





A functional TGFB1 polymorphism in the donor associates with long-term graft survival after kidney transplantation

Poppelaars, Felix; da Costa, Mariana Gaya; Faria, Bernardo; Eskandari, Siawosh K.; Damman, Jeffrey; Seelen, Marc A.

Published in: Clinical Kidney Journal

DOI: 10.1093/ckj/sfab175

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2022

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Poppelaars, F., da Costa, M. G., Faria, B., Eskandari, S. K., Damman, J., & Seelen, M. A. (2022). A functional TGFB1 polymorphism in the donor associates with long-term graft survival after kidney transplantation. *Clinical Kidney Journal*, *15*(2), 278-286. https://doi.org/10.1093/ckj/sfab175

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

i:S



doi: 10.1093/ckj/sfab175 Advance Access Publication Date: 17 September 2021 Original Article

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

A functional TGFB1 polymorphism in the donor associates with long-term graft survival after kidney transplantation

Felix Poppelaars¹, Mariana Gaya da Costa^{1,†}, Bernardo Faria^{1,2,†}, Siawosh K. Eskandari¹, Jeffrey Damman³ and Marc A. Seelen¹

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Nephrology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, ²Nephrology and Infectious Disease R&D Group, INEB, Institute of Investigation and Innovation in Health (i3S), University of Porto, Porto, Portugal and ³Department of Pathology, Erasmus Medical Center, University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

[†]Shared second authors Correspondence to: Felix Poppelaars; E-mail: f.poppelaars@umcg.nl

ABSTRACT

Background. Improvement of long-term outcomes in kidney transplantation remains one of the most pressing challenges, yet drug development is stagnating. Human genetics offers an opportunity for much-needed target validation in transplantation. Conflicting data exist about the effect of transforming growth factor-beta 1 (TGF- β 1) on kidney transplant survival, since TGF- β 1 has pro-fibrotic and protective effects. We investigated the impact of a recently discovered functional TGFB1 polymorphism on kidney graft survival.

Methods. We performed an observational cohort study analysing recipient and donor DNA in 1271 kidney transplant pairs from the University Medical Centre Groningen in The Netherlands, and associated a low-producing TGFB1 polymorphism (rs1800472-C > T) with 5-, 10- and 15-year death-censored kidney graft survival.

Results. Donor genotype frequencies of rs1800472 in TGFB1 differed significantly between patients with and without graft loss (P = 0.014). Additionally, the low-producing TGFB1 polymorphism in the donor was associated with an increased risk of graft loss following kidney transplantation (hazard ratio = 2.12 for the T-allele; 95% confidence interval 1.18–3.79; P = 0.012). The incidence of graft loss within 15 years of follow-up was 16.4% in the CC-genotype group and 31.6% in the CT-genotype group. After adjustment for transplant-related covariates, the association between the TGFB1 polymorphism in the donor and graft loss remained significant. In contrast, there was no association between the TGFB1 polymorphism in the recipient and graft loss.

Conclusions. Kidney allografts possessing a low-producing TGFB1 polymorphism have a higher risk of late graft loss. Our study adds to a growing body of evidence that TGF- β 1 is beneficial, rather than harmful, for kidney transplant survival.

Received: 6.7.2021; Editorial decision: 26.8.2021

[©] The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the ERA.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



Keywords: genetics, kidney transplantation, nephrology, TGF-beta

INTRODUCTION

Short-term outcomes following kidney transplantation have dramatically improved in the past 25 years, adding over one million life-years to patients in the USA alone. Nonetheless, improving the long-term transplant outcomes remains a crucial challenge [1]. The alloimmune response is recognized as a major contributor to late kidney transplant failure [2]. Furthermore, cytokines play a pivotal role in orchestrating the immune response [3]. Understanding the contribution of cytokines to donor-recipient incompatibility in kidney transplantation, therefore, is crucial as it can lead to the development of novel treatment strategies. Transplantation is a unique situation from a genetic and an immunological perspective, as two genomes are brought together. Genetic differences between the donor and recipient subsequently lead to immunological injury [4]. Although the recipient primarily drives the alloimmune response, the release of inflammatory triggers by the donor kidney is gaining traction as an essential additional mechanism [5]. Specifically, recent studies indicate that the local inflammatory response by the donor kidney significantly impacts transplant outcome [6].

Among all cytokines, transforming growth factor-beta (TGF- β) is a multifaceted and functional cytokine that is synthesized by nearly every cell type [7]. To date, three main isoforms of TGF- β have been identified in humans (i.e. TGF- β 1, TGF- β 2 and TGF- β 3), which are encoded by distinct genes (TGFB1, TGFB2 and TGFB3, respectively). Between these, TGF- β 1 is the most common and best-characterized isoform. The functions of TGF- β 1

range from regulating cellular processes (such as differentiation, migration and apoptosis) to initiating the production of extracellular matrix proteins [8]. Despite this complexity, the TGF- β signalling pathway relies on a simple ligand-activated receptor complex. More specifically, signalling is initiated when dimerized TGF- β 1 binds surface-tethered TGF- β receptors, namely TGF- β R1 and TGF- β R2 (Figure 1A) [9]. This binding activates TGF- β R2, allowing it to phosphorylate TGF- β R1, which then propagates the signal intracytoplasmically by phosphorylating transcription factors of the small mothers against decapentaplegic (SMAD) homolog family, SMAD2 and SMAD3 (Figure 1B) [9]. Upon phosphorylation, SMAD2 and SMAD3 trimerize with an obligate partner, SMAD4, permitting the nuclear translocation of the complex and, with the help of nuclear cofactors, transcription of TGF- β target genes (Figure 1B) [9].

Among its many biological roles, TGF- β 1 is predominantly known for being a critical driver of fibrosis in various diseases and conditions [10]. As a result, modulation of TGF- β 1 activation and signalling is currently pursued as a therapeutic strategy to halt cancer progression, as well as to prevent fibrosis after surgery and in chronic diseases [11]. In recent years, however, the protective functions of TGF- β 1 have attracted much attention and are now deemed equally important. Evidence from animal models and in vitro experiments demonstrate that the protective effects of TGF- β 1 range from inhibiting inflammation to inducing autophagy [7]. Accordingly, the role of TGF- β 1 in disease is context dependent, and may be protective or harmful.



FIGURE 1: TGF- β 1 signaling pathway and examined TGFB1 Thr263Ile gene variant.

(A) TGF- β 1 signaling occurs when TGF- β 1 forms a complex with surface-bound TGF- β receptors 1 and 2 (TGF- β R1 and TGF- β R2 respectively). Specifically, two heterodimers of TGF- β R1/TGF- β R2 coalesce in the presence of dimeric TGF- β 1, resulting in heterotetrameric complex. (B) The proximity of the intracytoplasmic tails of TGF- β R2 initiates the sequential phosphorylation of TGF- β R1 and the SMAD signal transducers, SMAD2 and -3. (Of note, although it is uncommon, following TGF- β R1 phosphorylation non-SMAD-mediated signaling can also occur.) Once SMAD2/-3 has formed a dimeric unit, it can bind SMAD4, leading to nuclear translocation of the trimeric pSMAD2/-3 and SMAD4 complex. Inside the nucleus, the trimeric complex elicits transcription of TGF- β target genes, resulting in a myriad of cellular responses from bone remodeling to fibrosis, to apoptosis and immunosuppression. (C) To appreciate the potential role of TGFB1-related SNPs in kidney transplant recipients and donor transplant kidneys, we assessed the association between transplant survival outcomes and the TGFB1 SNP rs1800472C>T, which causes a missense mutation (p.Thr2631le) in TGF- β 1. Ile, isoleucine; SMAD, mothers against decapentaplegic homologue; TGF- β , transforming growth factor beta; TGF- β R, transforming growth factor beta receptor; Thr, threonine.

In kidney transplantation, TGF- β 1 has been a topic of interest for many years and TGF- β 1 has been suggested to impact allograft survival in different ways [12]. Initially, multiple reports showed upregulation of TGF- β 1 expression and signalling in kidney transplants during rejection [13, 14]. Separately, plasma levels of TGF- β 1 were shown to be a potential biomarker of progressive chronic kidney disease in certain populations [15]. Animal studies then demonstrated that TGF- β 1 overexpression in the kidney induced interstitial proliferation, tubular autophagy and fibrosis [16]. In contrast, genetic deficiency of TGF- β 1 in mice leads to multiorgan inflammation (including that of the kidney) [17]. In the context of these findings, Du et al. found that TGF- β 1 plasma levels were positively associated with long-term graft survival in kidney transplant recipients [18]. Finally, local TGF- β 1 expression in the kidney allograft during rejection has been associated with a favourable outcome [19, 20]. In these studies, however, it often remains unclear whether the association found with TGF- β 1 is a cause or consequence of the pathology. Genetic studies have therefore used single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the TGFB1 gene to dissect the impact of TGF- β 1 signalling on kidney transplant outcome. However, these studies have primarily been retrospective and underpowered, and, thus, they are often inconclusive in their analyses.

To elucidate the current conflicting data, we investigated the impact of polymorphism in TGFB1 on long-term outcomes in kidney transplantation patients as a model for target validation (Figure 1C). We specifically chose to study the TGFB1 Thr263Ile variant (rs1800472 C > T) since it was identified as a major genetic driver of plasma TGF- β 1 levels in a recent study by Höglund *et al.* using whole-genome sequencing data. In their study, the minor allele (T-allele) of this polymorphism was shown to be significantly associated with lower plasma levels of TGF- β 1 [21]. Furthermore, the overall heritability of the differences observed in the plasma TGF- β 1 concentration was 22.9%, while the heritability conditioned

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the donors and recipients	Table 1	. Baseline	characte	ristics o	f the	donors	and	recipients
--	---------	------------	----------	-----------	-------	--------	-----	------------

Baseline characteristics		All patients ($n = 1271$)	Functioning graft ($n = 1056$)	Graft loss ($n = 215$)	P-value ^a	Hazard ratio	P-value ^b
Donor							
TGFB1 SNP	CC. n (%)	1229 (97.0)	1027 (97.5)	202 (94.4)	0.014	2.12	0.012
	CT, n (%)	38 (3.0)	26 (2.5)	12 (5.6)			
Age, years	, , ,	44.4 ± 14.4	44.1 ± 14.6	46.1 ± 13.4	0.044	1.02	<0.001
Male sex. n (%)		645 (50.7)	535 (50.7)	110 (51.2)	0.89		0.96
Blood group, n (%)							
Type O		642 (50.5)	541 (51.3)	101 (47.2)	0.033	0.39	0.004
Type A		502 (39.5)	414 (39.3)	88 (41.1)		0.42	0.01
Type B		97 (7.6)	82 (7.8)	15 (7.0)		0.36	0.012
Type AB		27 (2.1)	17 (1.6)	10 (4.7)		Ref	0.035
Donor type, n (%)							
Living		282 (22.2)	257 (24.3)	25 (11.6)	<0.001	Ref	0.002
Brain death		787 (61.9)	642 (60.8)	145 (67.4)		1.94	
Circulatory death		202 (15.9)	157 (14 9)	45 (20.9)			
Recipient		202 (2010)	107 (110)	10 (2013)			
TGFB1 SNP	CC n (%)	1221 (96.2)	1017 (96 5)	204 (4 9)	0.26	_	0 17
101210101	CT n (%)	48 (3.8)	37 (3 5)	11 (5.1)	0.20		011/
Age vears	01,11(/0)	47.9 + 13.5	485 ± 134	45.0 + 13.2	<0.001	0.99	0.027
Male sex n (%)		739 (58 1)	607 (57 5)	132 (61 4)	0.29	0100	0.21
Primary kidney disease	n (%)	, 55 (50.1)	007 (57.5)	132 (01.1)	0.25		0.21
Glomerulonenhritis	(/0)	340 (26.8)	271 (25.6)	69 (32 2)	0.28	_	0.45
Polycystic disease		208 (16 4)	188 (17 8)	20 (9 3)	0.20	_	0.15
Vascular disease		145 (9.9)	123 (11.6)	22 (10.3)		_	
Pvelonenhritis		148 (11 4)	120 (11.0)	22 (10.3)		_	
Diabetes		51 (4 0)	44 (4 2)	7 (3 3)		_	
Idionathic		168 (13.2)	134 (12 7)	34 (15 9)		_	
Other		211 (16 6)	177 (16 7)	34 (15.9)		_	
Blood group n (%)		211 (10.0)	177 (10.7)	51(15.5)			
Type O		567 (44 6)	474 (44 9)	93 (43 3)	0 004	0.46	0.002
Type A		536 (42 2)	448 (42 4)	23 (1 3.3) 88 (40.9)	0.004	0.46	0.002
Type B		113 (8 9)	98 (9 3)	15 (7 0)		0.35	0.002
Type AB		55 (4 3)	36 (3.4)	19 (9.8)		0.55 Ref	0.002
Dialycie vintage weeks		172 (91_263)	174 (87_261)	168 (109_270)	0.15		0.000
Highest PRA in %		10.1 ± 23.6	10.0 ± 23.3	100(105 270) 10.9 ± 25.0	0.15	_	0.10
Immunocurproceion n/	9/)	10.1 ± 23.0	10.0 ± 25.5	10.5 ± 25.0	0.00	_	0.75
Anti-CD3 Moah	/0]	19 (1 5)	14 (1 3)	5 (2 3)	0.27	_	0.51
ATC		103 (8.1)	79 (7 5)	24 (11 2)	0.27	_	0.51
Azəthionrine		72 (5 7)	53 (5.0)	19 (8 8)	0.07	_	0.14
Corticosteroide		12(3.7)	1002 (94, 9)	199 (92 6)	0.027	0.51	0.25
Cyclosporin		1085 (85 4)	911 (86.3)	174 (80.9)	0.17	0.51	0.01
Interloukin 2 PA		1005 (05.4)	162 (15 4)	26 (16 7)	0.011	0.00	0.010
Mycophenolic acid		907 (71 4)	775 (73 4)	132 (61 4)	~0.001	_	0.12
Sirolimus		28 (2 0)	22 (2 1)	5 (2 2)	0.52	_	0.00
Tagralimus		38 (3.0) 07 (7.6)	22 (3.1) 77 (7.2)	20 (0.2)	0.33	-	0.24
Trangelantation		97 (7.0)	// (/.5)	20 (9.3)	0.51	-	0.59
		177/100.020	170 (96 220)		<0.001	1.02	0.001
UII (II)		17.7 (10.9–23.0) 27.0 (21.4E)	17.0 (0.0-23.0)	20,0 (13.3-23.0)	<0.001	1.03	0.001
VVII (IIIII) Total III A miamatahaa		37.U (31-45) 2 (1 2)	37.0 (30–45) 2 (1 2)	38.U (32−43)	0.12	1.02	0.003
	5	∠ (1-3) 415 (22 7)	2 (1-3) 280 (27 4)	2 (1-3) 126 (E8 6)	0.4ð	2 70	0.11 -0.001
DGF, /I (//)		413 (32.7)	207 (27.4)	120 (38.0)	<0.001	5./9	<0.001

Data are displayed as mean \pm SD for parametric variables, median (IQR) for non-parametric variables, and nominal data as the total number of patients with the corresponding percentage [n (%)]. PRA, panel-reactive antibody; CD3, cluster of differentiation 3; ATG, anti-thymocyte globulin; RA, receptor antagonist; HLA, human leucocyte antigen. Bold values are used to show which testing was statistically significant (P < 0.05).

^aP-value indicates the P-value for the differences in baseline characteristics between the groups, tested by Student's t-test or Mann–Whitney U-test for continuous variables and with χ^2 test for categorical variables.

^bP-value indicates the P-value for univariable analysis with 15-year death-censored graft survival.

of this variant alone was 16.3%. We evaluated in this study the association between this recently discovered lowproducing TGFB1 polymorphism and long-term kidney graft survival. Additionally, our secondary endpoints were delayed graft function (DGF) and biopsy-proven acute rejection (BPAR).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient selection and study endpoint

The primary endpoint in this study was death-censored graft survival, defined as the need for dialysis or re-transplantation. Secondary endpoints were DGF (defined by the United Network



FIGURE 2: Kaplan-Meier curves for 5, 10, 15-year death-censored graft survival after kidney transplantation according to the presence of the TGFB1 variant in the donor and recipient.

Cumulative 5- (A, D), 10- (B, E), and 15-year (C, F) death-censored kidney graft survival according to the presence of the Thr263Ile variant in the transforming growth factor beta 1 gene (TGFB1, rs1800472 C>T) in the donor (A — C, blue line) and the recipient (D — F, yellow line).

for Organ Sharing as 'the need for at least one dialysis treatment in the first week after kidney transplantation') [22] and BPAR (according to the Banff 2007 classification). We enrolled patients who underwent single kidney transplantation at the University Medical Centre Groningen in the Netherlands between 1993 and 2008. From the 1430 kidney transplantations, 1271 recipient and donor pairs were included in the cohort, as previously described [23, 24]. Subjects were excluded due to technical complications during surgery, lack of DNA, re-transplantation or loss of follow-up. This study was performed in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki and all patients provided written informed consent. The medical ethics committee of the University Medical Centre Groningen approved the study under file no. METc 2014/077.

DNA extraction and TGF-β1 genotyping

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were isolated from blood or splenocytes collected from the recipients and donors. DNA was extracted with a commercial kit as per the manufacturer's instructions and stored at -80° C. Genotyping of the SNPs was determined via the Illumina VeraCode GoldenGate Assay kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Genotype clustering and calling were performed using BeadStudio Software (Illumina). The overall genotype success rate was 99.5% and six samples with a high missing call rate were excluded from subsequent analyses.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software version 25 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data are displayed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) for parametric variables, median [interquartile range (IQR)] for non-parametric variables, and nominal data as the total number of patients and the percentage [n (%)]. Differences between groups were examined with the Student's t-test for normally distributed variables or the Mann–Whitney U-test for the not normally distributed variables, and χ^2 test for categorical variables. Log-rank tests were performed between different genotypes to assess the difference in the incidence of graft loss. Univariable analysis was performed to determine the association of genetic, donor, recipient and transplant



Recipient + donor *TGFB1* SNP (rs1800472)

FIGURE 3: Kaplan-Meier curves for 15-year death-censored graft survival after kidney transplantation according to the presence of the TGFB1 variant in the donor and recipient. Cumulative 15-year death-censored kidney graft survival according to the presence of the Thr2631le variant in the transforming growth factor beta 1 gene (TGFB1, rs1800472 C>T) in donorrecipient pairs. Pairs were divided into four groups according to the absence (black line) or presence of the T-allele in the recipient (yel-low line), donor (blue line) or both (green line). Log-rank test was used to compare the incidence of graft loss between the groups.

Table 2. Associations of TGFB1 polymorphism in the donor with graft loss after kidney transplantation

	TGFB1 SNP (rs1	TGFB1 SNP (rs1800472-T) in the donor						
	HR (CT versus CC)	95% CI	P-value					
Model 1	2.12	1.18-3.79	0.012					
Model 2	2.05	1.11-3.79	0.023					
Model 3	2.34	1.31-4.21	0.004					
Model 4	2.11	1.17–3.79	0.013					

Data are presented as an HR with 95% CI and P-value.

Model 1: crude model.

Model 2: adjusted for Model 1 plus recipient characteristics: recipient age, recipient sex, recipient blood type and dialysis vintage.

Model 3: adjusted for Model 1 plus donor characteristics: donor age, donor sex, donor blood type and donor origin.

Model 4: adjusted for Model 1 plus transplant characteristics: CIT and WIT, and the occurrence of DGF.

characteristics with graft survival. The factors identified in these analyses were thereafter tested in a multivariable Cox regression. Additionally, multivariable Cox regression with a stepwise forward selection was performed. Tests were two-tailed and regarded as statistically significant when P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics and long-term graft survival

Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics of the 1271 kidney transplant donor-recipient pairs are shown in Table 1. The mean follow-up after transplantation was 6.16 years \pm 4.21 with a maximum follow-up period of 15 years. During follow-

up, 215 grafts (16.9%) were lost, and the causes of graft failure included rejection (n = 126, including acute rejection, chronic antibody-mediated rejection and transplant glomerulopathy), vascular causes (n = 12), recurrence of primary disease (n = 16), surgical complications (n = 33), other causes (n = 16) or unknown (n = 12). The following characteristics were significantly associated with graft loss in univariate analysis: donor age, donor blood type (ABO versus others), donor type (living versus cadaveric), recipient age, recipient blood type (ABO versus others), use of cyclosporin, use of corticosteroids, cold ischaemia time (CIT), warm ischaemia time (WIT) and DGF.

Distribution of the TGFB1 genetic variant

The observed genotypic frequencies of the Thr263Ile TGFB1 variant (rs1800472 C > T) did not significantly differ between recipients (*n* = 1269; CC, 96.2%; CT, 3.8%; TT, 0%) and donors (*n* = 1267; CC, 97.0%; CT, 3.0%; TT, 0%) (P = 0.55). No homozygosity was observed for this TGFB1 polymorphism, but the distribution of the SNP was in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. The genotypic frequencies of the TGFB1 polymorphism in donors and recipients were significantly higher than those reported by the 1000 Genomes Project (P = 0.013), but not compared with their European cohort (P = 0.60) [25]. The proportion of grafts with DGF significantly differed based on recipient TGFB1 genotype (45.8% in CT versus 32.2% in CC, P = 0.048), but not for donor TGFB1 genotype (36.8% in CT versus 32.5% in CC, P = 0.58) (Supplementary Data). In logistic regression, recipients carrying the T-allele of the TGFB1 variant showed a trend towards a higher risk of DGF [odds ratio = 1.78 compared with C-allele; 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.00–3.19; P = 0.051]. There was no difference in the overall BPAR frequency between the TGFB1 genotypes in the donor (33.9% in CT versus 34.2% in CC, P = 0.97)

Table 3. Com	petitive analy	sis of the a	ssociations o	of characte	eristics wit	h graft lo	ss after kidr	lev trans	plantation
									

Variables not in the equation	P-value	Variables in the equation	P-value	HR
CIT (h)	0.054	rs1800472-T in the donor (CT versus CC)	0.017	2.04 (1.14–3.68)
WIT (min)	0.07	Donor age (in years)	0.003	1.02 (1.01–1.03)
Donor type (living versus deceased)	0.08	Recipient blood type (ABO versus other)	0.001	
Corticosteroids	0.12	Recipient age (in years)	< 0.001	0.98 (0.97–0.99)
Cyclosporin Donor blood type (ABO versus other)	0.32 0.98	DGF (yes versus no)	<0.001	4.01 (3.03–5.31)

Multivariable Cox regression was performed for kidney graft survival with a stepwise forward selection. Only variables with a P < 0.05 in the univariate analysis were included. Data are presented as an HR with a 95% CI and P-value. In the final model, the TGFB1 SNP (rs1800472-T) in the donor, donor age, recipient blood type, recipient age and the occurrence of DGF were included, whereas CIT, WIT, donor type, use of corticosteroids, cyclosporin and donor blood type were not.

or the recipient (25.0% in CT versus 34.4% in CC, P = 0.18) (Supplementary Data). In contrast, the distribution of the TGFB1 polymorphism in the donor, but not the recipient, differed significantly between patients with and without graft loss after complete follow-up (Table 1, P = 0.014). More specifically, the Tallele of the TGFB1 SNP was more prevalent in kidney grafts that were lost during the follow-up period. These data suggest that TGF- β 1 expression by the donor kidney might impact long-term graft survival in kidney transplantation.

Long-term kidney graft survival according to the TGFB1 genotypes

Kaplan–Meier survival analysis showed that the TGFB1 SNP in the donor was associated with an increased risk for graft loss during follow-up (Figure 2). The TGFB1 variant in the donor was significantly associated with 10- and 15-year death-censored kidney graft survival in Kaplan–Meier survival analyses (Figure 2B and C), but not with 5-year graft survival (Figure 2A). After complete follow-up, the incidence of graft loss was 16.4% in the reference CC-genotype group and 31.6% in the CTgenotype group, respectively. The TGFB1 variant in the recipient was not associated with death-censored kidney graft survival in Kaplan–Meier survival analyses (Figure 2D–F). Subgroup analysis for recipient sex and donor type did not change these results (Supplementary Data).

Next, the donor-recipient pairs were divided into four groups based on the presence or absence of the T-allele in the donor and recipient. Kaplan-Meier survival analyses revealed a significant difference in graft survival among the four groups (Figure 3; P = 0.034). Moreover, the T-allele of the TGFB1 polymorphism in the donor seemed to have a bigger impact on graft survival than the T-allele in the recipient. Recipients with a CT-genotype receiving a graft with the CT-genotype appeared to have the worst outcome. However, this combined genotype was only identified in five donor-recipient pairs.

Regression analysis for the TGFB1 polymorphism and graft loss

Finally, we explored whether the TGFB1 variant in the donor was an independent risk factor for graft loss. In univariate analysis, the T-allele of the TGFB1 SNP in the donor was associated with a hazard ratio (HR) of 2.12 (95% CI 1.18–3.79; P = 0.012) for graft loss after complete follow-up. Next, multivariable analysis was performed to adjust for potential confounders, including donor and recipient characteristics and transplant variables (Table 2). In Cox regression analysis, the TGFB1 SNP in the donor remained significantly associated with graft loss independent of potential confounders. Finally, we performed a multivariable analysis with a stepwise forward selection procedure using all variables that were significantly associated with graft loss in univariable analysis (Table 3). In the final model, the TGFB1 SNP in the donor, donor and recipient age, recipient blood type and DGF were included. After adjustment, the T-allele TGFB1 SNP in the donor was significantly associated with graft loss with an HR of 2.04 (95% CI 1.14–3.68; P=0.017). Altogether, these results demonstrate that the minor allele of the TGFB1 variant in the donor associates with a higher risk of graft loss after kidney transplantation.

DISCUSSION

New therapeutic strategies to improve long-term allograft survival are urgently needed, but the development of new drugs for kidney transplantation is limited [26]. Studies of human genetics are therefore needed to predict the success of novel drug targets, since genetically supported drug targets are more than twice as likely to be successful in clinical trials and lead to approved therapeutics [27, 28]. Here, we studied a common functional polymorphism in TGFB1 to dissect the role of TGF- β 1 signalling in kidney transplant survival. The key finding in our study is that kidney allografts possessing a low-producing TGFB1 polymorphism are associated with a higher risk of graft loss. In contrast, no association was seen between this TGFB1 polymorphism in the recipient and long-term allograft survival. In conclusion, our study provides genetic evidence that the TGF- β 1 pathway in the donor could be favourable for long-term graft survival in kidney transplantation.

Whole-genome sequencing of plasma TGF- β 1 recently highlighted the TGFB1 polymorphism rs1800472 as the top functional variant in a genome-wide association study for plasma TGF- β 1 levels using whole-genome sequencing [21]. Furthermore, the overall heritability of the TGF- β 1 concentration in plasma was \sim 23%, of which 71% of the genetic variance was explained by this polymorphism alone. To our knowledge, our study is the first to demonstrate an association between the TGFB1 Thr263Ile variant in the donor and long-term graft survival after kidney transplantation. In particular, we found that the T-allele in the donor approximately doubled the risk of graft loss. Previously, the minor alleles of two other TGFB1 polymorphisms (rs1800470-C>T and rs1800471-G > C) in the donor have also been associated with worse graft survival after kidney transplantation [29]. In line with our results, the minor alleles of these TGFB1 polymorphisms have also been suggested to lead to lower levels of TGF- β 1 [30-32]. Furthermore, Du et al. reported that long-term survival kidney transplant recipients had higher TGF- β 1 levels than short-term survival kidney transplant recipients [18]. Serum TGF- β 1 levels positively correlated with long-term graft survival and function. Altogether, our study adds to a growing body of evidence which indicates that TGF- β 1 has protective effects on kidney transplant survival.

The impact of the recipient TGFB1 genotype on outcome after kidney transplantation has been investigated by various studies but remains controversial [33-37]. A recent meta-analysis of nine studies including 352 rejection cases and 882 controls concluded that the recipient TGFB1 genotype was not significantly associated with acute rejection after kidney transplantation [34]. Similarly, we also found no association between the TGFB1 polymorphism rs1800472 in the recipient and BPAR (Supplementary Data). In the past, low-producing genotypes of TGFB1 in the recipient have been associated with both superior and worse outcomes in kidney transplantation [33, 36], while others have found no association with kidney allograft survival [35]. In our transplant cohort of 1271 donor-recipient pairs, we did not find an association between a low-producing TGFB1 polymorphism in the recipient and graft survival either. We also assessed the relationship between the TGFB1 polymorphism rs1800472 and DGF. While we did see a trend for higher risk of DGF in recipients carrying the T-allele of the TGFB1 polymorphism, we did not find an association between the donor TGFB1 genotype and DGF. Similar to our observations, the study by Israni et al. found no association for the TGFB1 polymorphism rs1800472 in the donor and DGF [5]. In conclusion, our results suggest that it is not the circulating TGF- β 1 from the recipient, but rather the local TGF- β 1 expression by the donor kidney that promotes graft survival in kidney transplantation.

Generally, TGF- β 1 is considered to be a critical driver of fibrosis [10]. Given the abundance of evidence from animal models and translational studies, inhibiting the TGF- β 1 signalling pathways would hypothetically prevent the development of kidney fibrosis in kidney disease and transplantation [38, 39]. However, contrary to expectations, results from clinical trials have been underwhelming, as therapies targeting TGF- β 1 have not translated into approved treatment for patients [8, 40, 41]. Emerging data demonstrate that TGF- β 1 is not only capable of inducing fibrosis, but also has protective effects [7]. In conformity with the findings, loss-of-function mutations in the TGFB1 gene were recently shown to cause severe inflammatory bowel disease and encephalopathy in humans, demonstrating the anti-inflammatory properties of this cytokine [42]. In preclinical transplantation studies, TGF- β 1 was shown to protect against brain death-induced organ damage and ischaemia-reperfusion injury, and to prolong graft survival [43-46]. The mechanisms behind these protective effects include (i) protecting kidney cells against apoptosis, (ii) stimulating tissue regeneration and (iii) diminishing alloimmunity to kidney transplants by inducing tolerance through regulatory T cells [12].

Several limitations of our study warrant consideration. First and foremost, our study is observational in nature and can therefore not prove causality. Further studies are needed to assess whether the observed association is indeed causal. Furthermore, we examined one polymorphism in TGFB1 and did not assess TGFB1 haplotypes. Lastly, the relationship between genotypes and plasma levels of TGF- β 1 was not assessed in our cohort due to the lack of samples. In contrast, crucial strengths of this study include the analysis of a functional polymorphism in both the donor and recipient, the large sample size and the stringent and clinically meaningful endpoint, and the lengthy follow-up time. In conclusion, we found that patients receiving a donor kidney carrying the T-allele of the TGFB1 polymorphism rs1800472 have a higher risk of late graft loss. Considering that this T-allele is a low-producing TGFB1 variant, our findings imply a beneficial effect of TGF- β 1 signalling on long-term allograft survival in kidney transplantation.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available at ckj online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the members of the REnal GeneTics TrAnsplantation cohort (University Medical Centre Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands): S. J. L. Bakker, J. van den Born, M. H. de Borst, H. van Goor, J. L. Hillebrands, B. G. Hepkema, G. J. Navis and H. Snieder. The illustrations of Figure 1 were made by Siawosh K. Eskandari.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Wekerle T, Segev D, Lechler R et al. Strategies for long-term preservation of kidney graft function. Lancet 2017; 389: 2152–2162
- Jordan SC, Ammerman N, Choi J et al. The role of novel therapeutic approaches for prevention of allosensitization and antibody-mediated rejection. Am J Transplant 2020; 20: 42–56
- Holdsworth SR, Gan PY. Cytokines: names and numbers you should care about. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2015; 10: 2243–2254
- Yang JYC, Sarwal MM. Transplant genetics and genomics. Nat Rev Genet 2017; 18: 309–326
- Israni AK, Li N, Cizman BB et al. Association of donor inflammation- and apoptosis-related genotypes and delayed allograft function after kidney transplantation. Am J Kidney Dis 2008; 52: 331–339
- Wang H, Guan Q, Lan Z et al. Prolonged renal allograft survival by donor interleukin-6 deficiency: association with decreased alloantibodies and increased intragraft T regulatory cells. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 2012; 302: F276–F283
- 7. Sureshbabu A, Muhsin SA, Choi ME. TGF- β signaling in the kidney: profibrotic and protective effects. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 2016; 310: F596–F606
- 8. Gu YY, Liu XS, Huang XR et al. Diverse role of TGF- β in kidney disease. Front Cell Dev Biol 2020; 8: 123
- 9. Shi Y, Massagué J. Mechanisms of TGF- β signaling from cell membrane to the nucleus. Cell 2003; 113: 685–700
- 10. Frangogiannis NG. Transforming growth factor- β in tissue fibrosis. J Exp Med 2020; 217: e20190103
- 11. Budi EH, Schaub JR, Decaris M *et al.* TGF- β as a driver of fibrosis: physiological roles and therapeutic opportunities. *J* Pathol 2021; 254: 358–373
- Du C. Transforming Growth Factor-Beta in Kidney Transplantation: A Double-Edged Sword [Internet]. In: Kidney Transplantation - New Perspectives. InTech, 2011 [cited 2 June 2021]; www.intechopen. com (2 June 2021, date last accessed)

- 13. Pribylova-Hribova P, Kotsch K, Lodererova A et al. TGF- β 1 mRNA upregulation influences chronic renal allograft dysfunction. Kidney Int 2006; 69: 1872–1879
- Einecke G, Reeve J, Sis B et al. A molecular classifier for predicting future graft loss in late kidney transplant biopsies. J Clin Invest 2010; 120: 1862–1872
- Lee SB, Kanasaki K, Kalluri R. Circulating TGF-B1 as a reliable biomarker for chronic kidney disease progression in the African-American population. *Kidney Int* 2009; 76: 10–12
- 16. Koesters R, Kaissling B, LeHir M et al. Tubular over expression of transforming growth factor- β 1 induces autophagy and fibrosis but not mes enchymal transition of renal epithelial cells. Am J Pathol 2010; 177: 632–643
- 17. Shull MM, Ormsby I, Kier AB et al. Targeted disruption of the mouse transforming growth factor- β 1 gene results in multi-focal inflammatory disease. Nature 1992; 359: 693–699
- 18. Du XX, Guo YL, Yang M et al. Relationship of transforming growth factor- β l and arginase-1 levels with long-term survival after kidney transplantation. Curr Med Sci 2018; 38: 455–460
- 19. Eikmans M, Sijpkens YWJ, Baelde HJ *et al.* High transforming growth factor- β and extracellular matrix mRNA response in renal allografts during early acute rejection is associated with absence of chronic rejection. *Transplantation* 2002; 73: 573–579
- Viklicky O, Hribova P, Volk HD et al. Molecular phenotypes of acute rejection predict kidney graft prognosis. J Am Soc Nephrol 2010; 21: 173–180
- Höglund J, Rafati N, Rask-Andersen M et al. Improved power and precision with whole genome sequencing data in genome-wide association studies of inflammatory biomarkers. Sci Rep 2019; 9: 16844
- 22. Ann Lim M, Bloom RD. Medical therapies to reduce delayed graft function and improve long-term graft survival are we making progress? Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2020; 15: 13–15
- Damman J, Daha MR, Leuvenink HG et al. Association of complement C3 gene variants with renal transplant outcome of deceased cardiac dead donor kidneys. Am J Transplant 2012; 12: 660–668
- 24. Poppelaars F, Gaya da Costa M, Eskandari SK et al. Donor genetic variants in interleukin-6 and interleukin-6 receptor associate with biopsy-proven rejection following kidney transplantation. Sci Rep 2021; 11: 1–14
- Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM et al.; 1000 Genomes Project Consortium. A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature 2015; 526: 68–74
- Abramowicz D, Oberbauer R, Heemann U et al. Recent advances in kidney transplantation: a viewpoint from the Descartes advisory board. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2018; 33: 1699–1707
- 27. King EA, Wade Davis J, Degner JF. Are drug targets with genetic support twice as likely to be approved? Revised estimates of the impact of genetic support for drug mechanisms on the probability of drug approval. PLoS Genet 2019; 15: e1008489
- Nelson MR, Tipney H, Painter JL et al. The support of human genetic evidence for approved drug indications. Nat Genet 2015; 47: 856–860
- 29. Lacha J, Hribova P, Kotsch K *et al*. Effect of cytokines and chemokines (TGF- β , TNF- α , IL-6, IL-10, MCP-1, RANTES) gene polymorphisms in kidney recipients on posttransplantation

outcome: influence of donor-recipient match. Transplant Proc 2005; 37: 764–766

- 30. Awad MR, El-Gamel A, Hasleton P *et al.* Genotypic variation in the transforming growth factor- β 1 gene: association with transforming growth factor- β 1 production, fibrotic lung disease, and graft fibrosis after lung transplantation. Transplantation 1998; 66: 1014–1020
- 31. Yokota M, Ichihara S, Lin TL et al. Association of a T29→C polymorphism of the transforming growth factor- β1 gene with genetic susceptibility to myocardial infarction in japanese. Circulation 2000; 101: 2783–2787
- 32. Taubenschuß E, Marton E, Mogg M et al. The L10P polymorphism and serum levels of transforming growth factor β 1 in human breast cancer. Int J Mol Sci 2013; 14: 15376–15385
- 33. Thakkinstian A, Dmitrienko S, Gerbase-DeLima M et al. Association between cytokine gene polymorphisms and outcomes in renal transplantation: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2008; 23: 3017–3023
- 34. Ge YZ, Wu R, Lu TZ et al. Combined effects of TGFB1 +869 T/ C and +915 G/C polymorphisms on acute rejection risk in solid organ transplant recipients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2014; 9: e93938
- 35. Mytilineos J, Laux G, Opelz G. Relevance of IL10, $TGF\beta1$, TNF α , and IL4R α gene polymorphisms in kidney transplantation: a collaborative transplant study report. Am J Transplant 2004; 4: 1684–1690
- 36. Ming Chow K, Chun Szeto C, Poon P et al. Transforming growth factor- β 1 gene polymorphism in renal transplant recipients. Ren Fail 2005; 27: 671–675
- Dhaouadi T, Sfar I, Bardi R et al. Cytokine gene polymorphisms in kidney transplantation. Transplant Proc 2013; 45: 2152–2157
- Boor P, Floege J. Renal allograft fibrosis: biology and therapeutic targets. Am J Transplant 2015; 15: 863–886
- 39. Isaka Y. Targeting TGF- β signaling in kidney fibrosis. Int J MolSci 2018; 19: 2532
- 40. Vincenti F, Fervenza FC, Campbell KN et al. A phase 2, double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized study of fresolimumab in patients with steroid-resistant primary focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Kidney Int Rep 2017; 2: 800–810
- Voelker J, Berg PH, Sheetz M et al. Anti-TGF-b1 antibody therapy in patients with diabetic nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol 2017; 28: 953–962
- 42. Kotlarz D, Marquardt B, Barøy T et al. Human TGF- β 1 deficiency causes severe inflammatory bowel disease and encephalopathy. Nat Genet 2018; 50: 344–348
- Guan Q, Nguan CYC, Du C. Expression of transforming growth factor-β1 limits renal ischemia-reperfusion injury. Transplantation 2010; 89: 1320–1327
- 44. Chen L, Feng X, Wang Y et al. Study of the role of transforming growth factor β -1 in organ damage protection in porcine model of brain death. Transplant Proc 2016; 48: 205–209
- 45. Luo X, Yang H, Is K *et al*. Systemic transforming growth factor- β 1 gene therapy induces Foxp3+ regulatory cells, restores self-tolerance, and facilitates regeneration of beta cell function in overtly diabetic nonobese diabetic mice. Transplantation 2005; 79: 1091–1096
- 46. Watson D, Zhang GY, Hu M et al. Transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ) plays a crucial role in prolonging allograft survival in an allodepletion ("pruning") skin transplant model. Transplant Immunol 2014; 30: 168–177