



University of Groningen

Parenting and child psychosocial problems

Spijkers, Willem

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2015

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Spijkers, W. (2015). Parenting and child psychosocial problems: Effectiveness of parenting support in Preventive Child Healthcare. University of Groningen.

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Download date: 04-06-2022

CHAPTER 2

The impact of area deprivation on parenting stress

Willem Spijkers Daniëlle E.M.C. Jansen Sijmen A.Reijneveld

European Journal of Public Health 2011;22(6):760-765.

ABSTRACT

Background

Area deprivation negatively affects health and lifestyles, among which child behaviours. The latter may aggravate the effects of area deprivation on parental health due to higher rates of parenting stress. However, evidence on the influence of the living environment on parenting stress is mostly lacking. The aim of this study was to examine the impact of area deprivation and urbanization on the occurrence of parenting stress.

Methods

A cross-sectional multilevel study was conducted using both neighbourhood- and individual-level data. Living areas were categorised into tertiles of deprivation. Data on parenting stress (Parenting Stress Index), child psychosocial problems (Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire) and family background were collected among 9453 parents prior to a routine health examination of their child (response: 65%).

Results

In the deprived areas parents reported parenting stress more often compared to the least deprived tertile (OR=1.23; 95 % CI=1.04-1.46). Adjusted for child problem behaviour the association decreases (OR=1.11; 95 % CI=0.92-1.34). A small clustering of parenting stress by area was found which increased when child and family characteristics were taken into account.

Conclusion

Parents from deprived areas were most likely to report parenting stress. Differences by area deprivation were partially accounted for by child problem behaviour and parental concerns about the behavioural and emotional problems of the child. This shows a rather large potential to improve both parental and child health by targeted parenting support in deprived areas.

Key words

Parenting, poverty areas, urbanization, social behavior disorders, multilevel analysis

BACKGROUND

Parenting stress is more likely to occur in deprived families.¹ It has been linked to socioeconomic issues, family dysfunction and lack of social support.² Many parents encounter problems in rearing their children. National population-based studies have revealed that 36% to 58% of parents have concerns about parenting, child behaviour or the development of their children in the previous year. Parenthood was experienced as more difficult than expected by 48% of parents and 11% felt they were not up to parenting. As a consequence, 60% of the parents with parenting concerns obtain professional advice or help.³.⁴. High parenting stress negatively influences child behaviour problems over time, while high child behaviour problems increase parenting stress. Increased parenting stress is particularly associated with externalising behaviour problems in children.⁵.₅6

Child behavioural problems occur more frequently among young adolescents in deprived areas than in favourable areas.⁷⁻¹⁰ According to a review on the influence of the neighbourhood context on child and adolescent health, neighbourhood socioeconomic characteristics explained 11% of the variation in child behavioural problems.¹¹ Area deprivation is considered to be an important factor in explaining differences in population health and lifestyles.¹² It is associated with neighbourhood stressors such as crime, housing density, poor housing quality, antisocial behaviour due to alcohol and drug misuse, green area quality, and social participation.^{13,14} Neighbourhood structural characteristics (e.g. poverty and instability) could have a negative impact on collective efficacy. Less social cohesion and informal social control may result in less means to cope with parenting stress within families. Moreover, the availability of social and material collective resources (e.g. health services and amenities, and social support) may protect against and solve parenting stress. The latter may be available to a lesser degree in deprived areas.

¹⁵ Therefore, consistent with child problem behaviour, parenting stress is also likely to occur more often in deprived areas.

To date, no research has been conducted on whether area deprivation has an independent effect on parenting stress over and above the effect of individual-level variables. Earlier research mainly focused on the effects of area deprivation on health and lifestyle outcomes in urbanised areas. The impact of area deprivation in urbanised area might be differ between rural and urban regions, e.g. in urban areas the high population density may aggravate the accumulation of problems, whereas this would be less the case in rural areas. ^{16,17} However, research has demonstrated that the relationship between child behavioural problems and area deprivation do not differ in mixed urban and rural areas. ¹⁰ Whether urbanization modifies the effect of area deprivation on parenting stress remains unknown.

The aim of this paper is to assess the impact of area deprivation and urbanization on the occurrence of parenting stress. Furthermore, the contribution of child and family factors to these differences will be evaluated.

METHODS

Procedure and sample

Data were collected during the 2008–2009 school year within a preventive child healthcare (PCH) setting, which is offered periodically and free of charge to all Dutch children. Three PCH organisations covering three provinces in the north of the Netherlands (i.e. Drenthe, Fryslân and Groningen) participated in the study. The birth cohort size of primary school children in the study area was 19,176. Although it is not obligatory, more than 95% of the parents visit the well-child clinics.

Along with the invitation for a routine health examination by the PCH, a random sample of 14 648 parents of children aged 9-11 years received a screening questionnaire on parenting stress and child psychosocial problems; in a next step, they were offered parenting support in case of problems, as part of a randomised controlled trial on its effectiveness. We obtained cross-sectional data from 9453 parents (response: 65%). The participating parents and children did not substantially differ from the total population regarding family composition, work situation of the parents, and child gender. However, immigrant children were under-represented and highly educated parents were over-represented in the sample. The study was approved by the local Medical Ethical Committee.

Measures

Area deprivation was measured by the national area deprivation score per neighbourhood as published by the Dutch Social and Cultural Planning Office 10,20 This score was based on unemployment, mean income and educational level per area. For the current study, the 2006 values for the summary factor were used. To assess the occurrence of parenting stress across the entire range of area deprivation areas were categorized into tertiles of deprivation: least deprived, medium deprived and most deprived (Table 2). Urbanization was determined by the number of residential addresses within 3.14 square kilometres (i.e. by drawing a circle with a radius of one kilometre around each address). 21 Following the guidelines of Statistics Netherlands, the threshold was set at over 1000 being urban, with the rest rural. Parenting stress was measured using a subscale of the Dutch Parenting Stress index (PSI). 22 Eleven items on parenting-related depression and stress (Cronbach's α =.73) were scored on a sixpoint scale (1=totally disagree, 2=disagree, 3=slightly disagree, 4=slightly agree, 5=agree, and 6=totally agree). A sum score (range 0–66) was dichotomised at the 90th percentile.

Psychosocial problems in children were measured by the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) 23 (Cronbach's α =.82). This version of the SDQ has been validated in the Netherlands 24,25 for children aged 7 to 12. The questionnaire consists of 25 symptom items describing positive and negative aspects of child behaviour that can be allocated to 5 subscales of 5 items each: emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity-inattention, peer problems, and pro-social behaviour. Each item has to be scored on a 3-point scale (0='not true', 1='somewhat true', and 2='certainly true'). A total SDQ Total Difficulties Score (TDS) can be calculated by aggregating the scores for the first four subscales (range 0–40).

Relevant child background characteristics concerned parental concerns about child behavioural and emotional problems (yes/no), psychosocial problems in at least one of the parents (yes/no), the country of birth of the child (Dutch, Non-Dutch), parental educational level (highest degree obtained by each parent), employment (at least one of the parents working more than 12 hours a week), financial situation (difficulties with managing income), family composition (two or single parent family) and family size (5 members or more).

Data analyses

In the analyses, we first assessed differences in the occurrence of parenting stress by background. Multilevel techniques, using ML Win 2.20, were applied to assess the degree of clustering by area. The levels concerned were child and area. We fitted these two-level models with a random intercept for each neighbourhood to examine the associations between area deprivation and parenting stress before and after adjusting for individual-level child and family characteristics and socio-demographic variables. To estimate the size of the area-level clustering, the intraclass correlation (ICC) and the median odds ratio (MOR) were computed. The MOR quantifies the variation between clusters (the second-level variation) by comparing two persons from two randomly chosen, different clusters. It shows the extent to which the individual probability of having parenting stress is determined by residential area. If the MOR is 1, there is no area-level variation. A high MOR means considerable inter-cluster variation.

RESULTS

The data concerned 9453 children living in 735 areas. In comparison with the mean socioeconomic (SE) position of the Netherlands, the SE position of the study region was unfavourable. Mean area deprivation in the study region was .37 (SD=.91) compared with .00 (SD=1.00) for the Netherlands as a whole. Of the sample, 76.4% lived in rural areas, with the rest living in urbanised areas. The questionnaire was completed by the child's primary caregiver or givers: 77.8% were mothers, 7.1% were fathers, and 13.8% of parents completed the questionnaire together. The remaining caregivers (0.3%) were classified as 'other relatives' of the child and 0.8% of the respondents did not specify their relationship with the child. The mean age of the children concerned was 10.13 (SD=.776), 50.2% were female, 10.2% of the children were part of a single-parent family, 98.2% were born in the Netherlands, and 90.9% of the children had both parents born in the Netherlands. The distribution of most child and parent background characteristics, including child psychosocial problems, was less favourable in deprived areas (Table 1).

Table 1 | Distribution of various child background characteristics by levels of area deprivation.

	Most deprived		Medium deprived		Least deprived		P-value
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
All children ^a	3185	33.7	3147	33.3	3121	33.0	
Mean age (SD)	10.15 (0.81)		10.09 (0.77)		10.16 (0.75)		.001 ^b
Gender							.021 ^c
Male	1632	51.4	1505	47.9	1564	50.2	
Female	1546	48.6	1636	52.1	1554	49.8	
Family composition							< 0.0001°
Two parents	2625	83.4	2706	86.5	2732	88.2	
Single parent	396	12.6	314	10.0	247	8.0	
Co parents ^d	59	1.9	65	2.1	70	2.3	
Two parents of the same sex	8	0.3	6	0.2	2	0.1	
Other	59	1.9	36	1.2	45	1.5	
Education level mother							< 0.0001°
Low	1063	34.7	865	28.4	603	19.8	
Medium	1408	45.9	1451	47.6	1360	44.6	
High	595	19.4	735	24.1	1084	35.6	
Education level father							< 0.0001°
Low	1006	35.7	893	31.1	585	20.1	
Medium	1180	41.9	1150	40.1	1104	38.0	
High	630	22.4	824	28.7	1216	41.9	

	Most deprived		Medium deprived		Least deprived		P-value
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Parental employment							< 0.0001°
At least one parent > 12 h/week	2626	95.8	2737	97.5	2805	98.2	
No parent employed > 12 h/week	114	4.2	71	2.5	52	1.8	
Ethnic background							.172°
Dutch	3071	97.9	3070	98.4	3046	98.4	
Non-Dutch	67	2.1	50	1.6	49	1.6	
Child psychosocial problems							< 0.0001°
No problems	2412	81.0	2466	83.8	2458	86.0	
Sub clinical (SDQ ≥ 11 and <14)	212	7.1	217	7.4	185	6.5	
Clinical (SDQ ≥ 14)	355	11.9	259	8.8	214	7.5	

^a Sum totals differ due to missing data

The rates of parenting stress were higher in single-parent families, parents with low levels of education, parents of immigrant children, and in the most deprived areas (Table 2). Furthermore, 27.9% of parents with children with an elevated SDQ score (≥11) reported parenting stress against 7.7% of the parents with children with an SDQ score in the normal range (OR=4.6; 95% CI=3.99−5.36). The mean PSI sum score was 21.74 (SD=6.68) and 11.1% of the parents scored above the cut-off. The mean SDQ Total Difficulties Score was 6.16 (SD=5.14) and 16.4% of the parents reported clinical and subclinical psychosocial problems in their children. Parenting stress and child psychosocial problems were correlated (Spearman's r=.36; p<0.001).

Table 2 | Prevalence rates of parenting stress by child background characteristics

	All	PSI(>p90)		P-value
All children ^a	N	n	%	
Gender	9032			.233 b
Male	4501	521	11.6	
Female	4531	487	10.7	
Family composition	8985			< 0.0001 ^b
Two parents	7743	810	10.5	
Single parent	907	155	17.1	

^b F-tests in ANOVA regarding differences by area deprivation

^c Chi-square tests regarding differences by area deprivation

^d An arrangement in a divorce or separation by which parents share legal and physical custody of a child or children

SD, Standard deviation; SDQ, Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire

	All	PSI(>p90	D)	P-value
All children ^a	N	n	%	
Co parents	187	18	9.6	
Two parents of the same sex	15	1	6.7	
Other	133	13	9.8	
Education level mother	8817			< 0.0001 ^b
Low	2411	321	13.3	
Medium	4063	484	11.9	
High	2343	168	7.2	
Education level father	8260			< 0.0001 ^b
Low	2353	296	12.6	
Medium	3323	376	11.3	
High	2585	213	8.2	
Parental employment	8086			0.157 ^b
At least one parent > 12 h/week	7871	825	10.5	
No parent employed > 12 h/week	215	29	13.5	
Ethnic background	8962			0.001 ^b
Dutch	8810	973	11.0	
Non-Dutch	152	30	19.7	
Area deprivation	9045			0.035 ^b
Least deprived (< 0.065)	3022	301	10.0	
Medium deprived (>0.065 – 0.075)	3004	346	11.5	
Most deprived (> 0.075)	3019	361	12.0	
Urbanisation (number of inhabitants per km²)	8975			0.680 ^b
Very urbanised (> 2.500)	432	51	11.8	
Urbanised (1.500 – 2.499)	554	52	9.4	
Mixed (1.000 - 1.499)	1122	130	11.6	
Rural (500 – 999)	2386	262	11.0	
Very rural (0 – 499)	4481	506	11.3	
Child psychosocial problems	8425			< 0.0001 ^b
No problems	7054	545	7.7	
Sub clinical (SDQ ≥ 11 and <14)	584	115	19.7	
Clinical (SDQ ≥ 14)	787	2268	34.1	

^a Sum totals differ due to missing data

^b Chi-square tests

PSI, Parenting Stress Index; SDQ, Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire

Multilevel logistic regression analyses revealed that the prevalence rate of parenting stress increased by area deprivation (Table 3). Parenting stress was reported statistically significantly more often in the most deprived areas (Table 1). After adjustment for child psychosocial problems (SDQ), the relationship between parenting stress and area deprivation lost its statistical significance. The impact of area deprivation on parenting stress further decreased after adjustment for parental concerns about child behavioural and emotional problems. Adjustment for other individual-level factors of importance, i.e. psychosocial problems of the parents, large family size, low educational level of the mother, and child immigrant, showed no further decrease of the impact of area deprivation on parenting stress. .

MOR indices showed a relatively small clustering by area, but the MOR increased when factors at the individual level, particularly child problem behaviour, were added. Urbanization did not modify the effect of area deprivation on changes in parenting stress. Thus, the impact of area deprivation on parenting stress did not vary between urban and rural areas.

Table 3 | Occurrence of parenting stress: Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) derived using multilevel logistic regression

	Empty	/ model	Area de	orivation (AD)	Backg	round (BG)	А	D+BG
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Area deprivation				*				
Least deprived			1.00	ref			1.00	ref
Medium deprived			1.18	1.00 - 1.40			1.08	0.87 -1.33
Most deprived			1.23	1.04 - 1.46			0.99	0.81 -1.23
Score on the SDQ						**		
Normal					1.00	ref	1.00	ref
Sub clinical					2.02	1.55 - 2.63	2.02	1.55 - 2.63
Clinical					3.48	2.78 - 4.36	3.49	2.79 - 4.37
Concerns about child behavioural problems (yes vs. no)					2.38**	1.97 - 2.80	2.40**	1.97 - 2.93
Concerns about child emotional problems (yes vs. no)					1.41**	1.16 – 1.73	1.42**	1.16 - 1.73
Psychological problems parent					2.41**	1.98 – 2.93	2.40**	1.67 - 3.44
Large family size (> 5 persons)					1.20*	1.02-1.42	1.20*	1.02 - 1.41
Education level mother								*
Low					1.00	ref	1.00	ref
Medium					0.96	0.80 - 1.16	0.96	0.80 - 1.16
High					0.57**	0.45 - 0.72	0.57**	0.45 - 0.72
Child immigrant					2.04*	1.23 - 3.39	2.05*	1.23 - 3.39
Urbanization	•				1.07	0.87 - 1.30	1.06	0.86 - 1.29
Area level variance (SE)	0.031	(0.030)	0.024	(0.029)	0.050	(0.044)	0.048	(0.043)
MOR	1.182		1.160		1.238		1.231	
ICC	0.009		0.007		0.015		0.014	

^a Parental concerns about child behavioural problems and child emotional problems

SDQ, Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire; Ref, reference category; SE, standard error; MOR, median odds ratio; ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient.

^{*}p<0.05; **p<0.001

DISCUSSION

This study showed that parents in deprived areas more frequently have parenting stress than parents in more favourable areas. Urbanization had no effects on the relationship between area deprivation and parenting stress. Parenting stress in the medium and most deprived areas differed from the least deprived areas. Furthermore, there was some clustering of parenting stress by area though not statistically significant. This might suggest that the context of these areas contributes to parenting stress. Area-clustering increased when child and family characteristics were taken into account, but changes is in the MOR were small and likely to be due to chance variation. The prevalence of both parenting stress and child psychosocial problems is higher in deprived areas. Child problem behaviour and parenting stress were associated and parents of children with an SDQ score in the clinical range were most likely to report parenting stress. Child problem behaviour and parental concerns about their behavioural and emotional problems explained a substantial part of the differences due to area deprivation on parenting stress.

This study is among the first to examine whether area deprivation affects the occurrence of parenting stress. The results of this study are in line with other studies of the significance of area deprivation on, for instance, child behavioural problems⁷⁻⁹ and health risk behaviour in general^{14,28,29}. In the present study, child psychosocial problems accounted for variance in parenting stress more than neighbourhood-level factors did. This supports the hypothesis that parenting stress is mainly caused by child problem behaviour.^{5,30} The importance of information on parental concerns about child behavioural and emotional problems has been emphasized before in earlier research^{31,32}. Agreeing with a study on the impact of area deprivation on behavioural problems of adolescents in the north of the Netherlands¹⁰, this study found no differential effect of the level of area deprivation due to urbanization. This indicates that the effects of area deprivation impact on urban and rural areas, but this does not exclude the possibility that the routes to these effects differ by degree of urbanization. For instance, in urbanised areas a high density of problems could amplify these effects, whereas decreasing population sizes could play the same role in rural areas. Apparently, this requires additional study. The study region did not include the four largest Dutch agglomerations. This may have affected our findings since the scale and nature of area deprivation in big agglomerations may differ from that in provincial towns. However, earlier research showed that differences by area deprivation in prevalence rates of child psychosocial problems did not vary between urban and rural areas. 9,10

Interestingly, adjustment for individual-level factors, in particular child problem behaviour, did increase area clustering, while the association between area deprivation and parenting stress diminished. This suggests that variation by to area deprivation is largely explained by individual child characteristics. Area effects are not necessarily due to the characteristics of an area but may be connected to the people with similar health and lifestyles actually living in these areas (social selection).³³

Area deprivation was associated with parenting stress but the association of area deprivation with child psychosocial problems was stronger (Table 3). A possible interpretation is that neighbourhood-level factors in deprived areas buffer the effect of child problems on the parenting experience. At the community level, social support and social cohesion could result in a lower likelihood of disorder in an area. Since these mechanisms are mostly associated with affluent areas, this is unlikely.

A lack of institutional resources owing to geographic variations in the availability of institutional resources, e.g. (mental) health services, may contribute to area differences in health and lifestyle. However, in the Netherlands, well-child care clinics are freely accessible to all parents and children, which could affect the experienced levels of parenting stress. Within this setting, increasing attention is paid to parenting problems and parenting support particularly targets groups with low socioeconomic status. Further exploration of buffering mechanisms in relation to parenting stress in deprived areas is needed.

People living in deprived areas have to cope with a variety of everyday concerns, such as limited means and more negative life events (e.g. unemployment, divorce, isolation).³⁶ Parenting stress could be a minor concern but also an additional cause of shame. In addition, norms and collective efficacy in child rearing or managing child problem behaviour could be insufficient in deprived areas (e.g. a lack of social control and disapproval of antisocial behaviour).¹⁶ Parents living in these areas may feel that their situation does not deviate from the norm because neighbours encounter similar parenting problems. Thus, parents in deprived areas may experience relatively less stress given a certain level of child problems since these problems do not seem to exceed the problems that their neighbours face with their children (i.e. the area norm regarding child psychosocial problems). This process similar then resembles that of not feeling poor when everyone is poor, i.e. people assessing their relative deprivation. Moreover, norms regarding parenting stress and child problem behaviour in deprived areas could be different from scientific or professional standards. Future research is required to explore parental norms regarding child rearing and managing child problem behaviour in deprived areas.

Study strengths and limitations

This study's large sample size and high response rate were important strengths. Comparison of the demographic characteristics of the participating parents and children with normative population data showed no significant differences for child and parent factors.

A limitation is that the data on differences between neighbourhoods was limited to the deprivation score and the degree of urbanization. We did not have information on neighbourhood stressors, mediating factors, and norms and attitudes concerning parenting and parenting stress. ^{16,37,38} Future research should include these factors since they might play an important buffering role in the relationship between area deprivation and parenting stress, possibly leading to an underestimation of the prevalence of parenting stress. Furthermore, parent-reported child psychosocial problems may be influenced by the emotional state of the parent. Earlier research

among distressed parents showed a discrepancy between the number of parent-reported child psychosocial problems and the children's self-report.³⁹ Multi-informant assessments of child psychosocial problems (e.g. by CHP's and teachers) in future research may provide evidence on whether such information bias indeed occurs.

Study implications

This study reveals differences in the occurrence of parenting stress by area deprivation irrespective of the degree of urbanization. Child health professionals aiming at the reduction of parenting stress should be sensitive to the problems and concerns of the parents, as well as to child problem behaviour in both urbanised and rural deprived areas. Screening for child psychosocial problems is often a part of routine well-child care clinics by child health professionals (CHPs). This offers an ideal opportunity to verify the latent presence of related parenting stress. To this end, reliable and valid instruments to identify suspected parenting stress or the need for parenting support are required, as well as evidence-based parenting support interventions.

Prevention does not only concern child healthcare. Since stress-buffering mechanisms are likely to manifest themselves at the neighbourhood level, public policies concerned with the social and physical environment of residents (e.g. social welfare, justice and safety, infrastructure and the environment) can also play an important role. Community-based interventions could be the most efficient and should not only target individuals but also their social context. A community approach requires accurate mapping and knowledge of the characteristics of deprived areas. Properly targeted interventions could contribute to reducing the burden of disease due to parenting stress and related child psychosocial problems. This study shows great potential to improve both parental and child health in this way.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was carried out in close collaboration with PCH organisations in the northern part of the Netherlands. We are grateful to the PCH organisations, child health professionals, and the parents who participated in this research and to everyone who worked on this project and made it possible.

FUNDING

This work was supported by The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMw) [50-50110-96-412].

Conflicts of interests: None declared.

KEYPOINTS

- Parenting stress occurs more frequently in deprived areas.
- Differences in rates of parenting stress by area deprivation are partially accounted for by child problem behaviour and parental concerns.
- The impact of area deprivation on parenting stress is similar in urban and rural areas.
- Both parental and child health may be improved by parenting support in deprived areas.

REFERENCES

- Zeijl E, Crone M, Wiefferink K, Keuzenkamp S, Reijneveld M. Kinderen in nederland [children in the netherlands]. Social Cultural Planning Office / TNO, The Hague / Leiden; 2005.
- Suárez LM, Baker BL. Child externalizing behavior and parents' stress: The role of social support. Fam Relat. 1997;46(4):373-381.
- Statistics Netherlands. Jaarrapport 2008 landelijke jeugdmonitor [year report 2008 national monitor youth]. Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek, Den Haag/Heerlen. 2008.
- Reijneveld SA, de Meer G, Wiefferink CH, Crone MR. Parents' concerns about children are highly prevalent but often not confirmed by child doctors and nurses. BMC Public Health. 2008;8:124.
- 5. Eyberg SM, Boggs SR, Christina M. Rodriguez CM. Relationships between maternal parenting stress and child disruptive behavior

 behavior

 ->. Child Fam Behav Ther. 1993;14(4):1-9.
- Baker BL, McIntyre LL, Blacher J, Crnic K, Edelbrock C, Low C. Pre-school children with and without developmental delay: Behaviour problems and parenting stress over time. *J Intellect Disabil Res*. 2003;47(Pt 4-5):217-230.
- Kalff AC, Kroes M, Vles JS, et al. Neighbourhood level and individual level SES effects on child problem behaviour: A multilevel analysis. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2001;55(4):246-250.
- 8. Schneiders J, Drukker M, van der Ende J, Verhulst FC, van Os J, Nicolson NA. Neighbourhood socioeconomic disadvantage and behavioural problems from late childhood into early adolescence. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2003;57(9):699-703.
- Reijneveld SA, Brugman E, Verhulst FC, Verloove-Vanhorick SP. Area deprivation and child psychosocial problems: A national cross-sectional study among school-aged children. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2005;40(1):18-23.
- 10. Reijneveld SA, Veenstra R, de Winter AF, Verhulst FC, Ormel J, de Meer G. Area deprivation affects behavioral problems of young adolescents in mixed urban and rural areas: The TRAILS study. *J Adolesc Health*. 2010;46(2):189-196.
- 11. Sellstrom E, Bremberg S. The significance of neighbourhood context to child and adolescent health and well-being: A systematic review of multilevel studies. *Scand J Public Health*. 2006;34(5):544-554.
- Stafford M, Marmot M. Neighbourhood deprivation and health: Does it affect us all equally? Int J Epidemiol. 2003;32(3):357-366.
- 13. Schaefer-McDaniel N. Neighborhood stressors, perceived neighborhood quality, and child mental health in new york city. *Health Place*. 2009;15(1):148-155.
- 14. Reijneveld SA. The impact of individual and area characteristics on urban socioeconomic differences in health and smoking. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1998;27(1):33-40.
- 15. Riva M, Bambra C, Curtis S, Gauvin L. Collective resources or local social inequalities? examining the social determinants of mental health in rural areas. *Eur J Public Health*. 2011;21(2):197-203.
- Leventhal T, Brooks-Gunn J. The neighborhoods they live in: The effects of neighborhood residence on child and adolescent outcomes. *Psychol Bull.* 2000;126(2):309-337.
- 17. Stafford M, Martikainen P, Lahelma E, Marmot M. Neighbourhoods and self rated health: A comparison of public sector employees in london and helsinki. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2004;58(9):772-778.
- 18. Spijkers W, Jansen DE, de Meer G, Reijneveld SA. Effectiveness of a parenting programme in a public health setting: A randomised controlled trial of the positive parenting programme (triple P) level 3 ver-

- sus care as usual provided by the preventive child healthcare (PCH). BMC Public Health. 2010;10:131.
- Statistics Netherlands. Http://Statline.cbs.nl/statweb. http://www.cbs.nl. Updated 2008. Accessed 11-16, 2011.
- 20. Social Cultural Planning Office. From high to low; from low to high. The Hague, 1998.
- Den Dulk CJ, Van de Stadt H, Vliegen JM. Een nieuwe maatstaf voor stedelijkheid: De omgevingsadressendichtheid [A new measure for degree of urbanization: The address density of the surrounding area]. Maandstatistiek Bevolking. 1992;40(7):14-27.
- 22. Brock AJLLd, Vermulst AA, Gerris JRM. NOSI-nijmeegse ouderlijke stress index, handleiding experimentele versie [NOSI-nijmegen parenting stress index, manual experimental version]. *Gezin, Tijdschrift voor Primaire Leefvormen*. 1990;2(2):1-18.
- 23. Goodman R. Psychometric properties of the strengths and difficulties questionnaire. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2001;40(11):1337-1345.
- Crone MR, Vogels AG, Hoekstra F, Treffers PD, Reijneveld SA. A comparison of four scoring methods based on the parent-rated strengths and difficulties questionnaire as used in the dutch preventive child health care system. *BMC Public Health*. 2008;8:106.
- 25. Vogels AG, Crone MR, Hoekstra F, Reijneveld SA. Comparing three short questionnaires to detect psychosocial dysfunction among primary school children: A randomized method. *BMC Public Health*. 2009;9:489.
- 26. Goldstein H. Multilevel statistical models. 3rd ed. London: Arnold; 2003.
- Merlo J, Chaix B, Ohlsson H, et al. A brief conceptual tutorial of multilevel analysis in social epidemiology: Using measures of clustering in multilevel logistic regression to investigate contextual phenomena. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2006;60(4):290-297.
- 28. Lakshman R, McConville A, How S, Flowers J, Wareham N, Cosford P. Association between area-level socioeconomic deprivation and a cluster of behavioural risk factors: Cross-sectional, population-based study. *J Public Health*. 2010;33(2):234-245.
- Sundquist J, Malmstrom M, Johansson SE. Cardiovascular risk factors and the neighbourhood environment: A multilevel analysis. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1999;28(5):841-845.
- Asscher JJ, Hermanns JMA, Dekovic M. Determinants of need for support in families with young children. *Journal of Children's Services*. 2006;1(4):21-34.
- 31. Glascoe FP, MacLean WE, Stone WL. The importance of parents' concerns about their child's behavior. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*. 1991;30(1):8-11; discussion 12-14.
- Glascoe FP, Altemeier WA, MacLean WE. The importance of parents' concerns about their child's development. Am J Dis Child. 1989;143(8):955-958.
- Drukker M, Kaplan C, Feron F, van Os J. Children's health-related quality of life, neighbourhood socio-economic deprivation and social capital. A contextual analysis. Soc Sci Med. 2003;57(5):825-841.
- 34. Stockdale SE, Wells KB, Tang L, Belin TR, Zhang L, Sherbourne CD. The importance of social context: Neighborhood stressors, stress-buffering mechanisms, and alcohol, drug, and mental health disorders. *Soc Sci Med.* 2007;65(9):1867-1881.
- 35. Rots C. Rich evidence for poor families. exploring the potential of practice-driven intervention research in preventive child healthcare. Tilburg University; 2010.
- Pampel FC, Patrick M. Krueger M, Denney JT. Socioeconomic disparities in health behaviors.
 Annu Rev Sociol. 2010;36:349-370.

- 37. Mair C, Diez Roux AV, Morenoff JD. Neighborhood stressors and social support as predictors of depressive symptoms in the chicago community adult health study. *Health Place*. 2010;16(5):811-819.
- 38. Drukker M, van Os J. Mediators of neighbourhood socioeconomic deprivation and quality of life. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2003;38(12):698-706.
- 39. Canning EH, Hanser SB, Shade KA, Boyce WT. Maternal distress and discrepancy in reports of psychopathology in chronically ill children. *Psychosomatics*. 1993;34(6):506-511.
- 40. Green J. Public health and health promotion. In: Scambler G, ed. *Sociology as applied to medicine*. Sixth ed. London: Saunders, Elsevier; 2008:283-295.