



University of Groningen

Isoscalar giant resonances in ^{232}Th

Harakeh, MN; Morsch, HP; van der Weg, K; van der Woude, A; Bertrand, FE

Published in: Physical Review C

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 1980

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Harakeh, MN., Morsch, HP., van der Weg, K., van der Woude, A., & Bertrand, FE. (1980). Isoscalar giant resonances in ^{232}Th. *Physical Review C*, *21*(2), 768-771.

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Isoscalar giant resonances in ²³²Th

M. N. Harakeh, H. P. Morsch,* K. van der Weg, and A. van der Woude Kernfysisch Versneller Instituut, Groningen, The Netherlands

F. E. Bertrand

Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee[†] and Kernfysisch Versneller Instituut, Groningen, The Netherlands (Received 4 October 1979)

The giant resonance region in ²³²Th has been studied with inelastic α scattering at $E_{\alpha} = 120$ MeV. The observed resonance peak can be fitted with one Gaussian peak centered at 11.0 ± 0.3 MeV with full width at half maximum equal to 4.0 ± 0.3 MeV. If pure L = 2, this peak would exhaust $(130 \pm 25)\%$ of the E2 energy-weighted sum rule. Considerable admixture of L = 0 and/or higher multipole strength could be accommodated, if only 60-80% of the E2 energy-weighted sum rule is assumed to be exhausted.

NUCLEAR REACTIONS ²³²Th(α, α'), $E_{\alpha} = 120$ MeV, measured $d\sigma/d\Omega$; iso-scalar giant resonances, DWBA, deduced L, β .

The study of the effect of deformation on the width and excitation energy of the giant quadrupole resonance (GQR) in deformed nuclei has aroused considerable interest in recent years. The splitting of the giant dipole resonance (GDR) into $K^{\pi}=0^{-}, 1^{-}$ components in well deformed nuclei has already been well known both theoretically¹ and experimentally.² Both in the rare earth and the actinide nuclei, the splitting of the GDR could be well described² by simple relations of energy, width, and percentage of E1, energy-weighted sum rule (EWSR), exhausted in the two parts of the GDR to the deformation of the nuclear ground state. After the discovery of the GQR, one expected similarly to observe the splitting of the GQR in well deformed nuclei into its three components $K^{\pi} = 0^+, 1^+, 2^+$. However, experimentally this expectation did not materialize. What one did observe³ was a broadening on the low excitation energy side of the GQR rather than a splitting of the GQR in these deformed nuclei. The observation of a possible effect due to deformation on the higher energy tail of the GQR is hampered by the presence of the giant monopole resonance, which is reportedly located⁴ at $E_r = 80A^{-1/3}$ MeV. Many theoretical papers⁵ have since appeared which in fact show that the splitting of the GQR in well deformed nuclei is smaller than the width of the resonance. This should lead in the case of the GQR to a broadening rather than a splitting of the resonance.

Recently an (e, f) experiment⁶ was performed on ²³⁸U. While in an earlier publication Lewis and Horen⁷ found a rather narrow GQR (3-4 MeV) in ²³⁸U in inelastic (p, p') at $E_p = 61$ MeV, in the more recent (e, f) work it is claimed that the GQR decays by about 100% by fission and has a width [full width at half maximum (FWHM)] of 6.9 MeV and $E_x = 9.9$ MeV and, moreover, exhausts ~70% of the E2, EWSR. Both width and excitation energy obtained for the GQR in ²³⁸U in the (e, f) experiment are in direct conflict with the values obtained by Lewis and Horen.⁷ Moreover, the result of the (e, f) experiment⁶ that the GQR decays 100% by fission is in contradiction with a recent $(\alpha, \alpha' f)$ experiment⁸ performed at this lab where the GQR in both ²³²Th and ²³⁸U was found to be inhibited to fission decay. Also in a (⁶Li, ⁶Li'f) experiment⁹ a small fission probability for the decay of the GQR was found.

In view of the recent revived interest in the excitation and decay of isoscalar giant resonances in the actinide region we present in this communication of our data an analysis of the ²³²Th(α , α') reaction performed at E_{α} =120 MeV. Only analysis pertaining to the giant resonance region will be presented, since our resolution was not good enough to deal with the low-lying states. However, the reader is referred to a recent high resolution (p, p') experiment performed¹⁰ on various deformed nuclei including ²³²Th.

A 120 MeV energy analyzed beam of alpha particles from the KVI AVF cyclotron was used to bombard a 1.8 mg/cm² thick, self-supporting ²³²Th target. The elastically and inelastically scattered alpha particles were detected in a ΔE -Edetector telescope system. The ΔE and E were solid state detectors of 0.7 and 5 mm thickness, respectively. The overall energy resolution obtained with this system was around 150 keV. For more details of the experimental setup see Ref. 11.

768



FIG. 1. Spectra of the ²³²Th(α, α') reaction at $E_{\alpha} = 120$ MeV taken at $\theta_{lab} = 12^{\circ}$ and 14°. The sharp peaks in the giant resonance (GR) region (~11 MeV) are due to scattering from ¹²C and ¹⁶O contaminants. Broad peaks due to scattering from hydrogen are labeled.

Differential cross sections were measured in 1° steps from 12° to 20° in the lab system. In Fig. 1, two ²³²Th spectra taken at 12° and 14° are shown. These spectra extend to high excitation energies ($E_x = 85 \text{ MeV}$) in ²³²Th. In addition to the giant resonance peak around $E_r = 11$ MeV, two peaks corresponding to α scattering from hydrogen contaminant in the target are apparent in both spectra. Moreover, a broad bump starting around $E_x = 20$ MeV and extending to $E_x = 45$ MeV is recognizable. This corresponds to α particles resulting from ⁵Li and ⁵He breakup obtained in the $(\alpha, {}^{5}\text{He})$ and $(\alpha, {}^{5}\text{Li})$ reactions on ${}^{232}\text{Th}$. Composite structures were observed at $E_r \sim 0.8$ and 1.1 MeV. Our resolution is not good enough to make definite statements about various contributions to these structures. Further sharp structure observed in the region of the giant resonance region is due to oxygen and carbon contaminants.

Absolute cross sections were calculated using target thickness measurements, integrated collected charge, and measured solid angle. The elastic cross section so obtained was found to agree with the prediction of an optical model calculation.

The data were analyzed in a straightforward manner. A background was assumed to run smoothly from higher to lower excitation energies and was subtracted. In Fig. 2, where an inelastic α spectrum taken at $\theta_{lab} = 15^{\circ}$ is shown with an emphasis on the region of the GR, a possible way to subtract the background is demonstrated. Here, in contrast to the lead data obtained¹¹ at 120 MeV, only one Gaussian peak was found necessary to fit the GR structure. One can, of course, fit the structure for the sake of consistency with two peaks as was found^{4,11} necessary for the lead region. However, in the ²³²Th case one can choose the peak position of GR2 at liberty, and hence



FIG. 2. A 232 Th(α, α') spectrum emphasizing the region of the GR taken at $\theta_{lab} = 15^{\circ}$. Sharp peaks are due to 12 C and 16 O contaminants. The curve through the data is a result of a fit to the GR with a Gaussian peak and a background as is also shown in the figure.



FIG. 3. Differential cross sections of elastic scattering and of the GR structure. The curve through the elastic scattering data is a result of an optical model calculation. The curves shown for the GR are results of collective model DWBA calculations as described in the text.

there is large uncertainty as to the position and width of GR2 in contrast to the lead region. For instance, if we assume that GR2 was located at $E_x = 13.7$ MeV, we would find a good fit to the observed spectra by the combination $E_x = 11.0 \pm 0.3$ MeV, $\Gamma(FWHM) = 3.4 \pm 0.3$ MeV for GR1 and E_x = 13.7 MeV and $\Gamma = 2.7 \pm 0.4$ MeV for GR2. This would result in cross sections for two GR peaks GR1 and GR2 which in general look like the data of GR1 and GR2 in the lead region.¹¹ But the quality of the present data is not good enough to justify such a decomposition. For this reason, we were content to fit the data with one Gaussian peak. The excitation energy and width of the GR structure are $E_x = 11.0 \pm 0.3$ MeV and $\Gamma_{\rm FWHM} = 4.0 \pm 0.3$ MeV, respectively.

Distorted-wave Born approximation (DWBA) calculations with collective form factors were performed to fit the differential cross section of the giant resonance. The optical model potential used was obtained¹² from an analysis of elastic scattering on ²⁰⁸Pb at E_{α} = 135 MeV and has the parameters

$$V = 155 \text{ MeV}$$
 $r_R = 1.282 \text{ fm}$ $a_R = 0.677$
 $W = 23.26 \text{ MeV}$ $r_I = 1.478 \text{ fm}$ $a_I = 0.733$ $R_c = 1.4 \text{ fm}$

with a Woods-Saxon shape.

The differential cross section for elastic scattering calculated with the above potential is seen to fit (Fig. 3) the data in the measured angular range rather well. In Fig. 3, we also show the extracted data for the GR peak. Three lines are shown which are predictions of DWBA calculations¹³ with collective form factors. The solid line is for L=2 transfer and 150% of the E2, EWSR. It is seen to give an acceptable fit to the data, except for the two most forward angles. The dashed line is for L=4 transfer and is drawn to show that the two most forward points could be reproduced by L = 4 admixture. The best overall fit is obtained with a calculation where a mixture of 100% E2, EWSR and 20% E4, EWSR is assumed; this is the dashed-dotted line in Fig. 3, which is seen to reproduce the forward points reasonably. If the percentage of E2, EWSR is reduced to 70%, then up to 70% of the E0, EWSR could be accommodated without any deterioration of the fit.

The percentages of the EWSR quoted have been

TABLE I. Excitation energy, width, deformation length and percentages of EWSR's for a few possible decompositions of the GR peak in 232 Th.

<i>E_x</i> (MeV)	Γ _{FWHM} (MeV)	J	βR	% EWSR ^a
11.0 ± 0.3	4.0 ± 0.3	2 2+4 0+2+4	0.59	130 ± 25 85% E2 + 17% E4 60% E0 + 60% E2 + 17% E4

^a The percentages of the EWSR for E2 and E4 are obtained by normalizing to the percentages of EWSR for the low-lying 2^+ and 4^+ states in ²⁰⁸Pb obtained from electromagnetic measurements by a factor as described in the text.

obtained in the following manner. First deformation parameters have been obtained by comparing experimental cross sections to DWBA cross sections. From the βR values isoscalar transition rates $B(IS, 0 \rightarrow L)$ for uniform density were calculated. The percentages of the EWSR, S(IS), were then obtained by comparing to the isoscalar EWSR \tilde{S}_L of the various multipolarities:

$$S(IS) = E_{\star}B(IS, 0 \rightarrow L)/\tilde{S}_{L}$$
.

For E2 and E4 these were further renormalized by factors of 1.25 and 1.48. Such factors are needed for comparison of isoscalar transition rates obtained from inelastic α scattering with electromagnetic transition rates obtained from inelastic electron scattering. The above factors 1.25 and 1.48 were obtained from a similar analysis of the 2⁺ and 4⁺ states of ²⁰⁸Pb at $E_x = 4.085$ and 4.324 MeV, respectively (see Ref. 11 for more details).

In Table I, we summarize the results of our analysis. The excitation energy and width of the GR peak we observe for ²³²Th are in agreement with those obtained⁷ for ²³⁸U from inelastic proton scattering. The percentage of the EWSR obtained in this analysis depends on the choice made for the background. The background used in the analysis to obtain the cross section shown in Fig. 3 could be considered as a lower limit. If an upper

- *Present address: Institut für Kernphysik, Kernforschungsanlage, Jülich, Germany.
- †Operated by Union Carbide Corporation for the United States Department of Energy.
- ¹M. Danos, Nucl. Phys. <u>5</u>, 23 (1958).
- ²A. Veyssière, H. Beil, R. Bergère, P. Carlos, and A. Lepretre, Nucl. Phys. <u>A199</u>, 45 (1973) and references therein.
- ³D. H. Youngblood, J. M. Moss, C. M. Rozsa, J. D. Bronson, A. D. Backer, and D. R. Brown, Phys. Rev. C 13, 994 (1976).
- ⁴M. N. Harakeh, K. van der Borg, T. Ishimatsu, H. P. Morsch, A. van der Woude, and F. E. Bertrand, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>38</u>, 676 (1977). D. H. Youngblood, C. M. Rozsa, J. M. Moss, D. R. Brown, and J. D. Bronson, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>39</u>, 1188 (1977). F. E. Bertrand, G. R. Satchler, D. J. Horen, and A. van der Woude, Phys. Lett. 80B, 198 (1979).
- ⁵T. Kishimoto, J. M. Moss, D. H. Youngblood, J. D. Bronson, C. M. Rozsa, D. R. Brown, and A. D. Bacher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 552 (1975); N. Auerbach and A. Yeverechyahu, Phys. Lett. 62B, 143 (1976); T. Suz-

limit background were drawn (not shown in Fig. 2) around 110% of the E2, EWSR would fit the data. The values listed in Table I constitute averages between the upper and lower limit backgrounds with an estimated error of ~20%. The value of $130 \pm 25\%$ E2, EWSR is consistent with the value of $85 \pm 20\%$ obtained from ²³⁸U (p, p') if no normalization factor of 1.25 is applied.

Our data are in conflict with the data obtained from (e, f) measurements,⁶ where an excitation energy and a width of 9.9 and 6.9 MeV, respectively, were found for the GQR. At best we observe a broadening of about 0.4 MeV of the GQR as compared to the ²⁰⁸Pb data [Γ_{FWHM} (²⁰⁸Pb) \approx 3.0 MeV], if we assume that the GR peak observed in ²³²Th can be decomposed in two peaks GR1 and GR2 as discussed above. Furthermore, it should be noted that the percentages of the EWSR's obtained for ²³²Th are similar to those obtained for the nuclei ^{206,208}Pb and ²⁰⁹Bi (Ref. 11) and that all of the results for ²³²Th as presented here are consistent with the systematics observed for the giant resonances in heavy nuclei.¹⁴

This work was performed as part of the research program of the Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM) with financial support from the Nederlandse Organisatie voor Zuiver Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (ZWO).

uki and D. J. Rowe, Nucl. Phys. A289, 461 (1977).

- ⁶J. D. T. Arruda Neto, S. B. Herdade, B. S. Bhandari, and I. C. Nascimento, Phys. Rev. C <u>18</u>, 863 (1978).
- ⁷M. B. Lewis and D. J. Horen, Phys. Rev. C <u>10</u>, 1099 (1974).
- ⁸J. van der Plicht, M. N. Harakeh, A. van der Woude, P. David, and J. Debrus, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>42</u>, 1121 (1979).
- ⁹A. C. Shotter, C. K. Gelbke, T. C. Awes, B. B. Back, J. Mahoney, T. J. M. Symons, and D. K. Scott, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 569 (1979).
- ¹⁰C. H. King, G. M. Crawley, J. A. Nolen, Jr., and J. Finck, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. <u>44</u>, 564 (1978).
- ¹¹M. N. Harakeh, B. van Heyst, K. van der Borg, and A. van der Woude, Nucl. Phys. A327, 373 (1979).
- ¹²D. A. Goldberg, S. M. Smith, H. G. Pugh, P. G. Ross, and N. S. Wall, Phys. Rev. C 7, 1938 (1976).
- ¹³DWBA calculations were performed with program DWUCK, P. D. Kunz (unpublished).
- ¹⁴See for instance F. E. Bertrand, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Sci. <u>26</u>, 457 (1976).