



University of Groningen

The ACE (I/D) polymorphism and the RAAS in type 1 diabetes mellitus

Luik, Pieter Teunis

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2004

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Luik, P. T. (2004). The ACE (I/D) polymorphism and the RAAS in type 1 diabetes mellitus. s.n.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Section III

Diabetic Nephropathy

Chapter 7

A comparison of progression in diabetic and non-diabetic renal disease: similarity of progression promotors.

GJ Navis MD, PhD^{1,2}, PT Luik MD¹, D de Zeeuw MD, PhD^{2,1}, PE de Jong MD, PhD¹...

Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Nephrology¹ and Department of Clinical Pharmacology², University Medical Center, Groningen, The Netherlands.

The Kidney and Hypertension in Diabetes Mellitus, 6th Edition C.E.Mogensen, EditorMartin Dunitz, Ltd.

CHAPTER 7

The prevention of progressive renal function loss remains the major challenge for nephrologists today. Traditionally the progressive nature of renal function loss was attributed to the underlying disease, with a major role for hypertension (1). The hypothesis, however, that common mechanisms account for progressive renal function loss in many different renal conditions regardless the nature of initial renal damage (2) was fueled by several observations. These include the linear renal function deterioration that occurs in many patients regardless their initial renal disease (3), as well as the similarity in histopathological abnormalities in end-stage kidneys with different underlying diseases. Systemic (4) and glomerular hypertension, proteinuria (5) and lipid abnormalities (6) are assumed to be common mediators in the pathogenesis of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, the alleged final common pathway of progressive renal disease (7). Here we will briefly review current knowledge on progressive renal function loss in human diabetic and non-diabetic renal disease and on the response to intervention treatment. We will focus on clinical evidence regarding the hypothesis that common mechanisms underlie progressive renal function loss in diabetic and non-diabetic renal disease; this will help to devise future prevention strategies.

Natural history The initiation and progression of renal damage

In type I DM the natural history of nephropathy is well-characterized, as, contrary to non-diabetic renal disease and partly type 2 DM, most patients come to medical attention years before renal abnormalities develop. It is still not understood why some 30 to 40 per cent of diabetic patients develop nephropathy whereas others don't; familial clustering, however, since long suggests genetically determined susceptibility (8;9) and indeed, for type 2 DM genetic factors predisposing to nephropathy were recently identified (IO). In diabetic patients that develop nephropathy, a typical, biphasic clinical course of renal function occurs, with elevated GFR in the early stages, followed by micro-albuminuria (II;I2). The elevated GFR presumably reflects glomerular hypertension, due to afferent arteriolar dysfunction with increased transmission of systemic pressure to glomerular capillaries. The ensuing glomerular capillary damage and protein leakage are important mechanisms in initiation and perpetuation of renal damage in these patients (I3). These functional abnormalities are accompanied by renal and glomerular enlargement (I4). The transition from normoalbuminuria to microalbuminuria is associated with a slight increase in systemic blood pressure (15). When micro-albuminuria progresses to overt proteinuria, usually in association with a further rise in arterial blood pressure, glomerular filtration rate decreases, and gradual progression to end-stage renal failure occurs, albeit with large interindividual differences in progression rate (11). In type 2 DM the sequence of events in development of nephropathy is somewhat more complicated to unravel, as patients present at a later stage, often have pre-existent hypertension, and moreover renal abnormalities are already present in a considerable proportion of the patients by the time diabetes is diagnosed. Yet, recent data support a bifasic course of GFR in type 2 DM as well (12). Moreover, data in a cohort of type 2 DM patients normotensive at diagnosis support the concordance of albuminuria and a rise in blood pressure during follow-up in this population as well (16).

In non-diabetic renal disease the factors that initiate renal damage are heterogeneous, and can include primarily glomerular or vascular, or tubulo-interstitial damage. Little is known about possible factors preceding the development of non-diabetic renal disease, as by the time patients come to medical attention overt renal damage is usually present. Patients with hypertension may be an exception. Remarkably, microalbuminuria (17) as well as glomerular hyperfiltration (18), two hallmarks of incipient diabetic nephropathy, occur in subsets of patients with essential hypertension. In the general population an association between high-normal blood pressure and albuminuria was observed as well (19). Interestingly, in the general population we observed a biphasic relationship between albuminuria and creatinine clearance with a striking similarity to the pattern in diabetes, with an association between hyperfiltration and micro-albuminuria or high high-normal albumin excretion and hypofiltration becoming more prevalent among those with macroalbuminuria (20). Whereas in non-diabetic populations the prognostic value of microalbuminuria and glomerular hyperfiltration for long term renal function are not yet established, nevertheless, these data suggest that the sequence of events in diabetic and nephropathy also occurs in at least some forms of non-diabetic renal damage.

The contribution of factors specific for the underlying disease as determinants of progression rate relative to common factors is not equivocal - and probably not uniform -across different renal conditions. In diabetes, the impact of glycemic control on renal prognosis in type I DM and type 2 DM represents a clear-cut diagnosis-specific renal risk factor (21;22). In non-diabetic patients, studies on the role of the underlying disorder provide conflicting data, with no effect of underlying disease on progression rate in some studies (3;23), whereas other studies found a faster progression rate in glomerulonephritis

CHAPTER 7

and diabetic nephropathy than in chronic pyelonephritis, analgesic nephropathy or hypertensive nephrosclerosis (5:24-29). In most studies, polycystic kidney disease is associated with a faster progression rate as well (26:29-32) although not uniformly so (5:18). Taken together, the nature of the underlying disease appears not to be indifferent to the rate of long-term renal function loss. Of note, in conditions where the primary cause of damage can be reliably eliminated (such as obstructive uropathy, analgesic abuse, or primary hypertension) the course of renal function is more favorable than in conditions where the initiating factors cannot (or not reliably) be annihilated (e.g diabetic nephropathy, glomerulonephritis, or polycystic kidney disease). This suggests that either primary causes still exert effect, or that they trigger the alleged common perpetuating factors to a greater extent than other conditions.

Whereas in nephropathy due to type 1 DM, linear progression appears to be the rule (33), in non-diabetic renal disease (5;30) or type 2 DM (34), considerable subpopulations (up to 20-25% of the patients) may have stable renal function or non-linear progression. Such a heterogeneity in patient populations might partly explain the difficulties in reproducing clear-cut renoprotective effects obtained by specific treatment modalities in experimental animals, in particular for nondiabetic renal disease (5;35).

Common progression promoters

In diabetic as well as non-diabetic populations (3) considerable interindividual variability is apparent in the rate of renal function loss for any given disease. Many studies investigated the determinants of this variability (see also Table 1), evaluating the clinical relevance of common progression promoters identified in experimental renal disease, such as systemic and glomerular hypertension, proteinuria, lipid abnormalities, obesity, low grade inflammation and smoking. In the interpretation of these studies, however, the close interaction of these factors as to their effects on long-term renal function (2) should be kept in mind. As a consequence, their respective contributions are often hard to dissect.

In both diabetic (36;37) and non-diabetic renal disease (5;31;32;38) the severity of proteinuria is a predictive factor for progression rate. Interestingly, Wight (31) showed that differences in progression rate between most renal conditions were no longer apparent after correction for proteinuria (with the sole exception of polycystic kidney disease). Moreover, the association between proteinuria and progression not only occurs in conditions where proteinuria might reflect activity of the primary glomerular disorder, such as diabetic nephropathy and glomerulonephritis, but also in chronic pyelonephritis (5).

 Table 1. Clinical characteristics of progressive renal function loss in diabetic and non-diabetic renal disease

	Diabetic nephropathy	Non-diabetic nephropathy
natural history		
Course of renal hemodynamics	Typically biphasic	Possibly biphasic in some conditions
renal function loss	Invariably progressive	Progressive in
		many patients
predictors of	Diabetes control	Underlying disease
progression	Proteinuria	Proteinuria
	Blood pressure	Blood pressure
	Cholesterol	Cholesterol
	DD genotype ACE gene	DD genotype ACE gene
	Obesity (type 2 DM)?	Obesity
	Smoking	Smoking
	Low-grade inflammation?	Low-grade inflammation?
Prevention of progressive ren	nal function loss	
Protein restriction	Probably effective	Effective
Antihypertensive therapy	Effective	Effective; benefit proportional to severity

		of proteinuria.
Predictors	Initial antiproteinuric effect	Initial antiproteinuric effect
renoprotection	Initial renal hemodynamic effect	Initial renal hemodynamic effect

Response to specific renoprotective drugs

ACE inhibitors/ AT1 blockers	Reduce proteinuria Reduce progression rate Independent from blood pressure effect	Reduce proteinuria Reduce progression rate Independent from blood pressure effect
Non-ACE inhibitor antihypertensives	Reduce proteinuria in a strongly pressure-dependent fashion	Slight, pressure-dependent effect on proteinuria

Taken together, this evidence strongly suggests that, once a certain renal disorder is present, the severity of proteinuria rather than the underlying disorder per se predicts renal outcome. The case of polycystic kidney disease however, illustrates that disease-specific mechanisms may predominate over common mechanisms in some conditions (39;40).

Importantly, the reduction of proteinuria at onset of antihypertensive therapy predicts

the subsequent course of renal function, in both non-diabetic (41) and diabetic (42) proteinuric renal patients. Remarkably, this is independent of the way the reduction of proteinuria was achieved (i.e. drug therapy or low protein diet (32;43) or class of drug (41)). For therapeutic purposes, importantly, this allows early identification of patients who need more aggressive renoprotective intervention. The pathophysiological basis of the prognostic impact of antiproteinuric response is likely to be twofold. First, the reduction in proteinuria identifies subjects with less severe renal structural damage - as suggested by retrospective data in man (44), and by prospective data in experimental proteinuria (45). Although difficult to substantiate prospectively in man, these data would implicate that renoprotective efficacy could be optimized by starting as early as possible in the course of the disease, which can be considered in line with the data in diabetic subjects - where ACE inhibition has been shown to be effective not only in the treatment, but also in the prevention of progression towards nephropathy (16). Second, a poor antiproteinuric response leads to a higher residual proteinuria, which in turn further aggravates renal damage. In addition to the early antiproteinuric response, also the early response of glomerular filtration to antihypertensive therapy predicts the subsequent course of renal function in diabetic as well as non-diabetic patients with a more favorable course in subjects with a slight initial drop in filtration presumably reflecting a drop in filtration pressure . Again, this predictive effect does not depend on the mode of intervention, as it occurs irrespective of the class of drug (i.e ACEi or beta-blocker) and also applies to the early response to low protein diet (43).

As to hypertension, in type I DM the development of hypertension is closely associated with transition from normoalbuminuria to microalbuminuria (I5) and subsequently with further progression to overt proteinuria and progressive renal function loss (46-48). In type 2 DM, the timecourse is less extensively documented, and presumably more diverse, as almost half of the patients is hypertensive at the time of diagnosis (49), yet blood pressure was found as a major risk factor for the progression to diabetic nephropathy (I6;50). In non-diabetic renal disease also, high blood pressure is usually associated with a poor renal outcome (23;26;31;32), and moreover, the antihypertensive response to treatment is associated with reduction of the rate of renal function loss (4). Blood pressure was a strong predictor for end stage renal failure in the large MRFIT cohort (51). Yet, somewhat surprisingly, several studies in renal patients failed to demonstrate that blood pressure was an independent determinant of progression rate (5;25;26). In these studies, however, proteinuria and blood pressure were closely related and a predominant effect of proteinuria might obscure the role of a co-linear factor such as blood pressure.

Lipid profile is increasingly recognized as relevant to progression rate. An elevated

serum cholesterol is associated with a faster progression rate in type I DM (52;53), type 2 DM (16) and non-diabetic renal diseases (41;54). There is no definitive proof however, that this reflects an independent effect of lipids - as patients with more severe hyperlipidemia also tend to be the ones with the more severe proteinuria (55).

Morbid obesity - usually associated with impaired glucose tolerance, insulin resistance or overt diabetes - is a well-known cause for so-called obesity-related glomerulopathy, a proteinuric condition with glomerular enlargement and eventually glomerulosclerosis (56). Recent data support a role for less extreme obesity as a risk enhancer in primary renal conditions as well, i.e, IgA nephropathy (57), after unilateral nephrectomy (58) and in renal transplant patients (59), albeit not uniformely so (60). Elevated filtration pressure is likely to be involved as a mechanism, as suggested by the elevated GFR and filtration fraction in morbidly obese subjects and in obese hypertensives (61;62). This may promote proteinuria - as suggested by the beneficial effects of weight reduction on proteinuria in both nondiabetic and diabetic subjects (63). Remarkably the association between higher BMI and elevated filtration fraction is already present in moderately overweight subjects (64), suggesting that on a population basis the impact of excess body weight on renal risk may be larger than recognized. Considering the diabetes-like renal abnormalities in obesity and the alleged role of insulin resistance, one might expect BMI to be a prominent renal risk factor in diabetes as well - at least in type 2 DM. However, data are not uniform. Whereas Ravid et al found that higher BMI predicted faster progression towards albuminuria (16) - Lee et al reported a negative association between overweight and renal failure in type 2 DM (50) - a discrepancy that may partly be explained by competing cardiovascular and renal risks. In type I DM - where overweight is less prevalent - the role of BMI as a renal risk factor has not been well-defined - and is likely to be more complex considering the interactions between glycemic control and body weight (65).

Association studies suggested that low-grade inflammation might be associated with progressive renal function loss in diabetic (66;67) as well as non-diabetic renal disease (68) and in the general population. A post-hoc analysis of the MDRD-study, however, reported that higher CRP (and leptin) was not an independent risk factor for the progression of non-diabetic kidney disease (69). Further prospective studies are needed in this respect.

Smoking is increasingly recognized as a renal risk factor, as reviewed recently (70). In type I (71) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (72) smoking accelerates the rate of progression from microalbuminuria to macroalbuminuria and rate of progressive renal function loss. Prospective data in type I DM, however, could not confirm this (73). In non-diabetic subjects, smoking was associated with renal risk as well (74), in hypertensive renal damage

(75-77), as well as renal transplant recipients (78). Moreover, smoking is associated with albuminuria and a biphasic renal function pattern in the general population (79).

The recent developments in genetics provide a basis to unravel the genetic factors underlying in the interindividual difference in the progression of renal diseases. So far, most data are available on the insertion/deletion polymorphism of the ACE-gene, which was found to be a determinant of the rate of renal function loss in patients with non-diabetic (80) as well as diabetic nephropathy (81-83) with an increased rate of renal function loss in patients homozygous for the D-allele. However, the results from different studies are far from uniform, which should not come as a surprise for the role of a common genetic variant in a multifactorial condition like progressive renal function loss. Rather, this prompts to identify the conditions that allow this genetic variant to exert a pathophysiologically relevant effect. In this respect, it may be significant that a large prospective study in type I diabetes mellitus, found the D-allele to be a risk factor for progression of diabetic nephropathy especially when glycemic control was poor (84) suggesting gene-environment interaction with glycemic status. These data are in line with our observations on angI responses in uncomplicated type I DM, that also showed interaction between ACE-genotype and glycemic control (85). Moreover, interaction with sodium status was observed, which is in line with prior observations in non-diabetic subjects, where high sodium intake elicited differences in the responses of blood pressure and proteinuria to ACEi between the different genotypes (86). The interaction between ACE genotype and sodium intake was confirmed in a prospective study on angI responses in healthy volunteers (87). Data on the clinical impact of ACE genotype on the antiproteinuric response to ACE inhibition are so far conflicting in non-diabetic as well as diabetic patients and suggest impact of interaction with other factors, such as sodium status, and gender (86;88-91). Further studies will have to elucidate the nature of these interactions.

Response to renoprotective treatment

As a matter of clinical common sense, renoprotective treatment should, first, aim at eliminating primary damaging factors. This may halt progression in some patients with specific disorders, such as obstructive uropathy (5) and analgesic nephropathy (92). In diabetes, strict metabolic control can reverse early hyperfiltration, and retard the progression of renal function loss (21). Progressive renal function loss in the large proportion of patients

in whom the initial cause of renal damage is no longer present (3), however, prompted the development of additional treatment strategies aimed at intervention with factors thought to be involved in the alleged final common pathway for progressive renal function loss; i.e, systemic and glomerular pressure, proteinuria and lipid abnormalities.

Intervention studies have focused on reduction of blood pressure and reduction of protein intake. Reduction of blood pressure has proven a cornerstone of renoprotective intervention in non-diabetic and diabetic renal disease (4;23;55). Restriction of dietary protein intake has elicited extensive discussions as to its efficacy and feasibility - but all in all it appears that a well-kept low protein diet indeed retards the rate of renal function loss on non-diabetic and diabetic renal patients (93;94). Increasing evidence supports the role of reduction of proteinuria as a mechanism of renoprotection, in diabetic and non-diabetic renal disease. As already mentioned above, the reduction in proteinuria at onset of treatment predicts subsequent renoprotective efficacy in non-diabetic as well as diabetic subjects. Moreover, drug regimens that reduce proteinuria more effectively invariably provide more effective long term renoprotection.

The question whether RAS-blockade, by virtue of its specific renal effects, offers better renoprotection than other antihypertensives has long been subject of debate. Experimental data long since supported the assumption of specific renoprotective effects of RAS-blockade (95), and in man renoprotective effects beyond reduction of blood pressure were supported by studies in normotensive diabetic patients where ACE inhibition was able to reverse microalbuminuria (96) and to prevent progression to overt proteinuria (97;98). Moreover, in both diabetic and non-diabetic patients ACE inhibitors can reduce proteinuria (99;99;100), progression rate (101) and the risk to reach end stage renal failure or death (55) Nevertheless, the interpretation as to specific renal effects was hampered by the slightly more effective blood pressure reduction by the ACE-inhibitor regimens (55;102).

The results of several recent large clinical trials now allow the conclusion that indeed RAS-blockade has specific renoprotective effects in addition to its effects on blood pressure. This applies to both ACE-inhibition and ATI-blockade, and has been demonstrated in diabetic as well as non-diabetic renal damage (103-106). Across these different studies, the better renoprotective effect is consistently explained by the better antiproteinuric efficacy of the RAS-blockers.

Several important insights have been gained from the large intervention trials of the last decade. First, importantly, in non-diabetic patients the long-term benefit of lower blood pressure (whether or not achieved with ACE inhibitors) on renal function was more pronounced in proteinuric patients (107-110). Thus, like diabetic patients (in whom

proteinuria is the hallmark of renal involvement), proteinuric patients with non-diabetic renal disease display greater renal sensitivity to the effect of elevated systemic blood pressure than their non-proteinuric counterparts. Whether this similarity simply reflects the long-term benefits of reduction of proteinuria secondary to the lower blood pressure, or whether proteinuria is a marker (or even a mediator) of the susceptibility of the glomerular vascular bed to hypertensive damage is as yet unknown. Second, the specific benefit of RAS-blockade over other modes of antihypertensive therapy appears to be proportional to the severity of proteinuria across non-diabetic and diabetic populations (103;111-113). Finally, recent studies have shown that dual blockade of the RAS reduces proteinuria and progression rate more effectively than monotherapy with ACE inhibitor or AT1 blockade (114-116).

Individual differences in the response to renoprotective intervention

In spite of the major improvements in renoprotective treatment, nevertheless in many patients the response to antihypertensive and antiproteinuric intervention is sub optimal, with residual proteinuria, and consequently ongoing renal function loss. In fact, the interindividual differences in responsiveness to antiproteinuric intervention by far exceed the differences in efficacy between different regimens - which is in line with a welldesigned analysis in essential hypertension (117). This notion – which is often overlooked - underlines the importance of unravelling the mechanisms underlying individual differences in responsiveness to therapy. By applying different treatment schedules in the same patients, we could demonstrate that responsiveness to antiproteinuric intervention is indeed an individual characteristic – both in non-diabetic and diabetic nephropathy. The individual differences were not altered by increasing the dose of the ACE inhibitor, by increasing the dose of the ATI blocker, or by switching from one class to the other - or even by switching to NSAID (118). The difference between good and poor responders persisted when the mean response for the group was unaltered, but, remarkably, also when the mean response for the group was enhanced by dose increase or dietary sodium restriction. In other words, maneuvers that enhance therapy response at group level do not make the poor responders catch up with the good responders – neither in diabetic, nor in non-diabetic proteinuric patients (119). Thus, in order to improve renoprotective efficacy in poor responders, other modes of intervention, and combined intervention in different pathophysiological pathways will be have to be explored (120).

Conclusions and implications for renoprotective treatment

Promoters for progression in patients with diabetic and non-diabetic nephropathy display striking similarities. Moreover, predictors for efficacy of long-term renoprotective therapy are similar as well. This supports the hypothesis that common mechanisms underlie progressive renal function loss in diabetic and many non-diabetic renal patients, with the possible exception of specific diagnostic categories, such as polycystic kidneys (40), where disease-specific mechanisms may be the main determinants of progression. The similarities are most readily apparent for patients with proteinuria, supporting the assumption that proteinuria is pivotal in the alleged common mechanisms (7).

Several implications for renoprotective treatment can be derived from the current evidence. First, to provide renoprotection in proteinuric patients (with or without diabetes) target blood pressure should be lower than in non-proteinuric patients. For diabetic patients the need for a low target blood pressure has already gained general acceptance (121). For non-diabetic proteinuric patients, data from the MDRD study demonstrated that the lowest mean arterial pressure attained (≤92 mmHg, corresponding to 125/75 mmHg) provided improved renoprotection, without signs of a J-shape pattern (122). From a renal perspective, therefore, target mean arterial blood pressure in proteinuric patients may have to be even lower than 90 mmHg. For such an aggressive approach to be feasible, short-term titration criteria predictive for long-term renoprotection are indispensable - but fortunately, reduction of proteinuria is a consistent predictor for long term outcome, in diabetic as well as non-diabetic patients. The latter suggests that specific titration for reduction of proteinuria may allow to further improve renoprotective efficacy in diabetic as well as non-diabetic patients, and it is recommended that future studies explore the renoprotective potential of specific titration for proteinuria reduction.

Studies including hard end-points have demonstrated that regimens based on RASblockade provide better reduction of proteinuria and consequently renoprotection – and recent data indicate that dual blockade of the RAS may even be more effective. Nevertheless, therapeutic benefit is still suboptimal in a substantial proportion of the patients – and exploration of regimens combining blockade in different pathophysiological pathways may provide a strategy to further improve the efficacy of long term renoprotection.

References

- (1) Ellis A. Natural history of Bright's disease; clinical, histological and experimental observations. The vicious circle in Bright's disease. Lancet 1942; i:72-76.
- (2) Klahr S, Schreiner G, Ichikawa I. The progression of renal disease. N Engl J Med 1988; 318(25):1657-1666.
- (3) Mitch WE, Walser M, Buffington GA, Lemann J, Jr. A simple method of estimating progression of chronic renal failure. Lancet 1976; 2(7999):1326-1328.
- (4) Alvestrand A, Gutierrez A, Bucht H, Bergstrom J. Reduction of blood pressure retards the progression of chronic renal failure in man. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1988; 3(5):624-631.
- (5) Williams PS, Fass G, Bone JM. Renal pathology and proteinuria determine progression in untreated mild/moderate chronic renal failure. Q J Med 1988; 67(252):343-354.
- (6) Keane WF, Kasiske BL, O'Donnell MP, Kim Y. The role of altered lipid metabolism in the progression of renal disease: experimental evidence. Am J Kidney Dis 1991; 17(5 Suppl 1):38-42.
- (7) Remuzzi G, Bertani T. Is glomerulosclerosis a consequence of altered glomerular permeability to macromolecules? [editorial]. Kidney Int 1990; 38(3):384-394.
- (8) Seaquist ER, Goetz FC, Rich S, Barbosa J. Familial clustering of diabetic kidney disease. Evidence for genetic susceptibility to diabetic nephropathy [see comments]. N Engl J Med 1989; 320(18):1161-1165.
- (9) Tarnow L. Genetic pattern in diabetic nephropathy. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1996; 11(3):410-412.
- (10) Vardarli I, Baier LJ, Hanson RL, Akkoyun I, Fischer C, Rohmeiss P et al. Gene for susceptibility to diabetic nephropathy in type 2 diabetes maps to 18q22.3-23. Kidney Int 2002; 62(6):2176-2183.
- (11) Mogensen CE. Natural history and potential prevention of diabetic nephropathy in insulin-dependent and non-insulin-dependent diabetic patients. In: El Nahas A, Mallick NP, Anderson S, editors. Prevention of progressive chronic renal failure. Oxford, 1993: 278-279.
- (12) Vora JP, Dolben J, Dean JD, Thomas D, Williams JD, Owens DR et al. Renal hemodynamics in newly presenting non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. Kidney Int 1992; 41(4):829-835.
- (13) Parving HH, Kastrup H, Smidt UM, Andersen AR, Feldt-Rasmussen B, Christiansen JS. Impaired autoregulation of glomerular filtration rate in type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetic patients with nephropathy. Diabetologia 1984; 27(6):547-552.
- (14) Feldt-Rasmussen B, Hegedus L, Mathiesen ER, Deckert T. Kidney volume in type 1 (insulindependent) diabetic patients with normal or increased urinary albumin excretion: effect of long-term improved metabolic control. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 1991; 51(1):31-36.
- (15) Poulsen PL, Hansen KW, Mogensen CE. Ambulatory blood pressure in the transition from normo- to microalbuminuria: a longitudinal study in IDDM. Diabetes 1994; 43(10):1248-1253.
- (16) Ravid M, Brosh D, Ravid-Safran D, Levy Z, Rachmani R. Main risk factors for nephropathy in type 2 diabetes mellitus are plasma cholesterol levels, mean blood pressure, and hyperglycemia. Arch Intern Med 1998; 158(9):998-1004.

- (17) Parving HH, Mogensen CE, Jensen HA, Evrin PE. Increased urinary albumin-excretion rate in benign essential hypertension. Lancet 1974; 1(7868):1190-1192.
- (18) du CG, Ribstein J, Mimran A. Glomerular hyperfiltration and left ventricular mass in mild nevertreated essential hypertension. J Hypertens Suppl 1991; 9(6):S158-S159.
- (19) Knight EL, Kramer HM, Curhan GC. High-normal blood pressure and microalbuminuria. Am J Kidney Dis 2003; 41 (3):588-595.
- (20) Pinto-Sietsma SJ, Janssen WM, Hillege HL, Navis G, de Zeeuw D, de Jong PE. Urinary albumin excretion is associated with renal functional abnormalities in a nondiabetic population. J Am Soc Nephrol 2000; 11(10):1882-1888.
- (21) Effect of intensive therapy on the development and progression of diabetic nephropathy in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. The Diabetes Control and Complications (DCCT) Research Group. Kidney Int 1995; 47(6):1703-1720.
- (22) Stratton IM, Adler AI, Neil HA, Matthews DR, Manley SE, Cull CA et al. Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study. BMJ 2000; 321(7258):405-412.
- (23) Brazy PC, Fitzwilliam JF. Progressive renal disease: role of race and antihypertensive medications. Kidney Int 1990; 37(4):1113-1119.
- (24) Ahlem J. Incidence of human chronic renal insufficiency. A study of the incidence and pattern of renal insufficiency in adults during 1966-71 in Gotheburg. Acta Med Scand 1975; supp 582:1-50.
- (25) Stenvinkel P, Alvestrand A, Bergstrom J. Factors influencing progression in patients with chronic renal failure. J Intern Med 1989; 226(3):183-188.
- (26) Locatelli F, Marcelli D, Comelli M, Alberti D, Graziani G, Buccianti G et al. Proteinuria and blood pressure as causal components of progression to end-stage renal failure. Northern Italian Cooperative Study Group. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1996; 11(3):461-467.
- (27) Rutherford WE, Blondin J, Miller JP, Greenwalt AS, Vavra JD. Chronic progressive renal disease: rate of change of serum creatinine concentration. Kidney Int 1977; 11(1):62-70.
- (28) Hannedouche T, Chauveau P, Fehrat A, Albouze G, Jungers P. Effect of moderate protein restriction on the rate of progression of chronic renal failure. Kidney Int Suppl 1989; 27:S91-S95.
- (29) Rosman JB, Langer K, Brandl M, Piers-Becht TP, van der Hem GK, ter Wee PM et al. Protein-restricted diets in chronic renal failure: a four year follow- up shows limited indications. Kidney Int Suppl 1989; 27:S96-102.
- (30) Bergstrom J, Alvestrand A, Bucht H, Gutierrez A, Stenvinkel P. Is chronic renal disease always progressive? Contrib Nephrol 1989; 75:60-67.
- (31) Wight JP, Salzano S, Brown CB, el Nahas AM. Natural history of chronic renal failure: a reappraisal. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1992; 7(5):379-383.
- (32) Oldrizzi L, Rugiu C, Valvo E, Lupo A, Loschiavo C, Gammaro L et al. Progression of renal failure in patients with renal disease of diverse etiology on protein-restricted diet. Kidney Int 1985; 27(3):553-557.

- (33) Jones RH, Hayakawa H, Mackay JD, Parsons V, Watkins PJ. Progression of diabetic nephropathy. Lancet 1979; 1 (8126):1105-1106.
- (34) Gall MA, Nielsen FS, Smidt UM, Parving HH. The course of kidney function in type 2 (non-insulindependent) diabetic patients with diabetic nephropathy. Diabetologia 1993; 36(10):1071-1078.
- (35) Levey AS. Measurement of renal function in chronic renal disease. Kidney Int 1990; 38(1):167-184.
- (36) Keane WF, Brenner BM, de Zeeuw D, Grunfeld JP, McGill J, Mitch WE et al. The risk of developing end-stage renal disease in patients with type 2 diabetes and nephropathy: The RENAAL Study. Kidney Int 2003; 63(4):1499-1507.
- (37) Ruggenenti P, Gambara V, Perna A, Bertani T, Remuzzi G. The nephropathy of non-insulin-dependent diabetes: predictors of outcome relative to diverse patterns of renal injury. J Am Soc Nephrol 1998; 9(12):2336-2343.
- (38) Ruggenenti P, Perna A, Mosconi L, Pisoni R, Remuzzi G. Urinary protein excretion rate is the best independent predictor of ESRF in non-diabetic proteinuric chronic nephropathies. "Gruppo Italiano di Studi Epidemiologici in Nefrologia" (GISEN). Kidney Int 1998; 53(5):1209-1216.
- (39) Woo D. Apoptosis and loss of renal tissue in polycystic kidney diseases. N Engl J Med 1995; 333(1): 18-25.
- (40) Grantham JJ. Polycystic kidney disease--there goes the neighborhood. N Engl J Med 1995; 333(1):56-57.
- (41) Apperloo AJ, de Zeeuw D, de Jong PE. A short-term antihypertensive treatment-induced fall in glomerular filtration rate predicts long-term stability of renal function. Kidney Int 1997; 51(3):793-797.
- (42) Rossing P, Hommel E, Smidt UM, Parving HH. Reduction in albuminuria predicts diminished progression in diabetic nephropathy. Kidney Int Suppl 1994; 45:S145-S149.
- (43) el Nahas AM, Masters-Thomas A, Brady SA, Farrington K, Wilkinson V, Hilson AJ et al. Selective effect of low protein diets in chronic renal diseases. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 1984; 289(6455):1337-1341.
- (44) Lufft V, Kliem V, Hamkens A, Bleck JS, Eisenberger U, Petersen R et al. Antiproteinuric efficacy of fosinopril after renal transplantation is determined by the extent of vascular and tubulointerstitial damage. Clin Transplant 1998; 12(5):409-415.
- (45) Kramer AB, Laverman GD, van Goor H, Navis G. Inter-individual differences in anti-proteinuric response to ACEi in established adriamycin nephrotic rats are predicted by pretreatment renal damage. J Pathol 2003; 201(1):160-167.
- (46) Hasslacher C, Ritz E, Terpstra J, Gallasch G, Kunowski G, Rall C. Natural history of nephropathy in type I diabetes. Relationship to metabolic control and blood pressure. Hypertension 1985; 7(6 Pt 2): 1174-1178.
- (47) Mogensen CE, Christensen CK. Blood pressure changes and renal function in incipient and overt diabetic nephropathy. Hypertension 1985; 7(6 Pt 2):II64-II73.
- (48) Rossing P, Hommel E, Smidt UM, Parving HH. Impact of arterial blood pressure and albuminuria on the progression of diabetic nephropathy in IDDM patients. Diabetes 1993; 42(5):715-719.
- (49) Hypertension in Diabetes Study (HDS): I. Prevalence of hypertension in newly presenting type 2

diabetic patients and the association with risk factors for cardiovascular and diabetic complications. J Hypertens 1993; 11(3):309-317.

- (50) Lee ET, Lee VS, Lu M, Lee JS, Russell D, Yeh J. Incidence of renal failure in NIDDM. The Oklahoma Indian Diabetes Study. Diabetes 1994; 43(4):572-579.
- (51) Klag MJ, Whelton PK, Randall BL, Neaton JD, Brancati FL, Ford CE et al. Blood pressure and endstage renal disease in men. N Engl J Med 1996; 334(1):13-18.
- (52) Krolewski AS, Warram JH, Christlieb AR. Hypercholesterolemia--a determinant of renal function loss and deaths in IDDM patients with nephropathy. Kidney Int Suppl 1994; 45:S125-S131.
- (53) Mulec H, Johnsen SA, Wiklund O, Bjorck S. Cholesterol: a renal risk factor in diabetic nephropathy? Am J Kidney Dis 1993; 22(1):196-201.
- (54) Yang WQ, Song NG, Ying SS, Liang HQ, Zhang YJ, Wei MJ et al. Serum lipid concentrations correlate with the progression of chronic renal failure. Clin Lab Sci 1999; 12(2):104-108.
- (55) Maschio G, Alberti D, Janin G, Locatelli F, Mann JF, Motolese M et al. Effect of the angiotensinconverting-enzyme inhibitor benazepril on the progression of chronic renal insufficiency. The Angiotensin-Converting- Enzyme Inhibition in Progressive Renal Insufficiency Study Group. N Engl J Med 1996; 334(15):939-945.
- (56) Kambham N, Markowitz GS, Valeri AM, Lin J, D'Agati VD. Obesity-related glomerulopathy: an emerging epidemic. Kidney Int 2001; 59(4):1498-1509.
- (57) Bonnet F, Deprele C, Sassolas A, Moulin P, Alamartine E, Berthezene F et al. Excessive body weight as a new independent risk factor for clinical and pathological progression in primary IgA nephritis. Am J Kidney Dis 2001; 37(4):720-727.
- (58) Praga M, Hernandez E, Herrero JC, Morales E, Revilla Y, Diaz-Gonzalez R et al. Influence of obesity on the appearance of proteinuria and renal insufficiency after unilateral nephrectomy. Kidney Int 2000; 58(5):2111-2118.
- (59) Meier-Kriesche HU, Arndorfer JA, Kaplan B. The impact of body mass index on renal transplant outcomes: a significant independent risk factor for graft failure and patient death. Transplantation 2002; 73(1):70-74.
- (60) Johnson DW, Isbel NM, Brown AM, Kay TD, Franzen K, Hawley CM et al. The effect of obesity on renal transplant outcomes. Transplantation 2002; 74(5):675-681.
- (61) Ribstein J, du CG, Mimran A. Combined renal effects of overweight and hypertension. Hypertension 1995; 26(4):610-615.
- (62) Reisin E, Messerli FG, Ventura HO, Frohlich ED. Renal haemodynamic studies in obesity hypertension. J Hypertens 1987; 5(4):397-400.
- (63) Morales E, Valero MA, Leon M, Hernandez E, Praga M. Beneficial effects of weight loss in overweight patients with chronic proteinuric nephropathies. Am J Kidney Dis 2003; 41(2):319-327.
- (64) Bosma RJ, Homan van der Heide JJ, Oosterop EJ, de Jong PE, Navis G. Association between a higher body mass index and an unfavorable renal hemodynamic profile in healthy non-obese subjects. J Am Soc Nephrol 2002; 13:628A.

- (65) Williams KV, Erbey JR, Becker D, Orchard TJ. Improved glycemic control reduces the impact of weight gain on cardiovascular risk factors in type 1 diabetes. The Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study. Diabetes Care 1999; 22(7):1084-1091.
- (66) Weiss MF, Rodby RA, Justice AC, Hricik DE. Free pentosidine and neopterin as markers of progression rate in diabetic nephropathy. Collaborative Study Group. Kidney Int 1998; 54(1):193-202.
- (67) Myrup B, de Maat M, Rossing P, Gram J, Kluft C, Jespersen J. Elevated fibrinogen and the relation to acute phase response in diabetic nephropathy. Thromb Res 1996; 81(4):485-490.
- (68) Panichi V, Migliori M, De Pietro S, Taccola D, Bianchi AM, Norpoth M et al. C reactive protein in patients with chronic renal diseases. Ren Fail 2001; 23(3-4):551-562.
- (69) Sarnak MJ, Poindexter A, Wang SR, Beck GJ, Kusek JW, Marcovina SM et al. Serum C-reactive protein and leptin as predictors of kidney disease progression in the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study. Kidney Int 2002; 62(6):2208-2215.
- (70) Orth SR. Smoking and the kidney. J Am Soc Nephrol 2002; 13(6):1663-1672.
- (71) Telmer S, Christiansen JS, Andersen AR, Nerup J, Deckert T. Smoking habits and prevalence of clinical diabetic microangiopathy in insulin-dependent diabetics. Acta Med Scand 1984; 215(1):63-68.
- (72) Chuahirun T, Wesson DE. Cigarette smoking predicts faster progression of type 2 established diabetic nephropathy despite ACE inhibition. Am J Kidney Dis 2002; 39(2):376-382.
- (73) Hovind P, Rossing P, Tarnow L, Parving HH. Smoking and progression of diabetic nephropathy in type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2003; 26(3):911-916.
- (74) Orth SR, Stockmann A, Conradt C, Ritz E, Ferro M, Kreusser W et al. Smoking as a risk factor for endstage renal failure in men with primary renal disease. Kidney Int 1998; 54(3):926-931.
- (75) Regalado M, Yang S, Wesson DE. Cigarette smoking is associated with augmented progression of renal insufficiency in severe essential hypertension. Am J Kidney Dis 2000; 35(4):687-694.
- (76) Horner D, Fliser D, Klimm HP, Ritz E. Albuminuria in normotensive and hypertensive individuals attending offices of general practitioners. J Hypertens 1996; 14(5):655-660.
- (77) Mimran A, Ribstein J, DuCailar G, Halimi JM. Albuminuria in normals and essential hypertension. J Diabetes Complications 1994; 8(3):150-156.
- (78) Sung RS, Althoen M, Howell TA, Ojo AO, Merion RM. Excess risk of renal allograft loss associated with cigarette smoking. Transplantation 2001; 71(12):1752-1757.
- (79) Pinto-Sietsma SJ, Mulder J, Janssen WM, Hillege HL, de Zeeuw D, de Jong PE. Smoking is related to albuminuria and abnormal renal function in nondiabetic persons. Ann Intern Med 2000; 133(8): 585-591.
- (80) van Essen GG, Rensma PL, de Zeeuw D, Sluiter WJ, Scheffer H, Apperloo AJ et al. Association between angiotensin-converting-enzyme gene polymorphism and failure of renoprotective therapy. Lancet 1996; 347(8994):94-95.
- (81) Fujisawa T, Ikegami H, Kawaguchi Y, Hamada Y, Ueda H, Shintani M et al. Meta-analysis of association of insertion/deletion polymorphism of angiotensin I-converting enzyme gene with diabetic nephropathy and retinopathy. Diabetologia 1998; 41(1):47-53.

- (95) Zatz R, Meyer TW, Rennke HG, Brenner BM. Predominance of hemodynamic rather than metabolic factors in the pathogenesis of diabetic glomerulopathy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1985; 82(17):5963-5967.
- (96) Rudberg S, Aperia A, Freyschuss U, Persson B. Enalapril reduces microalbuminuria in young normotensive type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetic patients irrespective of its hypotensive effect. Diabetologia 1990; 33(8):470-476.
- (97) Mathiesen ER, Hommel E, Giese J, Parving HH. Efficacy of captopril in postponing nephropathy in normotensive insulin dependent diabetic patients with microalbuminuria. BMJ 1991; 303(6794):81-87.
- (98) Kvetny J, Gregersen G, Pedersen RS. Randomized placebo-controlled trial of perindopril in normotensive, normoalbuminuric patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. QJM 2001; 94(2):89-94.
- (99) Heeg JE, de Jong PE, van der Hem GK, de Zeeuw D. Efficacy and variability of the antiproteinuric effect of ACE inhibition by lisinopril. Kidney Int 1989; 36(2):272-279.
- (100) Bjorck S, Nyberg G, Mulec H, Granerus G, Herlitz H, Aurell M. Beneficial effects of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibition on renal function in patients with diabetic nephropathy. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 1986; 293(6545):471-474.
- (101) Bjorck S, Mulec H, Johnsen SA, Norden G, Aurell M. Renal protective effect of enalapril in diabetic nephropathy. BMJ 1992; 304(6823):339-343.
- (102) Giatras I, Lau J, Levey AS. Effect of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors on the progression of nondiabetic renal disease: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. Angiotensin-Converting-Enzyme Inhibition and Progressive Renal Disease Study Group. Ann Intern Med 1997; 127(5):337-345.
- (103) Jafar TH, Schmid CH, Landa M, Giatras I, Toto R, Remuzzi G et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and progression of nondiabetic renal disease. A meta-analysis of patient-level data. Ann Intern Med 2001; 135(2):73-87.
- (104) Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Clarke WR, Berl T, Pohl MA, Lewis JB et al. Renoprotective effect of the angiotensin-receptor antagonist irbesartan in patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2001; 345(12):851-860.
- (105) Brenner BM, Cooper ME, de Zeeuw D, Keane WF, Mitch WE, Parving HH et al. Effects of losartan on renal and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and nephropathy. N Engl J Med 2001; 345(12):861-869.
- (106) Wright JTjr, Bakris G, Greene T, Agodoa LY, Appel LJ, Charleston J. Effect of blood pressure lowering and antihypertensive drug class on progression of hypertensive kidney disease: results from the AASK trial. JAMA 2002; 288(19):2421-2431.
- (107) Jafar TH, Stark PC, Schmid CH, Landa M, Maschio G, Marcantoni C et al. Proteinuria as a modifiable risk factor for the progression of non- diabetic renal disease. Kidney Int 2001; 60(3):1131-1140.
- (108) Klahr S, Levey AS, Beck GJ, Caggiula AW, Hunsicker L, Kusek JW et al. The effects of dietary protein restriction and blood-pressure control on the progression of chronic renal disease. Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group. N Engl J Med 1994; 330(13):877-884.
- (109) Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Bain RP, Rohde RD. The effect of angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibition on diabetic nephropathy. The Collaborative Study Group. N Engl J Med 1993; 329(20):1456-1462.

- (110) Peterson JC, Adler S, Burkart JM, Greene T, Hebert LA, Hunsicker LG et al. Blood pressure control, proteinuria, and the progression of renal disease. The Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study. Ann Intern Med 1995; 123(10):754-762.
- (111) Navis G, de Zeeuw D, de Jong PE. ACE-inhibitors: panacea for progressive renal disease. Lancet 1997; 349(9069):1852-1853.
- (112) Ruggenenti P, Perna A, Gherardi G, Garini G, Zoccali C, Salvadori M et al. Renoprotective properties of ACE-inhibition in non-diabetic nephropathies with non-nephrotic proteinuria. Lancet 1999; 354(9176):359-364.
- (113) Randomised placebo-controlled trial of effect of ramipril on decline in glomerular filtration rate and risk of terminal renal failure in proteinuric, non-diabetic nephropathy. The GISEN Group (Gruppo Italiano di Studi Epidemiologici in Nefrologia). Lancet 1997; 349(9069):1857-1863.
- (114) Laverman GD, Navis G, Henning RH, de Jong PE, de Zeeuw D. Dual renin-angiotensin system blockade at optimal doses for proteinuria. Kidney Int 2002; 62(3):1020-1025.
- (115) Mogensen CE, Neldam S, Tikkanen I, Oren S, Viskoper R, Watts RW et al. Randomised controlled trial of dual blockade of renin-angiotensin system in patients with hypertension, microalbuminuria, and non-insulin dependent diabetes: the candesartan and lisinopril microalbuminuria (CALM) study. BMJ 2000; 321(7274):1440-1444.
- (116) Nakao N, Yoshimura A, Morita H, Takada M, Kayano T, Ideura T. Combination treatment of angiotensin-II receptor blocker and angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor in non-diabetic renal disease (COOPERATE): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2003; 361 (9352):117-124.
- (117) Dickerson JE, Hingorani AD, Ashby MJ, Palmer CR, Brown MJ. Optimisation of antihypertensive treatment by crossover rotation of four major classes. Lancet 1999; 353(9169):2008-2013.
- (118) Bos H, Andersen S, Rossing P, de Zeeuw D, Parving HH, de Jong PE et al. Role of patient factors in therapy resistance to antiproteinuric intervention in nondiabetic and diabetic nephropathy. Kidney Int 2000; 57 Suppl 75:S32-S37.
- (119) Laverman GD, de Zeeuw D, Navis G. Between-patient differences in the renal response to reninangiotensin system intervention: clue to optimising renoprotective therapy? J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst 2002; 3(4):205-213.
- (120) Ruggenenti P, Brenner BM, Remuzzi G. Remission achieved in chronic nephropathy by a multidrug approach targeted at urinary protein excretion. Nephron 2001; 88(3):254-259.
- (121) Mogensen CE, Keane WF, Bennett PH, Jerums G, Parving HH, Passa P et al. Prevention of diabetic renal disease with special reference to microalbuminuria [see comments]. Lancet 1995; 346(8982): 1080-1084.
- (122) Lazarus JM, Bourgoignie JJ, Buckalew VM, Greene T, Levey AS, Milas NC et al. Achievement and safety of a low blood pressure goal in chronic renal disease. The Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group.