



University of Groningen

The relationship between alcohol consumption and dry eye

Magno, Morten Schjerven; Daniel, Tishelle; Morthen, Mathias Kaurstad; Snieder, Harold; Jansonius, Nomdo; Utheim, Tor P.; Hammond, Christopher J.; Vehof, Jelle

Published in: **Ocular Surface**

DOI: 10.1016/j.jtos.2021.05.005

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2021

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Magno, M. S., Daniel, T., Morthen, M. K., Snieder, H., Jansonius, N., Utheim, T. P., Hammond, C. J., & Vehof, J. (2021). The relationship between alcohol consumption and dry eye. *Ocular Surface, 21*, 87-95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtos.2021.05.005

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

The Ocular Surface

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jtos

The relationship between alcohol consumption and dry eye

Morten Schjerven Magno^{a,b,c,1}, Tishelle Daniel^{d,1}, Mathias Kaurstad Morthen^{a,c}, Harold Snieder^e, Nomdo Jansonius^c, Tor P. Utheim^f, Christopher J. Hammond^{g,h}, Jelle Vehof^{i,g,j,*}

^a Department of Medical Biochemistry, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

^b Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

^c Department of Ophthalmology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Hanzeplein 1, Postbus 30.001, Groningen, the Netherlands

^d Department of Ophthalmology, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

^e Department of Epidemiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Hanzeplein 1, Postbus 30.001, Groningen, the Netherlands

^f Department of Ophthalmology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

^g Department of Twin Research & Genetic Epidemiology, King's College London, St Thomas' Hospital, Lambeth Palace Road, Waterloo, London, SE1 7EH, United Kingdom

^h Department of Ophthalmology, King's College London, St Thomas' Hospital, Lambeth Palace Road, Waterloo, SE1 7EH, London, United Kingdom

ⁱ Dutch Dry Eye Clinic, Emmastraat 21, 6881SN, Velp, the Netherlands

^j Departments of Ophthalmology and Epidemiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Hanzeplein 1, Postbus 30.001, Groningen, the Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Dry eye

Alcohol

Tear film

Lifelines

Sex differences

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To assess the association between dry eye disease (DED) and alcohol consumption using a large population-based cohort. *Methods:* 77,145 participants (19–94 years, 59% female) from the Dutch Lifelines cohort were cross-sectionally

assessed for DED using the Women's Health Study (WHS) dry eye questionnaire. Alcohol intake was assessed using self-reported food frequency questionnaires. The relationship between DED and alcohol use was analyzed using logistic regression, corrected for age, sex, BMI, smoking status, education, income, and 55 potentially confounding comorbidities.

Results: Overall, 30.0% of participants had symptomatic dry eye. Alcohol use significantly increased the risk of symptomatic dry eye in females (odds ratio [OR] 1.095, 95%CI 1.045–1.148), but not in males (OR 0.988, 95%CI 0.900–1.084). Contrarily, in male drinkers, increasing alcohol intake (in 10 g/day) had a protective effect on symptomatic dry eye (OR 0.962, 95%CI 0.934–0.992), which was not seen in females (OR 0.986, 95%CI 0.950–1.023). Alcohol use and intake had a sex-specific effect on all outcomes of DED assessed: symptomatic dry eye, highly symptomatic dry eye, clinical diagnosis, and WHS definition dry eye.

Conclusions: This large population-based study found alcohol use to have a clear sex-specific effect on DED, presenting as a risk-factor only in females. This adds to the evidence of sex-specific pathophysiological mechanisms of dry eye and illustrates the importance of sex stratification in studies investigating DED. The mild protective effect of increased alcohol intake in male drinkers is advised to be interpreted with caution, as al-cohol's other health effects might be of greater clinical significance.

Introduction

Dry eye disease (DED) is a multifactorial disorder of the ocular surface characterized by tear film instability, hyperosmolarity, inflammation, and neurosensory abnormalities [1]. DED is a highly prevalent disorder with prevalence estimates ranging from 5 to 50%, depending on the population investigated and the exact definition of dry eye used [2]. Dry eye severely reduces the quality of life [3–6] and

Received 16 March 2021; Received in revised form 6 May 2021; Accepted 16 May 2021 Available online 21 May 2021 1542-0124/@ 2021 The Authors Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article

1542-0124/© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).





)OcularSurface

^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Twin Research & Genetic Epidemiology, King's College London, St Thomas' Hospital, Lambeth Palace Road, Waterloo, London, SE1 7EH, United Kingdom.

E-mail address: j.vehof@umcg.nl (J. Vehof).

¹ Shared first authors.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtos.2021.05.005

comes with substantial direct and indirect costs [3,7,8]. In the US alone, the estimated societal loss from DED has been estimated to be around 55 billion US dollars [7]. Despite numerous available treatment options [9–12], complete remission of symptoms is rare, and the disease is often chronic. Therefore, illuminating modifiable risk factors is essential to prevent the development of DED or curb the disease at an early stage.

Alcohol consumption has been proposed as a possible modifiable risk factor of DED, warranting further research [2,13]. Past studies investigating the association between dry eye and alcohol consumption have reported conflicting results. In one experimental study, alcohol was found to be secreted into the tears, increasing tear film osmolarity and shortening tear film breakup-time with high consumption [14]. A recent meta-analysis, including eight observational studies, concluded with a borderline significant positive association between dry eye and alcohol use [13]. However, it should be noted that this increased risk mainly stemmed from a single large study that only assessed the effect of diagnosed alcohol dependency on dry eye [15], weakening the conclusions drawn [13]. The need for studies specifically designed to evaluate the role of alcohol in the development of dry eye was also stressed in the Tear Film and Ocular Surface Society Dry Eye Workshop II (TFOS DEWS II) Epidemiology Report due to the inconclusive findings in past studies [2].

The current study has several aims. First, we assessed the association between the current use of alcohol and several measures of dry eye disease in a large Northern-European population, the Lifelines cohort, taking into account a large number of potentially confounding variables. Second, we evaluated the impact of increased alcohol intake in standard units (10 g) per day [16] and stratified into quartiles of intake on the risk of having symptomatic dry eye, in those consuming alcohol. Third, we assessed the effect of sex on this relationship and stratified by sex to investigate males and females separately. Finally, to explore the effect of age on the association between symptomatic dry eye and alcohol use, we stratified the participants into three age groups, 20–39, 40–59, and 60+ years. As DED has both sex- and age-specific mechanisms and risk factors [2,17], and alcohol use has been shown to affect males and females differently [18–20], we hypothesized these stratifications are necessary to shed light on this association.

Methods

LifeLines cohort and participants

Lifelines is a multi-disciplinary prospective population-based cohort study examining the health and health-related behaviours of 167,729 persons living in the North of the Netherlands. It employs a broad range of investigative procedures in assessing the biomedical, sociodemographic, behavioural, physical, and psychological factors which contribute to the health and disease of the general population, with a special focus on multi-morbidity and complex genetics [21]. Participants, almost exclusively of European ancestry, were included via general practitioners or self-enrolment between 2006 and 2013 and will be followed for at least 30 years. The cohort is described in detail elsewhere [22]. The study protocol was approved by the medical ethics committee of the University Medical Center Groningen and carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and all participants provided written informed consent. For the current study, we have data available for 77,145 participants. With this number, we would be able to detect an odds ratio of 1.10 of symptomatic dry eye, with a power as high as 97.5%, given an alpha of 0.05, and an estimated overall prevalence of symptomatic dry eye of 30% [23] and an estimated ratio of alcohol users to non-users of 7:1 [24].

Assessment of dry eye

There is no gold standard for a diagnosis of dry eye disease available for large-scale epidemiological studies. The most widely used dry eye

questionnaire in population-based studies is the Women's Health Study (WHS) dry eye questionnaire [2]. This short questionnaire has three questions and has been validated against a standardized clinical exam and showed similar sensitivity and specificity as a 16-item instrument [25,26]. The study participants completed this questionnaire during the period 2014 to 2018. For this study, we used two questions of the WHS questionnaire about current symptoms of dry eye: "How often do your eyes feel dry (not wet enough)?" and "How often do your eyes feel irritated?" (both with possible answers: 0 = never, 1 = sometimes, 2 =often, or 3 =constantly). As a primary outcome variable, we defined 'symptomatic dry eye' as a total score of 2 or higher on these two questions (i.e., either both dryness and irritation symptoms "sometimes" or at least "often" symptoms of dryness and/or irritation) [23]. As secondary outcome variables, we used the WHS outcome variables (i) 'highly symptomatic dry eye' which is both dryness and irritation symptoms either "often" or "constantly," and (ii) a past clinical diagnosis of dry eye, and (iii) the WHS definition, which is the presence of either one of these two outcomes [25].

Assessment of alcohol consumption

To assess dietary intake, a 110-item semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) was developed for the Lifelines cohort study and administered between 2009 and 2013. Alcohol intake in grams per day was calculated based on responses to specific questions regarding both frequency of alcohol intake (number of drinking days per week) and intake quantity (average number of alcoholic units per drinking day). These questions were split up for different alcoholic groups (beer, alcohol-free beer, red wine/rose, white wine, sherry, distilled wine, other alcoholic beverages). Individuals who reported consuming alcohol during the past month were considered drinkers. The Dutch dietary guidelines (2015) were used to estimate the average amount of alcohol per individual drink (varying from 0.10 g for a glass of alcohol-free beer to 10 g per glass of red wine or rosé) and the total amount (grams) of alcohol per day was subsequently calculated. Next, participants reporting alcohol use were stratified by sex and divided into four sexspecific quartiles based on the total amount of alcohol intake.

Assessment of possible confounding factors

All participants repeatedly completed questionnaires from baseline to 2018 that included questions about the presence of a broad range of disorders using the question: 'Could you indicate which of the following disorders you have or have had?' In addition, subjects were asked to report, using free text, any other disorders that they have or have had. Using this information, dichotomous variables were created for the occurrence of a broad range of diagnoses contemporaneous with the WHS dry eye questionnaire, see Vehof et al. [27]. Of these 119 disorders and traits, 99 were associated with WHS definition dry eye at p < 0.20when corrected for age and sex only. These 99 disorders and traits were then tested for an association with grams per day of alcohol intake (P <0.20) in a linear regression model, adjusted for age and sex. In that way, a total of 55 disorders and traits were associated with both WHS definition dry eye and alcohol use. These conditions were: contact lens use, eye surgery (any), laser refractive surgery, glaucoma/ocular hypertension, macular degeneration, Bell's palsy, heart valve disease, thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, myocardial infarction, heart failure, blood clot disorders, carotid stenosis, stroke, cardiac arrhythmia, hypertension (measured), hypertension (diagnosis), hypercholesterolemia, anemia, vitamin B12 deficiency, allergy (any), hay fever, eczema, psoriasis, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), sarcoidosis, Sjogren's disease, rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis, thyroid disease, diabetes mellitus, urine incontinence, kidney stones, renal failure, diverticulitis, gallstones, gastric reflux, irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), endometriosis, pelvic pain syndrome, fibromyalgia, whiplash, borderline personality disorder, panic disorder, burnout, chronic fatigue

syndrome, depression, Meniere's disease, epilepsy, spasticity, migraine, autism specter disorder, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), and addiction disorder.

Other variables also corrected for in this study were age, sex, BMI, smoking status (now, ever, never), income level (below 2000 euro per month, between 2000 and 3000 euro per month, above 3000 euro per month), and education level (low, middle, higher).

Statistics

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the characteristics of the study population. Logistic regression models were used to assess whether alcohol users were more likely to have symptomatic dry eye than non-users. Additionally, in alcohol users, the relationship between symptomatic dry eye (dependent variable) and the amount of alcohol intake (sex-specific quartiles and standard units (10 g/day), independent variable) was investigated. Results were corrected for (i) age and sex only, and (ii) age, sex, BMI, smoking status, education and income level, and 55 comorbidities associated with both WHS definition dry eye and alcohol use. Next, to test whether any risk of dry eye for alcohol consumers was significantly different in males versus females, we included the interaction terms [sex*alcohol intake(quartiles)] and [sex*alcohol use] in the multivariable model including all participants. In addition, similar analyses were performed in different age groups (20-39, 40-59, and 60+ years old), and the interaction terms [age*alcohol intake(quartiles)] and [age*alcohol use] were tested for significance to determine the role of age on the risk of symptomatic dry eye with alcohol use. As a sensitivity and secondary analysis, we investigated the more severe outcomes highly symptomatic dry eye (both dryness and irritation symptoms either "often" or "constantly"), a selfreported clinical diagnosis of dry eye (the third question of the WHS questionnaire), and the WHS definition of dry eye (either of the previous two outcomes positive). A P-value lower than 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant in all analyses.

Results

The characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1. In total, 77,119 participants were included in this study, of which 59% were female, 98.4% of participants were of European ancestry. A total of 30.0% of participants were classified as having symptomatic dry eye; 1.9% had highly symptomatic dry eye, and 8.5% reported having a clinical diagnosis of dry eye, leading to 9.0% of participants fulfilling the WHS definition of dry eye. All dry eye outcomes were more prevalent in female participants; 35.3% of females and 22.2% of males had symptomatic dry eye. Alcohol use was less frequent in females than in males (72.3% vs. 89.7%).

Table 2 shows the association between the presence of alcohol use and several dry eye outcome variables after correction for age, sex, BMI, smoking status, relationship status, income, and education level, and 55 comorbidities associated with both alcohol use and WHS definition dry eye. Compared to non-users, alcohol users were more likely to have symptomatic dry eye (OR 1.078, 95% CI 1.033–1.124, P < 0.0001). This effect was driven by the effect in females (OR 1.095, 95% CI 1.045–1.148, P < 0.0001), while no effect was observed in males (OR 0.988, 95% CI 0.900–1.084, P = 0.79). This sex-specific effect of alcohol use on symptomatic dry eye was significant (interaction term [sex*alcohol use], P = 0.02). Interestingly, highly symptomatic dry eye was not found to be significantly more prevalent in alcohol users than in nonusers (OR 0.905, 95% CI 0.797-1.028, P = 0.13). Moreover, in males, there was a significantly decreased risk of highly symptomatic dry eye in alcohol users (OR 0.658, 95% CI 0.469-0.924, P = 0.02). In females, no significantly decreased risk was observed (OR 0.944, 95% CI 0.823-1.082, P = 0.41). For highly symptomatic dry eye there was a significant effect of sex on the effects of alcohol (interaction term [sex*alcohol use], P = 0.03). The sex-specific effect of alcohol on dry eye Table 1

Characteristics of the study population.

	All (n = 77,145)	Males (n = 31,571)	Females (n = 45,574)
Age (yr), mean (sd) Ethnicity – White, European, %	50.6 (12.2) 98.4%	51.3 (12.3) 98.6%	50.0 (12.1) 98.2%
Income			
- <2000 Euro per month	27.0%	21.3%	30.9%
- 2000–3000 Euro per month	29.9%	33.2%	27.6%
>3000 Euro per month	33.6%	38.2%	30.5%
-Not answered	9.5%	7.3%	11.0%
Smoking			
-Active	14.9%	16.3%	13.9%
-Ex	32.9%	35.5%	31.2%
-Never	52.2%	48.2%	54.9%
Dry Eye			
- Symptomatic dry eye, %	30.0%	22.2%	35.3%
 Highly symptomatic dry eye, % 	1.9%	0.8%	2.6%
- Clinical diagnosis	8.4%	4.6%	11.1%
- WHS definition, %	9.0%	4.9%	11.8%
Alcohol Consumption			
- Current alcohol use, %	79.4%	89.7%	72.3%
 Alcohol intake (g/day), mean (sd) 	6.7 (8.5)	9.8 (10.2)	4.6 (6.4)
Comorbidities			
 Presence of ≥1 comorbidity 	90.8%	87.3%	93.2%
- Mean number of comorbidities	3.3	2.8	3.6

was also seen in the self-reported clinical diagnosis of dry eye (interaction term [sex*alcohol use], P = 0.02) and WHS definition of dry eye (interaction term [sex*alcohol use], P = 0.01). Both a clinical diagnosis and WHS definition of dry eye showed a non-significant increased risk with alcohol use in the combined population, driven by the effect in females, with a non-significant decreased risk in males.

Table 3 shows the relationship between symptomatic dry eye and continuous alcohol intake, after correcting for all relevant comorbidities and excluding non-drinkers. Each standard unit (10 g of alcohol) increase of alcohol intake per day showed a protective effect on symptomatic dry eye in the overall population (OR 0.976, 95% CI 0.954–0.999, P = 0.04) and in males (OR 0.962, 95% CI 0.934–0.992, P = 0.01), but not in females (OR 0.986, 95% CI 0.950–1.023, P = 0.45). When the drinkers were divided into sex-specific quartiles of alcohol intake, increasing alcohol intake showed no clear effect in the combined population or in females. However, in males, decreased risk of symptomatic dry eye was found in the third (OR 0.919, 95% CI 0.846–0.999, P = 0.047), and fourth (OR 0.895, 95% CI 0.822–0.974, P = 0.01) quartiles of alcohol intake, compared to the lowest quartile. This sexspecific effect of increasing alcohol intake was significant (interaction term [sex*alcohol intake(quartiles)], P = 0.007).

Table 4 shows the association between alcohol use, alcohol intake in standard units, 10 g of alcohol, per day, and symptomatic dry eye, stratified by age groups (20–39 yrs, 40–59 yrs, and 60+ yrs), corrected for all included confounders. The effect of alcohol use on symptomatic dry eye was similar between age groups, with no significant effect of age in neither the combined population nor either sex separately (interaction term [age*alcohol use] in combined population, P = 0.11). However; in alcohol users, the interaction term [age*alcohol intake (quartiles)] was statistically significant in the combined population (P = 0.006) and in females (P = 0.012), indicating that increasing age has a protective effect on the relationship between alcohol intake and symptomatic dry eye.

Supplemental Tables 1–3 present the results of the analyses only corrected for age and sex, so not corrected for comorbidities and other demographic factors. Interestingly, before correction for comorbidities, alcohol use appeared protective against highly symptomatic dry eye (OR

Table 2

Relationship between the presence of alcohol use and different dry eye phenotypes, stratified by sex.

Definition dry eye	All (n = 77,145	5)		Males ($n = 31$,	571)		Females (n = 4	5,574)		P-value interaction term [alcohol use ^a sex]
	Prevalence in drinkers (%)	OR (95% CI), corrected for all ^a	P-value	Prevalence in drinkers (%)	OR (95% CI), corrected for all ^a	P- value	Prevalence in drinkers (%)	OR (95% CI), corrected for all ^a	P-value	
Symptomatic dry eye	29.6	1.078 (1.033–1.124)	<0.0001	22.2	0.988 (0.900–1.084)	0.793	35.9	1.095 (1.045–1.148)	< 0.0001	0.022
Highly symptomatic dry eye	1.7	0.905 (0.797–1.028)	0.126	0.8	0.658 (0.469–0.924)	0.016	2.5	0.944 (0.823–1.082)	0.405	0.033
Clinical diagnosis	8.1	1.008 (0.944–1.076)	0.811	4.5	0.870 (0.736–1.030)	0.107	11.1	1.026 (0.956–1.101)	0.483	0.017
WHS definition of dry eye	8.6	1.001 (0.940–1.067)	0.969	4.8	0.864 (0.734–1.017)	0.078	11.9	1.020 (0.952–1.092)	0.570	0.021

^a Non-users are reference group. Corrected for age, sex, BMI, smoking status, income and education level, and 55 comorbidities associated with alcohol use and dry eye.

0.584, 95% CI 0.420–0.812, P = 0.001), a clinical diagnosis of dry eye (OR 0.804, 95% CI 0.684–0.944, P = 0.008), and WHS definition dry eye (OR 0.794, 95% CI 0.680–0.928, P = 0.004) in males, as well as highly symptomatic dry eye (OR 0.872, 95% CI 0.769–0.990, P = 0.035) in females (Supplemental Table 1). This effect was lost with correction for the relevant comorbidities in all but highly symptomatic dry eye in males, as alcohol intake was negatively associated with 39 of the comorbidities positively associated with WHS definition dry eye.

The ORs of having symptomatic dry eye, corrected for all possible confounding factors, with increasing alcohol intake in drinkers are presented in Fig. 1. It shows the risks of symptomatic dry eye of sexspecific alcohol intake quartiles in drinkers, with the lowest quartile as the reference group. As can be seen, the two highest quartiles of alcohol intake were significantly linked with decreased risk of symptomatic dry eye in males.

Discussion

This large population-based study found a highly significant association between alcohol use and symptomatic dry eye. This association was driven by females, whereas no increased risk was seen in males. The increased risk in females who consumed alcohol was also present after correction for demographic variables and systemic comorbidities. For all outcome measures of dry eye, there was a significant sex-specific effect of alcohol use, with female sex being associated with a higher risk of dry eye with alcohol use. In males, alcohol use was associated with decreased risk of highly symptomatic dry eye. Furthermore, increasing intake of alcohol in drinkers was tied to a small reduced risk of symptomatic dry eye in the combined populations, driven by a significant effect in males.

Only two interventional studies have assessed the short-term effects of orally administered alcohol on the ocular surface [14,28]. Both studies evaluated the intake of a relatively large quantity of alcohol (0.75 g/kg ethanol or 200 ml 25% vodka) at one time and evaluated the effects on symptoms and tear film parameters. Both studies followed the participants over 12 h, finding alcohol to increase tear film osmolarity, shorten tear film breakup-time (TBUT), and induce more ocular pain [14,28]. Interestingly, alcohol was detectable in the tear film 8 h after intake and found in the tear film at concentrations near half the participants' blood alcohol [14]. These studies highlight the effect of a single intake of alcohol on the ocular surface and may provide some clues to parts of the mechanisms behind the increased risk of dry eye with alcohol use observed in this study.

This epidemiological study is the first to assess the relationship between alcohol consumption and symptomatic dry eye, taking into account a large number of medical comorbidities, smoking status, and several demographic variables and stratifying by age and sex. Past epidemiological studies that investigated the link with alcohol have been hypothesis-free, general prevalence and risk factor studies, that included alcohol consumption as one of the many variables tested. A meta-analysis from 2016 summarizing eight general risk and prevalence studies found that intake of alcohol was borderline significantly associated with DED [13]. However, the study found no increased risk of dry eve in heavy drinkers compared to non-drinkers, which they partly attributed to alcoholic neuropathy in heavy drinkers. This was supported by the fact that alcohol use was only associated with dry eye as assessed by both clinical signs and symptoms, and not with dry eye defined by symptoms alone. However, none of the included articles focused specifically on the relationship between alcohol and dry eye. A register study using the National United States Veterans Affairs' database to assess the influence of psychiatric disorders on the risk of dry eye [15] accounted for nearly one-third of the weighted average in the meta-analysis [13]. This study on veterans only corrected for age and sex, but found that having a diagnosis of alcohol dependence was associated with an increased risk of dry eye [15].

Other epidemiological studies, beyond those included in the 2016 meta-analysis, have not found an association between alcohol use and dry eye. In one Chinese study, consumption of one or more alcoholic beverages per day was found not to be associated with dry eye [29]. However, only 549 of the 4141 subjects reported drinking any alcohol at all, limiting the study's power. Apart from a slit-lamp examination, no further description of how DED was assessed was mentioned in this paper. A Japanese population-based study in 2645 subjects (44.0% drinkers) by Uchino et al. examined general risk factors of dry eye, using the WHS definition [30]. They did not identify current use of alcohol as a risk factor in neither men nor women [30]. The Beaver Dam Offspring Study found no association between the previous year's reported alcohol consumption in grams per week and several self-reported variables of DED. Of the 3275 participants in that study, 2907 drank at least some alcohol the previous year [31]. In our current study of 77,119 participants, 61,412 of whom consumed alcohol in the last month, we found continuous alcohol intake to be associated with less symptomatic dry eye in male drinkers. Surprisingly, with each unit of alcohol (10 g) per day, the risk of dry eye fell by 4% in males, while no significant effect was seen in females.

The influence of sex on the association between alcohol use and all outcome measures of dry eye found in this study is particularly intriguing. Past studies have not investigated this relationship. Stratification by sex is crucial as alcohol has been found to have different physiological and pathological effects on males and females [18,19,32].

	Alcohol	All $(n = 61, 412)$				Males $(n = 28, 361)$				Females $(n = 33,051]$	(
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	intake quartiles	Prevalence of symptomatic dry eye (%)	Mean alcohol intake (g/ day)	OR (95% CI), corrected for all ^a	P- value	Prevalence of symptomatic dry eye (%)	Mean alcohol intake (g/ day)	OR (95% CI), corrected for all ^a	P- value	Prevalence of symptomatic dry eye (%)	Mean alcohol intake (g/ day)	OR (95% CI), corrected for all ^a	p- value
	First quartile	29.9%	1.3	Ref	n/a	23.3	1.8	ref	n/a	35.4	0.0	ref	n/a
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Second	29.4%	4.1	0.987	0.62	22.1	5.7	0.934	0.11	35.8	2.7	1.024	0.49
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	quartile			(0.937 - 1.040)				(0.860 - 1.014)				(0.957 - 1.096)	
	Third	29.9%	8.6	0.991	0.74	22.1	11.3	0.919	0.047	36.4	6.4	1.034	0.34
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	quartile			(0.941 - 1.044)				(0.846 - 0.999)				(0.966 - 1.106)	
quartile (0.923-1.028) (0.822-0.974) (0.948-1.089) (0.948-1.089) (0.948-1.089) (0.948-1.089) (0.948-1.089) (0.954-0.999) (0.954-0.999) (0.954-0.999) (0.954-0.992) (0.934-0.992) (0.954-0.992) (0.950-1.023	Fourth	29.2%	19.9	0.974	0.34	21.2	24.7	0.895	0.010	36.0	15.7	1.016	0.65
Alcohol n/a 0.976 0.041 n/a 0.962 0.013 n/a 0.986 intake (10 (0.954-0.999) (0.934-0.992) (0.934-0.992) (0.950-1.023) g/day) (0.934-0.992) (0.934-0.992) (0.950-1.023)	quartile			(0.923 - 1.028)				(0.822 - 0.974)				(0.948 - 1.089)	
intake (10 (0.954-0.999) (0.934-0.992) (0.950-1.023) g/day)	Alcohol	n/a		0.976	0.041	n/a		0.962	0.013	n/a		0.986	0.45
	intake (10 g/day)			(0.954–0.999)				(0.934–0.992)				(0.950-1.023)	

-1	There are several proposed mechanisms that could explain why fe-
986 95(males might be more prone to dry eye than males. Differences in sex
<u>.</u> 9	hormones are the most widely accepted, with decreased androgens
	being particularly important, as they are believed to play a major role in
	maintaining healthy ocular surface and adnexa [33,34]. Studies have
	suggested that androgen deficiency can cause an auto-immune process
	leading to tear deficiency, corneal and conjunctival damage, lacrimal
	gland inflammation, and meibomian gland dysfunction [33]. This is
	supported by recent findings showing that the anti-androgen finasteride
	disrupts the ocular homeostasis and induces dry eye [35]. While estro-
	gen is reported to stimulate immune responses, the effects of estrogen on
	the ocular surface in human and animal studies remain inconclusive
	[33].
n/a	Chronic alcohol use has been linked to various changes in sex hor-

as been linked to various changes in sex hormone levels in women [36]. Alcohol consumption has been associated with higher plasma estrogen levels [18] and decreased progesterone [37], and an altered function of the hypothalamus and gonads, resulting in increased conversion of androgen to estrogen [38]. A prospective study measuring estradiol in the luteal phase in premenopausal women found levels of estradiol to be positively associated with both presence of alcohol consumption and increased alcohol intake [39]. Alcohol intake over one drink per day has also been linked to higher blood estradiol levels in postmenopausal women [40,41], and both moderate alcohol consumption and chronic alcoholism were associated with low testosterone and high estrogen levels in postmenopausal women [42]. This was thought to stem from an increased aromatization of testosterone to estrogens [42]. In a prospective cross-over study, 34 premenopausal women were randomly assigned to either consume 30 g of alcohol per day for three menstrual cycles and then abstain from alcohol entirely the following three cycles, or the other way round [43]. Urinary and plasma hormone measurements were collected at the end of each three-cycle period. The authors found total estrogen levels to increase with alcohol consumption [43]. This increase in estrogen and decrease in androgens may account for the sex and age-specific effects of alcohol observed in our current study. The TFOS DEWS II Sex, Gender and Hormone report noted that the sex-specific effects on dry eye appeared to diminish with age, likely due to falling androgens in both sexes [33]. This could explain the results observed in this study, where increasing age reduced alcohol intake's negative effect on symptomatic dry eye in females.

In addition, the prevalence, clinical characteristics, pathophysiology, and treatment response of dry eye are all well-known to be substantially different in females and males [17,33]. The TFOS DEWS II Sex, Gender and Hormone Report stressed the importance of understanding these sex-related differences in dry eye for the development of new approaches to diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of disease [33].

This study found alcohol use to be protective for highly symptomatic dry eye, especially in male participants. Furthermore, there was a mild protective effect of alcohol intake in all males and in females in the older age category. One possible mechanism for this finding might be that alcohol-induced peripheral neuropathy leads to a decreased corneal sensitivity and, thus, fewer symptoms [13,44]. This could particularly be present for older age groups where cumulative exposure to alcohol is higher. Of note, longer history of heavy drinking in men has been associated with worse Schirmer I, TBUT, and conjunctival impression cytology scores [45]. Dry eye symptoms were not investigated in the same study [45], but in the Beaver Dam-cohort, a past or current history of heavy drinking was not associated with dry eye symptoms after correcting for relevant comorbidities [46]. It is therefore possible that chronic alcohol consumption masks the symptoms of dry eye while still deteriorating the ocular surface and that the association is best uncovered by assessing objective dry eye parameters in addition to symptoms. Assessment of both signs and symptoms is important in all studies investigating dry eye; however, objective exposure and outcome measures appear to be particularly important in the setting of alcohol consumption and dry eye. Future studies assessing this link might also

(g/ć	an alcoho	l intake	Alcohol use						Alcohol intake (1	0 g/day) ^t				
	day)		All		Males		Females		All		Males		Females	
s All	Males	Females	OR (95% CI), corrected for all ^a	P- value	OR (95% CI), corrected for all ^a	P- value	OR (95% CI), corrected for all ^a	P- value	OR (95% CI), corrected for all ^a	P- value	OR (95% CI), corrected for all ^a	P- value	OR (95% CI), corrected for all ^a	P- value
6.2	10.0	3.8	1.086 (0.978–1.205)	0.12	0.936 (0.736–1.190)	0.59	1.114 (0.992–1.252)	0.07	0.962 (0.905–1.022)	0.21	0.923 (0.855–0.996)	0.038	1.046 (0.940–1.165)	0.41
6.4	9.3	4.5	1.068 (1.013–1.126)	0.015	1.009 (0.894–1.138)	0.89	1.076 (1.014-1.141)	0.016	1.003 (0.974 -1.034)	0.84	0.997 (0.958–1.037)	0.87	1.007 (0.960–1.056)	0.78
7.9	10.6	5.8	1.079 (0.982–1.186)	0.12	0.986 (0.816–1.193)	0.88	1.098 (0.985–1.225)	0.09	0.926 (0.883–0.971)	0.001	0.931 (0.874–0.991)	0.025	0.912 (0.847–0.981)	0.014
्र स	6.4 7.9 ting s	6.4 9.3 7.9 10.6 ing status, in is analysis. T1	 6.4 9.3 4.5 7.9 10.6 5.8 ing status, income and is analysis. The analysis 	(c0.9/8-1.205) 6.4 9.3 4.5 1.068 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 analysis (0.982-1.186) (0.982-1.186)	6.4 9.3 4.5 1.068 0.015 6.4 9.3 4.5 1.068 0.015 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 fill of status, income and education level, and 55 ct is analysis. The analysis included 61.141 particip.	6.4 9.3 4.5 1.068 0.015 1.009 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.966 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.966 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.966 1.193 $0.382-1.186$ $0.316-1.193$ $0.316-1.193$ 1.8 $0.982-1.186$ 0.122 $0.036-1.193$ 1.083 $0.982-1.186$ 0.122 0.036 1.145 $0.0382-1.186$ 0.122 $0.036-1.193$ 1.083 $0.982-1.186$ 0.122 $0.036-1.193$ $0.0382-1.186$ $0.0382-1.196$ $0.0382-1.132$ $0.0382-1.132$	6.4 9.3 4.5 1.068 0.015 1.009 0.89 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.88 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.88 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.88 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.88 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.88 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.88 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.88 10.8 $0.982-1.186$ 0.12 $0.916-1.193$ 0.88 0.88 10.8 $0.982-1.186$ 0.146 $0.816-1.193$ 0.288 0.188 10.8 $0.982-1.186$ 0.12 $0.916-1.193$ 0.188 0.188 10.8 $0.916-1.190$ $0.916-1.193$ $0.916-1.193$ $0.916-1.193$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6.4 9.3 4.5 1.068 0.015 1.009 0.89 1.076 0.016 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 $0.984 - 1.138$ $0.104 - 1.141$ 0.096 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.88 1.098 0.09 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.88 1.098 0.09 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.88 1.098 0.09 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.88 1.098 0.09 $10.882 - 1.186$ $0.816 - 1.193$ $0.985 - 1.225$ 0.09	6.4 9.3 4.5 1.068 0.015 1.009 0.89 1.076 0.016 1.003 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.89 1.076 0.096 1.034 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.88 1.098 0.09 0.926 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.88 1.098 0.926 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.88 1.098 0.926 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.916 1.098 0.926 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.916 1.038 0.926 0.935 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.076 0.126 0.916 0.926 0.935 0.935 0.971 0.935 0.935 0.971 0.935 0.935 0.935 0.935 0.935 0.935 0.9	6.4 9.3 4.5 1.068 0.015 1.009 0.89 1.076 0.016 1.003 0.84 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.984 0.0916 1.003 0.84 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.88 1.098 0.09 0.926 0.001 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.88 1.098 0.09 0.926 0.001 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.88 1.098 0.09 0.926 0.001 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.986 0.88 1.098 0.091 0.001 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.076 $0.0982-1.186$ $0.016-1.193$ $0.0985-1.225$ 0.091 0.001 $10.833-0.971$ $0.985-1.225$ $0.0983-0.971$ 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001	(0.978-1.126) $(0.756-1.190)$ $(0.972-1.222)$ $(0.905-1.022)$ (0.997) $(1.013-1.126)$ $(0.015 1.009)$ $0.89 1.076$ $(0.016 1.003)$ $0.84 0.997$ $7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079$ $(0.12 0.986)$ $0.88 1.098$ $0.88 1.098$ $0.09 0.926$ $0.001 0.931$ $7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079$ $(0.982-1.186)$ $(0.816-1.193)$ $(0.985-1.225)$ $(0.933-0.971)$ $(0.874-0.991)$ $7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079$ $(0.982-1.186)$ $(0.816-1.193)$ $(0.985-1.225)$ $(0.933-0.971)$ $(0.874-0.991)$ $7.9 10.6 5.8 1.0799$ $(0.816-1.193)$ $(0.985-1.225)$ $(0.983-0.971)$ $(0.874-0.991)$ $7.9 10.6 5.8 1.0799$ $(0.982-1.186)$ $(0.816-1.193)$ $(0.985-1.225)$ $(0.983-0.971)$ $(0.874-0.991)$ $(1.01 + 1.0100000000000000000000000000000$	6.4 9.3 4.5 1.068 0.015 1.009 0.89 1.076 0.016 1.003 0.84 0.997 0.87 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.894 1.138 0.106 1.003 0.84 0.997 0.87 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.996 0.88 1.098 0.091 0.931 0.025 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.996 0.88 1.098 0.0926 0.001 0.931 0.025 7.9 10.6 5.8 1.079 0.12 0.996 0.88 1.098 0.091 0.926 0.001 0.931 0.025 $0.982-1.186$ 0.126 $0.816-1.193$ $(0.985-1.225)$ $(0.883-0.971)$ $(0.874-0.991)$ 0.025 $10.683.106$ $0.883-0.971$ $(0.883-0.971)$ $(0.874-0.991)$ 0.025 0.026 0.026 0.027 0.025 0.027 0.027 0.028 0.021 0.024 <td>$6.4 \ 9.3 \ 4.5 \ 1.068$ $0.015 \ 1.009$ $0.89 \ 1.076$ $0.016 \ 1.003$ $0.84 \ 0.97$ $0.87 \ 1.007$ $7.9 \ 10.6 \ 5.8 \ 1.079$ $0.12 \ 0.84 \ 1.038$ $0.89 \ 1.076$ $0.016 \ 1.014 - 1.034$ $0.97 \ 0.958 - 1.037$ $0.87 \ 1.007$ $7.9 \ 10.6 \ 5.8 \ 1.079$ $0.12 \ 0.866 \ 0.88 \ 1.098$ $0.88 \ 1.098 \ 0.926$ $0.001 \ 0.931 \ 0.025 \ 0.912$ $0.025 \ 0.912$ $7.9 \ 10.6 \ 5.8 \ 1.079$ $0.12 \ 0.966 \ 0.88 \ 1.098$ $0.88 \ 1.098 \ 0.926 \ 0.926 \ 0.926 \ 0.921 \ 0.931$ $0.025 \ 0.912 \ 0.912$ $7.9 \ 10.6 \ 5.8 \ 1.079 \ 0.12 \ 0.82 - 1.193$ $0.98 \ 1.098 \ 0.95 \ 0.926 \ 0.971$ $0.001 \ 0.931 \ 0.025 \ 0.912 \ 0.912$ $7.9 \ 10.6 \ 5.8 \ 1.079 \ 0.982 - 1.186$ $0.12 \ 0.866 \ 0.88 \ 1.098 \ 0.985 - 1.225$ $0.992 \ 0.901 \ 0.833 - 0.971$ $0.027 \ 0.912 \ 0.947 - 0.981$ $7.9 \ 10.6 \ 5.8 \ 1.076 \ 0.982 - 1.186$ $0.12 \ 0.816 - 1.193$ $0.985 - 1.225$ $0.992 \ 0.901 \ 0.833 - 0.971$ $0.874 - 0.991$ $0.847 - 0.981$ $1.08 \ 5.8 \ 5$</td>	$6.4 \ 9.3 \ 4.5 \ 1.068$ $0.015 \ 1.009$ $0.89 \ 1.076$ $0.016 \ 1.003$ $0.84 \ 0.97$ $0.87 \ 1.007$ $7.9 \ 10.6 \ 5.8 \ 1.079$ $0.12 \ 0.84 \ 1.038$ $0.89 \ 1.076$ $0.016 \ 1.014 - 1.034$ $0.97 \ 0.958 - 1.037$ $0.87 \ 1.007$ $7.9 \ 10.6 \ 5.8 \ 1.079$ $0.12 \ 0.866 \ 0.88 \ 1.098$ $0.88 \ 1.098 \ 0.926$ $0.001 \ 0.931 \ 0.025 \ 0.912$ $0.025 \ 0.912$ $7.9 \ 10.6 \ 5.8 \ 1.079$ $0.12 \ 0.966 \ 0.88 \ 1.098$ $0.88 \ 1.098 \ 0.926 \ 0.926 \ 0.926 \ 0.921 \ 0.931$ $0.025 \ 0.912 \ 0.912$ $7.9 \ 10.6 \ 5.8 \ 1.079 \ 0.12 \ 0.82 - 1.193$ $0.98 \ 1.098 \ 0.95 \ 0.926 \ 0.971$ $0.001 \ 0.931 \ 0.025 \ 0.912 \ 0.912$ $7.9 \ 10.6 \ 5.8 \ 1.079 \ 0.982 - 1.186$ $0.12 \ 0.866 \ 0.88 \ 1.098 \ 0.985 - 1.225$ $0.992 \ 0.901 \ 0.833 - 0.971$ $0.027 \ 0.912 \ 0.947 - 0.981$ $7.9 \ 10.6 \ 5.8 \ 1.076 \ 0.982 - 1.186$ $0.12 \ 0.816 - 1.193$ $0.985 - 1.225$ $0.992 \ 0.901 \ 0.833 - 0.971$ $0.874 - 0.991$ $0.847 - 0.981$ $1.08 \ 5.8 \ 5$

The Ocular	Surface.	21 (2021)	87–95



Quartile of Alcohol Consumption

Fig. 1. Comparison of odds ratio (OR) for having symptomatic dry eye across quartiles of alcohol intake in drinkers, for both sexes: OR for *symptomatic dry eye* (either both dryness and irritation symptoms "sometimes" or either dryness or irritation at least "often") in each quartile of alcohol intake, in both males and females, corrected for BMI, smoking status, income and education level, and 55 comorbidities associated with alcohol intake and dry eye. The first quartile, the 25% of participants with the lowest intake of alcohol, serves as reference. Non-drinkers were excluded from the analysis. The error bars represent the 95% confidence intervals. The interaction term [sex*alcohol intake(quartiles)] was significant, P = 0.007, indicating a sex-specific response to increasing alcohol intake.

benefit from evaluating corneal nerve status using either Cochet-Bonnet esthesiometer, non-contact Belmonte esthesiometer, or *in-vivo* confocal microscopy [47,48].

Furthermore, in addition to affecting the peripheral nerves, alcohol also alters levels of, and responsiveness to, many neurotransmitters, including GABA [49], dopamine [50-52], serotonin [53], endorphins [54,55], and glutamate [56,57]. Neural processing of corneal pain is complex, involving both peripheral and central sites of processing and several of these neurotransmitters [58,59]. Although not much is known about how alcohol-induced changes in central nervous system (CNS) processing could affect pain arising from the ocular surface, several of these neurotransmitters have been tied to dry eye in the past. GABA has been shown to be important in modulating the corneal pain signals transmitted through the trigeminal nerve stemming from the cornea [60]. Acute intake of alcohol activates GABA receptors inhibiting excitatory signals [49], while the opposite is seen in chronic alcohol use [61]. Similarly, acute intake of alcohol increases dopamine levels [50, 51], while chronic use decreases dopamine response [52]. Low dopamine levels decrease blink rates, leaving the ocular surface exposed for longer durations of time [62-64]. The intake of alcohol also delays the clearance of serotonin in the CNS [53]. Higher serotonin levels in the tear film have been correlated with more dry eye signs and symptoms [65], and the use of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI) was shown to aggravate dry eye in patients with depression [66]. Acute alcohol intake has been shown to increase beta-endorphin [54] and other endogenous opioid peptides [55], while chronic use of alcohol depresses the same systems [67]. Endorphins are important in all types of pain modulation, and injection of morphine in the basal ganglia was shown to reduce corneal pain stimulus in rats [68]. The inverse is seen in glutamate signaling, where acute intake of alcohol reduces glutamate signaling, and chronic use increases the number and activity of the glutamate receptors and enhances signaling in withdrawal [56]. Disturbances in glutamate signaling in the dorsal spinal cord have also been implicated in sensitization of corneal pain signaling and altered pain perception of peripheral input, such as hyperalgesia and allodynia [47].



Fig. 2. Proposed model of action for alcohol's impact on dry eye. The red arrows indicate changes that negatively influence dry eye signs and symptoms. The green arrows show the potentially beneficial effects of alcohol use on dry eye. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

Overall, it is thus plausible that acute and chronic intake of alcohol induces different CNS changes that could affect dry eye symptoms and ocular pain stimuli differently. However, the chemical effects of alcohol on the tear film and ocular surface might be more pronounced than CNS changes with the acute intake of alcohol [14,28].

Fig. 2 illustrates a proposed model for how alcohol use could lead to changes in both signs and symptoms of dry eye. Alcohol is likely to contribute both directly and indirectly to the loss of ocular homeostasis through chemical properties and hormonal changes. It also highlights the possible neurological effects of alcohol use on signs and symptoms through both peripheral neuropathy and CNS changes.

Non-drinkers were used as the reference group in several of the analyses performed; however, it should be noted that non-drinkers represent a highly heterogeneous group [69]. Non-drinkers abstain from drinking alcohol for many different reasons, including health issues, past problems with control of intake, and cultural, social, and familial reasons [69]. When assessing the effect of confounders in the present study, we found continuous alcohol intake to be protective against a majority of the comorbidities associated with more WHS definition dry eye, including Sjogren's disease, rheumatoid arthritis, and Bell's palsy. This could indicate that non-drinkers more frequently have chronic conditions than drinkers, that could confound the relationship. This might be due to a survivor bias, where people with chronic diseases stop drinking due to their underlaying condition. It is thus important to take this into account and correct for all relevant comorbidities. Similarly, it is possible that some with severe dry eye might abstain from drinking alcohol, possibly explaining part of the protective effect of alcohol use on highly symptomatic dry eye in males. Furthermore, this study used the intake of alcohol in the last month to distinguish drinkers and non-drinkers. Past results have found that a 12-month timeframe for alcohol assessment may provide a more complete image of true intake than 30-day measurements [70].

This study has several strengths. First, the large sample size allowed for stratification for both sex and age groups, which is essential when assessing risk factors of dry eye. Second, due to information on a large number of medical comorbidities, we were able to correct for the 55 comorbidities associated with both WHS definition dry eye and alcohol intake. Third, both alcohol consumption and dry eye were assessed using validated questionnaires in a large sample representative for its geographical coverage [71].

This study also has some limitations. First, alcohol consumption and dry eye were not assessed simultaneously in all participants. In around one-third of participants, only past data on alcohol intake was available, obtained on average three years before dry eye assessment. Changes during this period could have led to a decreased power to detect the true association. However, studies have shown that alcohol consumption is quite stable over time in adults, so we do not expect a major bias from this time lag [72-74]. Second, due to the cross-sectional nature of this study, we cannot conclusively determine a causative effect of alcohol consumption on dry eye. Finally, the presence of a clinical diagnosis of dry eye, comorbid conditions, and alcohol consumption were retrospectively self-reported and recall bias is possible. Future longitudinal studies on the use of alcohol and dry eye should, if possible, include objective and subjective measures of both alcohol consumption and dry eve. For alcohol intake, this could be the addition of phosphatidyl ethanol or carbohydrate-deficient transferrin measurements [75]. Dry eye disease should ideally be diagnosed in accordance with the TFOS DEWS II guidelines [76]. The diagnosis is based on both symptomatology, assessed by either the Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI) or the Dry Eye Questionnaire-5 (DEQ-5), and markers of loss of homeostasis of the tear film, such as break-up time, tear film osmolarity, or ocular surface staining. Furthermore, studies investigating symptoms and signs of dry eye after stopping alcohol consumption or overcoming alcohol addiction are warranted.

In conclusion, alcohol use appears to be a mild risk factor for symptomatic dry eye in females, but not in males. The effects of alcohol on dry eye are highly dependent on sex, with females being significantly more at risk than males. In male drinkers, increased alcohol intake was even found protective for symptomatic dry eye. These findings highlight the importance of stratification by sex in studies on dry eye disease. Our results also indicate that future studies should aim to include both objective and subjective assessment of both dry eye disease and alcohol use to uncover its complex relationship including the role of any possible neuropathic mechanisms masking dry eye symptoms.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to acknowledge the services of the Lifelines Cohort Study, the contributing research centres delivering data to Lifelines, and all the study participants. The Lifelines Biobank initiative has been made possible by subsidy from the Dutch Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport, the Dutch Ministry of Economic Affairs, the University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG the Netherlands), University Groningen and the Northern Provinces of the Netherlands.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtos.2021.05.005.

References

- Craig JP, Nichols KK, Akpek EK, Caffery B, Dua HS, Joo CK, et al. TFOS DEWS II definition and classification report. Ocul Surf 2017;15(3):276–83.
- [2] Stapleton F, Alves M, Bunya VY, Jalbert I, Lekhanont K, Malet F, et al. TFOS DEWS II Epidemiology report. Ocul Surf 2017;15(3):334–65.
- [3] McDonald M, Patel DA, Keith MS, Snedecor SJ. Economic and humanistic burden of dry eye disease in europe, North America, and asia: a systematic literature review. Ocul Surf 2016;14(2):144–67.
- [4] Miljanovic B, Dana R, Sullivan DA, Schaumberg DA. Impact of dry eye syndrome on vision-related quality of life. Am J Ophthalmol 2007;143(3):409–15.
- [5] Schiffman RM, Walt JG, Jacobsen G, Doyle JJ, Lebovics G, Sumner W. Utility assessment among patients with dry eye disease. Ophthalmology 2003;110(7): 1412–9.
- [6] Uchino M, Schaumberg DA. Dry eye disease: impact on quality of life and vision. Curr Ophthalmol Rep 2013;1(2):51–7.
- [7] Yu J, Asche CV, Fairchild CJ. The economic burden of dry eye disease in the United States: a decision tree analysis. Cornea 2011;30(4):379–87.
- [8] Uchino M, Uchino Y, Dogru M, Kawashima M, Yokoi N, Komuro A, et al. Dry eye disease and work productivity loss in visual display users: the Osaka study. Am J Ophthalmol 2014;157(2):294–300.
- [9] Arita R, Fukuoka S. Non-pharmaceutical treatment options for meibomian gland dysfunction. Clin Exp Optom 2020;103:742–55.
- [10] Foulks GN, Forstot SL, Donshik PC, Forstot JZ, Goldstein MH, Lemp MA, et al. Clinical guidelines for management of dry eye associated with Sjogren disease. Ocul Surf 2015;13(2):118–32.
- [11] Galor A. Diagnosis and management of ocular surface disease. In: Jeng BH, editor. Advances in medical and surgical cornea. Essentials in ophthalmology. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2014. p. 1–10.
- [12] Jones L, Downie LE, Korb D, Benitez-Del-Castillo JM, Dana R, Deng SX, et al. TFOS DEWS II management and therapy report. Ocul Surf 2017;15(3):575–628.
- [13] You YS, Qu NB, Yu XN. Alcohol consumption and dry eye syndrome: a Metaanalysis. Int J Ophthalmol 2016;9(10):1487–92.
- [14] Kim JH, Kim JH, Nam WH, Yi K, Choi DG, Hyon JY, et al. Oral alcohol administration disturbs tear film and ocular surface. Ophthalmology 2012;119(5): 965–71.
- [15] Galor A, Feuer W, Lee DJ, Florez H, Faler AL, Zann KL, et al. Depression, posttraumatic stress disorder, and dry eye syndrome: a study utilizing the national United States Veterans Affairs administrative database. Am J Ophthalmol 2012; 154(2):340–346 e2.
- [16] Kromhout D, Spaaij CJ, de Goede J, Weggemans RM. The 2015 Dutch food-based dietary guidelines. Eur J Clin Nutr 2016;70(8):869–78.
- [17] Vehof J, Sillevis Smitt-Kamminga N, Nibourg SA, Hammond CJ. Sex differences in clinical characteristics of dry eye disease. Ocul Surf 2018;16(2):242–8.
- [18] Erol A, Ho AMC, Winham SJ, Karpyak VM. Sex hormones in alcohol consumption: a systematic review of evidence. Addiction Biol 2019;24(2):157–69.
- [19] Erol A, Karpyak VM. Sex and gender-related differences in alcohol use and its consequences: contemporary knowledge and future research considerations. Drug Alcohol Depend 2015;156:1–13.

- [20] Gordon GG, Altman K, Southren AL, Rubin E, Lieber CS. Effect of alcohol (ethanol) administration on sex-hormone metabolism in normal men. N Engl J Med 1976; 295(15):793–7.
- [21] Stolk RP, Rosmalen JG, Postma DS, de Boer RA, Navis G, Slaets JP, et al. Universal risk factors for multifactorial diseases: LifeLines: a three-generation populationbased study. Eur J Epidemiol 2008;23(1):67–74.
- [22] Scholtens S, Smidt N, Swertz MA, Bakker SJ, Dotinga A, Vonk JM, et al. Cohort Profile: LifeLines, a three-generation cohort study and biobank. Int J Epidemiol 2015;44(4):1172–80.
- [23] Bazeer S, Jansonius N, Snieder H, Hammond C, Vehof J. The relationship between occupation and dry eye. Ocul Surf 2019;17(3):484–90.
- [24] Geels LM, Vink JM, van Beek JH, Bartels M, Willemsen G, Boomsma DI. Increases in alcohol consumption in women and elderly groups: evidence from an epidemiological study. BMC Publ Health 2013;13:207.
- [25] Gulati A, Sullivan R, Buring JE, Sullivan DA, Dana R, Schaumberg DA. Validation and repeatability of a short questionnaire for dry eye syndrome. Am J Ophthalmol 2006;142(1):125–31.
- [26] Schaumberg DA, Gulati A, Mathers WD, Clinch T, Lemp MA, Nelson JD, et al. Development and validation of a short global dry eye symptom index. Ocul Surf 2007;5(1):50–7.
- [27] Vehof J, Snieder H, Jansonius N, Hammond CJ. Prevalence and risk factors of dry eye in 79,866 participants of the population-based Lifelines cohort study in The Netherlands. Ocul Surf 2021;19:83–93.
- [28] Simsek C, Kojima T, Dogru M, Tanaka M, Takano Y, Wakamatsu T, et al. The early effects of alcohol consumption on functional visual acuity, tear functions, and the ocular surface. Eye Contact Lens 2021;47(1):20–6.
- [29] Xu L, You QS, Jonas JB. Prevalence of alcohol consumption and risk of ocular diseases in a general population: the Beijing Eye Study. Ophthalmology 2009;116 (10):1872–9.
- [30] Uchino M, Nishiwaki Y, Michikawa T, Shirakawa K, Kuwahara E, Yamada M, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of dry eye disease in Japan: koumi study. Ophthalmology 2011;118(12):2361–7.
- [31] Paulsen AJ, Cruickshanks KJ, Fischer ME, Huang GH, Klein BE, Klein R, et al. Dry eye in the beaver dam offspring study: prevalence, risk factors, and health-related quality of life. Am J Ophthalmol 2014;157(4):799–806.
- [32] Vatsalya V, Liaquat HB, Ghosh K, Mokshagundam SP, McClain CJ. A review on the sex differences in organ and system pathology with alcohol drinking. Curr Drug Abuse Rev 2016;9(2):87–92.
- [33] Sullivan DA, Rocha EM, Aragona P, Clayton JA, Ding J, Golebiowski B, et al. TFOS DEWS II sex, gender, and hormones report. Ocul Surf 2017;15(3):284–333.
- [34] Vehof J, Hysi PG, Hammond CJ. A metabolome-wide study of dry eye disease reveals serum androgens as biomarkers. Ophthalmology 2017;124(4):505–11.
 [35] Traish AM. Health risks associated with long-term finasteride and dutasteride use:
- it's time to sound the alarm. World J Mens Health 2020;38(3):323–37.
 [36] Gill J. The effects of moderate alcohol consumption on female hormone levels and
- [56] Ghi J. The effects of inducrate arconor consumption in remain formione revers and reproductive function. Alcohol Alcohol 2000;35(5):417–23.
 [37] Sarkola T. Mäkisalo H. Fukunaga T. Eriksson CJ. Acute effect of alcohol on
- [37] Sarkola T, Mäkisalo H, Fukunaga T, Eriksson CJ. Acute effect of alcohol on estradiol, estrone, progesterone, prolactin, cortisol, and luteinizing hormone in premenopausal women. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1999;23(6):976–82.
- [38] Erol A, Karpyak VM. Sex and gender-related differences in alcohol use and its consequences: contemporary knowledge and future research considerations. Drug Alcohol Depend 2015;156:1–13.
- [39] Muti P, Trevisan M, Micheli A, Krogh V, Bolelli G, Sciajno R, et al. Alcohol consumption and total estradiol in premenopausal women. Canc Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1998;7(3):189–93.
- [40] Rohwer RD, Liu S, You N-C, Buring JE, Manson JE, Song Y. Interrelationship between alcohol intake and endogenous sex-steroid hormones on diabetes risk in postmenopausal women. J Am Coll Nutr 2015;34(4):273–80.
- [41] Gavaler JS, Love K, Van Thiel D, Farholt S, Gluud C, Monteiro E, et al. An international study of the relationship between alcohol consumption and postmenopausal estradiol levels. Alcohol Alcohol Suppl 1991;1:327–30.
- [42] Gavaler JS, Deal SR, Van Thiel DH, Arria A, Allan MJ. Alcohol and estrogen levels in postmenopausal women: the spectrum of effect. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1993;17 (4):786–90.
- [43] Reichman ME, Judd JT, Longcope C, Schatzkin A, Clevidence BA, Nair PP, et al. Effects of alcohol consumption on plasma and urinary hormone concentrations in premenopausal women. J Natl Cancer Inst 1993;85(9):722–7.
- [44] Julian T, Glascow N, Syeed R, Zis P. Alcohol-related peripheral neuropathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Neurol 2019;266(12):2907–19.
- [45] Cumurcu T, Gunduz A, Cumurcu BE, Gül IG, Akpolat N, Karlidag R. The changes in tear film parameters and impression cytology in heavily drinking men. Cornea 2013;32(3):237–41.
- [46] Moss SE, Klein R, Klein BEK. Prevalence of and risk factors for dry eye syndrome. Arch Ophthalmol 2000;118(9):1264–8.
- [47] Goyal S, Hamrah P. Understanding neuropathic corneal pain–gaps and current therapeutic approaches. Semin Ophthalmol 2016;31(1–2):59–70.
- [48] Matsumoto Y, İbrahim OMA. Application of in vivo confocal microscopy in dry eye disease. Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2018;59(14):DES41–D47.
- [49] Santhakumar V, Wallner M, Otis TS. Ethanol acts directly on extrasynaptic subtypes of GABAA receptors to increase tonic inhibition. Alcohol 2007;41(3): 211–21.
- [50] Brodie MS, Pesold C, Appel SB. Ethanol directly excites dopaminergic ventral tegmental area reward neurons. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1999;23(11):1848–52.
- [51] Ericson M, Molander A, Löf E, Engel JA, Söderpalm B. Ethanol elevates accumbal dopamine levels via indirect activation of ventral tegmental nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Eur J Pharmacol 2003;467(1–3):85–93.

M.S. Magno et al.

The Ocular Surface 21 (2021) 87-95

- [52] Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Telang F, Fowler JS, Logan J, Jayne M, et al. Profound decreases in dopamine release in striatum in detoxified alcoholics: possible orbitofrontal involvement. J Neurosci 2007;27(46):12700–6.
- [53] Daws LC, Montañez S, Munn JL, Owens WA, Baganz NL, Boyce-Rustay JM, et al. Ethanol inhibits clearance of brain serotonin by a serotonin transporterindependent mechanism. J Neurosci 2006;26(24):6431–8.
- [54] Peterson JB, Pihl RO, Gianoulakis C, Conrod P, Finn PR, Stewart SH, et al. Ethanolinduced change in cardiac and endogenous opiate function and risk for alcoholism. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1996;20(9):1542–52.
- [55] Jarjour S, Bai L, Gianoulakis C. Effect of acute ethanol administration on the release of opioid peptides from the midbrain including the ventral tegmental area. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2009;33(6):1033–43.
- [56] Clapp P, Bhave SV, Hoffman PL. How adaptation of the brain to alcohol leads to dependence: a pharmacological perspective. Alcohol Res Health 2008;31(4): 310–39.
- [57] Ward RJ, Lallemand F, de Witte P. Biochemical and neurotransmitter changes implicated in alcohol-induced brain damage in chronic or 'binge drinking' alcohol abuse. Alcohol Alcohol 2009;44(2):128–35.
- [58] Belmonte C, Acosta MC, Gallar J. Neural basis of sensation in intact and injured corneas. Exp Eye Res 2004;78(3):513–25.
- [59] Belmonte C, Nichols JJ, Cox SM, Brock JA, Begley CG, Bereiter DA, et al. TFOS DEWS II pain and sensation report. Ocul Surf 2017;15(3):404–37.
- [60] Hirata H, Okamoto K, Bereiter DA. GABA(A) receptor activation modulates corneal unit activity in rostral and caudal portions of trigeminal subnucleus caudalis. J Neurophysiol 2003;90(5):2837–49.
- [61] Davies M. The role of GABAA receptors in mediating the effects of alcohol in the central nervous system. J Psychiatry Neurosci 2003;28(4):263–74.
- [62] Karson CN. Physiology of normal and abnormal blinking. Adv Neurol 1988;49: 25–37.
- [63] Peshori KR, Schicatano EJ, Gopalaswamy R, Sahay E, Evinger C. Aging of the trigeminal blink system. Exp Brain Res 2001;136(3):351–63.
- [64] Kaminer J, Powers AS, Horn KG, Hui C, Evinger C. Characterizing the spontaneous blink generator: an animal model. J Neurosci 2011;31(31):11256–67.

- [65] Chhadva P, Lee T, Sarantopoulos CD, Hackam AS, McClellan AL, Felix ER, et al. Human tear serotonin levels correlate with symptoms and signs of dry eye. Ophthalmology 2015;122(8):1675–80.
- [66] Zhang X, Yin Y, Yue L, Gong L. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors aggravate depression-associated dry eye via activating the NF-κB pathway. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2019;60(1):407–19.
- [67] Schulz R, Wüster M, Duka T, Herz A. Acute and chronic ethanol treatment changes endorphin levels in brain and pituitary. Psychopharmacology (Berlin) 1980;68(3): 221–7.
- [68] Hirata H, Takeshita S, Hu JW, Bereiter DA. Cornea-responsive medullary dorsal horn neurons: modulation by local opioids and projections to thalamus and brain stem. J Neurophysiol 2000;84(2):1050–61.
- [69] Rogers RG, Krueger PM, Miech R, Lawrence EM, Kemp R. Nondrinker mortality risk in the United States. Popul Res Pol Rev 2013;32(3):325–52.
- [70] Midanik LT, Ye Y, Greenfield TK, Kerr W. Missed and inconsistent classification of current drinkers: results from the 2005 US National Alcohol Survey. Addiction 2013;108(2):348–55.
- [71] Klijs B, Scholtens S, Mandemakers JJ, Snieder H, Stolk RP, Smidt N.
- Representativeness of the LifeLines cohort study. PloS One 2015;10(9):e0137203.[72] Batis C, Sotres-Alvarez D, Gordon-Larsen P, Mendez MA, Adair L, Popkin B.
- Longitudinal analysis of dietary patterns in Chinese adults from 1991 to 2009. Br J Nutr 2014;111(8):1441–51.
- [73] Cutler GJ, Flood A, Hannan P, Neumark-Sztainer D. Major patterns of dietary intake in adolescents and their stability over time. J Nutr 2009;139(2):323–8.
- [74] Newby PK, Weismayer C, Akesson A, Tucker KL, Wolk A. Long-term stability of food patterns identified by use of factor analysis among Swedish women. J Nutr 2006;136(3):626–33.
- [75] Årving A, Høiseth G, Hilberg T, Trydal T, Husa A, Djordjevic A, et al. Comparison of the diagnostic value of phosphatidylethanol and carbohydrate-deficient transferrin as biomarkers of alcohol consumption. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2021;45 (1):153–62.
- [76] Wolffsohn JS, Arita R, Chalmers R, Djalilian A, Dogru M, Dumbleton K, et al. TFOS DEWS II diagnostic methodology report. Ocul Surf 2017;15(3):539–74.