University of Windsor

Scholarship at UWindsor

Great Lakes Institute for Environmental Research Publications

Great Lakes Institute for Environmental Research

2-1-2022

Favorable spring conditions can buffer the impact of winter carryover effects on a key breeding decision in an Arctic-breeding seabird

Rolanda J. Steenweg Dalhousie University, Faculty of Medicine

Glenn T. Crossin Dalhousie University, Faculty of Medicine

Holly L. Hennin Carleton University

H. Grant Gilchrist *Carleton University*

Oliver P. Love University of Windsor

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/glierpub

Part of the Biochemistry, Biophysics, and Structural Biology Commons, Biodiversity Commons, Biology Commons, and the Marine Biology Commons

Recommended Citation

Steenweg, Rolanda J.; Crossin, Glenn T.; Hennin, Holly L.; Gilchrist, H. Grant; and Love, Oliver P. (2022). Favorable spring conditions can buffer the impact of winter carryover effects on a key breeding decision in an Arctic-breeding seabird. *Ecology and Evolution*, 12 (2). https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/glierpub/475

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Great Lakes Institute for Environmental Research at Scholarship at UWindsor. It has been accepted for inclusion in Great Lakes Institute for Environmental Research Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholarship at UWindsor. For more information, please contact scholarship@uwindsor.ca.

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access WILEY

Favorable spring conditions can buffer the impact of winter carryover effects on a key breeding decision in an Arcticbreeding seabird

Rolanda J. Steenweg¹ | Glenn T. Crossin¹ | Holly L. Hennin^{2,3} | H. Grant Gilchrist² | Oliver P. Love³

¹Department of Biology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

²Environment and Climate Change Canada, National Wildlife Research Centre, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

³Department of Integrative Biology, University of Windsor, Windsor, Ontario, Canada

Correspondence

Rolanda J. Steenweg, Department of Biology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H 4R2, Canada. Email: rolandasteenweg@gmail.com

Funding information

Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada; Northern Scientific Training Program

Abstract

- The availability and investment of energy among successive life-history stages is a key feature of carryover effects. In migratory organisms, examining how both winter and spring experiences carryover to affect breeding activity is difficult due to the challenges in tracking individuals through these periods without impacting their behavior, thereby biasing results.
- 2. Using common eiders Somateria mollissima, we examined whether spring conditions at an Arctic breeding colony (East Bay Island, Nunavut, Canada) can buffer the impacts of winter temperatures on body mass and breeding decisions in birds that winter at different locations (Nuuk and Disko Bay, Greenland, and Newfoundland, Canada; assessed by analyzing stable isotopes of 13-carbon in winter-grown claw samples). Specifically, we used path analysis to examine how wintering and spring environmental conditions interact to affect breeding propensity (a key reproductive decision influencing lifetime fitness in female eiders) within the contexts of the timing of colony arrival, pre-breeding body mass (body condition), and a physiological proxy for foraging effort (baseline corticosterone).
- 3. We demonstrate that warmer winter temperatures predicted lower body mass at arrival to the nesting colony, whereas warmer spring temperatures predicted earlier arrival dates and higher arrival body mass. Both higher body mass and earlier arrival dates of eider hens increased the probability that birds would initiate laying (i.e., higher breeding propensity). However, variation in baseline corticosterone was not linked to either winter or spring temperatures, and it had no additional downstream effects on breeding propensity.
- 4. Overall, we demonstrate that favorable pre-breeding conditions in Arcticbreeding common eiders can compensate for the impact that unfavorable

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. © 2022 The Authors. *Ecology and Evolution* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd. wintering conditions can have on breeding investment, perhaps due to greater access to foraging areas prior to laying.

KEYWORDS

common eider, corticosterone, foraging, migration, reproduction, stable isotopes, temperature, trade-offs

TAXONOMY CLASSIFICATION Ecophysiology

1 | INTRODUCTION

Across a diversity of species, energetic constraints play important roles in investment decisions at all stages of their annual cycles (Barnes & Partridge, 2003; Coma & Ribes, 2003; Festa-Bianchet et al., 2019; Lamarre et al., 2017; Schultz et al., 1991). As such, the accumulation and careful management of energetic resources is critical for fuelling transitions between key events or life-history stages (such as between migration and reproduction) (Alerstam, 2006; Drent et al., 2006; Schultz et al., 1991). Defined as carryover effects, wherein the previous experience of an individual explains its current performance (sensu: O'Connor et al., 2014), these impacts can be driven by multiple factors including the availability of energy and nutrients (Barnes & Partridge, 2003; Harrison et al., 2011; Shertzer & Ellner, 2002; van Noordwijk & de Jong, 1986; Williams, 2012: pp. 247-259). Importantly, since these effects have the potential to impact variation in individual state and performance at subsequent life-history stages (Shertzer & Ellner, 2002), they also have the potential to impact investment in downstream events such as breeding decisions (Burnett et al., 2017).

Carryover effects are often found in, or exaggerated in, migratory species, since the ability to successfully migrate between wintering and breeding locations is linked to the availability of resources to meet energetic demands on the wintering grounds and during migration (Johnson et al., 2016; Tamisier et al., 1995). It is possible that the extent to which individuals can accumulate and maintain energetic stores during the winter can have significant implications for reproduction, especially with respect to breeding decisions and investment (Crossin, Phillips, et al., 2012; Crossin et al., 2013; Hennin et al., 2018; Martin, 1987; Oosterhuis & Van Dijk, 2002), and breeding success (Burnett et al., 2017; Williams, 2012: pp. 224–225). Indeed, individuals in higher quality wintering habitats often arrive to the breeding site earlier, arrive in higher body mass, lay earlier and have higher reproductive output/success (Drake et al., 2013; Norris et al., 2004; Sorensen et al., 2009).

Variation in habitat quality on the wintering grounds may carry over to impact subsequent reproduction (Imlay et al., 2019; Norris, 2005; Rockwell et al., 2012; Szostek & Becker, 2015). An important mechanism linking wintering habitat quality to reproduction is food availability (Ballesteros et al., 2013; Brown & Sherry, 2006; Shertzer & Ellner, 2002). For some species, food availability can be influenced by variation in abiotic factors such as temperature in wintering environments (Lehikoinen et al., 2006; Williams et al., 2015), while for others breeding parameters can be more heavily influenced by conditions in their immediate, prebreeding environment (Harrison et al., 2011; Van Oudenhove et al., 2014). For instance, among many migratory bird species, the temperatures experienced after arrival on the breeding grounds were more important drivers of lay date than the carryover effects of precipitation (as a proxy for resource abundance and habitat quality) on the wintering grounds (Jean-Gagnon et al., 2018; Love et al., 2010; Ockendon et al., 2013; Ramírez et al., 2017; Senner et al., 2014). Therefore, despite the negative influences of low quality wintering habitat on important reproductive metrics (e.g., timing of arrival, breeding propensity, reductions in clutch size, and breeding success), favorable conditions during migration and spring arrival on breeding grounds can buffer these negative carryover effects (Bêty et al., 2003; Descamps et al., 2011; Perrins, 1970; Rowe et al., 1994).

Here, we examine the carryover effects of winter temperatures, and how variation in subsequent spring conditions, may influence reproductive parameters of common eider ducks breeding at a colony on East Bay Island in Arctic Canada. Eiders breeding at this colony are an ideal system to test these questions because they migrate thousands of kilometers from either of two primary wintering areas (i.e., either the coast of Western Greenland in Nuuk and Disko Bay, or the coast of Newfoundland, Canada) to breed in the Eastern Canadian Arctic (Mosbech et al., 2006). Importantly, the winter weather driven by the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO, NOAA, 2018) typically generates opposite environmental conditions in Western Greenland and Newfoundland (Descamps et al., 2010; Mosbech et al., 2006; Steenweg et al., 2017). In positive years, when there are below-average temperatures in Western Greenland with higher incidences of storms, Newfoundland will experience aboveaverage temperatures and fewer storms (Descamps et al., 2010; NOAA, 2018). Given that sea ice concertation and temperatures are highly correlated (Comiso, 2002), the differences in NAO and temperatures will also result in a difference in sea ice concentration between these wintering areas (Heide-Jørgensen et al., 2007). The difference in winter temperatures between these areas has the potential to generate different carryover effects on eider reproduction in the Arctic depending on their wintering location.

Female eider ducks demonstrate a mixed capital-income reproductive strategy (Sénéchal et al., 2011a). The decision to lay should be strongly influenced by the fat accrued on the wintering grounds as well as the energy gained by foraging near their nesting colony upon spring arrival. Collectively eiders must accrue enough energy to fuel egg formation (Sénéchal et al., 2011a), as well as build enough reserves to successfully complete a 24-day incubation fast (Bottitta et al., 2003). Therefore, variation in both the resources brought from the wintering grounds, their body condition upon arrival to the breeding grounds, and the ability of hens to quickly gain the additional resources necessary to lay, should predict variation in the decision to breed (Descamps et al., 2011; Hennin et al., 2018; Sénéchal et al., 2011a).

Because eiders are diving sea ducks, which rely on access to open water areas for foraging opportunities, colder winter temperatures can restrict available foraging areas due to increased ice cover (Heide-Jørgensen et al., 2007; Merkel et al., 2006). We therefore predicted that colder winter temperatures would negatively affect the timing of arrival at the breeding grounds and prelaying body mass (Descamps et al., 2010), and negatively impact breeding propensity. However, if female eiders experienced favorable spring environmental conditions upon arrival at the breeding grounds (i.e., warmer conditions with more ice-free areas to forage), individuals could buffer against or compensate for winter-derived energetic shortfalls. Thus, we also predicted that warmer spring temperatures, earlier arrival dates, and higher prebreeding body mass would lead to positive effects on breeding propensity, irrespective of wintering conditions or location.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | General field methods and sampling

We tested our questions by studying female common eiders nesting at a breeding colony on East Bay Island (Mitivik Island, Nunavut, Canada, 64°02′N, 81°47′W) within the East Bay off of Southampton Island, in the East Bay (Qagsaugtuug) Migratory Bird Sanctuary (Figure 1). Common eiders breeding on East Bay Island migrate to their wintering areas (Nuuk and Disko Bay, Greenland and Newfoundland, Canada) after the breeding season (Mosbech et al., 2006). Common eiders remain in wintering areas from December to March and begin their spring migration back to the breeding colony in April, following the receding of the sea ice (Mosbech et al., 2006). Eiders arrive to staging areas near the breeding colony in May and June where they forage to accrue sufficient body mass to last them through the incubation period. Female eiders arrive at the breeding colony late during the pre-breeding period in mid-June to early July when they are ready to prospect for nests and lay their eggs (Hennin et al., 2015, 2018; Sénéchal et al., 2011b).

Female common eiders were captured during the pre-breeding period (mid-June to early July) from 2014 to 2017 using large flight nets (n = 273 individuals). Birds were banded with field-readable alpha-numeric plastic bands as well as a metal band from the USGS Bird Banding Laboratory. Each female was also given a combination of uniquely colored and shaped plastic nasal tags threaded through their nares with UV degradable monofilament. This enabled us to identify and monitor individual hens on the colony in June and July, but ensured



FIGURE 1 Location of common eider breeding colony on East Bay Island, Nunavut, Canada, and wintering sites at Disko Bay and Nuuk, Greenland, and Newfoundland, Canada

that all nasal tags fell off prior to fall migration in September. We obtained breeding propensity data for all captured females by surveying the colony twice a day from within observation blinds from mid-June to mid-July during the laying period. Individual females were categorized as non-breeders (n = 160) if they did not return to the colony to lay, given the high site fidelity known for this colony (Jean-Gagnon et al., 2018), and as breeders (n = 86) if they were observed incubating eggs (Table 1). Females were considered to be in the laying (n = 21) or incubating (n = 6) stages if caught once they had already begun laying or known to be incubating, determined through twice daily plot monitoring efforts. These 27 laying and incubating hens were removed from this analysis because their body mass would be influenced by the development and laying of eggs at this time and therefore not an accurate representation of body condition (Descamps et al., 2011) and so our total sample size is n = 246 individuals.

All birds were blood sampled from the tarsal vein within 3 minutes of initial capture to obtain baseline physiological metrics (Hennin et al., 2015). We then measured body mass to the nearest 10 g, to assess arrival body condition (Descamps et al., 2011). We then collected the distal 2 mm from the claw of the middle toe on the left foot. Toe clippings were later analyzed for stable isotopes to assign winter location (following, Steenweg et al., 2017). Stable isotopes of 13-carbon can change with distance to shore or along a latitudinal gradient (Cherel et al., 2008; Steenweg et al., 2017) and are therefore unique to different locations. In common eiders, claws take approximately 120 days to grow from root to tip, so the

/alues are J	presented ± SL	0								
	Total n			Mean body mass	(g)	Mean corticosterc	ne (ng/ml)	Mean Julian arriv	al date	
Year	Overall	Nonbreeders	Breeders	Nonbreeders	Breeders	Nonbreeders	Breeders	Nonbreeders	Breeders	date
2014	53	29	24	2143 ± 169	2194 ± 168	11.14 ± 9.25	10.35 ± 7.14	176 ± 4	174 ± 5	179 ± 4
2015	63	42	21	1979 ± 218	2165 ± 120	8.17 ± 13.07	12.13 ± 20.15	179 ± 5	178 ± 4	182 ± 4
2016	67	53	14	2130 ± 249	2263 ± 139	10.68 ± 13.37	14.18 ± 11.86	179 ± 5	177 ± 3	181 ± 3
2017	63	36	27	2207 ± 199	2269 ± 202	8.48 ± 15.21	8.96 ± 12.37	173 ± 4	173 ± 3	177 ± 4

Biometric values and sample sizes for breeding and nonbreeding female common eiders captured during the prebreeding season (June and July) in 2014–2017 at East Bay Island. All

TABLE 1

stable isotope values from the tips of claws obtained on the breeding grounds in June, are associated with the location in which the tissue was grown, that is, where the individual was wintering in January to March (Steenweg et al., 2017). Stable isotopes of 13-carbon in claws have been successfully used in this colony of common eiders to infer individual wintering areas (Nuuk or Disko Bay, Greenland or Newfoundland, Canada; Steenweg et al., 2017). We used stable isotopes of 13-carbon from claws collected from eider hens at arrival to their breeding grounds to infer each individual's wintering area.

This noninvasive method, in which we analyzed the stable isotopes of 13-carbon in claws grown in winter, enabled us to assign the wintering location of migratory females from samples collected at arrival on this breeding colony. This method allowed us to compare wintering and prebreeding spring conditions on reproductive performance without the deployment of bio-logging devices, which have the potential to bias results through impacts on bird behavior, foraging, reproduction, and survival (Burger & Shaffer, 2008).

2.2 | Assignment of wintering location and environmental conditions

Briefly, we removed surface oils from claw samples by placing claw subsamples into vials and adding a 2:1 chloroform:methanol solution, vortexing them for 15 s and letting them sit for 24 h. We then centrifuged vials at 10,000 rpm for 10 min and siphoned off the supernatant with a pipette. We rinsed samples with the chloroform:methanol solution and repeated the procedure. Following this, samples were dried in a fume-hood for 24 h. Subsamples of claws were weighed to 0.30–0.50 mg, and then placed into tin capsules to be analyzed for stable isotopes of carbon (13 C and 12 C).

Samples collected from 2014 to 2016 were analyzed at Queen's University, and from 2017 at the Great Lakes Institute of Environmental Research (GLIER) at the University of Windsor. To ensure that these two labs were consistent and comparable in their carbon isotopic measurements, we re-analyzed 10 randomly selected samples at GLIER that we had previously analyzed at Queen's. These pairs of samples were within 0.4 ± 0.8 (*SD*) of each other, indicating each sample was sufficiently homogenous and the results of the two labs were indeed comparable. All stable isotope results are reported within accuracy of 0.1% based on analyses of the international standard Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite and in-house keratin (COW1: $-13.17\% \pm 0.21$, UC1: $-25.7\% \pm 0.14$) run alternately every five samples. To assess accuracy of our measurements, duplicates were run every nine samples with an accuracy of 0.2%. All $^{13}C/^{12}C$ are reported in delta notation (δ) in parts per mil (∞).

To establish the general wintering conditions of each individual eider, we generated data for winter conditions for each year by averaging temperatures from January to March in each of the three common eider wintering areas: Nuuk, Greenland; Disko Bay, Greenland (Cappelen, 2018); and Cartwright in Newfoundland, Canada (Environment & Climate Change Canada, 2018). We generated data on spring conditions at East Bay by averaging the temperature for May from the nearest weather station located at Coral Harbour, Southampton Island, Nunavut, Canada located 45 km from the breeding colony (Environment & Climate Change Canada, 2018) (Table 2).

2.3 | Physiological indicator of foraging effort - baseline corticosterone

We included baseline corticosterone (CORT) measured from plasma samples collected at capture in our models given that elevations in baseline CORT have been linked to increases in foraging behaviors, mass gain, and energetic demand during the prebreeding period (Angelier et al., 2007; Crossin, Trathan, et al., 2012; Hennin, Bêty, et al., 2016; Holberton, 1999; Love et al., 2014). Baseline CORT was measured using an enzyme immunoassay (EIA; Assay Designs, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) previously validated in common eiders breeding at East Bay (Hennin et al., 2015). All samples were run in triplicate at 1:20 dilution with 1.5% steroid displacement buffer by volume, in random order and in a 96-well plate. Each plate included a control of laying hen plasma (Sigma–Aldrich Canada, Oakville, Ontario, Canada) and a kit-provided, serially diluted standard curve (200,000 pg/ml). Plates were read at 405 nM. The inter- and intraplate coefficients of variation were 9.96% and 19.26%, respectively.

2.4 | Data analysis

To determine wintering sites of individual arriving common eiders, we used a k-means cluster analysis of the stable isotope data derived from claws of common eiders arriving to the breeding colony (as per Steenweg et al., 2017). K-means cluster analysis is a centroid-based clustering method where the centroids are the iteratively calculated centers of the clusters and where *k* is the number of clusters (Tan et al., 2006; in this case k = 3, one for each wintering site). In this method, it is possible to predetermine the starting centroids for the clusters. Each of the remaining points is assigned to a cluster in a way which minimizes the sum of squared error of each centroid (Tan et al., 2006). The *k*-means cluster analysis was informed by using the previously published starting centroids calculated from the means of the stable isotope data obtained

TABLE 2 Temperatures at wintering sites in Newfoundland, Canada, and Nuuk and Disko Bay, Greenland, and at the breeding site at East Bay, Southampton Island, Nunavut in spring for the years 2014–2017 from common eiders on their wintering sites for this breeding colony (Nuuk: -14.92‰, Disko Bay: -18.12‰ and Newfoundland: -20.55‰; Steenweg et al., 2017).

We used piecewise structural equation modeling (R package piecewiseSEM Version 2.0.1; Lefcheck et al., 2019) to test whether environmental variables (winter and/or spring temperatures) directly predicted our response variable (breeding propensity), or whether these relationships were mediated through effects on other variables (i.e., arrival date, CORT, and/or body mass) (Lefcheck, 2016; Shipley, 2013). This approach allowed us to determine direct and indirect correlations between spring and winter conditions, arrival date, CORT, body mass, and how these together influenced breeding propensity (Hennin et al., 2018; Lefcheck, 2016).

We constructed nine separate conceptual path models, each with biologically feasible linkages among the variables (Figure 2). These models were then converted to a set of conditional dependencies, which were then analyzed as generalized linear mixed models with sample number as a random intercept (to account for shared variance in sampling order). We used Gaussian models with identity function (normally distributed data for spring and winter temperatures, arrival date, baseline CORT, and body mass) and standardized these data to allow effects to be compared across the multiple responses and a binomial model with logit function (binomial data; breeding propensity) (Lefcheck, 2020). We ranked each model with Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) within piecewiseSEM to assess the strongest candidate models. We calculated path coefficients and p-values for these top models. Given that it may be difficult to tease apart whether the potential effects of winter are due to location-specific temperatures or other factors that are specific to the wintering area (e.g., availability of preferred food sources: Goudie & Ankney, 1986: Merkel et al., 2007). we subsequently used a linear model mirroring that of the best ranked path analysis to test for effects of winter location on arrival body mass. We also included spring temperature to account for effects of spring.

3 | RESULTS

Analyses generated two competitive models (Models G and H; Figure 3) within two \triangle AIC values of each other (Model G: AIC = 46.67, Fisher's C statistic = 20.67, p = .11, df = 14; Model H: AIC = 48.53, Fisher's C statistic = 20.53, p = .06, df = 12; Table 3).

	Winter temperature (Spring temperature (°C)		
Year	Newfoundland, Canada (n)	Nuuk, Greenland (n)	Disko Bay, Greenland (n)	Southampton Island, Nunavut (n)
2014	-13.6 (9)	-7.5 (36)	-10.4 (8)	-3.0 (53)
2015	-16.5 (6)	-11.3 (55)	-17.2 (2)	-7.7 (63)
2016	-13.3 (30)	-5.3 (37)	na	-4.9 (67)
2017	-12.2 (17)	-7.4 (46)	na	-4.2 (63)

Note: In years where eiders did not winter in the area, temperatures were not applicable (na). Sample size of female common eiders arriving from each wintering site in each year is denoted by n.



FIGURE 2 Diagrams of the 9 hypothesized, biologically feasible path models linking environmental conditions to breeding propensity in female common eiders. The variables included in the models are winter temperature (WT), spring temperature (ST), baseline corticosterone (CORT), arrival date (AD), body condition (Mass), and breeding propensity (BP)

The two highest ranked models had similar structure, including negative linkages between winter temperatures and body mass, as well as significant positive linkages between spring conditions and both earlier arrival date and heavier body mass.

Contrary to our predictions, colder winter temperatures predicted higher body mass (standardized path coefficient = -0.13, p = .057), with no significant effects on arrival dates (Figure 3a, model G). Overall, warmer spring temperatures on the breeding grounds predicted earlier arrival dates (standardized path coefficient = -0.37, p < .001) and higher body mass (standardized path coefficient = 0.32, p < .001). Birds with higher body mass were more likely to breed (standardized path coefficient = 0.56, p = .001) as were those that arrived at the colony earliest (i.e., predicting higher breeding propensity; standardized path coefficient = -0.46, p = .002). Neither of the top models included direct effects of either spring or winter conditions on breeding propensity, these effects were mediated through body mass and arrival date. Neither of the top models included links between spring or winter conditions on CORT, nor CORT on breeding propensity. Our follow-up analyses testing for effects of wintering location on arrival body mass found that individuals arriving from Nuuk, Greenland had significantly lower body mass compared to those arriving from Newfoundland (estimate = -0.35, p = .01;) and arrival body mass was significantly and positively associated with spring temperatures (Estimate = 0.24, p < .001 model estimates: $F_{(2,242)} = 8.57$, p < .01, $R^2 = .08$, Table 4).

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Impacts of spring conditions on massdependent breeding decisions

We investigated a multiyear data set to examine the relative contributions of both winter and spring environmental conditions on important arrival traits and a key reproductive decision to assess the relative impacts of seasonal carryover effects in female common eiders breeding in the Arctic. We found that during years with warm



FIGURE 3 Diagrams of the top two ranked paths as determined by AIC rank linking spring and winter temperatures to breeding propensity. Standardized path coefficients and *p*-values for each relationship are reported next to its corresponding arrow

spring conditions occurring near the nesting colony, female eiders arrived at the colony earlier and in better body condition (i.e., higher body mass). In years with relatively colder winter temperatures, eiders also arrived with higher body mass. It is noteworthy that the overall influence of spring conditions had a 2–3 times greater impact on reproductive metrics than did winter conditions (Figure 2). Overall, our results indicate that females that arrive to breeding areas under favorable spring environmental conditions are better able to mitigate negative carryover effects of challenging winters. TABLE 3 Comparisons of the path models linking the effects of winter and spring temperatures to circulating baseline CORT, arrival date, body mass, and breeding propensity in female common eiders captured at arrival during the pre-breeding season at East Bay Island

Model Rank	Model	AIC	ΔAIC	Fisher's C	р	df
1	G	46.67	0	20.67	.11	14
2	Н	48.53	1.86	20.53	.06	12
3	I	51.29	4.62	27.29	.04	16
4	D	56.02	9.35	22.02	.14	16
5	С	56.75	10.08	18.75	.09	12
6	F	58.32	11.65	22.32	.07	14
7	E	58.45	11.78	24.48	.08	16
8	В	58.53	11.86	18.53	.05	10
9	А	59.56	12.89	15.56	.02	6

Note: The path structure of these models is included in Figure 2 according to their model letter. This analysis includes pre-recruiting, rapid follicle growth and nonbreeding birds. Incubating and laying hens were excluded as they did not truly represent "arriving" birds.

Previous research of common eiders in the Eastern Canadian Arctic has demonstrated that harsh conditions on their wintering grounds negatively impact arrival body mass in females (Descamps et al., 2010), and that arrival body mass is a strong predictor of the timing of reproduction, clutch size, and hatching success (Descamps et al., 2010; Hennin, Bêty, et al., 2016; Hennin et al., 2018). Additionally, our path analysis also suggests that females can overcome some of the negative impacts of wintering conditions to invest in reproduction. We found that warmer spring temperatures resulted in advanced dates of arrival and increased body mass, both of which increased eider duck breeding propensity. Female body mass was a key intrinsic variable linking extrinsic environmental conditions (temperatures) to breeding propensity. This is consistent with other studies demonstrating the key role body mass plays in mediating reproduction in common eiders (Descamps et al., 2010; Hennin, Bêty, et al., 2016; Hennin et al., 2018).

It is likely that the strong relationship between spring temperatures on the breeding grounds and the subsequent positive effects on reproductive decisions is mediated by local sea ice conditions on the breeding grounds that strongly impact regional foraging conditions of this marine bird (Jean-Gagnon et al., 2018). In years with warmer spring temperatures, there is more available open water and eiders lay earlier (Jean-Gagnon et al., 2018), presumably via more extensive foraging opportunities that enable females to quickly accrue the fat reserves necessary to support clutch formation and egg laying. Our results also help to mechanistically explain previous findings at this colony linking warmer spring conditions to earlier breeding phenology and breeding success (Love et al., 2010), positive links between elevated prebreeding fattening rates and earlier lay dates (Hennin, Bêty, et al., 2016), and the importance of elevated body 8 of 12

WILEY_Ecology and Evolution

Response	Variable	Estimate	\pm SE	t	р
Mass	Intercept	0.25	0.12	2.08	.04
	Disko Bay, Greenland	-0.14	0.33	-0.43	.67
	Nuuk, Greenland	-0.35	0.14	-2.46	.01
	Spring temperature	0.24	0.06	3.98	<.001

TABLE 4 Summary of parameter estimates of fixed effects from linear models of analyses investigating effects of winter location (relative to Newfoundland) and spring temperature on arrival body mass

mass in driving the seasonal decline in clutch size in common eiders (Descamps et al., 2011).

4.2 | Effects of corticosterone on mass-dependent breeding decisions

Remarkably, variation in baseline CORT did not emerge as a significant predictor of breeding propensity. We had anticipated that variation in baseline CORT would be a significant physiological mediator linking winter and/or spring temperatures to breeding propensity, via its role as a metabolic regulator of daily activity, foraging behavior, and body mass gain (Crossin, Trathan, et al., 2012; Hennin et al., 2016). Despite the lack of an apparent significant impact in this study, baseline CORT has been shown to be an important regulator in the energetics of prelaying eiders (Hennin et al., 2015; Hennin, 2016). Female common eiders have been shown to increase baseline CORT secretion as they transition from the prerecruiting to the rapid follicle growth period (Hennin et al., 2015). Manipulation experiments in captive diving sea ducks have shown that experimentally elevated baseline CORT results in an increase in body fat (Hennin, Wellsberlin, et al., 2016), and experimentally elevated baseline CORT in free-living eiders advanced lay dates and increased breeding success (Hennin, 2016). In our analysis we needed to include both breeding and nonbreeding birds to examine impacts of carryover effects on the probability of breeding within a given year. It is possible that the role of baseline CORT as physiological/energetic mediator may have been diminished by including nonbreeding birds, since nonbreeders may have little to no need to meet the same mass thresholds for breeding.

4.3 | Effects of winter conditions and location on breeding decisions

Winter temperatures had a nearly significant negative relationship with body mass in female eiders. However, our results also indicate that the effects of winter on arrival body mass may not be due to temperatures per se, but rather wintering location; individuals arriving from Nuuk, Greenland had a lower body mass than those arriving from Newfoundland. There are two primary reasons birds arrived from Newfoundland with higher body mass compared to those arriving from Nuuk, Greenland. Eider diet in Newfoundland contains a higher proportion of mussels (Newfoundland: Goudie & Ankney, 1986; Greenland: Merkel et al., 2007), which is a preferred diet item due to their higher energy content (Goudie & Ankney, 1986; Guillemette, 1998; Larsen & Guillemette, 2000; Merkel et al., 2007). Secondly, wintering sites in Newfoundland are closer to the eventual breeding colony than Western Greenland (Mosbech et al., 2006). Since the energetic costs of flight in common eiders are high (Pelletier et al., 2008), eiders wintering in different locations may face different costs of migration, have differing quality of prey sources at those wintering sites to fuel migration, and likely a differing ability to carry fat stores with them from the breeding grounds, impacting arrival mass. Ultimately, although our study is an initial step toward assessing their potential for carryover effects in common eiders, the effects of wintering location are likely very complex.

4.4 | Mechanisms driving variation in carryover effects

Our findings suggest that female common eiders are able to buffer winter carryover effects if they encounter favorable (i.e., warm) spring conditions during their prebreeding period, which can last upward of one month after arrival on the breeding grounds (Mosbech et al., 2006). In fact, our findings suggest that the positive effect of spring temperatures on arrival body mass is more than twice that of favorable winter conditions. During the spring, birds may be able to compensate for the energetic shortfalls resulting from conditions on their wintering grounds (Merkel et al., 2006; but see Jamieson et al., 2005), as well as the energetic costs stemming from spring migration. Wintering conditions may prevent individuals from forming pairs prior to arrival and recent data suggest that some eiders also use this arrival spring period for pair formation (Steenweg et al., 2019). These results underscore the importance of early timing of arrival to the breeding grounds during the prebreeding period for proximate energy gain, potentially finding a mate, investment in breeding, and ultimately for fitness benefits.

Winter carryover effects often occur or have the strongest effects in species with a short prebreeding period (i.e., interval between arrival and breeding) and can be further impacted by breeding strategies (i.e., more capital or income based resource use; Meijer & Drent, 1999). The prelaying period is important for gaining sufficient mass to fuel egg development across multiple species (e.g., macaroni penguins *Eudyptes chrysolophus*; Crossin et al., 2010, and white-winged scoters *Melanitta fusca*; Gurney et al., 2014). Overall, common eiders have a relatively long prelaying period (up to 20 days; Hennin et al., 2015) and therefore should have the flexibility to overcome potential carryover

WILEY

effects. In support of this, we found that female eiders are indeed capable of overcoming wintering carryover effects. We recognize that there are likely individual-based differences in the ability to compensate for the effects of challenging wintering conditions including wintering location (Descamps et al., 2010), foraging and assimilation ability (Bond & Esler, 2006; Heath et al., 2010; Rigou & Guillemette, 2010), and physiological fattening rates (Hennin et al., 2018).

Carryover effects may also be demonstrated or mitigated through differential reliance on more capital (endogenous) or income (exogenous) based energetic reserves. Income breeders are largely affected by prey source availability on the breeding grounds and as such, exhibit little to no winter carryover effects (Guillemain et al., 2008; Senner et al., 2014). However, common eiders use a combination of capital and income based resources to fuel egg growth (Clausen et al., 2015; Descamps et al., 2011; Provencher et al., 2016; Sénéchal et al., 2011b); the relative contribution of which may vary depend on wintering conditions and its effects on arrival body mass (Descamps et al., 2010). Specifically, harsher winter conditions likely make it challenging for females to maintain a high amount of fat stores to bring over to the breeding grounds, and under these circumstances, they must rely more on income-based resources just prior to breeding (Sénéchal et al., 2011b). The opposing wintering conditions that females at this colony are exposed to at their different wintering locations (Steenweg et al., 2017) can indeed impact their arrival mass with downstream consequences for reproductive decisions (Descamps et al., 2011; Hennin, Bêty, et al., 2016; Hennin et al., 2018; this study). The consistency and strength of the relationship between spring temperature and arrival body mass in our competitive models indicate that spring conditions (likely mediating foraging opportunities and the accumulation of capital stores) are currently the most critical extrinsic factor affecting the ability of females to invest in reproduction in a given year (Descamps et al., 2011; Hennin, Bêty, et al., 2016; Hennin et al., 2018), regardless of the effects of winter conditions.

For long-lived marine birds such as the common eider, the decision to breed in any given year plays a significant role in contributing to lifetime reproduction. Nonetheless, skipping or deferring breeding may be an appropriate tactic to deal with variable, and increasingly unpredictable environmental conditions (Legagneux et al., 2016; Öst et al., 2018; Shaw & Levin, 2013), such as unfavorable spring conditions examined here. Skipping reproduction can result in increased chances of subsequent survival (i.e., tradeoff between current and future reproduction; Shoji et al., 2015), and even increase the likelihood of breeding in the following year (Legagneux et al., 2016; Jean-Gagnon et al., 2018; see also Catry et al., 2013, in shearwaters; Crossin et al., 2017, in albatrosses). In doing so, a bird could capitalize on years with more agreeable conditions and increase their lifetime reproductive output (Coulson, 1984; Reed et al., 2015). In common eiders, although spring conditions could lead to deferred or skipped breeding for

some individuals (particularly following poor winters and unfavorable spring conditions at arrival), it is possible that this reproductive deferral and investment in long-term self-maintenance, may carryover to increase a female common eider's mass the following winter and the likelihood of breeding in the subsequent year. Therefore, although challenging, future studies that are able to test these questions and relationships by monitoring individuals across multiple seasons and years would help to elucidate individual-based strategies for mitigating trade-offs and carryover effects.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments for improving this manuscript. They also thank the 2014–2017 East Bay Island field crews for data collection and J. Nakoolak and J. Angootealuk for essential help and ensuring our safety in the field. We are grateful to I. Butler for data management, M. Janssen for coordinating fieldwork and C. Harris for help with physiology assays. This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (Discovery: GC, OL, Northern Supplement: GC, and Graduate Scholarship Award CGS-M and PGS-D programs: RS), the Canada Research Chairs Program (OL), the Northern Scientific Training Program (RS, HH), and the Polar Continental Shelf Program. All applicable institutional and/or national guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors do not have any conflicts of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Rolanda J. Steenweg: Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (lead); Formal analysis (lead); Methodology (lead); Writing – original draft (lead). Glenn T. Crossin: Conceptualization (equal); Funding acquisition (equal); Resources (equal); Supervision (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal). Holly L. Hennin: Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal). Hugh Grant Gilchrist: Conceptualization (supporting); Data curation (equal); Project administration (lead); Resources (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal). Oliver P. Love: Conceptualization (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Supervision (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal).

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in Dryad at https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.ttdz08m0x.

ORCID

Rolanda J. Steenweg b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7086-3560 Glenn T. Crossin b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1080-1189 Holly L. Hennin b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8327-1102 H. Grant Gilchrist b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5031-5092 Oliver P. Love b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8235-6411

REFERENCES

- Alerstam, T. (2006). Strategies for the transition to breeding in timeselected bird migration. *Ardea*, *94*, 347–357.
- Angelier, F., Shaffer, S. A., Weimerskirch, H., Trouvé, C., & Chastel, O. (2007). Corticosterone and foraging behavior in a pelagic seabird. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, 80, 283–292. https://doi. org/10.1086/512585
- Ballesteros, M., Bardsen, B. J., Fauchald, P., Langeland, K., Stien, A., & Tveraa, T. (2013). Combined effects of long-term feeding, population density and vegetation green-up on reindeer demography. *Ecosphere*, 4, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1890/ES13-00015.1
- Barnes, A. I., & Partridge, L. (2003). Costing reproduction. Animal Behaviour, 66, 199–204. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.2003.2122
- Bêty, J., Gauthier, G., & Giroux, J. F. (2003). Body condition, migration, and timing of reproduction in snow geese: A test of conditiondependent model of optimal clutch size. *The American Naturalist*, 162, 110-121.
- Bond, J. C., & Esler, D. (2006). Nutrient acquisition by female Harlequin ducks prior to spring migration and reproduction: Evidence for body mass optimization. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 84, 1223– 1229. https://doi.org/10.1139/z06-111
- Bottitta, G. E., Nol, E., & Gilchrist, H. G. (2003). Effects of experimental manipulation of incubation length on behavior and body mass of common eiders in the Canadian Arctic. Waterbirds, 26, 100– 107. https://doi.org/10.1675/1524-4695(2003)026[0100:EOEMO I]2.0.CO;2
- Brown, D. R., & Sherry, T. W. (2006). Food supply controls the body condition of a migrant bird wintering in the tropics. *Oecologia*, 149, 22– 32. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-006-0418-z
- Burger, A. A. E., & Shaffer, S. A. (2008). Application of tracking and datalogging technology in research and conservation of seabirds. *The Auk*, 125, 253–264. https://doi.org/10.1525/auk.2008.1408
- Burnett, N. J., Hinch, S. G., Bett, N. N., Braun, D. C., Casselman, M. T., Cooke, S. J., Gelchu, A., Lingard, S., Middleton, C. T., Minke-Martin, V., & White, C. F. H. (2017). Reducing carryover effects on the migration and spawning success of sockeye salmon through a management experiment of dam flows. *River Research and Applications*, 33, 3–15. https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3051
- Cappelen, J. (2018). Weather observations from Greenland 1958–2017. DMI Report 18-08.
- Catry, P., Dias, M. P., Phillips, R. A., & Granadeiro, J. P. (2013). Carry-over effects from breeding modulate the annual cycle of a long-distance migrant: An experimental demonstration. *Ecology*, 94(6), 1230– 1235. https://doi.org/10.1890/12-2177.1
- Cherel, Y., Corre, M., Jaquemet, S., Ménard, F., Richard, P., & Weimerskirch, H. (2008). Resource partitioning within a tropical seabird community: New information from stable isotopes. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 366, 281–291. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07587
- Clausen, K. K., Madsen, J., & Tombre, I. M. (2015). Carry-over or compensation? The impact of winter harshness and post-winter body condition on spring-fattening in a migratory goose species. *PLoS One*, 10, e0132312. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0132312
- Coma, R., & Ribes, M. (2003). Seasonal energetic constraints in Mediterranean benthic suspension feeders: Effects at different levels of ecological organization. *Oikos*, 101, 205–215. https://doi. org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2003.12028.x
- Comiso, J. (2002). Correlation and trend studies of the sea-ice cover and surface temperatures in the Arctic. *Annals of Glaciology*, *34*, 420– 428. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756402781818067
- Coulson, J. C. (1984). The population dynamics of the Eider Duck Somateria mollissima and evidence of extensive non-breeding by adult ducks. *Ibis*, 126, 525–543. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.1984.tb02078.x
- Crossin, G. T., Phillips, R. A., Lattin, C. R., Romero, L. M., Bordeleau, X., Harris, C. M., Love, O. P., & Williams, T. D. (2017). Costs of

reproduction and carry-over effects in breeding albatrosses. *Antarctic Science*, *29*, 155–164. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954 102016000560

- Crossin, G. T., Phillips, R. A., Trathan, P. N., Fox, D. S., Dawson, A., Wynne-Edwards, K. E., & Williams, T. D. (2012). Migratory carryover effects and endocrinological correlates of reproductive decisions and reproductive success in female albatrosses. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 176, 151–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ygcen.2012.01.006
- Crossin, G. T., Phillips, R. A., Wynne-Edwards, K. E., & Williams, T. D. (2013). Postmigratory body condition and ovarian steroid production predict breeding decisions by female gray-headed albatrosses. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, *86*, 761–768. https://doi. org/10.1086/673755
- Crossin, G. T., Trathan, P. N., Phillips, R. A., Dawson, A., Le Bouard, F., & Williams, T. D. (2010). A carryover effect of migration underlies individual variation in reproductive readiness and extreme egg size dimorphism in macaroni penguins. *The American Naturalist*, 176, 357-366. https://doi.org/10.1086/655223
- Crossin, G. T., Trathan, P. N., Phillips, R. A., Gorman, K. B., Dawson, A., Sakamoto, K. Q., & Williams, T. D. (2012). Corticosterone predicts foraging behavior and parental care in macaroni penguins. *The American Naturalist*, 180, E31–41. https://doi.org/10.1086/666001
- Descamps, S., Bêty, J., Love, O. P., & Gilchrist, H. G. (2011). Individual optimization of reproduction in a long-lived migratory bird: A test of the condition-dependent model of laying date and clutch size. *Functional Ecology*, 25, 671–681. https://doi.org/10.1111/ i.1365-2435.2010.01824.x
- Descamps, S., Yoccoz, N. G., Gaillard, J.-M., Gilchrist, H. G., Erikstad, K. E., Hanssen, S. A., Cazelles, B., Forbes, M. R., & Bêty, J. (2010). Detecting population heterogeneity in effects of North Atlantic Oscillations on seabird body condition: Get into the rhythm. *Oikos*, 119, 1526– 1536. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2010.18508.x
- Drake, A., Rock, C., Quinlan, S. P., & Green, D. J. (2013). CArry-over effects of winter habitat vary with age and sex in yellow warblers Setophaga petechia. Journal of Avian Biology, 44, 321–330.
- Drent, R. H., Fox, A. D., & Stahl, J. (2006). Traveling to breed. Journal of Ornithology, 147, 122–134.
- Environment and Climate Change Canada. (2018). Historical Data. Government of Canada. Retrieved from http://climate.weather.gc.ca/historical_data/ search_historic_data_e.html [accessed 6 November 2018].
- Festa-Bianchet, M., Côté, S., Hamel, S., & Pelletier, F. (2019). Long-term studies of bighorn sheep and mountain goats reveal fitness costs of reproduction. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 88, 1118–1133. https://doi. org/10.1111/1365-2656.13002
- Goudie, I. R., & Ankney, C. D. (1986). Body size, activity budgets, and diets of sea ducks wintering in Newfoundland. *Ecology*, 67, 1475– 1482. https://doi.org/10.2307/1939078
- Guillemain, M., Elmberg, J., Arzel, C., Johnson, A. R., & Simon, G. (2008). The income-capital breeding dichotomy revisited: Late winter body condition is related to breeding success in an income breeder. *Ibis*, 150, 172–176. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.2007.00700.x
- Guillemette, M. (1998). The effect of time and digestion constraints in common eiders while feeding and diving over blue mussel beds. *Functional Ecology*, 12, 123–131. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.1998.00164.x
- Gurney, K. E. B., Wood, C. J., Alisauskas, R. T., Wayland, M., DeVink, J.-M.-A., & Slattery, S. M. (2014). Identifying carry-over effects of wintering area on reproductive parameters in white-winged scoters: An isotopic approach. *The Condor*, 116, 251–264. https://doi. org/10.1650/CONDOR-13-082.1
- Harrison, X. A., Blount, J. D., Inger, R., Norris, D. R., & Bearhop, S. (2011). Carry-over effects as drivers of fitness differences in animals. *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, 80, 4–18. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2010.01740.x

VILEY

- Heath, J. P., Gilchrist, H. G., & Ydenberg, R. C. (2010). Interactions between rate processes with different timescales explain counterintuitive foraging patterns of arctic wintering eiders. *Proceedings. Biological Sciences/The Royal Society*, 277, 3179–3186. https://doi. org/10.1098/rspb.2010.0812
- Heide-Jørgensen, M. P., Stern, H., & Laidre, K. L. (2007). Dynamics of the sea ice edge in Davis Strait. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 67, 170–178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2006.10.011
- Hennin, H. L. (2016). Energetic physiology mediates reproductive decisions in a long-lived, capital-income breeding seaduck, PhD Thesis. University of Windsor.
- Hennin, H. L., Bêty, J., Legagneux, P., Gilchrist, H. G., Williams, T. D., & Love,
 O. P. (2016). Energetic physiology mediates individual optimization of breeding phenology in a migratory Arctic seabird. *The American Naturalist*, 188, 434–445. https://doi.org/10.1086/688044
- Hennin, H. L., Dey, C. J., Bêty, J., Gilchrist, H. G., Legagneux, P., Williams, T. D., & Love, O. P. (2018). Higher rates of prebreeding condition gain positively impacts clutch size: A mechanistic test of the condition-dependent individual optimization model. *Functional Ecology*, 32, 2019–2028. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1365-2435.13133
- Hennin, H. L., Legagneux, P., Bêty, J., Williams, T. D., Gilchrist, H. G., Baker, T. M., & Love, O. P. (2015). Pre-breeding energetic management in a mixed-strategy breeder. *Oecologia*, 177, 235–243. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00442-014-3145-x
- Hennin, H. L., Wells-berlin, A. M., & Love, O. P. (2016). Baseline glucocorticoids are drivers of body mass gain in a diving seabird. *Ecology and Evolution*, 6, 1702–1711. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1999
- Holberton, R. L. (1999). Changes in patterns of corticosterone secretion concurrent with migratory fattening in a Neotropical migratory bird. General and Comparative Endocrinology, 116, 49–58. https:// doi.org/10.1006/gcen.1999.7336
- Imlay, T. L., Angelier, F., Hobson, K. A., Mastromonaco, G., Saldanha, S., & Leonard, M. L. (2019). Multiple intrinsic markers identify carryover effects from wintering to breeding sites for three Nearctic-Neotropical migrant swallows. *The Auk*, 136, 1–15. https://doi. org/10.1093/auk/ukz053
- Jamieson, S. E., Gilchrist, H. G., Merkel, F. R., Diamond, A. W., & Falk, K. (2005). Endogenous reserve dynamics of northern common eiders wintering in Greenland. *Polar Biology*, 29, 585–594. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00300-005-0093-2
- Jean-Gagnon, F., Legagneux, P., Gilchrist, H. G., Bélanger, S., Love, O. P., & Bêty, J. (2018). The impact of sea ice conditions on breeding decisions is modulated by body condition in an arctic partial capital breeder. *Oecologia*, 186, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s0044 2-017-4002-5
- Johnson, A. S., Perz, J., Nol, E., & Senner, N. R. (2016). Dichotomous strategies? The migration of Whimbrels breeding in the eastern Canadian sub-Arctic. *Journal of Field Ornithology*, 87, 371–383. https://doi.org/10.1111/jofo.12173
- Lamarre, V., Franke, A., Love, O. P., Legagneux, P., & Bêty, J. (2017). Linking pre-laying energy allocation and timing of breeding in a migratory arctic raptor. *Oecologia*, 183, 653–666. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00442-016-3797-9
- Larsen, J., & Guillemette, M. (2000). Influence of annual variation in food supply on abundance of wintering common eiders Somateria mollissima. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 201, 301–309. https://doi. org/10.3354/meps201301
- Lefcheck, J. S. (2016). PiecewiseSEM: Piecewise structural equation modelling in R for ecology, evolution, and systematics. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 7, 573–579.
- Lefcheck, J. S. (2020). Structural equation modeling in R for ecology and evolution. Retrieved from https://cran.r-project.org/web/packa ges/piecewiseSEM/vignettes/piecewiseSEM.html
- Lefcheck, J. S., Byrnes, J. & Grace, J. (2019). piecewiseSEM. R package version 2.1.0.

- Legagneux, P., Hennin, H. L., Gilchrist, H. G., Williams, T. D., Love, O. P., & Bêty, J. (2016). Unpredictable perturbation reduces breeding propensity regardless of pre-laying reproductive readiness in a partial capital breeder. *Journal of Avian Biology*, 47(6), 880–886. https:// doi.org/10.1111/jav.00824
- Lehikoinen, A., Kilpi, M., & Öst, M. (2006). Winter climate affects subsequent breeding success of common eiders. *Global Change Biology*, 12, 1355–1365. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01162.x
- Love, O. P., Gilchrist, H. G., Descamps, S., Semeniuk, C. A. D., & Bêty, J. (2010). Pre-laying climatic cues can time reproduction to optimally match offspring hatching and ice conditions in an Arctic marine bird. *Oecologia*, 164, 277–286. https://doi.org/10.1007/s0044 2-010-1678-1
- Love, O. P., Madliger, C. L., Bourgeon, S., Semeniuk, C. A., & Williams, T. D. (2014). Evidence for baseline glucocorticoids as mediators of reproductive investment in a wild bird. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 199, 65–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ygcen.2014.01.001
- Martin, T. E. (1987). Food as a limit on breeding birds: A life-history perspective. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 18, 453–487. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.18.110187.002321
- Meijer, T. H. E., & Drent, R. (1999). Re-examination of the capital and income dichotomy in breeding birds. *Ibis*, 141, 399–414. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.1999.tb04409.x
- Merkel, F. R., Jamieson, S. E., Falk, K., & Mosbech, A. (2007). The diet of common eiders wintering in Nuuk, Southwest Greenland. *Polar Biology*, 30, 227–234. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-006-0176-8
- Merkel, F. R., Mosbech, A., Sonne, C., Flagstad, A., Falk, K., & Jamieson, S. E. (2006). Local movements, home ranges and body condition of common eiders *Somateria mollissima* wintering in Southwest Greenland. *Ardea*, 94, 639–650.
- Mosbech, A., Gilchrist, H. G., Merkel, F. R., Sonne, C., Flagstad, A., & Nyegaard, H. (2006). Year-round movements of northern common eiders *Somateria mollissima borealis* breeding in Arctic Canada and West Greenland followed by satellite telemetry. *Ardea*, 94, 651-665.
- NOAA (2018). North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO). National Centers for Environmental Information. Retrieved from https://www.ncdc. NOAA.gov/teleconnections/nao/ [accessed 9 June 2018].
- Norris, D. R. (2005). Carry-over effects and habitat quality in migratory populations. *Oikos*, 109, 178–186. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2005.13671.x
- Norris, D. R., Marra, P. P., Kyser, T. K., Sherry, T. W., & Ratcliffe, L. M. (2004). Tropical winter habitat limits reproductive success on the temperate breeding grounds in a migratory bird. *Proceedings* of the Royal Society Biological Sciences, 271, 59–64. https://doi. org/10.1098/rspb.2003.2569
- O'Connor, C. M., Norris, D. R., Crossin, G. T., & Cooke, S. J. (2014). Biological carryover effects: Linking common concepts and mechanisms in ecology and evolution. *Ecosphere*, *5*, 1-11. https://doi. org/10.1890/ES13-00388.1
- Ockendon, N., Leech, D., & Pearce-Higgins, J. W. (2013). Climatic effects on breeding grounds are more important drivers of breeding phenology in migrant birds than carry-over effects from wintering grounds. *Biology Letters*, *9*, 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2013.0669
- Oosterhuis, R., & Van Dijk, K. (2002). Effect of food shortage on the reproductive output of common eiders *Somateria mollissima* breeding at Greind (Wadden Sea). *Atlantic Seabirds*, 4, 29–38.
- Öst, M., Lindén, A., Karell, P., Ramula, S., & Kilpi, M. (2018). To breed or not to breed: Drivers of intermittent breeding in a seabird under increasing predation risk and male bias. *Oecologia*, 188, 129–138.
- Pelletier, D., Guillemette, M., Grandbois, J. M., & Butler, P. J. (2008). To fly or not to fly: High flight costs in a large sea duck do not imply an expensive lifestyle. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 275, 2117–2124.

- Perrins, C. M. (1970). The timing of birds' breeding seasons. *Ibis*, 112, 242–255. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.1970.tb00096.x
- Provencher, J. F., Forbes, M. R., Hennin, H. L., Love, O. P., Braune, B. M., Mallory, M. L., & Gilchrist, H. G. (2016). Implications of mercury and lead concentrations on breeding physiology and phenology in an Arctic bird. *Environmental Pollution*, 218, 1014–1022. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.08.052
- Ramírez, F., Tarroux, A., Hovinen, J., Navarro, J., Afán, I., Forero, M. G., & Descamps, S. (2017). Sea ice phenology and primary productivity pulses shape breeding success in Arctic seabirds. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-04775-6
- Reed, T. E., Harris, M. P., & Wanless, S. (2015). Skipped breeding in common guillemots in a changing climate: Restraint or constraint? *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *3*, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fevo.2015.00001
- Rigou, Y., & Guillemette, M. (2010). Foraging efforts and pre-laying strategy in breeding common eiders. *Waterbirds*, *33*, 314–322.
- Rockwell, S. M., Bocetti, C. I., & Marra, P. P. (2012). Carry-over effects of winter climate on spring arrival date and reproductive success in an endangered migratory bird, Kirtland's warbler (*Setophaga kirtlandii*). *The Auk*, 129, 744–752.
- Rowe, L., Ludwig, D., & Schluter, D. (1994). Time, condition, and the seasonal decline of avian clutch size. *The American Naturalist*, 143, 698– 722. https://doi.org/10.1086/285627
- Schultz, E. T., Clifton, L. M., & Warner, R. R. (1991). Energetic constraints and size-based tactics: The adaptive significance of breedingschedule variation in a marine fish (Embiotocidae: *Micrometrus minimus*). *The American Naturalist*, 138, 1408–1430. https://doi. org/10.1086/285294
- Sénéchal, E., Bêty, J., & Gilchrist, H. G. (2011a). Interactions between lay date, clutch size, and postlaying energetic needs in a capital breeder. *Behavioural Ecology*, 22, 162–168. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/ arq189
- Sénéchal, E., Bêty, J., Gilchrist, H. G., Hobson, K. A., & Jamieson, S. E. (2011b). Do purely capital layers exist among flying birds? Evidence of exogenous contribution to Arctic-nesting common eider eggs. *Oecologia*, 165, 593–604. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-010-1853-4
- Senner, N. R., Hochachka, W. M., Fox, J. W., & Afanasyev, V. (2014). An exception to the rule: Carry-over effects do not accumulate in a long-distance migratory bird. *PLoS One*, 9, e86588. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0086588
- Shaw, A. K., & Levin, S. A. (2013). The evolution of intermittent breeding. Mathematical Biology, 66, 685–703. https://doi.org/10.1007/s0028 5-012-0603-0
- Shertzer, K. W., & Ellner, S. P. (2002). State-dependent energy allocation in variable environments: Life history evolution of a rotifer. *Ecology*, *83*, 2181–2193. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2002)083[2181:SDEAIV]2.0.CO;2
- Shipley, B. (2013). The AIC model selection method applied to path analytic models compared using a d-separation test. *Ecology*, 94, 560–564. https://doi.org/10.1890/12-0976.1
- Shoji, A., Aris-Brosou, S., Culina, A., Fayet, A., Kirk, H., Padget, O., Juarez-Martinez, I., Boyle, D., Nakata, T., Perrins, C. M., & Guilford,

T. (2015). Breeding phenology and winter activity predict subsequent breeding success in a trans-global migratory seabird. *Biology Letters*, 11, 20150671. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2015.0671

- Sorensen, M. C., Hipfner, J. M., Kyser, T. K., & Norris, D. R. (2009). Carry-over effects in a Pacific seabird: Stable isotope evidence that pre-breeding diet quality influences reproductive success. *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, *78*, 460–467. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008.01492.x
- Steenweg, R. J., Crossin, G. T., Kyser, T. K., Merkel, F. R., Gilchrist, H. G., Hennin, H. L., Robertson, G. J., Provencher, J. F., Mills Flemming, J., & Love, O. P. (2017). Stable isotopes can be used to infer the overwintering locations of prebreeding marine birds in the Canadian Arctic. *Ecology and Evolution*, 7(21), 8742–8752. https://doi. org/10.1002/ece3.3410
- Steenweg, R. J., Legagneux, P., Crossin, G. T., Gilchrist, H. G., Kyser, T. K., & Love, O. P. (2019). Stable isotopes of carbon reveal flexible pairing strategies in a migratory Arctic bird. *Journal of Ornithology*, 160, 607-616. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10336-019-01661-y
- Szostek, K. L., & Becker, P. H. (2015). Survival and local recruitment are driven by environmental carry-over effects from the wintering area in a migratory seabird. *Oecologia*, 178, 643–657. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00442-015-3298-2
- Tamisier, A., Allouche, L., Aubry, F., & Dehorter, O. (1995). Wintering strategies and breeding success: Hypothesis for a trade-off in some waterfowl species. Wildfowl, 46, 76–88.
- Tan, P.-N., Steinbach, M., & Kumar, V. (2006). Chap 8: Cluster analysis: Basic concepts and algorithms. Introduction to data mining (pp. 489– 567). Pearson Addison Wesley.
- van Noordwijk, A., & de Jong, G. (1986). Acquisition and allocation of resources: Their influence on variation in life history tactics. The American Naturalist, 128, 137–142. https://doi.org/10.1086/284547
- Van Oudenhove, L., Gauthier, G., & Lebreton, J. D. (2014). Year-round effects of climate on demographic parameters of an arctic-nesting goose species. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *83*, 1322–1333. https:// doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12230
- Williams, T. D. (2012). Physiological adaptations for breeding in birds. Princeton University Press.
- Williams, T. D., Bourgeon, S., Cornell, A., Ferguson, L., Fowler, M., Fronstin, R. B., & Love, O. P. (2015). Mid-winter temperatures, not spring temperatures, predict breeding phenology in the European starling *Sturnus vulgaris. Royal Society Open Science*, 2, 140301.

How to cite this article: Steenweg, R. J., Crossin, G. T., Hennin, H. L., Gilchrist, H. G., & Love, O. P. (2022). Favorable spring conditions can buffer the impact of winter carryover effects on a key breeding decision in an Arctic-breeding seabird. *Ecology and Evolution*, 12, e8588. <u>https://doi.</u> org/10.1002/ece3.8588