



University of Groningen

## Elliptic delsarte surfaces

Heijne, Bas Leonard

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2011

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Heijne, B. L. (2011). Elliptic delsarte surfaces. s.n.

#### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

# Chapter 2

# Shioda's Algorithm

In this chapter we will give a description of the methods described in Shioda's article to compute the rank of Delsarte surfaces. The method used was first described in a slightly different form in [17]. In this text we will not prove the algorithm. Instead we will focus on the way the algorithm can be applied to compute the rank of an elliptic Delsarte surface.

# 2.1 Computing the Lefschetz number

In this section we will state an algorithm to calculate the Lefschetz number, given by Shioda in [17]. We will first state the algorithm and then give some remarks. Note that given the Lefschetz number  $\lambda$ , the rank r of the corresponding elliptic surface is given by  $r = h^2 - \lambda - \rho_{\text{triv}}$ .

• Start with an equation of a Delsarte surface

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^{3} t^{a_{i0}} x^{a_{i1}} y^{a_{i2}}.$$
 (2.1)

• Homogenise this as a surface

$$F = \sum_{i=0}^{3} T^{a_{i0}} X^{a_{i1}} Y^{a_{i2}} Z^{a_{i3}}$$

• Put the exponents in a matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{00} & a_{01} & a_{02} & a_{03} \\ a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{20} & a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{30} & a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Construct the subgroup  $L \subset (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^4$  generated by  $(1, 0, 0, -1)A^{-1}$ ,  $(0, 1, 0, -1)A^{-1}$  and  $(0, 0, 1, -1)A^{-1}$ .
- Define  $\Lambda \subset L$  as follows. An element  $v = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3) \in L$  is an element of  $\Lambda$ , precisely when it satisfies the following two conditions.

- For all *i* we have  $a_i \neq 0 \mod \mathbb{Z}$ .
- There exists an element  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , such that  $\operatorname{ord}(tv) = \operatorname{ord}(v)$  and moreover  $\sum_{i=0}^{3} \{ta_i\} \neq 2$ . Here ord refers to the order in the additive group  $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^4$ . The notation  $\{a\}$  refers to the natural bijection between the set  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  and [0, 1).
- Then the Lefschetz number is given by  $\lambda = #\Lambda$ .

For a proof of the correctness of this algorithm we refer to [17].

**Remark 2.1.1.** In the algorithm we assume that  $A^{-1}$  exists. This imposes a restriction on the surfaces for which the algorithm works. Theorem 2.1.3 tells us that this will only happen in very specific cases.

**Remark 2.1.2.** There is a difference between the algorithm as stated here and how it was originally given by Shioda in [17].

In the original publication Shioda uses the cofactor matrix of A instead of the inverse matrix of A. He then construct L as a subset of  $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^4$  instead of as a subset of  $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^4$ .

The benefit of the way the algorithm is presented here is that it makes it easier to deal with families of elliptic surfaces.

**Theorem 2.1.3.** Let  $\pi : \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{P}^1$  be an elliptic Delsarte surface. Assume that the surface does not split over a finite extension of k(t), then  $\det(A) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* If we homogenise the equation defining the generic fibre of  $\mathcal{E}$  then we get:

$$\tilde{F} = \sum_{i=0}^{3} t^{a_{i0}} X^{a_{i1}} Y^{a_{i2}} Z^{b_{i3}}.$$

Just as we did with F we can put the exponents in a matrix.

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{00} & a_{01} & a_{02} & b_{03} \\ a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{12} & b_{13} \\ a_{20} & a_{21} & a_{22} & b_{23} \\ a_{30} & a_{31} & a_{32} & b_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that A and B are related in the following manner. The first three columns of A and B are the same. The last column of B is the sum of the first and last columns of A minus a constant times the vector  $(1, 1, 1, 1)^T$ .

There are a few things we can say about the matrices A and B. Either both matrices A and B are singular or both are non-singular. Since F is homogeneous we find that  $\sum_{i=0}^{3} a_{ij} = \deg(F)$ , and hence does not depend on j. Likewise since  $\tilde{F}$  is homogeneous we find  $a_{1j} + a_{2j} + b_{3j}$  is a constant not depending on j. Since F and  $\tilde{F}$  are irreducible we find that each column of A and B contains a zero.

We will first proof that if there is a relation between the last three column of B then is the genus of the generic fibre of  $\mathcal{E}$  zero, and hence not an elliptic curve. After this we will proof that if the first column of B depends on the last three columns then  $\mathcal{E}$  splits over a finite extension of the base field. The combination of these result will proof the theorem. We will begin with the possibility that the last three columns are linearly dependent. Let  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$  and  $B_3$  be the last three columns of B. By assumption there exist  $\lambda_i$ 's, not all zero, such that

$$\lambda_1 B_1 + \lambda_2 B_2 + \lambda_3 B_3 = 0.$$

We claim that there is a row with precisely two zeroes in the columns  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$  and  $B_3$ . This can be seen by the following argument.

As we know, each of the  $B_i$ 's contains at least one entry which is zero. If every zero is in a different row then the  $\lambda_i$ 's would all have different sign. This is of course impossible. Not all the zeroes are in the same row since

$$B_1 + B_2 + B_3 = \deg(\tilde{F}) \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The two columns with the zeroes on the same row are linearly dependent. The corresponding affine equation for our curve is of the form

$$c_0 + c_1 \xi^{\alpha} \eta^{\lambda \alpha} + c_2 \xi^{\beta} \eta^{\lambda \beta} + c_3 \xi^{\gamma} \eta^{\lambda \gamma} = 0.$$

Let m be a zero of the polynomial

$$c_0 + c_1 m^{\alpha} + c_2 m^{\beta} + c_3 m^{\gamma} = 0,$$

then  $\eta = mt^{\lambda}$ ,  $\eta = 1/t$  is a parametrisation of the curve. So the genus of the curve is zero.

Now assume the first column is dependent on the last three columns. This means that there exist  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $B_0 = \lambda_1 B_1 + \lambda_2 B_2 + \lambda_3 B_3$ . Then over some finite extension of k(t) we can map the curve E to a curve that is defined over k. This map is given by  $(X:Y:Z) \to (t^{\lambda_1}X:t^{\lambda_2}Y:t^{\lambda_3}Z)$ .

**Remark 2.1.4.** The implication of this theorem goes only one way. It is possible that  $det(A) \neq 0$ , and that  $\mathcal{E}$  splits over an extension of k(t). Such an extension can, however, not be of the form  $k(s) \supset k(t)$  with  $s^n = t$ .

An example of this can be given by the surface defined by:

$$Y^2 + X^3 + t + 1.$$

This surface does not split over k(t). It does however split over k(s), where s is defined by  $s^6 = t + 1$ .

**Corollary 2.1.5.** If det(A) = 0, then either the elliptic surface splits and the rank is infinity or the elliptic surface splits over a finite extension and the rank is zero.

*Proof.* If the elliptic surface splits, then it is of the form  $\mathcal{E} \cong E \otimes \mathbb{P}^1$ . This means that any point on E corresponds to a section. The rank of E is already infinite.

If the elliptic surface splits over a finite extension we can see that the corresponding Mordell-Weil rank is zero. This means that the discriminant of the elliptic surface is of the form  $\Delta = ct^r$ . Here c is a constant in k an r is an integer between 2 and 8. The *j*-invariant as such an elliptic surface is constant. From this we see that there are precisely two singular fibres, one over 0 an one over infinity. There are three possibilities: both fibres are of type  $I_0^*$ , one is of type IV and the other of type IV<sup>\*</sup> or one is of type II and the other of type II<sup>\*</sup>. In any of these cases we find  $\rho_{triv} = 10$ . By the Shioda-Tate formula we now find that r = 0.

**Remark 2.1.6.** From here on we will assume that all elliptic surfaces do not split.

## 2.2 An example

In this section we will compute the maximal rank of a certain family of elliptic surfaces. In the following chapter we will encounter this family in a natural way. For now we just consider this as an example.

We will consider the elliptic curves over k(t) that are defined by a polynomial of the form

$$f = t^a + (t^b + t^c)X^3 + t^d Y^2 = 0,$$

where a, b, c, d are non-negative integers with c > b. We want to find the maximal rank that occurs in this family.

Let E be the curve defined by f and E' the curve defined by

$$t^{6a} + (t^{6b} + t^{6c})X^3 + t^{6d}Y^2 = 0.$$

Then we have a natural monomorphism  $\phi : E(k(t)) \longrightarrow E'(k(t))$ , defined by  $\phi(x(t), y(t)) = (x(t^6), y(t^6))$ . In particular we find the rank of E(k(t)) is at most the rank of E'(k(t)). So we will restrict ourselves to computing the rank of E'.

The map given by  $\xi = t^{2(b-a)}X$ ,  $\eta = t^{3(d-a)}Y$  defines an isomorphism from E' to the curve E'' given by

$$\tilde{f} = 1 + (1 + t^n)\xi^3 + \eta^2 = 0.$$

Here n = 6(c - b).

Take m > 0 a positive integer. Let E''' be the curve given by

$$1 + (1 + t^{nm})\xi^3 + \eta^2 = 0.$$

There is an injective morphism from E'' to E''' given by

$$(\xi(t), \eta(t)) \longrightarrow (\xi(t^m), \eta(t^m)).$$

From this we see that  $\operatorname{rank}(E''') \geq \operatorname{rank}(E')$ . We conclude that to find the maximal rank in our family of elliptic surfaces 2.2 we can assume m|n, for any convenient m.

We will compute the Lefschetz number using the technique described in 2.1. To do this we first homogenise  $\tilde{f}$ . This gives

$$\tilde{F} = Z^{n+3} + T^n X^3 + X^3 Z^n + Y^2 Z^{n+1}.$$

We compute the matrices A and  $A^{-1}$ .

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & n+3 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & n \\ 3 & 0 & n & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & n+1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{n}{3(n+3)} & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ -\frac{n+1}{2(n+3)} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{n+3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{n+3} & \frac{1}{n} & -\frac{1}{n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By definition L is the subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^*$  generated by

$$w_1 = (1, 0, 0, -1) A^{-1} = \left( -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{n}, \frac{n+3}{3n}, 0 \right),$$
  

$$w_2 = (0, 1, 0, -1) A^{-1} = \left( -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2} \right),$$
  

$$w_3 = (0, 0, 1, -1) A^{-1} = \left( 0, -\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, 0 \right).$$

By inspecting these generators we see that L is also generated by

$$v_1 = w_1 - w_3 = \left(-\frac{1}{3}, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0\right),$$
  
$$v_2 = w_2 - w_3 = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right),$$
  
$$v_3 = w_3 = \left(0, -\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, 0\right).$$

We see that L consists of elements of the form  $iv_3$ ,  $v_1+iv_3$ ,  $2v_1+iv_3$ ,  $v_2+iv_3$ ,  $v_1+v_2+iv_3$  and  $2v_1+v_2+iv_3$ . For each form there are exactly n elements. To compute  $\lambda$  we have to find out which of these elements lie in  $\Lambda$ .

Elements of the form  $iv_3, v_1 + iv_3$  and  $2v_1 + iv_3$  do not lie in  $\Lambda$ , since they all have zero as their last coordinate.

An element of the form  $v_2 + iv_3$  does not lie in  $\Lambda$ . If i = 0 this follows from the fact that the second and third coordinate are zero. If  $i \neq 0$  then this follows from the fact that we can compute for all t with (t, 2n) = 1:

$$\left\{\frac{ti}{n}\right\} + \left\{-\frac{ti}{n}\right\} + \left\{\frac{t}{2}\right\} + \left\{-\frac{t}{2}\right\} = 2.$$

We will now determine when  $v_1 + v_2 + iv_3 \in \Lambda$ . Take  $j, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that j/m = i/n and (j,m) = 1. Write  $v_1 + v_2 + iv_3 = (\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{j}{m}, \frac{1}{3} + \frac{j}{m}, \frac{1}{2})$ . The conditions  $\{\frac{t}{6}\} \neq 0, \{-\frac{jt}{m}\} \neq 0, \{\frac{t}{3} + \frac{jt}{m}\} \neq 0$  and  $\{\frac{t}{2}\} \neq 0$  are satisfied precisely when  $j \neq 0$  and  $\frac{j}{m} \neq \frac{2}{3}$ .

In all other cases we have  $v_1 + v_2 + iv_3 \in \Lambda$  if and only if there exists a t such that (t, 6m) = 1 and

$$\left\{\frac{t}{6}\right\} + \left\{-\frac{jt}{m}\right\} + \left\{\frac{t}{3} + \frac{jt}{m}\right\} + \left\{\frac{t}{2}\right\} \neq 2.$$

It is easy to compute, if  $j \neq 0$  and  $\frac{j}{m} \neq \frac{2}{3}$  then

$$\left\{\frac{t}{6}\right\} + \left\{-\frac{jt}{m}\right\} + \left\{\frac{t}{3} + \frac{jt}{m}\right\} + \left\{\frac{t}{2}\right\} = \left\{\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & \text{if } t \equiv 1 \mod 6 \text{ and } \left\{\frac{tj}{m}\right\} > \frac{2}{3}, \\ 2 & \text{if } t \equiv 1 \mod 6 \text{ and } \left\{\frac{tj}{m}\right\} < \frac{2}{3}, \\ 3 & \text{if } t \equiv 5 \mod 6 \text{ and } \left\{\frac{tj}{m}\right\} < \frac{1}{3}, \\ 2 & \text{if } t \equiv 5 \mod 6 \text{ and } \left\{\frac{tj}{m}\right\} > \frac{1}{3}, \\ 2 & \text{if } t \equiv 5 \mod 6 \text{ and } \left\{\frac{tj}{m}\right\} > \frac{1}{3}. \end{array} \right.$$

By considering a pair  $\pm t$ , this means that  $v_1 + v_2 + iv_3 \in \Lambda$  if and only if  $\left\{\frac{tj}{m}\right\} < \frac{1}{3}$  for some  $t \equiv 5 \mod 6$ , with (t, 6m) = 1. We now distinguish between the various possibilities:

- The case  $m \leq 3$  is easy and leads to  $(v_1 + v_2 + iv_3) \notin \Lambda$ . This happens precisely when  $i \in \{0, n/2, n/3, 2n/3\}$ .
- Assume m > 3 and  $3 \not\mid m$  or  $j \equiv 2 \mod 3$ . Then  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  exists with  $t \equiv 5 \mod 6$  and  $t \equiv j^{-1} \mod m$ . For this t we find  $\left\{\frac{tj}{m}\right\} < \frac{1}{3}$ , hence  $(v_1 + v_2 + iv_3) \in \Lambda$ .
- In the case that m > 3,  $3|m, j \equiv 1 \mod 3$ , assume moreover that there exists a  $c \equiv 2 \mod 3$ , with (c, m) = 1 and  $\left\{\frac{c}{m}\right\} < \frac{1}{3}$ . We can find  $t \equiv 5 \mod 6$  such that  $t \equiv cj^{-1} \mod m$ . For that t we have  $\left\{\frac{tj}{m}\right\} < \frac{1}{3}$ . This means  $(v_1 + v_2 + iv_3) \in \Lambda$ . This happens for all m > 3 except when  $m \in \{6, 12, 30\}$ , as is shown in lemma 2.2.1 below.
- The final case is m > 3, 3|m,  $j \equiv 1 \mod 3$  and there exists no  $c \equiv 2 \mod 3$ , with (c,m) = 1 and  $\left\{\frac{c}{m}\right\} < \frac{1}{3}$ . Assume that  $v_1 + v_2 + iv_3 \in \Lambda$ . Then  $t \equiv 5 \mod 6$  exists, coprime to 6m such that  $\left\{\frac{tj}{m}\right\} < \frac{1}{3}$ . Hence c = jt satisfies  $c \equiv 2 \mod 3$ , gcd(c,m) = 1 and  $\left\{\frac{c}{m}\right\} < \frac{1}{3}$ , contrary to our assumption.

In this case we find  $(v_1 + v_2 + iv_3) \notin \Lambda$ . By the following lemma, this final possibility for m and j happens only if  $m \in \{6, 12, 30\}$ . In other words only if  $i \in \{\frac{n}{6}, \frac{n}{12}, \frac{7n}{12}, \frac{n}{30}, \frac{7n}{30}, \frac{13n}{30}, \frac{19n}{30}\}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.1.** 6, 12 and 30 are the only integers n > 3 with the property that there does not exist a prime  $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$  such that 3p < n and  $p \not| n$ .

*Proof.* If n satisfies this property then it can be written as  $n = Kp_1p_2...p_t$ , with the  $p_i$  all primes with  $p_i \equiv 2 \mod 3$  and  $3p_i < n$ . Order the  $p_i$  such that  $p_i < p_{i+1}$ . We construct the number  $N = 3p_1...p_{t-1} + p_t$  and see that it has a prime  $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$  dividing it, with  $p \neq p_i$ . If n > 51 we find

$$p/n \le N/n = \frac{3}{Kp_t} + \frac{1}{Kp_1 \dots p_{t-1}} \le \frac{3}{17} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11} < \frac{1}{3}$$

This means 3p < n, but p is not any of the  $p_i$ , a contradiction. So if n satisfies the conditions of the lemma we have  $n \le 51$ . Checking the lemma for  $n \le 51$  is easy.

The cases  $v_1 + v_2 + iv_3$  and  $2v_1 + v_2 + iv_3$  are similar, since  $-(v_1 + v_2 + iv_3) = 2v_1 + v_2 + (n-i)v_3$  and the fact that  $v \in \Lambda \Leftrightarrow -v \in \Lambda$ .

To ensure that all the special values  $\{0, \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{3}, \frac{2n}{3}, \frac{n}{6}, \frac{n}{12}, \frac{n}{30}, \frac{7n}{30}, \frac{13n}{30}, \frac{19n}{30}\}$  for *i* encountered in the calculations are actually integers we assume that 60|n. In that case we find  $\lambda = 2n - 22$ .

To compute the rank of the curve we bring the curve to Weierstrass form and compute the rank there. Define  $\tilde{\eta} = (1 + t^n)\eta$  and  $\tilde{\xi} = (1 + t^n)\xi$  then we get the formula

$$\tilde{\eta}^2 + \tilde{\xi}^3 + (1 + t^n)^2 = 0.$$

We use theory explained in [15] to show that the second Betti number is  $h^2 = 4n - 2$ . We can now compute  $\rho = h^2 - \lambda \le 2n + 20$ .

We also compute

$$\Delta = -432(t^n + 1)^4.$$
$$j = 0.$$

From this we see, using again that 3|n, that the elliptic surface has n singular fibres of type IV at the roots of  $t^n + 1 = 0$  and no other singular fibres. So we find  $\rho_{\text{triv}} = (2n+2)$ .

Combining these facts gives

$$r = \rho - \rho_{\text{triv}} \le (2n + 20) - (2n + 2) = 18.$$

This concludes the example and we find that the rank of E over k(t) is  $\leq 18$  and it equals 18 in the case E'' with 60|n.