DR. FRANCISCO JAVIER RODRÍGUEZ-LOZANO (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-0623-740X) PROF. JOÃO MIGUEL SANTOS (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-2865-9689) PROF. CARMEN LLENA (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-3942-2820) PROF. LEOPOLDO FORNER (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-8603-9883)

Article type : Original Scientific Article

Chemical composition and bioactivity potential of the new Endosequence BC Sealer formulation HiFlow.

FJ Rodríguez-Lozano<sup>1,2</sup>, S López-García<sup>1</sup>, D García-Bernal<sup>1</sup>, CJ Tomás-Catalá<sup>1,2</sup>, JM Santos<sup>3</sup>, LLena C<sup>4</sup>, A Lozano<sup>4</sup>, L Murcia<sup>5</sup> and L Forner<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Biomedical Research Institute of Murcia-Arrixaca, Cellular Therapy and Hematopoietic Transplant Unit IMIB-Arrixaca, University of Murcia, Murcia, <sup>2</sup>Gerodontology and Special Care in Dentistry Unit, School of Dentistry, Faculty of Medicine, University of Murcia, Murcia, <sup>3</sup>Institute of Endodontics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal, <sup>4</sup>Department of Stomatology, University de Valencia, Valencia, Spain <sup>5</sup>Department of Genetics and Microbiology, University of Murcia, Murcia, Spain.

Running Title: Calcium silicate-based sealers and Bioactivity Potential

Keywords: bioactivity potential; cytotoxicity; ion release; endodontic sealers; endodontics.

### **Corresponding author**

Dr. Francisco Javier Rodríguez Lozano. DDS, MS, PhD Clínica Odontológica Universitaria, Hospital Morales Meseguer, 1Planta, Despacho 1.24, Av. Marqués de los Vélez s/n, University of Murcia, Zip-Code: 30008, Murcia, Spain. E-mail address: fcojavier@um.es Phone number: +0034 868889518

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> <u>10.1111/IEJ.13327</u>

### Abstract

**Aim** To evaluate in a laboratory setting the effects of Endosequence BC Sealer HiFlow (Brasseler USA, Savannah, GA, USA), a novel calcium silicate-based sealer developed for use in warm canal filling techniques, on human periodontal ligament stem cells (hPDLSCs).

**Methodology** Eluates of EndoSequence BC Sealer HiFlow (BCHiF) (Brasseler USA), EndoSequence BC Sealer (BCS) (Brasseler USA) and AH Plus (AHP) (Dentsply DeTrey GmbH, Konstanz, Germany), were placed in contact with hPDLSCs. The characterisation of the chemical elements of the root canal sealers was assessed using Scanning Electron Microscopy and Energy Dispersive X-ray analysis (SEM-EDX). Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) was used to determine the ion release of the sealers. MTT assay and wound healing techniques were used to determine cell viability and migration, respectively. Cell morphology and cell attachment were assessed using a direct contact technique of hPDLSCs onto the surface of the sealers and analysed by SEM. The bioactivity potential was carried out with the Alizarin Red and qPCR testing methods. The statistical differences were evaluated using one-way ANOVA and Tukey's test (p<0.05).

**Results** ICP-MS and EDX revealed significantly more zirconium in BCHiF than BCS (p<0.05), whereas BCS had slightly higher levels of  $Ca^{2+}$  than BCHiF (p<0.05). The cell viability assay revealed no relevant differences between BCS and BCHiF when compared with the control group (p>0.05). Both BCS and BCHiF had similar rates of cell migration to the control group at 24 and 48 hours. Cell morphology and adhesion capacity were also similar for BCS and BCHiF groups, while the AHP group was associated with reduced adhesion capacity. The Alizarin Red assay revealed a significant difference between the BCS and the control group (p<0.001), as well as for the BCHiF group (p<0.001). Finally, BCS and BCHiF promoted overexpression of osteo/cementogenic genes.

**Conclusions** In general, EndoSequence BC Sealer HiFlow possesses suitable biological properties to be safely used as a root canal filling material and promote increased expression of oste/cementogenic genes by hPDLSCs.

### Introduction

Hydraulic cements based on calcium silicate composition are now well established clinical options when approaching pulp or periapical reparation/regeneration due to their excellent antimicrobial properties, sealing capacity, biocompatibility, and bioactivity (Fagogeni *et al.* 2019, Giacomino *et al.* 2019). The biocompatibility and biomineralization potential of calcium silicate-based materials are hallmarks of these materials and make them suitable for uses such as direct pulp capping (Tomás-Catalá *et al.* 2017), perforation repair, root-end filling, and apical plugs for teeth with open apices (Donnermeyer *et al.* 2019). Calcium silicate-based materials display a reparative/regenerative ability needed to overcome pulpal damage when facing pulp exposure after a carious lesion, for example, in primary teeth as well as in mature permanent teeth (Linu *et al.* 2017). These materials used during vital pulp treatments induce proliferation of dental pulp stem cells and the formation of a reparative dentine bridge, leading to pulpal healing (Liu *et al.* 2015, Al-Saudi *et al.* 2019). Apical plugs with those cements are associated with the formation of a natural hard tissue barrier of cementum and potential to provide a biological seal of the apical root canal (Palma *et al.* 2017). As a consequence of the outstanding biological and clinical properties of hydraulic materials, new endodontic sealers based on their composition have been introduced over recent years.

The outcome of root canal treatment in part depends upon the ability of the root canal filling to prevent subsequent bacterial ingress and therefore protect the periapical tissues from disease (Santos *et al.* 2014). To achieve complete filling, root canal sealers are used in combination with a core material, usually guttapercha, which can be used in cold lateral compaction or in warm techniques (Schilder 2006). Warm canal filling techniques require the use of root canal sealers that are expected to be heated during the process. However, not all sealers are suitable for warm techniques. Previous studies reported that sealers such as MTA Fillapex (Angelus, Londrina, PR, Brazil) and Apexit Plus (Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Schaan, Liechtenstein) are suitable with warm gutta-percha filling techniques, whereas others such as AH Plus suffer from property alterations when heated which may compromise their clinical performance (Camilleri 2015). In addition, the exposure of hydraulic sealers to high temperature causes a reduction in their physical properties such as setting time and flowability (Qu *et al.* 2016).

Additionally, warm techniques also increase the risk of material extrusion through the periapical foramen, mainly the sealer (Peng *et al.* 2007). Furthermore, some authors suggest using ultrasonic vibration to improve the equitable distribution of the calcium silicate-based sealer along the root canal (Kim *et al.* 2018), also increasing as well as the risk of extrusion. Both clinical procedures raise the probability of direct contact and interfacial interaction between sealers and periodontal ligament cells; therefore the study of the biological properties of these materials becomes an important issue (Kaur *et al.* 2015).

New root canal hydraulic sealers continuously develop in order to combine proper sealing and bioactive properties. Endosequence BC Sealer (BCS) (Brasseler USA, Savannah, GA, USA) is a well known premixed ready-to-use injectable calcium-silicate based material developed for root canal filling and sealing (Hess *et al.* 2011), with suitable physicochemical properties that harden in the presence of wet locations such as dentinal tubules (Candeiro *et al.* 2012). A new formulation of Endosequence BC Sealer has been

modified into Endosequence BC Sealer HiFlow (BCHiF)(Brasseler USA, Savannah, GA, USA) to obtain a suitable calcium-silicate based sealer to use in warm canal filling techniques. This new sealer, according to the manufacturer, shows a lower viscosity when heated and is more radiopaque than its predecessor. However, there is no information on its biological properties.

This study aimed to evaluate the biological effects of BCHiF compared with its predecessor BCS and an epoxy-resin based root canal sealer AH-Plus (AHP) in a laboratory setting. The null hypothesis was that there is no difference between the materials in their bioactivity potential and cytotoxicity on human periodontal ligament stem cells.

### **Material and Methods**

### Sealer Eluates

The hydraulic sealers tested in this laboratory investigation were EndoSequence BC Sealer HiFlow (BCHiF) (Brasseler USA), EndoSequence BC Sealer (BCS) (Brasseler USA) and AH Plus (AHP) (Dentsply DeTrey GmbH, Konstanz, Germany). Their composition, as supplied by their respective manufacturers, are presented in Table 1.

All three sealers were mixed under aseptic conditions and following the manufacturers' indications. Each sealer was placed in preformed moulds of 2-mm height and 5-mm diameter, sterilized by using ultraviolet radiation for 15 minutes and stored for 48 hours in an incubator at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 95% humidity to achieve complete setting (n=30), immersed in Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS) (Koutroulis *et al.* 2019). After this time, specimen disks were stored within the culture medium (DMEM) for 24 h at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and humid atmosphere. This procedure was carried out following the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) guideline 10993-12. The ratio of the specimen surface area was 1.5 cm2/mL (ISO 10993-5). Prior to using these extracts in the MTT assay, migration and Alizarin Red experiments, the extracts were filtered through a 0.22µ pore size mesh and prepared undiluted, diluted 1:/2 and diluted 1:/4.

### Isolation and culture of hPDLSCs

The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the University of Murcia (ID:2199/2018). Wisdom molars (n=10) were extracted and transported to the laboratory in Minimum Essential Medium Alpha ( $\alpha$ -MEM; Gibco, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) solution containing 1% antibiotics (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and fungizone maintained at 4°C. Next, after washing three times with PBS, the periodontal tissues were scraped from the middle and the apical part of the root surface and were cut into small fragments with surgical blades. The fragment tissues were digested with an enzymatic solution (Collagenase type I (Gibco)) during 1 hour at 37°C. Then, periodontal cells were seeded in Minimum Essential Medium Alpha ( $\alpha$ -MEM; Gibco) with 10% foetal bovine serum (Sigma) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma). Culture medium was replaced every three days. Cells at passages 2-4 were used for subsequent experiments (Rodríguez-Lozano *et al.* 2017). The expression of cell surface markers was detected using FACS (Calibur Flow Cytometer, BD Biosciences, San José, CA, USA). Flow cytometry was used to analyze the immunophenotype of cells at passage 3. Briefly, hPDLSCs (2 x10<sup>5</sup>) were trypsinized, washed with PBS, and then incubated for 15 min at 4°C with monoclonal antibodies

conjugated with fluorescent dyes. The following antibody cocktails were used: MSC-positive cocktail (CD90, CD105 and CD73) and MSC-negative cocktail (CD34, CD14, CD20 and CD45) (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). Results were evaluated using FlowJo software (FlowJo LLC, Ashland, OR, USA).

### Scanning Electronic Microscopy and Energy-dispersive X-ray Analysis

Samples of BCS, BCHiF and AHP were shaped into 5-mm in diameter and 2-mm high using sterile rubber moulds and were immersed in HBSS in a ratio of six cm<sup>2</sup>/mL and stored at 37°C for 24 hours. Then, disks were coated with carbon in a CC7650 SEM Carbon Coater unit (Quorum Technologies Ltd, Laughton, UK) and each sample was examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Jeol 6100 EDAX, Peabody, MA, USA) connected to a secondary electron detector for energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX; Oxford INCA 350 EDX, Abingdon, UK) by using computer-controlled software (INCA energy version 18, Inca Oxford Instruments, Abingdon, UK).

### Assessment of Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry of Sealer Extracts

Three disks of 5-mm in diameter and 2-mm high samples from each material were stored in 5 mL Milli-Q water. The presence of calcium, iron, zirconium, silicon and tungsten was determined using inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS- Agilent 7900, Stockport, UK).

### **Cell Viability Assay**

Cell viability in contact with the tested materials was assessed using a proven reliable test, 3-(4, 5dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. As stated above, eluates from 3 discs of each material were collected after 24h immersion in the culture medium. Shortly after,  $1 \times 10^4$  hPDLSCs were added to 96-well plates with 180 µL of DMEM and stored for 24 hours. Then, the cells were placed in contact with the diluted extracts (undiluted, 1:2 and 1:4) and incubated for 24, 48 and 72 hours at 37 °C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> conditions. At the indicated period times, 1 mg/mL of MTT substance was applied and incubated for 4h. Then, 0.2 mL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was added to each well. This reaction is needed to solubilize the formazan crystals obtained as a result of MTT reduction by the cells that are still alive after contact with the materials. The cover was removed, and the light absorption in each well was evaluated by spectrophotometer (Synergy H1, BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA) at 570 nm (Abs570).

### **Cell migration assay**

To assess the effect of different sealers extracts on hPDLSCs migration, scratch migration assay was performed. 2x10<sup>5</sup> hPDLSCs /well were seeded onto six-well plates (n=3) and proliferated to achieve confluency. A scratch was made with a 200 µL-pipette tip, and each well was washed three times to remove cell debris using PBS. The wound closure was observed in the absence (control group) or presence of the different sealers' eluates (1:1; 1:2 and 1:4). The migration analysis of the scratched area was observed at 24, 48 and 72 h. ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) was used to measure the percentage of wound area closed/open after 24, 48 or 72 h relative to the total wound area measured at 0 h in the same well. Migration distances were analyzed separately during periods 0–24 h (migration during first 24 h period), 24–48 h (during second 24 h period) and 48-72 h (during third 24 h

period). A "relative wound closure" area (RWC) was calculated (RWC [%] = wound closure area [pixel] X 100 [%]/x [pixel] in order to avoid any scratch width variation.

### Cell morphology and cell adhesion analysis

Fifteen discs of 2-mm height and 5-mm diameter of the three sealers were obtained and subdivided into three groups (n=5). A total of 5x10<sup>4</sup> hPDLSCs were directly added to each disk's surface and cultured for 72 hours. Then, cells were fixed with 4% glutaraldehyde in PBS for four hours and dehydrated, air-dried, and sputter-coated with gold/palladium. Finally, cell morphology was evaluated using 100X and 300X magnifications by SEM.

### RT-qPCR gene expression analysis

To evaluate the expression of cementoblastic/osteoblastic-related genes (ALP, CEMP-1, and CAP), 2x10<sup>4</sup> hPDLSCs /well were seeded onto twelve-well plates (n=3) and stimulated with undiluted extracts of endodontic sealers during seven days. For this purpose, six discs were immersed in culture medium for 24h. Medium without extracts served as negative control and an optimized differentiation medium to generate cementoblast/osteoblasts-like cells, OsteoDiff media (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany), as a positive control. Total RNA was prepared using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg of RNA by using iScript<sup>™</sup> Reverse Transcription Supermix for RT-qPCR (Bio-Rad) following the manufacturer's instructions. Changes in gene expression were calculated by the 2- $\Delta\Delta$ CT method. Primer sequence for human genes encoding cementum protein 1 (CEMP1), cementum-derived attachment protein (CAP), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), Runt-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX2) and glyceraldehydes-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) were as follows (forward/reverse): CEMP1 (5'-GGGCACATCAAGCACTGACAG-3'/5'-CCCTTAGGAAGTGGCTGTCCAG-3'); CAP (5'-TTTTTCTGGTCGCGTGGACT-3'/5'-TCACCAGCAACTCCAACAGG-3'); ALP (5′-TCAGAAGCTCAACACCAACG-3'/5'-TTGTACGTCTTGGAGAGGGC-3′); RUNX2 (5`-TCCACACCATTAGGGACCATC-3`/5`-TGCTAATGCTTCGTGTTTCCA-3`); GAPDH (5'-TCAGCAATGCCTCCTGCAC-3'/5'-TCTGGGTGGCAGTGATGG- 3').

### **Alizarin Red Staining**

Mineralization capacity of endodontic sealers was evaluated using Alizarin red staining. 2x10<sup>4</sup> hPDLSCs /well were seeded onto twelve-well plates (n=3) and proliferated until achieving confluency. Then, cells were stimulated with undiluted extracts of BCS, BCHiF and AHP during 21 days. Medium without extracts served as negative control and OsteoDiff media (Miltenyi Biotec) as a positive control. At the end of the experimental period, the cells were washed with PBS and fixed for 1h using 70% ethanol. They were then incubated with 2% Alizarin Red solution (Sigma AB, Malmö, Sweden) at room temperature in the dark for 30 min. Finally, the absorbance value at 550 nm was measured using the microplate reader.

### Statistical analysis

Data were presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). All analyses were carried out using Graph-Pad Prism (version 8.1.0, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Normal data with equal variance was analysed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's test. Significance was defined when p< 0.05. All assays were performed at least three times.

### Results

### Characterisation of hPDLSCs immunophenotype

hPDLSCs were isolated, cultured and passaged successfully. FACS analysis revealed high expression (>95%) of MSCs specific surface markers (CD73, CD90 and CD105), and low expression (<5%) of CD34, CD45, CD14, and CD20 (Figure 1).

### Scanning Electronic Microscopy and Energy-dispersive X-ray Analysis

SEM-EDX analysis provided the qualitative semi-quantitative elemental composition of the surface of each material, represented in Figure 2. BCS and BCHiF had the same elemental composition. C, O and Si were similar % in both sealers, as for the amount of Ca<sup>+2</sup> and Zr a variation was found. BCHiF contained higher % of Zr than BCS. On the other hand, the % of Ca<sup>+2</sup> in BC Sealer was significantly higher when compared with the amount of Zr (p<0.05). As for AHP, the main difference in terms of composition remains in the presence of W, as reported in our previous studies (Collado-González *et al.* 2017).

### Assessment of Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry of Sealer Extracts

Results of the multi-elemental analysis are shown in Table 2 where, as shown with the SEM-EDX technique, a significantly higher concentration of Zr was found in BCHiF when compared with BCS and AHP as well (p<0.05). Both BC Sealers contained significantly higher concentration rates of Ca<sup>+2</sup> than the resin-based sealer (p<0.05), as expected.

### MTT assay

The viability of hPDLSCs cultured with medium combined with different concentrations of the extracts of each sealer was detected by the enzymatic reduction of 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazole-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) (Fig.3). The undiluted extracts of BCHiF and BCS increased cell viability rates significantly over the control group levels at 24 hours (p<0.01) whereas the AHP group at this time-point decreased cell viability rates (p<0.001). At 48 and 72 hours, neither of the two BC sealers groups suffered any significant variation of viability rates when compared with the control group. Once more, at these time-points, the AHP group decreased cell proliferation rates (p<0.001). With dilution  $\frac{1}{2}$ , BCHiF and BCS groups increased cell viability in the first 24 hour period-time (p<0.05). However, neither at the 48 hours nor 72 hours period times were the differences significant between the BC Sealers groups and the control group in terms of cell viability rates. AHP group was associated with a significant decrease in cell viability rates at all time-periods studied in this dilution. With dilution  $\frac{1}{4}$ , no significant differences were found with the control group for both of the BC Sealers groups except for the AHP group, with the results for this dilution being the same as for the previous dilutions. These results evidence that BCHiF and BCS had no cytotoxic effect on hPDLSCs.

### **Cell migration assay**

At all period-times and all dilutions studied, cell migration rates in the BCS group were similar to that of the control group and no detectable differences were found (Fig.4). In the BCHiF group, only at 24 hours in the

non-diluted group, significant differences were found (p<0.01) meanwhile, no significant differences were revealed at 48 nor 72 hours in any dilution when compared with the control group wound closure. On the contrary, at all period-times and all dilutions, the AHP group exhibited significant differences (p<0.001), being unable to heal the wound when compared with the control group (without extracts). These results indicate that both BC Sealers had similar migration values to those of the control.

### Cell morphology and cell attachment analysis

As shown in Figure 5, the morphology of hPLSCs and their attachment to the surface of the materials, after 72 hours of culture, were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy. The results exhibited a high degree of cells bonded and spreading through the surface of both BCHiF and BCS. The morphology of these cells in contact with these materials suggested an active adhesion interaction with the surface since multiple prolongations and a flattened morphology was observed. No cells attached to the surface of AHP were found.

### qPCR analysis

At day 7, ALP, CEMP-1 and RUX2 expression were significantly higher in BCS and BCHiF groups when compared to osteodiff and control group (p<0.001). In addition to that, expression of CAP was higher in the Osteodiff group (positive control) when compared to the groups BCS, BCHiF and negative control groups (p<0.05; p<0.01;p<0.001,respectively)(Fig. 6). GAPDH was used to normalize the results. Because AHP provoked cell death (see previous experiments), qPCR analysis in this group was not analyzed.

### **Alizarin Red Staining**

The mineralization capacity of tested materials was detected by Alizarin Red staining. As shown in Fig. 7, BCHiF, BCS and Osteodiff groups, produced significantly more calcium deposits than the control only after 21 days of culture (p<0.001). The greatest mineralization capacity was seen with the BCS group compared with BCHiF and Osteodiff groups (p<0.001 respectively). On the other hand, no calcium deposits were detected in the AHP group, with significantly lower rates than that of the control group (p<0.01).

### Discussion

As the use of calcium silicate-based sealers continues to increase, new bioceramic formulations attempt to achieve the ideal physicochemical, mechanical and biological properties. Several studies have been published on the physicochemical and mechanical properties of hydraulic cements when used in combination with warm gutta-percha techniques (Camilleri 2015, Boyadzhieva *et al.* 2017). A new hydraulic sealer formulation has been developed recently named Biosequence BC Sealer HiFlow (BCHiF), and the manufacturer asserts that it can be used with warm gutta-percha techniques without risking its efficacy.

Since the biological properties of this new sealer have not been tested yet, in this laboratory study, the cytocompatibility and bioactivity potential of BCS, and the new calcium silicate sealer formulation, BCHiF were analysed. AHP was chosen as reference material as it is one of the most commonly used and investigated root filling cements (Santos *et al.* 2019). In general, excellent cytocompatibility was observed with BCHiF, as well as with BCS. The results revealed that AHP had lower cytocompatibility when

compared to the control group and with the other tested materials. These results are consistent with previous studies (Candeiro *et al.* 2015, Graunaite *et al.* 2018, Benetti *et al.* 2019).

As stated in the latest review of the ISO 7405:2018, prior to conducting cytotoxicity studies as part of the biocompatibility tests, material characterization is required before biological testing is performed. In this study, following other published studies (Jimenez-Sanchez *et al.* 2019) scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive X-ray analysis was carried out to evaluate the surface of all three sealers, and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (Bulska & Wagner 2016) was conducted to monitor the ion release of the sealer extracts. In this study BCS and BCHiF had the same elemental composition. C, O and Si showed similar % in both sealers,but a variation was found in the amount of Ca<sup>+2</sup> and Zr. A higher concentration of Zr was found in BCHiF when compared with BCS and AHP as well. BCS releases higher concentration rates of Ca<sup>+2</sup> than the resin-based sealer AHP, in accordance with previous reports (Candeiro *et al.* 2012). Due to the results presented in this study, the chemical evaluation of Zr in BCHiF and its influence on the biological healing process.

The biological reaction of cells in contact with these materials can be evaluated by a cell migration assay (Yarrow *et al.* 2004). In the present study, cell migration rates with BCS were similar to those with the control group, meanwhile with AHP, hPDLSCs were unable to migrate in order to close the wound (p<0,001). These same migration results are shown in a study conducted by Seo *et al.* (2019) with human dental pulp stem cells. hPDLSCs exposed to BCHiF extracts revealed no significant differences with those in contact with BCS nor the control group.

Cell adhesion to biomaterials is essential in cell communication and interactions and is of main importance in the process of cell differentiation (Khalili & Ahmad 2015). Cell morphology, when attached to the surface of a biomaterial, can be a predictable sign of cell function and differentiation (Bacakova *et al.* 2004). As a consequence, as described by other authors (Zhang *et al.* 2013), hPDLSCs were seeded onto the surfaces of the three sealers in order to observe cell morphology and cell adhesion to these materials using a scanning electron microscope (Chen *et al.* 2016). The results revealed adequate attachment of hPDLSCs to both BCS and BCHiF. As described in previous reports, no cells were observed attached to the surface of AHP discs (Collado-González *et al.* 2017, Rodriguez-Lozano *et al.* 2017).

Bioactivity is also defined as the cellular effects induced by the release of biologically active substances and ions from the biomaterial allowing the biomineralization. In the widest meaning, bioactivity is a desire property of calcium silicate-based sealers due to have a biological effect or be biologically active and form a bond between the tissue and the material (Vallittu *et al.* 2018).. In this study, bioactivity assays as qPCR and Alizarin red assays were assessed to evaluate the bioactivity potential of these hydraulic materials. It has recently been demonstrated that GuttaFlow Bioseal had increased expression of CEMP-1, CAP and ALP (Rodriguez-Lozano *et al.* 2019). It may be speculated that the biological properties and bioactivity of the materials are influenced by their composition (D'Anto *et al.* 2010, Gandolfi *et al.* 2015). In fact, Giacomino *et al.* (2019) reported the osteogenic effect of the BCS by an increase in ALP and DMP-1– expressing cells, significant gene expression up-regulation of osteogenic genes and mineralization potential. Unsurprisingly, in the study, both BCHiF and BCS promoted greater osteo/cementogenic genes expression than the control group. Interestingly, BC sealer groups exhibited greater mineralization capacity than the Osteodiff group (positive control), with more visible calcium deposits. On the contrary, there was no mineralization in the cells treated with AHP as this material is associated with extensive cell death. These results may be related to the significantly higher calcium release observed for both calcium silicate-based sealers (Zordan-Bronzel *et al.* 2019). Although this seems to be counterintuitive, it is possible that the high alkalinity of the calcium silicate-based sealer media can up-regulate alkaline phosphatase activity and enhance mineralization (Wu *et al.* 2018). Moreover, the main component of both calcium silicate-based sealers is calcium silicates, whose mineralization capacity has previously been reported (Zordan-Bronzel *et al.* 2019), which is in line with the present results. Based on this mineralization boost provided by the exposure of hPDLSCs to BC sealer it can speculated that clinically this can induce hard tissue deposition by periodontal ligament cells in the areas of contact with the sealer, reduce the size of the root canal portal of exit and improve the biological seal.

### Conclusions

In general, EndoSequence BC Sealer HiFlow is a biocompatible root canal filling material when put in contact with hPDLSCs. BCHiF had similar results to its predecessor BCS in terms of cytocompatibility, cell migration, cell adhesion and bioactivity potential.

### Acknowledgements

This work was carried out in the facilities of The Institute of Biosanitary Research of Murcia and supported by the Spanish Net of Cell Therapy (TerCel) provided by Carlos III Institute of Health (ISCiii).

### **Conflict of Interest statement**

The authors have stated explicitly that there are no conflicts of interest in connection with this article.

### References

Al-Saudi K, Nahib S, Farghaly A, AboHager E (2019) Pulpar repair after direct pulp capping with new bioceramic materials: a comparative histological study. *Saudi Dental Journal* **31**, 469-75.

Bacakova L, Filova E, Rypacek F, Svorcik V, Stary V (2004) Cell adhesion on artificial materials for tissue engineering. *Physiological Research* **53**, S35-45.

Benetti F, de Azevedo Queiroz I, Oliveira P *et al.* (2019) Cytotoxicity and biocompatibility of a new bioceramic endodontic sealer containing calcium hydroxide. *Brazilian Oral Research* **33**, e042.

Boyadzhieva E, Dimitrova S, Filipov I, Zagorchev P (2017) Setting time and solubility of premixed bioceramic root canal sealer when applicated with warm gutta percha obturation technique. *Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences* **16**, 125-9.

Bulska E, Wagner B (2016) Quantitative aspects of inductively coupled mass spectrometry. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A mathematical, physical and engineering sciences* **374**(2079).

Camilleri J (2015) Sealers and warm gutta-percha obturation techniques. Journal of Endodontics 41, 72-8.

Candeiro G, Correia F, Duarte M, Ribeiro-Siqueira D, Gavini G (2012) Evaluation of radiopacity, pH, release of calcium ions, and flow of a bioceramic root canal sealer. *Journal of Endodontics* **38**, 842-5.

Candeiro G, Moura-Netto C, D´Almeida-COuto R *et al.* (2015) Cytotoxicity, genotoxicity and antibacterial effectiveness of a bioceramic endodontic sealer. *International Endodontic Journal* **49**, 858-64.

Chen I, Salhab I, Setzer F, Kim S, Nah H (2016) A New Calcium Silicate-based Bioceramic Material Promotes Human Osteo- and Odontogenic Stem Cell Proliferation and Survival via the Extracellular Signalregulated Kinase Signaling Pathway. *Journal of Endodontics* **42**, 480-6.

Collado-González M, Tomás-Catalá C, Oñate-Sánchez R, Moraleda J, ROdríguez-Lozano F (2017) Cytotoxicity of GuttaFlow Bioseal, GuttaFlow 2, MTA Fillapex and AH Plus on Human Periodontal Ligament Stem Cells. *Journal of Endodontics* **43**, 816-22.

D'Anto V, Di Caprio MP, Ametrano G, Simeone M, Rengo S, Spagnuolo G (2010) Effect of mineral trioxide aggregate on mesenchymal stem cells. *Journal of Endodontics* **36**, 1839-43.

Donnermeyer D, Bürklein S, Dammaschke T, Schäfer E (2019) Endodontic Sealers Based on Calcium Silicates: A Systematic Review. *Odontology* **107**, 421-36.

Fagogeni I, Metlerska J, Lipski M, Falgowski T, Maciej G, Nowicka A (2019) Materials used in regenerative endodontic procedures and their impact on tooth discoloration. *Journal of Oral Sciences* **61**, 79-85.

Gandolfi MG, Spagnuolo G, Siboni F *et al.* (2015) Calcium silicate/calcium phosphate biphasic cements for vital pulp therapy: chemical-physical properties and human pulp cells response. *Clinical Oral Investigations* **19**, 2075-89.

Giacomino C, Wealleans J, Kuhn N, Diogenes A (2019) Comparative Biocompatibility and Osteogenic Potential of Two Bioceramic Sealers *Journal of Endodontics* **45**, 51-6.

Graunaite I, Lodiene G, Arandarcikaite O, Pukalskas A, Machiulskiene V (2018) Leachables and cytotoxicity of root canal sealers. *Journal of Oral Sciences* **60**, 381-7.

Hess D, Solomon E, Spears R, He J (2011) Retreatability of a bioceramic root canal sealing material. *Journal of Endodontics* **37**, 1547-9.

Jimenez-Sanchez MDC, Segura-Egea JJ, Diaz-Cuenca A (2019) Higher hydration performance and bioactive response of the new endodontic bioactive cement MTA HP repair compared with ProRoot MTA white and NeoMTA plus. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials* **107**, 2109-20.

Kaur A, Shah N, Logani A, Mishra N (2015) Biotoxicity of commonly used root canal sealers: A metaanalysis. *Journal of Conservative Dentistry* **18**, 83-8.

Khalili AA, Ahmad MR (2015) A Review of Cell Adhesion Studies for Biomedical and Biological Applications. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **16**, 18149-184.

Kim J, Hwang Y, Rosa V, Yu M, Lee K, Min K (2018) Root canal filling quality of a premixed calcium silicate endodontic sealer applied using gutta-percha cone-mediated ultrasonic activation. *Journal of Endodontics* **44**, 133-8.

Koutroulis A, Kuehne SA, Cooper PR, Camilleri J (2019) The Role of Calcium Ion Release on Biocompatibility and Antimicrobial Properties of Hydraulic Cements. *Scientific Reports* **9**, 19019.

Linu S, Lekshmi M, Varunkumar V, Sam Joseph V (2017) Treatment outcome following direct pulp capping using biuocermic materials in mature permanent teeth with carious exposure: A pilot retrospective study. *Journal of Endodontics* **43**, 1635-9.

Liu S, Wang S, Dong Y (2015) Evaluation of a bioceramic as pulp capping agent in vitro and in vivo. *Journal of Endodontics* **41**, 652-7.

Palma PJ, Ramos JC, Martins JB *et al.* (2017) Histologic Evaluation of Regenerative Endodontic Procedures with the Use of Chitosan Scaffolds in Immature Dog Teeth with Apical Periodontitis. *Journal of Endodontics* **43**, 1279-87.

Peng L, Ye L, Tan H, Zhou X (2007) Outocome of root canal obturation by warm gutta-percha versus cold lateral condensation: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Endodontics* **33**, 106-9.

Qu W, Bai W, Liang Y, Gao X (2016) Influence of warm vertical compactation technique on physical properties of root canal sealers. *Journal of Endodontics* **42**, 1829-33.

Rodríguez-Lozano F, García-Bernal D, Oñate-Sánchez R, Ortolani-Seltenerich P, Forner L, Moraleda J (2017) Evaluation of cytocompatibility of calcium silicate-based endodontic sealers and their effects on the biological responses of mesenchymal dental stem cells. *International Endodontic Journal* **50**, 67-76.

Rodriguez-Lozano FJ, Collado-Gonzalez M, Tomas-Catala CJ *et al.* (2019) GuttaFlow Bioseal promotes spontaneous differentiation of human periodontal ligament stem cells into cementoblast-like cells. *Dental Materials* **35**, 114-24.

Rodriguez-Lozano FJ, Garcia-Bernal D, Onate-Sanchez RE, Ortolani-Seltenerich PS, Forner L, Moraleda JM (2017) Evaluation of cytocompatibility of calcium silicate-based endodontic sealers and their effects on the biological responses of mesenchymal dental stem cells. *International Endodontic Journal* **50**, 67-76.

Santos JM, Palma PJ, Ramos JC, Cabrita AS, Friedman S (2014) Periapical inflammation subsequent to coronal inoculation of dog teeth root filled with resilon/epiphany in 1 or 2 treatment sessions with chlorhexidine medication. *Journal of Endodontics* **40**, 837-41.

Santos JM, Pereira S, Sequeira DB *et al.* (2019) Biocompatibility of a bioceramic silicone-based sealer in subcutaneous tissue. *Journal of Oral Sciences* **61**, 171-77.

Schilder H (2006) Filling root canals in three dimensions. Journal of Endodontics 32, 281-90.

Seo D-G, Lee D, Kim Y-M, Song D, Kim S-Y (2019) Biocompatibility and mineralization activity of three calcium silicate-based root canal sealers compared to conventional resin-based sealer in human dental pulp stem cells. *Materials* **12**, 24802.

Tomás-Catalá C, Collado-González M, García-Bernal D *et al.* (2017) Comparative analysis of the biological effects of the endodontic bioactive cements MTA-Angelus, MTA Repair HP and NeoMTA Plus on human dental pulp stem cells. *International Endodontic Journal* **50**, e63-e72.

Vallittu PK, Boccaccini AR, Hupa L, Watts DC (2018) Bioactive dental materials-Do they exist and what does bioactivity mean? *Dental Materials* **34**, 693-94.

Wu T, Xu C, Du R *et al.* (2018) Effects of silicate-based composite material on the proliferation and mineralization behaviors of human dental pulp cells: An in vitro assessment. *Dental Materials Journal* **37**, 889-96.

Yarrow J, Perlman Z, Westwood N, Mitchison T (2004) A high-thoughput cell migration assay usign scratch wound healing, a comparison of image-based readout methods. *BMC Biotechnology* **4**, 1-9.

Zhang S, Yang X, Fan M (2013) BioAggregate and iRoot BP Plus optimize the proliferation and mineralization ability of human dental pulp cells. *International Endodontic Journal* **46**, 923-29.

Zordan-Bronzel CL, Tanomaru-Filho M, Rodrigues EM, Chavez-Andrade GM, Faria G, Guerreiro-Tanomaru JM (2019) Cytocompatibility, bioactive potential and antimicrobial activity of an experimental calcium silicate-based endodontic sealer. *International Endodontic Journal* **52**, 979-86.

### **Figure Legends**

**Figure 1 Flow cytometric characterization.** FACS analysis showed high expression (>95%) of MSCs specific surface markers (CD73, CD90 and CD105), and low expression (<5%) of CD34, CD45, CD14, and CD20.

**Figure 2** EDX analysis. Evaluation of the chemical composition (spectra) and the element distribution (elemental mapping) of BCHiF (*Column A*), BCS (*Column B*) and AHP (*Column C*) conducted with energydispersive X-ray spectroscopy. BCHiF contains higher % of Zr than BC Sealer. The % of Ca<sup>+2</sup> in BCS is higher when compared with the amount of Zr. As for AHP, the main difference in terms of composition remains in the presence of W.

**Figure 3** MTT assay. Determination of cell viability was carried out by an MTT assay. After the contact of hPDLSCs with the three sealers extracts in all dilutions at 24, 48 and 72 hours, the absorbance results are shown in these graphics. Absorbance values were significantly different from to the control group (\*p< 0.05; \*\* p< 0.01; \*\*\* p< 0.001, respectively, by one-way ANOVA and Tukey's post-hoc test.). Values with BCHiF group and BCS group were similar to those of the control group. AHP group showed significant differences with all BC sealer groups and the control group, showing the lowest cell viability rates, as expected.

**Figure 4** Scratch migration assay. The closure of the space created in the wound healing technique after the contact of the eluates of all three sealers with the hPDLSCs after 24, 48 and 72 hours is represented in this figure by a composition of the photographs analyzed by the Image J program and representation by a bar graphic after the statistical analysis. Cell migration rates were expressed as the open wound area percentage for each condition compared with the control (\*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.001, respectively). One-way ANOVA and Tukey's post-hoc test

**Figure 5** SEM analysis. Photomicrographs showed hPDLSCs cultured on the discs' surface of BCS(A), BCHiF (B) and AHP(C) for a 72 h period time. Photomicrographs show hPDLSCs fully adhered to the surface's disk of BCS and BCHiF, with a polyhedral shape extended, and displaying dendritic extensions. No cells were attached to the AHP surface disk. Scale bars: 100X and 300X.

**Figure 6** RT-qPCR gene expression analysis. Gene expression profiles of hPDLSCs treated with the test materials showing expression of ALP, CEMP, RUNX2 and CAP genes. Values indicated with a \* represent significant differences between the groups. (\*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.001, respectively). One-way ANOVA and Tukey's post-hoc test

**Figure 7** Mineralization assay. Alizarin Red staining to evaluate the bioactivity potential of BCS, BCHiF and AHP. Values indicated with a \* represent significant differences between the groups. (\*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.001, respectively). One-way ANOVA and Tukey's post-hoc test

Table 1 Tested materials

| Materials                 | Manufacturer                             | Composition  | Lot Number   |
|---------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| Endosequence<br>BC Sealer | Brasseler USA<br>Savannah, GA,<br>USA    | Zirconium oxide, calcium silicates, calcium phosphate<br>monobasic, calcium hydroxide, filler and thickening<br>agents.  | (10)18002SP  |
| Endosequence              | Brasseler USA                            | Zirconium<br>Oxide, Tricalcium   |              |
| BC Sealer Hi              | Savannah, GA,                            | Silicate, Dicalcium  | (10)1802SPWF |
| Flow                      | USA                                      | Silicate, Calcium<br>Hydroxide and fillers   |              |
| AH Plus                   | Dentsply DeTrey,<br>Konstanz,<br>Germany | Epoxy paste: diepoxy, calcium tungstate, zirconium oxide,<br>aerosol, and dye<br>Amine paste: 1-adamantane amine, N'dibenzyl-5<br>oxanonandiamine-1,9, TCD-diamine, calcium tungstate,<br>zirconium oxide, aerosol, and silicone oil | 1705000999   |

Table 2 Assessment of ICP-MS of endodontic sealer eluates.

|   | 28 Si [He]               | 44 Ca [He]               | 56 Fe [He]  | 91 Zr [He]               | 182 W[ He ]                |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Sample Name   | Conc. [ppm]              | Conc. [ppm]              | Conc. [ppm] | Conc. [ppm]              | Conc.[ppm]                 |
| AH Plus   | 2,08 ±0.02 <sup>B</sup>  | 1,79 ±0.00 <sup>AB</sup> | <0.000      | 1,24 ±0.00 <sup>AB</sup> | 4267±0.00 <sup>AB</sup>    |
| Endosequence BC Sealer  | 8,09 ±0.00 <sup>BC</sup> | 67,22 ±0.02 <sup>B</sup> | <0.000      | 1,55 ±0.02 <sup>BC</sup> | 120,87 ±0.00 <sup>BC</sup> |
| Endosequence BC Sealer Hiflow   | 1,91±0.01 <sup>c</sup>   | 63,53±0.00 <sup>A</sup>  | <0.000      | 3,85±0.00 <sup>AC</sup>  | 50,65 ±0.00 <sup>AC</sup>  |
| Uppercase A indicates significant difference (p< 0.05) between AH Plus and Hiflow                 |                          |                          |             |                          |                            |
| Uppercase B indicates significant difference (p< 0.05) between AH Plus and Endosequence BC Sealer |                          |                          |             |                          |                            |
| Uppercase C indicates significant difference (p< 0.05) between Hiflow and Endosequence BC Sealer  |                          |                          |             |                          |                            |

iej\_13327\_f1.pdf





| Element | Weight% | Atomic% |
|---------|---------|---------|
| O K     | 33.89   | 65.05   |
| Si K    | 0.52    | 0.56    |
| Ca K    | 28.66   | 21.96   |
| Zr L    | 36.93   | 12.43   |
|         |         |         |
| Totals  | 100.00  |         |

В





| Element | Weight% | Atomic% |
|---------|---------|---------|
| O K     | 37.56   | 62.34   |
| Si K    | 0.87    | 0.83    |
| Ca K    | 50.91   | 33.73   |
| Zr L    | 10.66   | 3.10    |
|         |         |         |
| Totals  | 100.00  |         |

Spectrum 15



| Element | Weight% | Atomic% |
|---------|---------|---------|
| C K     | 53.23   | 82.01   |
| O K     | 9.22    | 10.67   |
| Si K    | 1.08    | 0.71    |
| Ca K    | 3.35    | 1.55    |
| Zr L    | 16.95   | 3.44    |
| WΜ      | 16.17   | 1.63    |
|         |         |         |
| Totals  | 100.00  |         |
| Totals  | 100.00  |         |

iej\_13327\_f3.pdf









hour 72

 $\bigcirc$ 

Endosequence









ho

4

















### Endosequence

### AH Plus









# Endosequence





















## Endosequence HIFlow

AH Plus











## Endosequence









### **AH Plus**

Time (h)

Endosequence AH Plus HIFlow







iej\_13327\_f5.tif

iej\_13327\_f6.pdf

ALP/GAPDH Relative gene expression 15<sub>1</sub>

\*\*\*

CAP/GAPDH Relative gene expression



CEMP1/GAPDH Relative gene expression

Endosequence HIFION

Endosequence

Osteodiff



### **RUNX2/GAPDH Relative gene expression**



10-

5-

0

control

iej\_13327\_f7.pdf

