

University of Groningen

Applications and Perspectives of Cascade Reactions in Bacterial Infection Control

Li, Yuanfeng; Yang, Guang; Ren, Yijin; Shi, Linqi; Ma, Rujiang; van der Mei, Henny C; Busscher, Henk J

Published in:
Frontiers in Chemistry

DOI:
[10.3389/fchem.2019.00861](https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2019.00861)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Li, Y., Yang, G., Ren, Y., Shi, L., Ma, R., van der Mei, H. C., & Busscher, H. J. (2020). Applications and Perspectives of Cascade Reactions in Bacterial Infection Control. *Frontiers in Chemistry*, 7, [861]. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2019.00861>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.



Applications and Perspectives of Cascade Reactions in Bacterial Infection Control

Yuanfeng Li^{1,2†}, Guang Yang^{1,2†}, Yijin Ren³, Linqi Shi^{1*}, Rujiang Ma¹, Henny C. van der Mei^{2*} and Henk J. Busscher^{1,2*}

¹ State Key Laboratory of Medicinal Chemical Biology, Key Laboratory of Functional Polymer Materials, Ministry of Education, Institute of Polymer Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin, China, ² Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Groningen and University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands, ³ Department of Orthodontics, University of Groningen and University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Sergio F. Sousa,
University of Porto, Portugal

Reviewed by:

Geelsu Hwang,
University of Pennsylvania,
United States
Hyun Lee,
University of Illinois at Chicago,
United States

*Correspondence:

Linqi Shi
shilingqi@nankai.edu.cn
Henny C. van der Mei
h.c.van.der.mei@umcg.nl
Henk J. Busscher
h.j.busscher@umcg.nl

†These authors have contributed
equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Medicinal and Pharmaceutical
Chemistry,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Chemistry

Received: 23 September 2019

Accepted: 26 November 2019

Published: 08 January 2020

Citation:

Li Y, Yang G, Ren Y, Shi L, Ma R, van der Mei HC and Busscher HJ (2020) Applications and Perspectives of Cascade Reactions in Bacterial Infection Control. *Front. Chem.* 7:861. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2019.00861

Cascade reactions integrate two or more reactions, of which each subsequent reaction can only start when the previous reaction step is completed. Employing natural substrates in the human body such as glucose and oxygen, cascade reactions can generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) to kill tumor cells, but cascade reactions may also have potential as a direly needed, novel bacterial infection-control strategy. ROS can disintegrate the EPS matrix of infectious biofilm, disrupt bacterial cell membranes, and damage intra-cellular DNA. Application of cascade reactions producing ROS as a new infection-control strategy is still in its infancy. The main advantages for infection-control cascade reactions include the fact that they are non-antibiotic based and induction of ROS resistance is unlikely. However, the amount of ROS generated is generally low and antimicrobial efficacies reported are still far <3–4 log units necessary for clinical efficacy. Increasing the amounts of ROS generated by adding more substrate bears the risk of collateral damage to tissue surrounding an infection site. Collateral tissue damage upon increasing substrate concentrations may be prevented by locally increasing substrate concentrations, for instance, using smart nanocarriers. Smart, pH-responsive nanocarriers can self-target and accumulate in infectious biofilms from the blood circulation to confine ROS production inside the biofilm to yield long-term presence of ROS, despite the short lifetime (nanoseconds) of individual ROS molecules. Increasing bacterial killing efficacies using cascade reaction components containing nanocarriers constitutes a first, major challenge in the development of infection-control cascade reactions. Nevertheless, their use in combination with clinical antibiotic treatment may already yield synergistic effects, but this remains to be established for cascade reactions. Furthermore, specific patient groups possessing elevated levels of endogenous substrate (for instance, diabetic or cancer patients) may benefit from the use of cascade reaction components containing nanocarriers.

Keywords: bacterial biofilm, cascade reaction, infection, glucose, hydrogen peroxide, ROS

INTRODUCTION

Bacterial infections have threatened mankind ever since its first existence. Infection control gained a major success with the discovery of antibiotics in 1923. However, this success lasted less than a century, and toward the turn of the century, the first reports of antibiotic-resistant bacterial pathogens appeared (Davies and Davies, 2010). The latest new antibiotic class was discovered in 1986, after which shortening of the effective lifetime of new antibiotics, and financial and regulatory hurdles cooled down the passion of pharmaceutical companies to develop new antibiotics. It is estimated that the number of deaths attributable to antimicrobial-resistant bacterial infections will rise to 10 million per year by 2050, at a cost of more than US\$100 trillion (O'Neill, 2014).

An additional problem in infection control, next to antibiotic resistance, is the presentation of infectious bacteria in a biofilm mode of growth. In a biofilm mode of growth, adhering bacteria produce a matrix of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS), in which they protect themselves against the host immune system and environmental attacks, such as posed by UV exposure, pH changes, and antibiotics (Hall-Stoodley et al., 2004). The EPS matrix impedes penetration of antibiotics, yielding survival of bacteria residing in the depth of a biofilm, which necessitates long-term and high-dose antimicrobial treatment to eradicate infectious biofilms, not seldom followed by recurrence of the infection after treatment (Fux et al., 2005).

To counter the increasing threat of bacterial infections, numerous nanotechnology-based antimicrobials and smart, antimicrobial-delivery nanocarriers are being designed (Liu et al., 2019a), such as carbon quantum dots, graphene, gold, silver, iron oxide, or polymeric nanoparticles, including micelles or antimicrobial dendrimers. However, the antimicrobial efficacy of many new nanoparticles are insignificant for clinical usage and only achieve about 90% reductions in bacterial viability (1 log unit), while a minimum of 99.9–99.99% (3–4 log units) is required to achieve any clinical efficacy (Liu X. et al., 2019). ROS are sometimes called “the sword of nanotechnology,” and taking lessons from cancer therapy, several methods have become available to generate ROS (Sun et al., 2014; Liu X. et al., 2019). ROS produced by different types of nanoparticles in combination with the addition of external H₂O₂ (Gao et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2018; Naha et al., 2019) has been shown to be effective in biofilm eradication. However, the use of cascade reactions to counter bacterial infections through ROS production using endogenously present substrate, i.e., without addition of external H₂O₂, has not yet been extensively considered.

A cascade reaction, also called tandem or domino reaction, is a chemical process that integrates at least two reactions, of which each subsequent reaction can only start when the previous reaction step is completed (Ricca et al., 2011). Enzymatic cascade reactions combine a series of enzymatic substrate transformations to produce a final product. In liner cascade reactions, the product of the first enzymatic reaction becomes the substrate of a second reaction (Ricca et al., 2011). Enzymatic cascade reactions widely occur in living organisms (Ricca et al., 2011). Photosynthesis and aerobic respiration, for instance,

are enzymatic cascade reactions producing carbohydrates and carbon dioxide, respectively. Industrially, enzymatic cascade reactions are used in the one-pot synthesis of chemicals. Liner cascade reactions are the most widely used type of cascade reaction in cancer and infection therapy. Using naturally occurring substrates in the human body such as endogenous glucose and oxygen, cascade reactions can be used to produce highly toxic ROS (Figure 1A) (Yan et al., 2018), as an anti-cancer drug (Li et al., 2017) or antimicrobial (André et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2017; Liu X. et al., 2019) (Figure 1B).

In this review, we will focus on recent progress in the design of cascade reactions as a new strategy in infection control, taking lessons from current developments aimed toward using cascade reactions in cancer therapy. Use of cascade reactions for infection control is slowly emerging, yet offering equal or more perspective than new antibiotics or non-ROS-based infection-control strategies, because hitherto bacterial resistance to ROS has not been reported and is generally considered highly unlikely to develop.

CHEMISTRY OF CASCADE REACTIONS

In this section, different kinds of cascade reactions are classified by substrate. The conditions and mechanisms to achieve these cascade reactions are summarized.


Glucose and Oxygen


Glucose is the most important carbohydrate in the human body and can yield gluconic acid and H₂O₂ upon reaction with oxygen (Itskov and Carlos, 2013; Fan et al., 2017), according to



The production of H₂O₂ according to reaction (1) can be catalyzed by glucose oxidase (GOx), as the first reaction in a liner cascade reaction (Figure 1A). This catalytic process can be divided into a reductive and oxidative half-reaction, as shown in Figure 2A. In the reductive half-reaction, β-D-glucose loses two electrons to form δ-gluconolactone, which is subsequently hydrolyzed to gluconic acid. After receiving two electrons from the reductive half-reaction, the flavin ring of GOx becomes reduced to FADH₂ after which, in the oxidative half-reaction, the same two electrons transferred from GOx-FADH₂ to oxygen yield H₂O₂ and GOx in an oxidized state (Witt et al., 2000). Reaction (1) can also be catalyzed by gold nanoparticles and modified graphitic carbon nitride, as shown schematically in Figures 2B,C, respectively.

In the second reaction of the cascade, different artificial, catalytic nanoparticles can be employed to transform H₂O₂ into ·OH, ¹O₂ (singlet oxygen), and ·O₂⁻ (Cho et al., 2019). These catalytic nanoparticles include AuNPs (Zhang et al., 2019), iron oxide (Fan et al., 2012; Duan et al., 2015; Gao et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2018; Naha et al., 2019), silver halides (Wang et al., 2014), platinum (Liu X. et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2018), cerium oxide (Niu et al., 2007; Celardo et al., 2011), vanadium oxide (André et al., 2011), 2D metallo-porphyrinic metal-organic framework (MOF) nanosheets (Huang et al., 2017), and molybdenum








FIGURE 3 | The mechanism of NO generation through reaction between L-arginine and H₂O₂ (Fan et al., 2017).

disulfide (MoS₂) nanoflowers (Yin et al., 2016). Metal-free artificial catalysts include modified carbon nitride (Yin et al., 2016), graphene oxide (Song et al., 2010), and graphene quantum dots (Sun et al., 2014; Duan et al., 2015). Importantly, these catalytic nanoparticles can be functionally modified to enhance their catalytic activity. However, mechanisms to transform H₂O₂ into ·OH, ¹O₂, and ·O₂⁻ are different for different artificial catalytic nanoparticles. Proposed catalytic mechanisms for iron oxide nanoparticles and V₂O₅ nanowires are summarized in **Figures 2D,E** (Natalio et al., 2012). Light irradiation can also be used as a catalytic mediator to split H₂O₂ into two ·OH (Chang et al., 2017).

Glucose and L-arginine

In another cascade reaction involving glucose (**Figure 1A**), L-arginine can be employed to produce highly toxic nitric oxide (NO) by oxidation of the guanidino function of L-arginine (see also **Figure 3**). Interestingly, gluconic acid, as a by-product in reaction (1), leads to a pH decrease, accelerating L-arginine catalytic transformation of H₂O₂ into NO (Fan et al., 2017).



H₂O₂

H₂O₂ can also act as a substrate for generating ¹O₂ in cascade reactions, as catalyzed in the first cascade reaction by catalase, a tetrameric heme protein (**Figure 4A**) (Alfonso-Prieto et al., 2009) or artificial, catalytic nanoparticles, such as cerium oxide nanoparticles (**Figure 4B**) (Herget et al., 2017). In the second reaction, also light can be used to transform O₂ into singlet oxygen, which requires the presence of a suitable photosensitizer (Li et al., 2017), such as tetrapyrroles (absorption band around 400 nm), porphyrins (absorption band around 630 nm), chlorins (absorption band around 650–690 nm), or “bacteriochlorins” with an absorption band shifted further into the red (Castano et al., 2004).

H₂O₂ and halides


In the presence of H₂O₂ and halides X⁻ [such as chloride (Cl⁻) or bromide (Br⁻) ions], vanadium peroxidase (see **Figure 5A**), V₂O₅ nanowires (Natalio et al., 2012) (**Figure 5B**), and CeO_{2-x} nanorods (Herget et al., 2017) (**Figure 5C**) can also be used in the first cascade reaction [see reaction (2)], followed by the second

reaction [reaction (3)]



H₂O and CaO₂


An H₂O₂-free cascade reaction is based on water (H₂O) and calcium peroxide (CaO₂), as substrates (**Figure 1A**) according to Yan et al. (2018)



CaO₂ reacts with H₂O through a redox reaction, in which CaO₂ as a reducing agent loses four electrons. After obtaining the corresponding electrons, H₂O is oxidized to H₂O₂ after which, in the second reaction of the cascade, H₂O₂ can be transformed into ·OH, ¹O₂ and ·O₂⁻ by any of the reactions described in sections Glucose and Oxygen and Glucose and L-Arginine.

APPLICATION OF CASCADE REACTIONS IN CANCER THERAPY

The main substrates and enzymes in cascade reactions producing ROS that are currently considered for anti-tumor therapy involve glucose and oxygen, glucose and L-arginine, or H₂O₂/Cl⁻ as substrates (**Figure 6**). GOx and Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles have been contained into dendritic silica nanocarriers to initiate a cascade reaction, using endogenous glucose present in tumor cells to generate H₂O₂ (Huo et al., 2017). As a second step, the Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles catalyze H₂O₂ into highly toxic ·OH. *In vivo*, this cascade reaction demonstrated suppression of mammary tumor growth. Instead of using GOx and Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles in dendritic silica to produce ROS (hydroxyl radicals), GOx and catalase have also been integrated in MOF nanocarriers (Li et al., 2017). Here, as the second step in the cascade reaction, H₂O₂ produced endogenously or from oxidation of glucose by GOx, is converted to oxygen by catalase. After that, singlet oxygen (¹O₂) is generated under 660-nm light irradiation (Li et al., 2017). Alternatively, another cascade reaction involving photodynamics based on one enzyme was described (Chang et al., 2017), in which GOx




was conjugated to polymer dots to convert glucose into H_2O_2 . Under light irradiation, the H_2O_2 generated was photolyzed to hydroxyl radicals.

Glucose and L-arginine have also been used as substrates in cascade reactions to produce NO (see also **Figure 6**) in order to kill tumor cells (Fan et al., 2017). To this end, GOx and L-arginine have been contained in hollow, mesoporous organosilica nanocarriers, and after generation of H_2O_2 from glucose present in tumor sites using GOx, reaction of H_2O_2 with

L-arginine generated NO, which was shown to prolong the life of tumor-bearing mice.

Finally, endogenous $\cdot\text{O}_2^-$ and Cl^- have been used as substrates in cascade reactions (Wang et al., 2014). Using superoxide dismutase (SOD) and chloroperoxidase (CPO), endogenous $\cdot\text{O}_2^-$ can be catalyzed by SOD to H_2O_2 , which is subsequently further oxidized with the aid of Cl^- and CPO to $^1\text{O}_2$. This cascade reaction showed negligible toxicity to healthy cells, but was highly toxic to tumor cells.



APPLICATION OF CASCADE REACTIONS FOR BACTERIAL INFECTION CONTROL: LESSONS FROM TUMOR TREATMENT

Based on the similarity between tumor sites and bacterial biofilms (Table 1), we can take lessons from the cascade reactions considered for tumor therapy, as shown in Figure 6. All endogenous substrates used for cancer therapy are available in bacterial infection sites, and ROS shows highly toxic toward all bacterial pathogens, regardless of their Gram character (Vatansever et al., 2013). Therefore, cascade reactions are nowadays started to be considered as an alternative strategy for the control of infectious biofilms. The number of studies applying cascade reaction for bacterial infection control is limited however (see overview in Table 2), but their results

warrant analysis and offer future perspective that are new for infection control.

Cascade Reactions Considered for Bacterial Infection Control

Application of cascade reactions as a new infection-control strategy is currently in its infancy, and to our knowledge, only four studies so far have considered the use of cascade reactions for infection control (see Table 2) that employ different nanocarriers for the cascade reaction components.

Two cascade reactions based on glucose and O₂ as substrate have been evaluated for their antimicrobial activity. GOX absorbed in ultrathin two-dimensional (2D) MOF nanosheet carriers (Liu X. et al., 2019), *in vitro* generated ROS that killed planktonic *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*








TABLE 1 | Similarities between tumor sites and infectious biofilms, stimulating the application of cascade reactions in infectious bacterial biofilms, taking lessons from their application in tumor treatment.


Similarity	Tumor site	Infectious biofilm
Hampered transport	Tumors larger than 2 mm ³ , limit oxygen diffusion (Trédan et al., 2007; Danhier et al., 2010).	The EPS matrix limits transport of nutrients to the depth of a biofilm (Flemming et al., 2007; Billings et al., 2015).
Acidic pH	Acidic pH between 6.0 and 7.0 (Justus et al., 2013).	Acidic pH < 6.0 (Koo et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2019a).
Endogenous substrate availability	High killing efficacy of ROS toward tumor cells (Postiglione et al., 2011).	ROS can destruct the EPS matrix and kill biofilm bacteria (Walch et al., 2015).
Clinical treatment	Occurrence of resistance against chemotherapeutics, recurrence of tumor growth (Mansoori et al., 2017).	Occurrence of resistance against antimicrobials, recurrence of infection (Aslam et al., 2018).

after 5 h incubation with 15 mM glucose in the growth medium. Bacterial killing was far lower (88 and 90% for *E. coli* and *S. aureus*, respectively) than the 99.9–99.99% efficacy limit considered to be required for clinical efficacy (Liu et al., 2019a). However, when locally applied as a 2D MOF/GOx band-aid on infected (3×10^7 CFU/site *S. aureus*) wounds in mice, mice treated with 2D MOF/GOx band-aids containing 50 μ l of a 10 mM glucose solution showed faster wound healing after 3 days of treatment, retrieving less (91% reduction) CFUs than when treated with blank band-aids.

Glucose and O₂ were also used as substrates in combination with another peroxidase, hemoglobin (Hb) to catalyze H₂O₂ into \cdot OH. Cascade reaction components were contained in MnCO₃ nanocarriers (Li et al., 2019). In growth medium supplemented with glucose to a concentration of 12.5 mM, i.e., substantially higher than endogenously occurring (before eating <6.1 mM; Stumvoll et al., 2005), GOx-Hb nanocarriers yielded 7 log unit inhibition in planktonic MRSA growth (Figure 7). In addition, biomass analysis based on Crystal Violet staining indicated the ability of GOx-Hb nanocarriers

TABLE 2 | Summary of cascade reactions considered for infection control, including substrates required, nanocarriers of cascade reaction components, types of ROS generated, and antimicrobial activities observed.

Substrate	Nanocarrier	ROS	Antimicrobial activity	References
	 <p>GOx and 2D MOF nanosheet loaded band-aids</p>	·OH	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Against planktonic <i>S. aureus</i> and <i>E. coli</i> - Improved infected wound healing in mice 	Liu X. et al., 2019
Glucose/Oxygen	 <p>GOx and hemoglobin cross-linked carriers</p>	·OH	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Against planktonic MRSA - Inhibiting MRSA biofilm formation 	Li et al., 2019
CaO ₂ /H ₂ O	 <p>CaO₂ and hemin-graphene loaded alginate particles</p>	·OH	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Against planktonic <i>S. aureus</i> and <i>E. coli</i> - Inhibiting <i>S. aureus</i> biofilm formation - Dispersing <i>S. aureus</i> biofilm - <i>In vivo</i> implant-related periprosthetic infection of mice 	Yan et al., 2018
H ₂ O ₂ /Br ⁻	 <p>V₂O₅ nanowires coating</p>	¹ O ₂	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Against planktonic <i>S. aureus</i> and <i>E. coli</i> - Inhibiting <i>S. aureus</i>, and <i>E. coli</i> biofilm formation 	Natalio et al., 2012



to inhibit MRSA biofilm formation during 48 h exposure to GOx-Hb and incubation in glucose supplemented growth medium. Nanocarriers containing denatured GOx did not inhibit biofilm formation.

A cascade reaction using CaO_2 and H_2O as substrates to generate hydroxyl radicals was fabricated by containing CaO_2 and hemin-carrying graphene into alginate (Yan et al., 2018). Importantly, these alginate nanocarriers did not contain any H_2O_2 as a substrate and CaO_2 and H_2O were locally converted into ROS. Planktonically, these nanocarriers yielded relatively low killing of *S. aureus* and *E. coli* (90 and 95%, respectively, after 6 h exposure). Also, 72-h *S. aureus* biofilm growth in the presence of the cascade reaction components containing nanocarriers was low, yielding <5- μm -thick biofilms vs. 25 μm in their absence. In addition, exposure of an existing *S. aureus* biofilm to the cascade reaction components containing nanocarriers eradicated 81% of its inhabitants. Interestingly, these cascade reaction components containing nanocarriers caused biofilm dispersal, degrading DNA and proteins, as major components of the EPS-matrix holding a biofilm together. Finally, *S. aureus*-infected wounds (1×10^5 CFU/site) in rats gradually healed after 7 days (>90% bacterial killing) when treated with these alginate nanocarriers, with obvious swelling and pus formation in control groups.

H_2O_2 has also been used in combination with Br^- as substrates in the presence of V_2O_5 nanowires, with an intended, initial use in the marine environment (Natalio et al., 2012). V_2O_5 nanowires can work as natural haloperoxidases to transform bromide ions to hypobromous acid (HOBr) in the presence of H_2O_2 (Natalio et al., 2012). The antimicrobial activity against human pathogens was low, however, decreasing planktonic growth of *E. coli* by only 78% and of *S. aureus* by only 96% in the presence of V_2O_5 nanowires (0.075 mg/ml), Br_2 (1 mM), and

H_2O_2 (10 μM), and as compared to bacteria grown in the absence of additives.

Advantages and Disadvantages of Cascade Reactions for Biofilm Control

The main advantages for infection-control cascade reactions include the fact that they are non-antibiotic based. Bacteria possess little or no resistance against ROS, although some bacterial species can develop resistance against specific ROS species, such as $\cdot\text{O}_2^-$ and H_2O_2 , but not against $\cdot\text{OH}$ and $^1\text{O}_2$ (Vatansever et al., 2013). Often, however, different species of ROS are generated at the same time in catalytic reactions. ROS as generated in cascade reactions kills both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial strains in a non-specific way. On the one hand, this may be considered an advantage, but on the other hand, the commensal microflora can also be affected, unless the cascade reaction can be localized in or near the infection site. Although nanocarriers and artificial enzymes used in cascade reactions are relatively easy to synthesize and modify, confining ROS generation to an infection site may be more troublesome.

As a drawback of the current status of cascade reactions, the amount of ROS generated is generally low and antimicrobial efficacies reported are below the limit of 3–4 log units considered necessary for clinical efficacy (Yan et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019a). Although the amount of ROS generated can be enhanced by adding more substrate (Fan et al., 2017), this needs to be done carefully in order to prevent collateral damage to tissue surrounding an infection site. This, too, requires confining of ROS generation to an infection site.

PERSPECTIVES OF THE USE OF CASCADE REACTIONS FOR INFECTION CONTROL

The antimicrobial activity of ROS (Rowe et al., 2008; Cadet and Wagner, 2013; Vatansever et al., 2013; Gao et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2018; Yan et al., 2018) and the potential advantages of the use of cascade reaction as a new infection-control strategy warrant their further development for infection control, but several challenges have to be overcome before their clinical use becomes into sight. The biggest challenge in the further development of infection-control cascade reactions is to increase their bacterial killing efficacy to levels that can be expected to be clinically effective (i.e., minimally 3-log unit reductions in CFUs, equal to minimal percentage reductions of 99.9–99.99%). This should preferentially be done using endogenously available substrates, but this option should be carefully balanced against the potential damage that high concentrations of ROS can do to tissue cells surrounding an infection site (Li et al., 2019). Alternatively, additional substrate can be locally administered to increase local ROS generation, which may reduce collateral tissue damage. Collateral tissue cell damage might be fully prevented by using self-targeting, pH-responsive nanocarriers that penetrate and accumulate in infectious biofilms (Liu Y. et al., 2016), to confine the occurrence of the cascade reaction and generation of ROS to inside the

biofilm itself. A further advantage of such confinement would be that it will create long-term presence of ROS in a biofilm to enhance bacterial killing, despite the short lifetime (nanoseconds) of individual ROS molecules, arguably too short to yield effective bacterial killing.

Nevertheless, cascade reactions generating relatively low levels of ROS might still be clinically useful in combination with clinically applied antibiotics to enhance their fading efficacies (Nguyen et al., 2016). Synergistic action between different antimicrobials is a common phenomenon, and it is known to even yield killing of bacterial pathogens that are resistant to either of the antimicrobials when applied in monotherapy (Klahn and Brönstrup, 2017). This approach bears advantages for downward clinical translation of infection-control cascade reactions, because it builds on existing clinically applied antibiotics, from which further development of infection-control cascade reactions can be facilitated more easily. Moreover, it might increase the effective lifetime of current and new antibiotics to be developed (Liu et al., 2019b), with the potential to reduce the induction of antibiotic resistance (Mao et al., 2018).

As a final perspective of infection-control cascade reactions, specific patient groups with elevated endogenous substrate levels may benefit from cascade reactions. Diabetic patients, for instance, can suffer from difficult-to-heal infected wounds and have elevated glucose levels (ranging from ≥ 7.0 mM before to ≥ 11.1 mM after eating) compared with healthy glucose levels (ranging from < 5.6 mM before to 7.8 mM after eating) (Stummvoll et al., 2005). Local generation of ROS through suitable cascade reactions may be extremely suitable for treating diabetic

foot ulcers that have been demonstrated to be caused by hard-to-treat infectious biofilms (Neut et al., 2011). Cancer patients are another specific patient group for which infection-control cascade reactions could be useful. There is growing evidence that bacteria can metabolize chemotherapeutic drugs (Geller et al., 2017). Accordingly, intra-tumor bacteria may contribute to resistance against chemotherapeutic drugs among certain tumors. Since tumor sites possess elevated levels of H_2O_2 (50–100 μ M around a tumor site vs. 20 nM elsewhere in the body) (De Garcia Lux et al., 2012), infection-control cascade reactions based on endogenous H_2O_2 substrate availability may play a role for this specific patient groups in treating tumor-associated infections.

In short, cascade reactions have potential as a new infection control strategy, but much work remains to be done to solve the challenges listed above in order to make cascade reactions into a real clinical addendum to the antimicrobial armamentarium of modern medicine.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct and intellectual contribution to the work, and approved it for publication.

FUNDING

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21620102005, 51933006, and 51773099).

REFERENCES

- Alfonso-Prieto, M., Biarnes, X., Vidossich, P., and Rovira, C. (2009). The molecular mechanism of the catalase reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 131, 11751–11761. doi: 10.1021/ja9018572
- André, R., Natálio, F., Humanes, M., Leppin, J., Heinze, K., Wever, R., et al. (2011). V_2O_5 Nanowires with an intrinsic peroxidase-like activity. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 21, 501–509. doi: 10.1002/adfm.201001302
- Aslam, B., Wang, W., Arshad, M. I., Khurshid, M., Muzammil, S., Rasool, M. H., et al. (2018). Antibiotic resistance: a rundown of a global crisis. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 11, 1645–1658. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S173867
- Billings, N., Birjiniuk, A., Samad, T. S., Doyle, P. S., and Ribbeck, K. (2015). Material properties of biofilms—a review of methods for understanding permeability and mechanics. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 78:036601. doi: 10.1088/0034-4885/78/3/036601
- Cadet, J., and Wagner, J. R. (2013). DNA base damage by reactive oxygen species, oxidizing agents, and UV radiation. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 5:a12559. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a012559
- Castano, A. P., Demidova, T. N., and Hamblin, M. R. (2004). Mechanisms in photodynamic therapy: part one—photosensitizers, photochemistry and cellular localization. *Photodlang. Photodyn.* 1, 279–293. doi: 10.1016/S1572-1000(05)00007-4
- Celardo, I., Pedersen, J. Z., Traversa, E., and Ghibelli, L. (2011). Pharmacological potential of cerium oxide nanoparticles. *Nanoscale* 3, 1411–1420. doi: 10.1039/c0nr00875c
- Chang, K., Liu, Z., Fang, X., Chen, H., Men, X., Yuan, Y., et al. (2017). Enhanced phototherapy by nanoparticle-enzyme via generation and photolysis of hydrogen peroxide. *Nano Lett.* 17, 4323–4329. doi: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.7b01382
- Cho, M., Blatchley, M., Duh, E. J., and Gerecht, S. (2019). Acellular and cellular approaches to improve diabetic wound healing. *Adv. Drug Deliver. Rev.* 146, 267–288. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2018.07.019
- Comotti, M., Della Pina, C., Falletta, E., and Rossi, M. (2006). Aerobic oxidation of glucose with gold catalyst: hydrogen peroxide as intermediate and reagent. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 348, 313–316. doi: 10.1002/adsc.200505389
- Danhier, F., Feron, O., and Preat, V. (2010). To exploit the tumor microenvironment: passive and active tumor targeting of nanocarriers for anti-cancer drug delivery. *J. Control. Release* 148, 135–146. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2010.08.027
- Davies, J., and Davies, D. (2010). Origins and evolution of antibiotic resistance. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 74, 417–433. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00016-10
- De Garcia Lux, C., Joshi-Barr, S., Nguyen, T., Mahmoud, E., Schopf, E., Fomina, N., et al. (2012). Biocompatible polymeric nanoparticles degrade and release cargo in response to biologically relevant levels of hydrogen peroxide. *JACS* 134, 15758–15764. doi: 10.1021/ja303372u
- Duan, D., Fan, K., Zhang, D., Tan, S., Liang, M., Liu, Y., et al. (2015). Nanozymestrip for rapid local diagnosis of Ebola. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 74, 134–141. doi: 10.1016/j.bios.2015.05.025
- Fan, K., Cao, C., Pan, Y., Lu, D., Yang, D., Feng, J., et al. (2012). Magnetaferitin nanoparticles for targeting and visualizing tumour tissues. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 7, 459–464. doi: 10.1038/nnano.2012.90
- Fan, W., Lu, N., Huang, P., Liu, Y., Yang, Z., Wang, S., et al. (2017). Glucose-responsive sequential generation of hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide for synergistic cancer starving-like/gas therapy. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 56, 1229–1233. doi: 10.1002/anie.201610682
- Flemming, H.-C., Neu, T. R., and Wozniak, D. J. (2007). The EPS matrix: the “House of biofilm cells.” *J. Bacteriol.* 189, 7945–7947. doi: 10.1128/JB.00858-07

- Fux, C. A., Costerton, J. W., Stewart, P. S., and Stoodley, P. (2005). Survival strategies of infectious biofilms. *Trends Microbiol.* 13, 34–40. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2004.11.010
- Gao, L., Liu, Y., Kim, D., Li, Y., Hwang, G., Naha, P. C., et al. (2016). Nanocatalysts promote *Streptococcus mutans* biofilm matrix degradation and enhance bacterial killing to suppress dental caries *in vivo*. *Biomaterials* 101, 272–284. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2016.05.051
- Geller, L. T., Barzily-Rokni, M., Danino, T., Jonas, O. H., Shental, N., Nejman, D., et al. (2017). Potential role of intratumor bacteria in mediating tumor resistance to the chemotherapeutic drug gemcitabine. *Science* 357, 1156–1160. doi: 10.1126/science.aah5043
- Hall-Stoodley, L., Costerton, J. W., and Stoodley, P. (2004). Bacterial biofilms: from the natural environment to infectious diseases. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2, 95–108. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro821
- Herget, K., Hubach, P., Pusch, S., Deglmann, P., Götz, H., Gorelik, T. E., et al. (2017). Haloperoxidase mimicry by CeO₂-x nanorods combats biofouling. *Adv. Mater.* 29:1603823. doi: 10.1002/adma.201603823
- Huang, Y., Zhao, M., Han, S., Lai, Z., Yang, J., Tan, C., et al. (2017). Growth of Au nanoparticles on 2D metalloporphyrinic metal-organic framework nanosheets used as biomimetic catalysts for cascade reactions. *Adv. Mater.* 29:1700102. doi: 10.1002/adma.201700102
- Huo, M., Wang, L., Chen, Y., and Shi, J. (2017). Tumor-selective catalytic nanomedicine by nanocatalyst delivery. *Nat. Commun.* 8:357. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-00424-8
- Itskov, P. M., and Carlos, R. (2013). The dilemmas of the gourmet fly: the molecular and neuronal mechanisms of feeding and nutrient decision making in *Drosophila*. *Front. Neurosci.* 7:12. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2013.00012
- Justus, C. R., Dong, L., and Yang, L. V. (2013). Acidic tumor microenvironment and pH-sensing G protein-coupled receptors. *Front. Physiol.* 4:354. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2013.00354
- Klahn, P., and Brönstrup, M. (2017). Bifunctional antimicrobial conjugates and hybrid antimicrobials. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 34, 832–885. doi: 10.1039/C7NP00006E
- Koo, H., Allan, R. N., Howlin, R. P., Stoodley, P., and Hall-Stoodley, L. (2017). Targeting microbial biofilms: current and prospective therapeutic strategies. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 15, 740–755. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro.2017.99
- Li, S. Y., Cheng, H., Xie, B. R., Qiu, W. X., Zeng, J. Y., Li, C. X., et al. (2017). Cancer cell membrane camouflaged cascade bioreactor for cancer targeted starvation and photodynamic therapy. *ACS Nano* 11, 7006–7018. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.7b02533
- Li, T., Li, J., Pang, Q., Ma, L., Tong, W., and Gao, C. (2019). Construction of microreactors for cascade reaction and their potential applications as antibacterial agents. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interf.* 11, 6789–6795. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.8b20069
- Ligtenberg, A. G. J., Hage, R., and Feringa, B. L. (2003). Catalytic oxidations by vanadium complexes. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 237, 89–101. doi: 10.1016/S0010-8545(02)00308-9
- Liu, X., Yan, Z., Zhang, Y., Liu, Z., Sun, Y., Ren, J., et al. (2019). Two-dimensional metal-organic framework/enzyme hybrid nanocatalyst as a benign and self-activated cascade reagent for *in vivo* wound healing. *ACS Nano* 13, 5222–5230. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.8b09501
- Liu, X., Zhang, Z., Zhang, Y., Guan, Y., Liu, Z., Ren, J., et al. (2016). Artificial metalloenzyme-based enzyme replacement therapy for the treatment of hyperuricemia. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 26, 7921–7928. doi: 10.1002/adfm.201602932
- Liu, Y., Busscher, H. J., Zhao, B., Li, Y., Zhang, Z., Van der Mei, H. C., et al. (2016). Surface-adaptive, antimicrobially loaded, micellar nanocarriers with enhanced penetration and killing efficiency in staphylococcal biofilms. *ACS Nano* 10, 4779–4789. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.6b01370
- Liu, Y., Naha, P. C., Hwang, G., Kim, D., Huang, Y., Simon-Soro, A., et al. (2018). Topical ferumoxytol nanoparticles disrupt biofilms and prevent tooth decay *in vivo* via intrinsic catalytic activity. *Nat. Commun.* 9:2920. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-05342-x
- Liu, Y., Shi, L., Su, L., Van der Mei, H. C., Jutte, P. C., Ren, Y., et al. (2019a). Nanotechnology-based antimicrobials and delivery systems for biofilm-infection control. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 48, 428–446. doi: 10.1039/C7CS00807D
- Liu, Y., Shi, L., Van der Mei, H. C., Ren, Y., and Busscher, H. J. (2019b). “Perspectives on and need to develop new infection control methods”, in *Racing for the Surface: Pathogenesis of Implant Infection and Advanced Antimicrobial Strategies*, eds B. Li, T. F. Moriarty, T. Webster, and M. Xing (Cham: Springer).
- Liu, Y., Van der Mei, H. C., Zhao, B., Zhai, Y., Cheng, T., Li, Y., et al. (2017). Eradication of multidrug-resistant staphylococcal infections by light-activatable micellar nanocarriers in a murine model. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 27:1701974. doi: 10.1002/adfm.201701974
- Mansoori, B., Mohammadi, A., Davudian, S., Shirjang, S., and Baradaran, B. (2017). The different mechanisms of cancer drug resistance: a brief review. *Adv. Pharm. Bull.* 7, 339–348. doi: 10.15171/apb.2017.041
- Mao, D., Hu, F., Kenry, J., Wu, W., Ding, D., et al. (2018). Metal-organic-framework-assisted *in vivo* bacterial metabolic labeling and precise antibacterial therapy. *Adv. Mater.* 30:1706831. doi: 10.1002/adma.201706831
- Naha, P. C., Liu, Y., Hwang, G., Huang, Y., Gubara, S., Jonnakuti, V., et al. (2019). Dextran-coated iron oxide nanoparticles as biomimetic catalysts for localized and pH-activated biofilm disruption. *ACS Nano* 13, 4960–4971. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.8b08702
- Natalio, F., André, R., Hartog, A. F., Stoll, B., Jochum, K. P., Wever, R., et al. (2012). Vanadium pentoxide nanoparticles mimic vanadium haloperoxidases and thwart biofilm formation. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 7, 530–535. doi: 10.1038/nnano.2012.91
- Neut, D., Tijdens-Creusen, E. J. A., Bulstra, S. K., Van der Mei, H. C., and Busscher, H. J. (2011). Biofilms in chronic diabetic foot ulcers—a study of 2 cases. *Acta Orthop.* 82, 383–385. doi: 10.3109/17453674.2011.581265
- Nguyen, T. K., Selvanayagam, R., Ho, K. K. K., Chen, R., Kutty, S. K., Rice, S. A., et al. (2016). Co-delivery of nitric oxide and antibiotic using polymeric nanoparticles. *Chem. Sci.* 7:1016. doi: 10.1039/C5SC02769A
- Niu, J., Azfer, A., Rogers, L. M., Wang, X., and Kolattukudy, P. E. (2007). Cardioprotective effects of cerium oxide nanoparticles in a transgenic murine model of cardiomyopathy. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 73, 549–559. doi: 10.1016/j.cardiores.2006.11.031
- O’Neill, J. (2014). *Antimicrobial Resistance: Tackling a Crisis for the Health and Wealth of Nations. Review on Antimicrobial Resistance*. Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public Licence.
- Postiglione, I., Chiaviello, A., and Palumbo, G. (2011). Enhancing photodynamic therapy efficacy by combination therapy: dated, current and oncoming strategies. *Cancers* 3, 2597–2629. doi: 10.3390/cancers3022597
- Ricca, E., Brucher, B., and Schrittwieser, J. H. (2011). Multi-enzymatic cascade reactions: overview and perspectives. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 353, 2239–2262. doi: 10.1002/adsc.201100256
- Rowe, L. A., Degtareva, N., and Doetsch, P. W. (2008). DNA damage-induced reactive oxygen species (ROS) stress response in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* 45, 1167–1177. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2008.07.018
- Song, Y., Qu, K., Zhao, C., Ren, J., and Qu, X. (2010). Graphene oxide: intrinsic peroxidase catalytic activity and its application to glucose detection. *Adv. Mater.* 22, 2206–2210. doi: 10.1002/adma.200903783
- Stumvoll, M., Goldstein, B. J., and Van Haefen, T. W. (2005). Type 2 diabetes: principles of pathogenesis and therapy. *Lancet* 365, 1333–1346. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)61032-X
- Sun, H., Gao, N., Dong, K., Ren, J., and Qu, X. (2014). Graphene quantum dots-band-aids used for wound disinfection. *ACS Nano* 8, 6202–6210. doi: 10.1021/nn501640q
- Trédan, O., Galmardini, C. M., Patel, K., and Tannock, I. F. (2007). Drug resistance and the solid tumor microenvironment. *J. Natl. Cancer. Inst.* 99, 1441–1454. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djm135
- Vatanever, F., De Melo, W. C. M. A., Avci, P., Vecchio, D., Sadasivam, M., Gupta, A., et al. (2013). Antimicrobial strategies centered around reactive oxygen species- bactericidal antibiotics, photodynamic therapy, and beyond. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 37, 955–989. doi: 10.1111/1574-6976.12026
- Walch, M., Dotiwala, F., Mulik, S., Thiery, J., Kirchhausen, T., Clayberger, C., et al. (2015). Cytotoxic cells kill intracellular bacteria through granulysin-mediated delivery of granzymes. *Cell* 161, 1229–1229. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.05.021
- Wang, G. L., Xu, X. F., Qiu, L., Dong, Y. M., Li, Z. J., and Zhang, C. (2014). Dual responsive enzyme mimicking activity of AgX (X=Cl, Br, I) nanoparticles and its application for cancer cell detection. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interf.* 6, 6434–6442. doi: 10.1021/am501830v

- Wang, N., Zhu, L., Wang, D., Wang, M., Lin, Z., and Tang, H. (2010). Sono-assisted preparation of highly-efficient peroxidase-like Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanoparticles for catalytic removal of organic pollutants with H₂O₂. *Ultrasonic Sonochem.* 17, 526–533. doi: 10.1016/j.ultsonch.2009.11.001
- Wang, T. Y., Libardo, M. D. J., Angeles-Boza, A. M., and Pellois, J. P. (2017). Membrane oxidation in cell delivery and cell killing applications. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 12, 1170–1182. doi: 10.1021/acscchembio.7b00237
- Witt, S., Wohlfahrt, G., Schomburg, D., Hecht, H. J., and Kalisz, H. M. (2000). Conserved arginine-516 of *Penicillium amagasakiense* glucose oxidase is essential for the efficient binding of beta-D-glucose. *Biochem. J.* 347, 553–559. doi: 10.1042/bj3470553
- Wu, R., Chong, Y., Fang, G., Jiang, X., Pan, Y., Chen, C., et al. (2018). Synthesis of Pt hollow nanodendrites with enhanced peroxidase-like activity against bacterial infections: implication for wound healing. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 28:1801484. doi: 10.1002/adfm.201801484
- Yan, Z., Bing, W., Ding, C., Dong, K., Ren, J., and Qu, X. (2018). A H₂O₂-free depot for treating bacterial infection: localized cascade reactions to eradicate biofilms *in vivo*. *Nanoscale* 10, 17656–17662. doi: 10.1039/C8NR03963A
- Yin, W., Yu, J., Lv, F., Yan, L., Zheng, L. R., Gu, Z., et al. (2016). Functionalized nano-MoS₂ with peroxidase catalytic and near-infrared photothermal activities for safe and synergetic wound antibacterial applications. *ACS Nano* 10, 11000–11011. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.6b05810
- Zhang, P., Sun, D., Cho, A., Weon, S., Lee, S., Lee, J., et al. (2019). Modified carbon nitride nanozyme as bifunctional glucose oxidase-peroxidase for metal-free bioinspired cascade photocatalysis. *Nat. Commun.* 10:940. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-08731-y

Conflict of Interest: HB is also director of a consulting company, SASA BV.

The remaining authors declare no conflicts of interest with respect to authorship and/or publication of this article. Opinions and assertions contained herein are those of the authors and are not construed as necessarily representing views of their respective employers.

Copyright © 2020 Li, Yang, Ren, Shi, Ma, van der Mei and Busscher. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.