



University of Groningen

Fragmentation in the future of work

Jetha, Arif; Shamaee, Ali; Bonaccio, Silvia; Gignac, Monique A M; Tucker, Lori B; Tompa, Emile; Bültmann, Ute; Norman, Cameron D; Banks, Cristina G; Smith, Peter M

Published in: AMERICAN JOURNAL OF INDUSTRIAL MEDICINE

DOI: 10.1002/ajim.23262

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2021

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Jetha, A., Shamaee, A., Bonaccio, S., Gignac, M. A. M., Tucker, L. B., Tompa, E., Bültmann, U., Norman, C. D., Banks, C. G., & Smith, P. M. (2021). Fragmentation in the future of work: A horizon scan examining the impact of the changing nature of work on workers experiencing vulnerability. AMERICAN JOURNAL OF INDUSTRIAL MEDICINE. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.23262

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Fragmentation in the future of work: A horizon scan examining the impact of the changing nature of work on workers experiencing vulnerability

Arif Jetha^{1,2} | Ali Shamaee¹ | Silvia Bonaccio³ | Monique A. M. Gignac^{1,2} | Lori B. Tucker^{4,5} | Emile Tompa^{1,2,6} | Ute Bültmann⁷ | Cameron D. Norman^{8,9} | Cristina G. Banks^{10,11} | Peter M. Smith^{1,2}

¹Institute for Work and Health, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

²Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

³Telfer School of Management, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

⁴British Columbia Children's Hospital, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

⁵Division of Rheumatology, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

⁶Department of Economics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

⁷Community and Occupational Medicine, Department of Health Sciences, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

⁸Cense Ltd., Toronto, Ontario, Canada

⁹The Ontario College for Art and Design University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

¹⁰Interdisciplinary Center for Healthy Workplaces, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, California, USA

¹¹Haas School of Business, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, California, USA

Correspondence

Arif Jetha, Institute for Work and Health, 400 University Ave, Suite 1800, Toronto, ON M5G 1S5, USA. Email: AJetha@iwh.on.ca

Funding information

Tri-Agency Programs Secretariat, Grant/Award Number: New Frontiers in Research Fund - Exploration Grant

Abstract

Introduction: The future of work is characterized by changes that could disrupt all aspects of the nature and availability of work. Our study aims to understand how the future of work could result in conditions, which contribute to vulnerability for different groups of workers.

Methods: A horizon scan was conducted to systematically identify and synthesize diverse sources of evidence, including academic and gray literature and resources shared over social media. Evidence was synthesized, and trend categories were developed through iterative discussions among the research team.

Results: Nine trend categories were uncovered, which included the digital transformation of the economy, artificial intelligence (AI)/machine learning-enhanced automation, AI-enabled human resource management systems, skill requirements for the future of work; globalization 4.0, climate change and the green economy, Gen Zs and the work environment; populism and the future of work, and external shocks to accelerate the changing nature of work. The scan highlighted that some groups of workers may be more likely to experience conditions that contribute to vulnerability, including greater exposure to job displacement or wage depression. The future of work could also create opportunities for labor market engagement. **Conclusion:** The future of work represents an emerging public health concern. Ex-

clusion from the future of work represents an energing public health concern. Exclusion from the future of work has the potential to widen existing social and health inequities. Thus, tailored supports that are resilient to changes in the nature and availability of work are required for workers facing vulnerability.

KEYWORDS

future of work, labor market exclusion, social and health inequities, social determinants of health, vulnerable workers, work arrangements, work environment

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2021 The Authors. American Journal of Industrial Medicine Published by Wiley Periodicals LLC

1 | BACKGROUND

The world of work is rapidly changing. An increasing amount of research has focused on understanding how changes in the future of work will impact workers and workplaces.¹⁻³ There are fewer studies that explore issues affecting how the future of work will impact specific labor market subgroups, especially those who could be disadvantaged by changing work arrangements and work conditions. To better understand these issues, we conducted an expansive horizon scan of evidence to identify and describe trends that characterize the future of work for vulnerable workers. By synthesizing the literature, insight into potential challenges and opportunities for certain groups of workers can be revealed as the working world changes. These findings also provide important directions for additional research that can be used to inform the design of programmatic and policy responses that will support the inclusion of vulnerable workers in the future of work.

A recent analysis found that close to two-thirds of occupational titles in 2018 had not been invented as of 1940.⁴ The rise of new occupations has largely been driven by technological change. Some labor market experts posit that we are entering a Fourth Industrial Revolution that is driven by the digitization and automation of social, political, economic, and environmental domains of life that has the potential to drastically speed up the pace of change.⁵ Literature on factors influencing work trends and related outcomes ("the future of work") also indicates that the advancement and adoption of different digital technologies can be coupled with sociodemographic (e.g., aging population), sociopolitical (e.g., globalization), and ecological changes (e.g., climate change).^{4,6-8} Not surprisingly, the future of work is characterized by the potential for dramatic change to every industry and the considerable transformation of the nature of work (e.g., evolving work conditions, job skills and training requirements, psychosocial resources and demands, environmental conditions, and work arrangements).^{9,10} Some argue that changes in the future of work are occurring at a larger scale and at a guicker pace when compared to past periods of technological adoption.¹¹ Importantly, the future of work is emerging from ongoing shifts within industrialized labor markets that include a transition from manufacturing to a service-based economy, increased offshoring of work, growth in nonstandard and precarious work arrangements (e.g., gig work), a decline in union representation and legislative protections for workers and widening income inequality.¹²⁻¹⁵ Of concern, recent studies suggest that employers, policymakers, and workers report lacking the insights and tangible strategies to ensure preparation for large-scale shifts in the nature of work.¹⁶ This knowledge gap may have significant implications for workers who have been exposed to circumstances that may contribute to vulnerability.

Our definition of vulnerability is informed by research that considers the intersection between work context and worker characteristics.¹⁷ In particular, in our study, we use the term vulnerable workers to refer to groups of workers who are exposed to structural factors (e.g., racism, ableism, sexism) that may contribute to adverse work arrangements or work environments, including precarious work, lowwage employment, and hazardous conditions. Vulnerable workers may also face an absence of regulatory protections, union representation, career advancement opportunities, or may have high job demands and low decision latitude.¹⁷⁻²¹ Studies show that certain groups of workers have been more likely to be exposed to circumstances that contribute to vulnerability when compared to population averages, including youth and young adults, women, racialized groups, recent immigrants, people with disabilities, members of the LGBTQ2+ community, Indigenous peoples, and those with low socioeconomic status.^{17,19,22-31} Studies of past periods of technological advancement (e.g., introduction of personal computers to workplaces) or economic change (e.g., Great Recession) showed growth in social and health inequities with these groups of workers who were more likely to report barriers to high-quality employment when compared to population averages.^{32,33} It is unclear how the anticipated changes in the future of work will impact levels of vulnerability in the labor market. It is also unclear whether there may be a positive effect related to the changing nature of work for vulnerable workers. A comprehensive scan of trends in the future of work is necessary to identify the changes to work arrangements or work environments that can contribute to disadvantage for different groups of workers. Moreover, our research has important implications for policy and programmatic directions that are specifically beneficial for workers who could be excluded from the future of work.

Promoting sustained employment for vulnerable workers represents a critical public health priority. A body of research demonstrates that working conditions are directly tied to the physical and mental health of workers and may contribute to health and social inequities in the working population.^{34,35} Research on the social determinants of health finds that employment income is associated with access to safe housing, education, food security, social services, and medical care that provide pathways to better health.^{12,18,36-38} The importance of our study of the future of work is further underscored by the United Nation's (UN) Sustainable Development Goal #8 on Decent Work. According to the UN, promoting inclusive and decent work (i.e., productive work that delivers a fair income, job security, and social protections) represents an important policy priority to foster the health and well-being of the working population.³⁹ Dedicated approaches are needed to ensure that vulnerable workers are protected from challenges that could emerge in the future of work and as a strategy to promote health.

Using a comprehensive horizon scan of a diverse body of literature, our study aims to understand how the future of work might contribute to levels of vulnerability. Specific objectives of this study are to (1) identify existing evidence on different trends that may span social, technological, economic, environmental, and political domains and characterize the future of work; and (2) to synthesize and describe how each trend might impact vulnerability within the labor market.

2 | METHODS

To address study objectives, we utilized a novel horizon scan methodology. Horizon scanning is a systematic informationgenerating activity commonly used in the field of strategic foresight

-a planning-oriented discipline related to future studies-to identify trends that have the potential to emerge over time.⁴⁰⁻⁴² The horizon scanning process is inclusive and seeks to identify and synthesize diverse sources of evidence (e.g., academic research, gray literature, and social media).^{43,44} Our horizon scan was conducted between December 2019 and January 2020 and focused on synthesizing evidence within Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries that have similar socioeconomic and policy contexts. The process was subsequently updated in August 2020 to capture literature on the changes to the nature of work resulting from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Our synthesis leveraged expert insight from a multidisciplinary research team with a background in industrial and organizational psychology, economics, public health, occupational health, public policy, organizational behavior and human resource management. Members of the research team also had specific expertise on vulnerable workers and literature review and evidence synthesis methodologies.

2.1 | Literature search

2.1.1 | Generation of future of work search terms

To develop the search terms for the scan, several steps were undertaken. First, members of our research team identified seminal research reports on the future of work. Seminal reports were ranked according to authorship reputation and thoroughness in the report's description of anticipated changes to the future of work.^{3,6,45-47} Based on their ranking, five seminal reports were used as an initial guide to extract search terms that spanned social, technological, economic, environmental, and political domains. Search terms were then finalized with input from a library scientist (Table 1). Terms spanning social, technological, economic, environmental, and political categories were searched using a Boolean OR operator and combined with work outcome search terms, future- and change-related search terms, and worker vulnerability terms using a Boolean AND operator.^{46,48} The list of search terms is presented in Supplement 1. To capture the most more recent changes in the nature of work, the search was restricted to articles published between 2015 to September 2020.

2.1.2 | Peer-reviewed and gray literature search

Six search portals were used to uncover peer-reviewed and gray literature across diverse disciplines: Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts, Canadian Business & Current Business Source Premier, International Bibliography of the Social Sciences, Public Affairs Information Service Index, Sociological Abstracts, and Worldwide Political Science Abstracts. Database-specific controlled vocabulary terms and keywords were included. Reference lists of included studies were also examined to identify references not found in the literature search. Once duplicates were removed, titles and abstracts were imported into a shared spreadsheet to facilitate the screening processes.

2.1.3 | Social media search

A search of the social media site Twitter also was performed to capture ideas on the future of work and complement the findings from academic and gray literature searches.⁴⁹ Twitter is commonly used for the public communication of topics with policy relevance and provides an interactive platform that can capture insights from over 330 million users.^{50,51} Using the hashtag function, search terms described in the section above were entered into Twitter's standard search interface.⁵² Twitter searches occurred in January 2020 and were repeated in August 2020 to capture changes attributed to the COVID-19 pandemic. Given the large number of tweets focusing on the future of work, we restricted our search to tweets where there was at least a moderate amount of engagement (i.e., \geq five likes or retweets) and where the tweet included a link to a specific resource (e.g., gray and peer-reviewed literature or a website).

2.2 | Relevancy screen and thematic synthesis

Titles and abstracts or executive summaries of the literature uncovered from peer review and gray literature sources and the social media search were reviewed by a member of our research team to determine relevancy. Eligible English language literature that was carried forward in our synthesis documented a potential trend that would result in a change to the nature of work, focused on an OECD context, and described an explicit impact on levels of vulnerability for workers.⁵³ Next, members of the research team reviewed each relevant article and synthesized the salient themes using a shared spreadsheet. The synthesis of literature involved summarizing the article, its impact on the future of work, and how it could contribute to vulnerability. Where possible, the team also documented specific groups of workers who could be most affected by a change in the nature of work. Discussions between members of the research team were held to categorize articles according to common themes. Through this iterative process, trend categories were developed. All procedures were pilot-tested before being implemented widely to ensure members of the study team could screen and synthesize a large body of evidence.

3 | RESULTS

The search uncovered a large literature base on the future of work (Figure 1). However, fewer studies examined the impact of the future of work on vulnerable workers. An initial search yielded 4800 articles after removing duplicates. Following an examination of the relevancy of titles and abstracts, 3198 articles were screened out.

Members of the research team reviewed titles and abstracts of 1602 articles of which 342 articles were fully reviewed and synthesized. On the whole, articles that we identified were from peer-reviewed or gray literature sources and tended to span multiple disciplines to describe or project the impact of a dimension of the future of work on levels of vulnerability.

Our in-depth synthesis of articles resulted in the identification of nine trend categories that spanned social, technological, economic, environmental, and political domains, and cumulatively shaped work arrangements and work environments in the future. Trend categories included: (1) digital transformation of the economy; (2) artificial intelligence (AI)/machine learning (ML)-enhanced automation; (3) AIenabled human resource management systems; (4) skill requirements for the future of work; (5) globalization 4.0; (6) climate change and the green economy; (7) Gen Zs and the work environment; (8) populism and the future of work; and (9) external shocks to accelerate the changing nature of work (the COVID-19 example). Table 2 lists the trend categories and provides a brief definition with examples. A more complete summary of each trend category and its impact on vulnerable workers is provided in the sections below. It is important to highlight that not one single group of workers was consistently represented across the literature, and, indeed, the trends we identified cut across multiple sources of worker vulnerability. As a result, in presenting our synthesis, we describe the impact of key trends broadly for different workers and elaborate on how they may shape conditions that contribute to vulnerability. Where possible, we highlight how a trend category could present challenges and opportunities for specific groups of workers.

3.1 | Trend 1: Digital transformation of the economy

A body of peer-reviewed and gray literature uncovered in our scan described the impact of advanced digital technologies on the changing nature of work, including 5G technology, Internet of Things (IoT), smart sensors, cloud computing, virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR), three-dimensional (3D) printing, robotics, and blockchain technology.¹ Although very different, the studies consistently described digital technologies contributing to hyperconnectivity between people, businesses, digital devices, and data.^{1,54} For example, the increasing use of IoT devices or advanced robots could mean that workers will increasingly find themselves performing job tasks that are closely integrated with machines.^{55,56} Other workers, especially those employed in occupations characterized by repetitive and low-skilled job tasks may be at risk of displacement or wage depression as a result of digital technologies that facilitate automation. Data have estimated that every advanced robot introduced into the labor market per 1000 workers will reduce the employment-to-population ratio by 0.2% and contribute to a decline of wages by 0.42%.^{57,58}

Some digital technologies (e.g., cloud computing, online collaboration tools) were reported as having contributed to advanced telepresence where a worker's skills and knowledge can be projected anywhere in the world to perform a range of job tasks (e.g., operating machinery or virtual brainstorming), which could be beneficial for workers requiring location flexibility or those with mobility impairments.⁵⁹⁻⁶¹ Studies also described the use of VR/AR to combine physical and virtual worlds that may enhance sensory experiences required for high-quality telework experiences.^{62,63} In the manufacturing sector, 3D printing has contributed to the direct development of inputs required for the production of goods rather than relying on a more complex supply chain spread across geographical locations.^{62,64} Advancements in digital technologies (e.g., smartphones, 5G technology) has also facilitated the exponential growth of a marketplace of gig workers that can perform on-demand physical (e.g., transport, couriering, food delivery, and cleaning), repetitive (e.g., data entry, clerical work) or cognitive job tasks (e.g., website developers, editors, and graphic designers).^{11,65-67}

Despite growth opportunities, the literature we identified showed that workers who have been traditionally disadvantaged in the labor market may also be more likely to face barriers to participating in an economy undergoing a digital transformation. Studies point to groups of workers, such as those who are employed in routinized occupations, as being more likely to have job tasks or functions that can be replaced by a digital technology and are less



FIGURE 1 Horizon scan flow chart summarizing peer-reviewed and gray literature and social media searches, relevancy screening and thematic synthesis. ASSIA, Applied Social Sciences Index & Abstracts; CBCA, Canadian Business & Current Affairs; IBSS, International Bibliography of the Social Sciences; SA, Sociological Abstracts; WPSA, Worldwide Political Science Abstracts

OF ______

IABLE 1 Summary or search terms			
	Description		
Population	Diverse groups who have traditionally experienced vulnerability in the labor market, including youth and young adults women, racialized groups, immigrants, people with disabilities, members of the LGBTQ2+ community, Indigenous peoples, and individuals with low socioeconomic status		
Future of work trends ^a	Social, technological, environmental, economic, and political signals of change to the nature and availability of work		
Change terms ^a	Terms reflecting a future change, such as disruption, innovation, advancement, acceleration or shift		
Work outcomes	Any measure of labor market activity		

Note: Specific search terms are presented in Supporting Information 1.

. .

^aSeminal reports were used as a guide to extract an initial set of search terms, which spanned social, technological, economic, ecological and political changes, and change terms.

likely to be employed in an occupation where wages are expected to grow over time.⁶⁸⁻⁷⁰ Exclusion from the digital economy can be exacerbated by workers who hold lower levels of education or possess less technological literacy.^{69,71} At the same time, jobs that are lowpaid and involve demanding manual tasks are expected to be less affected by the digitization of work (e.g., food and beverage worker, janitor, healthcare aide) and will remain in high demand in a digitized economy.⁴ Another body of research suggested that the digital transformation of the economy may increase the likelihood that vulnerable workers are forced into gig work and exposed to wage instability, job insecurity or unsafe working conditions.^{69,72} At the same time, gig work could also provide certain groups (e.g., youth, immigrants) with job opportunities and work experiences that are necessary to facilitate labor market entry and career advancement.^{72,73} Gig work may also provide scheduling and location flexibility for groups of workers who may report activity limitations (e.g., people with disabilities) or have more caregiving responsibilities (e.g., women).72,73

3.2 | Trend 2: AI/ML-enhanced automation

Discourse on the future of work has tended to focus on the automation of job tasks. It is estimated that up to 60% of occupations consist of job tasks of which one-third are automatable.⁷⁴ Other more dire estimates have suggested that up to 50% of occupations are expected to be completely replaced by automated systems.⁷⁴⁻⁷⁷ A majority of earlier studies on the automation of work have found that repetitive and low-skilled jobs are among the most likely to be automated.^{75,77} At the same time, the automation of work has resulted in the development of new jobs, which may offset labor market displacement.⁷⁸

More recent literature highlights the role of computerized systems within workplaces that draw on AI to replicate human intelligence and behaviors in performing complex and cognitive job tasks.⁶⁴ Advancements in the development of ML, neural networks, and deep learning have increased the likelihood of computerized systems performing advanced information processing and predictive jobs tasks (e.g., data analysis, communication, prediction, and problem-solving).^{67,79-84} Numerous examples of AI applications have existed in diverse sectors, including finance (e.g., algorithmic stock trading), manufacturing (e.g., intelligent robots), transportation (e.g., autonomous vehicles), and retail (e.g., chatbot customer service assistants).^{64,85} In many of these cases, workers and machines may be required to jointly complete job tasks.⁸⁶ The growing use of AI and ML applications in the labor market has produced mixed outcomes. AI/ML-enhanced automation of job tasks could minimize the availability of employment opportunities but also drive innovation and create new jobs.^{54,87,88} Also, a growing number of employers reported utilizing AI to assist workers and increase productivity, especially for workers in occupations that rely on information, calculation, problem-solving, and communication.^{4,86}

The literature identified in our scan showed that AI/MLenhanced automation has the potential to contribute to vulnerability for some groups of workers.^{74,89} Workers in occupations that are at greater risk of having their work automated (e.g., those employed in low-skilled and repetitive jobs) could experience employment loss or be forced into lower-quality employment. Some studies sought to identify specific groups of workers who are more likely to work in occupations affected by the automation of work. For example, an analysis of labor market data from the United States found that Black Americans are at a 10% greater likelihood of working in occupations at risk of displacement from automated systems when compared to White Americans.⁸⁹ The same study found that being of younger age and holding low levels of educational attainment increased the susceptibility Black Americans faced to job displacement from automated systems.^{89,90} A case study of the Australian mining sector showed that automation disproportionately affected Indigenous workers who were overrepresented in entry-level roles and underrepresented in higher skilled jobs (i.e., engineering and geological roles).⁹¹ AI has the potential to exacerbate displacement for workers affected by automation and may contribute to wage depression for certain groups of workers.92-94

The growing application of ML within workplaces also has the potential to impact higher skilled jobs that require greater levels of prediction and could potentially contribute to a growing number of workers in professional jobs that are at risk of displacement.⁹⁵ The application of AI and ML within the workplace has also created a

WILEY-

6

•	ç		
Trend category	Description	Example	Change in levels of vulnerability
Digital transformation of the economy	Rapid advancement and large-scale application of diverse novel digital technologies resulting in hyperconnectivity between people, business, digital devices, and data	 3D printing of production inputs in manufacturing Virtual reality and augmented reality to enhance telework Integration of Internet of Things devices within work environments 	 Job displacement Exclusion from growth opportunities Forced gig work Protection from displacement when employed in occupations with a greater requirement for soft skills^a
Al/ML-enhanced automation	Increasing use of computerized systems within workplaces to replicate human intelligence and behaviors and to perform predictive job tasks	 Algorithmic stock trading in financial services Self-driving vehicles in the transportation sector Intelligent robots in manufacturing 	 Job displacement Wage depression Protection from displacement when employed in occupations with a greater requirement for soft skills^a
Al-enabled human resource management systems	The initial parameters of AI-enabled human resources management system have the potential to introduce or reinforce biases within workplace practices	• ML applied to evaluate facial expressions and language of a job applicant to make comparisons to a workplace benchmark	Exclusion from job opportunitiesDiscrimination at work
Skill requirements for the future of work	Workers across all industries are required to possess advanced technical competencies, digital literacy, and soft skills	 Importance of STEM training in all industries Growing demand for workers with soft skills that are less likely to be automatable and are increasingly required by employers 	Job skills gapsBarriers to upskilling and reskilling
Globalization 4.0	Advancement of digital technologies will facilitate the exchange of ideas, services, and goods within physical and virtual work environments across the globe	 Tele-migration of workers performing blue- and white- collar jobs Growth of online marketplaces consisting of international professional freelancers 	• Job displacement
Climate change and the green economy	A changing climate and extreme weather events will impact employment opportunities and work conditions. New jobs designed to address climate change will also be developed	 Climate events will interrupt certain industries and occupation Development of jobs in new sectors (e.g., biodesign, renewable energy) 	 Job displacement Productivity loss Exclusion from job opportunities Increased exposure to health and safety risks
Gen Z workers and the work environment	Growing numbers of Gen Z workers (born 1995–2005) could bring greater diversity to workplaces and facilitate more inclusive employer attitudes and behaviors	 Gen Z workers will prioritize employment in an organization whose values align with their own 	 Accessible work environments^a Skill development opportunities^a
Populism and the future of work	Growth in populist values within industrialized countries can contribute to discrimination according to personal characteristics and exclusion of some groups of workers from the labor market	 Growing numbers of industrialized countries are electing political leaders with populist platforms 	Exclusion from job opportunitiesDiscrimination at work
External shocks to accelerate the changing nature of	External shocks have the potential to accelerate trends in the future of work.	 COVID-19 increased employer use of digital technologies to support work-from-home arrangements 	 Job displacement Wage depression Increased exposure to health and safety risks

TABLE 2 Summary of horizon scan examining future of work trend categories and their impact on vulnerable workers

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF –WII

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Trend category	Description	Example	Change in levels of vulnerability
work (COVID-19 example)		 Increased employer investment in AI to improve productivity and address COVID-19-related safety concerns 	Growth in flexible work arrangements

Abbreviations: AI, artificial intelligence; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; ML, Machine learning; STEM, science, technology, education, math training.

^aOpportunity for vulnerable workers in the future.

demand for workers with emotional intelligence.³ It is important to highlight that data comparing gender groups have shown that women are overrepresented in occupations (e.g., nurse, social worker, teacher) that have a greater requirement for emotional intelligence.^{96,97}

3.3 | Trend 3: AI-enabled human resource management systems

Increasingly, AI is being integrated into human resource management systems, including job applicant tracking, job matching selection software, and performance management systems that intend on making fairer management decisions.^{54,98-100} In an employee selection context, for example, ML technologies have been applied to evaluate facial expressions and the language used by job candidates who are filmed and compared to workplace benchmarks (e.g., high performers within an organization) to examine tone and inflection of voice, emotion, and facial reactions.¹⁰¹ Evidence from our scan indicated that AI-enabled human resource management systems may collect personal information without explicit consent from the worker (e.g., disability status, lifestyle, age) and has the potential to contribute to discrimination or the exclusion of certain groups according to their individual traits that are not relevant to the performance of a job.¹⁰² The potential for discrimination can stem from decisions made during the development of algorithms used to inform the initial parameters of an AI-enabled human resource management system.^{99,103-105} For instance, socioeconomic status, culture, and experience of software engineers can implicitly bias the development of human resource management systems and inadvertently reinforce gender, racial, or disability biases.71,106,107 What is more, unconscious biases have the potential to be reinforced through the application and testing of these systems in nondiverse samples.

Examples, where AI-enhanced human resource management systems can adversely affect vulnerable workers include the application of predictive job interview tools, which analyze facial or behavioral cues. There is the potential to discriminate against candidates based on personal characteristics (e.g., disability, health, race, or age) who may look or behave differently from a benchmark.^{108,109} As another example, some companies have used gamified assessments (e.g., video game-based pre-employment assessments) that could contribute to discriminatory hiring practices

for older adults who are less likely to use these technologies in their daily lives when compared to younger job candidates.¹⁰⁸ What is more, gamified assessments could also be more complex to accommodate for workers with disabilities.¹⁰⁹ Our review also found that Al-facilitated productivity systems that actively monitor workers to optimize productivity and outputs could disadvantage persons with disabilities who have physical or cognitive impairment and could perform job tasks in ways that differ from a predefined standard or may require job accommodations or adaptations to perform work responsibilities.^{83,108}

3.4 | Trend 4: Skill requirements for the future of work

Related to the digital transformation of the economy and the increasing application of AI and ML within workplaces, our review highlighted growing research that the future of work will be marked by the creation of new jobs requiring specialized skills. A survey showed that by 2022, at least half of employers report that their workers will be required to undertake significant reskilling or upskilling to adapt to changing technological and social demands within their workplaces.¹ To meet emerging skill requirements, the literature indicated that workers across all industries will need to possess advanced technical competencies and digital literacy (i.e., ability to find, evaluate and convey information via digital mediums).^{110,111} Additionally, studies highlighted the importance of workers possessing a range of soft skills (e.g., creativity, critical thinking, collaboration skills, and empathy) that are less likely to be automated and would enable human workers to complement digital technologies and AI/ML applications within workplaces.^{18,45,88,112-117} At the same time, research conducted among employers suggests that workers may not possess the technological or soft skills required in the future of work.^{118,119} A survey of 300 business executives in the United States found that 87% of the workforce may be incorrectly anticipating job skill requirements.¹²⁰ Projections of Canada's labor force posit that by 2031 the country will experience a labor shortage of two million workers.¹²¹ Shortages are expected to be highest in professions requiring training in science, technology, engineering, and/or mathematics (STEM).¹²¹

When compared to population averages, groups that have traditionally faced conditions that contribute to vulnerability in the

workforce (i.e., women, Indigenous peoples, people with low socioeconomic status, and people living with disabilities) may also face barriers to developing the job skills that meet employer needs and may face obstacles to upskilling and reskilling opportunities, which may increase the skills divide.^{7,122} Vulnerable workers may also be more likely to experience barriers to accessing digital skilling programs that are needed to obtain necessary technological competencies.^{68,69,71,71,111,122-126} As an example, a Canadian population-level study found that among those aged 25-54 years with a STEM degree, less than one percent identified as a member of an Indigenous community.¹²⁷ Evidence uncovered in our scan indicated that some groups (e.g., women) may be more likely to work in occupations that have greater soft skill requirements that are reported as being valued by employers in the future.^{69,128} Research also suggested that skills and training gaps may result in employers being more likely to hire from a broader talent pool.^{129,130} Accordingly, the future of work could be characterized by emerging opportunities for those who may have been traditionally excluded from the workforce.^{129,130}

3.5 | Trend 5: Globalization 4.0

In Globalization 4.0, the rapid advancement of technologies in the future of work is expected to further catalyze the global exchange of ideas, services, and goods in both physical and virtual spaces across the globe as well as increase the interaction and integration of people, companies, and governments.¹³¹⁻¹³⁴ In globalization 4.0 it is anticipated that companies and workers across different industries may operate inside of the local jurisdiction in which goods and services are delivered.¹³⁵ As an example, evidence uncovered in our synthesis described an increase in tele-migration where white-collar jobs can be done remotely by workers who are geographically distant and may be hired at a lower wage.¹¹ Advancements in digital technology in the future of work are also expected to increase the number of online marketplaces where freelancers can bid for work and take on employment contracts in any country.¹¹ Within a changing global economic structure, workers who have been traditionally disadvantaged within industrialized labor markets (e.g., those working in low-skilled jobs or in occupations with fewer educational requirements) could be at a greater risk of displacement by telemigrants who could command lower wages, and where organizations who outsource work may be exempt from paying local income taxes or making contributions to social security and could bypass existing labor standards.^{68,136,137} Some evidence posited that the continued digital transformation of labor markets could result in workers in high-skilled occupations and where job tasks are more complex to be at a growing risk of being displaced by tele-migrants. Additionally, in globalization 4.0, unsafe and insecure virtual working environments could emerge for workers who provide remote knowledge, expertise, or services and have less control over work conditions or their compensation.135

3.6 | Trend 6: Climate change and the green economy

Climate change (i.e., impact of human activity on Earth's ecosystem and weather patterns) and associated interventions in the green economy can impact work conditions and the availability of jobs in the future.¹³⁸⁻¹⁴¹ A synthesis of the literature in our scan highlighted that climate change and related extreme weather events (e.g., wildfires, droughts) is anticipated to contribute to the forced migration of workers, damage to workplaces, lost productivity, and impact worker health and safety (i.e., increasing occupational infectious disease transmission, air pollution, heatrelated illnesses).¹⁴¹⁻¹⁵³ Those working in specific sectors (e.g., industrial services, agriculture, travel, and tourism) or geographic regions are more likely to work outdoors and are susceptible to the effects of climate change and extreme weather events.^{142,143} For example, research from the United States indicated that by 2100, 6% of labor hours could be lost to heat exposure in southern states (e.g., Texas or Florida).

Alternatively, our horizon scan found that business and policy responses to curb the impact of climate change has resulted in the growth of a green economy that includes the development of new job opportunities in diverse sectors, including renewable energy, bioengineering, and biodesign.^{142,143,154-162} While a shift to a green economy could mean that certain industries (e.g., oil and gas) are disrupted, some researchers project that by 2030, with policy supports, up to 24 million new jobs could be created globally and result in employment opportunities for diverse groups.¹⁶³

Research indicated that the adverse impact of climate change on work may be disproportionately experienced by groups of workers who have traditionally experienced vulnerability in the labor market.144,152 In particular, our horizon scan found that vulnerable workers maybe be more likely to be employed in occupations that are prone to job displacement as a result of climate change and may also have less access to social protections that support employment interruptions resulting from an extreme weather event.¹⁴⁴ Certain groups of workers may be most affected by climate change, including those from racialized or Indigenous communities, youth and young adults, older adults, and those with low socioeconomic status.^{144,152} One study found that Indigenous persons may be especially affected by climate change because of their disproportionate reliance on natural resources for financial, cultural, and physical well-being when compared to other members of the working population.^{144,164} Studies also suggested that workers exposed to precarious work environments (e.g., seasonal or casual workers) or those employed in certain industries (e.g., farming, construction) are more susceptible to workplace health and safety hazards and interruptions to employment resulting from climate change.¹⁶³ In addition, attributed to discrimination faced in the labor market and greater barriers to upskilling and reskilling, some groups of workers (e.g., women and Indigenous persons) could be at risk of exclusion from new jobs that emerge in the green economy.¹⁶⁵⁻¹⁶⁷

3.7 | Trend 7: Gen Z workers and the work environment

As Baby Boomers transition into retirement, the labor market will consist of a growing proportion of "Gen Z" workers (those born 1995-2005). Currently, over one-quarter of the labor market is composed of Gen Z workers.¹⁶⁸⁻¹⁷⁰ As the number of Gen Z workers grows, it is anticipated that they could bring greater diversity to workplaces and facilitate more inclusive and supportive employer attitudes and behaviors.^{80,171,172} The positive impact of Gen Z workers on workplaces can stem from several factors. First, Gen Zs report higher educational attainment, on average, than previous generations and are characterized as digital natives-the first generation to be born into an era where advanced digital technologies are commonplace.^{168,173,174} Second, Gen Zs are also the most racially diverse generation in the workforce.¹⁷⁵ An analysis of US census data showed that Gen Zs are more likely to belong to a racial or ethnic minority group (48%) when compared to Millennials (39%) or Baby Boomers (18%).¹⁷³ Third, the career trajectory of Gen Zs is more likely to have been shaped by the Great Recession and exposure to income inequality when compared to previous generations.¹⁷⁰ Accordingly, studies suggested that Gen Zs are more likely to report valuing employment that provides a higher salary, greater job stability, and access to health benefits compared to previous generations.¹⁶⁸⁻¹⁷⁰ For instance, a recent survey of over 1531 Gen Zs found that over three quarters (77%) reported prioritizing employment in an organization whose values align with their own.^{168,170}

The growing proportion of Gen Z workers in the labor market could improve working conditions for groups who have been traditionally disadvantaged in the labor market. The literature highlighted that Gen Zs aspire toward being employed in workplaces that value inclusiveness, diversity, and social responsibility and where work environments are accessible to vulnerable groups.¹⁷⁶⁻¹⁷⁸ Growing numbers of Gen Zs within the labor market could also motivate employers to implement organizational policies that support work-life balance, access to work-from-home arrangements, and environmental sustainability practices that could be beneficial to all workers, especially those that experience vulnerability.¹⁷⁹⁻¹⁸⁴ Also, some research suggested that Gen Zs may also be more likely to encourage their employers to provide on-the-job skills development and training opportunities so that they may develop competencies that match the speed of innovation and to address the skills divide.^{80,170,185}

3.8 | Trend 8: Populism and the future of work

Hypothesized as stemming from both technological advancement and globalization, the future of work could also be shaped by changing sociopolitical sentiment. Literature in the fields of political science, economics, and sociology uncovered in our scan described the impact of populism on the work environment.^{186,187} There is no universal definition of populism. Drawing from the evidence we uncovered, populism can refer to a diverse set of sociopolitical movements that include an antiestablishment orientation, broad anti-elite policies, or the opposition to liberal economics and globalization.¹⁸⁸ Populist sentiments can be held by those across the political spectrum. At the time of this scan, political parties with populist views had grown in several industrialized countries (e.g., the United States, the United Kingdom, France, and the Netherlands).¹⁸⁹⁻¹⁹² The growth in populist values has been attributed to at least two expected future of work trends that have been previously described in this paper- the digital transformation of the economy and globalization 4.0.¹⁹¹ Although changes in globalization have contributed to economic benefits for employers and governments,¹⁹¹ it has also partially contributed to an increased number of jobs being outsourced, offshored, or filled by tele-migrants.¹⁸⁶⁻¹⁸⁸ Relatedly, advancements in digital technologies and their application within workplaces have meant that an increasing number of jobs have been displaced.94 Both trends have the potential to contribute to conditions that foster populism, including a decrease in employment opportunities, growing income inequality, and increased perceptions of unfairness, anxiety, and frustration held by a large proportion of the population.^{191,193}

The growth in populist views has the potential to contribute to discrimination or labor market exclusion for workers according to their personal characteristics. As highlighted in recent examples within industrialized contexts where populist views have grown, politicians may build a base of supporters by constructing an ingroup and tapping into the grievances of that in-group (e.g., lack of job opportunities, income inequality). The same politician may blame out-groups, often among the most vulnerable segments of the labor market (e.g., racialized minorities, immigrants) for economic hardships faced by the same in-group.^{191,194,195} Policy responses may result from populist views in ways that may contribute to systems of exclusion from higher quality employment opportunities (e.g., fulltime and secure employment) for vulnerable workers.^{187,192,196,197} Within the literature on the future of work, it has been suggested that growing job losses and automation of employment resulting from advancements in digital technologies and AI applications may increase support for populist political positions.^{79,94} For instance, a recent survey of 1995 Canadian workers examined how exposure to automation and AI could relate to policy preferences. Participants in the study who were more likely to fear job loss as a result of automation or AI were significantly more likely to hold populist views.⁹⁴ Given the expected digitization of the future of work highlighted in our scan, populism could continue to limit employment opportunities for some already vulnerable groups.

3.9 | Trend 9: External shocks that accelerate the changing nature of work (the COVID-19 example)

External shocks (e.g., economic recessions or depressions, natural disasters, or pandemics) have the potential to increase the level of change to the nature of work.^{198,199} The impact of the spread of COVID-19 on the availability of jobs and working conditions is a prime example of an external shock that has accelerated trends in the future of work. At the

time of this study, the COVID-19 pandemic had fast-tracked numerous work-related trends highlighted in this horizon scan, including companies increasing their investment toward diverse digital technologies to sustain productivity while also addressing potential workforce safety concerns (e.g., 3D printing, cloud computing infrastructure, robotics, virtual tele-conference software, and AI).²⁰⁰⁻²⁰³ An example, is the growth of work-from-home arrangements. In 2018, labor market estimates suggested that less than one-third of the US workforce reported having previously worked from home and most of those were in higher paying and higher skilled jobs.²⁰⁴ Immediately following the start of the pandemic, several surveys found that up to two-thirds of US workers had been able to access work-from-home arrangements.^{61,163,205,206} Studies indicated that the shift to work-from-home arrangements can have advantages (e.g., flexibility, an opportunity to self-accommodate tasks) and disadvantages (e.g., isolation) for workers.²⁰⁷⁻²⁰⁹

Of significance, the economic impact of the COVID-19 pandemic has had a disproportionately negative impact on workers who have traditionally experienced vulnerability (e.g., certain racialized communities, low-wage workers, and immigrants).²¹⁰⁻²¹⁶ For instance, data from Canada highlighted that in some regions over two-thirds of COVID-19 infections have been experienced by racialized groups.²¹² Data from a range of industrialized contexts indicated that some groups of workers were more likely to be employed in jobs with greater risk of exposure to COVID-19 and where health and safety protections and work-fromhome arrangements were less likely to be provided.²¹⁷⁻²²⁴ As an example, a survey of 8572 workers in the United States found that the top quintile of earners was more likely to access a work-from-home arrangement (71%) compared to the bottom quintile of earners (41%).²¹⁴ Similarly, certain groups of workers may be more likely to be employed in industries or occupations, which are at a higher risk of displacement as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic.^{215,221,225-232} For instance, US labor force data indicated that women were at least 1.8 times more likely to experience job displacement as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic compared to men.^{221,233} Also, in a survey of 4917 US adults, Black (44%), and Hispanic (61%) respondents were more likely to report job or wage loss compared to their White counterparts (38%).233,234 The increasing use of digital technologies within workplaces during the COVID-19 pandemic coupled with barriers to upskilling opportunities for vulnerable workers could widen digital skills gaps and increase the likelihood of job displacement.⁶¹ Highlighting its interrelationship with sociopolitical trends, some studies also indicated that the economic shocks of the COVID-19 pandemic have increased the populist sentiment in groups that have the power to hinder future employment opportunities for certain groups of workers.^{201,215,235}

4 | DISCUSSION

Conditions within industrial labor markets are quickly changing and the result could be work arrangements and work environments that are fragmented and where pathways to health are disrupted. While growing research has described how the labor market's could change in the future of work, our study is one of the first to identify and synthesize available evidence that is tied to factors that may impact vulnerability. We uncovered nine specific future work trends that can create unique challenges and opportunities for vulnerable workers. Our study provides a foundation for subsequent scholarship on social and health inequities that could emerge in the future of work. Findings also offer a roadmap for the design of policy and programmatic priorities to ensure that the changing world of work is accessible to workers who have traditionally been marginalized.

Taking a novel horizon scan approach, we synthesized a wide range of existing literature on the future of work. Nine trend categories were identified that spanned social, technological, economic, environmental, and political domains and can change work arrangements, work environments, and broader labor market circumstances. The nine trend categories we identified could have benefits for some groups of workers but could also be harmful to others, suggesting that the future of work could be marked by disparity. Of particular concern, some groups of workers may enter the future of work at a position of disadvantage and could lack resources (e.g., barriers to educational attainment or upskilling opportunities) or be exposed to adverse working conditions (e.g., employment in occupations most susceptible to disruption) that impact their ability to navigate the changes to the working world.²³⁶ The potential disadvantages faced by vulnerable workers could result in barriers to accessing resources (e.g., income, health benefits, social support) and may reinforce or even widen existing health and social inequities. Indeed, the future of work represents a critical public health concern. Strategies that address fragmentation in the future of work at the worker, workplace, and regulatory levels provide an important mechanism to promote sustained labor market engagement and health of the working population.

An appraisal of evidence in our horizon scan highlights important research directions on the future of work. In particular, the operationalization and measurement of vulnerability in studies of the future of work remain limited, with minimal research examining the interface between working conditions and worker characteristics (e.g., age, gender, race, disability status). There is also a paucity of research that has examined how changing conditions within the working world affect health inequities. Study findings underscore the need for additional research to define and measure vulnerability in ways that are relevant to research on the future of work. There is also a need to further examine how structural conditions (e.g., power differences, racism, ableism, sexism) may emerge or be reinforced as the working world changes and can contribute to challenges for different groups of workers.

Technological innovation is a defining feature of the future of work. The advancement and application of diverse digital technologies coupled with the integration of AI/ML into all aspects of working life can result in greater efficiency and productivity for employers.⁵⁴ Technological innovation can also contribute to job displacement and wage depression for vulnerable workers. Of concern, some workers have the potential to experience a job skills trap where they may be less likely to possess technical competencies, work in occupations with fewer advancement opportunities, and be exposed to

system-level barriers to accessing reskilling programs. For instance, studies conducted in the late 1980s and the early 1990s show that the application of personal computers contributed to displacement for those employed in entry-level or mid-level jobs (e.g., data entry clerks) that were more likely to be occupied by vulnerable groups and contributed to income polarization.^{32,133,237} Compared to past examples, current technological advancements are occurring at a faster pace and are disrupting a greater number of industries and occupations.^{7,11} Our scan highlights the importance of additional research to examine the impact of technological innovation on the employment conditions to which vulnerable workers are exposed. In particular, in-depth studies are needed to examine how the application of diverse digital technologies or AI/ML applications within the workplace may impact different groups of workers. Our study adds to a growing discourse on the importance of specialized upskilling and reskilling initiatives to ensure that the workforce is prepared for the digitization of work.²³⁸ It may be that existing systems may contribute to the exclusion of some workers from the educational opportunities required for the future of work. Skillsbased training initiatives are required to be expanded and tailored toward specific groups of workers to ensure equitable support for workforce preparation and enable sustained employment involvement.74,239

Interestingly, our study highlighted an intersection between technological innovation and social and political changes that can impact the involvement of vulnerable groups in the future of work. Our review uncovered literature showing that the digital transformation of the economy and AI/ML-enhanced automation has contributed to changes in globalization and also influenced political sentiments.^{11,94} These sociopolitical shifts have the potential to exacerbate challenges faced by vulnerable workers. Our horizon scan can be contextualized using previous research, which has shown that periods of technological advancement and rapid globalization have contributed to wage depression and increases in precarious work that were more likely to be experienced by vulnerable groups of workers.²⁴⁰⁻²⁴³ It is expected that the rapid degree of technological change we identified will further catalyze the sociopolitical trends and increase their impact on vulnerable workers. Additional research within multidisciplinary teams is required to unpack how the relationships between technological change, sociopolitical shifts, and specific work experiences are experienced by different groups of workers.

Importantly, our scan highlighted opportunities for traditionally disadvantaged workers in the future of work. Our review also found that workers in certain occupations that are reliant on soft skills may be less likely to be disrupted by digital technologies or automated systems.²⁴⁴ The digital transformation of the economy, AI/ML applications that increase productivity, growing access to work-from-home arrangements, and an emerging green economy are examples of trends that will create new jobs that will demand workers with specialized technical and soft job skills, and may serve as entry points for workers into the labor market. Additionally, a generational shift in the workforce (i.e., decreasing Baby Boomers and growing Gen Zs

OF

in the labor market) has the potential to further foster inclusive employment practices. It is unclear to what extent vulnerable workers will have access to the opportunities that can emerge in the future of work. Moreover, it is unknown whether the inclusive employment values brought about by a generational shift in the labor force will be sufficient to mitigate the impact of deteriorating working conditions that can create barriers to participation in the future of work. Research is required to elaborate on our findings to examine the extent to which vulnerable workers may access opportunities in the future of work to address anticipated labor market shortages.^{74,245} Furthermore, identifying opportunities in the future of work represents an important direction to meet the UN's Sustainable Development Goal #8 on Decent Work. Policy responses that are tailored to vulnerable workers to promote access to highquality employment opportunities and barrier-free working conditions can be critical in ensuring decent work and productivity in the future

The future of work is a dynamic research topic that is constantly changing and can be drastically altered by external shocks. Our horizon scan was updated to account for changes in working conditions caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. Results showed that the COVID-19 pandemic disproportionately affected vulnerable workers who were more likely to report elevated health and safety risks and job disruptions. Findings from our scan also suggested that the COVID-19 pandemic may have contributed to an acceleration of the trend categories that we identified and may exacerbate challenges faced by vulnerable groups in the future of work. Our findings can be viewed within the context of previous research that has highlighted the impact of external shocks on the labor market. For instance, studies of the Great Recession show that the impact of the economic downturn was more likely to contribute to loss of employment, income inequality, and erosion of standard work opportunities for certain groups of workers (e.g., youth, low-skilled workers).²⁴⁶ Numerous studies also show that the Great Recession contributed to detrimental physical and mental health consequences that were disproportionately experienced by vulnerable workers, especially in contexts with weak social and health protections.247-250 Drawing from the experiences of the COVID-19 pandemic, an external shock (e.g., climate events, periods of recession) has the potential to unexpectedly change the nature of work and impact pathways to health.¹⁹⁹ Continued scanning of new trends is required to capture the dynamics of the changing nature of work and identify the workand health-related impacts of these shocks. Furthermore, within the context of a changing labor market, research is also required to continue to understand social policies and labor protections that can be implemented to ensure that vulnerable workers and workplaces are resilient to unpredictable shocks.

There are strengths and limitations of our study that should be acknowledged. Our horizon scan methodology enabled the research team to synthesize diverse sources of literature on the future of work to identify salient tend categories. Our scan took an inclusive approach to identify peer-reviewed, gray literature and social media sources with implications for vulnerable workers. At the same time, WILEY-

we acknowledge that there may be additional trends that can drive change in the nature of work that may not have been detected. Additionally, our horizon scan aimed to uncover research on how levels of vulnerability may be affected in the future of work and specific groups of workers who may be more likely to experience disadvantage. No one particular group of workers was consistently represented in the literature. As a result, we took a broader perspective toward vulnerability and examined how the future of work could impact work arrangements, work conditions, and broader structural factors that may drive disadvantage. It is important to acknowledge the heterogeneity of experiences of different workers. Studies are needed to capture the diverse challenges and opportunities for specific groups who have been exposed to vulnerable work conditions (e.g., youth and young adults, women, racialized groups, immigrants, people with disabilities, members of the LGBTQ2+ community, Indigenous persons, and those with low socioeconomic status). Interestingly, several studies identified in our scan found that workers belonging to more than one vulnerable group may face labor market conditions that exacerbate susceptibility to displacement and disadvantage in the future of work.^{89,251} Research applying an intersectional lens could elaborate on the overlapping identities and macrolevel structures that may contribute to inequalities. Lastly, our horizon scan did not identify specific policies or programs that could address the vulnerability in the future of work. Generating applied solutions represents an important next step in the research.

5 | CONCLUSION

The future of work is an emerging public health concern. Our scan and synthesis of existing literature identified nine trend categories that pose challenges and opportunities for the sustained employment of vulnerable workers in the future. Exclusion from the future of work has the potential to widen existing social and health inequities already facing vulnerable worker groups. Our study provides an important first step in understanding the work and health implications of the future of work and directs attention toward research and practice on vulnerable workers within the context of the changing nature of work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Maggie Tiong, Jonna Liu, Jocelyn Dollack, and Maia Sinkins for their support with literature searching. The authors also appreciate Jocelyn Dollack's assistance with manuscript preparation. The study was supported by the New Frontiers in Research Fund - Exploration Grant from Canada's Tri-Agency Programs Secretariat (NFRFE-2018-00873). Funding bodies had no role in study design, data collection, data interpretation, or manuscript writing.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Cameron D. Norman is the principal and president of Cense Ltd. Cameron D. Norman has no financial or personal conflicts of interest related to the study. No other authors have competing interests related to this study to report.

DISCLOSURE BY AJIM EDITOR OF RECORD

John Meyer declares that he has no conflict of interest in the review and publication decision regarding this article.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Study conceptualization: Arif Jetha and Ali Shamaee. Methodology development: Ali Shamaee, Ali Shamaee, Silvia Bonaccio, Monique A. M. Gignac, Lori B. Tucker, Emile Tompa, Ute Bültmann, Cameron D. Norman, Cristina G. Banks, and Peter M. Smith. *Evidence synthesis*: Arif Jetha and Ali Shamaee. *Manuscript preparation*: Ali Shamaee, Arif Jetha, Silvia Bonaccio, Monique A. M. Gignac, Lori B. Tucker, Emile Tompa, Ute Bültmann, Cameron D. Norman, Cristina G. Banks, and Peter M. Smith.

ORCID

Arif Jetha b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0322-7027 Silvia Bonaccio b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1509-5028 Monique A. M. Gignac https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4445-3274 Lori B. Tucker b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4314-6750 Emile Tompa http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9851-3853 Ute Bültmann b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9589-9220 Peter M. Smith b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8286-4563

REFERENCES

- 1. World Economic Forum. The future of jobs report. Geneva: World Economic Forum; 2018:1-147.
- Lucker J, Hogan S, Bischof T. Navigating the future of work: can we point business, workers and social institutions in the same direction? *Deloitte Review*. 2017;(21).1-22.
- 3. Bakhshi H, Downing J, Osborne M, Schneider P. *The Future of Skills: Employment in 2030.* London: Pearson and Nesta; 2017:1-124.
- Autor D, Mindell D, Reynolds E. The Work of the Future: Building Better Jobs in an Age of Intelligent Machines. Cambridge: Massachusetts MIT Work of the Future; 2020:1-100.
- Schwab K. The fourth industrial revolution: what it means, how to respond. World Economic Forum. https://www.weforum.org/ agenda/2016/01/the-fourth-industrial-revolution-what-it-meansand-how-to-respond/. Accessed June 9, 2020.
- Thornton J, Russek H, O'Neil T. Turn and face the strange: Changes impacting the future of employment in Canada. Brookfield Institute. 2019:1-114.
- Leopold T, Ratcheva V, Zahidi S. The Future of jobs report. Switzerland: Centre for the New Economy and Society; 2018:1-147.
- 8. Schneider P, Bakhshi H, Armstrong H. The future of skills: Trends impacting on UK employment in 2030. Nesta; 2017:1-151.
- Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development. The future of work. https://www.oecd.org/employment/future-of-work/. Accessed June 9, 2020.
- Manyika J, Chui M, Miremadi M, et al. A future that works: automation, employment, and productivity. Mckinsey Global Institute; 2017:1-28.
- Baldwin R. The Globotics Upheaval: Globalization, Robotics, and the Future of Work. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press; 2019:1-276.
- Benach J, Vives A, Amable M, Vanroelen C, Tarafa G, Muntaner C. Precarious employment: understanding an emerging social determinant of health. Annu Rev Public Health. 2014;35:229-253.

- Marmot M, Friel S, Bell R, Houweling TA, Taylor S. Commission on social determinants of health. Closing the gap in a generation: health equity through action on the social determinants of health. *Lancet.* 2008;372(9650):1661-1669.
- Wallerstein M, Western B. Unions in decline? What has changed and why. Annu Rev Polit Sci. 2000;3(1):355-377.
- Cingano F. Trends in income inequality and its impact on economic growth, OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers, No. 163, OECD Publishing, Paris; 2014:1-65.
- Fuller JB, Wallenstein JK, Raman M, de Chalendar A. Future positive: How companies can tap into employee optimism to navigate tomorrow's workplace. White Paper. 2019:1-92.
- 17. Saunders R. Defining vulnerability in the labour market. Canadian Policy Research Networks; 2003:1-38
- Chetty R, Hendren N, Kline P, Saez E. Where is the land of opportunity? The geography of intergenerational mobility in the United States*. Q J Econ. 2014;129(4):1553-1623.
- Cheng S, Tamborini CR, Kim C, Sakamoto A. Educational variations in cohort trends in the black-white earnings gap among men: evidence from administrative earnings data. *Demography*. 2019;56(6): 2253-2277.
- Economic Policy Institute. The productivity-pay gap. Washington, DC. 2019.
- Cranford C, Vosko L. Conceptualizing precarious employment: Mapping wage work across social Location and occupational context. In: Vosko LH, ed. Precarious Employment: Understanding labour market insecurity in Canada. Canada: McGill-Queen's University Press; 2006:43-66.
- Ross M, Nicole B. Low-wage work is more pervasive than you think, and there aren't enough "good jobs" to go around. 2019. https://www.brookings.edu/blog/the-avenue/2019/11/21/lowwage-work-is-more-pervasive-than-you-think-and-there-arentenough-good-jobs-to-go-around/. Accessed October 9, 2020.
- Chesters J, Smith J, Cuervo H, et al. Young adulthood in uncertain times: The association between sense of personal control and employment, education, personal relationships and health. *Journal* of Sociology. 2019;55(2):389-408.
- Bishu SG, Alkadry MG. A systematic review of the gender pay gap and factors that predict it. *Adm Soc.* 2017;49(1):65-104.
- Martin JC, Lewchuk W. The generation effect: millennials, employment precarity and the 21st century workplace. McMaster University Poverty and Employment Precarity in Southern Ontario; 2018:1-103.
- Kamerāde D, Richardson H. Gender segregation, underemployment and subjective well-being in the UK labour market. *Hum Relat.* 2018;71(2):285-309.
- Campos-Serna J, Ronda-Pérez E, Artazcoz L, Moen BE, Benavides FG. Gender inequalities in occupational health related to the unequal distribution of working and employment conditions: a systematic review. Int J Equity Health. 2013;12(1):57.
- Azmat G, Güell M, Manning A. Gender gaps in unemployment rates in OECD countries. J Labor Econ. 2006;24(1):1-37.
- Daenzer P. Unemployment and minority immigrants in Canada. Int J Social Social Policy. 1991;11:29-50.
- Waite S, Ecker J, Ross LE. A systematic review and thematic synthesis of Canada's LGBTQ2S+ employment, labour market and earnings literature. *PLoS One*. 14(10):e0223372.
- Hajizadeh M, Hu M, Bombay A, Asada Y. Socioeconomic inequalities in health among Indigenous peoples living off-reserve in Canada: trends and determinants. *Health Policy*. 2018;122(8): 854-865.
- Autor DH, Dorn D. The growth of low-skill service jobs and the polarization of the US labor market. *Am Econ Rev.* 2013;103(5): 1553-1597.

- Autor DH, Katz LF, Krueger AB. Computing inequality: have computers changed the labor market?*. Q J Econ. 1998;113(4): 1169-1213.
- Marmot MG, Smith GD, Stansfeld S, et al. Health inequalities among British civil servants: the Whitehall II study. *Lancet.* 1991; 337(8754):1387-1393.
- Ferrie JE, Shipley MJ, Davey Smith G, Stansfeld SA, Marmot MG. Change in health inequalities among British civil servants: the Whitehall II study. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2002;56:922-926.
- Marmot MSF, Bell R, Houweling T, Taylor S. Closing the gap in a generation: health equity through action on the social determinants of health. *Lancet*. 2008;372(9650):1661-1669.
- Raphael D. Social determinants of health: present status, unanswered questions, and future directions. *Int J Health Serv.* 2006; 36(4):651-677.
- Benach J, Benavides FG, Platt S, Diez-Roux A, Muntaner C. The health-damaging potential of new types of flexible employment: a challenge for public health researchers. *Am J Public Health.* 2000; 90(8):1316-1317.
- Sachs JD. From millennium development goals to sustainable development goals. *Lancet*. 2012;379(9832):2206-2211.
- 40. Hines A. Thinking About the Future: Guidelines for Strategic Foresight. Washington: Hinesight; 2008:1-449.
- 41. Voros J. Reframing environmental scanning: an integral approach. *Foresight*. 2001;3(6):533-551.
- Van Rij V. Horizon Scanning: Monitoring Plausible and Desirable Futures. In: R J, ed. *Knowledge Democracy*. 1st ed. Berlin, Germany: Springer Verlag; 2010:227-240.
- Saritas O, Smith J. The Big Picture trends, drivers, wild cards, discontinuities and weak signals. *Futures*. 2011;43(3):292-312.
- 44. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *Int J Soc Res Methodol.* 2005;8(1):19-32.
- 45. Royal Bank of Canada. Humans wanted: how Canadian youth can thrive in the age of disruption. 2018:1-44.
- Antal K, Dixon L, Evans S, et al. The next generation of emerging global challenges, October 19, 2018. Government of Canada. https://horizons.gc.ca/en/2018/10/19/the-next-generation-ofemerging-global-challenges/. Accessed April 1, 2020.
- 47. Treverton G. Global trends: paradox of progress. NIC 2017-001 January. National Intelligence Council; 2017:1-235.
- Amanatidou E, Butter M, Carabias V, et al. On concepts and methods in horizon scanning: Lessons from initiating policy dialogues on emerging issues. *Sci Public Policy*. 2012;39(2):208-221.
- Bruns A, Stieglitz S. Towards more systematic Twitter analysis: metrics for tweeting activities. Int J Soc Res Methodol. 2013;16(2):91-108.
- King D, Ramirez-Cano D, Greaves F, Vlaev I, Beales S, Darzi A. Twitter and the health reforms in the English National Health Service. *Health Policy*. 2013;110(2-3):291-297.
- Ovadia S. Exploring the potential of Twitter as a research tool. Behav Soc Sci Librarian. 2009;28(4):202-205.
- 52. Small TA. What the hashtag? Inform, Commun Soc. 2011;14(6): 872-895.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). About the OECD; 2018. http://www.oecd.org/about/. Accessed June 9, 2020.
- 54. Manyika J. Technology, jobs and the future of work. McKinsey & Company. 2017:1-6.
- Sheridan K. Meet Your New Co-Workers Robots: 9 key factors contributing to this burgeoning trend. 2017. https://www.hr.com/ en/magazines/all_articles/meet-your-new-coworkers-robots-9key-factors-con ja3sanol.html. Accessed October 13, 2020.
- Viscelli S. Driverless? Autonomous Trucks and the Future of the American Trucker. California: UC Berkeley Center for Labor Research and Education; 2018:1-73.

- Acemoglu D, Restrepo P. Robots and jobs: Evidence from US labor markets. J Polit Econ. 2020;128(6):2188-2244.
- Acemoglu D, Restrepo P. Artificial Intelligence, Automation and Work, NBER Working Paper No. 24196. Massachusetts: National Bureau of Economic Research; 2018.
- 59. Aldrich J. Remote work is more than a job perk—for people with chronic illness, it's life changing. 2019. https://medium.com/swlh/ remote-work-is-more-than-a-job-perk-for-people-with-chronicillness-its-life-changing-a61d34aead6c#:%7E:text=Top% 20highlight-,Remote%20work%20is%20more%20than%20a% 20job%20perk%E2%80%94for%20people,chronic%20illness%2C %20it's%20life%20changing&text=Remote%20work%20is%20so %20much,a%20possibility%20for%20some%20people.%26text= Remote%20work%20allows%20people%20who,time%20jobs% 20%26%20excel%20in%20them. Accessed October 13, 2020.
- Hoffman S, Friedman HH. Machine learning and meaningful careers: increasing the number of women in STEM. J Res Gend Stud. 2018;8(2):11-27.
- Bick R, Hazan E, Khan H, Lacroix S, Sarrazin H, Welchman T. The future of work: Reskilling and remote working to recover in the 'next normal'. 2020. https://www.mckinsey.com/businessfunctions/mckinsey-digital/our-insights/the-future-of-workreskilling-and-remote-working-to-recover-in-the-next-normal. Accessed September 4, 2020.
- Widell N, Keränen A, Badrinath R. What is semantic interoperability in IoT and why is it important? 2020. https://www.ericsson.com/en/blog/ 2020/7/semantic-interoperability-in-iot. Accessed October 13, 2020.
- Johnson S. Employees at this company work on a virtual reality island. 2018. https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2018/12/thisvirtual-island-isn-t-a-video-game-it-s-the-offices-of-a-610-millioncompany. Accessed October 13, 2020.
- 64. Policy Horizons Canada. The Next Digital Economy. 2019:1-38.
- Wood AJ, Graham M, Lehdonvirta V, Hjorth I. Good gig, bad gig: autonomy and algorithmic control in the global gig economy. Work Employ Soc. 2019;33(1):56-75.
- Howcroft D, Bergvall-Kåreborn B. A typology of crowdwork platforms. Work Employ Soc. 2019;33(1):21-38.
- Lepore J. Are Robots Competing for Your Job? The New Yorker; February 25, 2019.
- Rubery J. A gender lens on the future of work. J Int Aff. 2019;73(1): 91-106.
- World Economic Forum. Global Gender Gap Report 2020. Switzerland: World Economic Forum; 2020:1-371.
- Brumley KM. "It's More Appropriate for Men": Management and worker perceptions of the gendered ideal worker. *Sociol Spectr.* 2018;38(6):406-421.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Bridging the Digital Gender Divide: Include, Upskill, Innovate. Paris, France: OECD; 2018:1-151.
- 72. Hua J, Ray K. Beyond the precariat: race, gender, and labor in the taxi and Uber economy. *Soc Identities*. 2018;24(2):271-289.
- Lasson E. Lasson E. Why are fewer teenagers taking summer jobs? (Clue: it's not because they're lazy). August World Economic Forum in collaboration with the Conversation. 2018.
- Manyika J, Lund S, Chui M, et al. Jobs lost, jobs gained: workforce transitions in a time of automation. McKinsey Global Institute; 2017:1-28.
- 75. Frey CB, Osborne MA. The future of employment: How susceptible are jobs to computerisation? *Technol Forecase Soc.* 2017;114: 254-280.
- Eden M, Gaggl P. On the Welfare Implications of Automation. Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group; 2015.
- Lamb CP, Doyle S. The Talented Mr. Robot: The Impact of Automation on Canda's Workforce. Brookfield Institute for Innovation+ Entrepreneurship; 2016.

- Acemoglu D, Restrepo P. Automation and new tasks: How technology displaces and reinstates labor. J Econ Perspec. 2019;33(2): 3-30.
- Levy F. Computers and populism: artificial intelligence, jobs, and politics in the near term. Oxford Rev Econ Policy. 2018;34(3): 393-417.
- O'Boyle C, Atack J, Monahan K. Generation Z enters the workforce: Generational and technological challenges in entrylevel jobs. Deloitte Insights. 2017:1-24. https://www2.deloitte. com/us/en/insights/focus/technology-and-the-future-of-work/ generation-z-enters-workforce.html#endnote-sup-5. Accessed October 13, 2020.
- Knight W. Al Is Coming for Your Most Mind-Numbing Office Tasks. Wired, Business Section; 2020. https://www.wired.com/story/aicoming-most-mind-numbing-office-tasks/#:%7E:text=Routine% 20work%2C%20like%20cutting%20and,there%27s%20little% 20artificial%20intelligence%20involved. Accessed October 13, 2020.
- Muro M, Whiton J, Maxim R. What Jobs are Affected by Al? Better-Paid, Better-Educated Workers Face the Most Exposure. Washington: Brookings; 2019:1-46.
- 83. Dzieza J. How hard will the robots make us work. The Verge; 2020.
- Webb M. The impact of artificial intelligence on the labor market. Available at SSRN 3482150. Accessed November 6, 2019.
- Rao A, Verweij G. Sizing the prize: What's the real value of AI for your business and how can you capitalise? *PwC*. 2017:1-32.
- Volini E, Denny B, Schwartz J. Superteams: putting AI in the group. UK: Deloitte; 2020:1-127.
- Simonite T. Will Al Take Your Job-or Make It Better. Wired, Business Section, San Francisco. December 2019.
- Winick E. Every study we could find on what automation will do to jobs, in one chart. MIT Technology Review. 2018.
- Cook K, Pinder D, Stewart S, Uchegbu A, Wright J. The future of work in Black America. McKinsey Insights; 2019.
- 90. Holcombe S, Kemp D. Indigenous peoples and mine automation: An issues paper. *Resour Policy*. 2019;63:101420.
- Winick E. Where you live in the US can tell you how likely your job is to be automated. *MIT Techonology Review*; January 2019.
- Eden E, Gaggl P. On the Welfare Implications of Automation. World Bank; November 2015:1-47.
- Dvorkin M, Bharadwaj A. The Impact of Robots on the U.S. Labor Market; 2019. https://www.stlouisfed.org/on-the-economy/2019/ november/impact-robots-us-labor-market. Accessed October 13, 2020.
- Loewen P, Stevens B. Automation, AI and Anxiety: Policy Preferred, Populism Possible. Toronto: University of Toronto; 2019:1-32.
- 95. Acemoglu D, Restrepo P. Low-skill and high-skill automation. *J Hum Cap.* 2018;12(2):204-232.
- Peetz D, Murray G. Women's employment, segregation and skills in the future of work. *Labour Industry*. 2019;29(1):132-148.
- Baird M, Cooper R, Hill E, Probyn E, Vromen A. Women and the Future of Work. Sydney, Australia: University of Sydney Business School; 2018.
- Van Nederynan B, How AI. Machine Learning and Chatbots are Changing The Future of HCM: HR as an industry is evolving. 2017. https://www.hr.com/en/magazines/all_articles/how-aimachine-learning-and-chatbots-are-changing-_ja3hev91.html. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- Houser K. This robot could be conducting your next job interview. March Global Agenda. 2019. https://www.weforum.org/ agenda/2019/03/see-the-robot-head-that-might-interview-youfor-your-next-job. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- Koenig R. Hiring Algorithms Raise questions of validity and bias. US News and World Report Web site. 2019. https://money.usnews.com/ careers/applying-for-a-job/articles/hiring-algorithms-raise-questionsof-validity-and-bias. Accessed November 11, 2020.

- Chandler S. Artificial Intelligence Has Become A Tool For Classifying And Ranking People. *Forbes.* 2019.
- 102. Dattner B, Chamorro-Premuzic T, Buchband R, Schettler L. The legal and ethical implications of using Al in hiring. *Harvard Business Review*. 2019:1-7.
- K. This is how AI bias really happens-and why it's so hard to fix. MIT Technology Review; 2019.
- Metz C. A.I. is learning from human. Many humans. New York Times. 2019. https://www.nytimes.com/2019/08/16/technology/ai-humans. html. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- 105. Manyika J, Silberg J, Presten B. What do we do about the biases in Al? *Harvard Business Review*. 2019.
- Goodman R. Why Amazon's automated hiring tool discriminated against women. 2018. https://www.aclu.org/blog/womens-rights/ womens-rights-workplace/why-amazons-automated-hiring-tooldiscriminated-against. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- 107. Dastin J. Amazon scraps secret AI recruiting tool that showed bias against women. 2018. https://www.reuters.com/article/usamazon-com-jobs-automation-insight/amazon-scraps-secretai-recruiting-tool-that-showed-bias-against-women-idUSKCN1 MK08G. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- 108. Barnes P. Artificial intelligence poses new threat to equal employment opportunity. *Forbes*; 2019.
- Seymour A, Anthony S, Boyce NR, Martin RC, Dreibelbis E. Technology in staffing. In: Landers RN, ed. The State of Technology-Enabled Simulations from Part II. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 2019:208-231.
- 110. Riddle S. The robots are coming for your job! Why digital literacy is so important for the jobs of the future. *The Conversation*; 2015.
- 111. Huynh A, Do A. *Digital Literacy in a Digital Age*. Brookfield Institute for Innovation and Entrepreneurship (BII+E); 2017.
- 112. Lamb C, Doyle S. Future-proof: Preparing young Canadians for the future of work. Toronto: Brookfield Institute for Innovation + Entrepreneurship (BII+E); 2017:1-24.
- 113. Cobert B, Chang M. Future-proofing the workforce: why digital literacy is key. *Forbes.* 2019.
- Rutgers J. How job seekers can combat automation and temp work. 2018. https://www.theglobeandmail.com/canada/education/ canadian-university-report/article-how-job-seekers-can-combatautomation-and-temp-work/. Accessed September 9, 2020.
- Venn DBiddle N. Employment Outcomes, 2016 Census Papers. Canberra: Centre for Aboriginal Economic Policy Research. Australian National University; 2018.
- Nadworny E, Larkin M. Fewer students are going to college. Here's why that matters. 2019. https://www.npr.org/2019/12/16/ 787909495/fewer-students-are-going-to-college-heres-why-thatmatters. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- 117. O'Brien C. IBM: AI will change every job and increase demand for creative skills. 2019. https://venturebeat.com/2019/10/30/ibm-aiwill-change-every-job-and-increase-demand-for-creative-skills/. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- 118. Rumbens D, Richardson C, Lee C, Mizrahi J, Roche C. *The Path to Prosperity: Why the Future of Work is Human.* Sydney, Australia: Deloitte; 2019:1-68.
- 119. Ratcheva V, Leopold TA, Zahidi S. Jobs of tomorrow: mapping opportunity in the new economy. World Economic Forum; 2020:1-29.
- 120. Agrawal S, De Smet A, Poplawski P, Reich A. Beyond hiring: How companies are reskilling to address talent gaps. McKinsey Global Institute; 2020.
- 121. Miner R. The Great Canadian Skills Mismatch: People Without Jobs, Jobs Without People and MORE. Canada: Miner Management Consultants; 2014.
- 122. Chetty K, Aneja U, Mishra V, Gcora N, Josie J. Bridging the digital divide in the G20: skills for the new age. *Economics*. 2018;12(2018-24):1-20.

- Hango DW. Gender differences in science, technology, engineering, mathematics and computer science (STEM) programs at university. Statistics Canada; 2013:1-14.
- 124. Council of Canadian Academies. Expert Panel on STEM Skills for the Future. Some Assembly Required: STEM Skills and Canada's Economic Productivity. Council of Canadian Academies; 2015:1-216.
- 125. Tromel S, Menze J, Fremlin PT. Making the future of work inclusive of people with disabilities. ILO GBDN and Fundación ONCE; 2019.
- 126. Chau VS, Quire C. Back to the future of women in technology: insights from understanding the shortage of women in innovation sectors for managing corporate foresight. *Technol Anal Strat Manag.* 2018;30(6):747-764.
- Frank K. A gender analysis of the occupational pathways of STEM graduates in Canada. Analytical studies branch research paper series. Statistics Canada; 2019:1-39.
- Hawksworth J, Berriman R, Goel S. Will robots really steal our jobs? An international analysis of the potential long term impact of automation. PricewaterhouseCoopers. 2018. http://pwc.co.uk/ economics
- 129. Casselman B. As labor pool shrinks, prison time is less of a hiring hurdle. *The New York Times*; 2018.
- Nagele-Piazza L. Top 10 workplace trends for 2019. *HR News*.
 https://www.shrm.org/hr-today/news/hr-news/pages/top-10-workplace-trends-for-2019.aspx. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- Samans R. Globalization 4.0: Shaping a new global architecture in the age of the Fourth Industrial Revolution: A call for engagement. World Economic Forum; 2019:1-40.
- Baldwin R. Globalization is close to its 'holy cow' moment. Chicago Booth Review. 2018. https://review.chicagobooth.edu/economics/ 2018/article/globalization-close-its-holy-cow-moment. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- 133. Dorn D. The Rise of the Machines: How Computers Have Changed Work. 2015:35-63.
- McKinsey Global Institute. Globalization, robots, and universal basic income: Jason Furman on the future of work. McKinsey Insights; 2019. https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/future-ofwork/globalization-robots-and-universal-basic-income-jasonfurman-on-the-future-of-work. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- 135. Burrow S. Globalization 4.0 must build a better world for working people. World Economic Forum; 2018.
- Donnan S. Davos 2019 is all about 'Globalization 4.0.' So what is that? 2019. https://www.bnnbloomberg.ca/davos-2019-isall-about-globalization-4-0-so-what-is-that-1.1201761. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- Elsby MW, Hobijn B, Şahin A. The decline of the US labor share. Brookings Pap Econ Act. 2013;2:1-63.
- Hwang R. The IPCC Report Isn't a Death Knell. It's a Wake-Up Call.
 https://www.nrdc.org/experts/roland-hwang/ipcc-reportisnt-death-knell-its-wake-call. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- 139. Gregory A. Climate change: Greenhouse gas levels hit record high 'with no sign of slowdown', UN warns. 2019. https://www. independent.co.uk/environment/climate-change-greenhouse-gasrecord-high-un-paris-agreement-a9217256.html. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- Box JE, Colgan WT, Christensen TR, et al. Key indicators of Arctic climate change: 1971-2017. Environ Res Lett. 2019;14(4):045010.
- Kjellstrom T, Maître N, Saget C, Otto M, Karimova T. Working on a warmer planet: The effect of heat stress on productivity and decent work. Geneva: International Labour Organization (ILO); 2019.
- Policy Horizons Canada. Canada 2030: Scan of Emerging Issues– Sustainability, PH4-165/3-2016E-PDF. Canada: Government of Canada; 2016.
- 143. European Commission. Aggravating resource scarcity. 2019. https://ec.europa.eu/knowledge4policy/aggravating-resourcescarcity_en. Accessed October 14, 2020.

OF INDUCTRIAL MEDICIN

- U.S. Global Change Research Program. Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment. Vol 2. Washington, DC: U.S. Global Change Research Program; 2018: 1-1526.
- 145. Watts N, Amann M, Arnell N, et al. The 2019 report of The Lancet Countdown on health and climate change: ensuring that the health of a child born today is not defined by a changing climate. *Lancet*. 2019;394(10211):1836-1878.
- 146. Simon M. How the climate crisis is killing us, in 9 alarming charts. Wired. 2019. https://www.wired.com/story/how-the-climate-crisisis-killing-us/. Accessed October 14, 2020
- International Labour Organization (ILO). Frequently asked questions on climate change and jobs. United Nations. https://www.ilo. org/global/topics/green-jobs/WCMS_371589/lang-en/index.htm. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- Levi M, Kjellstrom T, Baldasseroni A. Impact of climate change on occupational health and productivity: a systematic literature review focusing on workplace heat. *Med Lav.* 2018;109(3):163-179.
- 149. Bush E, Lemmem DS. eds. Canada's Changing Climate Report. Ottawa, ON; 2019.
- 150. Kiefer M, Rodríguez-Guzmán J, Watson J, van Wendel de Joode B, Mergler D, da Silva AS. Worker health and safety and climate change in the Americas: issues and research needs. *Rev Panam Salud Publica*. 2016;40(3):192-197.
- 151. Council of Canadian Academies. Canada's Top Climate Change Risks: The Expert Panel on Climate Change Risks and Adaptation Potential. Ottawa, ON, Canada: Council of Canadian Academies; 2019:1-88.
- 152. Cho R. How climate change impacts the economy. *State of the Planet.* 2019. https://blogs.ei.columbia.edu/2019/06/20/climatechange-economy-impacts/#:%7E:text=The%20study%20projected%20that%20if,would%20cost%20%24224%20billion%20less. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- 153. Nelson S. Flight attendants know the real job killer isn't the Green New Deal. It's climate change. 2019. https://www.vox.com/firstperson/2019/4/17/18410615/green-new-deal-flight-attendantsalexandria-ocasio-cortez-sara-nelson. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- 154. Weber B. Clean energy fast track to employment growth in Canada, study says. *Tor Star.* 2019.
- 155. Pittis D. Job killer or job creator? Experts predict a climate employment boom: Don Pittis. *CBC News Online*; 2019.
- Smith M. The fast lane: Tracking the energy revolution 2019. Morris J. Wosk Center for Dialogue at Simon Fraser University; 2019:1-16.
- 157. Vyas K. AgriTech: 3+ ways we plan to feed the future. *Interesting Engineering*. 2019. https://interestingengineering.com/agritech-3-ways-we-plan-to-feed-the-future. Accessed 2020-10-14.
- 158. Walch K, How Al. Is transforming agriculture. Forbes. 2019.
- 159. Faife C. Scientists are contemplating a 1,000-year space mission to save humanity. *One Zero.* 2019.
- 160. Pearce F. Geoengineer the planet? More scientists now say it must be an option. YaleEnvironment360. Yale School of the Environment; 2019. https://e360.yale.edu/features/geoengineer-the-planet-morescientists-now-say-it-must-be-an-option. Accessed September 1, 2020.
- Talati S. Confronting solar geoengineering: What you need to know. Union of Concerned Scientists. 2019. https://blog.ucsusa.org/ shuchi-talati/solar-geoengineering-what-you-need-to-know. Accessed September 1, 2020.
- 162. Roberts-Islam B. The rise of biodesign—Growing our way to a more sustainable future. *Forbes.* 2019.
- International Labour Organization. World Employment and Social Outlook: Trends 2015. Switzerland: International Labour Organization Geneva; 2015:1-100.
- 164. Estes N. Indigenous people are already working "Green Jobs"—but they're unrecognized and unpaid. *The Intercept_Voices*. 2019. https://theintercept.com/2019/09/23/indigenous-climate-greennew-deal/. Accessed September 1, 2020.

- 165. ILO Global Business and Disability Network, O. N. C. E. Fundación. Making the future of work inclusive of people with disabilities. Available at https://www.ilo.org/global/topics/disability-and-work/ WCMS_729457/lang-en/index.htm. Accessed September 1, 2020.
- 166. Sax S. Geoengineering's gender problem could put the planet at risk. *Wired, Science*; 2019.
- Baruah B. Renewable inequity? Women's employment in clean energy in industrialized, emerging and developing economies. *Nat Resour Forum*. 2017;41(1):18-29.
- 168. Link J. Engaging the Workforce of the Future. Randstad North America; 2019:1-63.
- Robison J. Why Millennials are Job Hopping. Gallup; 2019. Available at https://www.gallup.com/workplace/267743/why-millennialsjob-hopping.aspx. Retrieved September 1, 2020.
- 170. Mawhinney T, Betts K. Understanding Generation Z in the workplace. *Deloitte Insights*. 2020;24, https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/ pages/consumer-business/articles/understanding-generation-z-inthe-workplace.html. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- Burjeck A. Generation Z's job interests lie in future-proof jobs. Northstate SHRM. 2018. https://www.workforce.com/news/ gen-z-job-interests-lie-in-future-proof-jobs. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- 172. Fry R. Baby Boomers are staying in the labor force at rates not seen in generations for people their age. Washington, DC: PEW Research Center; 2019. Available at https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2019/07/24/baby-boomers-us-labor-force/. Retrieved September 1, 2020.
- 173. Fry R, Parker K. Early Benchmarks Show 'Post-millennials' on Track to be Most Diverse, Best-educated Generation Yet: A Demographic Portrait of Today's 6- to 21-year-olds. Washington, DC: Pew Research Center; 2020:1-18.
- 174. Randstad Inc. Move over millennials, generation Z workers are coming to town. Toronto, ON; 2019, https://www.randstad.ca/employers/ workplace-insights/talent-management/what-you-should-knowabout-gen-z-workers/. Accessed April 2, 2020.
- Gomez K, et al. Welcome to Generation Z. Deloitte Network of Executive Women. 2019:1-24.
- 176. Lorenz T. OK boomer' marks the end of friendly generational relations. *The New York Times*; 2019.
- 177. Parker K, Graf N. R. I. Generation Z looks a lot like millennials on key social and political issues. Washington, DC: Pew Research Center; 2019. Available at https://www.pewresearch.org/social-trends/ 2019/01/17/generation-z-looks-a-lot-like-millennials-on-key-socialand-political-issues/. Accessed September 1, 2020.
- Gelber M. Here's what you need to know about Gen Z, boss. Monster. https://www.monster.com/career-advice/article/gen-zboss-0816. Accessed June 9, 2020.
- Casey LJ, Vogel MD. Preparing for the next generation: profiles of Millennial City managers and their approach to the job. *State Local Govern Rev.* 2019;51(2):122-133.
- Rikleen L. Why millennials have the power to change the workplace – for good. *Fortune*: Rikleen Institute for Strategic Leadership; 2015. Available at https://fortune.com/2015/02/11/whymillennials-have-the-power-to-change-the-workplace-for-good/. Accessed September 1, 2020.
- Miller CC, Yar S. Young people are going to save us all form office life. New York Times; 2019.
- 182. Salyer K. How young people are shaping the future of sustainable fashion. World Economic Forum; 2019. Available at https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2019/04/young-shapers-leading-sustainable-fashion-movement/. Accessed August 15, 2020.
- Stevens S. 5 things to know about Gen Z employees and sustainability. Green Biz. 2018. https://www.greenbiz.com/article/5things-know-about-gen-z-employees-and-sustainability. Accessed October 14, 2020.

16

- Knowledge[®]Wharton. Make way for Generation Z in the workplace. 2019. https://knowledge.wharton.upenn. edu/article/make-room-generation-z-workplace/. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- Jenkins R. Generation Z wants these 10 things in a job. *Inc.com*. 2018. https://www.inc.com/ryan-jenkins/23-percent-of-generationz-wont-take-a-job-without-this.html. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- Miliband D. Brexit, populism, and the future of British democracy. *Horizons*. 2020;(15):150-165.
- 187. Horak S, Farndale E, Brannen MY, Collings DG. International human resource management in an era of political nationalism. *Thunderbird Int Bus Rev.* 2019;61(3):471-480.
- 188. Rodrik D. Populism and the economics of globalization. J Int Bus Pol. 2018;1(1-2):12-33.
- Pew Research Center. Political Polarization in the American Public. 2014. https://www.pewresearch.org/politics/2014/06/12/politicalpolarization-in-the-american-public/. Accessed October 14, 2020.
- 190. Oliver JE, Rahn WM. Rise of the Trumpenvolk: Populism in the 2016 Election. Ann Am Acad Pol Soc Sci. 2016;667(1):189-206.
- Guiso L, Herrera H, Morelli M, Sonno T. Demand and Supply of Populism. Einaudi Institute for Economics and Finance; 2017.
- 192. Serhan Y. Populism is morphing in insidious ways. *The Atlantic*; 2020.
- 193. Graves F. Why Canadians need to wake up about populism. Toronto Star; 2019.
- 194. Salam R. The next populist revolution will be Latino. *The Atlantic*; 2018.
- 195. Cecchi S. 'It's s all their Fault'. Immigrants as scapegoats and a mirror revealing social contradictions. *Ital Sociol Rev.* 2019;9(1).
- 196. Capehart J. Working-class whites can't handle their status as 'the new minority'. *The Washington Post*; 2017.
- Salmela M, von Scheve C. Emotional roots of right-wing political populism. Soc Sci Inf. 2017;56(4):567-595.
- Chappell B. EU Faces 'Recession Of Historic Proportions,' Economic Forecast Warns. npr. 2020. https://www.newsbreak.com/news/ 1560653868701/eu-faces-recession-of-historic-proportionseconomic-forecast-warns. Accessed October 15, 2020.
- 199. Weikle B. What the COVID-19 employment crisis tells us about the future of work. CBC News Online. 2020. https://www.cbc.ca/ news/business/covid-19-employment-crisis-recoveryemployment-in-2030-1.5588285. Accessed October 1, 2020.
- 200. MIT Technology Review Panel. Amid the covid-19 pandemic, shifting business priorities. MIT Technology Review; 2020.
- 201. Cassidy J Will the coronavirus create a more progressive society or a more dystopian one? *The New Yorker*. 2020.
- 202. Solon O, Glaser A. 'A worldwide hackathon': Hospitals turn to crowdsourcing and 3D printing amid equipment shortages. 2020, Available at https://www.nbcnews.com/tech/innovation/worldwidehackathon-hospitals-turn-crowdsourcing-3d-printing-amid-equipmentshortages-n1165026. Accessed August 15, 2020.
- Corkery M, Gelles D. Robots welcome to take over, as pandemic accelerates automation. 2020. https://www.nytimes.com/2020/ 04/10/business/coronavirus-workplace-automation.html. Accessed September 1, 2020.
- Guyot K, Sawhill I. Telecommuting will likely continue long after the pandemic. *Brookings*; 2020. Available at https://www.brookings.edu/ blog/up-front/2020/04/06/telecommuting-will-likely-continue-longafter-the-pandemic/. Accessed August 15, 2020.
- Brennan M. U.S. Workers Discovering Affinity for Remote Work.
 2020. https://news.gallup.com/poll/306695/workers-discoveringaffinity-remote-work.aspx. Accessed September 16, 2020.
- 206. MIT Technology Review Insights. Covid-19 and the workforce. The global AI agenda series; 2020:1-9.

- 207. Rubin O, Nikolaeva A, Nello-Deakin S, te Brömmelstroet M. What can we learn from the COVID-19 pandemic about how people experience working from home and commuting? Centre for Urban Studies, University of Amsterdam; 2020:1-9.
- Schur LA, Ameri M, Kruse D. Telework after COVID: a "silver lining" for workers with disabilities? J Occup Rehabil. 2020;30(4): 521-536.
- Kaushik M, Guleria N. The impact of pandemic COVID-19 in workplace. Eur J Bus Manag. 2020;12(15):1-10.
- Piyapromdee S. Low-income workers disproportionally affected by COVID-19. ScienceDaily. 2020. https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/ 2020/04/200430191258.htm#:%7E:text=%22Therefore%20without% 20adequate%20government%20intervention,19%20could%20worsen %20income%20inequality.%22%26text=However%2C%20as%20low %20income%20workers,less%20flexible%20to%20work%20remotely. Accessed September 23, 2020.
- 211. Kinder M, Ross M. Reopening America: Low-wage workers have suffered badly from COVID-19 so policymakers should focus on equity. The Brookings Institute; 2020. Available at https://www.brookings. edu/research/reopening-america-low-wage-workers-havesuffered-badly-from-covid-19-so-policymakers-should-focus-onequity/. Accessed October 15, 2020.
- Jones R. People of colour make up 66% of Ottawa's COVID-19 cases. CBC News Online; 2020. https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/ottawa/ covid-19-strategy-racialized-communities-1.5730934?_vfz=medium %3Dsharebar. Accessed September 23, 2020.
- Cavalleri M, Causa O. COVID-19: How governments can protect vulnerable workers *Global Agenda*. 2020. https://www.weforum. org/agenda/2020/07/how-non-standard-workers-are-affectedand-protected-during-the-covid-19-crisis-stylised-facts-andpolicy-considerations/. Accessed September 16, 2020.
- Reeves R, Rothwell J. Class and COVID: how the less affluent face double risks. *Middle Class Memos.* 2020. https://www.brookings.edu/ blog/up-front/2020/03/27/class-and-covid-how-the-less-affluent-facedouble-risks/?preview_id=792206. Accessed September 16, 2020.
- Khazan O. How the coronavirus could create a new working class. The Atlantic. 2020. https://www.theatlantic.com/health/archive/ 2020/04/coronavirus-class-war-just-beginning/609919/. Accessed September 16, 2020.
- Lopez M, Rainie L, Budiman A. Financial and health impacts of COVID-19 vary widely by race and ethnicity. *Facttank*. 2020. https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2020/05/05/financialand-health-impacts-of-covid-19-vary-widely-by-race-andethnicity/. Accessed September 23, 2020.
- European Institute for Gender Equality (EIGE). Frontline workers. EuroGender. 2020. https://eige.europa.eu/covid-19-and-genderequality/frontline-workers. Accessed September 16, 2020.
- 218. Jee C. Coronavirus is revealing the gig economy's sharp inequalities. *MIT Technology Review*. 2020.
- 219. Grodach C. Why coronavirus will deepen the inequality of our suburbs. *The Conversation*; 2020.
- Alon T, Doepke M, Olmstead-Rumsey J, Tertilt M. The impact of Covid-19 on gender equality, Working Paper 26947. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research 2020.
- He E, Torres N. Women Are Bearing the Brunt of the Covid-19 Economic Pain. Bloomberg Opinion. 2020. https://www.bloomberg. com/graphics/2020-opinion-coronavirus-gender-economicimpact-job-numbers/. Accessed September 16, 2020.
- 222. Toronto Public Health. Covid-19 and the social determinants of health: what do we know? Toronto, ON; 2020:1-4.
- Bouka A, Bouka Y. Canada's Covid-19 blind spots on race, immigration and labour. *Policy Options*; 2020. Available at https://policyoptions.irpp. org/magazines/may-2020/canadas-covid-19-blind-spots-on-raceimmigration-and-labour/. Accessed September 15.

- 224. Causa O, Cavalleri M. Distributional risks associated with nonstandard work: Stylised work and policy considerations. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) 1-15.
- 225. Lewsey F. Women bear brunt of coronavirus economic shutdown in UK and US. The University of Cambridge; 2020. Available at https://www. cam.ac.uk/research/news/women-bear-brunt-of-coronavirus-econom ic-shutdown-in-uk-and-us. Accessed September 15.
- 226. Valentino-DeVries J, Lu D, Dance G. Location data says it all: staying at home during coronavirus is a luxury. 2020. https://www. nytimes.com/interactive/2020/04/03/us/coronavirus-stay-homerich-poor.html?utm_source=pocket-newtab. Accessed September 16, 2020.
- 227. Madgavkar A, White OK, Krishnan M, Mahajan D, Azcue X COVID-19 and gender equality: Countering the regressive effects. 2020. https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/future-of-work/ covid-19-and-gender-equality-countering-the-regressive-effects. Accessed September 16, 2020.
- 228. Gamboa S. Latinos hardest hit by coronavirus job losses, with a staggering 18.9% unemployed. *NBCNewscom*. 2020. https://www.nbcnews.com/news/latino/latinos-hardest-hit-coronavirus-job-losses-staggering-18-9-unemployed-n1202881. Accessed September 16, 2020.
- 229. Jolly J. 'UK youth employment prospects crumbling' in coronavirus crisis. *The Guardian*; 2020.
- Lund S, Ellingrud K, Hancock B, Manyika J, Dua A. Lives and livelihoods: Assessing the near-term impact of COVID-19 on US workers. McKinsey Global Institute; 2020:1-10.
- 231. Macdonald D. Canadian workforce unevenly protected from COVID-19. Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives Behind the numbers Web site. 2020. https://behindthenumbers.ca/2020/ 03/16/canadian-workforce-unevenly-protected-from-covid-19/. Accessed September 23, 2020.
- Wherry A One country, two pandemics: what COVID-19 reveals about inequality in Canada. CBC News Online. 2020. https://www. cbc.ca/news/politics/pandemic-covid-coronavirus-cerb-unemploy ment-1.5610404. Accessed September 23, 2020.
- Division of Current Employment Statistics. Employment status of the Hispanic or Latino population by sex and age. Washington, DC: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics; 2020.
- 234. Parker K, Horowitz J, Brown A. About Half of Lower-Income Americans Report Household Job or Wage Loss Due to COVID-19. Washington, DC: Pew Research Center; 2020. Available at https:// www.pewresearch.org/social-trends/2020/04/21/about-half-oflower-income-americans-report-household-job-or-wage-loss-dueto-covid-19/. Accessed October 15.
- 235. Houtz M. Would-be autocrats are using covid-19 as an excuse to grab more power. *The Economist*; 2020.
- OECD. OECD Employment Outlook 2019: The future of work. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD); 2019:1-345.
- 237. Goos M, Manning A. Lousy and lovely jobs: the rising polarization of work in Britain. *Rev Econ Stat.* 2007;89(1):118-133.
- 238. Moueddene K, Wauters P, Coppola M, Ansaloni V, Ivanova M, Paquette J. *Expected Skills Needs for the Future of Work*. UK: Deloitte; 2019:1-20.

- Mishel L, Rothstein R. Unemployment, Schools, Wages and the Mythical Skills Gap. Working Economics Blog, Economic Policy Institute; 2014. Available at https://www.epi.org/blog/unemployment-schools-wagesmythical-skills/. Accessed October 15, 2020.
- Lubbers M, Sipma T, Spierings N. Precarious work in times of the economic recession and voting for populist radical right parties. University of Oslo, Oslo: European Consortium for Political Research (ECPR) General Conference; 2017.
- 241. Vlahov D, Boufford JI, Pearson CE, Norris L. Urban Health: Global Perspectives. 18. John Wiley & Sons; 2010.
- 242. Caldbick S, Labonte R, Mohindra K, Ruckert A. Globalization and the rise of precarious employment: the new frontier for workplace health promotion. *Glob Health Promot.* 2014;21(2):23-31.
- 243. Standing G. Labour market policies, poverty and insecurity. Int J Soc Welfare. 2011;20(3):260-269.
- 244. Peng I. Why Canadians should care about the global care economy. Open Canada. 2018. https://www.opencanada.org/features/whycanadians-should-care-about-global-care-economy/#:%7E:text=% 27Care%20economy%27%20refers%20to%20the,of%20social% 20and%20material%20care.%26text=First%2C%20the%20care% 20economy%20is,generated%20and%20of%20employment% 20creation. Accessed September 30, 2020.
- 245. Prising J. *The Talent Shortage*. ManpowerGroup; 2020. Available at https://web.manpowergroup.ca/talent-shortage. Accessed October 15, 2020.
- 246. Jenkins SP, Brandolini A, Micklewright J, Nolan B, eds. *The Great Recession and the distribution of household income*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012:1-296.
- Margerison-Zilko C, Goldman-Mellor S, Falconi A, Downing J. Health impacts of the great recession: a critical review. *Curr Epidemiol Rep.* 2016;3(1):81-91.
- 248. Burgard S, Kalousova L. Effects of the great recession: health and well-being. *Annu Rev Sociol.* 2015;41(1):181-201.
- Labonté R, Stuckler D. The rise of neoliberalism: how bad economics imperils health and what to do about it. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2016;70(3):312-318.
- 250. Karanikolos M, Mladovsky P, Cylus J, et al. Financial crisis, austerity, and health in Europe. *Lancet.* 2013;381(9874):1323-1331.
- Liu J. The precarious nature of work in the context of canadian immigration: an intersectional analysis. Can Ethn Stud. 2019;51:169-185.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: Jetha A, Shamaee A, Bonaccio S, et al. Fragmentation in the future of work: A horizon scan examining the impact of the changing nature of work on workers experiencing vulnerability. *Am J Ind Med.* 2021;1-18. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.23262