signal propagation in the cellular slime mould *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Gen. Microbiol. 85:321-324.
- Andersen, H. A., H. Flodgaard, H. Klenow, and V. Leick. 1984. Platelet-derived growth factor stimulates chemotaxis and nucleic acid synthesis in the protozoan *Tetrahymena*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 782:437–440.
- 5. Audigier, Y., C. Pantaloni, J. Bigay, P. Deterre, J. Bockaert, and V. Homburger. 1985. Tissue expression and phylogenetic appearance of the β and γ subunits of GTP binding proteins. FEBS Lett. 189:1-7.
- Barclay, S. L., and E. J. Henderson. 1982. Thermosensitive development and tip regulation in a mutant of *Dictyostelium* discoideum. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79:505-509.
- Barclay, S. L., and E. J. Henderson. 1986. Altered cyclic-AMP receptor activity and morphogenesis in a chemosensory mutant of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Differentiation 33:111–120.
- 8. Barondes, S. H., W. R. Springer, and D. N. Cooper. 1982. Cell adhesion, p. 195-231. In W. F. Loomis (ed.), The development of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- 9. Beckner, S. K., S. Hattori, and T. Y. Shih. 1985. The ras oncogene product p21 is not a regulatory component of adenylate cyclase. Nature (London) 317:71-72.
- Benovic, J. L., F. Major, Jr., R. L. Somers, M. G. Caron, and R. J. Lefkowitz. 1986. Light-dependent phosphorylation of rhodopsin by β-adrenergic receptor kinase. Nature (London) 321:869–872.
- 11. Benovic, J. L., L. J. Pike, R. A. Cerione, C. Staniszewski, T. Yoshimasa, J. Codina, M. G. Caron, and R. J. Lefkowitz. 1985. Phosphorylation of the mammalian β-adrenergic receptor by cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase. Regulation of the rate of receptor phosphorylation and dephosphorylation by agonist occupancy and effects on coupling of the receptor to the stimulatory guanine nucleotide regulatory protein. J. Biol. Chem. 260:7094-7101.
- 12. Berlot, C. H., J. A. Spudich, and P. N. Devreotes. 1985. Chemoattractant-elicited increases in myosin phosphorylation in *Dictyostelium*. Cell **43**:307–314.
- 13. Bernstein, R. L., and R. Van Driel. 1980. Control of folate deaminase activity of *Dictyostelium discoideum* by cyclic AMP. FEBS Lett. 119:249-253.
- Berridge, M. J. 1986. Cell signalling through phospholipid metabolism. J. Cell Sci. Suppl. 4:137-153.
- 15. Birnbaumer, L., J. Codina, R. Mattera, R. A. Cerione, J. D.

Hildebrandt, T. Sunyer, F. J. Rojas, M. G. Caron, R. J. Lefkowitz, and R. Iyengar. 1985. Regulation of hormone receptors and adenylyl cyclase by guanine nucleotide binding N proteins. Recent Progr. Horm. Res. 41:41–99.

- 16. Boland, W., F. J. Marner, L. Jaenicke, D. G. Müller, and E. Fölster. 1983. Comparative receptor study in gamete chemotaxis of the sea weeds *Ectocarpus siliculosus* and *Cutleria multifida*. Eur. J. Biochem. 134:97–103.
- Bonner, J. T. 1982. Comparative biology of cellular slime molds, p. 1-33. In W. F. Loomis (ed.), The development of Dictyostelium discoideum. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- 18. Bourne, H. R. 1985. Transducing proteins. Yeast *ras* and Tweedledee's logic. Nature (London) **317**:16–17.
- 19. Bourne, H. R. 1986. One molecular machine can transduce diverse signals. Nature (London) 321:814-816.
- Bourne, H. R., G. F. Casperson, C. Van Dop, M. E. Abood, B. B. Beiderman, F. Steinberg, and N. Walker. 1984. Mutations of adenylate cyclase in yeast, mouse, and man. Adv. Cyclic Nucleotide Protein Phosp. Res. 17:199–205.
- 21. Boutelet, F., A. Petitjean, and F. Hilger. 1985. Yeast cdc35 mutants are defective in adenylate cyclase and are allelic with cyrl mutants while CASI, a new gene, is involved in the regulation of adenylate cyclase. EMBO J. 4:2635-2641.
- 22. Bozzaro, S. 1985. Cell surface carbohydrates and cell recognition in *Dictyostelium*. Cell Differ. 17:67–82.
- 23. Breitweiser, G. E., and G. Szabo. 1985. Uncoupling of cardiac muscarinic and β -adrenergic receptors from ion channels by a guanine nucleotide analogue. Nature (London) 317:538–540.
- Brenner, M., and H. Padh. 1983. Forskolin does not activate cyclic AMP synthesis in *Dictyostelium discoideum in vivo* or in vitro. J. Cyclic Nucleotide Protein Phosp. Res. 9:297-303.
- Brenner, M., and S. D. Thoms. 1984. Caffeine blocks activation of cAMP synthesis in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Dev. Biol. 101:136-146.
- Broek, D., N. Samiy, O. Fasano, A. Fujiyama, F. Tamanoi, J. Northup, and M. Wigler. 1985. Differential activation of yeast adenylate cyclase by wild-type and mutant *ras* proteins. Cell 41:763-769.
- Brostrom, C. O., Y.C. Huang, B. M. Breckenridge, and D. J. Wolff. 1975. Identification of a calcium-binding protein as a calcium dependent regulator of brain adenylate cyclase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 72:64-68.
- 28. Brown, S., W. Levinson, and J. A. Spudich. 1976. Cytoskeletal elements of duck embryo fibroblasts revealed by detergent extraction. J. Supramol. Struct. 5:119–130.
- Bumann, J., and D. Malchow. 1986. Cyclic AMP induced reversible decrease in cAMP-binding to cell surface receptors of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 33:99-103.
- 30. Bumann, J., B. Wurster, and D. Malchow. 1984. Attractantinduced changes in oscillations of the extracellular Ca⁺⁺ concentration in suspensions of differentiating *Dictyostelium* cells. J. Cell Biol. **98**:173-178.
- 30a. Burkholder, A. C., and L. H. Hartwell. 1985. The yeast α -factor receptor: structural properties deduced from the sequence of the *STE2* gene. Nucleic Acids Res. 13:8463-8475.
- 31. Cameron, J. N., and M. J. Carlile. 1981. Binding of isovaleraldehyde, an attractant, to zoospores of the fungus *Phytophthora palmivora* in relation to zoospore chemotaxis. J. Cell Sci. 49:273-281.
- 32. Cantore, M. L., M. A. Galvagno, and S. Passeron. 1980. Variations in the levels of cyclic adenosine 3':5'-monophosphate and in the activities of adenylate cyclase and cyclic adenosine 3':5'-monophosphate phosphodiesterase during aerobic morphogenesis of *Mucor rouxii*. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 199:312-320.
- Cantore, M. L., and S. Passeron. 1982. Kinetic properties, solubilization, and molecular characterization of *Mucor rouxii* adenylate cyclase. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 219:1-11.
- 34. Casperson, G. F., N. Walker, and H. R. Bourne. 1985. Isolation of the gene encoding adenylate cyclase in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:5060-5063.
- 35. Casperson, G. F., N. Walker, A. R. Brasier, and H. R. Bourne.

1983. A guanine nucleotide-sensitive adenylate cyclase in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. **258**:7911–7914.

- 36. Chang, Y. Y. 1968. Cyclic-3',5'-adenosine monophosphate phosphodiesterase produced by the slime mold *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Science 160:57-59.
- 37. Cheung, W. Y., L. S. Bradham, T. J. Lynch, Y. M. Lin, and E. A. Talland. 1975. Protein activator of cyclic 3':5'-nucleotide phosphodiesterase of bovine or rat brain also activates its adenylate cyclase. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 66: 1055-1062.
- 38. Chiarugi, V., F. Porciatti, F. Pasquali, and P. Bruni. 1985. Transformation of BALB/3T3 cells with EJ/T24/H-*ras* oncogene inhibits adenylate cyclase response to β -adrenergic agonist while increases muscarinic receptor dependent hydrolysis of inositol lipids. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 132:900–907.
- 39. Chisholm, R. L., D. Fontana, A. Theibert, H. F. Lodish, and P. Devreotes. 1984. Development of *Dictyostelium discoideum*: chemotaxis, cell-cell adhesion and gene expression, p. 219–254. In R. Losick and L. Shapiro (ed.), Microbial development. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Chlapowski, F. J., and R. W. Butcher. 1986. Activation of adenylate cyclase in Acanthamoeba palestinensis. Life Sci. 38:849-859.
- 41. Cohen, P. 1985. The role of protein phosphorylation in the hormonal control of enzyme activity. Eur. J. Biochem. 151:439-448.
- 42. Cohen, R. J., J. L. Ness, and S. M. Whiddon. 1980. Adenylate cyclase from *Phycomyces* sporangiophore. Phytochemistry 19:1913–1918.
- 43. Conti-Tronconi, B. M., and M. A. Raftery. 1982. The nicotinic cholinergic receptor: correlation of molecular structure with functional properties. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 51:491-530.
- Coukell, M. B. 1981. Apparent positive cooperativity at a surface cAMP receptor in *Dictyostelium*. Differentiation 20: 29-35.
- 45. Coukell, M. B., and F. K. Chan. 1980. The precocious appearance and activation of an adenylate cyclase in a rapid developing mutant of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. FEBS Lett. 110:39-42.
- 46. Coukell, M. B., S. Lappano, and A. M. Cameron. 1983. Isolation and characterization of cAMP unresponsive (frigid) aggregation-deficient mutants of *Dictyostelium discoideum* Dev. Genet. 3:283-297.
- Cripps, M., and C. Rutherford. 1981. A soluble inhibitor of adenylate cyclase in D. discoideum. Exp. Cell Res. 133: 309-316.
- Cutler, L. S., and E. F. Rossomando. 1975. Localization of adenylate cyclase in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. II. Cytochemical studies on whole cells and isolated plasma membrane vesicles. Exp. Cell Res. 95:79–87.
- 49. Darmon, M., J. Barra, and P. Brachet. 1978. The role of phosphodiesterase in aggregation of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Cell Sci. 31:233-244.
- Da Silveira, J. F., B. Zingales, and W. Colli. 1977. Characterization of an adenylyl cyclase activity in particulate preparations from epimastigote forms of *Trypanosoma cruzi*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 481:722–733.
- De Castro, S. L., and M. M. Oliveira. 1987. Radioligand binding characterization of beta-adrenergic receptors in the protozoa *Trypanosoma cruzi*. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C 87:5-8.
- Defeo-Jones, D., E. Scolnick, R. Koller, and R. Dhar. 1983. ras-Related gene sequences identified and isolated from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nature (London) 306:707-709.
- 53. Devreotes, P. N. 1982. Chemotaxis, p. 117-168. In W. F. Loomis (ed.), The development of Dictyostelium discoideum. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- Devreotes, P. N. 1983. Cyclic nucleotides and cell-cell communication in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Adv. Cyclic Nucleotide Res. 15:55-96.

412 JANSSENS AND VAN HAASTERT

- Devreotes, P. N. 1983. The effect of folic acid on cAMP-elicited cAMP production in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Dev. Biol. 95:154-162.
- Devreotes, P. N., P. L. Derstine, and T. L. Steck. 1979. Cyclic 3' 5' AMP relay in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. I. A technique to monitor responses to controlled stimuli. J. Cell Biol. 80:291– 299.
- Devreotes, P. N., and J. A. Sherring. 1985. Kinetics and concentration dependence of reversible cAMP-induced modification of the surface cAMP receptor in *Dictyostelium*. J. Biol. Chem. 260:6378-6384.
- Devreotes, P. N., and T. L. Steck. 1979. Cyclic 3',5' AMP relay in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. II. Requirements for the initiation and termination of the response. J. Cell Biol. 80:300-309.
- 59. De Wit, R. J. W. 1982. Two distinct types of cell surface folic acid-binding proteins in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. FEBS Lett. 150:445-448.
- De Wit, R. J. W., J. C. Arents, and R. Van Driel. 1982. Ligand binding properties of the cytoplasmic cAMP-binding protein of Dictyostelium discoideum. FEBS Lett. 145:150-154.
- De Wit, R. J. W., and R. Bulgakov. 1985. Guanine nucleotides modulate the ligand binding properties of cell surface folate receptors in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. FEBS Lett. 179:257– 261.
- De Wit, R. J. W., and R. Bulgakov. 1986. Folate chemotactic receptors in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. I. Ligand-induced conversion between four receptor states. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 886:76-87.
- 63. De Wit, R. J. W., and R. Bulgakov. 1986. Folate chemotactic receptors in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. II. Guanine nucleotides alter the rates of interconversion and the proportioning of four receptor states. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 886:88–95.
- 64. De Wit, R. J. W., and R. Bulgakov. 1986. 2-Deamino folic acid elicits desensitization without excitation of the cyclic GMP response in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 887:242-247.
- 65. De Wit, R. J. W., R. Bulgakov, J. E. Pinas, and T. M. Konijn. 1985. Relationships between the ligand specificity of cell surface folate binding sites, folate degrading enzymes and cellular responses in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 814:214-226.
- 66. De Wit, R. J. W., R. Bulgakov, T. F. Rinke De Wit, and T. M. Konijn. 1986. Developmental regulation of the pathways of folate-receptor-mediated stimulation of cAMP and cGMP synthesis in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Differentiation 32:192–199.
- 67. De Wit, R. J. W., and T. M. Konijn. 1983. Identification of the acrasin of *Dictyostelium minutum* as a derivative of folic acid. Cell Differ. 12:205-210.
- De Wit, R. J. W., and T. F. Rinke De Wit. 1986. Developmental regulation of the folic acid chemosensory system in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Dev. Biol. 118:385-391.
- 69. De Wit, R. J. W., and B. E. Snaar-Jagalska. 1985. Folate and CAMP modulate GTP binding to isolated membranes of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Functional coupling between cell surface receptors and G-proteins. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 129:11-17.
- De Wit, R. J. W., R. J. Van der Velden, and T. M. Konijn. 1983. Characterization of the folic acid C₉-N₁₀-cleaving enzyme of *Dictyostelium minutum* V₃. J. Bacteriol. 154:859-863.
- De Wit, R. J. W., and P. J. M. Van Haastert. 1985. Binding of folates to *Dictyostelium discoideum* cells. Demonstration of five classes of binding sites and their interconversion. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 814:199-213.
- 72. Dinauer, M., S. MacKay, and P. Devreotes. 1980. Cyclic 3',5'-AMP relay in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. III. The relationship of cAMP synthesis and secretion during the cAMP signaling response. J. Cell Biol. 86:537-544.
- 73. Dinauer, M., T. Steck, and P. Devreotes. 1980. Cyclic 3',5'-AMP relay in *Dictyostelium disciodeum*. IV. Recovery of the cAMP signaling response after adaptation to cAMP. J. Cell Biol. 86:545-553.
- 74. Dinauer, M., T. Steck, and P. Devreotes. 1980. Cyclic 3',5'-AMP relay in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. V. Adaptation of the

cAMP signaling response during cAMP stimulation. J. Cell Biol. 86:554-561.

- Eisenschlos, C. D., M. M. Flawia, M. Torruella, and H. N. Torres. 1986. Interaction of *Trypanosoma cruzi* adenylate cyclase with liver regulatory factors. Biochem. J. 236:185–191.
- 76. Eisenschlos, C. D., A. A. Paladini, L. M. Y. Vedia, H. N. Torres, and M. M. Flawia. 1986. Evidence for the existence of an N_s-type regulatory protein in *Trypanozoma cruzi* membranes. Biochem. J. 237:913–917.
- 77. Europe-Finner, G. N., S. J. McClue, and P. C. Newell. 1984. Inhibition of aggregation in *Dictyostelium* by EGTA-induced depletion of calcium. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 21:21-25.
- Europe-Finner, G. N., and P. C. Newell. 1985. Calcium transport in the cellular slime mould *Dictyostelium discoideum*. FEBS Lett. 186:70-74.
- Europe-Finner, G. N., and P. C. Newell. 1985. Inositol 1,4,5trisphosphate induces cyclic GMP formation in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 130:1115– 1122.
- Europe-Finner, G. N., and P. C. Newell. 1986. Inositol 1,4,5trisphosphate and calcium stimulate actin polymerization in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Cell Sci. 82:41-51.
- Europe-Finner, G. N., and P. C. Newell. 1986. Inositol 1,4,5trisphosphate induces calcium release from a non-mitochondrial pool in amoebae in *Dictyostelium*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 887:335-340.
- 82. Europe-Finner, G. N., and P. C. Newell. 1987. Cyclic AMP stimulates accumulation of inositol trisphosphate in *Dictyostelium*. J. Cell Sci. 87:221–229.
- 83. Europe-Finner, G. N., and P. C. Newell. 1987. GTP-analogues stimulate inositol trisphosphate formation transiently in *Dictyostelium*. J. Cell Sci. 87:513-518.
- Farnham, C. J. M. 1975. Cytochemical localization of adenylate cyclase and 3',5' nucleotide phosphodiesterase in *Dictyo*stelium. Exp. Cell Res. 91:36–46.
- Fisher, P. R., E. Smith, and K. L. Williams. 1981. An extracellular chemical signal controlling phototactic behavior by D. discoideum slugs. Cell 23:799–807.
- 86. Flawia, M. M., A. R. Kornblihtt, J. A. Reig, M. Torruella, and H. N. Torres. 1983. Reconstitution of a hormone-sensitive adenylate cyclase with membrane extracts from *Neurospora* and avian erythrocytes. J. Biol. Chem. 258:8255-8259.
- 87. Fleischman, A. F., S. B. Chahwala, and L. Cantley. 1986. *Ras*-transformed cells: altered levels of phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate and catabolites. Science 231:407–410.
- Fontana, D. R., and P. N. Devreotes. 1984. cAMP-stimulated adenylate cyclase activation in *Dictyostelium discoideum* is inhibited by agents acting at the cell surface. Dev. Biol. 106:76-82.
- Franke, J., and R. Kessin. 1977. A defined minimal medium for axenic strains of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:2157-2161.
- 90. Frazier, W. A., B. L. Meyers-Hutchins, G. A. Jamieson, Jr., and N. J. Galvin. 1984. Chemotactic transduction in the cellular slime molds, p. 1–41. *In* E. Elson, W. A. Frazier, and L. Glazer (ed.), Cell membranes, methods and reviews, Vol. 2. Plenum Publishing Corp., New York.
- Futrelle, R. P., J. Traut, and W. G. McKee. 1982. Cell behavior in *Dictyostelium discoideum*: preaggregation response to localized cyclic AMP pulses. J. Cell Biol. 92:807-821.
- 92. Galvin, N. J., D. Stockhausen, B. L. Meyers-Hutchins, and W. A. Frazier. 1984. Association of the cyclic AMP chemotaxis receptor with the detergent-insoluble cytoskeleton of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Cell Biol. 98:584-595.
- 93. Gammeltoft, S., and E. Van Obberghen. 1986. Protein kinase activity of the insulin receptor. Biochem. J. 235:1-11.
- 94. Gerisch, G. 1982. Chemotaxis in Dictyostelium. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 44:535-552.
- Gerisch, G., and B. Hess. 1974. Cyclic-AMP-controlled oscillations in suspended *Dictyostelium* cells: their relation to morphogenesis cell interactions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 71:2118-2122.
- 96. Gerisch, G., D. Hülser, D. Malchow, and U. Wick. 1975. Cell

communication by periodic cyclic AMP pulses. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London Ser. B 272:191-192.

- 97. Gerisch, G., and D. Malchow. 1976. Cyclic AMP receptors and the control of cell aggregation in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Adv. Cyclic Nucleotide Res. 7:49–68.
- 98. Gerisch, G., D. Malchow, A. Huesgen, V. Nanjundiah, W. Roos, U. Wick, and D. Hülser. 1975. Cyclic AMP reception and cell recognition in *Dictyostelium discoideum*, p. 76–88. *In D.* McMahon and C. F. Fox (ed.), ICN-UCLA symposia on molecular and cellular biology, vol. 2. W. A. Benjamin & Co., Inc., Menlo Park, Calif.
- Gerisch, G., and U. Wick. 1975. Intracellular oscillations and release of cyclic AMP from *Dictyostelium* cells. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 65:364–370.
- 100. Gibbs, J., I. Sigal, M. Poe, and E. Scolnick. 1984. Intrinsic GTPase activity distinguishes normal and oncogenic ras p21 molecules. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81:5704-5708.
- 101. Gierschik, P., J. Codina, C. Simons, L. Birnbaumer, and A. Spiegel. 1985. Antisera against a guanine nucleotide binding protein from retina cross-react with the β subunit of the adenylyl cyclase-associated guanine nucleotide binding proteins, N_s and N_i. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:727-731.
 102. Gill, G. N., and R. W. McCune. 1979. Guanosine 3'5'-
- 102. Gill, G. N., and R. W. McCune. 1979. Guanosine 3'5'monophosphate-dependent protein kinase. Curr. Top. Cell. Regul. 15:1-45.
- 103. Gilman, A. G. 1984. G-proteins and dual control of adenylate cyclase. Cell 36:577–579.
- 104. Goldbeter, A., and J. L. Martiel. 1985. Birhytmicity in a model for the cyclic AMP signalling system of the slime mold *Dictyostelium discoideum*. FEBS Lett. 191:149-153.
 105. Green, A. A., and P. C. Newell. 1975. Evidence for the
- 105. Green, A. A., and P. C. Newell. 1975. Evidence for the existence of two types of cAMP binding sites in aggregating cells of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Cell 6:129–136.
- 106. Gundersen, R. E., and G. A. Thompson, Jr. 1985. Further studies of dopamine metabolism and function in *Tetrahymena*. J. Protozool. 32:25-31.
- 107. Gunzburg, J. de, M. Véron, and P. Brachet. 1980. Non-Michaelian kinetics of adenylate cyclase in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Cell Biol. Int. Rep. 4:533-539.
- 107a. Hagen, D. C., G. McCaffrey, and G. F. Sprague, Jr. 1986. Evidence the yeast *STE3* gene encodes a receptor for the peptide pheromone *a* factor: gene sequence and implications for the structure of the presumed receptor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83:1418-1422.
- Hagmann, J. 1985. Adenylate cyclase of *Dictyostelium discoideum*: solubilization and Mn²⁺-dependency. Cell Biol. Int. Rep. 9:491-494.
- 109. Haribabu, B., and R. P. Dottin. 1986. Pharmacological characterization of cyclic AMP receptors mediating gene regulation in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 6:2402–2408.
- 110. Henderson, E. J. 1975. The cyclic adenosine 3':5' monophosphate receptor of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Binding characteristics of aggregation competent cells and variation of binding levels during the life cycle. J. Biol. Chem. 250:4730-4736.
- 111. Henderson, E. J., H. B. Ugol, and O. P. Das. 1982. Guanidine hydrochloride-induced shedding of a *Dictyostelium discoideum* plasma membrane fraction enriched in the cyclic adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate receptor. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 690:57-68.
- 112. Hinterman, R., and R. W. Parish. 1979. The intracellular location of adenylyl cyclase in the cellular slime molds *Dictyostelium discoideum* and *Polysphondylium pallidum*. Exp. Cell Res. 123:429-434.
- 113. Hinterman, R., and R. W. Parish. 1979. Determination of adenylate cyclase activity in a variety of organisms: evidence against the occurrence of the enzyme in higher plants. Planta 146:459–461.
- Hoessli, D. C., and E. Rungger-Brändle. 1983. Isolation of plasma membrane domains from murine T lymphocytes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80:439–443.
- Hokin, L. E. 1985. Receptors and phosphoinositide-generated second messenger. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 54:205-235.
- 116. Houslay, M. D. 1985. Renaissance for cyclic GMP? Trends

Biochem. Sci. 10:465-466.

- 117. Irvine, R. F., A. J. Letcher, P. J. Brophy, and M. J. North. 1980. Phosphatidylinositol-degrading enzymes in the cellular slime mould *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Gen. Microbiol. 121:495–497.
- 118. Janssens, P. M. W., J. C. Arents, P. J. M. Van Haastert, and R. Van Driel. 1986. Forms of the chemotactic adenosine 3',5'-cyclic phosphate receptor in isolated *Dictyostelium discoideum* membranes and interconversions induced by guanine nucleotides. Biochemistry 25:1314–1320.
- 119. Janssens, P. M. W., P. L. J. Van der Geer, J. C. Arents, and R. Van Driel. 1985. Guanine nucleotides modulate the function of chemotactic cyclic AMP receptors in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Mol. Cell. Biochem. 67:119–124.
- 120. Janssens, P. M. W., and R. Van Driel. 1984. Dictyostelium discoideum cell membranes contain masked chemotactic receptors for cyclic AMP. FEBS Lett. 176:245-249.
- 121. Janssens, P. M. W., and R. Van Driel. 1986. Persistent cAMP binding by the chemotactic cAMP receptor in the presence of a detergent in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 885:91-101.
- 122. Janssens, P. M. W., and R. Van Driel. 1986. Cis-unsaturated fatty acids modulate the function of the cell-surface cAMP receptor of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 886:286-294.
- 122a. Janssens, P. M. W., H. W. Van Essen, J. J. M. Guijt, A. de Waal, and R. Van Driel. 1987. Cell fractionation, detergent sensitivity and solubilization of Dictyostelium adenylate cyclase and guanylate cyclase. Mol. Cell. Biochem. 76:55-65.
- 123. Jayaswal, R. K., R. A. Bressan, and A. K. Handa. 1985. Adenylate cyclase from the phytopathogenic fungus *Alternaria solani*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 27:313–318.
- 124. Jaynes, P. K., J. P. McDonough, and H. R. Mahler. 1982. Properties and possible functions of the adenylate cyclase in plasma membranes of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2:1481–1491.
- 125. Jenness, D. D., A. C. Barkholder, and L. H. Hartwell. 1986. Binding of α -factor pheromone to *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* a cells: dissociation constant and number of binding sites. Mol. Cell. Biol. **6:**318–320.
- 126. Jett, M., T. M. Seed, and G. A. Jamieson. 1977. Isolation and characterization of plasma membranes and intact nuclei from lymphoid cells. J. Biol. Chem. 6:2134-2142.
- 127. Josefsson, J. O., and P. Johansson. 1979. Naloxone-reversible effect of opioids on pinocytosis in *Amoeba proteus*. Nature (London) 282:78-80.
- 128. Juliani, M. H., and C. Klein. 1977. Calcium ion effects on cyclic adenosine 3':5'-monophosphate bindings to the plasma membrane of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 497:369–376.
- 129. Juliani, M. H., and C. Klein. 1981. Photoaffinity labeling of the cell surface adenosine 3':5'-monophosphate receptor of *Dic*-tyostelium discoideum and its modification in down-regulated cells. J. Biol. Chem. 256:613-619.
- 130. Kaibuchi, K., A. Miyajima, K. Arai, and K. Matsumuto. 1986. Possible involvement of RAS-encoded proteins in glucoseinduced inositolphospholipid turnover in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83:8172–8176.
- 131. Kakiuchi, S., K. Sobue, R. Yamazaki, S. Nagao, S. Umeki, Y. Nozawa, M. Yazawa, and K. Yagi. 1981. Ca²⁺-dependent modulator proteins from *Tetrahymena pyriformis*, sea anemone, and scallop and guanylate cyclase activation. J. Biol. Chem. 256:19-22.
- 132. Kamiya, Y., A. Sakurai, S. Tamura, E. Tsuchiya, K. Abe, and S. Fukui. 1979. Structure of rhodotorucine A, a peptidyl factor inducing mating tube formation in *Rhodosporidium toruloides*. Agric. Biol. Chem. **43**:363–369.
- 133. Katada, T., A. G. Gilman, Y. Watanabe, S. Bauer, and K. H. Jakobs. 1985. Protein kinase C phosphorylates the inhibitory guanine-nucleotide-binding regulatory component and apparently suppresses its function in hormonal inhibition of adenylate cyclase. Eur. J. Biochem. 151:431–437.
- 134. Kawai, S. 1980. Folic acid increases cAMP binding activity of

414 JANSSENS AND VAN HAASTERT

Dictyostelium discoideum cells. FEBS Lett. 109:27-30.

- 135. Kawai, S. 1980. Induction of cAMP receptors by disaggregation of the multicellular complexes of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Exp. Cell Res. 126:153–158.
- Kelleher, D. J., and G. L. Johnson. 1986. Phosphorylation of rhodopsin by protein kinase C in vitro. J. Biol. Chem. 251:4749-4757.
- 137. Kesbeke, F., and P. J. M. Van Haastert. 1985. Selective down-regulation of cell surface cAMP-binding sites and cAMPinduced responses in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 847:33-39.
- 138. Kesbeke, F., P. J. M. Van Haastert, and P. Schaap. 1986. Cyclic AMP relay and cyclic AMP-induced cyclic GMP accumulation during development of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 34:85–89.
- 139. Kessin, R. H., S. J. Orlow, R. I. Shapiro, and J. Franke. 1979. Binding of inhibitor alters kinetic and physical properties of extracellular cAMP phosphodiesterase from *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76:5450-5454.
- 140. Khachatrian, L., A. Howlett, and C. Klein. 1985. Guanine nucleotide inhibition of adenylate cyclase in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Cyclic Nucleotide Prot. Phosph. Res. 10: 179-188.
- King, A. C., and W. A. Frazier. 1979. Properties of the oscillatory cAMP binding component of isolated plasma membranes. J. Biol. Chem. 254:7168-7176.
 Klausner, R. D., D. K. Bhalla, P. Dragsten, R. L. Hoover, and
- 142. Klausner, R. D., D. K. Bhalla, P. Dragsten, R. L. Hoover, and M. J. Karnovski. 1980. Model for capping derived from inhibition of surface receptor capping by free fatty acids. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77:437-441.
- 143. Klausner, R. D., A. M. Kleinfeld, R. L. Hoover, and M. J. Karnovski. 1980. Lipid domains in membranes. Evidence derived from structural perturbations induced by free fatty acids and life time heterogeneity analysis. J. Biol. Chem. 255: 1286-1295.
- 144. Klein, C. 1975. Induction of phosphodiesterase by cyclic adenosine 3':5'-monophosphate in differentiating *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Biol. Chem. 250:7134-7138.
- 145. Klein, C. 1976. Adenylate cyclase activity in *Dictyostelium* discoideum amoebae and its change during differentiation. FEBS Lett. 68:125-128.
- 146. Klein, C. 1979. A slowly dissociating form of the cell surface cyclic adenosine 3':5'-monophosphate receptor of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Biol. Chem. 254:12573-12578.
- Klein, C. 1981. Binding of adenosine 3':5'-monophosphate to plasma membranes of *D. discoideum* amoebae. J. Biol. Chem. 256:10050-10053.
- 148. Klein, C., P. Brachet, and M. Darmon. 1977. Periodic changes in adenylate cyclase and cAMP receptors in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. FEBS Lett. 76:145–147.
- 149. Klein, C., and M. Darmon. 1977. Effects of cyclic AMP pulses on adenylate cyclase and the phosphodiesterase inhibitor of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Nature (London) 268:76-78.
- 150. Klein, C., and M. H. Juliani. 1977. cAMP-induced changes in cAMP-binding sites on *D. discoideum* amoebae. Cell 10:329-335.
- 151. Klein, C., J. Lubs-Haukeness, and S. Simons. 1985. cAMP induces a rapid and reversible modification of the chemotactic receptor in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Cell Biol. 100: 715-720.
- 152. Klein, C., H. Sadeghi, and S. Simons. 1986. Immunological analysis of the chemotactic receptor of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Biol. Chem. 261:15192-15196.
- 153. Klein, P., B. Knox, J. Borlies, and P. Devreotes. 1987. Purification of the surface cAMP receptor in *Dictyostelium*. J. Biol. Chem. 262:352–357.
- 154. Klein, P., A. Theibert, D. Fontana, and P. N. Devreotes. 1985. Identification and cyclic AMP-induced modification of the cyclic AMP receptor in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Biol. Chem. 260:1757-1764.
- 155. Klein, P., R. Vaughan, J. Borlies, and P. Devreotes. 1987. The surface cAMP receptor in *Dictyostelium*. Levels of ligandinduced phosphorylation, solubilization, identification of pri-

mary transcript and development regulation of expression. J. Biol. Chem. 262:358-364.

- 156. Klumpp, S., D. Gierlich, and J. E. Schultz. 1984. Adenylate cyclase and guanylate cyclase in the excitable ciliary membrane from *Paramecium*: Separation and regulation. FEBS Lett. 171:95–99.
- 157. Klumpp, S., G. Kleefeld, and J. E. Schultz. 1983. Calcium/calmodulin-regulated guanylate cyclase of the excitable ciliary membrane from *Paramecium*. Dissociation of calmodulin by La³⁺: calmodulin specificity and properties of the reconstituted guanylate cyclase. J. Biol. Chem. 258: 12455–12459.
- 158. Knox, B. E., P. N. Devreotes, A. Goldbeter, and L. A. Segel. 1986. A molecular mechanism for sensory adaptation based on ligand-induced receptor modification. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83:2345-2349.
- 159. Kochert, G. 1978. Sex pheromones in algae and fungi. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 29:461-486.
- 160. Konijn, T. M. 1972. Cyclic AMP as a first messenger. Adv. Cyclic Nucleotide Res. 1:17-31.
- 161. Konijn, T. M., J. G. C. Van der Meene, J. T. Bonner, and D. S. Barkley. 1967. The acrasin activity of adenosine-3'5'-cyclic phosphate. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 58:1152–1154.
- Koshland, D. E., Jr. 1981. Biochemistry of sensing and adaptation in a simple bacterial system. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 50:765-782.
- 163. Kung, C., and Y. Saimi. 1985. Ca²⁺ channels of *Paramecium*: a multidisciplinary study. Curr. Top. Membr. Transp. 23:45– 66.
- 164. Kurose, H., and M. Ui. 1985. Dual pathways of receptormediated cyclic GMP generation in NG108-15 cells as differentiated by susceptibility to islet-activating protein, pertussis toxin. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 238:424-434.
- 165. Lackie, J. M., and P. C. Wilkinson (ed.). 1981. Biology of the chemotactic response. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 166. Leeb-Lundberg, L. M. F., S. Cotecchia, J. W. Lomasney, J. F. DeBernardis, R. J. Lefkowitz, and M. G. Caron. 1985. Phorbol esters promote α_1 -adrenergic receptor phosphorylation and receptor uncoupling from inositol phospholipid metabolism. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:5651-5655.
- 167. Leichtling, B. H., D. S. Coffman, E. S. Yaeger, H. V. Rickenberg, W. al-Jumaliy, and B. E. Haley. 1981. Occurrence of the adenylate cyclase "G-protein" in membranes of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 102:1187-1195.
- 168. LeRoith, D., C. Roberts, M. A. Lesniak, and J. Roth. 1986. Receptors for intracellular messenger molecules in microbes: similarities to vertebrate receptors and possible implications for diseases in man. Experientia 42:782-788.
- Levandowsky, M., and D. C. R. Hauser. 1978. Chemosensory responses of swimming algae and protozoa. Int. Rev. Cytol. 53:142-210.
- Litosch, I., and J. N. Fain. 1986. Regulation of phosphoinositide breakdown by guanine nucleotides. Life Sci. 39:187-194.
- 171. Loomis, W. F., C. Klein, and P. Brachet. 1978. The effect of divalent cations on aggregation of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Differentiation 12:83-89.
- 172. Loumaye, E., J. Thorner, and K. J. Catt. 1982. Yeast mating pheromone activates mammalian gonadotrophs: evolutionary conservation of a reproductive hormone? Science 218: 1323-1325.
- 173. Lubs-Haukeness, J., and C. Klein. 1982. Cyclic nucleotidedependent phosphorylation in *Dictyostelium discoideum* amoebae. J. Biol. Chem. 257:12204-12208.
- 174. Ludérus, M. E. E., R. F. Van der Meer, and R. Van Driel. 1986. Modulation of the interaction between chemotactic cAMPreceptor and N-protein by cAMP-dependent kinase in *Dictyostelium discoideum* membranes. FEBS Lett. 205:189–193.
- 175. MacDonald, M. J., R. Ambler, and P. Broda. 1985. Regulation of intracellular cyclic AMP levels in the white-rot fungus *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* during the onset of idiophasic

Downloaded from mmbr.asm.org at university library on August 23, 2007

metabolism. Arch. Microbiol. 142:152-156.

- 176. MacWilliams, H. K., and C. N. David. 1984. Pattern formation in *Dictyostelium*, p. 255–274. *In* R. Losick and L. Shapiro (ed.), Microbial development. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 177. Maeda, Y., and G. Gerisch. 1977. Vesicle formation in *Dictyostelium discoideum* cells during oscillations of cAMP synthesis and release. Exp. Cell Res. 110:119-126.
- 178. Malchow, D., R. Böhme, and U. Gras. 1982. On the role of calcium in chemotaxis and oscillations of *Dictyostelium* cells. Biophys. Struct. Mech. 9:131–136.
- 179. Malchow, D., R. Böhme, and H. J. Rahmsdorf. 1981. Regulation of phosphorylation of myosin heavy chain during the chemotactic response of *Dictyostelium* cells. Eur. J. Biochem. 117:213-218.
- Malchow, D., and G. Gerisch. 1973. Cyclic AMP binding to living cells of *Dictyostelium discoideum* in the presence of excess cyclic GMP. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 55:200-204.
- 181. Malchow, D., B. Nägele, H. Schwarz, and G. Gerisch. 1972. Membrane bound cAMP phosphodiesterase in chemotactically responding cells of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Eur. J. Biochem. 28:136-142.
- 182. Malchow, D., V. Nanjundiah, and G. Gerisch. 1978. pH oscillations in cell suspensions of *Dictyostelium discoideum*, their relation to cyclic AMP signals. J. Cell Sci. 30:319–330.
- 183. Malchow, D., V. Nanjundiah, B. Wurster, F. Eckstein, and G. Gerisch. 1978. Cyclic AMP-induced pH changes in *Dictyostelium discoideum* and their control by calcium. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 538:473–480.
- 184. Marin, F. T., and F. G. Rothman. 1980. Regulation of development in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. IV. Effects of ions on the rate of differentiation and cellular response to cyclic AMP. J. Cell Biol. 87:823-827.
- 185. Martin, B. R., H. P. Voorheis, and E. L. Kennedy. 1978. Adenylate cyclase in bloodstream forms of *Trypanosoma* (*Trypanozoon*) brucei sp. Biochem. J. 175:207-212.
- 186. Maruta, H. 1985. Chemotaxis during development of cellular slime molds, vol. 2, p. 255–274. In C. B. Metz and A. Monroy (ed.), Biology of fertilization. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- 187. Maruta, H., W. Baites, P. Dieter, D. Marme, and G. Gerisch. 1983. Myosin heavy chain kinase inactivated by Ca^{2+/} calmodulin from aggregating cells of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. EMBO J. 2:535-542.
- 188. Mason, J. W., H. Rasmussen, and F. Dibella. 1971. 3',5' AMP and Ca⁺⁺ in slime mold aggregation. Exp. Cell Res. 67:156-160.
- 189. Mato, J. M. 1978. ATP increases chemoattractant induced cyclic GMP accumulation in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 540:408-411.
- Mato, J. M. 1979. Activation of *Dictyostelium discoideum* guanylate cyclase by ATP. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 88:569-574.
- 191. Mato, J. M., B. Jastorff, M. Morr, and T. M. Konijn. 1978. A model for cyclic AMP-chemoreceptor interaction in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 544:309– 314.
- 192. Mato, J. M., and T. M. Konijn. 1975. Chemotaxis and binding of cyclic AMP in cellular slime molds. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 385:173-179.
- 193. Mato, J. M., and T. M. Konijn. 1977. Chemotactic signal and cyclic GMP accumulation in *Dictyostelium*, p. 93–103. *In* P. Cappuccinelli and J. Ashworth (ed.), Developments and differentiation in the cellular slime molds. Elsevier/North-Holland Publishing Co., New York.
- 194. Mato, J. M., and T. M. Konijn. 1977. The chemotactic activity of cyclic AMP and AMP derivatives with substitutions in the phosphate moiety in *Dictyostelium*. FEBS Lett. **75**:173–176.
- 195. Mato, J. M., and T. M. Konijn. 1979. Chemosensory transduction in *Dictyostelium discoideum*, p. 191–193. In M. Levandowsky and S. H. Hutner (ed.), Biochemistry and physiology of protozoa, 2nd ed., vol. 2. Academic Press, Inc., New York.

- 196. Mato, J. M., F. A. Krens, P. J. M. Van Haastert, and T. M. Konijn. 1977. 3':5'-cAMP-dependent 3':5'-cGMP accumulation in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:2348-2351.
- 197. Mato, J. M., and D. Malchow. 1978. Guanylate cyclase activation in response to chemotactic stimulation in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. FEBS Lett. 90:119-122.
- 198. Mato, J. M., and D. Marin-Cao. 1979. Protein and phospholipid methylation during chemotaxis in *Dictyostelium discoideum* and its relationship to calcium movements. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76:6106-6109.
- 199. Mato, J. M., W. Roos, and B. Wurster. 1978. Guanylate cyclase activity in *Dictyostelium discoideum* and its increase during cell development. Differentiation 10:129–132.
- 200. Mato, J. M., P. J. M. Van Haastert, F. A. Krens, and T. M. Konijn. 1978. Chemotaxis in *Dictyostelium discoideum*: effect of concanavaline A on chemoattractant mediated cyclic GMP accumulation and light scattering decrease. Cell Biol. Int. Rep. 2:163–170.
- 201. Mato, J. M., P. J. M. Van Haastert, F. A. Krens, E. H. Rhijnsburger, F. C. P. M. Dobbe, and T. M. Konijn. 1977. Cyclic AMP and folic acid mediated cyclic GMP accumulation in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. FEBS Lett. 79:331-336.
- 202. Mato, J. M., H. Woelders, P. J. M. Van Haastert, and T. M. Konijn. 1978. Cyclic GMP binding activity in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. FEBS Lett. 90:261-264.
- 203. McRobbie, S. J., and P. C. Newell. 1983. Changes in actin associated with the cytoskeleton following chemotactic stimulation of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 115:351-359.
- 204. McRobbie, S. J., and P. C. Newell. 1984. Chemoattractantmediated changes in cytoskeletal actin of cellular slime moulds. J. Cell Sci. 68:139–151.
- 205. McRobbie, S. J., and P. C. Newell. 1984. A new model for chemotactic signal transduction in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 123:1076–1083.
- 206. Merkle, R. K., and C. L. Rutherford. 1984. Localization of adenylate cyclase during development of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Differentiation 26:23-29.
- 207. Meyers-Hutchins, B. L., and W. A. Frazier. 1984. Purification and characterization of a membrane associated cAMP-binding protein from developing *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Biol. Chem. 256:4379–4388.
- Morrissey, J. H. 1982. Cell proportioning and pattern formation, p. 411-449. In W. F. Loomis (ed.), The development of Dictyostelium discoideum. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- 209. Mueller, D. G., W. Boland, L. Jaenicke, and G. Gassmann. 1985. Diversification of chemoreceptors in *Ectocarpus*, *Spacelaria* and *Adenocystis* (Phaeophyceae). Z. Naturforsch. Teil C 40:457-459.
- Mullens, I. A., and P. C. Newell. 1978. Cyclic AMP binding to cell surface receptors of *Dictyostelium*. Differentiation 10:171– 176.
- 211. Murad, F., W. P. Arnold, C. K. Mittal, and J. M. Braughler. 1979. Properties and regulation of guanylate cyclase and some proposed functions for cyclic GMP. Adv. Cyclic Nucleotide Res. 11:175-204.
- Murray, B. A. 1982. Membranes, p. 71-116. In W. F. Loomis (ed.), The development of Dictyostelium discoideum. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- 213. Nagao, S., S. Kudo, and Y. Nozawa. 1983. Inhibitory effects of calmodulin antagonists on plasma membrane cyclases in *Tetrahymena*: calmodulin dependent guanylate cyclase and calmodulin-independent adenylate cyclase. Biochem. Pharmacol. 32:2501-2504.
- 213a. Nakayama, N., A. Miyajima, and K. Arai. 1985. Nucleotide sequences of *STE2* and *STE3*, cell type-specific sterile genes from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. EMBO J. 4:2643–2648.
- 214. Nandini-Kishore, S., and W. Frazier. 1982. ³H-methotrexate as a ligand for the folate receptor of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78:7299–7303.
- Newell, P. C. 1981. Chemotaxis in the cellular slime moulds, p. 89–114. In J. M. Lackie and P. C. Wilkinson (ed.), Biology of

416 JANSSENS AND VAN HAASTERT

the chemotactic response. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

- Newell, P. C. 1982. Cell surface binding of adenosine to Dictyostelium and inhibition of pulsatile signalling. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 13:417-421.
- 217. Newell, P. C., and F. M. Ross. 1982. Inhibition by adenosine of aggregation centre initiation and cyclic AMP binding in *Dictyostelium*. J. Gen. Microbiol. 128:2715-2724.
- Nishizuka, Y., Y. Takai, A. Kishimoto, U. Kikkawa, and K. Kaibuchi. 1984. Phospholipid turnover in hormone action. Recent Prog. Horm. Res. 40:301-345.
- 219. Osborn, M., and K. Weber. 1977. The detergent resistant cytoskeleton of tissue culture cells includes the nucleus and the microfilament bundles. Exp. Cell Res. 106:339–349.
- 220. Otte, A. P., M. J. E. Plomp, J. C. Arents, P. M. W. Janssens, and R. Van Driel. 1986. Production and turnover of cAMP signals by prestalk and prespore cells in *Dictyostelium* discoideum cell aggregates. Differentiation 32:185–191.
- 221. Oyama, M., and D. D. Blumberg. 1986. Interaction of cAMP with the cell surface receptor induces cell type specific mRNA accumulation in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 83:4819–4823.
- 222. Padh, H., and M. Brenner. 1984. Studies of the guanylate cyclase of the social amoeba *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 229:73-80.
- 223. Padh, H., and M. Brenner. 1985. The role of enzyme sequestration in the regulation of the adenylate cyclase of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Biol. Chem. 260:3613-3616.
- 224. Pahlic, M., and C. L. Rutherford. 1979. Adenylate cyclase activity and cyclic AMP levels during the development of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Biol. Chem. 254:9703–9707.
- 225. Pall, M. L. 1981. Adenosine 3',5'-phosphate in fungi. Microbiol. Rev. 45:462–480.
- 226. Pan, P., E. M. Hall, and J. T. Bonner. 1972. Folic acid as a second chemotactic substance in the cellular slime moulds. Nature (London) New Biol. 237:181-182.
- 227. Pan, P., E. M. Hall, and J. T. Bonner. 1975. Determination of the active portion of the folic acid molecule in cellular slime mold chemotaxis. J. Bacteriol. 122:185–191.
- 228. Pan, P., and B. Wurster. 1978. Inactivation of the chemoattractant folic acid by cellular slime molds and identification of the reaction product. J. Bacteriol. 136:955-959.
- 229. Pannbacker, R. G., and L. J. Bravard. 1972. Phosphodiesterase in *Dictyostelium discoideum* and the chemotactic response to cyclic adenosine monophosphate. Science 175:1014–1015.
- Parish, R. W. 1983. Plasma membrane proteins in *Dictyostelium*. Mol. Cell. Biochem. 50:75-95.
- Paton, W. D. M. 1961. A theory of drug action based on the rate of drug-receptor combination. Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. B 154:21-69.
- 232. Pawson, T., T. Amiel, E. Hinze, N. Auersperg, N. Neave, A. Sobolewski, and G. Weeks. 1985. Regulation of a ras-related protein during development of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 5:33–39.
- Pfaffinger, P. J., J. M. Martin, D. D. Hunter, N. M. Nathanson, and B. Hille. 1985. GTP-binding proteins couple cardiac muscarinic receptors to a K channel. Nature (London) 317: 536-538.
- 234. Powers, S., T. Kataoka, O. Fasano, M. Goldfarb, J. Strathern, J. Broach, and M. Wigler. 1984. Genes in S. cerevisiae encoding proteins with domains homologous to the mammalian ras proteins. Cell 36:607-612.
- 235. Prakash, K., and V. L. Seligy. 1985. Oncogene related sequences in fungi: linkage of some to actin. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 133:293–299.
- Rahmsdorf, H. J. 1977. A defined, synthetic growth medium for *Dictyostelium discoideum*, strain AX2. Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem. 358:527-529.
- 237. Rahmsdorf, H. J., and G. Gerisch. 1978. Cyclic AMP-induced phosphorylation of a polypeptide comigrating with myosin heavy chains. FEBS Lett. 88:322-326.
- Reig, J. A., A. R. Kornblihtt, M. M. Flawia, and H. N. Torres. 1982. Soluble adenylate cyclase activity in *Neurospora crassa*.

Biochem. J. 207:43-49.

- 239. Renart, M. F., J. Sebastián, and J. M. Mato. 1981. Adenylate cyclase activity in permeabilized cells from *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Cell Biol. Int. Rep. 5:1045-1054.
- 240. Reymond, C. D., R. H. Gomer, W. Nellen, A. Theibert, P. Devreotes, and R. A. Firtel. 1986. A mutated *ras* gene induces phenotypic changes during the development of *Dictyostelium* transformants. Nature (London) 323:340–343.
- 241. Reymond, C. D., W. Nellen, and R. A. Firtel. 1985. Regulated expression of *ras* gene constructs in *Dictyostelium* transformants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:7005–7009.
- 242. Riedel, V., D. Malchow, G. Gerisch, and B. Nägele. 1972. Cyclic AMP phosphodiesterase interaction with its inhibitor of the slime mold *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 46:279–287.
- 243. Robertson, A. D. J., and J. F. Grutsch. 1981. Aggregation in Dictyostelium discoideum. Cell 24:603–611.
- 244. Roof, D. J., M. L. Applebury, and P. C. Sternweis. 1985. Relationships within the family of GTP-binding proteins isolated from bovine central nervous system. J. Biol. Chem. 260:16242-16249.
- 245. Roos, W., and G. Gerisch. 1976. Receptor-mediated adenylate cyclase activation in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. FEBS Lett. 68:170-172.
- 246. Roos, W., D. Malchow, and G. Gerisch. 1977. Adenylyl cyclase and the control of cell differentiation in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Cell Differ. 6:229-239.
- 247. Roos, W., V. Nanjundiah, D. Malchow, and G. Gerisch. 1975. Amplification of cyclic-AMP signals in aggregating cells of Dictyostelium discoideum. FEBS Lett. 53:139-142.
- 248. Roos, W., C. Scheidegger, and G. Gerisch. 1977. Adenylate cyclase activity oscillations as signals for cell aggregation in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Nature (London) **266**:259–261.
- 249. Rosenberg, G. B., and M. L. Pall. 1983. Characterization of an ATP-Mg²⁺-dependent guanine nucleotide-stimulated adenylate cyclase from *Neurospora crassa*. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 221:243–253.
- 250. Rosenberg, G. B., and M. L. Pall. 1983. Reconstitution of adenylate cyclase in *Neurospora* from two components of the enzyme. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 221:254–260.
- 251. Ross, F. M., and P. C. Newell. 1979. Genetics of aggregation pattern mutations in the cellular slime mould *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Gen. Microbiol. 115:289-300.
- 252. Ross, F. M., and P. C. Newell. 1981. Streamers: chemotactic mutants of *Dictyostelium* with altered cyclic GMP metabolism. J. Gen. Microbiol. 127:339–350.
- Saito, M. 1979. Effect of extracellular Ca²⁺ on the morphogenesis of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Exp. Cell Res. 123:79-86.
- 254. Sampson, J., and C. D. Town. 1985. Suppression of cyclic AMP-induced cell excitation in *Dictyostelium discoideum* by inhibitors of eicosanoid oxidation. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 27:209-213.
- 255. Schaap, P., and R. Van Driel. 1985. The induction of postaggregative differentiation in *Dictyostelium discoideum* by cAMP. Evidence for the involvement of the cell surface cAMP receptor. Exp. Cell Res. 159:388-398.
- 256. Schaap, P., and M. Wang. 1984. The possible involvement of oscillatory cAMP signaling in multicellular morphogenesis of the cellular slime molds. Dev. Biol. 105:470–478.
- 257. Schaap, P., and M. Wang. 1986. Interactions between adenosine and oscillatory cAMP signalling regulate size and pattern in *Dictyostelium*. Cell 45:137–144.
- Schramm, M., and Z. Selinger. 1984. Message transmission: receptor controlled adenylate cyclase system. Science 225: 1350-1356.
- 259. Schultz, J. E., and S. Klumpp. 1982. Lanthanum dissociates calmodulin from the guanylate cyclase of the excitable ciliary membrane from *Paramecium*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 13:303-306.
- 260. Schultz, J. E., and S. Klumpp. 1984. Calcium/calmodulinregulated guanylate cyclases in the ciliary membranes from *Paramecium* and *Tetrahymena*. Adv. Cyclic Nucleotide Prot. Phosp. Res. 17:275–283.

- Schultz, J. E., T. Pohl, and S. Klumpp. 1986. Voltage gated entry into *Paramecium* linked to intraciliary increase in cyclic GMP. Nature (London) 322:271–273.
- 262. Schulz, S., M. Denaro, A. Xypolyta-Bulloch, and J. Van Houten. 1984. Relationship of folate binding to chemoreception in *Paramecium*. J. Comp. Physiol. A 155:113–119.
- 263. Segel, L. A., A. Goldbeter, P. N. Devreotes, and B. E. Knox. 1986. A mechanism for exact sensory adaptation based on receptor modification. J. Theor. Biol. 120:151-180.
- 264. Sharpe, P. T., and D. J. Watts. 1985. The role of the cell cycle in differentiation of the cellular slime mould *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Mol. Cell. Biochem. 67:3–9.
- 265. Shimomura, O., H. L. B. Suthers, and J. T. Bonner. 1982. Chemical identity of the acrasin of the slime mold *Polyspon*dylium violaceum. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79:7376-7379.
- 266. Shinitzky, M., and M. Souroujon. 1979. Passive modulation of blood-group antigens. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76: 4438-4440.
- 267. Sibley, D. R., and R. J. Lefkowitz. 1985. Molecular mechanisms of receptor desensitization using the β-adrenergic receptor-coupled adenylate cyclase system as a model. Nature (London) 317:124–129.
- 268. Small, N. V., G. N. Europe-Finner, and P. C. Newell. 1986. Calcium induces cyclic GMP formation in *Dictyostelium*. FEBS Lett. 203:11-14.
- 269. Spudich, J. A., and A. Spudich. 1982. Cell motility, p. 169–194. In W. F. Loomis (ed.), The development of Dictyostelium discoideum. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- 270. Strasser, R. H., D. R. Sibley, and R. J. Lefkowitz. 1986. A novel catecholamine-activated adenosine cyclic 3',5'-phosphate independent pathway for β -adrenergic receptor phosphorylation in wild-type and mutant S₄₉ lymphoma cells: mechanism of homologous desensitization of adenylate cyclase. Biochemistry 25:1371–1377.
- 271. Stryer, L. 1986. Cyclic GMP cascade of vision. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 9:87-119.
- 272. Sussman, M. 1982. Morphogenetic signalling, cytodifferentiation and gene expression, p. 353-385. In W. F. Loomis (ed.), The development of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- 273. Sussman, R., and M. Sussman. 1967. Cultivation of Dictyostelium discoideum in axenic medium. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 29:53-55.
- 274. Sweet, R., S. Yokoyama, T. Kamata, J. Faramisco, M. Rosenberg, and M. Gross. 1984. The product of *ras* is a GTPase and the T24 oncogenic mutant is deficient in this activity. Nature (London) 311:273-275.
- 275. Theibert, A., and P. N. Devreotes. 1983. Cyclic 3',5'-AMP relay in *Dictyostelium discoideum*: adaptation is independent of activation of adenylate cyclase. J. Cell Biol. 97:173-177.
- 276. Theibert, A., and P. N. Devreotes. 1984. Adenosine and its derivatives inhibit the cAMP signaling response in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Dev. Biol. 106:166–173.
- 277. Theibert, A., and P. N. Devreotes. 1986. Surface receptor mediated activation of adenylate cyclase in *Dictyostelium*: regulation by guanylnucleotides, mutant characterization and *in vitro* mutant reconstitution. J. Biol. Chem. 261:15121-15125.
- 278. Theibert, A., P. Klein, and P. N. Devreotes. 1984. Specific photoaffinity labeling of the cAMP surface receptor in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Biol. Chem. 259:12318–12321.
- 279. Theibert, A., M. Palmisano, B. Jastorff, and P. N. Devreotes. 1986. The specificity of the cAMP receptor mediating activation of adenylate cyclase in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Dev. Biol. 114:529-533.
- 280. Thorner, J. 1981. Pheromonal regulation of development in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, p. 143–180. In J. Strathern, E. Jones, and J. Broach (ed.), The molecular biology of the yeast Saccharomyces: life cycle and inheritance. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Tillinghast, H. S., and P. C. Newell. 1984. Retention of folate receptors on the cytoskeleton of *Dictyostelium* during development. FEBS Lett. 176:325-330.
- 282. Toda, T., I. Uno, T. Ishikawa, S. Powers, T. Kataoka, D.

Broek, S. Cameron, J. Broach, K. Matsumoto, and M. Wigler. 1985. In yeast, *ras* proteins are controlling elements of adenylate cyclase. Cell **40**:27–36.

- Tomchik, K. J., and P. N. Devreotes. 1981. Adenosine 3',5'monophosphate waves in *Dictyostelium discoideum*: a demonstration by isotope dilution-fluorography. Science 212:443-446.
- Town, C., and E. Stanford. 1979. An oligosaccharide containing factor that induces cell differentiation in *Dictyostelium* discoideum. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76:308-312.
- 285. Uno, I., H. Mitsuzawa, K. Matsumoto, K. Tanaka, T. Oshima, and T. Ishikawa. 1985. Reconstitution of the GTP-dependent adenylate cyclase from products of the yeast CYR1 and RAS2 genes in Escherichia coli. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:7855– 7859.
- Van Driel, R. 1981. Binding of the chemoattractant folic acid by *Dictyostelium discoideum* cells. Eur. J. Biochem. 115: 391-395.
- Van Driel, R. 1982. Cyclic nucleotides as first messengers, p. 365-382. In J. W. Kebabian and J. A. Nathanson (ed.), Handbook of experimental pharmacology, vol. 58. Cyclic nucleotides. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg.
 Van Haastert, P. J. M. 1980. Distinction between the rate
- Van Haastert, P. J. M. 1980. Distinction between the rate theory and the occupation theory of signal transduction by receptor activation. Neth. J. Zool. 30:473–493.
- Van Haastert, P. J. M. 1983. Relationship between adaptation of the folic acid and the cAMP-mediated cGMP response in *Dictyostelium*. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 115:130– 136.
- Van Haastert, P. J. M. 1983. Sensory adaptation of *Dictyostelium discoideum* cells to chemotactic signals. J. Cell Biol. 96:1559–1565.
- 291. Van Haastert, P. J. M. 1983. Binding of cAMP and adenosine derivatives to *Dictyostelium discoideum* cells. Relationships of binding, chemotactic, and antagonistic activities. J. Biol. Chem. 258:9643–9648.
- 292. Van Haastert, P. J. M. 1984. A method for studying cAMP relay in *Dictyostelium discoideum*: the effect of temperature on cAMP-relay. J. Gen. Microbiol. 130:2559–2564.
- 293. Van Haastert, P. J. M. 1984. Guanine nucleotides modulate cell surface cAMP-binding sites in membranes from *Dictyostelium* discoideum. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 124:597-604.
- 294. Van Haastert, P. J. M. 1985. cAMP activates adenylate and guanylate cyclase of *Dictyostelium discoideum* cells by binding to different classes of cell-surface receptors. A study with extracellular Ca²⁺. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 846:324–333.
- 295. Van Haastert, P. J. M. 1985. The modulation of cell surface cAMP receptors from *Dictyostelium discoideum* by ammonium sulfate. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 845:254–260.
- 296. Van Haastert, P. J. M. 1987. Alteration of receptor/G-protein interaction by putative endogenous protein kinase activity in *Dictyostelium discoideum* membranes. J. Biol. Chem. 262: 3239-3243.
- 297. Van Haastert, P. J. M. 1987. Down-regulation of cell surface cyclic AMP receptors and desensitization of cyclic AMP stimulated adenylate cyclase by cyclic AMP in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Kinetics and concentration dependence. J. Biol. Chem. 262:7700-7704.
- 298. Van Haastert, P. J. M., and R. J. W. De Wit. 1984. Demonstration of receptor heterogeneity and negative cooperativity by nonequilibrium binding experiments. The cell surface cAMP receptor of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Biol. Chem. 259:13321-13328.
- 299. Van Haastert, P. J. M., R. J. W. De Wit, Y. Grijpma, and T. M. Konijn. 1982. Identification of a pterin as the acrasin of the cellular slime mold *Dictyostelium lacteum*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79:6270-6274.
- 300. Van Haastert, P. J. M., R. J. W. De Wit, P. M. W. Janssens, F. Kesbeke, and J. DeGoede. 1986. G-protein mediated interconversions of cell surface cAMP receptors and their involvement in excitation and desensitization of guanylate cyclase in Dictyostelium discoideum. J. Biol. Chem. 261: 6904-6911.
- 301. Van Haastert, P. J. M., R. J. W. De Wit, and T. M. Konijn.

1982. Antagonists of chemoattractants reveal separate receptors for cAMP, folic acid and pterin in *Dictyostelium*. Exp. Cell Res. 140:453–456.

- 302. Van Haastert, P. J. M., R. J. W. De Wit, and M. M. Van Lookeren Campagne. 1985. Ca²⁺- or phorbol ester-dependent effect of ATP on a subpopulation of cAMP cell-surface receptors in membranes from *D. discoideum*. A role for protein kinase C. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 128:185–192.
- 303. Van Haastert, P. J. M., P. A. M. Dijkgraaf, T. M. Konijn, E. G. Abbad, G. Petridis, and B. Jastorff. 1983. Substrate specificity of cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase from beaf heart and from *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Eur. J. Biochem. 131: 659-666.
- 304. Van Haastert, P. J. M., B. Jastorff, J. E. Pinas, and T. M. Konijn. 1982. Analogs of cyclic AMP as chemoattractants and inhibitors of *Dictyostelium* chemotaxis. J. Bacteriol. 149:99– 105.
- 304a. Van Haastert, P. J. M., F. Kesbeke, C. D. Reymond, R. A. Firtel, E. Ludérus, and R. Van Driel. 1987. Aberrant transmembrane signal transduction in *Dictyostelium* cells expressing a mutated *ras* gene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84:4905–4909.
- 305. Van Haastert, P. J. M., and E. Kien. 1983. Binding of cAMP derivatives to *Dictyostelium discoideum* cells. Activation mechanism of the cell surface cAMP receptor. J. Biol. Chem. 258:9636-9642.
- 306. Van Haastert, P. J. M., and T. M. Konijn. 1982. Signal transduction in the cellular slime molds. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 26:1–17.
- 307. Van Haastert, P. J. M., B. E. Snaar-Jagalska, and P. M. W. Janssens. 1987. The regulation of adenylate cyclase by guanine nucleotides in *Dictyostelium discoideum* membranes. Eur. J. Biochem. 162:251–258.
- 308. Van Haastert, P. J. M., and P. R. Van der Heijden. 1983. Excitation, adaptation and deadaptation of the cAMP mediated cGMP response in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Cell Biol. 96:347-353.
- 309. Van Haastert, P. J. M., R. C. Van der Meer, and T. M. Konijn. 1981. Evidence that the rate of association of cyclic AMP to its chemotactic receptor induces phosphodiesterase activity in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Bacteriol. 147:170–175.
- 310. Van Haastert, P. J. M., M. M. Van Lookeren Campagne, and F. Kesbeke. 1983. Multiple degradation pathways of chemoattractant mediated cGMP accumulation in *Dictyostelium*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 756:67-71.
- 311. Van Haastert, P. J. M., M. M. Van Lookeren Campagne, and F. M. Ross. 1982. Altered cGMP-phosphodiesterase activity in chemotactic mutants of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. FEBS Lett. 147:149–152.
- 312. Van Haastert, P. J. M., H. Van Walsum, and F. J. Pasveer. 1982. Non-equilibrium kinetics of a cyclic GMP-binding protein of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Cell. Biol. 94:271–278.
- 313. Van Lookeren Campagne, M. M., P. Schaap, and P. J. M. Van Haastert. 1986. Specificity of adenosine inhibition of cAMPinduced responses in *Dictyostelium* resembles that of the P site in higher organisms. Dev. Biol. 117:245-251.
- 314. Van Ophem, P., and R. Van Driel. 1985. Induction by folate and folate analogs of extracellular and membrane-bound phosphodiesterase from *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Bacteriol. 164:143-146.
- 315. Van Waarde, A. 1982. Rapid, transient methylation of four proteins in aggregative amoebae of *Dictyostelium discoideum* as a response to stimulation with cyclic AMP. FEBS Lett. 149:266-270.
- 316. Van Waarde, A., and P. J. M. Van Haastert. 1986. Effect of drugs on lipid methylation, receptor-adenylate cyclase coupling and cyclic AMP-secretion in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **887**:229–235.
- 317. Van Waarde, A., and P. J. M. Van Hoof. 1985. Pittfalls in the measurement of protein carboxyl methylation during chemotaxis of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 840:344-354.
- 318. Varela, I., M. M. van Lookeren Campagne, J. F. Alvarez, and

J. M. Mato. 1987. The developmental regulation of phosphatidylinositol kinase in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. FEBS Lett. 211:64-68.

- 319. Voorheis, H. P., and B. R. Martin. 1980. 'Swell Dialysis' demonstrates that adenylate cyclase in *Trypanosoma brucei* is regulated by calcium ions. Eur. J. Biochem. 113:223-227.
- 320. Voorheis, H. P., and B. R. Martin. 1981. Characteristics of the calcium-mediated mechanism activating adenylate cyclase in *Trypanosoma brucei*. Eur. J. Biochem. 116:471–477.
- 321. Wakelam, M. J. O., S. A. Davies, M. D. Houslay, I. McKay, C. J. Marshall, and A. Hall. 1986. Normal p21^{N-ras} couples bombesin and other growth factor responses to inositol phosphate production. Nature (London) 323:173–176.
 322. Wallace, L. J., and W. A. Frazier. 1979. Photoaffinity labeling
- 322. Wallace, L. J., and W. A. Frazier. 1979. Photoaffinity labeling of cyclic-AMP- and AMP-binding proteins of differentiating *Dictyostelium discoideum* cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76:4250-4254.
- 323. Walter, R. D., G. M. Slutzky, and C. L. Greenblatt. 1982. Effect of Leishmanial excreted factor on the activities of adenylate cyclase from hamster liver and *Leishmania tropica*. Tropenmed. Parasitol. 33:137-139.
 324. Wang, M., P. J. M. Van Haastert, and P. Schaap. 1986.
- 324. Wang, M., P. J. M. Van Haastert, and P. Schaap. 1986. Multiple effects of differentiation-inducing factor on prespore differentiation and cyclic-AMP signal transduction in *Dictyo*stelium. Differentiation 33:24–28.
- 325. Ward, A., and M. Brenner. 1977. Guanylate cyclase from Dictyostelium discoideum. Life Sci. 21:997-1008.
- 326. Weeks, G. 1976. The manipulation of the fatty acid composition of *Dictyostelium discoideum* and its effect on cell differentiation. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 450:21-32.
- 327. Weijer, C. J., and A. J. Durston. 1985. Influence of cAMP and hydrolysis products on cell type regulation in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol. **86**:19–37.
- 328. Wick, U., D. Malchow, and G. Gerisch. 1978. Cyclic-AMP stimulated calcium influx into aggregating cells of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Cell Biol. Int. Rep. 2:71–79.
- 329. Wilkinson, D. G., J. Wilson, and B. D. Hames. 1985. Spore coat protein synthesis during development of *Dictyostelium discoideum* requires a low-molecular weight inducer and continued multicellularity. Dev. Biol. 107:38-46.
- 330. Wurster, B., F. Bek, and U. Butz. 1981. Folic acid and pterin deaminases in *Dictyostelium discoideum*: kinetic properties and regulation by folic acid, pterin, and adenosine 3',5'-phosphate. J. Bacteriol. 148:183–192.
- 331. Wurster, B., S. Bozzaro, and G. Gerisch. 1978. Cyclic GMP regulation and responses of *Polysphondylium violaceum* to chemoattractants. Cell Biol. Int. Rep. 2:61–69.
- 332. Wurster, B., and U. Butz. 1980. Reversible binding of the chemoattractant folic acid to cells of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Eur. J. Biochem. 109:613-618.
- 333. Wurster, B., and U. Butz. 1983. A study on sensing and adaptation in *Dictyostelium discoideum*: guanosine 3',5'phosphate accumulation and light-scattering responses. J. Cell Biol. 96:1566-1570.
- 334. Wurster, B., and K. Schubiger. 1977. Oscillations and cell development in *Dictyostelium discoideum* stimulated by folic acid pulses. J. Cell Sci. 27:105-114.
- 335. Wurster, B., K. Schubiger, and P. Brachet. 1979. Cyclic GMP and cyclic AMP changes in response to folic acid pulses during cell development of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Cell Differ. 8:235-242.
- 336. Wurster, B., K. Schubiger, U. Wick, and G. Gerisch. 1977. Cyclic GMP in *Dictyostelium discoideum*; oscillations and pulses in response to folic acid and cyclic AMP signals. FEBS Lett. 76:141-144.
- 337. Yeager, R. E., W. Heideman, G. B. Rosenberg, and D. R. Storm. 1985. Purification of the calmodulin-sensitive adenylate cyclase from bovine cerebral cortex. Biochemistry 24: 3776–3783.
- 338. Yeh, R. P., F. K. Chan, and M. B. Coukell. 1978. Independent regulation of the extracellular cyclic AMP phosphodiesteraseinhibitor system and membrane differentiation by exogenous cyclic AMP in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Dev. Biol. 66: 361-374.