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#### Abstract

Stable $n$-pointed trees arise in a natural way if one tries to find moduli for totally degenerate curves:

Let $C$ be a totally degenerate stable curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over a field $k$. This means that $C$ is a connected projective curve of arithmetic genus $g$ satisfying (a) every irreducible component of $C$ is a rational curve over $k$. (b) every singular point of $C$ is a $k$-rational ordinary double point. (c) every nonsingular component $L$ of $C$ meets $\overline{C-L}$ in at least three points.

It is always possible to find $g$ singular points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{g}$ on $C$ such that the blow up $\tilde{C}$ of $C$ at $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{g}$ is a connected projective curve with the following properties: (i) every irreducible component of $\tilde{C}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$ (ii) the components of $\tilde{C}$ intersect in ordinary $k$-rational double points (iii) the intersection graph of $\tilde{C}$ is a tree.

The morphism $\phi: \bar{C} \rightarrow C$ is an isomorphism outside $2 g$ regular points $Q_{1}, Q_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, Q_{g}, Q_{g}^{\prime}$ and identifies $Q_{i}$ with $Q_{i}^{\prime} . \phi$ is uniquely determined by the $g$ pairs of regular $k$-rational points ( $Q_{i}, Q_{i}^{\prime}$ ). A curve $C$ satisfying (i)-(iii) together with $n k$-rational regular points on it is called a $n$-pointed tree of projective lines. $C$ is stable if on every component there are at least three points which are either singular or marked. The object of this paper is the classification of stable $n$-pointed trees. We prove in particular the existence of a fine moduli space $B_{n}$ of stable $n$-pointed trees. The discussion above shows that there is a surjective map $\pi: B_{2 g} \rightarrow D_{g}$ of $B_{2 g}$ onto the closed subscheme $D_{g}$ of the coarse moduli scheme $\bar{M}_{g}$ of stable curves of genus $g$ corresponding to the totally degenerate curves. By the universal property of $\bar{M}_{g}, \pi$ is a (finite) morphism. $\pi$ factors through $\bar{B}_{2 g}=B_{2 g}$ mod the action of the group of pair preserving permutations of $2 g$ elements (a group of order $2^{g} g$ !, isomorphic to a wreath product of $S_{g}$ and $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ ).

The induced morphism $\bar{\pi}: \bar{B}_{2 g} \rightarrow D_{g}$ is an isomorphism on the open subscheme of irreducible curves in $D_{g}$, but in general there may be nonequivalent choices of $g$ singular points on a totally degenerated curve for the above construction, so $\bar{\pi}$ has nontrivial fibres. In particular, $\pi$ is not the


quotient map for a group action on $B_{2 g}$. This leads to the idea of constructing a Teichmüller space for totally degenerate curves whose irreducible components are isomorphic to $B_{2 g}$ and on which a discontinuous group acts such that the quotient is precisely $D_{g} ; \pi$ will then be the restriction of this quotient map to a single irreducible component. This theory will be developped in a subsequent paper.

In this paper we only consider stable $n$-pointed trees and their moduli theory. In $\S 1$ we introduce the abstract cross ratio of four points (not necessarily on the same projective line) and show that for a field $k$ the $k$-valued points in the projective variety $B_{n}$ of cross ratios are in $1-1$ correspondence with the isomorphy classes of stable $n$-pointed trees of projective lines over $k$. We also describe the structure of the subvarieties $B(T, \psi)$ of stable $n$-pointed trees with fixed combinatorial type.

We generalize our notion in $\S 2$ to stable $n$-pointed trees of projective lines over an arbitrary noetherian base scheme $S$ and show how the cross ratios for the fibres fit together to morphisms on $S$. This section is closely related to $[K n]$, but it is more elementary since we deal with a special case.
$\S 3$ contains the main result of the paper: the canonical projection $B_{n+1} \rightarrow B_{n}$ is the universal family of stable $n$-pointed trees. As a by-product of the proof we find that $B_{n}$ is a smooth projective scheme of relative dimension $2 n-3$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. We also compare $B_{n}$ to the fibre product $B_{n-1} \times{ }_{B_{n-2}} B_{n-1}$ and investigate the singularities of the latter.

In $\S 4$ we prove that the Picard group of $B_{n}$ is free of rank

$$
2^{n-1}-(n+1)-\frac{n(n-3)}{2}
$$

We also give a method to compute the Betti numbers of the complex manifold $B_{n}(\mathbb{C})$.
In $\S 5$ we compare $B_{n}$ to the quotient $Q_{n}:=\mathbb{P}_{s s}^{n} / P G L_{2}$ of semi-stable points in $\mathbb{P}_{1}^{n}$ for the action of fractional linear transformations in every component. This orbit space has been studied in greater detail by several authors, see [GIT], [MS], [G]. It turns out that $B_{n}$ is a blow-up of $Q_{n}$, and we describe the blow-up in several steps where at each stage the obtained space is interpreted as a solution to a certain moduli problem.

## 1. STABLE $n$-POINTED TREES OF PROJECTIVE LINES OVER A FIELD

(1.1) Let $C$ be a connected projective variety over a field $k$ and $\phi=\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)$ be a $n$-tupel of distinct $k$-rational point of $C$.

DEFINITION. The pair $(C, \phi)$ is called a stable $n$-pointed tree of projective lines over $k$ if
(1) every component of $C$ is isomorphic to the projective line over $k$
(2) every singular point of $C$ is $k$-rational and an ordinary double point
(3) The intersection graph of the components of $C$ is a tree
(4) The set
$\left\{\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right\} \cup\{$ singular points of $C\}$
has at least 3 points on every component of $C$
(5) $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{n}$ are regular points on $C$.

We call $\phi$ the marking of $(C, \phi)$.
$(C, \phi)$ and $\left(C^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism $\alpha: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ such that $\alpha\left(\phi_{i}\right)=\phi_{i}^{\prime}$ for all $i$. If $(C, \phi)$ and $\left(C^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic, this isomorphism is unique. Indeed let $\beta$ be an automorphism of $(C, \phi)$. Then we have
to show that $\beta$ is the identity. Let $L$ be an end component of $C$; this means that $L$ meets only one other component or $L=C$. Now $\beta$ must be the identity on $L$ because it fixes at least three points. In the same way one shows that $\beta$ is the identity on any component of $C$.

Let $L$ be a component of $C$. There is a unique projection $\pi_{L}: C \rightarrow L ; \pi_{L}$ maps the components different from $L$ to $k$-rational points of $L$.

Let $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$ be a triple of three different indices of $\underline{n}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and let $D=D_{n}$ denote the set of all these triples. Then there is a unique component $L_{d}$ of $C$ such that $\pi_{L_{d}}\left(\phi_{d_{1}}\right), \pi_{L_{d}}\left(\phi_{d_{2}}\right), \pi_{L_{d}}\left(\phi_{d_{3}}\right)$ are distinct. Thus one gets a unique morphism

$$
\lambda_{d}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}
$$

with $\lambda_{d}\left(\phi_{d_{1}}\right)=0, \lambda_{d}\left(\phi_{d_{2}}\right)=\infty, \lambda_{d}\left(\phi_{d_{3}}\right)=1$ which is an isomorphism on $L_{d}$ and constant on all the other components of $C$. The component $L_{d}$ is called the median component relative to the tripel $d$.
(1.2) Let $T$ be a finite tree in the sense of graph theory. We will denote by $T_{0}$ the set of vertices of $T$ and by $T_{1}$ the set of edges of $T$.

Let $\psi$ be a mapping $\underline{n} \rightarrow T_{0}$.

DEFINITION. The pair $(T, \psi)$ is called a stable n-marked tree if for every $t \in T_{0}$ the number

$$
\text { val } t:=\# \phi^{-1}(t)+\#\{\text { edges of } T \text { with end point } t\}
$$

is $\geq 3$.
Let $T$ be the intersection graph of the components of a $n$-pointed tree of projective lines $(C, \phi)$. Then the marking $\phi$ defines a mapping $\psi: \underline{n} \rightarrow T_{0}$ by letting $\psi(i)$ be the component of $C$ on which $\phi(i)$ is sitting. We will call $(T, \psi)$ the combinatorial type of $(C, \phi)$.

The median component $L_{d}$ of a tripel $d \in D$ is the median of the subtree of $T$ generated by the vertices $\psi\left(d_{1}\right), \psi\left(d_{2}\right), \psi\left(d_{3}\right)$.
(1.3) Let $V=V_{n}$ be the set of quadruples $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right)$ of distinct indices of $\underline{n}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{V}$ the product of $\# V$ copies of the projective line $\mathbb{P}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\mathbb{P}^{V^{-}}=\Pi_{\nu \in V} \mathbb{P}_{v}$ and any $\mathbb{P}_{v}$ is a copy of $\mathbb{P}$.

Let $(C, \phi)$ be a $b$-pointed tree of projective lines over $k$. Then

$$
\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}:=\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}}\left(\phi_{v_{4}}\right)
$$

is a $k$-valued point of $\mathbb{P}$ for any $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right) \in V$ and

$$
\lambda(C, \phi):=\left(\lambda_{v}\right)_{v \in V}
$$

is a $k$-valued point of $\mathbb{P}^{V}$. It will be called the system of cross-ratios for $(C, \phi)$.
One gets the following relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{v_{2} v_{1} v_{3} v_{4}}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{v_{2} v_{3} v_{4} v_{1}}=1-\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{4} v_{5}} \cdot \lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}=\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{5}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

PROOF. (1) is obvious as $\lambda_{\nu_{1} \nu_{2} v_{3}}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{\nu_{2} v_{1} v_{3}}}$.
Ad (2): Let $L$ be the median component of $C$ relative to $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$. If $\pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{4}}\right) \neq \pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{i}}\right)$ for $i=2$ and $i=3$, then $L$ is also the median component of $\left(v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right)$. Then $\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}$ is the cross ratio of the points $\pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{1}}\right), \pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{2}}\right)$, $\pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{3}}\right), \pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{4}}\right)$ while $\lambda_{v_{2} v_{3} v_{4} v_{1}}$ is the cross ratio of the points $\pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{2}}\right), \pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{3}}\right)$, $\pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{4}}\right), \pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{1}}\right)$.

This shows that (2) is a well-known formula for cross-ratios on a line.
If $\pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{4}}\right)=\pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{2}}\right)$, then $\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}=\infty$. If $L^{\prime}$ is the median component of $\left(v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right)$, then $\pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{v_{1}}\right)=\pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{v_{3}}\right)$ and one gets that the cross-ratio of $\pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{\nu_{2}}\right)$, $\pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{v_{3}}\right), \pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{v_{4}}\right), \pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{v_{1}}\right)$ which is $\lambda_{v_{2} v_{3} v_{4} v_{1}}$ is $\infty$.

If $\pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{4}}\right)=\pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{3}}\right)$, then $\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}=1$. If $L^{\prime}$ is the median component of $\left(v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right)$ then $\pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{v_{1}}\right)=\pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{v_{2}}\right)$ and thus $\lambda_{v_{2} v_{3} v_{4} v_{1}}=$ cross-ratio of $\pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{v_{2}}\right)$, $\pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{v_{3}}\right), \pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{v_{4}}\right), \pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{v_{1}}\right)=0$.

Ad (3): Let $L$ be the median component of $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$. If $\pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{4}}\right) \neq \pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{1}}\right)$ and $\neq \pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{2}}\right)$ then $L$ is also the median component of $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{4}\right)$. Then (3) is another well-known formula for cross-ratios on the line $L$. If $\pi_{L}\left(\phi_{\nu_{4}}\right)=$ $=\pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{1}}\right)$ then $\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}=0$ and if $L^{\prime}$ is the median component relative to $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{4}\right)$ then $\pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{v_{2}}\right)=\pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{v_{3}}\right)=\pi_{L^{\prime}}(L)$.

If $\pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{5}}\right) \neq \pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{1}}\right)$, then $\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{5}} \neq 0$ and $\pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{v_{5}}\right)=\pi_{L^{\prime}}(L)=\pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{v_{2}}\right)$ and thus $\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{4} v_{5}}=\infty$. The formula thus reads $\infty \cdot 0=\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}$ which is correct.

An intuitive picture for this situation is:


If $\pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{5}}\right)=\pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{1}}\right)$, then $\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{5}}=0$ and $\pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{v_{2}}\right)=\pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{v_{3}}\right) \neq \pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{v_{s}}\right)$. Then $\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}=0$ and the formula is correct as $\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{4} v_{5}} \neq \infty$. Intuitive pictures for these situation are


Similar reasoning shows that (3) is correct also if $\pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{4}}\right)=\pi_{L}\left(\phi_{v_{2}}\right)$.
(1.4) Let $\mathbb{P}_{v}$ be provided with homogenous coordinates $a_{v}, b_{v}$ such that $\operatorname{Proj} \mathbb{Z}\left[a_{v}, b_{v}\right]=\mathbb{P}_{v}$.
$B_{n}$ is the closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{V}$ given by the multihomogeneous ideal in the multigraded ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[a_{v}, b_{v}: v \in V\right]$ generated by the equations
(1) $a_{v_{2} v_{1} v_{3} v_{4}} \cdot a_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}=b_{v_{2} v_{1} v_{3} v_{4}} b_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}$
(2) $a_{v_{2} v_{3} v_{4} v_{1}} \cdot b_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}=b_{v_{2} v_{3} v_{4} v_{1}} \cdot b_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}-a_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}} \cdot b_{v_{2} v_{3} v_{4} v_{1}}$
(3) $\mathrm{a}_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}} \cdot a_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{4} v_{5}} \cdot b_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{5}}=a_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{5}} \cdot b_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}} \cdot b_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{4} v_{5}}$
where $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}$ is any system of 5 distinct elements of $\underline{n}$. With respect to the inhomogeneous coordinates $a_{v} / b_{v}$ one gets formally the relations deduced for the system of cross-ratios of an $n$-pointed tree of projectives lines in the above subsection.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $q=\left(q_{v}\right)_{v \in V}, q_{v}:=a_{v} / b_{v}(q)$, be a $k$-valued point of $B_{n}$. Then there exists a stable n-pointed tree of projective lines $(C, \phi)$ over $k$ such that

$$
\lambda(C, \phi)=q
$$

The curve ( $C, \phi$ ) is unique up to isomorphisms.
PROOF. 1) Let $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$ a tripel of distinct elements in $\underline{n}$. For any $i \in \underline{n}$, $i \neq d_{j},(d, i)=d i$ is a quadrupel in $V$. If $i=d_{1}$ (resp. $i=d_{2}$, resp. $i=d_{3}$ ) we define $q_{d i}$ as 0 (resp. $\infty$, resp. 1).

We define an equivalence relation $\underset{d}{ }$ on $\underline{n}$ :

$$
i \sim j \text { iff } q_{d i}=q_{d j}
$$

The following properties hold:
a) If $D^{\prime}=\left(d_{1}^{\prime}, d_{2}^{\prime}, d_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ is a permutation of $d$, then $\tilde{d}=\widetilde{d^{\prime}}$
b) If $d_{j} \widetilde{d} d_{j}^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 3$, then $\widetilde{d}=\widetilde{d^{\prime}}$
c) If $d_{3}^{\prime}$ is not $\underset{d}{\sim}$-equivalent to $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ then $\underset{d}{ }=\tilde{d^{\prime}}$ where $d^{\prime}=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}^{\prime}\right)$
 the equivalence classes relative to $\tilde{d}$ not containing $d_{1}$ (resp. $d_{2}$ ) is in one equivalence class with respect to $\widetilde{d^{\prime}}$ where $d^{\prime}=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}^{\prime}\right)$, where $d_{3}^{\prime} \neq d_{1}$, resp. $d_{3}^{\prime} \neq d_{2}$.
We now prove these properties:
Ad a) Let $\lambda_{v}:=a_{v} / b_{v}$. From the set of relations (1), (2) for $B_{n}$ one can easily deduce the following relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{v_{2} v_{3} v_{1} v_{4}}=\frac{1}{1-\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}} \\
& \lambda_{v_{3} v_{2} v_{1} v_{4}}=1-\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}} \\
& \lambda_{v_{1} v_{3} v_{2} v_{4}}=\frac{\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}}{1-\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\lambda_{v_{3} v_{1} v_{2} v_{4}}=\frac{1-\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}}{\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}}
$$

This shows that $q_{d i}=q_{d j}$ if and only if $q_{d^{\prime} i}=q_{d^{\prime} j}$.
Ad b) Assume first that $d_{1}^{\prime}=d_{1}, d_{2}^{\prime}=d_{2}$. Then $q_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} d_{3}^{\prime}}=1$. From relation (3) we get

$$
q_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} i} \cdot q_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} d_{3}^{\prime}}=q_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} i} .
$$

This shows that $q_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}^{\prime} i}=q_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}^{\prime} j}$ if and only if $q_{d i}=q_{d j}$. Thus

$$
\widetilde{d}={\widetilde{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}^{\prime}}}^{={\widetilde{d_{1} d_{3}^{\prime} d_{2}}}={\widetilde{d_{1} d_{3}^{\prime} d_{2} d_{2}}}^{d_{3} d_{2} d_{1}}}={\widetilde{d_{3}^{\prime} d_{2}^{\prime} d_{1}^{\prime}}}=\widetilde{d^{\prime \prime}}
$$

and b) proved.
Ad c) The proof is similar to the one for b). $q_{d d_{3}^{\prime}} \neq 0$ and $\neq \infty$ and $q_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{j}^{\prime} \cdot} \cdot q_{d i}=q_{d d_{3}^{\prime}}$ which shows that $q_{d i}=q_{d j}$ if and only if $q_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}^{\prime} i}=q_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{j}^{\prime} j}$.

Ad d) Let $d_{3}^{\prime}$ be in the $\tilde{d}_{d}$-equivalence class of $d_{1}$. Then $q_{d d_{3}^{\prime}}=0$. Again

$$
q_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}{ }^{\prime}} \cdot q_{d i}=q_{d d_{3}^{\prime}}=0
$$

For any $i$ such that $q_{d i} \neq 0$ we get $q_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{j} i}=0$ which shows that all $i$ not $\tilde{d}$ equivalent to $d_{1}$ are in one equivalence class with respect to $\widetilde{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}^{\prime}}$.

In the same way one proves the result if $q_{d d_{3}}=\infty$.
2) As a corollary to the properties a)-d) one gets: there are tripels $d \in D_{n}$ such that all the equivalence classes with respect to $\tilde{\sigma}_{d}$ except one class contain just one element.
3) We now prove the proposition by induction on $n$. The induction starts with $n=4$. This case is quite simple.

We pick a triple $d$ such that all equivalence classes except one with respect to $\tilde{d}$ consist of one element only.

We may assume that one class is $\{n\}$ because if $\sigma$ is a permutation of $n$, then

$$
q^{\prime}:=\left(q_{v}^{\prime}\right)_{v \in V}, q_{v}^{\prime}:=q_{\sigma\left(v_{1}\right) \sigma\left(v_{2}\right) \sigma\left(v_{3}\right) \sigma\left(v_{4}\right)},
$$

is also a $k$-valued point of $B_{n}$. If $\sigma^{-1}(i)=n$ then $\{n\}$ is an $\sigma^{-1} d_{1}, \sigma^{-1} d_{2}$, $\sigma^{-1} d_{3}$-equivalence class relative to the point $q^{\prime}$. The curves for $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ will be the same and the markings are transformed through $\sigma$.

We consider two cases.

Case 1: We assume that there are $\geq 4$ equivalence classes relative to $\widetilde{d}$. Then we may assume that $d_{i} \neq n$ by property 1 d$)$. We consider $q^{\prime}:=\left(q_{v}\right)_{v \in V_{n-1}}$ which is obviously a $k$-valued point of $B_{n-1}$. By the induction hypothesis we obtain a ( $n-1$ )-pointed curve $\left(C^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ with $\lambda\left(C^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)=q^{\prime}$. Let $L$ be the median
component of $C^{\prime}$ with respect to $d$ and let $\phi_{n}$ be that point of $L$ such that the cross-ratio of the sequence of points $\pi_{L}\left(\phi_{d_{1}}\right), \pi_{L}\left(\phi_{d_{2}}\right), \pi_{L}\left(\phi_{d_{3}}\right), \phi_{n}$ is equal to $q_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} n}$ which is different from $0, \infty, 1$ and also different from $q_{d i}$ for any $i \neq n$ because there is no $i \neq n$ equivalent to $n$ relative to $\tilde{d}$. Thus $\phi_{n} \neq \pi_{L}\left(\phi_{i}\right)$ for $i<n$ and $\phi_{n} \neq \phi_{i}$ for $i<n$.

Let $C:=C^{\prime}, \phi=\left(\phi_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \phi_{n-1}^{\prime}, \phi_{n}\right)$. Then $(C, \phi)$ is an $n$-pointed tree of projective lines. One checks easily that $\lambda(C, \phi)=q$.

Case 2: Assume now that there are just three equivalence classes relative to $\tilde{d}$. Then one of the $d_{i}$ must be equal to $n$. Let $d_{3}=n$. We assume that $\left\{d_{2}\right\}$ is also an equivalence class relative to $\widetilde{d}_{d}$ and that $d_{2}=n-1$. Let again $\left(C^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ be a $(n-1)$-pointed curve such that $\lambda\left(C^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)=q^{\prime}:=\left(q_{v}\right)_{v \in V_{n-1}}$ and let $L$ be the component of $C^{\prime}$ on which $\phi^{\prime}\left(d_{n-1}\right)$ is sitting. Let $C:=C^{\prime} \cup L^{\prime}$ where $L^{\prime}$ is an extra projective line over $k$ which meets $C^{\prime}$ only in the point $\phi_{n-1}^{\prime}$ and such that $L^{\prime} \cap C^{\prime}=\left\{\phi_{n-1}^{\prime}\right\}$ is an ordinary double point of $C$. Let $\phi_{n-1}, \phi_{n}$ be two distinct $k$-rational ploints on $L^{\prime}$ different from $\phi_{n-1}^{\prime}$. Then $C$ is a tree of projective lines over $k$ and $\phi:=\left(\phi_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \phi_{n-2}^{\prime}, \phi_{n-1}, \phi_{n}\right)$ is a marking of $C$ which makes ( $C, \phi$ ) into a stable $n$-pointed tree of lines. One checks easily that $\lambda(C, \phi)=q$.
4) Uniqueness follows because in the construction of $(C, \phi)$ in 3$)$ the $(n-1)$ pointed curve $\left(C^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ is unique and there is no freedom in the choice of $\phi_{n}$ in case 1 while in case 2 there is a unique isomorphism $\alpha$ on $C$ which is the identity on $C^{\prime} \subset C$ and which sends $\phi_{n-1}, \phi_{n}$ to any pair of distinct $k$-rational points of $L^{\prime}-\left\{\phi_{n-1}^{\prime}\right\}$.
(1.5) Let $(T, \psi)$ be a $n$-marked stable tree and $t$ a vertex of $T$. We define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\underline{n}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i \sim j \text { iff } i=j \text { or } \psi(i) \text { can be connected to } \psi(j) \text { by a path in } T \\
& \text { not passing through } t .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $(C, \phi)$ is a $n$-pointed tree of projective lines and $(T, \psi)$ the combinatorial type of ( $C, \phi)$, then the system of crossratios $q=\left(q_{v}\right)=\lambda(C, \phi)$ satisfies the following equations:

$$
q_{v}=0 \text { for all } v \in V_{T}
$$

where $V_{T}:=\left\{\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right) \in V_{n}: v_{1} \sim v_{4}, v_{2}\right.$ and $v_{3}$ are not $\sim$-equivalent to $v_{1}$ for some $\left.t \in T_{0}\right\}$.

This is easily proved because $\widetilde{t}^{=}=\widetilde{d}$ for $d=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right) \in D_{n}$. The median component $L_{d}$ is just the vertex $t$, if $v_{2}+v_{3}$.

In order to formulate a converse statement we need the concept of contractions.

DEFINITION. Let $(T, \psi),\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)$ be n-marked stable trees and $\varepsilon: T^{\prime} \rightarrow T$ a mapping. $\varepsilon$ is called a contraction if
(1) $\varepsilon\left(T_{0}^{\prime}\right)=T_{0}$ and $\varepsilon^{\circ} \psi^{\prime}=\psi$
(2) $\varepsilon^{-1}(t)$ is a subtree of $T^{\prime}$ for any vertex $t$ of $T$
(3) If $t$ is an endpoint of $k \in T_{1}^{\prime}$, then $\varepsilon(t)$ is an endpoint of $\varepsilon(k)$ if $\varepsilon(k)$ is an edge or $\varepsilon(t)=\varepsilon(k)$.

It is easy to show that if $\varepsilon:\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(T, \psi)$ is a contraction of stable $n$ marked trees, then $\varepsilon$ is uniquely determined by $\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right),(T, \psi)$.

Let now $B(T, \psi)$ be the closed subscheme of $B_{n}$ given by the equations

$$
\lambda_{\nu}=0
$$

for all $v \in V_{T}$.
If $\lambda(C, \phi)=q$ is a $k$-valued point of $B(T, \psi)$ and if $\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)$ is the combinatorial type of $(C, \phi)$, then ( $T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}$ ) contracts to ( $T, \psi$ ). In general ( $T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}$ ) will be different from $(T, \psi)$. It is easy to see that $B\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)$ is a closed subscheme of $B(T, \psi)$ if $\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)$ contracts to ( $T, \psi$ ).

Let $B(T, \psi)^{*}$ be the open subscheme of $B(T, \psi)$ which is the complement of the union of all the $B\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)$ for which ( $\left.T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)$ contract to $(T, \psi)$ and $\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right) \neq(T, \psi)$.

PROPOSITION 2. $B(T, \psi)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\prod_{t \in T_{0}} B_{\text {val } t}$. Moreover $B(T, \psi)^{*}$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{t \in T_{0}} B_{\text {val } t}^{*}$ where $B_{n}^{*}=B\left(T^{0}, \psi^{0}\right)^{*}$ where $\left(T^{0}, \psi^{0}\right)$ is the unique n-marked tree possessing just one vertex and val $t:=\#\{$ edges of $T$ adjacent to $t\}+\#\left\{\psi^{-1}(t)\right\}$.

PROOF. We start the proof of the first statement by examining the special case where $\# T_{0}=2$, say $T_{0}=\left\{t_{0}, t_{1}\right\}$, where $\psi^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right)=\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $\psi^{-1}\left(t_{1}\right)=$ $=\{k+1, \ldots, n\}$.

Let $\mathscr{I}$ denote the sheaf of ideals defining $B=B(\psi, T)$. As a scheme $B$ equals $\left(B,\left(\mathscr{O}_{B_{n}} / \mathscr{I}\right) \mid B\right)$. We will construct morphisms $g: B \rightarrow B_{k+1} \times B_{n-k+1}$ and $f: B_{k+1} \times B_{n-k+1} \rightarrow B$ such that $f \circ g$ is the identity.

The first map $g$ is obtained from $h=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right): B_{n} \rightarrow B_{k+1} \times B_{n-k+1}$ by restriction to $B$. Here $h_{1}$ is the projection induced by the natural injection $\underline{k+1} \rightarrow \underline{n}$ and $h_{2}$ is induced by the injection $\underline{n-k+1} \rightarrow \underline{n}$ given by $i \rightarrow i+k-1$. The second morphism is obtained from a morphism $e: B_{k+1} \times B_{n-k+1} \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow \Pi_{v \in V_{n}} \mathbb{P}_{v}$ given in coordinates $e_{v}$ by the following formulas:

$$
v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right), \text { with } v_{1}<v_{2}<v_{3}<v_{4}
$$

if $v_{1}<v_{2}<v_{3}<v_{4} \leq k+1$ then $e_{v}$ is the projection on the factor $\mathbb{P}_{v}$ of $B_{k+1}$.
if $v_{1}<v_{2}<v_{3}<k+1 \leq v_{4}$ then $e_{v}$ is the projection of $B_{k+1}$ on its factor $\mathbb{P}_{\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, k+1\right)}$.
if $v_{1}<v_{2}<k+1 \leq v_{3}<v_{4}$ then $e_{v}$ is the constant map with image 1 .
if $v_{1}<k+1 \leq v_{2}<v_{3}<v_{4}$ then $e_{v}$ is the projection of $B_{n-k+1}$ on its factor $\mathbb{P}_{w}$ with $w=\left(1, v_{2}-k+1, v_{3}-k+1, v_{4}-k+1\right)$.
if $k+1 \leq v_{1}<v_{2}<v_{3}<v_{4}$ then $e_{v}$ is the projection of $B_{n-k+1}$ on its factor $\mathbb{P}_{w}$ with $w=\left(v_{1}-k+1, v_{2}-k+1, v_{3}-k+1, v_{4}-k+1\right)$.

The group $S_{4}$ acts on $\mathbb{P}_{1}$ in the well known way:
$S_{4} \rightarrow S_{4} / K \cong S_{3}$ where $K$ is the group of Klein and $S_{3}$ acts on $\mathbb{P}$ and permutes $0, \infty, 1$. For $\sigma \in S_{4}$ we write $\tilde{\sigma}$ for the corresponding automorphism of $\mathbb{P}$.

The definition of the $e_{v}$ 's is now completed by:

$$
e_{\left(v_{\sigma(4)}, v_{\sigma(2)}, v_{\sigma(3)}, v_{\sigma(4)}\right)}=\tilde{\sigma}^{\circ} e_{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}}
$$

where $v_{1}<v_{2}<v_{3}<v_{4}$ and $\sigma \in S_{4}$.
A straightforward verification shows that the image of $e$ lies in $B_{n} \subset$ $\subset \prod_{v \in v_{n}} \mathbb{P}_{v}$. The equation $e_{v}=1$ for $v_{1}<v_{2}<k+1 \leq v_{3}<v_{4}$, yields that the image of $e$ lies in $\left(B, \mathscr{O}_{B_{n}} / \mathscr{F}\right)$. Another trivial verification shows that $f$ and $g$ are each others inverses.

We consider now $B(T, \psi)$ where $\# T_{0} \geq 3$. Let $t_{0}$ be an end vertex of the tree and put $S=\psi^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right)$. Consider the marked tree ( $T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}$ ) such that $T^{\prime}$ has two vertics $t_{0}^{\prime}$ and $t_{1}^{\prime}$ and such that $\psi^{\prime}(S)=t_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\psi^{\prime}\left(S^{*}\right)=t_{1}^{\prime}$, where $S^{*}=\underline{n}-S$.

Then we have $B(T, \psi) \subseteq B\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)$ (meaning the opposite inclusion of the sheaves of ideals). The isomorphism

$$
B\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right) \leftrightharpoons B_{\text {val } t_{0}^{\prime}} \times B_{n-\operatorname{val} t_{0}^{\prime}+1}
$$

induces an isomorphism of $B(T, \psi)$ with the closed subscheme $B_{\mathrm{vai} t_{0}} \times$ $\times B\left(T^{\prime \prime}, \psi^{\prime \prime}\right)$. This ( $\left.T^{\prime \prime}, \psi^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is constructed from ( $T, \psi$ ) as follows. For convenience we suppose that $S=\{k+1, \ldots, n\}$; put $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$ for the only edge in $T$ with endpoint $t_{0}$. Then $T^{\prime \prime}$ is obtained from $T$ by deleting $t_{0}$. Further $\psi^{\prime \prime}: \underline{k+1} \rightarrow\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)_{0}$ is defined by $\psi^{\prime \prime}(i)=\psi(i)$ for $i \leq k$ and $\psi^{\prime \prime}(k+1)=t_{1}$.

Induction now finishes the proof.
The proof of the second statement proceeds in the same way.

COROLLARy. Let $k$ be a field with $q$ elements and $B(T, \psi)(k)$ the set of $k$ valued points of the scheme $B(T, \psi)$. Then

$$
\# B(T, \psi)(k)=\sum_{\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)}(q-2)^{r_{4}\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)}(q-3)^{r_{5}\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot(q-n+2)^{r_{n}\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)}
$$

where $r_{i}\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)$ is the number of vertices of $\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)$ of valence $\geq i$ and where the summation has to be extended over the set of isomorphism classes of stable $n$-marked trees contracting to $(T, \psi)$.
PROOF. \# $B_{n}^{*}(k)=(q-2)(q-3) \cdot \ldots \cdot(q-n+2)$ because a point in $B_{n}^{*}(k)$ is given by a projective line $C$ over $k$ and an injective mapping $\phi: n \rightarrow C(k)$. But $(C, \phi)$ and $\left(C, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic if and only if there is a fractional linear transformation $\alpha: C \rightarrow C$ such that $\alpha \circ \phi=\phi^{\prime}$. If $\phi(i)=\phi^{\prime}(i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, then ( $\left.C, \phi\right)$ is isomorphic to ( $C, \phi^{\prime}$ ) only if $\phi=\phi^{\prime}$. The number of possibilities to pick the points $\phi(4), \ldots, \phi(n)$ is therefore

$$
(q-2)(q-3) \cdot \ldots \cdot(q-n+2)
$$

Now we use the fact that $B(T, \psi)=\cup B\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ where the union is over the set of $n$-marked stable trees contracting to $(T, \psi)$ and that

$$
B\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)^{*} \cong \prod_{t \in T_{0}^{\prime}} B_{\text {val } t}^{*}
$$

Thus

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\# B\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)(k) & =\prod_{t \in T_{0}}(q-2)(q-3) \cdot \ldots \cdot((q-(\text { val } t)+2))= \\
& =(q-2)^{r_{4}\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot(q-n+2)^{r_{n}\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)} .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

2. STABLE $n$-POINTED TREES
(2.1) Let $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ be a proper and flat morphism of noetherian schemes and $\phi=\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)$ be a $n$-tupel of morphisms $S \rightarrow X$.

DEFINITION. The pair ( $\pi, \phi$ ) is called a stable $n$-pointed tree of projective lines over $S$, if
(1) $\pi{ }^{\circ} \phi_{i}=i d_{S}$ for all $i$
(2) for every point $s \in S$ the fibre $X_{s}$ with the points $\phi_{1}(s), \ldots, \phi_{n}(s)$ on it is a stable $n$-pointed tree of projective lines over the field $k(s)$ of values at $s$. We will show that the system of cross-ratios $\lambda\left(X_{s}, \phi\right)$ is a morphism on $S$.

PROPOSITION 3. There is a morphism $u: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{V}$ such that $u(s)$ is the system of cross-ratios of the n-pointed tree $\left(X_{s}, \phi\right)$ of projective lines over $k(s)$.

The proof is achieved with the help of the dualizing sheaf $\omega_{X / S}$, see [DM], [Kn], p. 163 and will be given in some detail at the end of (2.3). It parallels the proofs in $[\mathrm{Kn}]$ about the properties of the contraction; it is however more elementary as we only treat a special case compared to the setting in [Kn].
(2.2) In this subsection we give the construction of the dualizing sheaf for trees of projective lines.

LEMMA 1. Let $X \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ be a n-pointed tree of projective lines. Then $\phi_{i}(S)$ is a divisor in $X$.

PROOF. Put $\phi=\phi_{i}$. The set $\phi(S) \subset X$ is closed because $\phi(S)=\phi \circ \pi(X)$ and $\phi \circ \pi: X \rightarrow X$ is an $S$-morphism. Apply now [H] p. 104, ex. 4.4. We have to find for every point $x=\phi(s)$ with $s \in S$ a neighbourhood $U$ of $x$ and a non-zerodivisor $t$ on $U$ such that the ideal of $U \cap \phi(S)$ equals ( $t$ ). One knows:

$$
\mathscr{O}_{S, s} \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}} \mathscr{O}_{X, x} \xrightarrow{\phi^{*}} \mathscr{O}_{S, s}=i d \text { and } \mathscr{O}_{X s, x}=\mathscr{O}_{X, x} / \pi^{*}\left(\underline{m}_{S, s}\right) \mathscr{O}_{X, x} .
$$

The local ring $\mathscr{O}_{X_{s}, x}$ has coefficient field $k(s)$ and is regular of dimension 1. Choose a parameter of $\mathscr{O}_{X_{s}, x}$ and a pre-image $t \in \underline{m}_{X, x}$ with $\phi^{*}(t)=0$. Then $\pi^{*}\left(\underline{m}_{S, s}\right) \cup\{t\}$ generates the maximal ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{X, x}$. The ringhomomorphism $\sigma: \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{S, s} \llbracket T \rrbracket \rightarrow \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{X, x}$ given by $\sum a_{n} T^{n} \rightarrow \sum \pi^{*}\left(a_{n}\right) t^{n}$ is then surjective. Hence ker $\hat{\phi}^{*}=t \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{X, X}$ and by flatness ker $\phi^{*}=t \hat{O}_{X, x}$. We will show that $\sigma$ is an isomorphism and so in particular $t$ is a non-zero-divisor.

Let $I=\operatorname{ker} \sigma$ and suppose that $I \neq 0$. Take $b \geq 1$ minimal such that $I$ contains an element $f=\sum_{i \geq b} a_{i} T^{i}$ with $a_{b} \neq 0$. Let $I_{b}$ denote the ideal in $\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{S, s}$ consisting of the $b^{\text {th }}$-coefficients of the elements in $I$.

The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{S, s} \llbracket T \rrbracket \rightarrow \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{X, x} \rightarrow 0$ remains exact after $-\otimes \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{s, s} k(s)$ because $\mathscr{O}_{X, x}$ is flat over $\mathscr{O}_{S, s}$. This implies $I \otimes k(s)=0$ and so $I=\hat{\underline{m}}_{S, s} I$. Then also $I_{b}=\hat{m}_{S, s} I_{b}$ and Nakayama's lemma implies $I_{b}=0$. This is a contradiction and so $\sigma$ is an isomorphism.

Choose a neighbourhood $W$ of $x$ in $X$, put $U=\phi^{-1} W$ and $V=W \cap \pi^{-1} U$. Then $V \supseteq \phi(U)$ and it follows that $\pi(V)=U$ since $\pi \phi=i d$. One finds ringhomomorphisms

$$
\mathscr{O}_{S}(U) \xrightarrow[\pi_{V}^{*}]{\longrightarrow} \mathscr{O}_{X}(V) \xrightarrow[\phi_{V}^{*}]{ } \mathscr{O}_{S}(U)=i d .
$$

Taking $\underset{\vec{V}}{\lim }$, one finds $\operatorname{ker}\left(\lim _{\vec{V}} \phi_{V}^{*}\right)=(t)$. For a suitable $V$ one has already ker $\phi_{V}^{*}=(t)$ and $t$ is a non-zero-divisor on $V$. It follows that $\phi(U)=$ $=\{v \in V \mid t(v)=0\}$ and $(t)=$ the ideal of $\phi(U) \subset V$. The proves the lemma.

Lemma 2. Let $x \in X$ be a singular point of the fibre $X_{s}$, with $s=\pi(x)$. Then $\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{X, x} \cong \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{S, s} \llbracket A, B \rrbracket /_{(A B-m)}$ for some element $m \in \hat{\underline{m}}_{S, s}$.

PROOF. $\quad \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{X_{s}, x} \cong k(s) \llbracket \bar{a}, \bar{b} \rrbracket /_{(\bar{a} \bar{b})}$ and from this one finds a surjective ringhomomorphism $\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{S, s} \llbracket A, B \rrbracket /_{(A B-m)} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{X, x}$ with $\sigma(A)=a, \sigma(B)=b ; \sigma$ is $\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{S, s^{*}}$ linear; $m \in \hat{\underline{m}}_{S, s} \llbracket A, B \rrbracket$ and $a, b$ map to $\bar{a}, \bar{b}$ in $\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{X s}, x$. After changing the formal variables $A$ and $B$ one can arrange that $m \in \hat{m}_{S, s}$. Put $I=$ ker $\sigma$ and represent each $f \in I$ as

$$
f=f_{0}+\sum_{n \geq 1} a_{n} A^{n}+\sum_{m \geq 1} b_{m} B^{m} \text { with } f_{0}, a_{n}, b_{m} \in \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{S, s} .
$$

The collection of all coefficients $f_{0}$ (for $f \in I$ ) form an ideal $I_{0} \subset \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{S, s}$. Let ${ }_{n} I$ denote the ideal of the coefficients $a_{n}$ (for $f \in I$ ) and let $I_{m}$ denote the ideal of the coefficients $b_{m}$.

As in lemma 1 flatness implies $I=\hat{\hat{m}}_{S, s} I$. Let $J$ denote any of the ideals $I_{0}$, ${ }_{n} I$ or $I_{m}$. Then $J=\hat{m}_{S, s} J$ and by Nakayama $J=0$. Hence $I=0$ and the lemma is proved.

Lemma 3. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ be a n-pointed tree of projective lines. There exists an invertible sheaf $\omega=\omega_{X / S}$ on $X$ such that for every fibre $\alpha: X_{s} \rightarrow X$ one has $\alpha^{*} \omega \cong \omega_{X_{s} \mid k(s)}=$ the dualizing sheaf on $X_{s}$ over $k(s)$.

PROOF. The sheaf of differentials $\Omega_{X / S}$ satisfies: $\Omega_{X / S, x}$ is a free module of rank 1 if $x$ is a regular point of its fibre and $\Omega_{X / S, s}$ has two generators and one relation if $x$ is a singular point of its fibre. The last statement follows from lemma 2, namely using the above notation: $\Omega_{X / S, x}$ is generated by $d a, d b$ and has the one relation $b d a+a d b=0$.

This implies that $\Omega_{X / S}$ has locally on $X$ a 2-step resolution

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{1} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathscr{E}_{0} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Omega_{X / S} \rightarrow 0
$$

with $\mathscr{E}_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{0}$ free $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-modules of rank 1 and 2 . One forms locally $\omega=\Lambda^{2} \mathscr{E}_{0} \otimes$ $\otimes \mathscr{E}_{1}^{v}$, and a morphism $\Omega_{X / S} \rightarrow \omega$. The morphism is defined as follows: let $v_{1}$
generated $\mathscr{E}_{1}$ and let $v_{1}^{\vee}$ denote the dual element, generating $\mathscr{E}_{1}^{v}$, let $a \in \Omega_{X / S}$ have preimage $b \in \mathscr{E}_{0}$. Then the image of $a$ in $\omega$ is given by $\left(a \wedge v_{1}\right) \otimes v_{1}^{v}$. One can show that the local construction above glues over all of $X$ and that the construction permutes with base-change. The construction above carried out for $X_{s}$ yields the dualizing sheaf $\omega_{X_{s} / k(s)}$. This proves the lemma.
(2.3) We study now the contraction morphism of a $n$-pointed tree of lines; it comes into the game if one forgets some of the marking sections $\phi_{i}$.

Lemma 4. Let $X \rightarrow S$ be a n-pointed tree and let $\mathscr{L}$ denote the line bundle $\omega_{X / S}\left(\phi_{1}(S)+\ldots+\phi_{n}(S)\right)$. Then:

1) $R^{i} \pi_{*} \mathscr{L}=0$ for $i \geq 1$.
2) $\pi_{*} \mathscr{L}$ is a vector bundle on $S$ of rank $n-1$.
3) $X \rightarrow \operatorname{Proj} .\left(\underset{m \geq 0}{\oplus} \pi_{*}\left(\mathscr{L}^{m}\right)\right)$.

PROOF. The proof is a simplified version of the proof of Thm. 1.8 in [ Kn$]$. We may assume that $S$ is affine. We want to use the theorem on formal functions ([H] p. 277 and remark 11.1.1. on p. 279):

$$
\left(R^{i} \pi_{*} \mathscr{L}\right)_{s}^{\wedge} \leftrightharpoons \lim _{\leftarrow} H^{i}\left(X_{m}, \mathscr{X}_{m}\right)
$$

where $s \in S$ and where

$$
X_{m}=X \times_{S} \operatorname{spec}\left(R_{m}\right) \xrightarrow{v} X \text { with } R_{m}=\mathscr{O}_{S, s} / \underline{m}_{S, s}^{n+1} \text { and } \mathscr{L}_{m}=v * \mathscr{L} .
$$

First we calculate $H^{i}\left(X_{0}, \mathscr{L}_{0}\right)$. Consider the exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{L}_{0} \rightarrow \underset{L}{\oplus} \mathscr{L}_{0}\left|L \rightarrow \underset{d}{\oplus} \mathscr{L}_{0}\right| d \rightarrow 0
$$

when $L$ denotes the components of $X_{0}$ and where $d$ denotes the double points of $X_{0}$. The cohomology of this sequence is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X_{0}, \mathscr{L}_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \underset{L}{\oplus} H^{0}\left(L, \mathscr{L}_{0} \mid L\right) \xrightarrow{\beta} \underset{d}{\oplus} \mathscr{L}_{0}(d) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X_{0}, \mathscr{L}_{0}\right) \rightarrow \\
& \left.\rightarrow \oplus H^{1}\left(L, \mathscr{L}_{0} \mid L\right)\right) \rightarrow \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easily seen that $H^{i}\left(L, \mathscr{L}_{0} \mid L\right)=0$ for $i \geq 1$ and that $\beta$ is surjective. Hence $H^{0}\left(X_{0}, \mathscr{L}_{0}\right)$ has dimension $n-1$ and $H^{i}\left(X_{0}, \mathscr{L}_{0}\right)=0$ for $i>0$.

Next we consider $\mathscr{L}_{m}$ on $X_{m}$. The cohomology of $\mathscr{L}_{m}$ can be calculated with a Čech-complex $0 \rightarrow \oplus \mathscr{L}_{m}\left(U_{i}\right) \rightarrow \oplus \mathscr{L}_{m}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right) \rightarrow \cdots$.

Let $H^{0}, H^{1}$ etc. denote the cohomology groups of this complex. Then $H^{i}=0$ for $i \geq 2$ because $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{m}=1$. Further one has exact sequences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X_{0}, \mathscr{L}_{0}\right) \rightarrow H^{0} \otimes_{R_{m}} R_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R_{m}}\left(H^{1}, R_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X_{0}, \mathscr{L}_{0}\right) \rightarrow H^{1} \otimes_{R_{m}} R_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R_{m}}\left(H^{2}, R_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

One knows that $H^{1}$ is a finitely generated $R_{m}$-module. The second exact sequences implies now $H^{1}=0$. The first sequence implies $H^{0}\left(X_{0}, \mathscr{L}_{0}\right) \leftrightarrows$ $\rightarrow H^{0} \otimes_{R_{m}} R_{0}$.

The augmented Čech-complex

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{0} \rightarrow \oplus \mathscr{L}_{m}\left(U_{i}\right) \rightarrow \oplus \mathscr{L}_{m}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

is now exact.
Since $X / S$ is flat, each term $\mathscr{L}_{m}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j} \cap \cdots\right)$ is a flat $R_{m}$-module. It follows that $H^{0}$ is a flat $R_{m}$-module. Since $R_{m}$ is a local ring it follows that $H^{0}$ is a free $R_{m}$-module of rank $n-1$.

Taking projective limits, one finds $\left(R^{i} \pi_{*} \mathscr{L}\right)_{s}^{\wedge}=0$ for $i>0$ and so $R^{i} \pi_{*} \mathscr{L}=0$ for $i \geq 1$. Further $\left(\pi_{*} \mathscr{L}\right)_{s}^{\wedge}$ is a free module of rank $n-1$ and so $\pi_{*} \mathscr{L}$ is a vector bundle on $S$ of rank $n-1$.

Now we prove the last part of the lemma. We take $S$ affine and small enough such that $\pi_{*} \mathscr{L}$ is free of rank $(n-1)$. Consider the graded $\mathscr{O}(S)$-algebra

$$
\mathscr{A}=\underset{m \geq 0}{\oplus} H^{0}\left(X, \mathscr{L}^{\otimes m}\right)=\underset{m \geq 0}{\oplus} H^{0}\left(S, \pi_{*}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\otimes m}\right)\right)=\underset{m \geq 0}{\oplus} \mathscr{A}_{m}
$$

We note the following properties of $\mathscr{A}$ :
(a) $\mathscr{A}_{1}$ generates $\mathscr{A}$ over $\mathscr{O}(S)$. Indeed let $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathscr{A}$ be generated by $\mathscr{A}_{1}$ then $\mathscr{A}_{m} / \mathscr{B}_{m}$ is a finitely generated $\mathscr{O}(S)$-module for every $m$. For every $s \in S, \mathscr{L} \mid X_{s}$ is very ample and so $\mathscr{A}_{m} / \mathscr{B}_{m} \otimes k(s)=0$. This shows $\mathscr{A}=\mathscr{B}$.
(b) $\mathscr{A}_{1}$ is a free $\mathscr{O}(S)$ module with free basis $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}$. Then

$$
X=\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-2}\left\{x \in X \mid f_{i}(x) \neq 0\right\} .
$$

Indeed, the analogous statement for any fibre $X_{s}$ is true.
From (a) and (b) it follows that Proj $(\mathscr{A})$ is a closed subspace $Y \subset \mathbb{P}_{S}^{n-2}$ and a well defined morphism


We note further:
(c) $\sigma$ is bijective. Indeed every $\sigma_{s}: X_{s} \rightarrow Y_{s}$ is an isomorphism.
(d) $\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{Y, \sigma(x)} \xrightarrow{\hat{\sigma}^{*}} \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{X, x}$ is an isomorphism for every $x \in X$.

Indeed let $s=\pi(x)$, then one has isomorphisms

$$
\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{Y, \sigma(x)} / \hat{\vec{m}}_{s} \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{Y, \sigma(x)} 工 \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{X, x} / \underline{\hat{m}}_{s} \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{X, x} .
$$

It follows at once that $\hat{\sigma}^{*}$ is surjective. Let $I$ denote the kernel of $\hat{\sigma}^{*}$. The flatness of $\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{X, x}$ over $\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{S, s}$ implies $I \hat{\underline{m}}_{S, s}=I$ and so $I M=I$ where $M$ denotes the maximal ideal of $\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{Y, \sigma(x)}$. From $\bigcap_{m \geq 1} M^{m}=0$ it follows that $I=0$.

From (d) one concludes that $\mathscr{O}_{Y, \sigma(x)} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X, X}$ is an isomorphism and this finishes the proof that $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism.

COROLLARy. Let $X \rightarrow S$ be a stable 3-pointed tree, then there exists a unique isomorphism

such that $\sigma^{\circ} \phi_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ are the sections $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P} \times S$ given by $0, \infty$ and 1 .
PROOF. We only need to verify this for $S$ affine and small enough such that $\pi_{*} \mathscr{L}$ is free of rank 2 over $S$. Then lemma 4 yields an isomorphism which can be normalized in a unique way such that $\sigma^{\circ} \phi_{i}$ is the section $0, \infty$, or 1 for $i=1,2$ or 3 .

LEMMA 5. (Contraction) Let $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ be a $n$-pointed stable tree of projective lines. Let $\mathscr{L}_{1}=\omega_{X / S}\left(\phi_{1}(s)+\cdots+\phi_{n-1}(s)\right)$. The morphism $c$,

has the following properties:
(1) $X^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ with $c^{\circ} \phi_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n-1)$ is a $(n-1)$-pointed stable tree.
(2) $c$ is a proper morphism and is called the contraction morphism.
(3) $c_{s}: X_{s} \rightarrow X_{s}^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism except in the following two cases:


PROOF. One may assume that $S$ is affine and small enough. As in Lemma 4 one shows that $R^{i} \pi_{*} \mathscr{L}_{1}=0$ for $i>0$ and $\pi_{*} \mathscr{L}_{1}$ is a free $\mathscr{O}_{S^{-}}$module of rank $n-2$. Similarly one shows that

$$
\mathscr{A}^{\prime}=\oplus_{m \geq 0} H^{0}\left(X, \mathscr{L}_{1}^{\otimes m}\right)
$$

is generated by the terms $\mathscr{A}_{1}^{\prime}$. Further, each $\mathscr{A}_{m}^{\prime}$ is a projective $\mathscr{O}(S)$-module.
Hence $X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} S$ is proper and flat. One has to see that $c: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is welldefined, that amounts to showing that

$$
X=\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-3}\left\{x \in X \mid f_{i}(x) \neq 0\right\}
$$

where $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-3}$ is a free basis of the $\mathscr{O}(S)$-module $H^{0}\left(X, \mathscr{L}_{1}\right)$. For the calculation of the fibres one has to calculate explicitely

$$
\operatorname{Proj}\left(\underset{m \geq 0}{\oplus} H\left(X_{s}, \mathscr{L}_{1}^{\otimes m} \mid X_{s}\right)\right)
$$

This is easily done and one finds that $X^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ is an ( $n-1$ )-tree and moreover one finds property (3). Lemma 5 is proved.

Now we can give the proof of Proposition 3. Fix $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right) \in V_{n}$. There is a uniquely defined morphism $u_{v}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ defined as follows: contract in some order all the sections $\phi_{i}$ with $i \neq v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ of $X \rightarrow S$. This yields a diagram

$$
X \xrightarrow{c} X_{\nu_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}} \xrightarrow[\sigma]{\sim} \mathbb{P} \times S
$$

where $\sigma$ is the isomorphism of the corollary. Then $u_{v}:=p^{\circ} \sigma^{\circ} \mathcal{c}^{\circ} \phi_{v_{4}}$ where $p$ is the projection $\mathbb{P} \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$. The morphism $u$ of proposition 3 is clearly $u=\prod_{v \in V_{n}} u_{v}$.

## 3. THE UNIVERSAL STABLE $n$-POINTED TREE

In this section we study properties of the projection

$$
\pi:=\pi_{n}: B_{n+1} \rightarrow B_{n}
$$

where $B_{n}$ is the closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{V_{n}}$ introduced in (1.4). First we show that the fibres of $\pi$ are stable $n$-pointed trees, thus $\pi$ is a family of stable $n$-pointed trees. The main result of this section (Proposition 4) is that this family is in fact the universal family of stable $n$-pointed trees of projective lines. In the proof we use a covering of $B_{n}$ by open affine subsets of $\mathbb{A}^{n-3}$ which also shows that $B_{n}$ is nonsingular.

We also define the fibre product

$$
Z_{n}:=B_{n} \times_{B_{n-1}} B_{n}
$$

formed with respect to two different projections $B_{n} \rightarrow B_{n-1}$. We determine the singularities of $Z_{n}$ and show that it is a contraction of $B_{n+1}$. The section ends with examples for small $n$.

LEMMA 1. Let $k$ be a field and $q \in B_{n}(k)$. Then the fibre $B:=B_{n+1} \times_{B_{n}} \operatorname{Spec} k$
is isomorphic to the stable n-pointed tree $C:=C(q)$ over $k$ associated with $q$ in Prop. 1.

PROOF. For the $k$-valued points we find a bijective map $\alpha: B(k) \rightarrow C(k)$ easily as follows: Let $q^{\prime}: \operatorname{Spec} k \rightarrow B$ be a point in $B(k)$ and let $C^{\prime}$ be the stable $(n+1)$ pointed tree over $k$ associated with $q^{\prime}$. Then omitting the point $\phi_{n+1}$ on $C^{\prime}$ induces a contraction map $p: C^{\prime} \rightarrow C$. Now define $\alpha\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ as the image of $\phi_{n+1}$ under $p$.

This idea leads to a proof of the proposition in the following way: Let $\mathscr{L}$ be the set of components of $C$. For every $L \in \mathscr{L}$ we can find $d^{L}=\left(d_{1}^{L}, d_{2}^{L}, d_{3}^{L}\right) \in D_{n}$ such that $L$ is the median component relative to $d^{L}$. By (1.1) the morphism $\lambda_{d^{2}}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{L}=\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$ is an isomorphism on $L$. Thus the product map

$$
\beta:=\prod_{L \in \mathscr{L}} \lambda_{d^{L}}: C \rightarrow \prod_{L \in \mathscr{L}} \mathbb{P}_{L}=: \mathbb{P}^{\mathscr{L}}
$$

is an isomorphism of $C$ onto its image $\tilde{C}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{\mathscr{L}}$.
If we consider $\lambda_{d^{L}}$ as (inhomogeneous) coordinate on $\mathbb{P}_{L}$ then $\beta(L)$ is given by the equations $\lambda_{d^{L^{\prime}}}=q_{d^{L^{\prime}} i}, L^{\prime} \in \mathscr{L}, L^{\prime} \neq L$, where $i \in\left\{d_{1}^{L}, d_{2}^{L}, d_{3}^{L}\right\}$ is chosen in such a way that the $\frac{d^{\prime}}{}$-equivalence class of $i$ contains at least two of the indices $d_{1}^{L}, d_{2}^{L}, d_{3}^{L}$ : this condition ensures that $\pi_{L^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{i}\right)=\pi_{L^{\prime}}(L)$, so $q_{d^{L^{\prime}}=}=\lambda_{d^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{i}\right)$ is the constant that $\lambda_{d^{\prime}}$ takes on the component $L$.

Now for every $L \in \mathscr{L}$ the map $u_{d_{1}^{L} d_{2}^{L} d_{3}^{L} n+1}: B_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}$ defined in (2.3) induces a morphism $\gamma_{L}: B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{L}$. We claim that the product morphism

$$
\gamma:=\prod_{L \in \mathscr{L}} \gamma_{L}: B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mathscr{L}}
$$

has its image in $\tilde{C}$. Indeed if $k^{\prime}$ is a field extension of $k$ and $q^{\prime} \in B\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ then by construction $\gamma\left(q^{\prime}\right)=\beta \circ \alpha\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, where $\alpha: B\left(k^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow C\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ is defined as at the beginning of the proof. To show that the morphism $\alpha:=\beta^{-1} \circ \gamma: B \rightarrow C$ is an isomorphism we construct a map $\delta: \mathbb{P}^{\mathscr{L}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{V_{n+1}}$ and show that $\delta(\tilde{C})$ is contained in $B$, considered as subspace of $\mathbb{P}^{V_{n+1}}$ by the canonical embedding of $B_{n+1} \times \operatorname{Spec} k$ into $\mathbb{P}^{V_{n+1}}$. Let $v \in V_{n+1}$. If $v \in V_{n}, \delta$ is defined by sending $\lambda_{v}$ to $q_{v}$. Otherwise we may after permutation assume $v_{4}=n+1$. Let $L:=L_{v}$ denote the median component of $C$ with respect to $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$, and let $d:=d^{L}$. Then there is a unique automorphism $\tau_{v}$ of $\mathbb{P}_{L}$ which maps $\lambda_{d}\left(\pi_{L}\left(\phi\left(v_{i}\right)\right)\right), i=1,2,3$ to $0, \infty$, and 1 , resp. Now let $\delta$ be given by sending $\lambda_{\nu}$ to $\tau_{v} \circ \lambda_{d}$. By construction it is clear that $\delta$ maps $\tilde{C}$ onto $B$ and that $\delta \mid \tilde{C}$ and $\gamma$ are mutually inverse, so $\alpha:=\beta^{-1} \circ \gamma: B \rightarrow C$ is an isomorphism.
(3.2) For $q \in B_{n+1}$ let

$$
U_{q}:=\left\{\lambda_{v} \neq 0 \text { for all } v \in V_{n+1} \text { such that } \lambda_{v}(q) \neq 0\right\} .
$$

$U_{q}$ is an affine open subset of $B_{n+1}$ as for any $v \in V_{n+1}$ we have $U_{q} \subset\left\{\lambda_{v} \neq 0\right\}$ or $U_{q} \subset\left\{\lambda_{\nu} \neq \infty\right\}$. Therefore any $v$ there is $\varepsilon(v) \in\{+1,-1\}$ such that

$$
U_{q}=\bigcap_{\nu \in V_{n+1}}\left\{\lambda_{v}^{\varepsilon(\nu)} \neq \infty\right\}
$$

This clearly is the intersection of an open affine subset of $\mathbb{P}^{V_{n+1}}$ with $B_{n+1}$.
Let $\mathscr{O}:=\mathscr{O}_{B_{n+1}}$ be the structure sheaf on $B_{n+1}$. Then $\mathscr{O}\left(U_{q}\right)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra generated by the $\lambda_{\nu}, v \in V_{n+1}$, such that $\lambda_{\nu}(q) \neq \infty$. If moreover $\lambda_{\nu}(q) \neq 0$ then $\lambda_{v}$ is a unit in $\mathscr{O}\left(U_{q}\right)$.

Let $(C, \phi)$ be the stable $(n+1)$-pointed tree of projective lines associated with $q$ and let $(T, \psi)$ be the combinatorial type of $(C, \phi)$. Then $U_{q}$ consists of all
$q^{\prime} \in B_{n+1}$ whose associated combinatorial type is a contraction of $(T, \psi)$. Thus

$$
U_{q}=B_{n+1}-\cup B\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)
$$

where the union is taken over all ( $T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}$ ) to which $(T, \psi)$ cannot be contracted. In particular, $U_{q}$ depends only on $(T, \psi)$.

LEMMA 2. Let $p:=\pi(q)$. Then $\pi\left(U_{q}\right)=U_{p}$, and we have the following cases:
(i) $\phi_{n+1}$ lies on a component of valence $\geq 4$. Then for $d \in D_{n}$ such that $\phi_{n+1} \in L_{d}, \mathscr{O}\left(U_{q}\right)$ is a localisation of $\mathscr{O}\left(U_{p}\right)\left[\lambda_{d, n+1}\right]$.
(ii) $\phi_{n+1}$ lies on an end component $L$ of valence 3. Choose $d \in D_{n}$ such that $L_{d}$ intersects $L$ and $\phi_{d_{3}} \in L$. Again $\mathscr{O}\left(U_{q}\right)$ is a localisation of $\mathscr{O}\left(U_{p}\right)\left[\lambda_{d, n+1}\right]$.
(iii) $\phi_{n+1}$ lies on a component $L$ of valence 3 that meets two other components $L^{\prime}, L^{\prime \prime}$.

Choose $d \in V_{n}$ such that $L^{\prime}=L_{d_{1} d_{3} n+1}, L^{\prime \prime}=L_{d_{2} d_{4} n+1}$. Then for $x:=$ $=\lambda_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{4} n+1}$ and $y:=\lambda_{d_{2} d_{1} d_{3} n+1}$, we have $x \cdot y=\lambda_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{4} d_{3}} \in \mathscr{O}\left(U_{p}\right)$, and $\mathscr{O}\left(U_{q}\right)$ is a localisation of $\mathscr{O}\left(U_{p}\right)[x, y]$.

PROOF. Let $m:=n+1$. We shall show that for any $e \in D_{n}$ such that $\lambda_{e m}(q) \neq \infty$ we have $\lambda_{e m} \in \mathscr{O}\left(U_{p}\right)\left[\lambda_{d m}\right][1 / f]$ for a suitable $f$. We give the proof for the first case:

1. If $e$ is a permutation of $d$, then $\lambda_{e m}(q) \neq \infty$, and $\lambda_{e m}$ is one of the functions $\lambda_{d m}^{ \pm 1},\left(1-\lambda_{d m}\right)^{ \pm 1}\left(\lambda_{d m}\left(1-\lambda_{d m}\right)\right)^{ \pm 1}$.

Thus $\lambda_{e m}$ is contained in

$$
A_{1}:=\mathscr{O}\left(U_{p}\right)\left[\lambda_{d m}\right]\left[\left(\lambda_{d m}\left(1-\lambda_{d m}\right)\right)^{-1}\right]
$$

2. Let $e=d_{1} d_{2} e_{3}$ such that $e_{3}$ is not $\tilde{d}_{d}$-equivalent to $d_{1}$ or $d_{2}$ relative to $(T, \psi)$. Then $\lambda_{d e_{3}} \in \mathscr{O}\left(U_{p}\right)^{*}$, and from

$$
\lambda_{d_{1} d_{2} e_{3} m} \cdot \lambda_{d e_{3}}=\lambda_{d m}
$$

we get $\lambda_{e m} \in A_{1}$.
3. Let $e$ define the same equivalence relation as $d, \tilde{d}=\underset{e}{e}$. Then we can apply step 2 and permutation several times to transform $e$ into $d$. The permutations make a further localisation necessary, one sees that

$$
\lambda_{e m} \in A:=A_{1}\left[\frac{1}{f}\right]
$$

where $f:=\Pi\left(\lambda_{d e_{3}}-\lambda_{d m}\right)$, the product being taken over all $e_{3}$ with $\widetilde{d_{1} d_{2} e_{3}}=\widetilde{d}$.
4. Let $e=d_{1} d_{2} e_{3}$; if $e_{3} \sim d_{d}$ then $\lambda_{e m}(q)=\infty$, so let $e_{3} \sim d_{d}$. From

$$
\lambda_{d_{1} d_{2} e_{3} d_{3}}(p)=\lambda_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} e_{3}}^{-1}(p)=0
$$

we see that $\lambda_{d_{1} d_{2} e_{3} d_{3}} \in \mathscr{O}\left(U_{p}\right)$. Thus $\lambda_{e m}=\lambda_{d_{1} d_{2} e_{3} d_{3}} \in A_{1}$.
5. For general $e$ we have to apply step 4 , permutation, and step 3 to show that $\lambda_{e m} \in A$.

The same proof holds for cases (ii) and (iii) with the following difference in the third case: if the median component of $e$ is in the same connected component of $C-L$ as $L^{\prime}$ (resp. $L^{\prime \prime}$ ) one shows that $\lambda_{e m}$ is contained in a localisation of $\mathscr{O}\left(U_{p}\right)[x]$ (resp. of $\left.\mathscr{O}\left(U_{p}\right)[y]\right)$.

By induction on $n$ one proves the following consequences of Lemma 2:
COROLLARY. (i) $B_{n}$ can be covered by open affine subsets of $\mathbb{A}^{n-3}$.
(ii) $B_{n}$ is nonsingular.
(iii) $\pi: B_{n+1} \rightarrow B_{n}$ is flat.

Note that to prove (i) we have to use all the projections $B_{n+1} \rightarrow B_{n}$ with respect to the different indices. Equivalently we could use the obvious action of the symmetric group $S_{n+1}$ on $B_{n+1}$ to obtain the desired covering.
(3.3) There are natural sections $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}$ to our projection $\pi: B_{n+1} \rightarrow B_{n}: \sigma_{i}$ is the morphism defined by sending $\lambda_{v}^{(n+1)}$ to $\lambda_{v}^{(n)}$ if $v \in V_{n}$ and $\lambda_{\nu_{1} v_{2} v_{3} n+1}$ to $\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} i}$ if $i \notin\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ and to $0, \infty$ and 1 if $i=\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}$ and $\nu_{3}$, respectively. By (3.1) and (3.2) these sections make $\pi: B_{n+1} \rightarrow B_{n}$ into a stable $n$-pointed tree of projective lines.

PROPOSITION 4. $\pi: B_{n+1} \rightarrow B_{n}$ is the universal stable $n$-pointed tree of projective lines.

This means that the functor which associates with every noetherian scheme $S$ the set of stable $n$-pointed trees of projective lines over $S$, is represented by $B_{n}$.

In other words $B_{n}$ is a fine moduli space for stable $n$-pointed trees of projective lines.

PROOF. We have to show that for any stable $n$-pointed tree of projective lines $f: X \rightarrow S$ there is a unique morphism $u: S \rightarrow B_{n}$ such that $X$ becomes isomorphic to $B_{n+1} \times{ }_{B_{n}} S$.

By Prop. 3 we have a morphism $u: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{V_{n}}$. It clearly factors through $B_{n}$, so we consider $u$ as a morphism $u: S \rightarrow B_{n}$.

For any triple $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right) \in D$ we have a corresponding contraction $c(d): X \rightarrow X_{d}$ of $X$ and a commutative diagram:


The product $\prod_{d \in D} g(d): X \rightarrow \prod_{d \in D} \mathbb{P}_{d} \times B_{n}$ factors over $B_{n+1}$. Here $B_{n+1}$ is seen as a closed subscheme of $\Pi \mathbb{P}_{d} \times B_{n}$. The corresponding $g: X \rightarrow B_{n+1}$
satisfies $\pi \circ g=u \circ f$ and $g \circ \phi_{i}=\sigma_{i} \circ u$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Moreover $g$ induces on any component of $X_{s}, s \in S$, either a constant map or an isomorphism. As the fibre $X_{s}$ is a stable $n$-pointed tree over $k(s)$, and as $g$ preserves the marked points, it cannot map a component onto a point. This shows that the induced morphism $X \rightarrow B_{n+1} \times{ }_{B_{n}} S$ is an isomorphism. We still have to prove the uniqueness of $u$. Suppose that $u_{1}: S \rightarrow B_{n}$ and a compatible isomorphism $X \rightarrow B_{n+1} \times{ }_{B_{n}} S$ are given. The induced $g_{1}: X \rightarrow B_{n+1}$ satisfies again:
(i) $\pi \circ g_{1}=u_{1} \circ f$ and $g_{1} \circ \phi_{i}=\sigma_{i} \circ u_{1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.
(ii) $g_{1}$ induces for any $s \in S$ on any component of $X_{s}$ either a constant map or an isomorphism.
We fix a triple $d=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$ and we contract both $X$ and $B_{n+1}$ with respect to all $i \notin\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$. Since contraction commutes with base-change we find a morphism $g_{1, d}$ between the contractions $X_{d}$ and $\left(B_{n+1}\right)_{d}$. Now $X$ is identified with $\mathbb{P}_{1} \times S$ such that $\phi_{v_{1}}, \phi_{\nu_{2}}, \phi_{v_{3}}$ become the sections $0, \infty$ and 1 , and we have a similar identification $\left(B_{n+1}\right)_{d}=\mathbb{P}_{1} \times B_{n}$. Then $g_{1, d}=\left(i d_{\mathbb{P}_{1}}, u_{1}\right)$. For any $v_{1} \notin\left\{\nu_{1}, v_{2}, \nu_{3}\right\}$ one has that $\operatorname{pr}_{1} \circ g_{1, d} \circ \phi_{\nu_{4}}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{1}$ coincides with $u_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}$. Hence $u=u_{1}$ and $g=g_{1}$ since $g_{1, d}=g_{d}$ for all $d$.
(3.4) We fix two indices $i, j$ such that $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, and form the fibre product

$$
Z:=Z_{n}^{i j}:=B_{n} \times_{B_{n-1}} B_{n}
$$

with respect to the projections $\pi_{n}^{i}$ and $\pi_{n}^{j}$ induced by omitting the index $i$ and $j$, respectively.

The projection

$$
p r_{1}: Z \rightarrow B_{n}
$$

onto the first factor is a stable $(n-1)$-pointed tree.
We also have an extra section, namely the diagonal map $\Delta: B_{n} \rightarrow Z$. In this situation Knudsen defines in [Kn], § 2 the stabilization of $p r_{1}: Z \rightarrow B_{n}$ with respect to $\Delta$. We claim that this stabilization is isomorphic to $\pi: B_{n+1} \rightarrow B_{n}$. By [ Kn ], Cor. 2.6 we can equivalently show that $Z$ is isomorphic to the contraction of $\pi: B_{n+1} \rightarrow B_{n}$ with respect to the $i$-th section $\sigma_{i}$. Now the projections $\pi_{n+1}^{i}$ and $\pi_{n+1}^{j+1}$ from $B_{n+1}$ to $B_{n}$ satisfy

$$
\pi_{n}^{j} \circ \pi_{n+1}^{i}=\pi_{n}^{i} \circ \pi_{n+1}^{j+1} .
$$

Hence we get a proper morphism

$$
f: B_{n+1} \rightarrow Z
$$

Now for any $q \in B_{n}$ the fibre $\pi^{-1}(q):=B_{n+1} \times{ }_{B_{n}}$ Spec $k(q)$ is isomorphic to the $n$-pointed tree $C(q)$ by lemma 1 .

On the other hand, $p r_{1}^{-1}(q)$ is isomorphic to $\left(\pi_{n}^{j}\right)^{-1}\left(\pi_{n}^{i}(q)\right)$, and this is in fact the contraction of $C(q)$ with respect to the $i$-th point.

The above remark shows in particular that $f: B_{n+1} \rightarrow Z$ is birational. More precisely, $f$ is an isomorphism on the open set $U:=B_{n+1}-\cup B(T, \psi)$, where
the union is taken over all stable $(n+1)$-marked trees of the following two types.
(a)


$$
I \subset \underline{n+1}-\{i, j+1\}
$$

(b)

$k \in \underline{n+1}-\{i, j+1\}$.

The fibre over a point in $Z-f(U)$ is a projective line. Note that a subspace $B(T, \psi)$ of type (b) has codimension 1 in $B_{n+1}$ whereas a subspace of type (a) has codimension 2, so their images in $Z$ have codimension 2 and 3, resp.

There is no $(n+1)$-marked tree which can be contracted to different ( $T, \psi$ ) of type (a) or (b), so the union in the definition of $U$ is disjoint.

Although $B_{n}$ and $B_{n-1}$ are smooth varieties by (3.2) the morphism $\pi_{n}^{i}$ is not smooth because of the singularities in the fibres. So $Z$ may have singularities. In fact we have

PROPOSITION 5. The singular set of $Z$ is $S:=\bigcup f(B(T, \psi)$ ) where the union is taken over all $(T, \psi)$ of type (a).

Proof. We first show that the singularities of $Z$ are contained in $S$. Since $f$ is biregular on $U$, it suffices to show that $f(B(T, \psi)$ ) is nonsingular for ( $T, \psi$ ) of type (b). But any point $z$ in such a set is mapped into $B_{n-1}^{*}$ by $\pi_{n}^{i} \circ p r_{1}$, so it lies in a smooth fibre. Hence we can find an open $V \subset \bar{B}_{n}$ containing $p r_{1}(z)$ such that $\pi_{n}^{i} \mid V$ is smooth. Then $p r_{2} \mid U \times_{B_{n-1}} B_{n}$ is also smooth, and thus $z$ is a regular point of $Z$.

To prove that any $z \in S$ is in fact singular we calculate the local ring $\mathscr{O}_{Z, z}$ : for simplicity we assume $i=4, j=5$, and the combinatorial type of $z_{1}:=p r_{1}(z)$ and $z_{2}:=p r_{2}(z)$ is


Here $I:=\underline{n}-\underline{5}$; one easily reduces to the case $n=5$, so we may take $I$ to be empty.

The proof of lemma 2 shows that we may take $\alpha=: \lambda_{1342}$ and $\beta:=\lambda_{1354}$ as local coordinates in $z_{1}$ and $\gamma:=\mu_{1352}$ and $\delta:=\mu_{1345}$ as local coordinates in $z_{2}$. (We write $\lambda$ and $\mu$ in order to distinguish the two copies of $B_{5}$ ).

Now $\pi_{5}^{4}$ is given by sending the coordinate $v=v_{1234}$ of $B_{4}$ onto $\lambda_{1235}$, whereas $\pi_{5}^{5}$ is given by $v \rightarrow \mu_{1234}$. In local coordinates we have $\lambda_{1235}=1-\alpha \beta$ and $\mu_{1234}=1-\gamma \delta$. Thus

$$
\mathscr{O}_{Z, z}=\mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta] /(\alpha \beta-\gamma \delta),
$$

and $Z$ has a "conic" singularity in $z$.

COROLLARY. The singular locus $S$ of $Z$ has codimension 3. Note that $f: B_{n+1} \rightarrow Z$ is a desingularization of $Z$ which is not obtained by blowing up the singular locus.

EXAMPLES. 1) From the definition we see that $B_{4} \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$.
2) $B_{5}$ is the blowing up of $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}=B_{4} \times B_{4}$ at the three points $(0,0),(1,1)$ and $(\infty, \infty)$ that correspond respectively to the following 4-pointed trees (the same on both components):



3) $B_{5} \times{ }_{B_{4}} B_{5}$ has three singular points: namely let the fibre product be defined by $\pi_{5}^{5}$ and $\pi_{5}^{4}$; then the projection of the singular points $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$ on the two factors $B_{5}$ are


$s_{2}$ :





The map $f: B_{6} \rightarrow Z=Z_{5}^{45}$ has nontrivial fibres over $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$, and over the four disjoint projective lines

4. PICARD GROUP AND BETTI NUMBERS OF $B_{n}$
(4.1) PROPOSITION 6. Pic $\left(B_{n}\right)$ is a free group of rank

$$
2^{n-1}-(n+1)-\frac{n(n-3)}{2}
$$

Proof. 1) For any $S \subseteq \underline{n}$ with $2 \leq \# S \leq n-2$ we denote by $D(S)$ the divisor $B(T, \phi)$ where $T$ has two vertices $t_{1}, t_{2}$ and $\phi^{-1}\left(t_{1}\right)=S, \phi^{-1}\left(t_{2}\right)=S^{*}:=n-S$. From Proposition 2 in (1.5) and the Corollary in (3.2) it follows that $D(S)$ is an irreducible divisor.

It is obvious from the definition that $D(S)=D(T)$ if and only if $S=T$ or
$S=T^{*}=\underline{n}-T$, and that the irreducible components of $B_{n}-B_{n}^{*}$ are exactly the subschemes of the form $D(S)$ because any $n$-marked tree ( $T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}$ ) with more than one vertex contracts to a $n$-marked tree with two vertices $(T, \psi)$ and $B\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right) \subseteq B(T, \psi)$, see (1.5).
$D(S) \cap D(T) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if one of the following cases occurs: $S \subset T$ or $T \subset S$ or $S \subset T^{*}$ or $T^{*} \subset S$ because if $D(S) \cap D(T) \neq \emptyset$ then there is a $n$-marked tree ( $T, \psi$ ) with $\geq 3$ vertices which contracts to the $n$-marked trees belonging to the subsets $S$ and $T$ of $n$.

Now we prove that the divisor of the rational function $\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}$ is equal to

$$
\sum_{\substack{v_{1}, v_{4} \in S \\ v_{2}, v_{3} \in S^{*}}} D(S)-\sum_{\substack{v_{1}, v_{3} \in S \\ v_{2}, v_{4} \in S^{*}}} D(S)
$$

The function $\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}$ has no zeros nor poles in $B_{n}^{*}$. Further $\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}$ is zero on $D(S)$ if and only if $v_{1}, v_{4} \in S$ and $v_{2}, v_{3} \notin S$ (or $v_{1}, v_{4} \boxminus S$ and $v_{2}, v_{3} \in S$ ) and $\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}$ has a pole on $D(S)$ if and only if $v_{1}, v_{3} \in S$ and $v_{2}, v_{4} \ddagger S$ (or $v_{1}, v_{3} \boxminus S$ and $v_{2}, v_{4} \in S$ ). We only have to show that all the multiplicities are 1 or $(-1)$. Fix some $S \subset \underline{N}$ with $2 \leq \# S \leq n-2$. Then $D(S)^{*}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{a b c d}=0 \text { if } a, d \in S \text { and } b, c \notin S \\
& \lambda_{a b c d} \neq 0,1, \infty \text { if \# }\{a, b, c, d\} \cap S \geq 3 \\
& \quad \text { or if } \#\{a, b, c, d\} \cap S \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $U$ denote the open subset of $B_{n}$ given by $\lambda_{a b c d} \neq 0,1, \infty$ if

$$
\#\{a, b, c, d\} \cap S \geq 3 \text { or } \#\{a, b, c, d\} \cap S \leq 1
$$

Clearly $D(S)^{*}=U \cap D(S)$.
Fix now $a, b, c, d$ with $a, d \in S$ and $b, c \notin S$.
Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}$ also satisfy $v_{1}, v_{4} \in S$ and $v_{2}, v_{3} \notin S$. Consider the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}}=\lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} c} \cdot \lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} c v_{4}} \\
& \lambda_{v_{1} v_{2} c v_{4}}=\lambda_{c v_{4} v_{1} v_{2}}=\lambda_{c v_{4} v_{1} b} \cdot \lambda_{c v_{4} b v_{2}}=\lambda_{v_{1} b c v_{4}} \cdot \lambda_{c v_{4} b v_{2}} \\
& \lambda_{v_{1} b c v_{4}}=\lambda_{v_{1} b c d} \cdot \lambda_{v_{1} b d v_{4}} \\
& \lambda_{v_{1} b c d}=\lambda_{c d v_{1} b}=\lambda_{c d v_{1} b} \cdot \lambda_{c d a b}=\lambda_{c d v_{1} a} \cdot \lambda_{a b c d} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\lambda_{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}}=u$. $\lambda_{a b c d}$ where $u$ is a unit on $U$. Hence $D(S) \cap U$ is defined by the principal ideal ( $\lambda_{a b c d}$ ) on $U$. Since $a, b, c, d$ were arbitrary, except for $a, d \in S$ and $b, c \notin S$, we have shown that all multiplicities are 1 and -1 .
2) Pic $\left(B_{n}\right)$ is generated by the $D(S)$ because $B_{n}^{*}$ is factorial. The number of generators is $2^{n-1}-(n+1)$. The relations in Pic $\left(B_{n}\right)$ are given by the divisors of $t_{i}:=\lambda_{1,2,3, i}, t_{i}-1$ and $\left(t_{i}-t_{j}\right) t_{j}^{-1}$ with $i, j \geq 4$

$$
\left(\text { in number } \frac{n(n-3)}{2}\right) .
$$

The proposition will be proved if we can find some

$$
\frac{n(n-3)}{2} \times \frac{n(n-3)}{2}
$$

submatrix of the relations with determinant $\pm 1$. In order to find this submatrix we only look at the $D(S)$ with $\# S=2$.

An easy calculation yields:
$t_{i}=\lambda_{123 i}$ and $\operatorname{div}\left(t_{i}\right)=\underline{D(\{1, i\})}+D(\{2,3\})-D(\{2, i\})-D(\{1,3\})$
$-t_{i}+1=\lambda_{23 i 1}$ and $\operatorname{div}\left(1-t_{i}\right)=D(\{1,2\})+\underline{D(\{3, i\})}-D(\{2, i\})-D(\{1,3\})$
$t_{j}^{-1}\left(t_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right)=\lambda_{2 i i 1}$ and $\left.\operatorname{div}\left(\lambda_{2 j i 1}\right)=D(\{1,2\})+\underline{D(\{i, j\}}\right)-D(\{2, i\})-D(\{1, j\})$.
The underlined $D(S)$ occur just once; they give a submatrix of size

$$
\frac{n(n-3)}{2} \times \frac{n(n-3)}{2}
$$

of determinant 1.
(4.2) Let $F_{n}(x)$ be the polynomial

$$
\sum_{(T, \psi)}(x-2)^{r_{4}(T, \psi)} \cdot \ldots \cdot(x-n+2)^{r_{n}(T, \psi)}
$$

where the summation is over all isomorphism classes of $n$-marked stable trees and where $r_{i}(T, \psi)$ is the number of vertices of $T$ of valence $\geq i$. The term

$$
F_{(T, \psi)}(x)=(x-2)^{r_{4}(T, \psi)} \cdot \ldots \cdot(x-n+2)^{r_{n}(T, \psi)}
$$

has degree $(n-3)$-number of edges of $T$. If ( $T, \psi$ ) is obtained from ( $T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}$ ) by contracting one edge, then

$$
\operatorname{deg} F_{\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)}=\sum_{i=4}^{n} r_{i}\left(T^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{deg} F_{(T, \psi)}-1
$$

because

$$
\sum_{i=4}^{n} r_{i}(T, \psi)=\sum_{t \in T_{0}}(\text { val } t-3) \text { and } \sum_{t \in T_{0}} \text { val } t=\sum_{t^{\prime} \in T_{0}^{\prime}} \text { val } t^{\prime}-2
$$

while $\# T_{0}^{\prime}=1+\# T_{0}$.
In the sum there is one term of degree $n-3$ which is $F_{\left(T^{0}, \psi^{0}\right)}$ where $T^{0}$ has just one vertex and

$$
F_{\left(T^{0}, \psi^{0}\right)}(x)=(x-2)(x-3) \cdot \ldots \cdot(x-n+2)
$$

Thus $\operatorname{deg} F_{n}(x)=n-3$. Let

$$
F_{n}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-3} F_{n i} x^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[x] .
$$

PROPOSITION 7. Let $h_{i}$ be the rank of the cohomology group $H^{\prime}\left(B_{n}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}\right)$ which is the $i$-th Betti number of the complex manifold of $\mathbb{C}$-valued points of $B_{n}$. Then

$$
h_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
F_{n, n-3-r} & : \text { if } i=2 r \text { is even } \\
0: & \text { if } i \text { is } \text { odd } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Moreover

$$
h_{2}=2^{n-1}-n-\binom{n-1}{2}
$$

$F_{n, n-3-r}=F_{n, r}, \sum_{i=0}^{n-3} F_{n, i} \cdot 2^{i}$ is the number of 3-regular n-marked stable trees.
 the corollary to proposition 2 in (1.5), where $p$ is any prime number. The zetafunction of $B_{n} \times \mathbb{F}_{p}$ is thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z(t) & =\exp \left(\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-3} F_{n i}\left(p^{i}\right)^{v} \frac{t^{r}}{v}\right) \\
& =\exp \sum_{i=0}^{n-3} F_{n i}\left(\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(p^{i} t\right)^{v}}{v}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=0}^{n-3} \frac{1}{\left(1-p^{i} t\right) F_{n i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$B_{n}$ is smooth and projective and therefore by the Riemann hypothesis for $Z(t)$ one gets that all $F_{n i}$ are $\geq 0$, see [D].

Moreover also through the Weil conjectures one knows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{2 i} & =F_{n i} \\
h_{2 i+1} & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The functional equation for $Z(t)$ tells that $F_{n, n-3-r}=F_{n, r}$.
2) We determine the $n$-marked trees $(T, \psi)$ with $\operatorname{deg}(T, \psi)=n-4$. Any such ( $T, \psi$ ) is uniquely given by a pair of subsets $A, \underline{n}-A$ of $n$ with $2 \leq \# A \leq n-2$. The number of these subset pairs is $2^{n-1}-n-1$.

Now

$$
F_{\left(T^{0}, \psi^{0}\right)}(x)=x^{n-3}-(2+3+\cdots+n-2) x^{n-4}
$$

+ lower terms and $F_{(T, \psi)}(x)=x^{n-4}+$ lower terms if $\operatorname{deg}(T, \psi)=n-4$. Thus

$$
F_{n}(x)=x^{n-3}+\left(2^{n-1}-n-1-(2+3+\cdots+n-2)\right) x^{n-4}
$$

+lower terms. This shows that

$$
F_{n, n-4}=2^{n-1}-n-\binom{n-1}{2}
$$

 thus $F_{(T, y)}(2)=0$. Thus $\sum_{i=0}^{n-3} F_{n i} 2^{i}$ is the number of stable $n$-marked trees ( $T, \psi$ ) for which $F_{(T, \psi)}$ is constant. This is the case iff $(T, \psi)$ is 3-regular and for 3-regular $n$-marked trees $(T, \psi)$ one has $F_{(T, \psi)}=1$.

## 5. $B_{n}$ AS BLOW UP OF $\mathbb{P}_{1}^{n} / P G L_{2}$

(5.1) The natural action of $P G L_{2}$ on $\left(\mathbb{P}_{1}\right)^{n}$, given by $\sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=$ $=\left(\sigma\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$, has been studied in detail in [GIT], [MS] and [G]. We denote by $\left(\mathbb{P}_{1}^{n}\right)_{s s}$ the set of points in $\mathbb{P}_{1}^{n}$ that are semistable for this action, and by $Q_{n}$ the quotient $\left(\mathbb{P}_{1}^{n}\right)_{s s} / P G L_{2}$. In this section we show that $B_{n}$ is a blow up of $Q_{n}$ and describe this blow up explicitly.

We begin by recalling the basic results on $Q_{n}$ from [GIT], [MS], or [G]: $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{1}^{n}$ is semistable if and only if $a_{y}(x):=\#\left\{i: x_{i}=y\right\} \leq n / 2$ for any $y \in \mathbb{P}_{1} ; x$ is stable if and only if $a_{y}(x)<n / 2$ for any $y \in \mathbb{P}_{1}$.

For $n$ odd, the sets $\left(\mathbb{P}_{1}^{n}\right)_{s}$ of stable points and $\left(\mathbb{P}_{1}^{n}\right)_{s s}$ coincide, and $Q_{n}$ is a geometric quotient. Moreover $Q_{n}$ is smooth and projective over $\mathbb{Z}$ of relative dimension $n-3$.

For $n=2 m, \tilde{Q}_{n}:=\left(\mathbb{P}_{1}^{n}\right)_{s} / P G L_{2}$ is again a geometric quotient, but not complete, whereas $Q_{n}$ is projective but not a geometric quotient. In fact $Q_{n}-\tilde{Q}_{n}$ consists of $\frac{1}{2}\binom{2 m}{m}$ points corresponding to the orbits of points where exactly $m$ entries coincide. (Note that the point $(0, \ldots, 0, \infty, \ldots, \infty)$ is contained in the boundary of the orbit of any point of the form $\left(x, \ldots, x, x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ or $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}, x, \ldots, x\right)$.) $\tilde{Q}_{n}$ is smooth over $\mathbb{Z}$, but we have

PROPOSITION 8. For $n$ even, $Q_{n}-\tilde{Q}_{n}$ is the singular locus of $Q_{n}$.
PROOF. According to [G], $Q_{2 m}=\operatorname{Proj} A$, where $A=\oplus_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k}$ is a graded $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra with $A_{0}=\mathbb{Z}$.
$A_{1}$ generates $A$, and $A_{1}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module is generated by the expressions $p_{a_{1}, b_{1}} p_{a_{2}, b_{2}} \cdots p_{a_{m}, b_{m}}$ where
(1) $\{1,2, \ldots, 2 m\}=\left\{a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, b_{m}\right\}$
(2) $p_{a, b}=x_{a}(0) x_{b}(1)-x_{a}(1) x_{b}(0)$ and $\left(x_{i}(0), x_{i}(1)\right)$ denote the homogeneous coordinates of the $i^{\text {th }}$ factor in $\left(\mathbb{P}_{1}^{n}\right)$.
Let $U \subset Q_{2 m}$ be given by $p_{1, b_{1}} \cdots p_{m, b_{m}} \neq 0$ for all $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}$ with $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\}=$ $=\{m+1, \ldots, 2 m\}$. Clearly $U$ is affine. Let $\tau:\left(\mathbb{P}_{1}^{n}\right)_{s s} \rightarrow Q_{2 m}$ denote the canonical map. Then $\tau^{-1}(U)=\left\{\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{2 m}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{1}^{n}: p_{i} \neq p_{j}\right.$ for $\left.i \leq m<j\right\}$. Since $\tau:\left(\mathbb{P}_{1}^{n}\right)_{s s} \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow Q_{2 m}$ is a good quotient we find that $\mathscr{O}(U)=\mathscr{O}\left(\tau^{-1} U\right)^{P G L_{2}}$. Consider $W \subset \tau^{-1} U$ given as $W=\left\{\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{2 m}\right) \in \tau^{-1}(U): p_{1}=0, p_{m+1}=\infty\right\}$. Clearly $\mathscr{O}\left(\tau^{-1} U\right)^{P G L_{2}}=\mathscr{O}(W)^{\mathbb{G}_{m}}$.

Put $q_{i}=p_{i+m}^{-1}$ for $i \geq 2$ and identify $W$ with the open part

$$
\left\{\left(p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{m-1}: p_{i} q_{j} \neq 1 \text { for all } i, j\right\}
$$

of the affine space $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2 m-2}$. The action of $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ is given by

$$
a\left(p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{m}\right)=\left(a p_{2}, \ldots, a p_{m}, a^{-1} q_{2}, \ldots, a^{-1} q_{m}\right)
$$

One easily sees that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{O}(W)^{\mathbb{G}_{m}} & =\mathbb{Z}\left[p_{i} q_{j} \mid i, j=2, \ldots, m\right]_{\mathrm{loc}}= \\
& =\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{i j} \mid i, j=2, \ldots, m\right] /\left(A_{i j} A_{k l}-A_{i l} A_{k j}\right) \mathrm{loc},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{i j}$ stands for $p_{i} q_{j}$ and where loc means localisation at $\Pi_{i, j}\left(A_{i j}-1\right)$.
Let $\mathbb{A}^{m-1} \otimes \mathbb{A}^{m-1}$ denote the $(m-1)^{2}$-dimensional affine space over $\mathbb{Z}$ and let $\mathbb{A}^{m-1} \circ \mathbb{A}^{m-1}$ be the closed subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^{m-1} \otimes \mathbb{A}^{m-1}$ consisting of the simple tensors, i.e. the elements of the form $v_{1} \otimes v_{2}$. Then

$$
\mathbb{A}^{m-1} \circ \mathbb{A}^{m-1} \cong \operatorname{spec}\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{i j}: i, j=2, \ldots, m\right] /\left(A_{i j} A_{k l}-A_{k j} A_{i l}\right)\right)
$$

In particular, the singular locus of $U$ corresponds to the 0 -section of $\mathbb{A}^{m-1} \circ \mathbb{A}^{m-1}$, and corresponds to the prime-ideal $\left(A_{i j}:\right.$ all $\left.i, j\right)$ of the ring above.

The isomorphism of $U$ with the open subset of $\mathbb{A}^{m-1} \circ \mathbb{A}^{m-1}$ given by $A_{i j} \neq 1$ for all $i, j$ can be easily described by the morphism $\tau^{-1} U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{m-1} \circ \mathbb{A}^{m-1}$ given by the formula

$$
\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{2 m}\right) \rightarrow\left(\sigma\left(p_{2}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(p_{m}\right)\right) \otimes\left(\sigma\left(p_{m+1}\right)^{-1}, \ldots, \sigma\left(p_{2 m}\right)^{-1}\right)
$$

in which

$$
\sigma(z)=\frac{z-p_{1}}{z-p_{m+1}}
$$

Note that for $m=3$ the singularities of $Q_{6}$ are isomorphic to those of $B_{5} \times{ }_{B_{4}} B_{5}$, see (3.5).
(5.2) Let $\left(\mathbb{P}_{1}^{n}\right)^{*}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{1}^{n}: x_{i} \neq x_{j}\right.$ for $\left.i \neq j\right\} \subset\left(\mathbb{P}_{1}^{n}\right)_{s}$ and let $Q_{n}^{*}:=$ $=\left(\mathbb{P}_{1}^{n}\right)^{*} / P G L_{2}$. Then there is a natural isomorphism $p_{n}^{*}: B_{n}^{*} \rightarrow Q_{n}^{*}$. We can extend $p_{n}^{*}$ to a morphism $p_{n}: B_{n} \rightarrow Q_{n}$ in the following way:

For $q \in B_{n}$ there exists a component $L$ of the associated stable $n$-pointed tree $C(q)$ such that $\left(\pi_{L}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \pi_{L}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \in\left(\mathbb{P}_{1}^{n}\right)_{s s}(k(q))$ where $\pi_{L}: C(q) \rightarrow L$ is the projection onto $L$ and $x_{1}:=\phi_{q}(i) \in C(q)(k(q))$ is the $i$-th marked point on $C(q)$ and where we identify $L$ with $\mathbb{P}_{1}(k(q))$ in some way. The existence of such an $L$ can easily be proved by induction on $n$. Note however that for $n$ odd, $L$ is unique, whereas for $n$ even there may be two intersecting components $L, L^{\prime}$ with the required property. We call $L$ (or $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ ) the center of $C(q)$. Now we define $p_{n}(q)$ as the $P G L_{2}$-orbit of ( $\pi_{L}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \pi_{L}\left(x_{n}\right)$ ).

Let $d \in D_{n}$ be a triple of indices such lthat $L$ is the median component $L(d)$ of $d$. In an open affine neighbourhood $U_{q}$ of $q, L(d)$ is still the center of $C\left(q^{\prime}\right), q^{\prime} \in U_{q}$. Now it is not hard to see that $q \rightarrow p_{n}(q)$ is a morphism on $U_{q}$ and that these morphisms on a covering of $B_{n}$ by $U_{q}^{\prime} s$ fit together to a projective morphism $p_{n}: B_{n} \rightarrow Q_{n}$. Since $p_{n}$ is an isomorphism on $B_{n}^{*}$, thus birational, we have proved (see [H], Ch. II, Thm. 7.17):

PROPOSITION 9. $\quad B_{n}$ is the blowing-up of $Q_{n}$ with respect to some coherent sheaf of ideals.

REMARK. $p_{4}: B_{4} \rightarrow Q_{4}$ is obviously an isomorphism.
$p_{5}: B_{5} \rightarrow Q_{5}$ is also an isomorphism since for $q \in B_{5}$ any component of $C(q)$ which is different from the center, has order 3.

The first nontrivial blowing up occurs when $n=6$. The nontrivial fibres of $p_{6}$ are the 10 disjoint subschemes in $B_{6}$, each isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{1}$, for which the associated 6-pointed tree contracts to a permutation of


These subvarieties are mapped onto the 10 singular points of $Q_{6}$. Surprisingly, although $Q_{6}$ and $B_{5} \times{ }_{B_{4}} B_{5}$ are locally isomorphic around the singular points, the maps $B_{6} \rightarrow Q_{6}$ and $B_{6} \rightarrow B_{5} \times{ }_{E_{4}} B_{5}$ are completely different.
(5.3) In order to find on explicit description of the blow up $B_{n} \rightarrow Q_{n}$ we introduce the notion of a stable $(d, n)$-tree:

DEFINITION. a) Let $k$ be a field and $d, n$ integers satisfying $1 \leq d \leq(n-1) / 2$. A tree of projective lines $X$ over $k$ (see (1.1)) together with a map $\phi:\{1, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow X(k)$ is called a stable $(d, n)$-tree over $k$ if
(i) $\phi(i)$ is nonsingular for all $i$
(ii) $\# \phi^{-1}(a) \leq d$ for all $a \in X(k)$
(iii) $\operatorname{ord}_{d}(L)>2$ for any irreducible component of $X$, where $\operatorname{ord}_{d}(L):=$ $=\#\left\{L^{\prime}: L^{\prime}\right.$ component of $\left.X, L^{\prime} \cap L \neq \emptyset\right\}+1 / d \# \phi^{-1}(L)$.
b) A stable ( $d, n$ )-tree $X$ over a scheme $S$ is a flat morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ together with sections $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{n}: S \rightarrow X$ such that for any $s \in S$, the fibre $X_{s}=X \times_{S}$ spec $k(s)$ together with the map $\phi_{s}: i \rightarrow \phi_{i}(s)$ is a stable $(d, n)$-tree over $k(s)$.

Note that a stable ( $1, n$ )-tree is just a stable $n$-pointed tree. On the other hand a stable $(d, n)$-tree is in general not stable as $(d+1, n)$-tree.

We want to show the existence of fine moduli spaces $B_{n, d}$ for stable $(d, n)$ trees and begin with the case ( $d, 2 d+1$ ); it will turn out that $B_{2 d+1, d}$ is just $Q_{2 d+1}$. In order to describe the universal family we have to introduce some other blow ups of $Q_{n}$ : For disjoint subsets $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{r}$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ let $Q_{n}\left(I_{1}, \ldots, I_{r}\right)$ be the image in $Q_{n}$ of $\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{P}_{1}^{n}\right)_{s s}: x_{i}=x_{j}\right.$ if there exists $v$ such that $\left.\{i, j\} \subset I_{v}\right\}$. Clearly $Q_{n}\left(I_{1}, \ldots, I_{r}\right)$ is a closed subscheme of $Q_{n}$.

Recall from the proof of Prop. 1 that $Q_{2 m}$ is locally at its singular points isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{m-1} \circ \mathbb{A}^{m-1}$. Consider the scheme

$$
\left(\mathbb{A}^{m-1} \circ \mathbb{A}^{m-1}\right)^{\prime}:=\left\{\left(v_{1} \otimes v_{2}, \bar{w}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{A}^{m-1} \circ \mathbb{A}^{m-1}\right) \times \mathbb{P}_{m-2}: \bar{v}_{1}=\bar{w}\right\}
$$

(here we consider $\mathbb{P}_{m-2}$ as $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{A}^{m-1}\right)$ and denote by $\bar{v}_{1}$ the class of $\left.v_{1}\right)$. Clearly ( $\mathbb{A}^{m-1} \circ \mathbb{A}^{m-1}$ ) is a desingularization of $\mathbb{A}^{m-1} \circ \mathbb{A}^{m-1}$, and the fibre over the 0 -section is $\mathbb{P}_{m-2}$. Let $Q_{2 m}^{\prime}$ be the desingularization of $Q_{2 m}$ which locally over the singular points is isomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{A}^{m-1} \circ \mathbb{A}^{m-1}\right)^{\prime} . Q_{2 m}^{\prime}$ is not isomorphic to the blow up $Q_{2 m}^{\prime \prime}$ of $Q_{2 m}$ at all singular points since the fibre in $Q_{2 m}^{\prime \prime}$ over a singular point is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{m-2} \times \mathbb{P}_{m-2}$. Moreover $Q_{2 m}^{\prime}$ is not symmetric in the indices $1, \ldots, 2 m$.

We can embed $Q_{2 m}^{\prime}$ as a closed subscheme of $Q_{4 m-1}$ as follows: Let $Y:=Q_{4 m-1}(\{1,2\},\{3,4\}, \ldots,\{4 m-3,4 m-2\})$; thus $Y$ consists of the orbits of the points $\left(p_{1}, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{2 m-1}, p_{2 m-1}, p_{2 m}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{1}^{4 m-1}$. There is an obvious morphism $Y \rightarrow Q_{2 m}$ induced by ( $\left.p_{1}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{2 m-1}, p_{2 m-1}, p_{2 m}\right) \rightarrow\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{2 m}\right)$; it is an isomorphism outside the singular set in $Q_{2 m}$. The fibres over the singular points are $\mathbb{P}_{m-2}$ : the preimage of the point

$$
\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}, p_{m+1}, p_{m+1}, \ldots, p_{m+1}\right)
$$

consists of all points $\left(p_{1}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}, p_{m}, p_{m+1}, \ldots, p_{m+1}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{1}^{4 m-1}$ such that $p_{i} \neq p_{m+1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$ and $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}$ are not all equal. Taking $p_{1}=0$, $p_{m+1}=\infty$ leaves us with a $\mathbb{G}_{m}$-action on $\left\{\left(p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m}\right) \neq(0, \ldots, 0)\right\}=\mathbb{A}^{m-1}-$ $-\{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$. This shows that $Y$ is isomorphic to $Q_{2 m}^{\prime}$.
On the other hand, the morphism $Y \rightarrow Q_{2 m-1}$ induced by

$$
\left(p_{1}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{2 m-1}, p_{2 m-1}, p_{2 m}\right) \rightarrow\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{2 m-1}\right)
$$

has fibres isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{1}$, in fact $Y$ is a locally trivial $\mathbb{P}_{1}$-bundle. There are sections $\sigma_{i}: Q_{2 m-1} \rightarrow Y, i=1, \ldots, 2 m-1$ given by

$$
\sigma_{i}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{2 m-1}\right)=\left(p_{1}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{2 m-1}, p_{2 m-1}, p_{i}\right)
$$

We conclude that if we take $d=m-1$ and identify $Y$ with $Q_{2 m}^{\prime}$, then $Q_{2 d+2}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow Q_{2 d+1}$ is a stable $(d, 2 d+1)$-tree.

PROPOSITION 10. $Q_{2 d+2}^{\prime} \rightarrow Q_{2 d+1}$ is the universal stable $(d, 2 d+1)$-tree.
PROOF. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ be any stable $(d, 2 d+1)$-tree. For any triple

$$
v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right) \in D_{2 d+1}
$$

let $S(v)$ be the open subscheme of $S$ on which $\phi_{v_{1}}, \phi_{\nu_{2}}$ and $\phi_{\nu_{3}}$ do not meet. Since the $S(v)$ cover $S$ it is sufficient to prove the proposition for $S=S(1,2,3)$.

With respect to $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \pi: X \rightarrow S$ is a stable 3 -tree. Thus by $(2,3)$ we get morphisms $\phi_{i}^{\prime}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{1}, i=4, \ldots, 2 d+1$, and by $(2,3)$ we have isomorphisms $\psi_{i}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{1} \times S$ such that $\psi_{i}{ }^{\circ} \phi_{i}=\left(\phi_{i}^{\prime}, i d\right)$. Letting $\phi_{1}^{\prime}, \phi_{2}^{\prime}, \phi_{3}^{\prime}$ be the constant morphisms $0, \infty, 1$, the $\phi_{i}^{\prime}$ define a morphism $\phi: S \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}_{1}^{2 d+1}\right)_{s s} \rightarrow Q_{2 d+1}$. Clearly $\phi$ induces an isomorphism $X \leadsto Q_{2 d+2}^{\prime} \times{ }_{Q_{2 d+1}} S$.
(5.4) PROPOSITION 11. $Q_{2 d+3}^{\prime} \rightarrow Q_{2 d+2}^{\prime \prime}$ is the universal stable $(d, 2 d+2)$-tree.

Here $Q_{2 d+2}^{\prime \prime}$ denotes the blow up of $Q_{2 d+2}$ at all singular points, and $Q_{2 d+3}^{\prime}$ is the blow up of $Q_{2 d+3}$ at all $Q_{2 d+3}(I)$ such that $\# I=d+1$ and $2 d+3 € I$.

PROOF. On the open parts

$$
Q_{2 d+3}-\bigcup_{\substack{\# I=d+1 \\ 2 d+3 \notin I}} Q_{2 d+3}(I) \text { and } \tilde{Q}_{2 d+2}
$$

the morphism $\pi$ is given by $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 d+3}\right) \rightarrow\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 d+2}\right)$. With the help of the obvious sections $\sigma_{i}:\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 d+2}\right) \rightarrow\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 d+2}, x_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, 2 d+2, \pi$ clearly becomes a stable $(d, 2 d+2)$-tree. We have to extend $\pi$ to a morphism $Q_{2 d+3}^{\prime} \rightarrow Q_{2 d+2}^{\prime \prime}$.

Let $V=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 d+2}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{1}^{2 d+2}: x_{i} \neq x_{j}\right.$ for $\left.1 \leq i \leq d+1<j \leq 2 d+2\right\} / P G L_{2}$. $V$ is a neighbourhood of the singular point $(0, \ldots, 0, \infty, \ldots, \infty)$ in $Q_{2 d+2}$. Let $V^{\prime \prime}$ be the inverse image of $V$ in $Q_{2 d+2}^{\prime \prime}$.

On the other hand, let $U$ be the inverse image of $V$ in $Q_{2 d+3}$ (for the projectives $\pi$ ). Clearly $U$ is the union of the open subspaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{i j}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 d+3}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{1}^{2 d+3}: x_{v} \neq x_{\mu} \text { for } 1 \leq v \leq d+1<\mu \leq 2 d+2,\right. \\
& \left.x_{v} \neq x_{2 d+3} \neq x_{\mu}\right\} / P G L_{2} \text { for } i=1, \ldots, d+1, j=d+2, \ldots, 2 d+2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Any $U_{i j}$ contains $Q_{2 d+3}(\{1, \ldots, d+1\})$ and $Q_{2 d+3}(\{d+2, \ldots, 2 d+2\})$, and has empty intersection with all other $Q_{2 d+3}(I), \# I=d+1,2 d+3 \notin I$.

Let $U^{\prime}$ and $U_{i j}^{\prime}$ be the inverse images of $U$ and $U_{i j}$ in $Q_{2 d+3}^{\prime}$, respectively. Then $\pi$ can be extended to $U_{1, d+2}^{\prime}$ as follows:

First note that $U_{1, d+2}$ has an open immersion into $\mathbb{A}^{d} \times \mathbb{A}^{d}$ by putting $x_{1}=0$, $x_{d+2}=\infty$ and $x_{2 d+3}=1$. The immersion is explicitely given by

$$
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 d+3}\right) \rightarrow\left(\left(\sigma\left(x_{2}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(x_{d+1}\right)\right),\left(\sigma\left(x_{d+2}\right)^{-1}, \ldots, \sigma\left(x_{2 d+2}\right)^{-1}\right)\right.
$$

where

$$
\sigma(z)=\frac{z-x_{1}}{z-x_{d+2}} \cdot \frac{x_{2 d+3}-x_{d+2}}{x_{2 d+3}-x_{1}}
$$

This identifies $U_{1, d+2}$ with $\left\{\left(\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right),\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{d} \times \mathbb{A}^{d} \mid x_{i} y_{j} \neq 1\right.$ for all $i$ and $j\}$.

In particular

$$
Q_{2 d+3}(\{1, \ldots, d+1\}) \cap U_{1, d+2}=\{0\} \times \mathbb{A}^{d}
$$

and

$$
Q_{2 d+3}(\{d+2, \ldots, 2 d+2\}) \cap U_{1, d+2}=\mathbb{A}^{d} \times\{0\}
$$

So the blow up $U_{1, d+2}^{\prime}$ is an open subset of:

$$
\left\{\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \bar{w}_{1}, \bar{w}_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{d} \times \mathbb{A}^{d} \times \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{A}^{d}\right) \times \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{A}^{d}\right) \mid v_{i} \text { and } w_{i}\right.
$$

are dependent for $i=1,2\}$.
We know already the explicit form of $V^{\prime \prime}$, namely an open part of

$$
\left\{\left(v_{1} \otimes v_{2}, \bar{w}_{1}, \bar{w}_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{d} \circ \mathbb{A}^{d} \times \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{A}^{d}\right) \times \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{A}^{d}\right) \mid v_{1} \otimes v_{2} \text { and } w_{1} \otimes w_{2}\right.
$$

are dependent.\}

The extension of our morphism $\pi$ is now given by:

$$
\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \bar{w}_{1}, \bar{w}_{2}\right) \in U_{1, d+2}^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(v_{1} \otimes v_{2}, \bar{w}_{1}, \bar{w}_{2}\right) \in V^{\prime \prime}
$$

On affine parts of both of the $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{A}_{d}\right)$ 's this morphism reads:

$$
\left(\lambda w_{1}, \mu w_{2}, w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\lambda \mu w_{1} \otimes w_{2}, w_{1}, w_{2}\right)
$$

This morphism is identity in the last two factors and has the form $\mathbb{A}_{1} \times \mathbb{A}_{1} \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{1},(\lambda, \mu) \rightarrow \lambda \mu$, in the first factors. Hence the map is flat. The fibre above a point $\neq 0$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_{1}-\{0\}$. The fibre of 0 is $\left(\mathbb{A}_{1} \times\{0\}\right) \cup$ $U\left(\{0\} \times \mathbb{A}_{1}\right)$. Glueing the various $U_{i, j}^{\prime}$ together one finds that $U^{\prime} \rightarrow V^{\prime \prime}$ is flat with fibre $\mathbb{P}_{1}$ or $\left(\mathbb{P}_{1} \times\{0\}\right) \cup\left(\{0\} \times \mathbb{P}_{1}\right)$.

The sections $\sigma_{i}: V^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow U^{\prime}$ can also be described: For $i$ with $2 \leq i \leq d-1$, we consider the open part of $V^{\prime \prime}$ where the $i$-th coordinate of $\bar{w}_{1}$ is not zero. On this open part $\sigma_{i}$ has the form $\left(v_{1} \otimes v_{2}, \bar{w}_{1}, \bar{w}_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\lambda w_{1}, \mu w_{2}, \bar{w}_{1}, \bar{w}_{2}\right)$ where $\lambda$ is determined by: the $i^{\text {th }}$ coordinate of $\lambda w_{1}$ equals 1 , and where $\mu$ is determined by $\lambda \mu w_{1} \otimes w_{2}=v_{1} \otimes v_{2}$.

Glueing over the various $U_{i, j}^{\prime}$ yields all the $\sigma_{i}$ on all of $V^{\prime \prime}$. So we have shown that $Q_{2 d+3}^{\prime} \rightarrow Q_{2 d+2}^{\prime \prime}$ with the $\sigma_{i}$ is indeed a $(d, 2 d+2)$-tree.

We now prove that this $(d, 2 d+2)$-tree is universal.
Let $X \xrightarrow{\pi} S$, with sections $\phi_{i}$, denote any stable ( $d, 2 d+2$ )-tree. Locally on $S$ we have to show existence and uniqueness of a morphism $f: S \rightarrow Q_{2 d+2}^{\prime \prime}$ such that $X$ is isomorphic to $Q_{2 d+3}^{\prime} \times{ }_{Q_{2 d+2}^{\prime \prime}} S$. For a point $s \in S$ the fibre $X_{s}$ has one or two components. Let us consider the case where $X_{s}$ has two components $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. We may then suppose that $\phi_{i}(s) \in L_{1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, d+1$; that $\phi_{i}(s) \in L_{2}$ for $i=d+2, \ldots, 2 d+2$; that $\phi_{1}(s) \neq \phi_{2}(s)$ and that $\phi_{d+2}(s) \neq \phi_{d+3}(s)$. After shrinking $S$ we may suppose that for all $t \in S$ and $(i, j)$ of the form ( 1,2 ), $(d+2, d+3)$ or $1 \leq i \leq d+1<j \leq 2 d+2$ one has $\phi_{i}(t) \neq \phi_{j}(t)$.
$X \rightarrow S$ with the 4 sections $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{d+2}, \phi_{d+3}$ is a stable 4-tree and so there exists a morphism $u: S \rightarrow B_{4}=\mathbb{P}_{1}$ such that $X \rightarrow B_{5} \times_{B_{4}} S$. We may suppose $u(s)=o \in \mathbb{P}_{1}$ and $u(S) \subset \mathbb{A}_{1}-\{1\}$. According to (3.4), $B_{5}$ is the blow up of $\mathbb{P}_{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{1}$ in the 3 sections $(0,0),(1,1),(\infty, \infty)$, and $B_{5} \rightarrow B_{4}$ is derived from the projection on the second factor. Since $u(S)$ does not meet 1 and $\infty$ we may replace $B_{4}=\mathbb{P}_{1}$ by $\mathbb{A}_{1}-\{1\}$ and $B_{5}$ by $Z$, the blow up of $\mathbb{P}_{1} \times\left(\mathbb{A}_{1}-\{1\}\right)$ in $(0,0)$. This $Z$ is the closed subspace of $\mathbb{P}_{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{1} \times\left(\mathbb{A}_{1}-\{1\}\right)$ given by the equation $x_{0} y_{0} z-x_{1} y_{1}=0$, where we have used $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right),\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right), z$ as coordinates for the three factors. Hence $X$ is isomorphic to the closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}_{1} \times \mathbb{P} \times S$ given by the equation $x_{0} y_{0} u-x_{1} y_{1}=0$ where $u \in \mathscr{O}_{S}(S)$ satisfies $(u-1) \in \mathscr{O}_{S}(S)^{*}$.

We note in passing that this implies that $\left\{t \in S \mid X_{t}\right.$ has two components $\}=$ $=\{t \in S \mid u(t)=0\}$ is closed.

We identify $X$ with this closed subset of $\mathbb{P}_{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{1} \times S$ and we write $\phi_{i}(t)=$ $=\left(\alpha_{i}(t), \beta_{i}(t), t\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, 2 d+2$. The morphism $f: S \rightarrow V^{\prime \prime}$ is now given by $t \rightarrow\left(v_{1} \otimes v_{2}, \bar{w}_{1}, \bar{w}_{2}\right)$ where

$$
w_{1}=\left(\sigma_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}(t)\right), \ldots, \sigma_{1}\left(\alpha_{d+1}(t)\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{2}=\left(\sigma_{2}\left(\beta_{d+3}(t)\right), \ldots, \sigma_{2}\left(\beta_{2 d+2}(t)\right)\right) \\
& v_{1} \otimes v_{2}=u(t) w_{1} \otimes w_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1}(z)=\frac{z-\alpha_{1}(t)}{z-\alpha_{d+2}(t)} \frac{\alpha_{2}(t)-\alpha_{d+2}(t)}{\alpha_{2}(t)-\alpha_{1}(t)} \\
& \sigma_{2}(z)=\frac{z-\beta_{d+2}(t)}{z-\beta_{1}(t)} \frac{\beta_{d+3}(t)-\beta_{1}(t)}{\beta_{d+3}(t)-\beta_{d+2}(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to verify that $X \rightarrow S$ is isomorphic to $U^{\prime} \times{ }_{V^{n}} S \rightarrow S$ we consider an open part $X^{\prime}$ of $X$ defined by $x_{0} \neq 0$ and $y_{0} \neq 0$. On this open part one can define a morphism $g: X^{\prime} \rightarrow U_{1, d+2}^{\prime}$ by $t \rightarrow\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \bar{w}_{1}, \bar{w}_{2}\right)$ where $w_{1}, w_{2}$ are defined as before and where

$$
v_{1}=\frac{x_{1}}{x_{0}} w_{1} \text { and } v_{2}=\frac{y_{1}}{y_{0}} w_{2} .
$$

The diagram

is commutative and can easily be shown to be cartesian. (Indeed the morphism $X^{\prime} \rightarrow U_{1, d+2}^{\prime} \times{ }_{V^{\prime \prime}} S$ is an isomorphism in every fibre and is therefore an isomorphism). Glueing yields an isomorphism $X \leftrightarrows U^{\prime} \times{ }_{v^{\prime \prime}} S$. One can also prove uniqueness of $f$. A similar but easier verification can be done in the neighbourhood of a point $s \in S$ such that the fibre $X_{s}$ has only one component.
(5.5) The proof of the previous proposition easily generalizes to an inductive construction of the fine moduli spaces $B_{n, d}$ for stable ( $d, n$ )-trees, where we still assume $1 \leq d \leq(n-1) / 2$ : Let $B_{n, d}^{\prime} \rightarrow B_{n, d}$ be the universal stable ( $d, n$ )-tree. By induction, $B_{n, d}$ is a blow up of $Q_{n}$ and $B_{n, d}^{\prime}$ is a blow up of $Q_{n+1}$. Now $B_{n, d-1}$ is obtained from $B_{n, d}$ by blowing up the preimages of all subspaces $Q_{n}(I)$ where $|I|=d$. To get $B_{n, d-1}^{\prime}$ from $B_{n, d}^{\prime}$ we have to blow up the preimages of all subspaces $Q_{n+1}(I)$ where $|I|=d$ and $n+1 \notin I$.

For fixed $n$ we thus obtain a sequence of blowing ups which finally leads to $B_{n}=B_{n, 1}$ and in which every intermediate blow up is either a fine moduli space $B_{n, d}$ or a universal family $B_{n-1, d}^{\prime}$ for some $d$. Only the singular spaces $Q_{2 m}$ have no interpretation in terms of moduli spaces.

The situation is illustrated in the following diagram where the horizontal arrows are the various blow ups described and where the vertical map labelled " $(d, n)$ ' is the universal stable ( $(d, n)$-tree:
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