

Aalborg Universitet

The Effects of 12-Weeks Whey Protein Supplements on Markers of Bone Turnover in Adults With Abdominal Obesity – A Post Hoc Analysis

Fuglsang-Nielsen, Rasmus; Rakvaag, Elin; Vestergaard, Peter; Hermansen, Kjeld; Gregersen, Søren; Starup-Linde, Jakob

Published in: Frontiers in Endocrinology

DOI (link to publication from Publisher): 10.3389/fendo.2022.832897

Creative Commons License CC BY 4.0

Publication date: 2022

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA): Fuglsang-Nielsen, R., Rakvaag, E., Vestergaard, P., Hermansen, K., Gregersen, S., & Starup-Linde, J. (2022). The Effects of 12-Weeks Whey Protein Supplements on Markers of Bone Turnover in Adults With Abdominal Obesity – A *Post Hoc* Analysis. *Frontiers in Endocrinology*, *13*, [832897]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2022.832897

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





The Effects of 12-Weeks Whey Protein Supplements on Markers of Bone Turnover in Adults With Abdominal Obesity – A *Post Hoc* Analysis

Rasmus Fuglsang-Nielsen^{1,2*}, Elin Rakvaag¹, Peter Vestergaard^{3,4,5}, Kjeld Hermansen^{1,6}, Søren Gregersen^{1,2} and Jakob Starup-Linde^{1,2}

¹ Department of Endocrinology and Internal Medicine, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark, ² Steno Diabetes Center Aarhus, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark, ³ Steno Diabetes Center North Jutland, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark, ⁴ Department of Endocrinology, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark, ⁵ Department of Clinical Medicine, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark, ⁶ Department of Clinical Medicine, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Antonino Catalano, University of Messina, Italy

Reviewed by:

Antonino Di Benedetto, University of Messina, Italy Stefan Pilz, Medical University of Graz, Austria

*Correspondence:

Rasmus Fuglsang-Nielsen rasnis@rm.dk

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Clinical Diabetes, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

Received: 10 December 2021 Accepted: 28 February 2022 Published: 29 March 2022

Citation:

Fuglsang-Nielsen R, Rakvaag E, Vestergaard P, Hermansen K, Gregersen S and Starup-Linde J (2022) The Effects of 12-Weeks Whey Protein Supplements on Markers of Bone Turnover in Adults With Abdominal Obesity – A Post Hoc Analysis. Front. Endocrinol. 13:832897. **Background:** While osteoporosis is characterized by skeletal fragility due to increased bone turnover and low bone mineral density (BMD), subjects with abdominal obesity and type-2 diabetes have increased risk of bone fractures despite low bone turnover and increased BMD. Diets with increased protein content are reported to increase bone turnover in healthy adults and may be a point of interest in preserving bone strength in subjects with abdominal obesity and/or type-2 diabetes.

Methods: We examined the effect of 12-weeks dietary intervention on bone turnover in 64 adults with abdominal obesity using data from the MERITS trial. The trial was a randomized, controlled, double blinded study in which participants were allocated to receive either 60 g/d of whey protein hydrolysate or maltodextrin in combination with either high (30 g/d) or low dietary fiber intake (10 g/d). Primarily, we assessed changes in plasma markers of bone turnover Procollagen type 1 N-terminal propeptide (p1NP), C-terminal telopeptide type-1 collagen (CTX), and parathyroid hormone (PTH) within the four intervention groups. In addition, we measured u-calcium and u-carbamide excretion, 25(OH)D, and BMD by whole body DXA scans. Finally, we compared changes in insulin resistance (Homeostasis-model assessment of insulin resistance, HOMA-IR) with changes in bone turnover markers. The trial was registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov as NCT02931630.

Results: Sixty-four subjects were included in the study. We did not find any effect of twelve weeks of high protein or high fiber intake on plasma levels of P1NP or CTX. There was a nonsignificant positive association between protein intake and PTH levels (p=0.06). U-calcium and u-carbamide increased in both protein groups. There was a positive association between change in HOMA-IR and PTH (p=0.042), while changes in P1NP and CTX did not associate to changes in HOMA-IR.

1

Conclusion: Twelve weeks of increased whey protein intake in subjects with abdominal obesity did not affect markers of bone turnover significantly, although tended to increase PTH levels. Dietary fiber intake did not affect bone turnover. We report a positive association between change in HOMA-IR and PTH supporting a hypothesis of insulin resistance as a potential key factor in the expanding field of bone fragility in T2D subjects.

Keywords: bone turnover, abdominal obesity, dietary protein, dietary fiber, insulin resistance

INTRODUCTION

A diet rich in protein may be beneficial to skeletal properties, since bone structure largely consists of proteins integrated within the organic collagen matrix during mineralization. Nevertheless, the effect of high protein diets remains poorly established when it comes to bone health (1).

Most studies on protein diets and bone health are performed on healthy or postmenopausal women, where an increased risk of fractures relates to increased bone turnover with resulting loss of bone mass. However, in dysmetabolic conditions dominated by insulin resistance such as abdominal obesity, metabolic syndrome or prediabetes and type 2 diabetes, the determination of bone properties seems to be quite different. Contrasting to postmenopausal osteoporosis, bone health is dominated by low bone turnover (2–7), which may lead to unrepaired microfractures and poor bone quality (8).

Formerly, obesity was considered a protective feature against fractures due to mechanical protective properties of subcutaneous fat in the hip region and an increased bone density from increased mechanical load. Within the last decades, this assumption has been challenged (9, 10). Although body mass index (BMI) is positively associated with BMD (10), the risk of fracture is not reduced with increasing BMI (11–13). More recent findings actually associate abdominal obesity (14–16) and T2D (4, 8, 17) with increased risk of fractures.

Some studies indicate that a diet rich in protein may increase bone turnover. In 2015, Kerstetter et al. found that 18 months of whey protein isolate supplements (45 g/d) versus isocaloric maltodextrin induced an increase in CTX and preserved fatfree mass in elderly men and women with BMI from 19 to 32 kg/ $\rm m^2$ (18). In 2017, Heer et al. found 60 days of increased protein intake (1.45 g/kg/d + 0.72 g/d branched chain amino acids versus 1 g protein/kg/d) to increase P1NP and CTX, N-terminal telopeptide (NTX) and Tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) in bedridden women (19).

Studies on long-term protein supplements and bone are primarily performed on subjects with osteoporosis - a disease in which the increased fracture risk is based on elevated bone turnover and thus very different from obesity and T2D (20). The effect of whey protein per se on bone turnover markers is only known in the acute setting, in which bone turnover is lowered which is the case for all macronutrients (21). Whey protein is known for its insulinotropic abilities compared to other protein sources in the acute settings (22). However, the long-term effect of protein intake on bone turnover in insulin resistant subjects is

not elucidated. In the present study we investigated whether long-term whey protein intake stimulate bone turnover.

Using data from the MERITS trial (23), we examined if increased intake of whey protein for 12 weeks would increase bone turnover in prediabetic subjects with abdominal obesity.

Moreover, we aimed to examine the association between change in bone turnover and insulin resistance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Participants

The present study was part of the MERITS trial, described in detail previously (23). The trial was a controlled intervention study in which 65 subjects with abdominal obesity completed 12 weeks of dietary supplement with either whey protein (WP) or maltodextrin (MD) in combination with either high (HiFi) or low (LoFi) dietary fiber diet. The primary aim of the MERITS study was to assess changes within lipid metabolism, whereas secondary aims included changes in bone turnover.

We recruited 73 subjects with age \geq 40 years with abdominal circumference \geq 94 cm (men) or \geq 80 cm (women). Exclusion criteria included osteoporosis, diabetes, severe renal, cardiovascular, or psychiatric illness or medical treatment with hormonal replacement therapy or corticosteroids. We allowed for the continued use of regular medication, including vitamin D and calcium supplements, if no changes occurred during the trial or three months prior to inclusion.

By blocks of eight, we randomized the participants by age and sex into one of four groups: WP + high fiber (WP-HiFi), WP + low fiber (WP-LoFi), maltodextrin + high fiber (MD-HiFi), or maltodextrin + low fiber (MD-LoFi).

For a period of 12 weeks, the participants received powder supplements twice daily (2 x 30 g of WP or MD). Furthermore, participants were to substitute bread and cereal products of their normal diet with test products (bread and cereals) of either high or low fiber content aiming at a fiber intake of 30 g (HiFi) or 10 g (LoFi) fiber per day from test products.

Arla Foods Ingredients Group P/S (Viby, Denmark) provided the WP hydrolysate (Lacprodan HYDRO.REBUILD) and MD (Glucidex 19). Lantmännen (Vaasan bakery, Vilnius, Lithuania)/Lantmännen Cerealia AB (Järna, Sweden) provided bread and cereal products.

The test products were iso-energetic (WP and MD, HiFi and LoFi). Data on nutritional composition of the test products are given elsewhere (23). Calcium content within powders was

negligible. Participants were to refrain from any change in physical activity or any further change in dietary intake during the study, as no change in weight was intended.

The HiFi bread and cereals consisted of enzyme-treated wheat bran and refined wheat, while LoFi products were based only on refined wheat. Enzyme treatment was performed by DuPont Industrial Biosciences Aps (Brabrand, Denmark) to increase fiber solubility for improved baking properties.

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975 (as revised in 1983), approved by the Central Denmark Region Committees on Health Research Ethics (Journal no. 1-10-72-370-15) and registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02931630).

Study Visits

At trial initiation, participants attended the clinic after an overnight fast (minimum 10 h) by means of car or public transportation. Blood was drawn from an antecubital vein between 7.00 and 8.30 AM. A Whole-body Dual-Energy X-ray Absorptiometry (DXA) scan (Hologic Horizon A scanner, Hologic, Inc., Massachusetts, USA using Apex System Software version 5.6.0.5) was performed to assess body composition. Whole body BMD excluding the head region is reported in this manuscript. Participants collected 24-h urine samples using 3L containers in cooling bags, which were analyzed for carbamide and calcium. The procedures were repeated after 12 weeks of dietary intervention.

Participants picked up the intervention products at the test site with regular intervals.

Dietary adherence was assessed by self-reported 3-day weighed dietary records at the beginning, middle and end of the trial, and by measuring urinary carbamide and plasma alkylresorcinols (markers of dietary protein and fiber intake, respectively).

Blood Analysis

Blood samples were centrifuged at $2000 \times g$ for 15 min at 4 °C, immediately frozen at -20 °C and moved to -80 °C within 8 h. All fasting values were calculated as the mean of three consecutive fasting blood samples.

Plasma glucose was measured on Cobas c111-system by standard enzymatic colorimetric assays using commercial kits (cat. 04657527, Roche Diagnostics GmbH). Intra-/inter-assay precision were between 0.8–1.1% and 0.5–0.6%. Plasma insulin was measured by ELISA technique using commercial kits (cat. K6219, Dako Denmark A/S) with intra-/inter-assay precision of 5.1–7.5% and 4.2–9.3%.

Serum CTX, P1NP, and PTH were measured on Cobas 6000 e 601 system using ELISA sandwich immunometric assays method (Roche Diagnostics GmbH). Intra-/inter-assay precision was 1.4–3.2%/1.9–3.4% for P1NP, 1.2–4.7%/1.5–5.7% for CTX, and 1.1–2.0%/1.7–3.4% for PTH.

Plasma ionized calcium, phosphate, magnesium, alkaline phosphatase, 25(OH)D, glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and urinary calcium and carbamide were analyzed at the Department of Clinical Biochemistry at Aarhus University Hospital, Denmark (DS/EN ISO 15189:2013 approved).

All analyses were pre-specified at the project origin.

Statistical Analysis

The final analysis included only participants who completed the trial.

Baseline values are displayed as means with SD unless otherwise indicated.

Two-factor ANOVA was used to assess any effect or interaction of protein or fiber intake on markers of bone turnover. Any significant effect was followed by a pairwise comparison of groups corrected for multiple comparisons by Tukey-Kramer method. Estimates were adjusted for age and sex.

Linear regression analysis was used to determine associations between change in insulin resistance and bone turnover markers in all subjects. Assumptions of linear regression were checked using scatter plots, QQ plots and histograms of the residuals. The regression analyses were not corrected for multiple testing due to the exploratory nature of the study and the intent to generate new hypotheses.

Normality and variance across groups was checked by diagnostic plots of the residuals. If these were not met, the dependent variable was log-transformed.

Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance was calculated by the formula: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L) x fasting plasma insulin (mU/L)/22.5.

All statistics were performed using STATA/IC 15.1 (StataCorp LP College Station, TX, USA).

Power calculations for sample size were based on expected change in postprandial triglycerides, which was the primary aim of the MERITS trial (23).

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics by randomization group are listed in **Table 1**. Further baseline values of the various outcomes are displayed in **Table 2**.

Study flow chart and dietary intake reports have previously been reported (23). In brief, 64 participants were included in the present study (one participant excluded due to osteoporosis). Two subjects dropped out due to dislike of test products. Test products were otherwise well tolerated. By self-reported, weighed, 3-d dietary intake reports, compliance was deemed high. The mean protein intake in the WP groups was 141.6 (16.5) g/d versus 86.8 (18.1) g/d in MD groups. Mean fiber intake was 34.6 (4.9) g/d in HiFi groups versus 16.0 (5.2) g/d in LoFi groups. The reports were supported by levels of plasma alkylresorcinol and urinary carbamide as markers of fiber and protein intake (23).

Table 2 shows baseline values and changes following the 12-week intervention within the four groups. Twelve weeks of high protein and/or high fiber intake did not affect levels of P1NP or CTX. Likewise, we did not find any change in PTH. However, as 25(OH)D is known to strongly affect PTH levels, we adjusted for change in 25(OH)D, which modified the results, showing a negative trend between protein intake and PTH levels (p=0.06).

As expected, we found an increased u-calcium and u-carbamide in both protein groups.

TABLE 1 | Baseline characteristics by randomization group.

	WP-LoFi	WP-HiFi	MD-LoFi	MD-HiFi
Subjects (n)	15	16	16	17
Age (years) ¹	67 (60,69)	65 (59, 69)	62 (58, 68)	64 (56, 67)
Sex (male/female)	9/6	7/9	8/8	7/10
Smoking (n)	2	0	0	2
BMI, kg/m ²	28 (4)	29 (2)	30 (4)	29 (4)
Metabolic syndrome (n)	10	9	8	7
Obesity, BMI>30 (n)	6	5	9	5
Postmenopausal (n)	4	8	6	8
p-calcium, ionized (mmol/L)	1.27 (0.04)	1.28 (0.03)	1.26 (0.04)	1.27 (0.03)
p-magnesium (mmol/L)	0.86 (0.06)	0.86 (0.04)	0.85 (0.05)	0.88 (0.05)
p-phosphate (mmol/L)	0.94 (0.16)	1.00 (0.12)	0.91 (0.24)	0.96 (0.15)
p-alkaline phosphatase (U/L)	77.1 (20.0)	84.9 (26.7)	72.3 (18.5)	75.8 (20.9)
p-eGFR (ml/min)	84.5 (5.8)	77.9 (10.7)	82.8 (9.2)	82.1 (8.5)

Values are means (SD) unless otherwise specified. 1 Median (25th and 75th centile).

WP, whey protein; MD, Maltodextrin; LoFi, low fiber; HiFi, high fiber; eGR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.

There was a non-significant negative association (p=0.06) between change in dietary fiber intake and change in 25(OH)D.

The intervention did not affect insulin resistance within the four groups (additional data on insulin resistance reported elsewhere (24)). **Table 3** displays the association between change in insulin resistance and change in bone turnover markers by linear regression analysis in all subjects. There was a positive association between change in HOMA-IR and PTH, while changes in P1NP and CTX did not associate to changes in HOMA-IR. The change in PTH was not associated with changes in CTX or P1NP (p=0.24, p=0.26).

DISCUSSION

It is a common belief, that bone health is influenced by dietary habits. In the standard treatment of osteoporosis (25), a bone healthy diet aiming at avoiding calcium and vitamin D deficiency, is recommended. However, when minerals and vitamins are accounted for, the effect of everyday meals on bone health remains sparse. The introduction of circulating bone turnover markers has provided a simple way of assessing the acute bone response to various stimuli. Ingestion of food suppresses the immediate bone turnover (21, 26–28), however

TABLE 2 | Baseline values and changes following 12-weeks of dietary intervention.

	WP-LoFi	WP-HiFi	MD-LoFi	MD-HiFi	Two-factor ANOVA, p value		
					Protein group	Fiber group	Inter-action
P1NP, baseline ¹ (µg/L)	47.2 (32.9, 65.7)	44.8 (35.1, 57.6)	36.8 (30.3, 45.9)	56.5 (40.1, 61.3)			
P1NP, change(µg/L)	-0.43 (11.47)	0.51 (7.35)	1.43 (5.99)	-1.93 (10.47)	0.88	0.53	0.37
P1NP, change (adj.) (µg/L) ²	-0.24 (2.38)	0.26 (2.29)	2.22 (2.36)	-2.26 (2.27)	0.93	0.37	0.26
CTX, baseline1(µg/L)	0.38 (0.23, 0.53)	0.37 (0.30, 0.49)	0.34 (0.25, 0,38)	0.34 (0.29, 0.47)			
CTX, change(µg/L)	-0.02 (0.10)	-0.01 (0.07)	-0.01 (0.08)	0.02 (0.07)	0.23	0.30	0.69
CTX, change (adj.)(µg/L) ²	-0.02 (0.02)	-0.01 (0.02)	-0.01 (0.02)	0.02 (0.02)	0.23	0.32	0.71
PTH, baseline ¹ (pmol/L)	4.0 (3.5, 5.5)	4.2 (3.3, 4.7)	3.7 (3.4, 4.8)	3.9 (3.4, 4.9)			
PTH, change(pmol/L)	-0.1 (0.7)	-0.0 (0.8)	0.2 (0.8)	0.1 (0.6)	0.15	0.96	0.54
PTH, change (adj.)(pmol/L) ²	-0.14 (0.17)	-0.07 (0.16)	0.43 (0.17)	-0.02 (0.17)	0.06	0.26	0.12
HOMA-IR, baseline	1.92 (0.89)	1.83 (0.83)	2.15 (0.81)	1.50 (0.82)			
HOMA-IR, change	0.05 (0.76)	-0.12 (0.36)	0.27 (0.53)	0.10 (0.37)	0.10	0.25	0.98
U-calcium, baseline (mmol/d)	4.12 (1.33)	3.88 (2.58)	3.63 (1.50)	4.36 (2.54)			
U-calcium, change (mmol/d)	1.12 (1.29)*	0.34 (1.09)	-0.03 (1.61)	-0.28 (1.83)	0.03	0.23	0.50
U-carbamide, baseline (mmol/d)	382 (103)	302 (117)	363 (150)	320 (132)			
U-carbamide, change (mmol/d)	208 (33)*a	212 (30)*a	-36 (31) ^b	60 (29)* ^b	<0.01	0.11	0.13
T-score, baseline	-0.9 (1.5)	-0.96 (1.0)	-0.6 (1.05)	-1.15 (1.17)			
T-score, change	0.06 (0.14)	-0.03 (0.12)	0.10 (0.28)*	0.05 (0.15)	0.25	0.20	0.74
BMD, baseline	1.09 (0.14)	1.07 (0.10)	1.10 (0.11)	1.05 (0.12)			
BMD, change	0.01 (0.01)	-0.003 (0.01)	0.005 (0.02)	0.005 (0.01)	0.31	0.33	0.23
25(OH)D, basline (nmol/L)	64.1 (26.4)	74.8 (26.7)	60.8 (25.3)	75.4 (22.5)			
25(OH)D, change (nmol/L)	2.3 (12.6)	1.1 (13.9)	11.8 (24.9)*	-3.8 (16.9)	0.71	0.06	0.12

All values are means (SD) unless otherwise stated. Values within a row with different superscript letters are statistically different (p<0.05). P-values <0.05 are displayed in bold.

1 Median (25th and 75th centile).

WP, whey protein; MD, Maltodextrin; LoFi, low fiber; HiFi, high fiber; P1NP, procollagen type 1 N-terminal propeptide; CTX, C-terminal cross-linked telopeptide type 1 collagen; PTH, parathyroid hormone; HOMA-IR, Homeostatic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance; BMD, bone mineral density; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxy vitamin D.

²Adjusted for change in 25(OH)D. Values given as mean change (SE),

TABLE 3 | Multiple linear regression analysis of change in insulin resistance by HOMA-IR and change in bone markers.

	Coefficient	95% CI	p-value
ΔΡ1ΝΡ	-0.01	(-0.02, 0.01)	0.29
ΔP1NP adjusted (sex)	-0.01	(-0.02, 0.01)	0.33
ΔP1NP adjusted (sex, 25(OH)D)	-0.01	(-0.02, 0.01)	0.27
ΔCTX	0.42	(-1.27, 2.11)	0.62
ΔCTX adjusted (sex)	0.29	(-1.43, 2.01)	0.74
ΔCTX adjusted (sex, 25(OH)D)	0.25	(-1.48, 1.99)	0.77
ΔΡΤΗ	0.19	(0.01, 0.37)	0.038
ΔPTH adjusted (sex)	0.19	(0.02, 0.37)	0.033
ΔPTH adjusted (sex, 25(OH)D)	0.32	(0.01, 0.63)	0.042

P1NP, procollagen type 1 N-terminal propeptide; CTX, C-terminal cross-linked telopeptide type 1 collagen; PTH, parathyroid hormone; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxy vitamin D. P-values < 0.05 are displayed in bold.

the long-term effect of daily meals of varying composition on bone health is not well characterized.

Diets of increased protein content are generally advised to preserve bone mass (29–31), although this recommendation is primarily based on pooled evidence from studies showing no adverse effects and at best, a modest reduction in fracture risk (32–34). Most studies are performed in healthy subjects or subjects with postmenopausal osteoporosis.

In type 2 diabetes, abdominal obesity and metabolic syndrome, bone turnover is low - in contrast to postmenopausal osteoporosis, where bone turnover is increased. Fracture risk is however increased, and the low bone turnover is suggested to accumulate microfractures and make the bone more fragile. Thus, increasing bone turnover in type 2 diabetes, abdominal obesity and metabolic syndrome may be beneficial for bone health. Very little research, if any, explore the long-term effects of diet and especially enhanced protein intake on bone within weight stable subjects with type 2 diabetes, abdominal obesity or metabolic syndrome.

We hypothesized that the increase in protein intake would lead to increased bone turnover. This was however not the case. Both bone formation and degradation markers remained unchanged after 12 weeks of whey protein versus placebo. Although, we did observe a negative trend for an association between protein intake and PTH levels (p=0.06). In normal weight subjects, low protein diets are known to lead to secondary increase in PTH which is believed to relate to reduced intestinal calcium absorption and increased bone turnover (35). Likewise, in healthy women increased protein intake is reported to lead to decreased PTH (36), which is consistent with our findings.

We report an increase in urinary calcium excretion in the protein group. It is well known that increased protein intake induces increased urinary calcium excretion (37). Increased calcium absorption from the gut (seemingly independent of calcium intake) and bone degradation have been proposed as potential mechanisms, although this remains widely debated. An increased absorption of calcium from the gut may explain our borderline significant negative association between protein intake and PTH. In the current study, the calcium intake from test products was negligible, as WP hydrolysate only added 6 mg/d of extra calcium (data not displayed). The finding of an increased urinary calcium excretion in the protein group concurs with existing evidence.

Thus, a protein-rich diet in subjects with abdominal obesity appears to affect calcium homeostasis similarly to normal weight individuals.

The secondary hypothesis of the study was that insulin resistance and bone turnover are inversely associated. We did however not observe any difference in insulin sensitivity by HOMA-IR or bone markers between groups. In a similar dietary intervention study on type 2 diabetic subjects a high protein diet improved HbA1c but not HOMA-IR, indicating that primarily postprandial insulin sensitivity was affected (38), which is not reflected in HOMA-IR. In our study, postprandial insulin sensitivity assessed by Matsuda index was however unaffected (data shown elsewhere (24),). As the present study was primarily designed to identify changes in the lipid metabolism and not in bone markers or insulin resistance, we may not have had enough subjects to obtain significant changes, which naturally is a weakness of this post hoc study.

As formerly mentioned, insulin resistant subjects with type 2 diabetes are reported to have low bone turnover (3). We previously reported associations between decreased levels of bone turnover markers and insulin resistance in non-diabetic subjects with abdominal obesity (5). In the current study, we hoped to see bone turnover increase in subjects that became more insulin sensitive, and potentially vice versa, assuming that the regulatory mechanism is reversible. This was not the case, as we did not see any association between change in P1NP or CTX with change in HOMA-IR. We did find an association between increase in PTH and increase in insulin resistance in all subjects independent of the dietary intervention. This finding is interesting as it aligns with previous reports were hyperparathyroidism is associated with diabetes and insulin resistance (39). How the association between PTH and insulin resistance relates to a reduced bone turnover in patients with type 2 diabetes is not well understood, but a possible mechanism may be elicited by osteocytic dysfunction with excess production of sclerostin (8).

We report the findings of a *post hoc* analysis of a randomized controlled trial. Thus, no power calculation was performed on bone turnover markers which is a limitation of the current study. As we did not observe a trend on changes in markers of bone turnover, we do not expect that a larger sample would have revealed any differences in CTX or P1NP between the groups. It is possible that a population of increased insulin resistance and lower bone turnover at baseline, such as T2D subjects, would

have increased the chances of detecting changes in bone turnover. We assume our study duration is too short to induce changes in BMD. Furthermore, we have not measured BMD at regional sites (e.g. lumbar spine and hip). We found a nonsignificant increase in 25(OH)D within the LoFi groups (p=0.06), that was most likely due to lower baseline values in these groups. Any seasonable variation during the 13 months the trial ran (from May 2016 to June 2017), was expected similar within groups because of the continuous block randomization.

CONCLUSION

The current study did not find an effect of long-term supplementation of dietary protein or fiber on bone turnover in subjects with abdominal obesity. PTH tended to associate negatively with protein intake, although bone turnover markers remained unaffected. A high protein intake induced increased urinary calcium excretion, unrelated to increased calcium intake. We did find an association between measures of insulin resistance and levels of circulating PTH levels, which supports the hypothesis that insulin resistance may be key to understand the low bone turnover and increased bone fragility observed in subjects with T2D.

More long-term studies on diet and the bone turnover of subjects with type 2 diabetes, abdominal obesity and metabolic syndrome are needed.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

REFERENCES

- Fuglsang-Nielsen R, Starup-Linde J, Gregersen S, Vestergaard P. The Effect of Meals on Bone Turnover - A Systematic Review With Focus on Diabetic Bone Disease. Expert Rev Endocrinol Metab (2018) 13(5):233–49. doi: 10.1080/ 17446651.2018.1518131
- Viljakainen H, Ivaska KK, Paldanius P, Lipsanen-Nyman M, Saukkonen T, Pietilainen KH, et al. Suppressed Bone Turnover in Obesity: A Link to Energy Metabolism? A Case-Control Study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab (2014) 99 (6):2155–63. doi: 10.1210/jc.2013-3097
- Hygum K, Starup-Linde J, Harslof T, Vestergaard P, Langdahl BL. MECHANISMS IN ENDOCRINOLOGY: Diabetes Mellitus, a State of Low Bone Turnover - A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Eur J Endocrinol (2017) 176(3):137–57. doi: 10.1530/EJE-16-0652
- 4. Vestergaard P. Discrepancies in Bone Mineral Density and Fracture Risk in Patients With Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes—a Meta-Analysis. Osteoporosis Int J established as result cooperation between Eur Found Osteoporosis Natl Osteoporosis Found USA (2007) 18(4):427–44. doi: 10.1007/s00198-006-0253-4
- Fuglsang-Nielsen R, Rakvaag E, Vestergaard P, Hartmann B, Holst JJ, Hermansen K, et al. Consumption of Nutrients and Insulin Resistance Suppress Markers of Bone Turnover in Subjects With Abdominal Obesity. Bone (2020) 133:115230. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2020.115230
- Guo H, Wang C, Jiang B, Ge S, Cai J, Zhou Y, et al. Association of Insulin Resistance and β-Cell Function With Bone Turnover Biomarkers in Dysglycemia Patients. Front Endocrinol (2021) 12:554604. doi: 10.3389/ fendo.2021.554604

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Central Denmark Region Committees on Health Research Ethics (Journal no. 1-10-72-370-15). The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

RF-N, ER, SG, and KH conceived and designed the study. RF-N and ER conducted the study. RF-N, PV, and JS-L analyzed the data. RF-N wrote the initial manuscript. All authors critically reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

The study was supported by a grant from Innovation Fund Denmark - MERITS (4105-00002B) and Steno Collaborative Grant, Novo Nordisk Foundation, Denmark (Grant no. NNF18OC0052064).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Eva Mølgaard Jensen and Lene Trudsø for outstanding technical assistance. We also thank Peter Reiter and Annemarie Kruse for practical assistance during the intervention.

- Kalimeri M, Leek F, Wang NX, Koh HR, Roy NC, Cameron-Smith D, et al. Association of Insulin Resistance With Bone Strength and Bone Turnover in Menopausal Chinese-Singaporean Women Without Diabetes. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* (2018) 15(5):889. doi: 10.3390/ijerph15050889
- Starup-Linde J, Hygum K, Langdahl BL. Skeletal Fragility in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Endocrinol Metab (2018) 33(3):339–51. doi: 10.3803/ EnM.2018.33.3.339
- Gonnelli S, Caffarelli C, Nuti R. Obesity and Fracture Risk. Clin Cases Miner Bone Metab Off J Ital Soc Osteoporosis Miner Metab Skeletal Dis (2014) 11 (1):9–14. doi: 10.11138/ccmbm/2014.11.1.009
- Lloyd JT, Alley DE, Hawkes WG, Hochberg MC, Waldstein SR, Orwig DL. Body Mass Index Is Positively Associated With Bone Mineral Density in US Older Adults. Arch osteoporosis (2014) 9:175. doi: 10.1007/s11657-014-0175-2
- Nielson CM, Marshall LM, Adams AL, LeBlanc ES, Cawthon PM, Ensrud K, et al. BMI and Fracture Risk in Older Men: The Osteoporotic Fractures in Men Study (MrOS). J Bone Miner Res Off J Am Soc Bone Miner Res (2011) 26 (3):496–502. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.235
- Premaor MO, Pilbrow L, Tonkin C, Parker RA, Compston J. Obesity and Fractures in Postmenopausal Women. J Bone Miner Res Off J Am Soc Bone Miner Res (2010) 25(2):292–7. doi: 10.1359/jbmr.091004
- Compston JE, Watts NB, Chapurlat R, Cooper C, Boonen S, Greenspan S, et al. Obesity Is Not Protective Against Fracture in Postmenopausal Women: GLOW. Am J Med (2011) 124(11):1043–50. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed. 2011.06.013
- Migliaccio S, Greco EA, Fornari R, Donini LM, Di Luigi L, Lenzi A. Skeletal Alterations in Women Affected by Obesity. Aging Clin Exp Res (2013) 25 (1):35–7. doi: 10.1007/s40520-013-0090-1

 Greco EA, Lenzi A, Migliaccio S. The Obesity of Bone. Ther Adv Endocrinol Metab (2015) 6(6):273–86. doi: 10.1177/2042018815611004

- Søgaard AJ, Holvik K, Omsland TK, Tell GS, Dahl C, Schei B, et al. Abdominal Obesity Increases the Risk of Hip Fracture. A Population-Based Study of 43 000 Women and Men Aged 60–79 Years Followed for 8 Years. Cohort of Norway. J Internal Med (2015) 277(3):306–17. doi: 10.1111/joim.12230
- Janghorbani M, Van Dam RM, Willett WC, Hu FB. Systematic Review of Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Risk of Fracture. Am J Epidemiol (2007) 166(5):495–505. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwm106
- Kerstetter JE, Bihuniak JD, Brindisi J, Sullivan RR, Mangano KM, Larocque S, et al. The Effect of a Whey Protein Supplement on Bone Mass in Older Caucasian Adults. J Clin Endocrinol Metab (2015) 100(6):2214–22. doi: 10.1210/jc.2014-3792
- Heer M, Baecker N, Frings-Meuthen P, Graf S, Zwart SR, Biolo G, et al. Effects of High-Protein Intake on Bone Turnover in Long-Term Bed Rest in Women. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab (2017) 42(5):537–46. doi: 10.1139/apnm-2016-0292
- Eastell R, Szulc P. Use of Bone Turnover Markers in Postmenopausal Osteoporosis. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol (2017) 5(11):908–23. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(17)30184-5
- Henriksen DB, Alexandersen P, Bjarnason NH, Vilsboll T, Hartmann B, Henriksen EE, et al. Role of Gastrointestinal Hormones in Postprandial Reduction of Bone Resorption. J Bone Miner Res Off J Am Soc Bone Miner Res (2003) 18(12):2180–9. doi: 10.1359/jbmr.2003.18.12.2180
- Holmer-Jensen J, Mortensen LS, Astrup A, de Vrese M, Holst JJ, Thomsen C, et al. Acute Differential Effects of Dietary Protein Quality on Postprandial Lipemia in Obese Non-Diabetic Subjects. Nutr Res (New York NY) (2013) 33 (1):34–40. doi: 10.1016/j.nutres.2012.11.004
- Rakvaag E, Fuglsang-Nielsen R, Bach Knudsen KE, Landberg R, Johannesson Hjelholt A, Søndergaard E, et al. Whey Protein Combined With Low Dietary Fiber Improves Lipid Profile in Subjects With Abdominal Obesity: A Randomized, Controlled Trial. Nutrients (2019) 11(9):2091. doi: 10.3390/ nu11092091
- Fuglsang-Nielsen R, Rakvaag E, Langdahl B, Knudsen KEB, Hartmann B, Holst JJ, et al. Effects of Whey Protein and Dietary Fiber Intake on Insulin Sensitivity, Body Composition, Energy Expenditure, Blood Pressure, and Appetite in Subjects With Abdominal Obesity. Eur J Clin Nutr (2021) 75 (4):611–9. doi: 10.1038/s41430-020-00759-4
- Kanis JA, Cooper C, Rizzoli R, Reginster J-Y. European Guidance for the Diagnosis and Management of Osteoporosis in Postmenopausal Women. Osteoporosis Int (2019) 30(1):3–44. doi: 10.1007/s00198-018-4704-5
- Beasley JM, LaCroix AZ, Larson JC, Huang Y, Neuhouser ML, Tinker LF, et al. Biomarker-Calibrated Protein Intake and Bone Health in the Women's Health Initiative Clinical Trials and Observational Study. Am J Clin Nutr (2014) 99 (4):934–40. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.113.076786
- Sahni S, Cupples LA, McLean RR, Tucker KL, Broe KE, Kiel DP, et al. Protective Effect of High Protein and Calcium Intake on the Risk of Hip Fracture in the Framingham Offspring Cohort. J Bone Miner Res Off J Am Soc Bone Miner Res (2010) 25(12):2770–6. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.194
- Mangano KM, Sahni S, Kerstetter JE. Dietary Protein Is Beneficial to Bone Health Under Conditions of Adequate Calcium Intake: An Update on Clinical Research. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care (2014) 17(1):69–74. doi: 10.1097/ mco.00000000000000013
- Muñoz-Garach A, García-Fontana B, Muñoz-Torres M. Nutrients and Dietary Patterns Related to Osteoporosis. *Nutrients* (2020) 12(7):1986. doi: 10.3390/nu12071986
- Groenendijk I, den Boeft L, van Loon LJC, de Groot LCPGM. High Versus Low Dietary Protein Intake and Bone Health in Older Adults: A Systematic

- Review and Meta-Analysis. Comput Struct Biotechnol J (2019) 17:1101–12. doi: 10.1016/j.csbi.2019.07.005
- 31. Rizzoli R, Biver E, Bonjour J-P, Coxam V, Goltzman D, Kanis J, et al. Benefits and Safety of Dietary Protein for Bone Health—An Expert Consensus Paper Endorsed by the European Society for Clinical and Economical Aspects of Osteopororosis, Osteoarthritis, and Musculoskeletal Diseases and by the International Osteoporosis Foundation. Osteoporosis Int (2018) 29(9):1933–48. doi: 10.1007/s00198-018-4534-5
- 32. Shams-White MM, Chung M, Du M, Fu Z, Insogna KL, Karlsen MC, et al. Dietary Protein and Bone Health: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis From the National Osteoporosis Foundation. *Am J Clin Nutr* (2017) 105 (6):1528–43. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.116.145110
- Wallace TC, Frankenfeld CL. Dietary Protein Intake Above the Current RDA and Bone Health: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Am Coll Nutr (2017) 36(6):481–96. doi: 10.1080/07315724.2017.1322924
- Darling AL, Millward DJ, Torgerson DJ, Hewitt CE, Lanham-New SA. Dietary Protein and Bone Health: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Am J Clin Nutr (2009) 90(6):1674–92. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2009.27799
- Kerstetter JE, O'Brien KO, Insogna KL. Low Protein Intake: The Impact on Calcium and Bone Homeostasis in Humans. J Nutr (2003) 133(3):855s-61s. doi: 10.1093/in/133.3.8558
- Cao JJ, Johnson LK, Hunt JR. A Diet High in Meat Protein and Potential Renal Acid Load Increases Fractional Calcium Absorption and Urinary Calcium Excretion Without Affecting Markers of Bone Resorption or Formation in Postmenopausal Women. J Nutr (2011) 141(3):391–7. doi: 10.3945/jn.110.129361
- Kerstetter JE, O'Brien KO, Insogna KL. Dietary Protein, Calcium Metabolism, and Skeletal Homeostasis Revisited. Am J Clin Nutr (2003) 78(3):584S–92S. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/78.3.584S
- Skytte MJ, Samkani A, Petersen AD, Thomsen MN, Astrup A, Chabanova E, et al. A Carbohydrate-Reduced High-Protein Diet Improves HbA1c and Liver Fat Content in Weight Stable Participants With Type 2 Diabetes: A Randomised Controlled Trial. *Diabetologia* (2019) 62(11):2066–78. doi: 10.1007/s00125-019-4956-4
- Ljunghall S, Palmér M, Akerström G, Wide L. Diabetes Mellitus, Glucose Tolerance and Insulin Response to Glucose in Patients With Primary Hyperparathyroidism Before and After Parathyroidectomy. Eur J Clin Invest (1983) 13(5):373–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2362.1983.tb00116.x

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Fuglsang-Nielsen, Rakvaag, Vestergaard, Hermansen, Gregersen and Starup-Linde. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.