

University of Groningen

Authors' Response to Odugoudar et al: Poor Kidney Transplant Outcomes and Higher Organ Discard Rate Secondary to Macroscopic Arteriosclerosis of Renal Artery

Keijbeck, Anke; Veenstra, Rob; Pol, Robert; Konijn, Cynthia; Jansen, Nichon; van Goor, Harry; Hoitsma, Andries J.; Peutz-Kootstra, Carine J.; Moers, Cyril

Published in:
Transplantation

DOI:
[10.1097/TP.0000000000003704](https://doi.org/10.1097/TP.0000000000003704)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2022

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Keijbeck, A., Veenstra, R., Pol, R., Konijn, C., Jansen, N., van Goor, H., Hoitsma, A. J., Peutz-Kootstra, C. J., & Moers, C. (2022). Authors' Response to Odugoudar et al: Poor Kidney Transplant Outcomes and Higher Organ Discard Rate Secondary to Macroscopic Arteriosclerosis of Renal Artery: More Evidence Needed to Prove Correlation. *Transplantation*, *106*(2), E172-E172. <https://doi.org/10.1097/TP.0000000000003704>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

OPEN

Authors' Response to Odugoudar et al: Poor Kidney Transplant Outcomes and Higher Organ Discard Rate Secondary to Macroscopic Arteriosclerosis of Renal Artery: More Evidence Needed to Prove Correlation

Anke Keijbeck, MD,¹ Rob Veenstra,² Robert A. Pol, MD, PhD,² Cynthia Konijn,³ Nichon Jansen, PhD,³ Harry van Goor, PhD,⁴ Andries J. Hoitsma, MD, PhD,³ Carine J. Peutz-Kootstra, MD, PhD,¹ and Cyril Moers, MD, PhD²

We, as authors, very much appreciate the constructive remarks made by Odugoudar et al about our recently published study.¹ We fully agree with their general notion that such retrospective analyses have serious shortcomings, which limit the generalization of results. In addition, caution should be taken when interpreting the isolated effect of arteriosclerosis on the decision to accept or discard a donor kidney. Such decisions will indeed always be based on a subjective clinical interpretation of many other factors as well.

Nevertheless, having read their commentary, we cannot exclude that Odugoudar et al might have misunderstood several important aspects of our study. We will attempt to clarify these issues next.

As noted, our study included approximately 7 times more transplanted than discarded kidneys. However, this 7:1 ratio did not result from any matching or selection but reflects the number of transplanted and discarded kidneys in the cohort studied, for which data on macroscopic

arteriosclerosis were available. The percentage of kidneys with missing arteriosclerosis data was similar in both groups. In line with these numbers, the kidney discard rate in the period studied was 13%, as reported in our article.

Odugoudar et al explain how subjective and unreliable the assessment of macroscopic arteriosclerosis in the Eurotransplant system is. They are perfectly right, and this is indeed the point we were trying to make in our article, that is, in Eurotransplant, macroscopic arteriosclerosis needs to be graded by the retrieval surgeon, without any instructions or reference protocol. This very subjective and nonstandardized verdict is then conveyed to the potential recipient center. Our analysis clearly shows that recipient centers do take this questionable score into serious account when deciding on organ acceptance or discard.

Odugoudar et al suggest that other relevant factors should also be accounted for when studying determinants of the decision to accept or discard. For that purpose, our study incorporated a multivariate analysis that corrected for many relevant donor- and organ-related factors. After correcting for such potential confounders, the Eurotransplant arteriosclerosis score remained a strong independent predictor of discard. Hence, our study shows that this subjective and likely unreliable score does have a major contribution to final decision making, despite the fact that it lacks an important association with transplant outcome. We feel that our data should discourage transplant clinicians from taking such subjective arteriosclerosis grading into account, as it may contribute to unnecessary organ discard.

The above does not rule out that there might be other, more reliable, grading systems for renal arteriosclerosis. A more objective and quantitative grading methodology could have independent predictive value for renal transplant outcome and may therefore be considered in pretransplant organ quality assessment. But to the best of our knowledge, such methodology has not yet been clinically adopted, let alone properly evaluated in a similar study as the one we performed.

REFERENCE

- Keijbeck A, Veenstra R, Pol RA, et al. The association between macroscopic arteriosclerosis of the renal artery, microscopic arteriosclerosis, organ discard, and kidney transplant outcome. *Transplantation*. 2020;104:2567–2574.

Received 28 January 2021.

Accepted 2 February 2021.

¹ Department of Pathology, Maastricht University Medical Center, University of Maastricht, Maastricht, the Netherlands.

² Department of Surgery-Organ Donation and Transplantation, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands.

³ Dutch Transplantation Foundation, Leiden, the Netherlands.

⁴ Department of Pathology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands.

This research was partially funded by the Dutch Transplantation Foundation.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

A.K. and C.M. participated in writing the response. R.V., R.P., C.K., N.J., H.v.G., A.J.H., and C.J.P.-K. critically appraised the response.

Correspondence: Cyril Moers, MD, PhD, Department of Surgery-Organ Donation and Transplantation-BA11, University Medical Center Groningen, Hanzeplein 1, Groningen 9713 GZ, the Netherlands. (c.moers@umcg.nl).

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

ISSN: 0041-1337/20/1062-e172

DOI: 10.1097/TP.0000000000003704