Carotid plaque stenosis, metabolism and flow dynamics

Slart, Riemer H J A; Reijnen, Michel M P J

Published in:
Journal of Nuclear Cardiology

DOI:
10.1007/s12350-020-02311-0

Carotid plaque stenosis, metabolism and flow dynamics: Important determinants of atherosclerotic risk?

Riemer H. J. A. Slart, MD, PhD,[a,b] and Michel M. P. J. Reijnen, MD, PhD[c,d]

[a] Medical Imaging Center, Department of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands
[b] Biomedical Photonic Imaging Group, University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands
[c] Multi-Modality Medical Imaging Group, Technical Medical Centre, University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands
[d] Department of Surgery, Rijnstate, Arnhem, The Netherlands

Received Jul 24, 2020; accepted Jul 24, 2020
doi:10.1007/s12350-020-02311-0

See related article, pp. 569–577

To date, the treatment algorithm of a symptomatic carotid artery stenosis is still based on the anatomical degree of stenosis. With a number-needed-to-treat of six to prevent one stroke through the next 5-years in patients with a > 70% stenosis, five out of six of these patients are exposed to surgical risks without having the benefits.[1] Also, in patients receiving best medical treatment, related to their stenosis-degree, an ipsilateral stroke does occur within 5-years in 7.8%.[2] This clearly indicates that novel imaging modalities are needed to improve the decision-making process for patients with a carotid artery stenosis.

Takami et al. have performed a retrospective analysis of 20 patients that were treated for a symptomatic carotid artery stenosis.[3] They used Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA) as an input parameter for a computational fluid dynamics (CFD) analysis and subsequently the maximum Wall Shear Stress (WSSmax) was related to the degree of stenosis and to the measured metabolic activity of the plaque on ^{18}F-FDG PET/CT. Significant correlations were observed between the WSSmax and degree stenosis ($\rho = 0.81$, $P < 0.001$), WSSmax and the maximum target-to-blood pool ratio (TBRmax) ($\rho = 0.64$, $P < 0.001$), and also the TBRmax and degree of stenosis ($\rho = 0.50$, $P = 0.001$). These observations highlight that blood flow patterns correlate to biological processes in the vessel wall and to atherosclerotic disease development. Risks of a carotid artery stenosis on becoming symptomatic can therefore not be judged appropriately by the degree of stenosis only.

The authors have taken the WSSmax as the critical flow-related parameter in this study. The relation between flow and its related parameters is well known and extremely complex in itself as it depends on various parameters, including the vascular geometry and flow velocities and directions. Various flow-related parameters can be calculated of which the WSS is the most well-known. A low WSS promotes atherosclerosis by stimulating inflammatory processes, plaque initiation and growth. A low sustaining WSS has been related to destabilization of non-obstructive high-risk plaques while an obstructive high risk plaques destabilizes at the most stenotic site under high WSS conditions, or at the upstream shoulder and downstream parts by low WSS.[4] Large oscillations in the blood flow, that can be quantified as the Oscillatory Shear Index, are also associated with progression of atherosclerosis.[5] The most relevant parameter for the risk of stroke in patients with a carotid artery stenosis is yet to be defined.

The optimal method of flow visualization is also matter of debate. In the current study MRA-based computational fluid dynamics (CFD) was chosen. A major drawback of this choice is the fact that that CFD requires a multitude of assumptions inducing various

Reprint requests: Riemer H. J. A. Slart, MD, PhD, Medical Imaging Center, Department of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Hanzeplein 1, 9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands; *r.h.j.a.slart@umcg.nl*
J Nucl Cardiol 2022;29:578–80.
1071-3581/$34.00

uncertainties and a potential bias. The use of duplex velocities as boundary conditions might have provided a more realistic flow pattern. For future studies also the use of phase contrast MRI could also be of value, avoiding the use of theoretical input parameters. This technique, however, is rather time-consuming and costly limiting its clinical applicability. As an alternative, novel ultrafast ultrasound imaging techniques, with up to 10.000 images/sec, could be used to estimate blood flow velocity vectors within the carotid artery.[6,7] The feasibility of this method has previously been shown in the carotid artery bifurcation of both healthy subjects and patients, with a proven reproducibility for the estimated velocity fields.[8] The further development of this technique might facilitate the implementation of flow-based decision making in clinical practice.

In the current study it was demonstrated that the correlation coefficient of the relationship between WSSmax and TBRmax was higher than that between degree of carotid artery stenosis and the TBRmax.[3] In addition, the mean TBRmax was higher in symptomatic carotid artery stenoses as compared to asymptomatic stenoses, supporting the pivotal role of inflammation in the development of unstable carotid plaques, as confirmed in previous studies.[9,10] ^{18}F-FDG is a commonly used tracer that enters cells with high glycolytic metabolic requirements, including activated inflammatory cells, which express high levels of glucose transporters. Increased ^{18}F-FDG uptake in carotid plaque demonstrates a direct association with plaque macrophage infiltration leading to its use as a surrogate of vascular inflammation, but the signal is relative low and aspecific.[9] New PET radiopharmaceuticals against other important plaque biological targets with higher signal are needed and applicable now. For example ^{18}F-SodiumFluoride (^{18}F-NaF) for detection of micro-calcification in vulnerable atherosclerotic plaques,[11] or targeted against vulnerable unstable neo-angiogenesis, which promotes intraplaque hemorrhage.[12,13] Additionally the introduction of digital PET significantly improves the sensitivity and resolution of the camera system.[14] This is of particular interest in future studies to improve carotid plaque imaging, resulting in higher signal and better heterogeneity delineation.

The study of Takami et al. has provided us with further support to the hypothesis that flow-related forces could be of utmost importance in biological, inflammatory metabolic processes within a carotid plaque and that they could be decisive in the development of unstable plaques.[3] In order to develop a reliable and more personalized treatment algorithm for patients with a carotid artery stenosis further studies on this subject are clearly indicated and cut-off values of unstable plaques for the multimodality approach need to be identified. Both flow patterns and biological process should then be taken into account in relation to both each other and to plaque growth and destabilization. Eventually this should lead to a better risk assessment of patients with a carotid stenosis and consequently an improved decision-making algorithm for invasive treatment. This would prevent unnecessary interventions, reduce the stroke rate in this subset of patients, whilst reducing costs.

References

1. Rothwell PM, Eliasziw M, Gutnikov SA, Fox AJ, Taylor DW, Mayberg MR, Warlow CP, Barnett HJ et al (2003) Carotid Endarterectomy Trialists'. Collaboration Analysis of pooled data from the randomised controlled trials of endarterectomy for symptomatic carotid stenosis. Lancet 361:107-116
2. Inzitari D, Eliasziw M, Gates P, Sharpe BL, Chan RK, Meldrum HE et al (2000) The causes and risk of stroke in patients with asymptomatic internal-carotid-artery stenosis. North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial Collaborators. New Engl J Med 342:1693-1700
3. Takami Y, Norikane T, Yamamoto Y, Fujimoto K, Mitamura K, Okauchi M et al (2020) A preliminary study of relationship among the degree of internal carotid artery stenosis, wall shear stress on MR angiography and 18F-FDG uptake on PET/CT. J Nucl Cardiol 61:1622
4. Wentzel JJ, Chatzizisis YS, Gijsen FJ, Giannoglou GD, Feldman CL, Stone PH (2012) Endothelial shear stress in the evolution of coronary atherosclerotic plaque and vascular remodelling: current understanding and remaining questions. Cardiovasc Res 96:234-243
5. Cheng C, Tempel D, van Haperen R, van der Baan A, Grosveld F, Daemen MJ et al (2006) Atherosclerotic lesion size and vulnerability are determined by patterns of fluid shear stress. Circulation 113:2744-2753
6. Saris AE, Hansen HH, Fekkes S, Nillesen MM, Rutten MC, de Korte CL (2016) A comparison between compounding techniques using large beam-steered plane wave imaging for blood vector velocity imaging in a carotid artery model. IEEE Trans Ultrason Ferroelectr Freq Control 63:1758-1771
7. Saris AECM, Fekkes S, Nillesen M, Hansen HH, de Korte CL (2018) A PSF-shape-based beamforming strategy for robust 2D motion estimation in ultrafast data. Appl Sci 8:429
8. Saris AECM, Hansen HH, Fekkes S, Menssen J, Nillesen MM, de Korte CL (2019) In vivo blood velocity vector imaging using adaptive velocity compounding in the carotid artery bifurcation. Ultrasound Med Biol 45:1691-1707
9. Masteling MG, Zeebregts CJ, Tio RA, Breek JC, Tietge UJ, de Boer JF et al (2011) High-resolution imaging of human atherosclerotic carotid plaques with micro 18F-FDG PET scanning exploring plaque vulnerability. J Nucl Cardiol 18:1066-1075
10. Kelly PJ, Camps-Renom P, Giannotti N, Marti-Fàbregas J, McNulty J, Baron JC et al (2020) A risk score including carotid plaque inflammation and stenosis severity improves identification of recurrent stroke. Stroke 51:838-845
11. Hop H, de Boer SA, Reijrink M, Kamphuisen PW, de Borst MH, Pol RA et al (2019) 18F-sodium fluoride positron emission tomography assessed microcalcifications in culprit and non-culprit human carotid plaques. J Nucl Cardiol 26:1064-1075

12. Golestani R, Zeebregts CJ, van Scheltinga AG, Hooge MN, van Dam GM, Glaudemans AW et al (2013) Feasibility of vascular endothelial growth factor imaging in human atherosclerotic plaque using (89)Zr-bevacizumab positron emission tomography. Mol Imaging 12:235-243
13. Meerwaldt R, Slart RH, van Dam GM, Luijckx GJ, Tio RA, Zeebregts CJ (2010) PET/SPECT imaging: From carotid vulnerability to brain viability. Eur J Radiol 74:104-109
14. Salvadori J, Odille F, Verger A, Olivier P, Karcher G, Marie PY et al (2020) Head-to-head comparison between digital and analog PET of human and phantom images when optimized for maximizing the signal-to-noise ratio from small lesions. EJNMMI Phys. 7:11