

University of Groningen

## Comparison of Navier Stokes and Reduced Navier Stokes unsteady computation in a stenosis

Bruin, B. de; Lagrée, P.-Y.; Lorthois, S.; Vilain, C.; Veldman, A.E.P.

*Published in:*  
 EPRINTS-BOOK-TITLE

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*  
 Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*  
 2001

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Bruin, B. D., Lagrée, P.-Y., Lorthois, S., Vilain, C., & Veldman, A. E. P. (2001). Comparison of Navier Stokes and Reduced Navier Stokes unsteady computation in a stenosis. In *EPRINTS-BOOK-TITLE* University of Groningen, Johann Bernoulli Institute for Mathematics and Computer Science.

### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

## Comparison of Navier Stokes and Reduced Navier Stokes unsteady computation in a stenosis

de Bruin B<sup>1,2</sup>, Lagrée P.-Y<sup>2</sup>, Lorthois S<sup>3</sup>, Vilain C<sup>4</sup>, Veldman AEP<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> RuG University of Groningen NL.

<sup>2</sup> Lab. de Modélisation en Mécanique, UMR CNRS 7607, B 162, Université Paris 6

<sup>3</sup> Institut de Mécanique des Fluides de Toulouse, UMR CNRS 5502

<sup>4</sup> Institut de la Communication Parlée I-N-P-G, Grenoble

### Introduction

Navier Stokes solvers are now very accurate to compute flow in stenosed arteries [1]. However, we pretend that asymptotic methods provide a better understanding of the structure of the flow and of the relevant scalings as well [2]. Computational time is very short, so the parameters may be changed easily and their influence can be analysed.

### Materials and Methods

We compare a full 3D Navier Stokes solver (called "comflo") and a set of asymptotic simplified axisymmetrical equations called "RNSP(x)" (Reduced Navier Stokes with no transverse pressure variation). This set is obtained from the Navier Stokes equations noticing that the longitudinal scale is larger than the transversal scale (radius  $R_0$  of the artery) and assuming that the Reynolds number  $Re = U_0 R_0 / \nu$  is large (in practice we will observe that  $Re$  must be larger than 50).

The two codes are compared in simplified geometries with rigid walls.

Looking on 2D examples, the parabolicity of the flow is observed: it means that there is a very weak effect of the downstream part of the flow to the upstream. This prediction comes from the RNSP(x) simplification (this is a consequence of the fact that the pressure is constant in every section). The codes are then favourably compared to a simple interacting boundary layer integral code.

The stationary entry effect is then emphasized. Various 3D entry profiles are provided to the NS solver; after a short distance (which is scaled by  $R_0 Re$  as predicted by the RNSP(x) equations) the flow forgets the details of the entrance boundary conditions and is accelerated in the stenosis. Results are then nearly the same for the two codes.

Next the unsteady responses to a periodic pulsated flow are presented and are favourably compared. The non steady reverse flow after the stenosis is computed as well by the two codes.

### Discussion and Conclusion

An alternative method to a complete Navier Stokes resolution has been presented, the results are comparable and involve a much smaller computational time and a better physical understanding. Note that this method may be applied in any internal flow even in turbulent régime, in this case an *ad hoc* closure relation has to be used. This method will allow the study of the numerous geometrical configurations and Reynolds number influence upon separation length





Figure Stenosis geometry and instantaneous longitudinal velocity  $u(x, r=0, t=4?)$  along the axis of symmetry of the stenosis: line: RNSP(x), points full NS.

### References

- [1] Siegel J.M., Markou C.P., Ku D.N. Hanson S.R., (1994): A scaling law for wall shear stress through an arterial stenosis. ASME J. biomech. Engng. 116, 446–451
- [2] Lorthois S., Lagrée P.-Y., Marc-Vergnes J.-P. & Cassot F. (2000): Maximal wall shear stress in arterial stenoses: Application to the internal carotid arteries, Journal of Biomechanical Engineering, Volume 122, Issue 6, pp. 661–666.