

## University of Groningen



# Changes in renal, bone, lipid, and inflammation markers in HIV-1 patients after combination antiretroviral therapy simplification to dolutegravir monotherapy

Wijting, Ingeborg E. A.; Rokx, Casper; Zillikens, Maria C.; Smits, Sandra A. A.; de Vries-Sluijs, Theodora E. M. S.; Schurink, Carolina A. M.; Bax, Hannelore I.; van der Ende, Marchina E.; van Gorp, Eric C. M.; Nouwen, Jan L. *Published in:* International Journal of STD & AIDS

*DOI:* 10.1177/0956462419848962

## IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:* 2019

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Wijting, I. E. A., Rokx, C., Zillikens, M. C., Smits, S. A. A., de Vries-Sluijs, T. E. M. S., Schurink, C. A. M., Bax, H. I., van der Ende, M. E., van Gorp, E. C. M., Nouwen, J. L., Verbon, A., Bierman, W. F. W., & Rijnders, B. J. A. (2019). Changes in renal, bone, lipid, and inflammation markers in HIV-1 patients after combination antiretroviral therapy simplification to dolutegravir monotherapy. *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, *30*(11), 1042-1048. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956462419848962

#### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

#### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## Changes in renal, bone, lipid, and inflammation markers in HIV-I patients after combination antiretroviral therapy simplification to dolutegravir monotherapy

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF

International Journal of STD & AIDS 2019, Vol. 30(11) 1042–1048 © The Author(s) 2019 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/0956462419848962 journals.sagepub.com/home/std

**SAGE** 

Ingeborg EA Wijting<sup>1</sup>, Casper Rokx<sup>1</sup>, Maria C Zillikens<sup>2</sup>, Sandra AA Smits<sup>2</sup>, Theodora EMS de Vries-Sluijs<sup>1</sup>, Carolina AM Schurink<sup>1</sup>, Hannelore I Bax<sup>1</sup>, Marchina E van der Ende<sup>1</sup>, Eric CM van Gorp<sup>1</sup>, Jan L Nouwen<sup>1</sup>, Annelies Verbon<sup>1</sup>, Wouter FW Bierman<sup>3</sup> and Bart JA Rijnders<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

Combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) can cause metabolic toxicities. How cART simplification to dual or monotherapies affects metabolic markers is unknown. We analyzed the metabolic effects of cART simplification to dolutegravir (DTG) monotherapy in the randomized clinical DOMONO (DOlutegravir MONOtherapy for HIV) trial including HIV-positive participants. Renal function, Framingham risk score (FRS), inflammation, and bone mineral density (BMD) with trabecular bone score (TBS) were measured during 48 weeks after simplification. The changes at 48 weeks by ontreatment analyses overall and for prior tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) exposure were analyzed separately, using Bonferroni corrected alpha (p = 0.00096). Ninety-five patients initiated DTG monotherapy, including 80 discontinuing TDF. At week 48, the switch to DTG monotherapy resulted in an expected -7.8 ml/min estimated glomerular filtration decline. In patients on prior TDF, proteinuria improved (p < 0.00096), but proximal tubular dysfunction proportions did not change. Fasting lipids, FRS, and the inflammation markers C-reactive protein and CD4:CD8 T-cell ratio remained stable. Lumbar spine BMD improved (+1.7%, p < 0.00096), while hip BMD and TBS remained comparable. Simplification of TDF-containing cART to DTG monotherapy ameliorated lumbar spine BMD and proteinuria with neutral effect on lipids and inflammation markers. Although DTG monotherapy should not be used in routine care and its role in strictly selected patients with primary HIV infection needs to be further elucidated, these observations remain relevant regarding DTG-based dual therapy without TDF. www.clinicaltrials.gov registration number: NCT02401828.

## **Keywords**

Dolutegravir, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, renal markers, bone markers, lipids, inflammation markers

Date received: 6 February 2019; accepted: 14 April 2019

## Introduction

Combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) can result in metabolic toxicities. One of the most commonly used drugs in cART is tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF), which is associated with nephrotoxicity and bone mineral density (BMD) loss. TDF-associated nephrotoxicity is associated with an accelerated decline in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and proximal <sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine and Infectious Diseases, Erasmus Medical Center, Erasmus University, Rotterdam, the Netherlands <sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine and Endocrinology, Erasmus Medical Center, Erasmus University, Rotterdam, the Netherlands <sup>3</sup>Department of Internal Medicine/Infectious Diseases, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

#### **Corresponding author:**

Ingeborg EA Wijting, Postbox 2040, Rotterdam 3000 CA, The Netherlands. Email: i.wijting@erasmusmc.nl tubular dysfunction (PTD),<sup>1–3</sup> which may be reversible.<sup>4</sup> TDF's bone toxicity reduces the BMD but its effect on the trabecular bone score (TBS), an additional measure for bone microarchitecture, is unclear.<sup>5–7</sup> However, a lower TBS can increase the osteoporotic fracture risk independently of the BMD, which can aid in optimal fracture prediction.<sup>8</sup> A beneficial effect of TDF is its lipid lowering effect.<sup>9,10</sup> Despite the availability of tenofovir alafenamide fumarate, toxicities of TDF-containing cART remain important, given the generic availability of TDF, the use of TDF as treatment of hepatitis B, and TDF as part of pre- and postexposure prophylaxis.

In the DOMONO study, cART of HIV-1 infected patients was simplified to dolutegravir (DTG) maintenance monotherapy.<sup>11</sup> DTG has neutral BMD and lipid effects, and inhibits tubular creatinine transport resulting in eGFR alterations without actual changes in renal function.<sup>12</sup> Suboptimal viral suppression results in inflammation and is associated with comorbidities (e.g. cardiovascular diseases [CVDs]).<sup>13,14</sup> Simplification strategies should therefore also evaluate changes in inflammation markers.

In the randomized DOMONO trial, we previously demonstrated that DTG maintenance monotherapy increases the risk of virological failure (VF) and is associated with the development of DTG resistance. It should therefore no longer be studied as a simplification strategy. However, DTG as part of dual therapy in combination with rilpivirine has recently been approved as dual cART and DTG in combination with lamivudine is being evaluated as a simplification strategy. The metabolic consequences of these integrase strand transfer inhibitor-based simplification strategies are as yet unknown. We studied renal, bone, lipids, and inflammation markers after simplifying cART to DTG monotherapy.

## Materials and methods

Participants of DOMONO (NCT02401828) provided written informed consent, and the study was approved by the ethics committee (METC Erasmus MC, MEC2015-043) and done in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration. In DOMONO, well-suppressed HIV-1 patients on cART were randomized to either DTG monotherapy immediately or to start DTG monotherapy after 24 weeks of ongoing cART. The main inclusion and exclusion criteria of the DOMONO study were as follows: HIV-1 infected adults with an HIV-RNA zenith <100,000 c/ml and a CD4 T-cell nadir  $\geq$ 200 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>, with self-reported adherence >95%, no history of VF on cART, and no documented genotypic resistance. Detailed information about the selection of patients is given elsewhere.<sup>11</sup>

The study was discontinued prematurely for virological nonefficacy: 8 of 95 patients in the on-treatment analvsis developed VF during the use of DTG monotherapy, of whom 3 had emergence of resistance associated mutations in the integrase gene.<sup>11</sup> The analysis of metabolic changes during DTG monotherapy was included as a predefined secondary endpoint. We measured metabolic markers at week 0, 24, and 48 unless VF was observed. First, we assessed renal changes as glomerular and proximal tubular function. eGFR (CKD-EPI) changes on DTG monotherapy were further differentiated based on the previously used third antiretroviral agents each in combination with a nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor backbone: rilpivirine (RPV), efavirenz (EFV), nevirapine (NVP), or another third agent (other). Other evaluated renal markers were urine protein:creatinine ratio (UPCR), urine albumin:creatinine ratio (UACR), urine beta2-microglobulin:creatinine ratio (UB2MGCR), albumin:protein ratio, and fractional excretion of phosphate (FePO<sub>4</sub>). PTD was diagnosed when  $\geq 2$  of the following markers were present simultaneously: UPCR > 15 mg/mmol, UB2MGCR > 0.4 mg/l, UAPR < 0.4 provided UPCR > 15 mg/mmol, hypophosphatemia < 0.8 mmol/l, FePO<sub>4</sub> > 20%, FePO<sub>4</sub> > 10% in hypophosphatemic patients, and normoglycemic glucosuria. Chronic kidney disease (CKD) was defined as eGFR < 60 ml/min or > 60 ml/min with UACR > 3 mg/mmol.<sup>15</sup> Second, we measured fasting lipids: total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), TC:HDLcholesterol ratio, and triglycerides, and assessed the tenyear CVD risk by Framingham risk score (FRS) before and after switch.<sup>16</sup> Inflammation was assessed by CD4: CD8 T-cell ratio and C-reactive protein (CRP). In the 80 patients previously on TDF, DEXA scans were used to assess changes in lumbar spine and total hip BMD, Tscores, and lumbar TBS. A T-score>-1 and a TBS > 1.350 were considered normal. PTD, BMD, and TBS were assessed.

We used paired T-tests and Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests for normally and nonnormally distributed continuous data, and McNemar tests for categorical data to compare week 0 with week 48. With 54 comparisons, a Bonferroni-corrected alpha of 0.00096 was used to draw conclusions on statistical significance.

## Results

A total of 95 patients switched from cART to DTG monotherapy. Seventy-eight of them had reached the week 48 endpoint, when the study was discontinued prematurely due to suboptimal virological suppression as described elsewhere.<sup>11</sup> Patients were mostly male (92.6%) of mean age 46 years (Table 1). At DTG initiation, the mean (standard deviation, SD) eGFR was

Table 1. Baseline	characteristics of participants of the
DOMONO study	before switch to DTG monotherapy.

Table I. Continued

(N = 72) Male gender, N (%) & 88 (92.6) Age (years), mean (SD) & 46 (11) Ethnicity, N (%) Caucasian 78 (82.1) African descent 13 (13.7) Other 4 (4.2) HIV-RNA zenith, copies/ml, 37.000 median (Q1, Q3) (13.825, 64.675) CD4 T-cell nadir, cells/mm <sup>3</sup> , 360 (270, 510) median (Q1, Q3) (13.825, 64.675) CD4 T-cell nadir, cells/mm <sup>3</sup> , 360 (270, 510) median (Q1, Q3) (13.825, 64.675) CD4 T-cell nadir, cells/mm <sup>3</sup> , 360 (270, 510) median (Q1, Q3) (13.825, 64.675) CD4 T-cell nadir, cells/mm <sup>3</sup> , 360 (270, 510) median (Q1, Q3) (13.825, 64.675) CD4 T-cell nadir, cells/mm <sup>3</sup> , 360 (270, 510) median (Q1, Q3) (13.825, 64.675) CD4 T-cell nadir, cells/mm <sup>3</sup> , 360 (270, 510) median (Q1, Q3) (13.825, 64.675) CD4 T-cell nadir, cells/mm <sup>3</sup> , 360 (270, 510) median (Q1, Q3) (13.825, 64.675) CD4 T-cell nadir, cells/mm <sup>3</sup> , 360 (270, 510) median (Q1, Q3) (13.825, 64.675) Comorbidity, N (%) (44.2) Comorbidity, N (%) (50, 16 (16.8) Dyslipidemia 12 (12.6) Diabetes mellitus 3 (3.2) History of CKD 111 (11.6) Smoking, N (%) Current 31 (32.6) Previous 17 (17.9) Never 46 (48.4) Unknown 1 (1.1) Framingham risk score <10%, N (%) 19 (20) 20%, N (%) 19 (20) 20%, N (%) 19 (20) 20%, N (%) 19 (20) 20%, N (%) 16 (16.8) No data available, N (%) 6 (6.3) Renal parameters eGFR <sub>CKC-EFR</sub> ml/min, mean (SD) 91 (17) Phosphate, mmol/l, median (Q1, Q3) 0.098 (0.003, 0.023) UPCR mg/mod, median (Q1, Q3) 0.099 (6.37, 13.71) UACR mg/mod, median (Q1, Q3) 0.090 (0.03, 0.023) UD2M CR mg/mod, median (Q1, Q3) 0.008 (0.003, 0.023) UD2M CR mg/mod, median (Q1, Q3) 0.092 (0.015, 0.063) FePO <sub>4</sub> %, median (Q1, Q3) 0.010 (0.06, 0.17) Uirne total protein gl, median (Q1, Q3) 0.029 (0.015, 0.063) FePO <sub>4</sub> %, median (Q1, Q3) 0.117 (7.4, 15.7) <2 markers of PTD, N (%) 67 (70.5) 22 markers of PTD, N (%) 77 (1.1) HDL-C, mmol/l 1.41 (0.53) LDL-C, mmol/l 1.41 (0.53		All patients
Male gender, N (%)       88 (92.6)         Age (years), mean (SD)       46 (11)         Ethnicity, N (%)       78 (82.1)         Caucasian       78 (82.1)         African descent       13 (13.7)         Other       4 (4.2)         HIV-RNA zenith, copies/ml,       37.000         median (Q1, Q3)       (13.825, 64.675)         CD4 T-cell nadir, cells/mm <sup>3</sup> ,       360 (270, 510)         median (Q1, Q3)       (16.8)         FV       44 (46.3)         NVP       16 (16.8)         FV       16 (16.8)         Pl/b       4 (42.2)         Comorbidity, N (%)       4 (42.2)         Comorbidity, N (%)       12 (12.6)         Diabetes mellitus       3 (3.2)         History of CKD       11 (11.6)         Smoking, N (%)       54 (56.8)         Current       31 (32.6)         Previous       17 (17.9)         Never       46 (48.4)         Unknown       1 (1.1)         Framingham risk score       -         <0%, N (%)       54 (56.8)         10–19.9%, N (%)       6 (6.3)         Real parameters       eGFR_CKD_EPI ml/min, mean (SD)       0.94 (0.15)         Urine tot		(כד = דו)
Age (vars), mean (SD)       46 (11)         Ethnicity, N (%)       78 (82.1)         African descent       13 (13.7)         Other       4 (4.2)         HV-RNA zenith, copies/ml,       37.000         median (Q1, Q3)       (13.825, 64.675)         CD4 T-cell nadir, cells/mm <sup>3</sup> ,       360 (270, 510)         median (Q1, Q3)       (13.825, 64.675)         Third antiviral agent before switch:       RPV         RPV       44 (46.3)         NVP       16 (16.8)         FI/b       4 (4.2)         Comorbidity, N (%)       4 (4.2)         Mypertension       16 (16.8)         Dyslipidemia       12 (12.6)         Diabetes mellitus       3 (3.2)         History of CKD       11 (11.6)         Smoking, N (%)       54 (56.8)         Current       31 (32.6)         Previous       17 (17.9)         Never       46 (48.4)         Unknown       1 (1.1)         Framingham risk score          <10%, N (%)	Male gender, N (%)	88 (92.6)
Ethnicity, N (%) Caucasian 78 (82.1) African descent 13 (13.7) Other 4 (4.2) HIV-RNA zenith, copies/ml, 37.000 median (Q1, Q3) (13.825, 64.675) CD4 T-cell nadir, cells/mm <sup>3</sup> , 360 (270, 510) median (Q1, Q3) Third antiviral agent before switch: RPV 44 (46.3) NVP 16 (16.8) EFV 16 (16.8) PI/b 4 (4.2) Comorbidity, N (%) Hypertension 16 (16.8) Dyslipidemia 12 (12.6) Diabetes mellitus 3 (3.2) History of CKD 111 (11.6) Smoking, N (%) Current 31 (32.6) Previous 17 (17.9) Never 46 (48.4) Unknown 1 (1.1) Framingham risk score <10%, N (%) 54 (56.8) 10–19.9%, N (%) 54 (56.8) 10–19.9%, N (%) 6 (6.3) Renal parameters eGFR <sub>CKD-EFI</sub> ml/min, mean (SD) 91 (17) Phosphate, mmol/l, mean (SD) 91 (17) Urine total available, N (%) 6 (6.3) UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3) 0.029 (0.015, 0.023) UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3) 0.029 (0.015, 0.043) Total cholesterol, mmol/l 4.7 (1.1) HDL-C, mmol/l 4.7 (1.1) HDL-C, mmol/l 4.7 (1.57) 2 markers of PTD, N (%) 22 (23.2) No data available on PTD 6 (6.3) markers, N (%) Lipid parameters, mean (SD) Total cholesterol, mmol/l 4.7 (1.1) HDL-C, mmol/l 4.7 (1.57) Creative protein, mg/l 1.21 (0.50, 2.60) CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio 1.06 (0.74, 1.51) Bone parameters, mean (SD) For patients previously on TDF BMD spine, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.179 (0.162) BMD hip, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.013 (0.154) TBS spine 1.316 (0.119) LS BMD $\geq -1.0$ , N (%) 47 (58.8) (continued)	Age (years), mean (SD)	46 (11)
Caccasian         78 (82.1)           African descent         13 (13.7)           Other         4 (4.2)           HIV-RNA zenith, copies/ml,         37.000           median (Q1, Q3)         (13.825, 64.675)           CD4 T-cell nadir, cells/mm <sup>3</sup> ,         360 (270, 510)           median (Q1, Q3)         (14.825, 64.675)           Combination (Q1, Q3)         (15.825, 64.675)           CM         44 (46.3)           NVP         16 (16.8)           FFV         16 (16.8)           Pl/b         4 (4.2)           Comorbidity, N (%)         (11.6)           Diabetes mellitus         3 (3.2)           History of CKD         11 (11.6)           Smoking, N (%)         17 (17.9)           Never         46 (48.4)           Unknown         1 (1.1)           Framingham risk score            <10-19.9%, N (%)	Ethnicity, N (%)	
African descent       13 (13.7)         Other       4 (4.2)         HIV-RNA zenith, copies/ml,       37.000         median (Q1, Q3)       (13.825, 64.675)         CD4 T-cell nadir, cells/mm <sup>3</sup> ,       360 (270, 510)         median (Q1, Q3)       (13.825, 64.675)         Third antiviral agent before switch:       RPV         RPV       44 (46.3)         NVP       16 (16.8)         FFV       16 (16.8)         Pl/b       4 (4.2)         Comorbidity, N (%)       12 (12.6)         Diabetes mellitus       3 (3.2)         History of CKD       11 (11.6)         Smoking, N (%)       13 (132.6)         Current       31 (32.6)         Previous       17 (17.9)         Never       46 (48.4)         Unknown       1 (1.1)         Framingham risk score       4 (56.8)         <10 <sup>-1</sup> , N (%)       19 (20)         20%, N (%)       19 (20)         20%, N (%)       19 (20)         20%, N (%)       9 (20,15)         Urine total protein g/l, median (Q1, Q3)       0.008 (0.003, 0.023)         UPCR mg/mond, median (Q1, Q3)       0.008 (0.003, 0.023)         UPCR mg/mond, median (Q1, Q3)       0.009 (0.015	Caucasian	78 (82.1)
Other         4 (4.2)           HIV-RNA zenith, copies/ml, median (Q1, Q3)         37.000           CD4 T-cell nadir, cells/mm <sup>3</sup> , median (Q1, Q3)         360 (270, 510)           Third antiviral agent before switch:         RPV           RPV         44 (46.3)           NVP         16 (16.8)           FFV         16 (16.8)           Pl/b         4 (4.2)           Comorbidity, N (%)         4(4.2)           Hypertension         16 (16.8)           Diabetes mellitus         3 (3.2)           History of CKD         11 (11.6)           Smoking, N (%)         17 (17.9)           Qurrent         31 (32.6)           Previous         17 (17.9)           Never         46 (48.4)           Unknown         1 (1.1)           Framingham risk score            < 10%, N (%)	African descent	13 (13.7)
HIV-RNA zenith, copies/ml, 37.000 median (Q1, Q3) (13.825, 64.675) CD4 T-cell nadir, cells/mm <sup>3</sup> , 360 (270, 510) median (Q1, Q3) Third antiviral agent before switch: RPV 44 (46.3) NVP 16 (16.8) EFV 16 (16.8) PI/b 4 (4.2) Comorbidity, N (%) Hypertension 16 (16.8) Dyslipidemia 12 (12.6) Diabetes mellitus 3 (3.2) History of CKD 11 (11.6) Smoking, N (%) Current 31 (32.6) Previous 17 (17.9) Never 46 (48.4) Unknown 1 (1.1) Framingham risk score < 10%, N (%) 54 (56.8) 10–19.9%, N (%) 54 (56.8) 10–19.9%, N (%) 19 (20) ≥20%, N (%) 16 (16.8) No data available, N (%) 8 (6.3) Renal parameters eGFR <sub>CKD-EPI</sub> ml/min, mean (SD) 91 (17) Phosphate, mmol/l, median (Q1, Q3) 0.008 (0.003, 0.023) UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3) 0.008 (0.003, 0.023) UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3) 0.029 (0.015, 0.063) FePO, %, median (Q1, Q3) 0.029 (0.015, 0.063) DB2MCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3) 0.029 (0.015, 0.063) Total cholesterol, mmol/l 1.7 (7.4, 15.7) <2 markers of PTD, N (%) 22 (23.2) No data available on PTD 6 (6.3) markers, N (%) Lipid parameters, mean (SD) 77 (1.1) HDL-C, mmol/l 4.7 (1.1) HDL-C, mmol/l 4.7 (1.1) HDL-C, mmol/l 4.7 (1.1) HDL-C, mmol/l 1.41 (0.53) LDL-C, mmol/l 1.21 (0.50, 2.60) CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio 1.06 (0.74, 1.51) Bone parameters, meadian (Q1, Q3) C-reactive protein, mg/l 1.2 (0.50, 2.60) CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio 1.06 (0.74, 1.51) Bone parameters, meadian (Q1, Q3) C-reactive protein, mg/l 1.2 (0.50, 2.60) CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio 1.06 (0.74, 1.51) Bone parameters, meadian (Q1, Q3) C-reactive protein, mg/l 1.2 (0.50, 2.60) CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio 1.06 (0.74, 1.51) Bone parameters, meadian (Q1, Q3) C-reactive protein, mg/l 1.2 (0.50, 2.60) CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio 1.06 (0.74, 1.51) Bone parameters, meadian (Q1, Q3) C-reactive protein, mg/l 1.2 (0.50, 2.60) CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio 1.06 (0.74, 1.51) Bone parameters, meadian (Q1, Q3) C-reactive protein, mg/l 1.2 (0.50, 2.60) CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio 1.06 (0.74, 1.51) Bone parameters, mead (SD) For patients previously on TDF BMD spine, g/cm <sup>2</sup>	Other	4 (4.2)
median (QI, Q3)       (13.825, 64.675)         CD4 T-cell nadir, cells/mm <sup>3</sup> ,       360 (270, 510)         median (QI, Q3)       (13.825, 64.675)         Third antiviral agent before switch:       RPV         RPV       44 (46.3)         NVP       16 (16.8)         EFV       16 (16.8)         Pl/b       4 (4.2)         Comorbidity, N (%)       11 (11.6)         Mypertension       16 (16.8)         Dyslipidemia       12 (12.6)         Diabetes mellitus       3 (3.2)         History of CKD       11 (11.6)         Smoking, N (%)       Current         Current       31 (32.6)         Previous       17 (17.9)         Never       46 (48.4)         Unknown       1 (1.1)         Framingham risk score          < 10%, N (%)	HIV-RNA zenith, copies/ml,	37.000
CD4 1-cell nadir, cells/mm <sup>2</sup> ,       360 (270, 510)         median (Q1, Q3)       Third antiviral agent before switch:         RPV       44 (46.3)         NVP       16 (16.8)         EFV       16 (16.8)         Pl/b       4 (4.2)         Comorbidity, N (%)       4 (4.2)         Hypertension       16 (16.8)         Dyslipidemia       12 (12.6)         Diabetes mellitus       3 (3.2)         History of CKD       11 (11.6)         Smoking, N (%)       17 (17.9)         Never       46 (48.4)         Unknown       1 (1.1)         Framingham risk score       210%, N (%)         <10-19.9%, N (%)	median (QI, Q3)	(13.825, 64.675)
median (Q1, Q3)         Third antiviral agent before switch:         RPV       44 (46.3)         NVP       16 (16.8)         EFV       16 (16.8)         Pl/b       4 (4.2)         Comorbidity, N (%)       1         Hypertension       16 (16.8)         Dyslipidemia       12 (12.6)         Diabetes mellitus       3 (3.2)         History of CKD       11 (11.6)         Smoking, N (%)       11 (11.6)         Current       31 (32.6)         Previous       17 (17.9)         Never       46 (48.4)         Unknown       1 (1.1)         Framingham risk score       45 (48.4)         <10%, N (%)	CD4 I-cell nadir, cells/mm <sup>2</sup> ,	360 (270, 510)
Third antiviral agent before switch: RPV 44 (46.3) NVP 44 (46.3) NVP 16 (16.8) EFV 16 (16.8) Pl/b 4 (4.2) Comorbidity, N (%) Hypertension 16 (16.8) Dyslipidemia 12 (12.6) Diabetes mellitus 3 (3.2) History of CKD 11 (11.6) Smoking, N (%) Current 31 (32.6) Previous 17 (17.9) Never 46 (48.4) Unknown 1 (1.1) Framingham risk score <10%, N (%) 54 (56.8) I0-19.9%, N (%) 19 (20) $\geq 20\%$ , N (%) 16 (16.8) No data available, N (%) 6 (6.3) Renal parameters eGFR <sub>CKD-EPI</sub> ml/min, mean (SD) 91 (17) Phosphate, mmol/l, median (Q1, Q3) 0.008 (0.003, 0.023) UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3) 0.008 (0.003, 0.023) UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3) 0.029 (0.015, 0.063) FePQ 4%, median (Q1, Q3) 0.029 (0.015, 0.063) FePQ 4%, median (Q1, Q3) 0.029 (0.015, 0.063) Parkers, N (%) 22 (23.2) No data available on PTD 6 (6.3) markers, N (%) 12 (23.2) UDACR mg/mmol/l 1.141 (0.53) LDL-C, mmol/l 4.7 (1.1) HDL-C, mmol/l 4.7 (1.1) HDL-C, mmol/l 1.21 (0.66) Inflammation parameters, median (Q1, Q3) C-reactive protein, mg/l 1.2 (0.50, 2.60) CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio 106 (0.74, 1.51) Bone parameters, median (Q1, Q3) For patients previously on TDF BMD spine, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.179 (0.162) BMD hip, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.316 (0.119) LS BMD $\geq -1.0$ , N (%) 47 (58.8)	median (Q1, Q3)	
NYV       16 (16.8)         EFV       16 (16.8)         Pl/b       4 (4.2)         Comorbidity, N (%)       12 (12.6)         Djalpetes mellitus       3 (3.2)         History of CKD       11 (11.6)         Smoking, N (%)       11 (11.6)         Current       31 (32.6)         Previous       17 (17.9)         Never       46 (48.4)         Unknown       1 (1.1)         Framingham risk score          <10%, N (%)	I nird antiviral agent before switch:	11 (14 2)
INP       16 (16.8)         EFV       16 (16.8)         Pl/b       4 (4.2)         Comorbidity, N (%)       Hypertension         Hypertension       16 (16.8)         Diabetes mellitus       3 (3.2)         History of CKD       11 (11.6)         Smoking, N (%)       17 (17.9)         Current       31 (32.6)         Previous       17 (17.9)         Never       46 (48.4)         Unknown       1 (1.1)         Framingham risk score          <10%, N (%)		14 (16.3)
L1 Y       10 (10.0)         Pl/b       4 (4.2)         Comorbidity, N (%)       4 (4.2)         Hypertension       16 (16.8)         Dyslipidemia       12 (12.6)         Diabetes mellitus       3 (3.2)         History of CKD       11 (11.6)         Smoking, N (%)       11 (11.6)         Current       31 (32.6)         Previous       17 (17.9)         Never       46 (48.4)         Unknown       1 (1.1)         Framingham risk score          <10%, N (%)	EEV/	16 (16.8)
1100       1 (1.2)         Comorbidity, N (%)       16 (16.8)         Dyslipidemia       12 (12.6)         Diabetes mellitus       3 (3.2)         History of CKD       11 (11.6)         Smoking, N (%)       11 (11.6)         Current       31 (32.6)         Previous       17 (17.9)         Never       46 (48.4)         Unknown       1 (1.1)         Framingham risk score          <10%, N (%)	PI/b	4 (4 2)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Comorbidity N (%)	- (-1. <del>2</del> )
$\begin{array}{c cccc} & (1,2) \\ Dyslipidemia & (2, (12,6) \\ Diabetes mellitus & 3, (3,2) \\ History of CKD & (1, (11,6) \\ Smoking, N (%) \\ Current & (31, (32,6) \\ Previous & (17, (17,9) \\ Never & (46, (48,4) \\ Unknown & (1, (1,1) \\ Framingham risk score \\ < 10%, N (%) & (54, (56,8) \\ 10-19.9\%, N (%) & (16, (16,8) \\ No data available, N (%) & (54, (56,8) \\ 10-19.9\%, N (%) & (16, (16,8) \\ No data available, N (%) & (6, (6,3) \\ Renal parameters \\ eGFR_{CKD-EPI} ml/min, mean (SD) & (91, (17) \\ Phosphate, mmol/l, median (Q1, Q3) & (0.10, (0.06, 0.17) \\ Urine total protein g/l, median (Q1, Q3) & (0.008, (0.003, 0.023) \\ UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3) & (0.008, (0.003, 0.023) \\ UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3) & (0.008, (0.03, 0.023) \\ UB2MCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3) & (0.016, (0.05) \\ \geq 2 markers of PTD, N (\%) & (67, (70.5) \\ \geq 2 markers of PTD, N (\%) & (67, (70.5) \\ \geq 2 markers of PTD, N (\%) & (22, (23.2) \\ No data available on PTD & (6, (6.3) \\ markers, N (\%) \\ Lipid parameters, mean (SD) \\ Total cholesterol, mmol/l & (4.7, (1.1) \\ HDL-C, mmol/l & (4.7, (1.1) \\ HDL-C, mmol/l & (4.7, (1.3) \\ Triglycerides, mmol/l & (4.7, (1.51) \\ Bone parameters, mean (SD) \\ For patients previously on TDF \\ BMD spine, g/cm^2 & (1.013, (0.154) \\ TBS spine & (1.316, (0.119) \\ LS BMD \geq -1.0, N (\%) & 47, (58.8) \\ (continued) \\ \end{array}$	Hypertension	16 (16.8)
Diabetes mellitus       3 (3.2)         History of CKD       11 (11.6)         Smoking, N (%)       17 (17.9)         Qurrent       31 (32.6)         Previous       17 (17.9)         Never       46 (48.4)         Unknown       1 (1.1)         Framingham risk score       -         <10%, N (%)	Dyslipidemia	12 (12.6)
History of CKD       II (11.6)         Smoking, N (%)       II (32.6)         Current       II (17.9)         Never       46 (48.4)         Unknown       I (1.1)         Framingham risk score       (1.1)         <10%, N (%)	Diabetes mellitus	3 (3.2)
Smoking, N (%)       31 (32.6)         Current       31 (32.6)         Previous       17 (17.9)         Never       46 (48.4)         Unknown       1 (1.1)         Framingham risk score       (10%, N (%) $<10^{\circ}$ , N (%)       19 (20) $\geq 20^{\circ}$ , N (%)       16 (16.8)         No data available, N (%)       6 (6.3)         Renal parameters       eGFR <sub>CKD-EFI</sub> ml/min, mean (SD)         Phosphate, mmol/I, median (Q1, Q3)       0.04 (0.15)         Urine total protein g/I, median (Q1, Q3)       0.008 (0.003, 0.023)         UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.008 (0.003, 0.023)         UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.009 (6.37, 13.71)         UACR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.69 (0.39, 1.58)         UB2MCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.029 (0.015, 0.063)         FePO4 %, median (Q1, Q3)       11.7 (7.4, 15.7)         <2 markers of PTD, N (%)	History of CKD	11 (11.6)
Current       31 (32.6)         Previous       17 (17.9)         Never       46 (48.4)         Unknown       1 (1.1)         Framingham risk score $<10\%, N (\%)$ $<10\%, N (\%)$ 19 (20) $\geq 20\%, N (\%)$ 19 (20) $\geq 20\%, N (\%)$ 19 (20) $\geq 20\%, N (\%)$ 16 (16.8)         No data available, N (%)       6 (6.3)         Renal parameters       eGFR <sub>CKD-EPI</sub> ml/min, mean (SD)       91 (17)         Phosphate, mmol/l, median (Q1, Q3)       0.094 (0.15)       0.10 (0.06, 0.17)         Urine total albumin g/l, median (Q1, Q3)       0.008 (0.003, 0.023)         UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.69 (0.39, 1.58)         UB2MCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.69 (0.39, 1.58)         UB2MCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.029 (0.015, 0.063)         FePO4 %, median (Q1, Q3)       0.029 (0.015, 0.063)         FePO4 %, median (Q1, Q3)       11.7 (7.4, 15.7)         <2 markers of PTD, N (%)	Smoking, N (%)	
Previous       17 (17.9)         Never       46 (48.4)         Unknown       1 (1.1)         Framingham risk score       10(.1)         <10%, N (%)	Current	31 (32.6)
Never         46 (48.4)           Unknown         I (1.1)           Framingham risk score            <10%, N (%)	Previous	17 (17.9)
Unknown         I         (1.1)           Framingham risk score         <10%, N (%)	Never	46 (48.4)
Framingham risk score       <10%, N (%)	Unknown	l (l.l)
<10%, N (%) 54 (56.8) 10–19.9%, N (%) ≥20%, N (%) No data available, N (%) Renal parameters eGFR <sub>CKD-EPI</sub> ml/min, mean (SD) 91 (17) Phosphate, mmol/l, mean (SD) Urine total protein g/l, median (Q1, Q3) UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3) UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3) UB2MCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3) UB2 (23.2) No data available on PTD 6 (6.3) markers, N (%) Lipid parameters, mean (SD) Total cholesterol, mmol/I HoL-C, mmol/I I.2 (0.50, 2.60) C-reactive protein, mg/I C-reactive protein, mg/I Compareters, mean (SD) For patients previously on TDF BMD spine, g/cm <sup>2</sup> I.179 (0.162) BMD hip, g/cm <sup>2</sup> I.316 (0.119) LS BMD ≥ -1.0, N (%) Continued)	Framingham risk score	
10–19.9%, N (%)       19 (20)         ≥20%, N (%)       16 (16.8)         No data available, N (%)       6 (6.3)         Renal parameters       91 (17)         Phosphate, mmol/I, mean (SD)       9.94 (0.15)         Urine total protein g/I, median (Q1, Q3)       0.008 (0.003, 0.023)         UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.008 (0.003, 0.023)         UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.69 (0.39, 1.58)         UB2MCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.69 (0.39, 1.58)         UB2MCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.029 (0.015, 0.063)         FePQ4 %, median (Q1, Q3)       0.17 (7.4, 15.7)         <2 markers of PTD, N (%)	<10%, N (%)	54 (56.8)
$ \geq 20\%, N (\%) $ No data available, N (%) Renal parameters eGFR <sub>CKD-EPI</sub> ml/min, mean (SD) 91 (17) Phosphate, mmol/I, mean (SD) 0.94 (0.15) Urine total protein g/I, median (Q1, Q3) 0.008 (0.003, 0.023) UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3) 0.008 (0.003, 0.023) UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3) 0.69 (0.39, 1.58) UB2MCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3) 0.029 (0.015, 0.063) FePQ4 %, median (Q1, Q3) 11.7 (7.4, 15.7) < 2 markers of PTD, N (%) 22 (23.2) No data available on PTD 6 (6.3) markers, N (%) Lipid parameters, mean (SD) Total cholesterol, mmol/I 1.41 (0.53) LDL-C, mmol/I 2.99 (0.88) TC/HDL 3.7 (1.3) Triglycerides, mmol/I 1.21 (0.66) Inflammation parameters, median (Q1, Q3) C-reactive protein, mg/I 1.2 (0.50, 2.60) CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio 1.06 (0.74, 1.51) Bone parameters, mean (SD) For patients previously on TDF BMD spine, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.179 (0.162) BMD hip, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.316 (0.119) LS BMD $\geq -1.0$ , N (%) 47 (58.8) (continued)	10–19.9%, N (%)	19 (20)
No data available, N (%)       6 (6.3)         Renal parameters       eGFR <sub>CKD-EPI</sub> ml/min, mean (SD)       91 (17)         Phosphate, mmol/I, mean (SD)       0.94 (0.15)         Urine total protein g/I, median (Q1, Q3)       0.008 (0.003, 0.023)         UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.099 (6.37, 13.71)         UACR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.69 (0.39, 1.58)         UB2MCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.029 (0.015, 0.063)         FePQ4 %, median (Q1, Q3)       11.7 (7.4, 15.7)         <2 markers of PTD, N (%)	≥20%, N (%)	16 (16.8)
Renal parameters       91 (17)         Phosphate, mmol/I, mean (SD)       91 (17)         Phosphate, mmol/I, mean (SD)       0.94 (0.15)         Urine total protein g/I, median (Q1, Q3)       0.10 (0.06, 0.17)         Urine total albumin g/I, median (Q1, Q3)       0.008 (0.003, 0.023)         UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       9.09 (6.37, 13.71)         UACR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.69 (0.39, 1.58)         UB2MCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.17 (7.4, 157)         <2 markers of PTD, N (%)	No data available, N (%)	6 (6.3)
eGrR <sub>CKD-EPI</sub> mi/min, mean (SD)       91 (17)         Phosphate, mmol/I, mean (SD)       0.94 (0.15)         Urine total protein g/I, median (Q1, Q3)       0.008 (0.003, 0.023)         UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.099 (6.37, 13.71)         UACR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.69 (0.39, 1.58)         UB2MCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.69 (0.015, 0.063)         FePQ4 %, median (Q1, Q3)       11.7 (7.4, 15.7)         <2 markers of PTD, N (%)	Kenal parameters	01 (17)
Initioplate, initiol/i, initial (3D)       0.94 (0.13)         Urine total protein g/l, median (Q1, Q3)       0.10 (0.06, 0.17)         Urine total albumin g/l, median (Q1, Q3)       0.008 (0.003, 0.023)         UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       9.09 (6.37, 13.71)         UACR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.69 (0.39, 1.58)         UB2MCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.029 (0.015, 0.063)         FePO4 %, median (Q1, Q3)       11.7 (7.4, 15.7)         <2 markers of PTD, N (%)	Phoenhata mmol/l moon (SD)	71(17)
Unine total protein grit median (Q1, Q3)       0.008 (0.003, 0.023)         UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.008 (0.003, 0.023)         UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       9.09 (6.37, 13.71)         UACR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.69 (0.39, 1.58)         UB2MCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.029 (0.015, 0.063)         FePO4 %, median (Q1, Q3)       11.7 (7.4, 15.7)         <2 markers of PTD, N (%)	Urine total protein $g/l$ median (OL O3)	0.94(0.13)
UPCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       9.09 (6.37, 13.71)         UACR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.69 (0.39, 1.58)         UB2MCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.17 (7.4, 15.7)         <2 markers of PTD, N (%)	Urine total albumin $g/l$ median (Q1, Q3)	0.008 (0.003 0.023)
UACR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.69 (0.39, 1.58)         UB2MCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.69 (0.39, 1.58)         UB2MCR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)       0.029 (0.015, 0.063)         FePO4 %, median (Q1, Q3)       11.7 (7.4, 15.7)         <2 markers of PTD, N (%)	UPCR mg/mmol median $(QI, Q3)$	9.09 (6.37 13.71)
UB2MCR mg/mmlo, median (Q1, Q3)       0.029 (0.015, 0.063)         FePO <sub>4</sub> %, median (Q1, Q3)       11.7 (7.4, 15.7) $<$ 2 markers of PTD, N (%)       67 (70.5) $\geq$ 2 markers of PTD, N (%)       22 (23.2)         No data available on PTD       6 (6.3)         markers, N (%)       11.41 (0.53)         Lipid parameters, mean (SD)       7 (1.1)         Total cholesterol, mmol/l       4.7 (1.1)         HDL-C, mmol/l       1.41 (0.53)         LDL-C, mmol/l       2.99 (0.88)         TC/HDL       3.7 (1.3)         Triglycerides, mmol/l       1.21 (0.66)         Inflammation parameters, median (Q1, Q3)       C-reactive protein, mg/l       1.2 (0.50, 2.60)         CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio       1.06 (0.74, 1.51)       Bone parameters, mean (SD)         For patients previously on TDF       BMD spine, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.179 (0.162)         BMD hip, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.013 (0.154)       1.316 (0.119)         LS BMD $\geq -1.0$ , N (%)       47 (58.8)       (continued)	UACR mg/mmol, median (Q1, Q3)	0.69 (0.39, 1.58)
FePO <sub>4</sub> %, median (QI, Q3)       11.7 (7.4, 15.7)         <2 markers of PTD, N (%)	UB2MCR mg/mmol. median (O1, O3)	0.029 (0.015, 0.063)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	FePO₄ %, median (Q1, Q3)	11.7 (7.4, 15.7)
$ \geq 2 \text{ markers of PTD, N (%)} 22 (23.2)  No data available on PTD 6 (6.3)  markers, N (%)  Lipid parameters, mean (SD)  Total cholesterol, mmol/l 4.7 (1.1)  HDL-C, mmol/l 1.41 (0.53)  LDL-C, mmol/l 2.99 (0.88)  TC/HDL 3.7 (1.3)  Triglycerides, mmol/l 1.21 (0.66)  Inflammation parameters, median (Q1, Q3)  C-reactive protein, mg/l 1.2 (0.50, 2.60)  CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio 1.06 (0.74, 1.51)  Bone parameters, mean (SD)  For patients previously on TDF  BMD spine, g/cm2 1.179 (0.162)  BMD hip, g/cm2 1.316 (0.119)  LS BMD \geq -1.0, N (%) 47 (58.8)(continued)$	<2 markers of PTD, N (%)	67 (70.5)
No data available on PTD       6 (6.3)         markers, N (%)       Lipid parameters, mean (SD)         Total cholesterol, mmol/l       4.7 (1.1)         HDL-C, mmol/l       1.41 (0.53)         LDL-C, mmol/l       2.99 (0.88)         TC/HDL       3.7 (1.3)         Triglycerides, mmol/l       1.21 (0.66)         Inflammation parameters, median (Q1, Q3)       C-reactive protein, mg/l       1.2 (0.50, 2.60)         CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio       1.06 (0.74, 1.51)         Bone parameters, mean (SD)       For patients previously on TDF         BMD spine, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.179 (0.162)         BMD hip, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.013 (0.154)         TBS spine       1.316 (0.119)         LS BMD $\geq -1.0$ , N (%)       47 (58.8)	$\geq 2$ markers of PTD, N (%)	22 (23.2)
$\begin{array}{c c} markers, N (\%) \\ \mbox{Lipid parameters, mean (SD)} \\ \mbox{Total cholesterol, mmol/l} & 4.7 (1.1) \\ \mbox{HDL-C, mmol/l} & 1.41 (0.53) \\ \mbox{LDL-C, mmol/l} & 2.99 (0.88) \\ \mbox{TC/HDL} & 3.7 (1.3) \\ \mbox{Triglycerides, mmol/l} & 1.21 (0.66) \\ \mbox{Inflammation parameters, median (Q1, Q3)} \\ \mbox{C-reactive protein, mg/l} & 1.2 (0.50, 2.60) \\ \mbox{CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio} & 1.06 (0.74, 1.51) \\ \mbox{Bone parameters, mean (SD)} \\ \mbox{For patients previously on TDF} \\ \mbox{BMD spine, g/cm}^2 & 1.179 (0.162) \\ \mbox{BMD hip, g/cm}^2 & 1.316 (0.119) \\ \mbox{LS BMD} \geq -1.0, N (\%) & 47 (58.8) \\ \end{array}$	No data available on PTD	6 (6.3)
Lipid parameters, mean (SD)         Total cholesterol, mmol/l       4.7 (1.1)         HDL-C, mmol/l       1.41 (0.53)         LDL-C, mmol/l       2.99 (0.88)         TC/HDL       3.7 (1.3)         Triglycerides, mmol/l       1.21 (0.66)         Inflammation parameters, median (Q1, Q3)       C-reactive protein, mg/l       1.2 (0.50, 2.60)         CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio       1.06 (0.74, 1.51)         Bone parameters, mean (SD)       For patients previously on TDF         BMD spine, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.179 (0.162)         BMD hip, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.013 (0.154)         TBS spine       1.316 (0.119)         LS BMD $\geq -1.0$ , N (%)       47 (58.8)	markers, N (%)	
Total cholesterol, mmol/l       4.7 (1.1)         HDL-C, mmol/l       1.41 (0.53)         LDL-C, mmol/l       2.99 (0.88)         TC/HDL       3.7 (1.3)         Triglycerides, mmol/l       1.21 (0.66)         Inflammation parameters, median (Q1, Q3)       C-reactive protein, mg/l       1.2 (0.50, 2.60)         CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio       1.06 (0.74, 1.51)         Bone parameters, mean (SD)       For patients previously on TDF         BMD spine, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.179 (0.162)         BMD hip, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.013 (0.154)         TBS spine       1.316 (0.119)         LS BMD $\geq -1.0$ , N (%)       47 (58.8)	Lipid parameters, mean (SD)	
HDL-C, mmol/l       1.41 (0.53)         LDL-C, mmol/l       2.99 (0.88)         TC/HDL       3.7 (1.3)         Triglycerides, mmol/l       1.21 (0.66)         Inflammation parameters, median (Q1, Q3)       -reactive protein, mg/l       1.2 (0.50, 2.60)         CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio       1.06 (0.74, 1.51)         Bone parameters, mean (SD)       For patients previously on TDF         BMD spine, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.179 (0.162)         BMD hip, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.013 (0.154)         TBS spine       1.316 (0.119)         LS BMD $\geq -1.0$ , N (%)       47 (58.8)	Total cholesterol, mmol/l	4.7 (1.1)
LDL-C, mmol/l       2.99 (0.88)         TC/HDL       3.7 (1.3)         Triglycerides, mmol/l       1.21 (0.66)         Inflammation parameters, median (Q1, Q3)       -         C-reactive protein, mg/l       1.2 (0.50, 2.60)         CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio       1.06 (0.74, 1.51)         Bone parameters, mean (SD)       -         For patients previously on TDF       -         BMD spine, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.179 (0.162)         BMD hip, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.013 (0.154)         TBS spine       1.316 (0.119)         LS BMD $\geq -1.0$ , N (%)       47 (58.8)	HDL-C, mmol/l	1.41 (0.53)
TC/HDL       3.7 (1.3)         Triglycerides, mmol/l       1.21 (0.66)         Inflammation parameters, median (Q1, Q3)       1.2 (0.50, 2.60)         C-reactive protein, mg/l       1.2 (0.50, 2.60)         CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio       1.06 (0.74, 1.51)         Bone parameters, mean (SD)       1.06 (0.74, 1.51)         For patients previously on TDF       1.179 (0.162)         BMD spine, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.013 (0.154)         TBS spine       1.316 (0.119)         LS BMD $\geq -1.0$ , N (%)       47 (58.8)	LDL-C, mmol/l	2.99 (0.88)
Iriglycerides, mmol/l       1.21 (0.66)         Inflammation parameters, median (Q1, Q3)       1.2 (0.50, 2.60)         C-reactive protein, mg/l       1.2 (0.50, 2.60)         CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio       1.06 (0.74, 1.51)         Bone parameters, mean (SD)       1.06 (0.74, 1.51)         For patients previously on TDF       1.179 (0.162)         BMD spine, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.013 (0.154)         TBS spine       1.316 (0.119)         LS BMD $\geq -1.0$ , N (%)       47 (58.8)	TC/HDL	3.7 (1.3)
Innammation parameters, median (Q1, Q3)         C-reactive protein, mg/l       1.2 (0.50, 2.60)         CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio       1.06 (0.74, 1.51)         Bone parameters, mean (SD)       1.07 (0.162)         For patients previously on TDF       1.013 (0.154)         BMD hip, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.013 (0.154)         TBS spine       1.316 (0.119)         LS BMD $\geq -1.0$ , N (%)       47 (58.8)	Inglycerides, mmol/l	1.21 (0.66)
C-reactive protein, mg/r       1.2 (0.50, 2.60)         CD4:CD8 T-cell-ratio       1.06 (0.74, 1.51)         Bone parameters, mean (SD)       1.06         For patients previously on TDF       1.179 (0.162)         BMD spine, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.013 (0.154)         TBS spine       1.316 (0.119)         LS BMD $\geq -1.0$ , N (%)       47 (58.8)	Inflammation parameters, median (Q1, Q3)	
Bone parameters, mean (SD)         For patients previously on TDF         BMD spine, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.179 (0.162)         BMD hip, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.013 (0.154)         TBS spine       1.316 (0.119)         LS BMD $\geq -1.0$ , N (%)       47 (58.8)	C-reactive protein, mg/I	1.2 (0.50, 2.60)
For parameters, mean (3D)         For patients previously on TDF         BMD spine, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.179 (0.162)         BMD hip, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.013 (0.154)         TBS spine       1.316 (0.119)         LS BMD $\geq -1.0$ , N (%)       47 (58.8)	Bone parameters mean (SD)	1.00 (0.74, 1.51)
BMD spine, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.179 (0.162)         BMD hip, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.013 (0.154)         TBS spine       1.316 (0.119)         LS BMD $\ge -1.0$ , N (%)       47 (58.8)	For patients previously on TDF	
BMD hip, g/cm <sup>2</sup> 1.013 (0.154)         TBS spine       1.316 (0.119)         LS BMD $\ge -1.0$ , N (%)       47 (58.8)	BMD spine, g/cm <sup>2</sup>	1.179 (0.162)
TBS spine       1.316 (0.119)         LS BMD $\geq -1.0$ , N (%)       47 (58.8)         (continued)	BMD hip, g/cm <sup>2</sup>	1.013 (0.154)
LS $BMD \ge -1.0$ , N (%) 47 (58.8) (continued)	TBS spine	1.316 (0.119)
(continued)	LS BMD $\geq -1.0$ , N (%)	47 (58.8)
		(continued)

	All patients (N = 95)
$-1.0 >$ LS BMD $\geq -2.5$ , N (%)	21 (26.3)
LS BMD <-2.5, N (%)	I (I.3)
No data available on LS BMD, N (%)	( 3.8)
TH BMD $\geq -1.0$ , N (%)	48 (60.0)
$-1.0 > TH BMD \ge -2.5, N$ (%)	19 (23.8)
TH BMD < -2.5, N (%)	I (I3)
No data available on TH BMD, N (%)	12 (15.0)

BMD: bone mineral density; CKD: chronic kidney disease; DOMONO: DOlutegravir MONOtherapy for HIV; EFV: efavirenz; eGFR<sub>CKD-EPI</sub>: estimated glomerular filtration rate according to CKD-EPI; FePO<sub>4</sub>: fractional excretion of phosphate; HDL-C: high density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C: low density lipoprotein cholesterol; LS: lumbar spine; NVP: nevirapine; PI/b: boosted protease inhibitor; PTD: proximal tubular dysfunction; RPV: rilpivirine; SD: standard deviation; TBS: trabecular bone score; TC/HDL: total cholesterol:HDL ratio; TDF: tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; TH: total hip; UACR: urine albumin:creatinine ratio; UB2MCR: urine beta2-microglobulin:creatinine ratio; UPCR: urine protein:creatinine ratio.

91 (17) ml/min. One patient's eGFR was 52 ml/min, 13 patients had eGFR >60 but ACR >3. The overall median (IQR) uPCR was 9.09 (IQR 6.37-13.71) mg/mmol. Eighty of the 95 patients included were on TDF-containing cART, and 62 of them reached the week 48 endpoint. Twenty-two patients in total, including 18 on TDF (22.5%) had  $\geq 2$  markers of PTD. PTD markers were hypophosphatemia in 13/80 (16.3%), an abnormal FePO<sub>4</sub> in 17/80 (21.3%), an UPCR >15 mg/mmol in 14/80 (17.5%) with an UAPR < 0.4 in 12/14. One patient had normoglycemic glucosuria. Plasma lipid levels were low with a mean (SD) LDL-C and TC/HDL ratio of 2.99 (0.88) mmol/l and 3.7 (1.3) in all patients. The median FRS was 7.9% (IQR 3.3-13.2). The median CRP was 1.2 mg/ml (IQR 0.5-2.6) and median CD4: CD8 T-cell ratio was >1.0 in the majority (52%). Per protocol, DEXA scans were done exclusively in the patients previously on TDF as part of their cART. A BMD result of the lumbar spine at baseline was available for 69 and a total hip BMD for 68, of them, 21 and 19 had osteopenia at respective sites and one patient had osteoporosis. Seven of the patients with T-scores <-1 also had signs of PTD or CKD. The mean TBS was slightly decreased, with 36 patients scoring < 1.350. Predominantly due to premature study discontinuation, lumbar spine and hip BMD as well as PTD markers were unavailable in 25% of the patients.

Forty-eight weeks after the initiation of DTG monotherapy, the eGFR had decreased by mean 7.8 (10.7) ml/min overall, and 7.6 (10.5) ml/min in those on prior TDF. UPCR, UACR, and UB2MGCR improved significantly by week 48 in TDF patients (Figure 1(a) to (d), Table 2). The proportion of patients with PTD at week 48 did not change compared with baseline. In those on prior TDF, the proportion with an abnormal UPCR decreased from 17.5 to 9.2% (6/65) of which most had an UAPR <0.4 (5/6). Week 48 lipids remained comparable: LDL-C changes in those on prior TDF/FTC with either RPV or NVP were +0.3 mmol/l (p=0.01) and with prior EFV -0.4 mmol/l (p=0.02) (Table 3). Both median FRS and proportions of low, intermediate, and high FRS remained stable after the switch to DTG monotherapy (p  $\ge 0.05$ ). No clinically relevant changes



**Figure 1.** (a) Changes in eGFR, (b) UPCR, (c) UACR, (d) UB2MGCR. \*=p < 0.00096 by paired T-tests (a) and Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests (b) to (d). TDF = the entire subgroup of patients with a TDF-containing cART regimen before switch to DTG; non-TDF = the subgroup of patients with a cART-regimen without TDF before switch to DTG; 2NRTI + EFV/NVP = the entire subgroup of patients with two NRTIs + efavirenz or nevirapine before switch to DTG; 2NRTI + RPV = the entire subgroup of patients with two NRTIs + rilpivirine before switch to DTG; 2NRTI + other = the entire subgroup of patients with two NRTIs and another third agent than RPV, NVP, or EFV.

	TDF	TDF + EFV/NVP	TDF/RPV	Non-TDF
Absolute change at week 24				
eGFR <sub>CKD-EPI</sub> ml/min, mean (SD) UPCR mg/mmol, median (QI, Q3) UACR g/mmol, median (QI, Q3) UB2MCR mg/mmol, median (QI, Q3) FePO <sub>4</sub> %, median (QI, Q3) Serum phosphate mmol/l, mean (SD) Absolute change at week 48	-8.6 (11.3) -1.49 (-4.80, 0.13) -0.21 (-0.65, 0.03) -0.010 (-0.044, 0.001) 0.3 (-2.5, 6.0) 0.05 (0.16)	-10.0 (11.4)	-6.9 (8.6)	-5.4 (12.9) 1.26 (-1.20, 6.85) -0.10 (-1.17, 0.41) 0.007 (-0.004, 0.014) 1.1 (-2.9, 4.9) 0.02 (0.20)
eGFR <sub>CKD-EPI</sub> ml/min, mean (SD) UPCR mg/mmol, median (QI, Q3) UACR g/mmol, median (QI, Q3) UB2MCR mg/mmol, median (QI, Q3) FePO <sub>4</sub> %, median (QI, Q3) Serum phosphate mmol/l, mean (SD)	-7.6 (10.5)* -1.32 (-5.12, 0.32)* -0.24 (-0.69, -0.02)* -0.014 (-0.058, 0.001)* 0.1 (-2.9, 4.8) 0.01 (0.17)	-8.8 (11.2)	-5.3 (6.9)*	-8.7 (12.0) 0.83 (-1.04, 5.40) 0.01 (-0.17, 0.23) 0.001 (-0.001, 0.009) 5.6 (-1.5, 7.8) 0.08 (0.21)

**Table 2.** Absolute changes in renal parameters from baseline in TDF patients, non-TDF patients, previous EFV/NVP users, and previous RPV users.

EFV: efavirenz; eGFR<sub>CKD-EPI</sub>: estimated glomerular filtration rate according to CKD-EPI; FePO<sub>4</sub>: fractional excretion of phosphate; NVP: nevirapine; RPV: rilpivirine; TDF: tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; UACR: urine albumin:creatinine ratio; UB2MGCR: urine beta2-microglobulin:creatinine ratio; UPCR: urine protein:creatinine ratio.

\*Indicates statistically significant changes (p < 0.00096) by paired T-tests and Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests, analyses only at week 48 data.

were observed in CRP or CD4:CD8 T-cell ratio (Table S1 of supplementary data). Week 48 BMD improved: The lumbar spine BMD increased significantly by +1.7% (SD 3.1, p < 0.00096) and the total hip BMD increased with +1.4% (SD 3.2, p = 0.025) while TBS did not change (+0.011 (SD 0.08), p > 0.1). Lumbar spine BMD improvements of >2.5% and >5% were observed in 24 (42.1%) and 6 (10.5%) patients, and total hip BMD improvements of >2.5% and >5% were observed in 17 (30.4%) and 1 (1.8%). These changes did not alter the proportions of patients with normal BMD, osteopenia, or osteoporosis (p > 0.3, Figure 2 and Figure S2 of supplementary data).

## Discussion

The aim of this study was to describe the changes in renal, bone, lipid, and inflammation markers 48 weeks after simplifying cART to DTG maintenance monotherapy. Overall, these markers remained stable. In patients on prior TDF-containing cART, proteinuria and spinal BMD improved significantly. As expected, the eGFR declined as a result of DTG's inhibition of transporters involved in tubular creatinine handling. Given that RPV also inhibits tubular creatinine excretion, the eGFR decline was less substantial in those on prior RPV (Figure 1(a)).<sup>17,18</sup>

The effects of cART simplification in those patients on prior TDF are of particular interest given TDF's specific toxicity profile. The observed improvements in proteinuria are concordant with previous studies. In an aging HIV population, the observed increases in BMD might eventually translate into a decreased fracture risk, especially with larger increases. Despite TDF's previously observed beneficial effect on lipids, no major changes were observed after TDF discontinuation. This might be due to the inclusion of patients with favorable CVD risk profiles, but also due to the simultaneous discontinuation of drugs associated with unfavorable lipid changes like EFV.

Readily available markers for inflammation in patients with HIV are CD4:CD8 T-cell ratio and CRP, which are both associated with mortality.<sup>19</sup> These parameters did not change during simplification to DTG monotherapy. This suggests that clinically relevant alterations in chronic immune activation do not occur after cART simplification as long as the plasma viral load remains <50 copies/ml. This is reassuring regarding potential concerns about increased immune activation in ongoing simplification studies on dual therapy. However, data on more specific markers for inflammation, as well as markers for T-cell activation were not available. Therefore, more detailed insights



**Figure 2.** Changes in BMD from baseline in patients who were on TDF-containing cART before switch. \*indicates a significant change from baseline (p < 0.00096) by paired T-tests. BMD: bone mineral density.

Table 3.	Changes	in l	ipid	parameters	from	baseline	in	previous	ΤD	F-users	and	non-T	DF-users	
----------	---------	------	------	------------	------	----------	----	----------	----	---------	-----	-------	----------	--

	TDF + NVP/RPV	TDF + EFV	TDF	Non-TDF
Absolute change at week 24, mean (SD)				
Total cholesterol, mmol/l	0.2 (0.7)	0.0 (0.7)	0.2 (0.7)	-0.7 (1.0)
HDL-C, mmol/l	0.0 (0.4)	0.1 (0.3)	0.0 (0.4)	0.0 (0.2)
LDL-C, mmol/l	0.2 (0.7)	-0.1 (0.6)	0.2 (0.7)	-0.1 (0.5)
TC/HDL	0.2 (0.9)	-0.2 (0.6)	0.0 (0.9)	-0.7 (1.2)
Triglycerides, mmol/l	0.1 (0.6)	0.0 (0.7)	0.1 (0.6)	-0.3 (0.7)
Absolute change at week 48, mean (SD)				
Total cholesterol, mmol/l	0.2 (1.1)	-0.4 (0.6)	0.1 (1.0)	-0.4 (0.8)
HDL-C, mmol/l	0.0 (0.4)	0.0 (0.3)	0.0 (0.4)	0.1 (0.6)
LDL-C, mmol/l	0.3 (0.7)	-0.4 (0.5)	0.1 (0.7)	-0.2 (0.6)
TC/HDL	0.2 (1.0)	0.1 (2.1)	0.1 (1.2)	-0.6 (1.0)
Triglycerides, mmol/l	0.2 (0.6)	-0.2 (0.5)	0.1 (0.6)	-0.4 (0.9)

EFV: efavirenz; HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; NVP: nevirapine; RPV: rilpivirine; TC/HDL: total cholesterol:HDL ratio; TDF: tenofovir disoproxil fumarate.

No changes were statistically significant (p < 0.00096) by paired T-tests.

into immunological consequences of cART simplification should still be further elucidated.

Our study has limitations. The study's sample size was calculated for the primary virological efficacy endpoint. Also, as the study was halted prematurely, the week 48 sample size was smaller than anticipated. Therefore, absence of evidence of a significant change in some of these secondary endpoints may be the result of lack of statistical power (e.g. the nonsignificant increase in hip BMD) and should therefore be interpreted with this in mind. Also, the five patients with VF before week 48 who restarted cART were not included in the analysis and therefore our conclusions only apply to patients with a suppressed plasma viral load. Finally, given our study population of middleaged male patients, extrapolation to other groups including elderly or female patients should be done with caution.

The DOMONO study clearly demonstrated that DTG monotherapy as simplification strategy is inferior to cART and should not be used as maintenance therapy, which is confirmed by the randomized clinical noninferiority MONCAY trial.<sup>20</sup> However, our results remain relevant in light of the ongoing simplification studies investigating DTG dual therapies. Interestingly, simplification of cART to DTG maintenance monotherapy had promising results in one trial involving patients who were diagnosed during primary HIV infection. Future simplification strategies to dual or monotherapies therefore remain of interest in order to avoid side effects of long-term TDF-containing cART, provided that efficacy is ensured.<sup>21</sup> Indeed, given the neutral effect of lamivudine on BMD and lipids, the improvement in proteinuria and BMD we observed can be expected to be similar in patients switching to DTG with lamivudine dual therapy.

### Authors' contribution

BJAR and CR designed the study and wrote the protocol. IEAW, CR, and BJAR contributed to literature search and conduct of the study. IEAW, CR, BJAR, SS, and MCZ collected, analyzed and interpreted data, wrote and reviewed the manuscript. IEAW, CR, and BJAR developed the figures and tables, TEMSV-S, CAMS, HIB, MEE, ECMG, JLN, and AV included patients and reviewed the manuscript. WFWB included patients, collected and interpreted data, and reviewed the manuscript.

#### Acknowledgments

The data presented in this study have been presented earlier in the Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, 2018, Boston, MA, USA, abstract 725.

### **Declaration of conflicting interests**

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by the Erasmus University Rotterdam Trustfund (grant number 97030.34/15). The institute of WB received reimbursement of expenses for investigator initiated study from Janssen Pharmaceuticals and compensation of expenses for participating in multicenter trial from GSK. BJAR received a grant from the EUR Trustfund during the study (Grant number 97030.34/15). CR and IEAW report personal fees from Gilead and Viiv outside the submitted work.

### **ORCID** iD

Ingeborg EA Wijting D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7077-9887

## References

- Hall AM, Hendry BM, Nitsch D, et al. Tenofovir-associated kidney toxicity in HIV-infected patients: a review of the evidence. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2011; 57: 773–780.
- Kinai E and Hanabusa H. Progressive renal tubular dysfunction associated with long-term use of tenofovir DF. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2009; 25: 387–394.
- Rokx C, Alshangi H, Verbon A, et al. Renal toxicity of concomitant exposure to tenofovir and inhibitors of tenofovir's renal efflux transporters in patients infected with HIV type 1. J Infect Dis 2016; 213: 561–568.
- Jose S, Hamzah L, Campbell LJ, et al. Incomplete reversibility of estimated glomerular filtration rate decline following tenofovir disoproxil fumarate exposure. *J Infect Dis* 2014; 210: 363–373.
- Grant PM, Kitch D, McComsey GA, et al. Long-term bone mineral density changes in antiretroviral-treated HIV-infected individuals. J Infect Dis 2016; 214: 607–611.
- Güerri-Fernández R, Molina-Morant D, Villar-García J, et al. Bone density, microarchitecture, and tissue quality after long-term treatment with tenofovir/emtricitabine or abacavir/lamivudine. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2017; 75: 322–327.
- Calmy A, Chevalley T, Delhumeau C, et al. Long-term HIV infection and antiretroviral therapy are associated with bone microstructure alterations in premenopausal women. *Osteoporos Int* 2013; 24: 1843–1852.
- McCloskey EV, Odén A, Harvey NC, et al. A metaanalysis of trabecular bone score in fracture risk prediction and its relationship to FRAX. *J Bone Miner Res* 2016; 31: 940–948.
- 9. Santos JR, Saumoy M, Curran A, et al. The lipidlowering effect of tenofovir/emtricitabine: a randomized,

crossover, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015; 61: 403–408.

- Arribas JR, Thompson M, Sax PE, et al. Brief report: randomized, double-blind comparison of tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) vs tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF), each coformulated with elvitegravir, cobicistat, and emtricitabine (E/C/F) for initial HIV-1 treatment: week 144 results. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2017; 75: 211–218.
- 11. Wijting IEA, Rokx C, Boucher CAB, et al. Dolutegravir as maintenance monotherapy for HIV (DOMONO): a phase 2, randomised, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet HIV* 2017; 4: e547–e554.
- Cottrell ML, Hadzic T and Kashuba AD. Clinical pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamics and drug-interaction profile of the integrase inhibitor dolutegravir. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2013; 52: 981–994.
- Nordell AD, McKenna M, Borges AH, et al. Severity of cardiovascular disease outcomes among patients with HIV is related to markers of inflammation and coagulation. J Am Heart Assoc 2014; 3: e000844.
- Borges AH, O'Connor JL, Philips AN, et al. Factors associated with plasma IL-6 levels during HIV infection. *J Infect Dis* 2016; 212: 585–595.
- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Chronic Kidney Disease Work Group. KDIGO 2012 clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int Suppl* 2013; 3: 1–150.

- Copenhagen HIV Programme (CHIP). Framingham risk score tools, https://www.chip.dk/Tools-Standards/ Clinical-risk-scores (accessed 9 May 2019).
- Zaharatos GJ and Wainberg MA. Update on rilpivirine: a new potent non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase (NNRTI) of HIV replication. *Ann Med* 2013; 45: 236–241.
- Rasch MG, Engsig FN, Feldt-Rasmussen B, et al. Renal function and incidence of chronic kidney disease in HIV patients: a Danish cohort study. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2012; 44: 689–696.
- Serrano-Villar S, Sainz T, Lee SA, et al. HIV infected individuals with low CD4/CD8 ratio despite effective antiretroviral therapy exhibit altered T-cell subsets, heightened CD8+ T cell activation, and increased risk of non-AIDS morbidity and mortality. *PLoS Pathog* 2014; 10: e1004078.
- 20. Hocqueloux L, Raffi F, Prazuck T, et al. Dolutegravir monotherapy versus dolutegravir/abacavir/lamivudine for virologically suppressed people living with chronic HIV infection: the randomized non-inferiority MONCAY trial. *Clin Infect Dis.* Jan 2019 Epub ahead of print 2019. DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciy1132.
- Braun DL, Turk T, Tschumi F, et al. Non-inferiority of simplified dolutegravir monotherapy compared to continued cART that was initiated during primary HIV infection: a randomized, controlled, multi-site, openlabel, non-inferiority trial. *Clin Infect Dis.* Jan 2019 Epub ahead of print 2019. DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciy1131.