



University of Groningen

The framing of decision situations

Steglich, Christian Erich Gerhard

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2003

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Steglich, C. E. G. (2003). The framing of decision situations: automatic goal selection and rational goal pursuit. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. [S.n.].

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Download date: 28-12-2022

The Framing of Decision Situations

Automatic Goal Selection and Rational Goal Pursuit

RIJKSUNIVERSITEIT GRONINGEN

THE FRAMING OF DECISION SITUATIONS

AUTOMATIC GOAL SELECTION AND RATIONAL GOAL PURSUIT

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van het doctoraat in de
Psychologische, Pedagogische en Sociologische Wetenschappen
aan de Rijksuniversiteit Groningen
op gezag van de
Rector Magnificus, dr. F. Zwarts,
in het openbaar te verdedigen op
donderdag 18 september 2003
om 14.15 uur

door

Christian Erich Gerhard Steglich

geboren op 10 juni 1968 te Berlijn, Duitsland **Promotores**: Prof. dr. S.M. Lindenberg

Prof. dr. T.A.B. Snijders

Beoordelingscommissie : Prof. dr. H. Colonius

Prof. dr. R. Hertwig Prof. dr. C.C.P. Snijders

Acknowledgments

When I moved from Berlin to Groningen for conducting the research reported in this book, more changed for me than just the location of residence. As a mathematician-by-training, I moved into the social sciences – a decision that was accompanied with an acute sense of loss during my literature study. Proper definitions and soundness of argumentation seemed not to be a prerequisite for, but already an achievement of a publication. Then there was this language thing. Colleagues from Scandinavia and Eastern Europe warned me that they had lost their ability to speak German during their stay in The Netherlands. Luckily, it never got that far in my case. And, last but not least, there was the birth of our first son during my first year as a Ph.D. student, which had the so-far largest impact ever on my life arrangements.

Consequently, on the path towards completion of this book, there were many people whose assistance was needed and whose support, solicited or unsolicited, helped me withstand. I am happy that here, I can take the opportunity to express my gratitude to those without whom this dissertation would not have been possible.

First and foremost, these are my supervisors Tom Snijders and Siegwart Lindenberg, whom I'd like to thank for their lenience in supervision in the first place. Throughout my research, Tom Snijders has been an unfailing source of encouragement, advice and practical help of all kinds, while Siegwart Lindenberg was an inexhaustible source of stimulation and insight into the sociological side of this world.

Charles Vlek was so kind to guide me into the literature on decision making, and I am particularly grateful for his scrutiny when checking the earlier drafts of my work. Thanks to him, I quickly abandoned the illusion that a single decision model could possibly capture all of human decision behaviour. Later, it were Uwe Matzat, Andreas Flache, Rafael Wittek, and Alexander Gattig who were willing to comment in detail on parts of the manuscript – without their help, the quality would have been much worse.

Thanks also to the many people who structurally supported this work – Frans Siero and Frans Oldersma for helping me run the computer-based experiments reported in this book, Anne Boomsma for not needing his MATLAB manual when I needed it, Douwe van der Sluis for sharing his experience with the SPSS program package, and the netwerkbeheerders for their conditional patience with my e-mail based respondent recruitment procedure. And thanks to Henk Kiers, who structurally supported this book's writer by arranging a teaching contract.

Thanks for giving general feedback go to the MathSoc group, to my hosts in America: Ulf Böckenholt, Michael Macy, James Kitts and the seminar 'Identity and interest in Collective Action' at Cornell University, and to my ICS-internal referees. I would like to thank my various roommates for our sometimes very helpful but always magically refreshing discussions; these thanks go to Brent Simpson, Jee-Seon Kim, Tekie Asehun, Johan Koskinen and Hiddo Huitzing.

Finally, it needs to be said that the truly important things of life are not covered in this book. Thanks to Fabiola, Lucian and Leon for their patience, and for just being there every single day.

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	. 1
	Overview of the book	. 3
1.1	A brief history of "framing"	. 4
1.2	Rational action and frames of mind	. 7
	Towards methodological cognitivism	11
	LINDENBERG's discrimination model of framing	19
	Contrasting models by predictions	22
1.3	The domain of framing theory	24
2	Decision making, goal pursuit, and utility	29
	Four roles of goals in decision making	31
2.1	Decision making as a cognitive process	34
	Prescriptive process research	35
	Descriptive process research	36
	Conclusions for framing theory	43
2.2	Decision making as goal pursuit	
	Goal setting	
	Task performance	
	Conclusions for framing theory	
2.3	Decision making normative and descriptive	
	Axiomatic foundation of utility theory	
	Sustainable critique	
	Conclusions for framing theory	58
3	The organization of goal-oriented knowledge	61
3.1	The functional architecture of goals	62
	From universal motivators to situation-specific goals	63
	LINDENBERG's social production functions	65
	Conclusions for framing theory	
3.2	The representation of goals in memory	69
	Social schemata and social representations	70
	Social knowledge and decision situations	
	Conclusions for framing theory	
3.3	The operant shape of goals	
	LINDENBERG's master frames	
	Conclusions for framing theory	82

viii Table of contents

4	The activation of goal-oriented knowledge	85
	Framing defined	85
4.1	Specifying a set of situationally relevant goals	86
	Availability and applicability of goals	87
	Summary and hypotheses	
4.2	Components of goal prominence	93
	Facilitatory influences	
	Goal competition and inhibitory influences	104
4.3	Frame switches	
	Inertia and the MARKOV assumption	
	Feedback and novelty	
4.4	The discrimination model revisited	
5	Integrated models for goal identification and decision making	117
5.1	Methodological considerations	117
5.2	Framing analysis	
J. <u>Z</u>	Modelling the framing process	
	Modelling goal pursuit	
	Model estimation	
	Supplementary considerations	
6	An application to behaviour in social dilemma situations	133
6.1	Motivational conflict in social dilemmas	134
0.1	The role of norms and sanctions	
6.2	Framing theory for social dilemmas	
٥ . _	Alternative models and their deviating predictions	
6.3		151
0.0	Results: descriptive and exploratory	
6.4	Formal modelling and framing analysis	
J. I	Model specification	
	Results of the framing analysis	
6.5	Conclusions	

7 Three	e studies testing model validity	199
7.1	Study 1: Salient options and effects of local context	201
	Experiment 1: Salient options and effects of local context	210
	Framing analysis	215
	Conclusions from Study 1	219
7.2	Study 2: Salient attributes and effects of non-diagnostic information	220
	Experiment 2: non-diagnostic information as decoy	223
	Framing analysis	227
	Conclusions from Study 2	229
7.3	Study 3: Accessible information and effects of background context	229
	Experiment 3: tradeoff contrast vs. priming	234
	Framing analysis	240
	Conclusions from Study 3	242
8 Conc	lusion	243
8.1	Evaluation of predictive power	244
8.2	Evaluation of descriptive accuracy	246
8.3	Evaluation of model performance	248
8.4	Summary: how to set up a framing analysis	250
8.5	Musings and perspectives	255
Appendix A	Stimulus material used in the experiments	259
A 1: D	A 11221 (-111 12	260
Appendix B	Additional tables and diagrams	209
Summary		281
References as	nd Author Index	283