

University of Groningen

## Anchoring historical sequences using a new source of astro-chronological tie-points

Dee, Michael W.; Pope, Benjamin J.S.

*Published in:*

Proceedings of the Royal Society A, Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences

*DOI:*

[10.1098/rspa.2016.0263](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2016.0263)

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*

2016

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Dee, M. W., & Pope, B. J. S. (2016). Anchoring historical sequences using a new source of astro-chronological tie-points. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A, Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 472(2192). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2016.0263>

### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

*Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.*

Research



**Cite this article:** Dee MW, Pope BJS. 2016

Anchoring historical sequences using a new source of astro-chronological tie-points. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **472**: 20160263.

*R. Soc. A* **472**: 20160263.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2016.0263>

Received: 12 April 2016

Accepted: 15 July 2016

**Subject Areas:**

computer modelling and simulation,  
atmospheric science, atmospheric chemistry

**Keywords:**

radiocarbon, chronology, Miyake Events,  
early civilization, atmospheric carbon

**Author for correspondence:**

Michael W. Dee

e-mail: [michael.dee@rlaha.ox.ac.uk](mailto:michael.dee@rlaha.ox.ac.uk)

Electronic supplementary material is available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2016.0263> or via <http://rspa.royalsocietypublishing.org>.

# Anchoring historical sequences using a new source of astro-chronological tie-points

Michael W. Dee<sup>1</sup> and Benjamin J. S. Pope<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>RLAHA, Dyson Perrins Building, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3QY, UK

<sup>2</sup>Oxford Astrophysics, Denys Wilkinson Building, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK

 MWD, 0000-0002-3116-453X; BJSP, 0000-0003-2595-9114

The discovery of past spikes in atmospheric radiocarbon activity, caused by major solar energetic particle events, has opened up new possibilities for high-precision chronometry. The two spikes, or Miyake Events, have now been widely identified in tree-rings that grew in the years 775 and 994 CE. Furthermore, all other plant material that grew in these years would also have incorporated the anomalously high concentrations of radiocarbon. Crucially, some plant-based artefacts, such as papyrus documents, timber beams and linen garments, can also be allocated to specific positions within long, currently unfixed, historical sequences. Thus, Miyake Events represent a new source of tie-points that could provide the means for anchoring early chronologies to the absolute timescale. Here, we explore this possibility, outlining the most expeditious approaches, the current challenges and obstacles, and how they might best be overcome.

## 1. Introduction

The annual history of Western civilization only extends back as far as 763 BCE [1,2], even though state-level societies emerged several millennia before this time. Similarly, Chinese history is only widely agreed from 841 BCE [3]. For earlier periods, historians and archaeologists use king-lists, stratigraphy, artefact continua and other relative sequences to order events. The timelines that result are called ‘floating’ chronologies because they are fragmentary and unfixed on the absolute (BCE/CE) timescale. Precise attribution of ancient astronomical observations can help anchor floating chronologies. For example, the aforementioned 763 BCE date relates to a

solar eclipse recorded during the ninth year of Ashur-Dan III of Assyria [1]. However, most early records of the night sky are either too ambiguous or, perversely, too common to pinpoint such chronologies. Some assistance is provided by the chronometric techniques, especially radiocarbon ( $^{14}\text{C}$ ) dating, but even here estimates are only precise to within 200–300 calendar years. Bayesian modelling, which allows  $^{14}\text{C}$  and relative data to be combined, has enabled some historical events to be constrained to within decades—but only in exceptional circumstances (see [4,5]). In any case, decadal resolution is still of limited value for the analysis of human societies. In short, the earliest periods of civilization currently rest on insecure foundations, so any comparisons between such societies should be considered provisional, and any alignments between cultural and climatic records at these times regarded as even more tenuous.


In this paper, we propose a new strategy for anchoring the floating chronologies of early history to the absolute (BCE/CE) timescale, thereby extending the exact history of civilization back several millennia. The method is also based on rare astronomical events, but employs the cosmogenic isotope  $^{14}\text{C}$  as the observer, and tree-rings as the natural almanac. In this study, our objectives are to outline the rationale and potential of the dating method, to define the remaining challenges, and to describe how they might best be overcome. Pragmatic considerations such as measurement costs and sampling permissions are not discussed.

## 2. Miyake Events

Radiocarbon is produced in the upper atmosphere primarily by the capture of thermalized neutrons by nitrogen [6–8]. The neutrons are almost entirely liberated by cosmic ray spallation, although photoneutron reactions from  $\gamma$ -ray strikes are also a possible origin [9–11]. The radioisotope rapidly becomes oxidized to  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ , and evenly distributed around the globe.  $^{14}\text{C}$  is incorporated into plant tissue by way of photosynthesis and is thereafter transmitted up the food chain. When an organism dies, however, the  $^{14}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$  ratio of its tissue declines exponentially due to  $\beta$ -decay. Thus, measurement of this ratio provides an estimate of the time elapsed since the organism was alive [6,12]. Very soon after the invention of the  $^{14}\text{C}$  dating method, however, it became apparent that the data being generated needed to be corrected for past changes in the atmospheric activity of the radioisotope [13,14]. This process is called  $^{14}\text{C}$  calibration. In essence, it involves comparing the  $^{14}\text{C}$  measurement obtained on the sample with a reference curve of  $^{14}\text{C}$  measurements made on samples of independently established age (through dendrochronology or varve counting, for example). The Northern Hemisphere terrestrial calibration curve is known as IntCal13 [15]. Because of the propagation of measurement uncertainty in creating the  $^{14}\text{C}$  calibration record, and because the record fluctuates or even remains flat with time, individual sample measurements usually generate calendar date ranges of around 100–200 years.

The observed variation in atmospheric activity is largely a product of  $^{12}\text{CO}_2$  emissions from volcanic and oceanic activity, but is also influenced by changing rates of cosmic flux (see [16]). Interannual fluctuations were originally thought to be negligible (less than 1–2‰, or less than 8–16  $^{14}\text{C}$  years); however, this assumption was radically undermined by Miyake *et al.* [17], who published a major change in atmospheric concentrations (approx. 12‰ or 100  $^{14}\text{C}$  years) between the years 774 and 775 CE. The following year, the same team published a second anomaly, this time between 993 and 994 CE, similar in profile and magnitude to the first (approx. 9‰, [18]).

These rapid rises in atmospheric  $^{14}\text{C}$  concentration (henceforth, *Miyake Events*) inherit a combination of features that make them unique for high-precision chronometry. Firstly, they are easily distinguishable from the normal equable patterning of  $^{14}\text{C}$  in the atmosphere. In fact, the first year of a Miyake Event takes the form of an almost vertical spike in atmospheric activity (figure 1). Secondly, the exact calendar year in which a Miyake Event occurred is easily ascertainable, because tree-rings retain the  $^{14}\text{C}$  signal from the year in which they grew, and dendrochronological archives exist in which the growth year of every tree-ring is exactly known. Finally, Miyake Events are precisely synchronous and of comparable magnitude all around the Earth (figure 1). The spike in 775 CE, for example, has already been found in tree-rings



**Figure 1.** Time profiles of the measured  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$  content in tree-rings from different species and different locations around the world. The two spikes are obvious, and separated by exactly 219 calendar years. For ease of display, the data obtained on the kauri samples from New Zealand have all been elevated by 5‰, an amount which approximately corresponds to the offset between Northern and Southern Hemisphere  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$  values. (Online version in colour.)

from Germany [19], Russia and the USA [20]) and New Zealand [21]. Crucially, the enriched concentrations will also have been absorbed by all other growing plants at the time, including those latterly fashioned into cultural items.

Miyake Events are also exceptional because they denote significant increases in  $^{14}\text{C}$ . Decreases due to the natural emission of fossil  $\text{CO}_2$  are common but, prior to the Nuclear Age, no known terrestrial process could have been responsible for such sudden and globally observed enrichments. Primarily on this basis, it was determined that the spikes must have been caused by pulses of radiation from space. The Sun, at first, was not thought capable of emitting radiation of the required magnitude [10,17,22]. However, the consensus is now that intense solar energetic particle (SEP) events are indeed responsible [19,21,23,24]. SEP events can be the result of extreme solar flares or interplanetary coronal mass ejections (ICMEs); although the mechanisms by which they increase spallation in the atmosphere and hence intensify  $^{14}\text{C}$  production are still being resolved [25,26].

### 3. Use as exact time markers

Miyake Events provide an opportunity for the precision of dendrochronology to be combined with the versatility of  $^{14}\text{C}$  dating. In a sense, they allow for the exportation of tree-ring dates to a variety of other materials. The dating process requires two main steps. Firstly, more events must be uncovered in the dendrochronological archives, and thus dated to the exact calendar year. In two cases, the 775 and 994 CE Events, this has already been done. However, it is currently unclear how regular the events are, in either magnitude or occurrence frequency. This paucity of information is a product of the misconception that annual  $^{14}\text{C}$  variation was negligible and because  $^{14}\text{C}$  measurements are comparatively expensive to obtain. In the construction of the IntCal13 calibration record, [15], for example, measurements were mostly made on decadal blocks of tree-rings, a technique that completely denuded the annual substructure of the record.

The recent attribution of the single-year spikes to SEP events greatly improved the probability that many more will be discovered in the Holocene. This can be concluded because the activity of the Sun is marked by its cyclicity, and because the two events discovered thus far are

comparatively close together. The galactic and extra-galactic causes originally mooted, on the other hand, are now much less likely as they are thought to occur on multimillennial timescales [10,26,27]. Potential strategies for uncovering more Miyake Events are addressed further below.

The second step of the dating process requires  $^{14}\text{C}$  measurements to be made on samples from plant-based artefacts that come from successive calendar years. If one of the samples overlies a known spike, the entire sequence can be automatically allocated to exactly one point in time. The simplest working example involves applying the technique to another piece of wood, such as a beam from a timber-framed house. It would first be necessary to determine whether the object might date near an anomaly. This could be established by making a few  $^{14}\text{C}$  dates in the normal fashion, or indeed it may be known *a priori* on the basis of the archaeo-historical context. Subsequently, annual or biennial tree-ring samples are measured until the anomaly is found. From this datum, the number of rings outward to the bark edge would just need to be counted to obtain the exact calendar year for the felling of the tree. This approach has already proven successful. Wacker *et al.* [28] used the spike in 775 CE to date a chapel in Val Müstair, Switzerland to 786 CE. The universality of the method becomes apparent when one realizes that the same signal will be present in every wooden building in the world constructed at this time. But the greatest potential of the approach pertains to the case where the object itself also has a defined position within an independent chronology. For ancient history, this means artefacts attributed to specific years of floating chronologies. The approach is exactly analogous to the use of Miyake Events to anchor the Greenland ice-core chronologies, which has also just been accomplished [29]. Indeed, the tie-points are being sought in other environmental archives as well, such as coral sequences [30,31]. However, in many respects, the archaeological scope and benefits are potentially even more profound, as exemplified by the following two key chronologies.


### (a) The Mesoamerican long count

The Mesoamerican Long Count (MLC) is a day numbering system that was used in pre-Columbian America, underpinning the chronology of the Classic Maya and other contemporary civilizations. It spans at least a full millennium although it not known whether any inconsistencies exist in the sequence over this time [32,33]. The MLC has never been precisely connected to the absolute (CE/BCE) calendar. The most widely accepted alignment is called the Goodman–Martínez–Thompson (GMT) correlation but others, which vary by as much as centuries, are also in use [32,34]. As a result, the entire MLC can be regarded as a long floating chronology. In fact, the earliest truly fixable date in the Americas is still taken to be the arrival of Columbus in 1492 CE.

Some wooden lintels from Maya temples are inscribed with MLC dates. Recently, a suite of  $^{14}\text{C}$  measurements were made on one such artefact from the site of Tikal, and subsequently incorporated in a Bayesian chronological model. The felling date produced of 658–696 (CE, 95% probability) was consistent with the GMT correlation [34]. However, the discovery of a Miyake Event in an artefact like this would potentially secure it to the exact year, concomitantly anchoring the entire Mesoamerican chronology. Care would have to be taken to distinguish annual rings, and isotopic methods may prove best for this [35], but the opportunity is striking as the lintels of Tikal are tantalizing close to the 775 event that has already been used to date the chapel at Val Müstair.

### (b) The Egyptian chronology

The precision and longevity of the Egyptian chronology is unrivalled in the ancient world. It comprises a sequence of kings and queens and the lengths of their reigns. The most important sections are those that ‘float’ over the third and second millennia BCE, as few other historical timelines are available for this period. The chronology is also central to the rise of Western civilization, as a number of contemporaneous societies are effectively dated by tracing material synchronisms back to Egypt [2,36]. The historical record comprises periods where long contiguous sections are common, called the Old, Middle and New Kingdoms, interspersed by




**Figure 2.** A schematic of how the dating process would work for samples other than tree-rings. The squares represent the actual data for the 994 CE production spike from Miyake *et al.* [38]. The diamonds are hypothetical data points for various documents dated to a particular ruler's reign. To effect a match, it is not necessary for samples to be found for every regnal year. (Online version in colour.)

Intermediate Periods of unknown duration. One of the longest continuous sections (*ca* 200 years) comes from the Middle Kingdom. It is currently situated in absolute time using one text foretelling the heliacal rising of Sirius from the mid-nineteenth century BCE [37]. But this tie-point is much disputed and estimates of the true position of the sequence still vary by more than 50 years [2].

One of the advantages of archaeological sequences is that the group of samples might not even need to come from the same object. It would suffice to obtain a series of annual plant materials that are explicitly from successive calendar years. So, for example, a series of individual papyri from consecutive regnal years would act in the same way as a series of individual tree-rings; although, the possibility of palimpsests would also have to be taken into account. Assuming the papyri were all used soon after they were harvested, it also follows that not every year of the sequence would have to be covered. An anomaly as pronounced as the two discovered so far would be evident in biennial or even triennial samples (figure 2). Further still, the artefact being measured would not even need to be directly labelled with the cultural date. For example, cedar beams that contain tens of annual rings are available from the Bent Pyramid of King Sneferu. There is strong archaeological evidence that cedar obtained from Lebanon, and used in the Bent Pyramid, was obtained during the earliest years of Sneferu's reign (see [39]: 177). Indeed, it is likely that his accession date was no more than 10 years before this event. Using this assumption, and the hypothetical discovery of a Miyake Event somewhere in the cedar tree-rings, the decade within which his accession took place could be secured. Moreover, even just fixing this one decade would radically improve the chronology of the Eastern Mediterranean during this important time period. This effect is illustrated in figure 3 using simulations in the OxCal chronological modelling program [40].

Although the most appropriate samples for the method are annually resolved plant archives, spikes may also be detectable in less precisely defined contexts. For example, if anomalies in  $^{14}\text{C}$  content are identified between plants within the same structure, such as reed matting in a mud-brick building, or harvest grains in the same silo, it may be possible to ascribe an exact calendar year to the feature in question. However, care would have to be taken to exclude the possibility that the spike did not just arise from intrusive younger material (and therefore richer in  $^{14}\text{C}$ ).





**Figure 3.** A comparison between the 95% probability ranges (square brackets) currently possible (paler densities) and those that could be achieved by the new approach (darker densities) for two important sections of the Egyptian chronology. By fixing the accession date of Sneferu to within one decade (*a*), the dates for a number of his successors are also significantly refined. If a single-year match, like that described in figure 2, were achieved for items from the reign of Thutmose III (*b*), the uncertainty propagated forward is solely a product of current knowledge of the succeeding reign lengths. The OxCal model code for these simulations, which are modifications to Bronk Ramsey *et al.* [4], is given in the electronic supplementary material. (Online version in colour.)

A further goal might also be to identify a  $^{14}\text{C}$  spike in animal remains. Because of the complexity of animal metabolism and tissue turnover, it is only likely that this will be realized if a specific compound of plant origin, which is rapidly directed into short-lived tissue such as skin or hair, can be isolated and measured.

## 4. Uncovering new events

It is important to stress that this method could immediately be rolled out for any historical sequences that traverse the 775 and 993 CE anomalies. Indeed, chronologies that straddle both events offer the prospect of dual tie-points, and hence checks on the internal consistency of the record at hand. However, in order for the method to be extended to the earliest millennia of civilization, new spikes need to be found. The two main strategies that could be deployed toward this goal are the interpolation of existing datasets and analysis of historical records.

### (a) Gaussian process modelling

Three cosmogenic isotope time series exist that should preserve information on the past occurrence Miyake Events: the  $^{14}\text{C}$  calibration curve (tree-ring) data, and the  $^{10}\text{Be}$  and  $^{36}\text{Cl}$  (ice-core) measurements (e.g. [41,42]). This is because their production pathways and cross-sections are similar, albeit not identical [7,10]. In their current form, however, these data are too coarse to

reveal the single-year spikes. As previously mentioned, the  $^{14}\text{C}$  data mostly represent averages over decades; and the ice-core results tend to be point estimates every 10 years (or more). It is not practicable to make the thousands of measurements necessary on known-age tree-rings to determine directly when Miyake Events occurred. Fortunately, Gaussian process (GP) modelling is having considerable success at uncovering 'change points' hidden within time series analogous to cosmogenic isotope records [43]. A GP provides a probability distribution over functions as an extension of how a multivariate Gaussian is a distribution over vectors: any finite collection of samples from the (infinite-dimensional) function is itself distributed as a multivariate Gaussian, with the covariance matrix determined by a 'kernel', which is a function of the input variable (for example time); different kernels encode information about the sorts of variation that are expected in functions drawn from the GP [44].


In specific terms, there are two main ways in which GPs could help to determine when sudden increases in  $^{14}\text{C}$  occurred. Firstly, they have the capacity to extract information on gradient from even a sparse or noisy function, which is the prime conveyor of information regarding dislocations and abrupt changes in the data. Secondly, they can be engineered around 'change-point kernels,' which explicitly address the question of whether a change has taken place in the data. In addition to this, multi-input multi-output GP approaches can simultaneously combine information from radiocarbon and other sources, such as ice-core isotope samples. By applying these analytical strategies to the three datasets, alongside more obvious patterning like possible periodicity, the years of highest probability should be disclosed.

To demonstrate the efficacy of this approach, we have run simulations using more elementary GP models of the IntCal13 time series (figure 4) across the Holocene. We intentionally restrict our time series to only decadal averages, even though at some times data are available with higher time resolution, in order to obtain a homogeneous dataset to demonstrate the technique; different noise processes at different resolutions would otherwise necessitate a more detailed GP model. Noting there are very-long-term (approx. 1000 year) and short-term (approx. decades) variations, we choose a GP kernel consisting of a white noise kernel, plus two squared exponential kernels, which describe time series whose variations are smooth. One is initialized with a 20-year timescale, and the other with a 1000-year timescale. We then locally optimize these hyperparameters to obtain the best possible likelihood with respect to the time series. In future work, we may deliver more precise and accurate results using more sophisticated approaches: for example, incorporating heterogeneous data obtained at different resolutions, where short timescales resolve the solar cycle; more appropriate kernels, such as Matérn kernels, which allow for more roughness; multi-input multi-output models; and explicit change-point techniques.

Table 1 lists the most prominent changes in atmospheric  $^{14}\text{C}$ , as detected by the algorithm, both upwards and downwards. These are produced by ranking the largest positive and negative excursions from the GP predictive mean, divided by the GP uncertainty at each point; we display only the top seven such candidate years in each direction. It should be noted that these outputs are provisional, and also entirely dependent upon the accuracy of the raw measurements themselves. With several exceptions, these event candidates are rarely more than three sigma away from the mean, and therefore it is certainly not the case that each uptick will represent a Miyake Event: many will turn out to be 'false positives' and, where the data are too poor, spikes may have been missed. The 775 CE event is easily detected, and it is not even the most dramatic excursion in the dataset over this time (figure 4). The known 994 CE event, however, is not so obvious. Nonetheless, by applying these approaches to all the cosmogenic isotope datasets, and uniting the outputs with other sources of information (see historical observations below), the process of uncovering past events should be accelerated.

As previously discussed, decreases in  $^{14}\text{C}$  can be caused by any number of volcanic, oceanic or potentially even burning events. The signals from such events, however, can be delayed, or attenuated over several years, so attributing them to specific occurrences can be problematic. However, if a sharp decline is detected, better still one adjacent to a sharp increase, such as is apparent for the years 676 and 656 BCE, this may form a unique pattern in the annual  $^{14}\text{C}$  record that would make for an even more reliable time marker.





**Figure 4.** Some of the key anomalies in past  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$  values identified by the Gaussian process analysis: the uplift between 774 and 775 CE (a), a rapid decrease closely followed by a rapid rise in the middle of the Iron Age (b), a sudden uplift in the Early Bronze Age (c) and the most dramatic rise detected in the late Holocene (d). (Online version in colour.)

**Table 1.** The years identified by the Gaussian process model as most likely to represent when sudden changes in the atmospheric radiocarbon concentration occurred, including both enrichments and depletions.

prominent increase years	prominent decrease years
3886 BCE	4126 BCE
3076 BCE	3876 BCE
2387 BCE	1327 BCE
1677 BCE	676 BCE
656 BCE	456 BCE
544 CE	794 CE
774 CE	1044 CE

## (b) Historical observations of aurorae

Incident SEP events disrupt the Earth's magnetic field directing streams of charged particles into the Polar Regions enlarging the red, green and blue aurorae [45]. The Carrington Event was the

strongest ICME witnessed in modern times and the disturbance caused to the Earth's magnetic field was so great that aurorae were observed as far south as Hawaii [45]. Many early societies were obsessive recorders of the night sky, and such records could be scoured for clues to dramatic aurorae events [46]. Any such attestations should be dealt with cautiously, in consultation with experts, but it is clear that mutually corroborating records are present in the historical record. For example, identical observations are found in records for geographically separate civilizations of East Asia [47], and it has been postulated that 775 Event was alluded to in the *Anglo Saxon Chronicle* [48].

## 5. The prospect of exact ancient history

Miyake Events present a new paradigm for chronology. Because of their annual resolution and global occurrence, only a handful of tie-points would be required to revolutionize current understanding of early civilization. Anchoring records in absolute time will expose ancient history to the level of scrutiny considered essential in modern history. Processes of cause and effect in human societies, even those of long-term consequence, commonly take place on annual or sub-annual timescales. The inability of existing chronometric methods to unpick such processes is manifest. For example, if the twentieth century CE were visible at the resolution currently available for the twentieth century BCE, the two World Wars would currently be indistinguishable in time.

Furthermore, if more and more parochial records were secured by the annual tie-points, a chronological lattice would emerge within which the pattern of knowledge and technology flow could be readily observed. For example, if two adjacent civilizations with their own chronologies, such as Egypt and Assyria in the second millennium BCE, could be fixed to the same Miyake Event, new questions could be addressed about the exchanges between them. The passage of other important ideas, which may not leave directly datable evidence, such as mathematical, ideological or religious concepts, would also be traceable across space, as each culture would be secured to the same time frame.

## 6. Conclusion

Astronomical observations have long been essential to chronology. Single-year spikes in the Holocene  $^{14}\text{C}$  record present an entirely new type of astro-chronological tie-point. In this study, we have introduced both the theoretical and practical aspects of a employing these events for high-precision dating. It is envisaged that the method will ultimately provide important new insights into early civilization.

**Authors' contributions.** M.W.D. conceived the dating approach and drafted the paper. M.W.D. and B.J.S.P. collectively developed the ideas and their implications. B.J.S.P. wrote and defined the GP analysis.

**Competing interests.** We declare we have no competing interests.

**Funding.** M.W.D. is supported by a Leverhulme Trust Early Career Fellowship (ECF-2012-123). This study built on an earlier investigation the authors conducted into supernovae and Miyake Events, supported by the Balliol Interdisciplinary Institute (B.J.S.P. as PI; M.W.D. as Co-I).

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank Professor Suzanne Aigrain for her comments on the draft.

## References

1. Rawlinson H. 1867 The Assyrian Canon verified by the record of a solar eclipse, B.C. 763. *The Athenaeum* **2064**, 660–661.
2. Kitchen KA. 1991 The chronology of ancient Egypt. *World Archaeology* **23**, 201–208. (doi:10.1080/00438243.1991.9980172)
3. Watson B. 1961 *Records of the grand historian of China*. New York, NY: CUP.
4. Bronk Ramsey C *et al.* 2010 Radiocarbon-based chronology for dynastic Egypt. *Science* **328**, 1554–1559. (doi:10.1126/science.1189395)

5. Dee M, Wengrow D, Shortland A, Stevenson A, Brock F, Flink LG, Bronk Ramsey C. 2013 An absolute chronology for early Egypt using radiocarbon dating and Bayesian statistical modelling. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **469**, 20130395. (doi:10.1098/rspa.2013.0395).
6. Libby WF, Anderson EC, Arnold JR. 1949 Age determination by radiocarbon content: world-wide assay of natural radiocarbon. *Science* **109**, 227–228. (doi:10.1126/science.109.2827.227)
7. Beer J, McCracken K, von Steiger R. 2012 *Cosmogenic radionuclides: theory and applications in the terrestrial and space environments*. Berlin, Germany: Springer.
8. Kovaltsov GA, Mishev A, Usoskin IG. 2012 A new model of cosmogenic production of radiocarbon  $^{14}\text{C}$  in the atmosphere. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **337–338**, 114–120. (doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2012.05.036)
9. Povinec P, Tokar T. 1979 Gamma-rays from supernovae and radiocarbon production. In *Proc. of 16th International Cosmic Rays Conference*, ed. S. Miyake, 237–242. Tokyo, Japan: University of Tokyo Press.
10. Pavlov AK, Blinov AV, Vasilyev GI, Vdovina MA, Volkov PA, Konstantinov AN, Ostryakov VM. 2013 Gamma-ray bursts and the production of cosmogenic radionuclides in the Earth's atmosphere. *Astron. Lett.* **39**, 571–577. (doi:10.1134/S1063773713090041)
11. Carlson BE, Lehtinen NG, Inan US. 2010 Neutron production in terrestrial gamma ray flashes. *J. Geophys. Res.* **115**, 1–6. (doi:10.1029/2009JA014696)
12. Arnold JR, Libby WF. 1949 Age determinations by radiocarbon content—checks with samples of known age. *Science* **110**, 678–680. (doi:10.1126/science.110.2869.678)
13. Suess HE. 1955 Radiocarbon concentration in modern wood. *Science* **22**, 415–417. (doi:10.1126/science.122.3166.415-a)
14. Tans PP, De Jong AFM, Mook WG. 1979 Natural atmospheric  $^{14}\text{C}$  variation and the Suess effect. *Nature* **280**, 826–828. (doi:10.1038/280826a0)
15. Reimer PJ *et al.* 2013 IntCal13 and Marine13 radiocarbon age calibration curves 0–50,000 years cal BP. *Radiocarbon* **55**, 1869–1887. (doi:10.2458/azu\_/js\_rc.55.16947)
16. Bronk Ramsey C. 2008 Radiocarbon dating: revolutions in understanding. *Archaeometry* **50**, 249–275. (doi:10.1111/j.1475-4754.2008.00394.x)
17. Miyake F, Nagaya K, Masuda K, Nakamura T. 2012 A signature of cosmic-ray increase in AD 774–775 from tree rings in Japan. *Nature* **486**, 240–242. (doi:10.1038/nature11123)
18. Miyake F, Masuda K, Nakamura T. 2013 Another rapid event in the carbon-14 content of tree rings. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 1748–1752. (doi:10.1038/ncomms2783)
19. Usoskin IG, Kromer B, Ludlow F, Beer J, Friedrich M, Kovaltsov GA, Solanki SK, Wacker L. 2013 The AD 775 cosmic event revisited: the sun is to blame. *Astron. Astrophys.* **L3**, 1–4.
20. Jull AJT, Panyushkina IP, Lange TE, Kukarskih VV, Myglan VS, Clark KJ, Salzer MW, Burr GS, Leavitt SW. 2014 Excursions in the radiocarbon record at A.D. 774–775 in tree-rings from Russia and America. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **41**, 3004–3010. (doi:10.1002/2014GL059874)
21. Gütthler D *et al.* 2015 Rapid increase in cosmogenic radiocarbon in AD 775 measured in New Zealand Kauri trees indicates short-lived increase in radiocarbon production spanning both hemispheres. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **411**, 290–297. (doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.11.048)
22. Hambaryan VV, Neuhäuser R. 2013 A galactic short gamma-ray burst as cause for the  $^{14}\text{C}$  peak in AD 774/5. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **430**, 32–36. (doi:10.1093/mnras/sts378)
23. Melott AL, Thomas BC. 2012 Causes of an AD 774–775  $^{14}\text{C}$  increase. *Nature* **491**, E1–E2. (doi:10.1038/nature11695)
24. Mekhaldi F *et al.* 2015 Multiradionuclide evidence for the solar origin of the cosmic-ray events of AD 774/5 and 993/4. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 1–8. (doi:10.1038/ncomms9611)
25. Usoskin IG, Kovaltsov GA. 2012 Occurrence of extreme solar particle events: assessment from historical proxy data. *Astrophys. J.* **757**, 1–23. (doi:10.1088/0004-637X/757/1/92)
26. Cliver EW, Tylka AJ, Dietrich WF, Ling AG. 2014 On a solar origin for the cosmogenic nuclide event of 775 A.D. *Astrophys. J.* **781**, 32. (doi:10.1088/0004-637X/781/1/32)
27. Melott AL, Usoskin IG, Kovaltsov GA, Laird CM. 2015 Has the Earth been exposed to numerous supernovae within the last 300 kyr? *Int. J. Astrobiol.* **14**, 375–378. (doi:10.1017/S1473550414000512)
28. Wacker L, Gütthler D, Goll J, Hurni JP, Synal H-A, Walti N. 2014 Radiocarbon dating to a single year by means of rapid atmospheric  $^{14}\text{C}$  changes. *Radiocarbon* **56**, 573–579. (doi:10.1017/S0033822200049626)
29. Sigl M *et al.* 2015 Volcanic timing and climate forcing of volcanic eruptions for the past 2,500 years. *Nature* **523**, 543–549. (doi:10.1038/nature14565)

30. Lui Y *et al.* 2014 Mysterious abrupt carbon-14 increase in coral contributed by a comet. *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 1–4.
31. Ding P, Shen C, Yi W, Wang N, Ding X, Liu K, Fu D, Liu W, Liu Y. 2015 A high resolution method for  $^{14}\text{C}$  analysis of a coral from South China Sea: implication for “AD 775”  $^{14}\text{C}$  event. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B* **361**, 659–664. (doi:10.1016/j.nimb.2015.06.032)
32. Thompson JEric. 1937 Maya chronology: the correlation question. *Contrib. Am. Archaeol.* III **14**, 51–104.
33. Thompson JES. 1950 *Maya hieroglyphic writing: introduction*. Washington, DC: Carnegie Institution of Washington.
34. Kennett DJ *et al.* 2013 Correlating the ancient Maya and modern European calendars with high-precision AMS radiocarbon dating. *Sci. Rep.* **3**, 1–5. (doi:10.1038/srep01597)
35. Cernusak LA, English NB. 2015 Beyond tree-ring widths: stable isotopes sharpen the focus on climate responses of temperate forest trees. *Tree Physiol.* **35**, 1–3. (doi:10.1093/treephys/tpu115)
36. Hornung E. 2006 The New Kingdom. In *Ancient Egyptian chronology* (eds R Krauss, DA Warburton), pp. 197–217. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
37. Parker RA. 1950 *The calendars of ancient Egypt*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
38. Miyake F, Masuda K, Hakozaiki M, Nakamura T, Tokanai F, Kato K, Kimura K, Mitsutani T. 2014 Verification of the cosmic-ray event in AD 993–994 by using a Japanese Hinoki tree. *Radiocarbon* **56**, 1189–1194. (doi:10.2458/56.17769)
39. Verner M. 2001 *The pyramids*. New York, NY: Grove Press.
40. Bronk Ramsey C. 1995 Radiocarbon calibration and analysis of stratigraphy: the OxCal program. *Radiocarbon* **37**, 425–430. (doi:10.1017/S0033822200030903)
41. Yiou F *et al.* 1997 Beryllium 10 in the Greenland Ice Core Project ice core at Summit, Greenland. *J. Geophys. Res.* **102**, 26 783–26 794. (doi:10.1029/97JC01265)
42. Baumgartner S, Beer J, Masarik J, Wagner G, Meynadier L, Synal H. 1998 Geomagnetic modulation of the  $^{36}\text{Cl}$  flux in the GRIP ice core, Greenland. *Science* **279**, 1330–1332. (doi:10.1126/science.279.5355.1330)
43. Roberts S, Osborne M, Ebdon M, Reece S, Gibson N, Aigrain S. 2012 Gaussian processes for time-series modelling. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **371**, 20110550. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2011.0550)
44. Rasmussen CE, Williams CKI. 2006 *Gaussian processes for machine learning*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
45. Cliver EW, Svalgaard I. 2004 The 1859 solar–terrestrial disturbance and the current limits of extreme space weather activity. *Sol. Phys.* **224**, 407–422. (doi:10.1007/s11207-005-4980-z)
46. Aaboe A. 2001 *Episodes from the early history of astronomy*. New York, NY: Springer.
47. Willis DM, Stephenson FR. 2000 Simultaneous auroral observations described in the historical records of China, Japan and Korea from ancient times to AD 1700. *Ann. Geophys.* **18**, 1–10. (doi:10.1007/s00585-000-0001-6)
48. Allen J. 2012 Clue to an ancient cosmic-ray event? *Nature* **486**, 473. (doi:10.1038/486473e)