



University of Groningen

Etoposide and mesothelioma

Smit, EF; Berendsen, HH; Postmus, PE

Published in: Journal of Clinical Oncology

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 1990

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Smit, EF., Berendsen, HH., & Postmus, PE. (1990). Etoposide and mesothelioma. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 8(7), 1281-1281.

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

CORRESPONDENCE

Etoposide and Mesothelioma

To the Editor:

Mesothelioma is notoriously difficult to treat. Surgery with curative intent is indicated only in patients with stage I disease according to Butchart et al.¹ However, the majority of patients suffering from this rare disease entity present with more advanced disease and are not considered candidates for surgery. Results of radiotherapy and chemotherapy are inconsistent and unsatisfying. As far as radiotherapy is concerned, some studies have reported relief of pain using moderate doses, while others have found improved survival using higher doses, but the latter with more toxicity.²

Systemic chemotherapy trials have yielded conflicting results. Various antineoplastic agents have been tested. From these, doxorubicin, as a single agent, seems to be the most promising, with a response rate of about 18%.3 The activity of single-agent etoposide, given as an intravenous bolus infusion 150 mg/m² days 1, 3, and 5 every 3 weeks, was recently evaluated by the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Lung Cancer Cooperative Group. Only two partial responses in 42 patients entered were observed (F.J.M. van Breukelen, personal communication). Etoposide is an agent found to have profound schedule dependency in preclinical and clinical trials.⁴ This is explained by its inhibitory effect on topo-isomerase II. Hainsworth et al⁵ extended these observations using daily oral etoposide for 21 days. In their phase I trial, a remarkably high response rate was seen. Notably, they saw responses in tumor types in which other schedules of etoposide failed to show a high level of activity.

As an example of an effective response, we report on a 49-year-old patient who was referred to our hospital because of pain over the right hemithorax. Conventional chest roentgenograms showed an abnormal right paracarcial mass and a shadow on the ventral side of the right hemithorax, confirmed by a computed tomographic (CT) scan (Fig 1). A histologic diagnosis of mesothelioma was obtained. Subsequently, the patient was treated with daily oral etoposide, 50 mg twice



Fig 1. CT scan of abnormal right paracarcial mass and a shadow on the ventral side of the right hemithorax, histologically diagnosed as mesothelioma.



Fig 2. CT scan of same patient as in Fig 1, showing partial remission after etoposide treatment.

daily, for 21 days on a 5-week schedule. During the first cycle of chemotherapy, clinical improvement was evident, and the patient was able to reduce his intake of oral morphine. After the second course, a partial response was reached, measured by CT scan (Fig 2). Apart from partial alopecia and mild myelosuppression, no major toxicity was observed. Four months after start of chemotherapy, the patient is in good clinical condition and his tumor is still in regression.

This case report shows the activity of daily oral etoposide in a tumor believed to be almost unresponsive to any type of chemotherapy. Further exploration of the activity of daily oral etoposide in this malignancy is warranted.

> Egbert F. Smit Henk H. Berendsen Piet E. Postmus University Hospital Groningen, The Netherlands

REFERENCES

1. Butchart EG, Ashcroft T, Barnsley WC, et al: Pleuropneumonectomy in the management of diffuse malignant mesothelioma of the pleura: Experience with 29 patients. Thorax 31:15-24, 1976

2. Brady LW: Mesothelioma: The role for radiation therapy. Semin Oncol 8:329-334, 1981

3. Antman KH, Pass HI, Recht A: Benign and malignant mesothelioma, in DeVita VT, Hellman S, Rosenberg SA (eds): Cancer, Principles and Practice of Oncology (ed 3). Philadelphia, PA, Lippincott 1989, pp 1399-1417

4. Slevin ML, Clark PI, Joel SP, et al: A randomized trial to evaluate the effect of schedule on the activity of etoposide in small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 7:1333-1340, 1989

5. Hainsworth JD, Johnson DH, Frazier SR, et al: Chronic daily administration of oral etoposide—A phase I trial. J Clin Oncol 7:396-401, 1989

Journal of Clinical Oncology, Vol 8, No 7 (July), 1990: pp 1281-1288