



University of Groningen

Classifying functional ambulation (letter)

Rommers, G.M.; Dijkstra, P.U.

Published in: Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2005

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Rommers, G. M., & Dijkstra, P. U. (2005). Classifying functional ambulation (letter). *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, *86*, 2226-2226.

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

meaningful criteria for discharging patients from treatment (eg, success with the more challenging items of the instrument; see Kornetti⁴). This better understanding of the BBS at the item level and improvements in its scaling properties would be particularly relevant when BBS scores are used to measure balance progress in rehabilitation of PD patients.

Franco Franchignoni, MD Fondazione Salvatore Maugeri IRCCS Veruno, Italy

Craig A. Velozo, PhD, OTR/L Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center Gainesville, FL ffranchignoni@fsm.it

References

- Qutubuddin AA, Pegg PO, Cifu DX, Brown R, McNamee S, Carne W. Validating the Berg Balance Scale for patients with Parkinson's disease: a key to rehabilitation evaluation. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2005;86:789-92.
- Franchignoni F, Ferriero G, Martignoni E, et al. Disturbi dell'equilibrio e paura di cadere nella malattia di Parkinson. Eura Medicophys 2003;39(3 Suppl 1):232-4.
- Velozo CA, Peterson EW. Developing meaningful Fear of Falling Measures for community dwelling elderly. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2001;80:662-73.
- Kornetti DL, Fritz SL, Chiu YP, Light KE, Velozo CA. Rating scale analysis of the Berg Balance Scale. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2004;85:1128-35.

The authors respond

We appreciate Drs Franchignoni and Velozo's thoughtful remarks, observations, and analyses. While our clinical evaluation team continues to feel comfortable with the validity of the traditional Berg Balance Scale, there are obviously modifications that can be made. We look forward to incorporating their recommendations regarding Rasch analysis in our research.

> Abu A. Qutubuddin, MD David X. Cifu, MD William Carne, PhD Virginia Commonwealth University Richmond, VA

Classifying Functional Ambulation

Recently, Viosca et al¹ published a study proposing a new scale for classifying functional ambulation. After reading the article, we had concerns about its research methodology and the content of the scale.

From a methodologic viewpoint, Viosca did not specify any criteria why other scales do not fulfill professional needs or provide criteria for the properties of the new scale. Thus we are unsure whether the new scale actually performs better than the existing scales.

An attempt is made to validate the new scale against walking velocity and number of steps by performing a linear regression analysis. A point of concern is that the new scale is an ordinal data scale. Level 0 indicates poorer performance than level 1 and level 1 indicates poorer performance than level 2, and so forth. However, the difference between level 0 and 1 is very unlikely to be identical to the difference between level 1 and 2, and so forth. Thus an ordinal regression analysis would be the appropriate. It is unclear why in figures 1 and 2 a level 6 of functional ambulation is introduced outside the original scale range.

In table 2, $^{1(p1235)}$ a linear correlation is claimed in the table title, but in fact the table presents an ordinal correlation (Spearman ρ).

Viosca proposes a scale that is self-explanatory, is meaningful, and meets clinical needs. A scale used in everyday practice should be very clear in its classifications of each ambulation level. According to Viosca, a clear classification into levels 3 (in and around house) and 4 (walking with aesthetic anomalies) is problematic. Level 3 assesses the environment of walking while level 4 assesses the appearance of walking. Basically, the levels assess different domains of walking ability and classification problems are to be expected. This problem has been discussed elsewhere.^{2,3}

We suggest introducing the walking distance of the subjects into the classification so to overcome the problem of indoor and outdoor walking (level 3) and to skip the aesthetic anomalies domain (level 4) or to develop a separate classification for the appearance of walking. For lower-limb amputees, such a scale has proven to be clear and reliable.⁴ Level 5 can be clarified with a minimum walking distance of 500m.⁵ This distance is the minimum distance needed to allow someone to function independently in his/her own surroundings.

Gerardus M. Rommers, MD, PhD Pieter U. Dijkstra, PT, MT, PhD University Medical Center Groningen University of Groningen Groningen, The Netherlands

References

- Viosca E, Martínez JL, Almagro PL, Gracia A, González C. Proposal and validation of a new functional classification scale for clinical use. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2005;86:1234-8.
- Hanspal RS, Fisher K. Assessment of cognitive and psychomotor function and rehabilitation of elderly people with prosthesis. BMJ 1991;302:940.
- Siriwardena GJ, Bertrand TR, Bertrand PV. Factors influencing rehabilitation of arterioslerotic lower limb amputees. J Rehabil Res Dev 1991;28:35-44.
- Ryall NH, Eyres SB, Neumann VC. The SIGAM mobility grades: a new population specific measure for lower limb amputees. Disabil Rehabil 2003;25:833-44.
- Geertzen JH, Bosmans JC, van der Schans CP, Dijkstra PU. Claimed walking distance of lower limb amputees. Disabil Rehabil 2005;27:101-4.

doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2005.09.006

doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2005.09.007

doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2005.09.008