



University of Groningen

Sequential Sampling

Albers, Casper J.

Published in: The SAGE encyclopedia of research design

DOI: 10.4135/9781071812082.n561

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2022

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Albers, C. J. (2022). Sequential Sampling. In B. B. Frey (Ed.), *The SAGE encyclopedia of research design* (pp. 1500). SAGE Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781071812082.n561

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

SAGE Reference

The SAGE Encyclopedia of Research Design

Sequential Sampling

Author:Casper J. Albers Edited by: Bruce B. Frey Book Title: The SAGE Encyclopedia of Research Design Chapter Title: "Sequential Sampling" Pub. Date: 2022 Access Date: February 19, 2022 Publishing Company: SAGE Publications, Inc. City: Thousand Oaks, Print ISBN: 9781071812129 Online ISBN: 9781071812082 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781071812082.n561 Print page: 1500

© 2022 SAGE Publications, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

This PDF has been generated from SAGE Knowledge. Please note that the pagination of the online version will vary from the pagination of the print book.

In experiments, researchers must balance between two competing arguments with respect to the sample size. On the one hand, the sample size must be large enough to have sufficient statistical power for accurate statistical inference. On the other hand, each additional observation comes at a cost and, especially when performing medical experiments or working with test animals, the researcher has the ethical obligation to avoid unnecessary oversampling. The field of optimal stopping, or sequential sampling, studies ways in which to do this. Various techniques for sequential sampling are available. This entry, based on the 2019 work of Casper J. Albers, explains some of these techniques.

Sometimes, researchers simply collect and analyze a sample, look at the resulting p value, and collect more data until either significance is reached or resources are exhausted. This approach is flawed because it leads to multiple testing with an inflated false discovery rate (i.e., too many false positives). This leads to bias in both the p values and the estimated effect size. Several statistical corrections, such as the Bonferroni correction, exist to overcome this. In sequential designs, similar solutions exist. Sequential methods have mostly been designed for classical (frequentist) approaches. For Bayesian analysis, there still is debate among statisticians whether or not it is necessary to correct for multiple testing in a sequential design.

Roughly speaking, there are two classes of such sequential approaches: interim analyses (also known as group sequential analyses) and full sequential analyses. In interim analyses, one prespecifies moments when one wants to inspect the data, whereas in full sequential analyses, the data are analyzed after each observation.

As an example of interim analysis, one could decide to analyze the data both after n1 = 50 and, if necessary, after n2 = 100 measurements. The decision rule of testing with $\alpha = 0.029$ after n1 measurements and stopping when the result is significant or continuing until n2 and testing again at this α level provides the usual overall false discovery rate of 0.05. The major advantage over nonsequential testing is that in case of sufficient evidence, one can stop data collection halfway through the process. One can specify a priori how many batches of measurements one maximally wants, and how large these should be, and then compute the α level on which to test the interim analyses. Such computations can be based on Pocock bounds, Haybittle–Peto bounds, or O'Brian and Fleming bounds.

In full sequential approaches, one does not check the data at a few prespecified points, but after every observation. Statistically, this is the optimal approach of deciding on the sample size, as here one could, for example, stop collection at n = 62 when the example of an interim approach would need n = 100.

Theories about sequential sampling by statisticians Abraham Wald and Alan Turing date back to the 1940s. These full sequential approaches are quite technical. Wald's procedure, for instance, involves computing the log-likelihood ratio after each observation and stopping when this sum leaves a prespecified interval. The computation of this log-likelihood ratio is far from straightforward. Under mild statistical assumptions, it can be proven that Wald's sequential probability ratio test is the optimal mathematical procedure for these types of problems. A similar approach is cumulative sum control charts (CUSUM). CUSUM and related methods are the gold standard in statistical quality control.

Another distinction between both methods is that in interim analysis, one can stop data collection early in case there is sufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis. This also holds true for the full sequential method, but here one can also stop when it is sufficiently clear that the null hypothesis will not be rejected. Thus, in a full sequential analysis, one can actually accept the null hypothesis, something which usually is not possible in frequentist analyses.

Despite its theoretical advantages, full sequential designs are not always possible in practice. For instance, when participants need to undergo group therapy in groups of size 20, an interim analysis with batches of 20 participants makes more sense than a full sequential approach. Also in situations where it takes a considerable time before one can measure, for instance, when one is interested in a patient's blood pressure 1 week after the administration of a new drug, it is not feasible to collect the data one by one.

Conventional statistical packages, such as SPSS and Stata, have poor implementations for sequential

analysis. In SAS, both full sequential and group sequential methods are possible. There are unfortunately few accessible tutorials for performing sequential methods using these commercial packages. In R, the packages gsDesign and sequential offer nearly all possibilities for both setting up and performing sequential analyses with accompanying accessible tutorials.

See also Bonferroni Procedure; Sample Size

Casper J. Albers http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781071812082.n561 10.4135/9781071812082.n561

Albers, C. J. (2019). The problem with unadjusted multiple and sequential statistical testing. Nature Communications, 10, 1921. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09941-0</u>.

Friedman, L. M., Furberg, C. D., DeMets, D. L., Reboussin, D. M., & Granger, C. B. (2015). Statistical methods used in interim monitoring. In Fundamentals of clinical trials. New York, NY: Springer. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-18539-2_17</u>.

Jennison, C., & Turnbull, B. W. (1999). Group sequential methods with applications to clinical trials. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall.

Lakens, D. (2014). Performing high-powered studies efficiently with sequential analyses. European Journal of Social Psychology, 44, 7. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2023</u>.

Siegmund, D. (1985). Sequential analysis. New York, NY: Springer.

Wald, A. (1945). Sequential tests of statistical hypotheses. Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 16, 2. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177731118</u>.

Whitehead, J. (1997). The design and analysis of sequential clinical trials (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NY: Wiley.