



University of Groningen

The sugar dataset

Melchert, Friedrich; Matros, Andrea; Biehl, Michael; Seiffert, Udo

Published in: Machine Learning Reports

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Publication date:

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Melchert, F., Matros, A., Biehl, M., & Seiffert, U. (2016). The sugar dataset: A multimodal hyperspectral dataset for classification and research. In F-M. Schleif, & T. Villmann (Eds.), *Machine Learning Reports:* MIWOCI Workshop 2016 (Vol. 03, pp. 15). Univ. of Bielefeld. https://www.techfak.unibielefeld.de/~fschleif/mlr/mlr_03_2016.pdf

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Download date: 29-10-2022

The sugar dataset - A multimodal hyperspectral dataset for classification and research

Friedrich Melchert $^{1,2},$ Andrea $\rm Matros^3,$ Michael Biehl 1, and Udo Seiffert 2

- ¹ University of Groningen, Johann Bernoulli Institute for Mathematics and Computer Science, Groningen, The Netherlands
 - ² Fraunhofer Institute for Factory Operation and Automation IFF, Magdeburg, Germany
 - ³ Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), Gatersleben, Germany

We present a multi modal hyperspectral dataset (available online at [5]) that cannot only be used to evaluate and compare classification performance, but also enables research on new topics.

In the development of algorithms for hyperspectral data classification several benchmark datasets became common, e.g. the Tecator dataset [7] and the Wine dataset [6], to name two examples. These datasets are mainly used as benchmark problems for different algorithms and classification systems as in [1, 4], although they just compile a set of labeled spectra. In opposite to these well established datasets the Sugar dataset offers multiple sets of spectra for each of the available classes. Using a variety of different sensors and hyperspectral cameras the spectral information within the dataset is given over different wavelength ranges. An overview over the sensors and their corresponding wavelength ranges is given in Table 1.

As a training set we selected nine sugar and sugar related compounds with common optical appearance, which are not to be distinguishable by conventional optical imaging. We included were three monomeric sugars (D-galactose, D-glucose, and D-fructose), two sugar alcohols (D-sorbitol and D-mannitol), as well as four sugar esters (S170, S770, S1570, and P1570). Monomeric sugars containing six carbon atoms are also referred to as hexoses, having the chemical formula $C_6H_{12}O_6$. Hexoses occur in many stereoisomers and are classified into aldohexoses, having an aldehyde at position 1 (e.g. D-galactose and D-glucose), and ketohexoses having a ketone at position 2 (e.g. D-fructose). Sugar alcohols are typically derived from sugars by a reduction reaction, changing the aldehyde group to a hydroxyl group. We selected two hexose-derived sugar alcohols with the molecular formula $C_6H_{14}O_6$. Sugar esters, also called sucrose fatty acid

Table 1: Key properties of the different sensors used to record the sugar dataset.

Sensor name	Manufacturer	wavelength range	sampling points		
		[nm]			
EOS 70D	$Canon^1$	RGB	3		
Fieldspec	$\mathrm{ASD^2}$	350 - 2500	2151		
VNIR-1600	NEO^3	400 - 1000	160		
VNIR-1800	NEO^3	400 - 1000	186		
Nuance EX	Nuance	520 - 880	37		
SWIR-320m-e	NEO^3	1000 - 2500	256		
SWIR-384	NEO^3	1000 - 2500	288		

¹ http://www.canon.com

esters, are nonionic surfactants consisting of sucrose as hydrophilic group and fatty acid as lipophilic group. Sugar esters can vary in the nature of the attached fatty acid, such as palmitate (P1570) or stearate (S170, S770, S1570) as well as in the number of attached fatty acids (called mono-, di-, tri-, tetraester). In our case, compounds with variation of both parameters have been chosen: S-170 (sucrose stearate, ratio 1% monoester, 99% di-, tri-, and polyester), S-770 (sucrose stearate, ratio 40% monoester, 60% di-, tri-, and polyester), S-1570 (sucrose stearate, ratio 70% monoester, 30% di-, tri-, and polyester), and P-1570 (sucrose palmitate, ratio 70% monoester, 30% di-, tri-, and polyester). According to the high variation in stereochemistry and composition we expected a high degree of diversity in our data set. All compounds appear as white powder, whereas D-fructose looked more crystalline. Spectral profiles were acquired using a variety of different sensors and hyperspectral cameras (Table 1). Given the nine different compounds it is possible to define five classification problems. The mapping of the compounds to the different classification problems is given in Table 2.

Besides the use as a benchmark dataset, the unique structure of the *Sugar* dataset offers the opportunity to encourage research on further topics. Three of the possible research questions are briefly discussed in the following

Dimensionality reduction The data within this dataset is compiled from high dimensional feature vectors. Various machine learning algorithms suffer from the presence of high dimensional inputs, which imply a high number of adaptive parameters, leading to convergence problems, overfitting effects and suboptimal results [2].

Taking into account the functional characteristics of spectral data, the high number of input dimensions is not justifiable. For functional data, such as the hyperspectral data in this dataset, a high correlation of neighbored features is expected. Thus the dataset can serve as a basis for the development and evaluation of dimension reduction algorithms. The varying number of input dimensions $(37-2151, \, \text{cf. Table 1})$ within the dataset facilitates a solid benchmarking of the performance and scaling of novel approaches.

http://www.asdi.com

³ http://www.neo.no

Table 2: Definition of the different classification problems. The numbers in the table represent the class index of the substance with regard to the classification problem. Empty cells indicate, that this substance is not used within the concrete classification problem (row). Borders are used to illustrate the pooling of multiple substances to a single class.

	number of classes	Sugar ester S170	Sugar ester S770	Sugar ester S1570	Sugar ester P1570	D-Mannitol	D-Sorbitol	D-Glucose	D-Galactose	D-Fructose
problem 0	9	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
problem 1	3	1			2		3			
problem 2	4	1	2	3	4					
problem 3	2		•	•		1	2			
problem 4	3							1	2	3

Sensor invariant classification models In the design of classification models the structural properties of the input data plays a major role. In most cases a change of the input data properties is simply not possible. Furthermore trained classification models often implicitly incorporate sensor specific properties during optimization. So the change of measurement equipment can lead to a loss in classification performance. Since the training of classification models is usually time consuming the generation of a new classifier after a hardware change is costly.

For the composition of this dataset the spectral information of certain substances were recorded using multiple different sensors. Given the overlapping wavelength ranges (again cf. Table 1) the dataset contains data, that represents the same spectral information recorded with different sensors. This data can be used for the development and validation of algorithms, which are capable of handling different input formats such as variable sized feature vectors and slight shifts in the positions of the spectral sampling points, as well as sensor specific patterns and fragments within the data.

High dimensional data exploration For the generation of industrial classification systems based on spectral information the selection of a suitable sensor system is one of the key issues. In most of the cases the wavelengths which are relevant for the classification task are not known in advance, so the selection of a sensor system usually follows an educated guess or is guided by financial issues.

Having wide and limited wavelength range sensor data within the presented dataset, the question of proper sensors selection may be tackled in a more sys-

tematic way. Using the provided data it is possible to develop relevance learning schemes, which quantify the importance of wavelengths. Relevances emerging from the classification of wide spectral bandwidth data (which may have a low number of samples) can be used for a proper sensor selection, on which classification models may be tuned afterwards.

Apart from the sensor selection the dataset provides also opportunities for the challenging visualization of high dimensional data, which is a key issue for data exploration [3].

These questions may serve as a starting point for further research. Nevertheless this list is not complete (neither it is meant to be). The presented *Sugar* dataset is unique in terms of its structure and extent, and hopefully serves as a basis for future improvements in the classification of hyperspectral data, as well as the outlined research topics.

Acknowledgments

F. Melchert thanks for support through an Ubbo-Emmius Sandwich Scholarship by the Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Groningen.

References

- [1] Marika Kästner, Barbara Hammer, Michael Biehl, and Thomas Villmann. Functional relevance learning in generalized learning vector quantization. Neurocomputing, 90:85–95, 2012.
- [2] Yann LeCun, J. S. Denker, S. Solla, R. E. Howard, and L. D. Jackel. Optimal brain damage. In David Touretzky, editor, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS 1989), volume 2, Denver, CO, 1990. Morgan Kaufman.
- [3] Allen R Martin and Matthew O Ward. High dimensional brushing for interactive exploration of multivariate data. In *Proceedings of the 6th Conference on Visualization'95*, page 271. IEEE Computer Society, 1995.
- [4] Belen Martin-Barragan, Rosa Lillo, and Juan Romo. Interpretable support vector machines for functional data. European Journal of Operational Research, 232(1):146–155, 2014.
- [5] Friedrich Melchert, Andrea Matros, Micheal Biehl, and Udo Seiffert. The sugar dataset. University of Groningen, http://dx.doi.org/10.4121/uuid:fad486b6-0dfb-4d23-8025-b24407a08698, 2016. Dataset.
- [6] Marc Meurens. Wine mean infrared spectra dataset. University of Louvain, http://mlg.info.ucl.ac.be/index.php?page=DataBases. Dataset.
- [7] Hans Henrik Thodberg. Tecator meat sample dataset. StatLib Datasets Archive, http://lib.stat.cmu.edu/datasets/tecator, 1995. Dataset.