



## University of Groningen

## Intension/Extension in Emily Elizabeth Constance Jones (1848-1922)

Peijnenburg, Jeanne; Ostertag, Gary

Published in:

The Encyclopedia of Concise Concepts by Women Philosophers

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Peijnenburg, J., & Ostertag, G. (2019). Intension/Extension in Emily Elizabeth Constance Jones (1848-1922). In M. E. Waithe, & R. Hagengruber (Eds.), *The Encyclopedia of Concise Concepts by Women Philosophers* Paderborn University. https://historyofwomenphilosophers.org/ecc/#hwps

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Download date: 04-06-2022

# Encyclopedia of Concise Concepts by Women Philosophers



Center for the History of Women Philosophers and Scientists
Paderborn University, Germany

# Intension / Extension in Emily Elizabeth Constance Jones (1848–1922)

Gary Ostertag & Jeanne Peijnenburg
City University of New York & University of Groningen

E. E. Constance Jones endorsed a standard late nineteenth-century conception of the extension-intension distinction: "by the extension or denotation of a term I mean the things to which it applies", whereas "by its intension I mean those properties or qualities of the things which it signifies" (Jones 1911: 12). She makes two observations:

A.Knowledge of the intension of a term does not guarantee that we can identify its extension.

B. Knowledge of the extension of a term does not guarantee knowledge of its intension.

Regarding (A) Jones writes: "I may have full descriptive knowledge" of X "and yet not be able to recognise" X - "though it may much concern me to do so" (*ibid*.: 13). This is an instance of the puzzle of informative identity (see *Identity / Nonidentity*): although that figure is a chiliagon is true, I might be unable to ascertain its truth, even though the figure is indeed thousand-sided and I am fully aware that chiliagons are thousand-sided.

While (B) parallels Russell's (1905: 487) claim that there is "no backward road" from reference to sense, because every object can be referred to in infinitely many different ways, Jones's observation is in fact deeper, anticipating insights due to Hilary Putnam and Saul Kripke. On Jones's view, one may be able to distinguish the elements in the extension of a term T from those in its complement and yet be incapable of coming up with a feature that all members of T's extension share: "I may know real diamonds from paste [...] and always apply the [term] rightly, and yet be unable to set out even to myself the connotation or intension" (1911: 13). Similarly, Evans argued that the capacity to identify and refer to a given person across various scenarios – which is also the capacity to distinguish that person from others across these scenarios – is distinct from the capacity to cite a description uniquely true of that person.

Jones articulated the distinction between intension and extension already in 1890,

How to cite this article: Jeanne Peijnenburg, Gary Ostertag & 2019. "Intension / Extension in Emily Elizabeth Constance Jones (1848–1922)", in Waithe, Mary Ellen & Hagengruber, Ruth (eds.): *Encyclopedia of Concise Concepts by Women Philosophers*. DOI: (DOI pending) [12|11|2019].

referring to it as the distinction between determination and denomination (see *Denomination / Determination*).

# **Primary Sources:**

Evans, Gareth 1982. The Varieties of Reference. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Jones, Emily Elizabeth Constance 1890. *Elements of Logic as a Science of Propositions*. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark.

\_\_\_\_\_ 1911. A New Law of Thought and Its Logical Bearings. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Putnam, Hilary 1975. The Meaning of 'Meaning.' In *Mind, Language and Reality:*Philosophical Papers, Volume 2. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Russell, Bertrand 1905. On Denoting. Mind 14: 479-93.

### **Keywords:**

intension, extension, identity, determination, denomination, Bertrand Russell, Hilary Putnam, Saul Kripke, Gareth Evans



How to cite this article: Jeanne Peijnenburg, Gary Ostertag & 2019. "Intension / Extension in Emily Elizabeth Constance Jones (1848–1922)", in Waithe, Mary Ellen & Hagengruber, Ruth (eds.): *Encyclopedia of Concise Concepts by Women Philosophers*. DOI: (DOI pending) [12|11|2019].