

University of Groningen

## Clues to galaxy formation from the Milky Way and Local Group perspective

Helmi, A.

*Published in:*  
 Galaxy Formation

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*  
 Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*  
 2011

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Helmi, A. (2011). Clues to galaxy formation from the Milky Way and Local Group perspective. In *Galaxy Formation* (pp. 27). University of Groningen.

### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

*Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.*

# Clues to galaxy formation from the Milky Way and Local Group

Amina Helmi




university of  
 groningen

faculty of mathematics  
 and natural sciences


kapteyn astronomical  
 institute

# The Milky Way and satellites in context


- **Traditional approach to galaxy evolution**
  - Distant Universe: observing galaxies as they form
  - Statistical (global) properties of galaxy population
  
- **Detailed studies of Milky Way & near-field cosmology**
  - Representative system
  - Old stars in main components: “fossils”



# The Galaxy



How did the Galaxy come to be like this ?



M. Perryman

- Stars retain memory of origin: Orbits; chemical abundances; age distrib
  - > trace internal evolution
  - > mergers leave imprints in remnant: phase-space substructure

**Dynamics -> clues to history (and also about DM df)**

# Outline

- Milky Way and satellites
  - Relevance in a cosmological context
- Clues from the components of the Milky Way
  - Disk(s): thin and thick
  - Bulge/Bar
  - Stellar halo: history and realm of dark matter
- Satellites
  - Galaxy formation on the smallest scales
  - Dynamics and constraints on dark matter
- Summary

# The (thin and thick) disk(s)

Rix's review talk

Steinmetz (this afternoon)

# The disk(s)

- Two distinct disk populations in the solar neighbourhood


- Discovered via star counts
- $H_z(\text{thick})/H_z(\text{thin}) \sim 3$
- Normalization  $\sim 2 - 10\%$

(Robin et al. 2004, Juric et al. 2008)

- Kinematically cold/hot


- Different average metallicities (cf Bensby et al. 2011)

- Distinct abundance trends and age distribution



(Gilmore & Reid, 1983, MNRAS, 202, 102)





*Thin disc*

$$\langle [\text{Mg}/\text{H}] \rangle = -0.009 \pm 0.012 \text{ dex,}$$

$$\langle [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \rangle = -0.034 \pm 0.015 \text{ dex,}$$

*Thick disc*

$$\langle [\text{Mg}/\text{H}] \rangle = -0.207 \pm 0.049 \text{ dex,}$$

$$\langle [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \rangle = -0.584 \pm 0.057 \text{ dex,}$$

Age errors < 3 Gyr

Thin disk younger than 7-8 Gyr

Thick disk older than 8-9 Gyr

Stars with thick disk kinematics follow age-metallicity relation

Stars with thin disk kinematics: No AMR

Bensby et al. 2011


# Chemical Abundance ratios for thin and thick disk

Sample is volume complete  
(no kinematic biases)

Elemental abundance ratios clearly evidence two distinct populations

Thick disk: is enhanced in alpha-elements compared to thin disk

- Old ages >10 Gyr





Fuhrmann et al. 2008, 2011

This suggests truly physically distinct components



# Thick disk formation paths

# Thick disk formation simulations

- Thick disk can result from heating by minor merger of pre-existent disk (Quinn et al 1986...)





# Mergers and the disk: thick disk?



Purcell, Kazantzidis & Bullock 2009

- No strong spatial features (after few Gyr)
- Most stars originate in disk





Villalobos & Helmi 2009

## Volume around "Sun":

- Debris velocity distribution distinct from disk
- Characteristic "banana" shape (e.g. Helmi et al. 2006)

# Galactic thick disk: formation paths++

- Accretion: purely from disrupted satellites
  - Satellites accreted on preferential directions (Abadi et al. 2003)
- Gas-rich mergers/giant SF clumps
  - Intense star formation (gas unsettled; Brook et al 2004, Bournaud et al 2008)
- Radial migration
  - (Resonance) scattering by spiral arms drives stars from inner Galaxy to solar neighbourhood (Schoenrich & Binney 2009)



# A powerful test of formation: orbital eccentricity

- Stars' orbits:
  - pre-existing disk: fairly circular
  - from satellite: eccentric
- Generic test for any model of formation:  
e-distribution


1. Whole disk by accretion > Flat

2. Pre-existing disk >

- Pronounced peak at low e

- Secondary peak at high e

(if by merger event)





# Eccentricity distribution and models

- Integrate orbit in Galactic potential to derive e-distr for RAVE sample of stars
- Prominent peak at low ecc rules out accretion model
  - Most thick disk stars formed in-situ
- Shape appears most consistent w/merger model
  - Heating model shows second peak (not present in data; see Di Matteo et al 2010)
  - Migration model more symmetric than apparent in data

Sales et al. 2009

Wilson et al. (2011)  
Dierickx et al.(2010)





Bulge/Bar

# Structure and kinematics of the bulge



Bar / bulge is  $\sim 3.5$  kpc long, axial ratio  $\sim 1:0.35:0.25$

Position angle  $\sim 25$ deg from sun-center




Consistent with formation via a bar instability (Combes' review)

Best constraints on presence of classical bulge (via mergers) by Shen et al. (2010) from modeling of BRAVA data (Howard et al. 2008, 2009)




Ness et al (2011); Babusieux et al. 2010



# MDFs across latitudes -5 degrees, -10 degrees

See 4 components



cut in radius < 3.5kpc



Same 4 components

At -0.05 lower mean in [Fe/H] for  
-10° latitude field

- A  $\langle \text{Fe}/\text{H} \rangle = 0.14/0.09, \sigma = 0.155$
- B  $\langle \text{Fe}/\text{H} \rangle = -0.24/-0.29, \sigma = 0.155$
- C  $\langle \text{Fe}/\text{H} \rangle = -0.64/-0.69, \sigma = 0.21$
- D  $\langle \text{Fe}/\text{H} \rangle = -1.2/-1.25, \sigma = 0.15$
- E  $\langle \text{Fe}/\text{H} \rangle = -1.7, \sigma = 0.15$




The thin disk is metal-rich and covers a wide age range

The other stellar components are all relatively old

(note similarity of  $[Fe/H]$  range for thick disk, globular clusters and metal-poor bulge)


$[Fe/H]$  - age relation for components of the Galaxy



# Stellar halo

# Why care about stellar halos?



- Most metal-poor and ancient stars
  - window into the early Universe
- Orbiting outskirts of galaxies: good mass probes
- Can form from the superposition of disrupted satellites
  - stars retain memory of their origin  
-> merger history
- Some fraction likely formed in-situ
  - In gas rich mergers (Zolotov, Font, Tissera)
  - Scattered off from disks during mergers (Purcell, Zolotov)



Helmi et al. (2011)

# Outer Stellar halo


- Substructure common in the halo (SDSS, 2MASS...)
  - > mergers
    - > Broad, diffuse streams (large progenitors? ...but beware of b overdensities -> nature not always clear



Belokurov et al 2007



# Aquarius halos coupled to SA models




Springel et al. 2008

- 1% most bound particles represent stars/stellar pops in these objects
- Follow the history, their present-day location and dynamics (talk by Cooper)

# Stellar halo formation in the Aquarius simulations

# Aquarius on the sky




Inner halo ( $d < 10$  kpc): very smooth (triaxial in shape)

Substructure apparent at  $d > 10$  kpc and dominant at  $d > 30-50$  kpc

Anisotropically distributed (coherent in dist): infall pattern!

# Stellar halos at $d \sim 10\text{-}30$ kpc



Broad/diffuse features  
dominant

Narrow streams also  
present

Sgr and O-stream visible in  
the Aq-A sky!

# Quantitative comparison

- RMS measure

stellar halos have too much substructure compared to Bell et al (2008)


- Contamination by QSOs and by non-MSTO stars leads to better agreement

- Need for 10% smooth or in-situ pop.

  - 30% at  $r \sim 19$

  - See sims. Zolotov et al. 2009, Purcell et al. 2010, Font et al. 2011

  - Foregrounds (thick disk?)



Helmi et al. 2011

# Satellites

Frenk's review

# The satellites of the Milky Way: dwarf spheroidal galaxies

Very faint systems:  $100 - 10^7 L_{\text{sun}}$

Dynamical mass estimates:  $10^7 - 10^9 M_{\text{sun}}$

- Most DM dominated systems known
  - Dynamical modeling can neglect the effect of baryons
  - Probe the innermost regions (constraints on cusps vs cores)



Contain very old populations

windows into the early universe


Reionization

Relation to galactic building blocks?

Talks by Okamoto, Martin and Peñarrubia



# Comparing the different types




de Boer et al. 2010

Cole et al. 2007

Lynds et al. 1998





**a**

Frebel et al. 2010;  
 Koch et al. 2008;  
 Shetrone et al. 2003

**Star abundance in uFds**


- Coma
- UMa II
- ▲ Herc

# MW satellites

- Recent years huge data growth: MOS on 4m & 8m-class telescopes

WHT: Kleyna et al (Draco, Umi); VLT: Battaglia et al (Scl, Fnx, Sex) - Koch et al. (Leo I, Leo II); Magellan & MMT: Walker et al (7 dSph); Munoz et al (Carina)

- Latest results:
  - Fairly flat velocity dispersion profiles




Walker et al (2007)


# MW satellites

Latest results:

- mass scale within 0.3 kpc similar (also inside  $r_{1/2}$ ; Wolf et al 2010)
- Indicative of a common (minimum or fundamental) mass scale?
- Expected in LCDM?



Strigari et al (2007)




Strigari et al (2008)

# Modeling the satellites in $\Lambda$ CDM



- Relevant physical processes on these scales
  - re-ionization:  $z_i = 15$  to  $z_f = 11.5$  (Gnedin 2000)
  - small halos ( $T < 10^4$  K) cannot cool (inefficient coolants)
  - Feedback models need to account for shallow potential-wells (Bullock et al. 2000, Benson et al. 2003...Maccio et al. 2010, Font et al. 2011)
- Convergence in LF; variety in abundance of satellites (driven by halo mass).

$N(< M_v)$



# Internal properties

- Luminosity-metallicity relation
  - (also luminosity-size)
- Common mass-scale:
  - **Factor 10 spread in innermost mass, a factor  $10^5$  in luminosity!**
  - Most of the satellites have  $M(r < 600 \text{ pc})$  in the range observed




# Star formation histories

Large variety in SFH histories


Driven by mass and by time of infall

Star formation rates very low for low mass objects

Fainter satellites have higher fraction of stars formed prior/around reionization



Our model produces galaxies similar to Fnx, Car, Scl



# Summary

- Milky Way and satellites: unique testbeds of cosmology and galaxy evolution
- Different components contain different clues to assembly history
  - Thin and Thick Disk(s): distinct in all properties; unclear whether linked assembly
  - Bulge/Bar: (dynamical) properties consistent with disk instability
  - Stellar halo: repository of merger debris; evidence mostly in the outskirts
  - Satellites: old, ancient stars, survivors of a population (building blocks), interesting dynamically for DM
- Many photometric and spectroscopic surveys
  - Important to move away from solar neighbourhood
  - Chemistry and kinematics will lead new insights (e.g. First stars, DM lumps)
- Culmination with Gaia mission: launch end 2012/spring 2013
  - 1 billion stars out to 100 kpc with (complete) phase-space information



Thank you for  
your  
attention

