



University of Groningen

RE

Avendano, M.; Huijts, T.; Subramanian, S.V

Published in: American Journal of Epidemiology

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2009

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Avendano, M., Huijts, T., & Subramanian, S. V. (2009). RE: Are Americans feeling less healthy? The puzzle of trends in self-rated health. American Journal of Epidemiology, 170, 1581-1582.

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.



RE: "ARE AMERICANS FEELING LESS HEALTHY? THE PUZZLE OF TRENDS IN SELF-RATED HEALTH"

Comparing aggregate levels of self-rated health in 4 national surveys conducted between 1971 and 2007 in the United States, Salomon et al. (1) conclude that self-rated health is unsuitable for monitoring changes in population health and health disparities over time. While the study raises important issues related to comparisons of self-rated health over time, we do not see their study as evidence against the use of self-rated health in population health research, for the following reasons.

First, Salomon et al. (1) conclude that self-rated health levels were very different in the 4 surveys examined. Close

examination, however, reveals that the range of variation across surveys at any given time is not markedly different. Among the 3 surveys with measures for identical years for 1998–2008 (Figure 4 in the Salomon et al. paper), differences in the prevalence of reporting fair or poor health ranged from 3 to 5 percentage points. Because the figure does not include confidence intervals, the relatively small differences could be due to random fluctuation as well as nonrandom variation attributable to subtle differences among surveys in design, time of the year of measurement, nonresponse rates, mode effects, framing and ordering effects, and many other potential design differences that can generate variations of this magnitude. It is therefore important that the differences observed by Salomon et al. be interpreted with caution.

Second, Salomon et al.'s (1) conclusion that self-rated health is an unreliable measure to examine trends in population health is somewhat beyond the scope of their analysis, since they analyze *only* trends in self-rated health. To examine reliability, they would have to formally compare trends in self-rated health alongside trends in a "gold standard" measure of "true health," whatever that might be, which the study does not. It is plausible that trends in self-rated health in any of these surveys may mirror trends in true health over time. Consequently, the study by Salomon et al. cannot answer the question of whether self-rated health is a reliable measure to examine trends in population health.

Third, Salomon et al. (1) argue that self-rated health is unreliable in estimating trends in socioeconomic disparities in self-rated health. However, overall health can be assessed only by comparing trends in disparities in self-rated health with trends in a measure of true health. Importantly, evidence suggests that the predictive ability of self-rated health to mortality is comparable across most educational categories, so that self-rated health does not seriously overestimate educational differences in "objective" health status (2, 3). Although until now examined for only self-ratings of specific health dimensions, recent evidence for developing countries suggests that reporting heterogeneity does not seem to lead to substantial reporting bias in measuring health disparities (4). Furthermore, the remarkable consistency of associations between socioeconomic status and self-rated health across countries suggests that it is at least a reliable measure of the presence and direction of the socioeconomic gradient in health in many different country settings (5, 6).

Are we then attending the funeral of the self-rated health item as a measure of health? We believe the answer is no. Salomon et al. (1) help us understand how self-rated health might be sensitive to study design features, but concluding from this analysis that self-rated health is unreliable is premature.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

S. V. Subramanian is supported by the National Institutes of Health Career Development Award (NHLBI 1 K25 HL081275). Mauricio Avendano is supported by a David Bell Fellowship from the Harvard Center for Population and Development Studies, a grant from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (no. 451-07-001), and a EUR-fellowship from the Erasmus University.

Conflict of interest: none declared.

REFERENCES

- Salomon JA, Nordhagen S, Oza S, et al. Are Americans feeling less healthy? The puzzle of trends in self-rated health. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2009;170(3):343–351.
- Subramanian SV, Ertel K. Is the use of self-rated health measures to assess health inequalities misleading? *Int J Epidemiol*. 2008;37(6):1436–1437; author reply 1437–1440.
- 3. Huisman M, van Lenthe F, Mackenbach J. The predictive ability of self-assessed health for mortality in different educational groups. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2007;36(6):1207–1213.
- 4. Bago d'Uva T, Van Doorslaer E, Lindeboom M, et al. Does reporting heterogeneity bias the measurement of health disparities? *Health Econ*. 2008;17(3):351–375.
- Mackenbach JP, Martikainen P, Looman CW, et al. The shape of the relationship between income and self-assessed health: an international study. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2005;34(2):286–293.
- Subramanian SV, Huijts T, Avendano M. Self-reported health assessments in the 2002 World Health Survey: how do they correlate with education? *Bull World Health Organ*. Advance access: October 1, 2009. (DOI: 10.2471/BLT.09.067058).

Mauricio Avendano^{1,2}, Tim Huijts³, and

S. V. Subramanian⁴ (e-mail: mavendan@hsph.harvard.edu) ¹ Harvard Center for Population and Development Studies, Harvard School of Public Health, Cambridge, MA 02138 ² Department of Public Health, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam 3000 DR, the Netherlands

³ Department of Sociology, Radboud University Nijmegen, 6500 HC Nijmegen, the Netherlands

⁴ Department of Society, Human Development and Health, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115

DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwp367; Advance Access publication November 1, 2009