





# Potential of Donation After Unexpected Circulatory Death Programs Defined by Their Demographic Characteristics

Brat, Aukje; Venema, Leonie H.; Bens, Bas W. J.; Stieglis, Remy; van der Heijden, Joris J.; Fondevila, Constantino; Reznik, Oleg N.; Barrou, Benoit; Erasmus, Michiel E.; Leuvenink, Henri G. D.

*Published in:* Transplantation direct

DOI: 10.1097/TXD.000000000001263

## IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2021

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

*Citation for published version (APA):* Brat, A., Venema, L. H., Bens, B. W. J., Stieglis, R., van der Heijden, J. J., Fondevila, C., Reznik, O. N., Barrou, B., Erasmus, M. E., & Leuvenink, H. G. D. (2021). Potential of Donation After Unexpected Circulatory Death Programs Defined by Their Demographic Characteristics. *Transplantation direct, 8*(1), E1263. [1263]. https://doi.org/10.1097/TXD.00000000001263

#### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

#### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### OPEN



## Potential of Donation After Unexpected Circulatory Death Programs Defined by Their Demographic Characteristics

Aukje Brat, MD,<sup>1</sup> Leonie H. Venema, MSc,<sup>1</sup> Bas W.J. Bens, MD<sup>2</sup>, Remy Stieglis, MSc,<sup>3</sup> Joris J. van der Heijden, MD,<sup>4</sup> Constantino Fondevila, MD, PhD,<sup>5</sup> Oleg N. Reznik, MD, PhD,<sup>6</sup> Benoit Barrou, MD, PhD,<sup>7</sup> Michiel E. Erasmus, MD, PhD,<sup>8</sup> and Henri G.D. Leuvenink, PhD<sup>1</sup>

**Background.** Donation after unexpected circulatory death (uDCD) donors are often suggested to increase the number of donor organs. In 2014, a uDCD protocol was implemented in three transplant centers in the Netherlands which unfortunately did not result in additional transplantations. This study was initiated to identify demographic factors influencing the potential success of uDCD programs. **Methods.** Dutch resuscitation databases covering various demographic regions were analyzed for potential donors. The databases were compared with the uDCD implementation project and successful uDCD programs in Spain, France, and Russia. **Results.** The resuscitation databases showed that 61% of all resuscitated patients were transferred to an emergency department. Age selection reduced this uDCD potential to 46% with only patients aged 18–65 years deemed eligible. Of these patients, 27% died in the emergency department. The urban region of Amsterdam showed the largest potential in absolute numbers (52 patients/y). Comparison with the uDCD implementation project showed large similarities in the percentage of potential donors; however, in absolute numbers, it showed a much smaller potential. Calculation of the potential per million persons and the extrapolation of the potential based on the international experience revealed the largest potential donors calculated from resuscitation databases. They show promising potential uDCD percentages for large rural regions and small urban regions; however, actual numbers per hospital are low, leading to insufficient exposure rates. It is, therefore, recommendable to limit uDCD programs to large urban regions.

(Transplantation Direct 2022;8: e1263; doi: 10.1097/TXD.00000000001263.)

he shortage of good-quality donor organs for transplantation has remained a persisting problem over the past decades. Mortality rates on the waiting list are high, and the search for new sources of transplantable organs is not a luxury but sheer necessity.

### Received 21 October 2021.

Accepted 22 October 2021.

- <sup>3</sup> Department of Cardiology, Amsterdam UMC, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Intensive Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- <sup>5</sup> Department of General and Digestive Surgery, Hospital Clinic, IDIBAPS, CIBERehd, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.
- <sup>6</sup> Organ Transplant Department, Saint Petersburg Pavlov State Medical University, Saint Petersburg, Russia.
- <sup>7</sup> Department of Urology, Nephrology and Transplantation, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié -Salpêtrière, Paris, France.
- <sup>8</sup> Department of Thoracic Surgery, University Medical Center of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands.
- A.B. and L.H.V. contributed equally
- The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

The Utrecht Study Group for Optimal Registry of Cardiac Arrest (UTOPIA) database used within this study was funded by the Dutch Society for Cardiology.

Donation after circulatory death donors (DCD) and expanded criteria donors are examples of donor sources more rigorously explored to meet the current demand for organs. The growing interest in DCD donation is illustrated by the impressive increase in numbers of global use of DCD donors

The Amsterdam Resuscitation Study (ARREST) data collection is supported by an unconditional grant from Stryker Emergency Care (Redmond, WA).

A.B. participated in the writing of the article and analyses of the data. L.H.V. participated in the writing of the article and analyses of the data. B.W.J.B participated in the collection and analyses of the data. R.S. participated in the collection and analyses of the data. J.J.V.d.H participated in the collection and analyses of the data. C.F. participated in the performance of the research and provided critical revisions of the article. O.N.R. participated in the performance of the research and provided critical revisions of the article. B.B. participated in the performance of the research and provided critical revisions of the article. M.E.E. supervised the study and provided critical revisions of the article. H.G.D.L. supervised the study and provided critical revisions of the article.

Correspondence: Aukje Brat, MD, Department of Surgery, University Medical Center of Groningen, W 4.158, Hanzeplein 1, 9713 GZ Groningen, the Netherlands (a.brat@umcg.nl).

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s). Transplantation Direct. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

ISSN: 2373-8731 DOI: 10.1097/TXD.000000000001263

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Surgery, University Medical Center of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Emergency Medicine, University Medical Center of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands.

TABLE 1. The Maastricht categories of DCD

Category	Definition	Туре
DCD 1 DCD 2	Donation after death in the out-of-hospital setting Donation following unsuccessful resuscitation Out of hospital In hospital	Uncontrolled Uncontrolled
DCD 3	Donation after awaiting circulatory arrest	Controlled
DCD 4	Donation after circulatory arrest while brain dead	Controlled
DCD 5	Donation following euthanasia	Controlled

DCD, donation after circulatory death.

Groningen

Gelderland-Zuid

Twente

Utrecht 12 Kennemerland 13 Amsterdam-Amstelland

24 Zuid-Limburg

1 5

8

9

from only 118 in 2000 to 2115 in 2010 and even 8708 in 2018.1 However, the large-scale utilization of these donors in Europe has been limited to a small number of countries such as Spain, France, the United Kingdom, Belgium, and the Netherlands.<sup>2</sup> Table 1 shows the definitions of the different categories within DCD donation.

In 2016, 1284 Maastricht category 3 DCD donors were reported in Europe versus 262 Maastricht category 1 and 2 DCD donors.<sup>2</sup> In the Netherlands, almost all DCD donors have been Maastricht category 3 DCD donors. To further expand the DCD donor pool and include the Maastricht category 2 DCD donors, we performed an implementation pilot for donation after unexpected circulatory death (uDCD) in the emergency departments (EDS) of 3 transplant centers in the Netherlands between October 2014 and April 2016.<sup>3</sup>

Despite all efforts, the implementation of a uDCD protocol did not lead to additional transplantations in these regions. Apart from legal and ethical considerations, it was postulated that demographical characteristics of the donor regions involved in this uDCD pilot program contributed to the failure to increase the donor pool.

As a result, this study was initiated to investigate the potential of uDCD in regions with different demographic characteristics in the Netherlands and compare this potential with known successful uDCD programs in France, Russia, and Spain.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the Netherlands, emergency services, such as ambulance services, fire brigades, and police, are divided into 25 socalled safety regions. To evaluate the impact of geographical differences and population density on the number of potential donors in the Netherlands, we used information retrieved from 2 resuscitation databases that contained information from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) situations until arrival at the ED from 5 of these safety regions. In addition, all available information from the previous uDCD pilot study was used, which contained data from the ED until donor recognition and eventually transplantation.<sup>3</sup> Figure 1 illustrates the distribution of the



FIGURE 1. The safety regions analyzed and their distribution in the Netherlands. ARREST, Amsterdam Resuscitation Study; uDCD, donation after unexpected circulatory death; UTOPIA, Utrecht Study Group for Optimal Registry of Cardiac Arrest.

participating regions in the Netherlands. Data from 3 successful foreign uDCD programs were used for comparison. This study was exempt from approval from an ethics board.

#### **Resuscitation Databases**

The Amsterdam Resuscitation Study (ARREST),<sup>4-6</sup> is a prospective observational registry of all the OHCA covering the Dutch safety regions Amsterdam, Kennemerland, North Holland North, and Twente (Figure 1). Available data from 2009 to 2014 were used in this analysis.

The Utrecht Study Group for Optimal Registry of Cardiac Arrest (UTOPIA) is a collaboration between the University Medical Center Utrecht and the safety region Utrecht to register all resuscitations within the safety region Utrecht, the Netherlands.<sup>7</sup> A period of 2 y was selected for this study ranging from 2013 to 2014.

Data were extracted from the databases to gain insight into the potential number of uDCD donors. The variables included patient age, witnessed arrest, whether resuscitation was started, transport to the ED, survival, and when the patient died, the location of death. Detailed geographical characteristics of the participating control centers are given in Table 2. A population density of <500 inhabitants/km<sup>2</sup> was considered rural, between 500 and 3000 inhabitants/km<sup>2</sup> was considered suburban, and >3000 inhabitants/km<sup>2</sup> was considered urban.

## uDCD Pilot in Groningen, Nijmegen, and Maastricht, the Netherlands

In the period October 2014–April 2016, a uDCD protocol was implemented at the EDs of the University Medical Center Groningen (safety region Groningen), Radboud University Medical Center in Nijmegen (safety region Gelderland-Zuid), and Maastricht University Medical Center (safety region Zuid-Limburg).<sup>3</sup>

Data of OHCA cases transferred to the EDs were collected, including age, survival, and if deceased, location of death (Table 3). For those patients who died at the ED within the agreed age criteria of the project, data were analyzed if a witnessed arrest had occurred.

## Successful International uDCD Programs in Barcelona, Paris, and St Petersburg

Retrospective data were collected on potential and actual uDCD donors registered from successful uDCD programs at L'Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Spain; the Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière and the St. Louis hospital at Paris, France; and the Organ Procurement Center at St Petersburg, Russia.<sup>8</sup> The demographic details of these cities are shown in Table 4. Data were collected on the donation numbers of these cities and compared to their annual national data reported by the Organización Nacional de Trasplantes in Spain and the Agence de la Biomédecine in France.<sup>9,10</sup>

#### **Construction of a Compilation Scheme**

By compiling the information from these partly overlapping information sources, we have extrapolated the potential implications for organ donation from uDCD donors for different regions with various demographic characteristics in the Netherlands (Figure 2).

#### **Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

All available data relevant for enabling transplantation were evaluated according to the different stages illustrated in Figure 3 resulting in the number of patients eligible as uDCD donors. Three main inclusion criteria for determining the potential of OHCA patients were age between 18 and 65 years, deceased at the ED, and witnessed cardiac arrest.

To further dissect the potential for uDCD donation, we looked at the OHCA patients using the ARREST and UTOPIA databases to calculate the relative number of patients per million population and estimated the transplant potential based on the data provided by the Barcelona and Paris experience, which use comparable inclusion criteria (Table 5).

#### **Statistics**

Median and the 25th and 75th percentiles were used to describe age distribution of OHCA patients in the different study areas within the ARREST and UTOPIA database. Statistical analyses were performed with use of IBM SPSS statistics software version 24.

#### TABLE 2.

Characteristics of the OHCA regions and patients' characteristics with potential as DCD 2 donors

Region	Amster	lam	Kenneme	rland	Utrec	ht	North Holland North		Twente		Total	
Classification	Urban		Suburban		Suburban		Rural		Rural			
Population	810937		552200		1 220 000		646 000		620 000		3849137	
Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	219		419		1385		1421		1632		5076	
Pop density per km <sup>2</sup>	3703		1318		881		455		380		758	
OHCA reported	725		255		605		403		264		2252	
Age (range)	66 (54–78)		69 (58–79)		69 (57–78)		67(56-77)		68(57–77)			
Resuscitation started (%) <sup>a</sup>	591	(82%)	228	(89%)	490	(81%)	308	(76%)	233	(88%)	1850	(82%)
Transfered to ED (%) <sup>b</sup>	367	(62%)	154	(68%)	298	(61%)	171	(56%)	141	(61%)	1131	(61%)
Within age criterium (%) <sup>c</sup>	178	(49%)	67	(44%)	127	(43%)	80	(47%)	65	(46%)	517	(46%)
Discharged alive (%) <sup>d</sup>	64	(36%)	28	(42%)	57	(45%)	39	(49%)	30	(46%)	218	(42%)
Deceased at ward (%) <sup>d</sup>	45	(25%)	14	(21%)	26	(20%)	20	(25%)	16	(25%)	121	(23%)
Unknown (%) <sup>d</sup>	17	(10%)	2	(3%)	13	(10%)	1	(1%)	5	(8%)	38	(7%)
Deceased at ED (%) <sup>d</sup>	52	(29%)	23	(34%)	31	(24%)	20	(25%)	14	(22%)	140	(27%)
Witnessed arrest (%) <sup>d</sup>	40	(22%)	15	(22%)	21	(17%)	17	(21%)	11	(17%)	104	(20%)

<sup>a</sup>Percentage of started resuscitations related to OHCA reported.

<sup>b</sup>Percentage of transferred patients to ED related to started resuscitations.

<sup>e</sup>Percentage of patients within age criterium related to transferred patients to ED.

<sup>d</sup>Percentage of patients related to transferred patients within age criterium.

ED, emergency department; DCD, donation after circulatory death; OHCA, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.

3

TABLE 3.Patient potential as DCD 2 donors in the project EDs

Region	Nijn	Nijmegen		Groningen		Maastricht	
Transfered to ED	141		149		79		
Within age criteria (%) <sup>a</sup>	63	(45%)	78	(52%)	51	(65%)	
Discharged alive (%) <sup>b</sup>	31	(49%)	38	(49%)	21	(41%)	
Deceased at ward (%) <sup>b</sup>	7	(11%)	22	(28%)	14	(27%)	
Deceased at ED (%) <sup>b</sup>	25	(40%)	18	(23%)	16	(31%)	
Witnessed arrest (%) <sup>b</sup>	17	(27%)	14	(18%)	15	(29%)	

<sup>e</sup>Percentage of patients within age criterium related to transferred patients to ED. <sup>b</sup>Percentage of patients related to transferred patients within age criterium. DCD, donation after circulatory death; ED, emergency department.

#### TABLE 4.

#### Demographic characteristics of the uDCD programs in Barcelona, Paris, and St Petersburg

	Barcelona, Spain	Paris, France	St Petersburg, Russia
Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	101	105	1439
Number of inhabitants	1 609 000	2244000	4991000
Population density (N/km <sup>2</sup> )	15931	21 371	3468
Туре	Urban	Urban	Urban

uDCD, donation after unexpected circulatory death.

#### RESULTS

#### Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest Within ARREST and UTOPIA

In Table 2, detailed geographical and patient characteristics are given. A clear difference in population density between the urban region of Amsterdam and rural region of Twente was found, ranging from 3703 to 380 inhabitants/km<sup>2</sup>, respectively. On average, a total of 2252 OHCA cases per year were reported within the 5 regions covered by the ARREST and UTOPIA databases. Median age of the reported OHCA cases ranged from 66 to 69 y in these regions. In all regions, resuscitation was started in most cases, ranging from 76% to 89%.

#### Potential of uDCD at ED

In total, 1131 patients in the Dutch regions were transferred to the ED while cardiopulmonary resuscitation was ongoing, with 517 patients within the agreed age criteria. Of these 517 OHCA patients, 140 died in the ED and can be considered as potential uDCD donors. Witnessed arrest was reported in 104 of these 140 patients, which was a requirement according to the national protocol (Table 2).

In absolute numbers, the differences between the regions were found to be considerable, with urban Amsterdam having a potential of 52 uDCD donors per year; 23 and 31 uDCD donors in the suburban regions Kennemerland and Utrecht, respectively; and 20 and 14 in the rural regions North Holland North and Twente, respectively. Although the absolute numbers show a big difference, the relative numbers of patients presented at the ED after OHCA within the agreed age criteria are comparable between the urban (29%), suburban (24%–34%), and rural regions (22%–25%) (Table 2).

When considering the prerequisite of a witnessed arrest, the potential decreases further to 22% in the urban, 17%–22% in suburban, and 17%–21% in rural regions (Table 2).

#### Potential and Actual Results of uDCD at the ED Within Groningen, Nijmegen, and Maastricht

In the participating University Medical Centers of Groningen, Nijmegen, and Maastricht, 18, 25, and 16 patients, respectively, died on average per year within the agreed age criteria at the ED (Table 3). When comparing the number of deaths at the ED with the number of patients that presented at the ED after OHCA (both within the age criteria), this amounts to a donor potential of 23%, 40%, and 31%, respectively. These figures are similar to the relative numbers found in the ARREST and UTOPIA databases. When considering the requirement of a witnessed arrest, the potential decreased to 14 (18%) in Groningen, 17 (27%) in Nijmegen, and 15 (29%) in Maastricht.

#### Potential and Actual Donor Numbers in Successful uDCD Programs

L'Hospital Clínic de Barcelona reported in the period 2013 to 2016 that 52 donors were included in the uDCD donation program, of which 39 were utilized for transplantation. This implies



FIGURE 2. Overview of the representation of data of different steps from OHCA to transplantation by the study sources used. ED, emergency department; OHCA, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; uDCD, donation after unexpected circulatory death.



FIGURE 3. Flowchart of the steps following announcement of OHCA at the control center leading to potential uDCD donation. The dark blue box represents the patients who died in the emergency department, the so-called true potential. The patients who died before arrival at the hospital (light blue) could be potential uDCD when transferred to the hospital, and the patients who died after being admitted to the hospital are outside the scope of uDCD donation but could potentially be either donation after brain death or DCD donors. CCU, cardiac care unit; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; ICU, intensive care unit; OHCA, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; ROSV, return of spontaneous ventilation; uDCD, donation after unexpected circulatory death.

#### TABLE 5.

#### Inclusion criteria within actual uDCD programs

	The Netherlands	Barcelona, Spain	Paris, France	St Petersburg, Russia
Age	Kidneys, 18–50 Lungs, 18–65	1–60	18–55	18–45
No-flow period (min) <sup>a</sup>	20	15–20	30	Not applicable <sup>b</sup>
Warm ischemia	Kidney, 120	150	150	Unknown
time (min) <sup>c</sup>	Lungs, 180			

aTime between witnessed cardiac arrest and starting CPR.

<sup>b</sup>CPR is not started to its full extent, only a few chest compressions to disperse administered heparin.

<sup>c</sup>Time between cardiac arrest and start of in situ preservation.

CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; uDCD, donation after unexpected circulatory death.

an average percentage of utilization of 75% and a number of 6 actual uDCD donors per million persons (pmp)/y with at least 1 organ transplanted. When comparing this figure to the Spanish national data, the utilization rate in the same period was 68%, of which an average of 2.0 organs was transplanted per actual donor.

Paris has 2 centers with a uDCD program. Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière in Paris reported 35 actual uDCD donors in the period of 2009–2015. St. Louis hospital added another 80 actual uDCD donors in the same period, increasing the ratio of actual uDCD donors in Paris to 7.3 pmp/y. When comparing this number to the French national data, 368 actual uDCD donors were reported in France in this period, giving an overall average of 0.8 actual donors pmp/y, of which an average of 1.4 organs were transplanted per actual donor.

The Organ Procurement Center in St Petersburg included 24 uDCD donors in the period of 2009–2010, of which 22 led to retrieval and transplantation. This gives an average percentage of utilization of 91.7% and 2.2 actual uDCD donors pmp/y. An average of 2.0 transplanted organs per utilized donor was reported. However, these were all cases of cardiac arrest that occurred within a hospital.

#### **Compiling the Databases**

To further illustrate the potential in the different OHCA database regions, the number of Dutch patients is given per million population in Table 6. Also, the estimated number of transplants, based on the numbers of the Barcelona (6 pmp) and Paris (7.5 pmp) experience resulting from a theoretical number of 7 donors per million population, are given. In this comparison, the urban Amsterdam region provides the largest potential with 64 patients pmp who died in the ED. After

Brat et al

#### TABLE 6.

#### Number of patients per million population

	Amsterdam	Kennemerland	Utrecht	North Holland North	Twente	Total
Classification	Urban	Suburban	Suburban	Rural	Rural	
OHCA reported pmp	894	462	496	624	426	585
Resuscitation started pmp	729	413	402	477	376	481
Transfered to ED pmp	453	279	244	265	227	294
Within age criteria pmp	219	121	104	124	105	134
Discharged alive pmp	79	51	47	60	48	57
Deceased at ward pmp	55	25	21	31	26	31
Deceased at ED pmp	64	42	25	31	23	36
Witnessed arrest pmp	49	27	17	26	18	27
Estimated transplant potential <sup>a</sup>	6	4	9	5	4	27
Transplant potential/100 km <sup>2</sup>	2.59	0.92	0.62	0.33	0.27	0.53

<sup>a</sup>Transplant potential calculated 7 donors pmp.

ED, emergency department; OHCA, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; pmp, per million persons.

correction for witnessed arrest, this resulted in 49 patients pmp. The suburban and rural regions reported between 17 and 27 patients pmp. When calculating the potential based on the average of 7 donors pmp, the differences between regions are much smaller (between 4 and 9 pmp). However, we need to consider population densities of the urban, suburban, and rural regions because this influences the transplant potential. The transplant potentials found are 2.59 donors/100 km<sup>2</sup> in the urban region (Amsterdam), between 0.62 and 0.92 donors/100 km<sup>2</sup> in the suburban regions (Kennemerland/ Utrecht), and only 0.27–0.33 donors/100 km<sup>2</sup> in the rural regions (North Holland North/Twente).

#### DISCUSSION

This article describes possible geographical constraints and opportunities that will come to light when implementing a uDCD program, focusing on different regions with various demographical characteristics in the Netherlands. Our study was triggered by the uDCD project executed by the University Hospitals in Nijmegen, Groningen, and Maastricht that unfortunately resulted in no increase in actual donation and subsequent transplantation.3 The strict inclusion criteria of this study were named as an important possible explanation for the disappointing results because only donors aged 50 y or younger were considered eligible for kidney donation. This decision ruled out 30 donors per year who could have become potential kidney donors if a more liberal upper age limit of 65 y had been used. More recently, a few large retrospective studies have published their results and the risk factors in transplantation of uDCD kidneys, showing actually comparable results to donation after brain death donation and indicating that 60 y is probably a justifiable upper age limit, especially against the ascent of novel hypothermic or normothermic perfusion or normothermic regional perfusion.<sup>11,12</sup> We, therefore, have recalculated the uDCD potential including age limits of 18-65 y.

In this study, data from different data sources to assess the potential of uDCD programs have been compiled. As displayed in Figure 3, none of the data sources provide a complete oversight from resuscitation to actual donation. However, despite this limitation, we have estimated the uDCD potential in the different safety regions to compare this to the outcome of the uDCD pilot project in the Netherlands. The resuscitation databases ARREST and UTOPIA showed that the combined potential donor percentage of 6%—reflecting 140 patients within the agreed age criteria who died on ED of a total of 2252 patients reported as OHCA—was comparable to percentages found in the literature ranging from 0.7% to 19%.<sup>13-15</sup> To be able to compare this potential of the OHCA databases with the results of the uDCD implementation study, we calculated the relative donor potential based on the actual number of patients transferred to the ED within the adjusted age criteria (18–65 y). The potential of uDCD donors (patients dying in the emergency room within the set age criteria) ranged between 22% and 34% in the OHCA databases, which are not very different from the uDCD implementation project (23%–40%).

After consultation with the leading investigators of the successful uDCD programs in Barcelona, Paris, and St Petersburg, we hypothesized that one of the main constraints might be the population density of the area covered by the ED services (the so-called safety regions). Hence, the hit change is lower in low-density areas. L'Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, as the single center in the area with a uDCD program, provides on average of 6 actual uDCD donors pmp/y. Paris gives comparable numbers with 7.3 donors pmp/y.10 Even in St Petersburg, where uDCD donors are only used when the cardiac arrest occurs in the hospital (Maastricht donation after circulatory death category 2b), 2.2 actual donors pmp/y were reported.8 The population density in the cities of Paris, Barcelona, and St Petersburg is much higher than that in the regions studied in this study, except for the Amsterdam region with 3479 inhabitants/km<sup>2</sup>. When calculating the number of deceased donors per million inhabitants, the Amsterdam region could have a potential of 64 patients pmp (Table 6). Basing this calculation on 7 actual donors pmp in Barcelona and Paris, this would result in 6 donors per year in the Amsterdam region, which is not very different from the other regions. However, when calculating the potential per 100 km<sup>2</sup>, a large difference is seen with 2.59 potential donors/km<sup>2</sup> for Amsterdam and 0.27 for the most rural regions in Twente (Table 6). As depicted in Table 7, the safety regions in the Netherlands differ in the number of inhabitants and area covered. We calculated for every region the donor potential based on the number of inhabitant, the average number of 7 donors pmp/y in Barcelona and Paris, and the donor potential per 100 km<sup>2</sup>. With the estimated donor potential of 7 pmp, the donor potential of uDCD in the

### TABLE 7.

### Donor potential per region

Region (number on the map)	Inhabitants	Area (km²)	Population density (people/km <sup>2</sup> )	Donor potential (donors/pmp)	Donor potential (donors/pmp/km²)
Amsterdam (13)	981 095	282	3479	7	2.4
Haaglanden (15)	1 036 580	404	2566	7	1.8
Rotterdam-Rijnmond (17)	1 267 100	863	1468	9	1.0
Gooi en Vechtstreek (14)	245090	176	1393	2	1.0
Kennemerland (12)	527180	419	1258	4	0.9
Limburg Zuid (24)	605795	632	959	4	0.7
Zaanstreek (11)	325 320	348	935	2	0.7
Hollands Midden (16)	769800	831	926	5	0.6
Utrecht (9)	1 268 489	1385	916	9	0.6
Zuid-Holland-Zuid (18)	483195	720	671	3	0.5
Gelderland Midden (7)	665240	1181	563	5	0.4
Brabant Zuid-Oost (22)	748300	1440	520	5	0.4
Midden-West Brabant (20)	1 100 840	2123	519	8	0.4
Gelderland Zuid (8)	538250	1039	518	4	0.4
Noord Holland Noord (10)	636520	1354	470	4	0.3
Brabant Noord (21)	621 357	1356	458	4	0.3
Twente (5)	626600	1630	384	4	0.3
Limburg Noord (23)	515520	1522	339	4	0.2
ljsselland (4)	512520	1695	302	4	0.2
Noord en Oost-Gelderland (6)	811880	2755	295	6	0.2
Flevoland (25)	404783	1419	285	3	0.2
Groningen (1)	581 600	2336	249	4	0.2
Zeeland (19)	380935	1788	213	3	0.1
Friesland (2)	646060	3349	193	5	0.1
Drenthe (3)	489015	2642	185	3	0.1
Netherlands	1678904	33689	498	118	0.3

pmp, per million persons.

Netherlands as a country is 188 donors per year. The potential per 100 km<sup>2</sup> is much lower with 0.3 uDCD donors per km<sup>2</sup>. The more densely populated areas of Amsterdam (2.4), Haaglanden (1.8), Rotterdam-Rijnmond (1.0), and Gooi en Vechtstreek (1.0) result in a considerably higher hit chance. In Figure 4, the uDCD potential is graphically depicted.

Based on the figures presented in this study, we conclude that the most successful regions to implement a uDCD donor program are in the western more urban part of the Netherlands. In this region-also known as Randstad-3 transplant centers (Amsterdam University Medical Center, Erasmus Medical Center Rotterdam, and Leiden University Medical Center) are active, ensuring the sustainability of well-trained retrieval and transplant teams. The total estimated number of uDCD donors in the Randstad region is 29, which implies that approximately a 10% increase in the number of deceased donors in the Netherlands (250 in 2019) could be feasible.<sup>16</sup> Additionally, it may be an advantage to concentrate uDCD programs at the EDs of transplant centers to minimize the burden of the logistics. Also, costs can be reduced as professionals and equipment required are concentrated at one location. It would be interesting to be able to predict based on these data the other larger cities across Europe. However, previous studies have shown that there is a large variation in incidence, characteristics, and outcome of OHCA across Europe. Unfortunately, this makes extrapolation of these numbers combined with the variable demographic composition of different large cities difficult.17,18

In the Dutch situation, the number of potential donors could increase even further when the recognition and selection of donors are performed before arrival at the ED, as recommended in the publication of Domínguez-Gil et al<sup>19</sup> where they describe different logistics schemes and the optimization of uDCD programs. In Spain, the selection of uDCD donors starts in the prehospital setting with the transfer of all OHCA patients by AMS personnel trained in donation protocols. In the Netherlands, one-third of the OHCA patients within the agreed age criteria are declared dead outside the hospital and therefore not transferred to the ED making it impossible to consider them as a potential uDCD donors.<sup>11,20,21</sup> Our present databases lack detailed information about patients who were not transferred to a hospital because of termination of resuscitation before hospital admission; therefore an estimation of this potential for the Dutch situation cannot be made.

Although we postulate in this article that uDCD programs may be more successful in highly populated areas, 2 Spanish centers (Granada and Santander) prove that with a high organization grade, it is also possible to implement uDCD donors in less-populated areas.<sup>21-23</sup> This was also shown by the Maastricht University Medical Center (region Zuid Limburg), which is the only Dutch center with 35-y experience in donation of uDCD kidneys, which resulted in an average of 3 uDCD kidney donors per year.

Finally, the opt-out system in Spain and the general prodonation sentiment are often presented as a major contributing factor to its success in deceased donation. The Netherlands had an opt-in donor registry with a relative high percentage



FIGURE 4. uDCD potential for all safety regions in the Netherlands. uDCD, donation after unexpected circulatory death.

of refusal of consent for donation by the next-of-kin and changed to an opt-out system in July 2020. This recent legal change in the Netherlands will hopefully increase the number of donors becoming available as suggested in the literature.<sup>24</sup>

In conclusion, despite the difficult generalization of these results because of variability in OHCA incidence across Europe and difference in demographic composition of other European cities, these data do show ground for some generable recommendations when setting up a uDCD program. When searching for a suitable site, one must not only take the number of inhabitants into account but also their density, as opposed to the distance from the suggested donation site. The second lesson learned is that the resuscitation databases often make an overestimation of the number of expected donors, even shown by the successful uDCD programs in Europe.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Ruud Koster for his help with the ARREST database. For the geographical figures, we thank Jan-Willem van Aalst (www.imergis.nl).

#### REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization, Spanish Transplant Organization. Global Observatory on Donation and Transplantation. Available at www. transplant-observatory.org. Accessed January 2019.
- Lomero M, Gardiner D, Coll E, et al; European Committee on Organ Transplantation of the Council of Europe (CD-P-TO). Donation after circulatory death today: an updated overview of the European landscape. *Transpl Int.* 2020;33:76–88.
- Venema LH, Brat A, Nijkamp DM, et al. Factors that complicated the implementation of a program of donation after unexpected circulatory death of lungs and kidneys. Lessons learned from a regional trial in the Netherlands. *Transplantation*. 2019;103:e256–e262.
- Blom MT, van Hoeijen DA, Bardai A, et al. Genetic, clinical and pharmacological determinants of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: rationale and outline of the AmsteRdam Resuscitation Studies (ARREST) registry. Open Heart. 2014;1:e000112.
- Zijlstra JA, Stieglis R, Riedijk F, et al. Local lay rescuers with AEDs, alerted by text messages, contribute to early defibrillation in a Dutch out-of-hospital cardiac arrest dispatch system. *Resuscitation*. 2014;85:1444–1449.
- Blom MT, Beesems SG, Homma PCM, et al. Improved survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and use of automated external defibrillators. *Circulation*. 2014;130:1868–1875.
- Zijlstra JA, Radstok A, Pijls R, et al. Overleving na een reanimatie buiten het ziekenhuis: vergelijking van de resultaten van 6 verschillende Nederlandse regio's. In: *Reanimatie in Nederland, 2016*. Hartstichting; 2016:9–24.

- Reznik ON, Skvortsov AE, Reznik AO, et al. Uncontrolled donors with controlled reperfusion after sixty minutes of asystole: a novel reliable resource for kidney transplantation. *PLoS One.* 2013;8:e64209.
- Organización Nacional de Trasplantes. Annual report. Available at http://www.ont.es/infesp/paginas/memorias.aspx. Accessed January 2018.
- Agence de la Biomédecine. Site des professionnels. raport médical et scientfique. Available at https://www.agence-biomedecine.fr/Sitedes-professionnels?lang=fr. Accessed January 2018.
- Del Río F, Andrés A, Padilla M, et al; Spanish Group for the Study of Donation after Circulatory Death. Kidney transplantation from donors after uncontrolled circulatory death: the Spanish experience. *Kidney Int.* 2019;95:420–428.
- Sánchez-Fructuoso AI, Pérez-Flores I, Del Río F, et al. Uncontrolled donation after circulatory death: a cohort study of data from a longstanding deceased-donor kidney transplantation program. *Am J Transplant*. 2019;19:1693–1707.
- Navalpotro-Pascual JM, Echarri-Sucunza A, Mateos-Rodríguez A, et al. Uncontrolled donation programs after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. An estimation of potential donors. *Resuscitation*. 2018;122:87–91.
- Reed MJ, Lua SBH. Uncontrolled organ donation after circulatory death: potential donors in the emergency department. *Emerg Med J.* 2014;31:741–744.
- Roberts KJ, Bramhall S, Mayer D, et al. Uncontrolled organ donation following prehospital cardiac arrest: a potential solution to the shortage of organ donors in the United Kingdom? *Transpl Int*. 2011;24:477–481.
- 16. Dutch Transplant Foundation. Annual report 2019. Available at www. transplantatiestichting.nl. Accessed October 2020.

- Gräsner J-T, Lefering R, Koster RW, et al; EuReCa ONE Collaborators. EuReCa ONE-27 Nations, ONE Europe, ONE Registry: a prospective one month analysis of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest outcomes in 27 countries in Europe. *Resuscitation*. 2016;105:188–195.
- Gräsner J-T, Wnent J, Herlitz J, et al. Survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Europe - Results of the EuReCa TWO study. *Resuscitation*. 2020;148:218–226.
- Domínguez-Gil B, Duranteau J, Mateos A, et al. Uncontrolled donation after circulatory death: European practices and recommendations for the development and optimization of an effective programme. *Transpl Int.* 2016;29:842–859.
- Sánchez-Fructuoso AI, Marques M, Prats D, et al. Victims of cardiac arrest occurring outside the hospital: a source of transplantable kidneys. *Ann Intern Med.* 2006;145:157–164.
- Suberviola B, Mons R, Ballesteros MA, et al. Excellent long-term outcome with lungs obtained from uncontrolled donation after circulatory death. Am J Transplant. 2019;19:1195–1201.
- Miñambres E, Suberviola B, Guerra C, et al. Experience of a Maastrich type II non heart beating donor program in a small city: preliminary results. *Med Intensiva*. 2015;39:433–441.
- Pérez-Villares JM, Lara-Rosales R, Pino-Sánchez F, et al. Alpha code. The start of a new non-heart beating donor program. *Med Intensiva*. 2013;37:224–231.
- Shepherd L, O'Carroll RE, Ferguson E. An international comparison of deceased and living organ donation/transplant rates in opt-in and opt-out systems: a panel study. *BMC Med.* 2014;12:131.