This is the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript as accepted for publication. The publisher-formatted version may be available through the publisher's web site or your institution's library.

A nonlinear inequality and evolution problems

A.G. Ramm

# How to cite this manuscript

If you make reference to this version of the manuscript, use the following information:

Ramm, A.G. (2010). A nonlinear inequality and evolution problems. Retrieved from http://krex.ksu.edu

# **Published Version Information**

**Citation**: Ramm, A.G. (2010). A nonlinear inequality and evolution problems. Journal of Inequalities and Special Functions, 1(1), 1-9.

Copyright: Copyright © 2010 Journal of Inequalities and Special Functions

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):** 

Publisher's Link: http://www.ilirias.com/

JOURNAL OF INEQUALITIES AND SPECIAL FUNCTIONS ISSN: 2217-4303, URL: http://www.ilirias.com

Volume 1 Issue 1(2010), Pages 1-9.

# A NONLINEAR INEQUALITY AND EVOLUTION PROBLEMS

#### A.G. RAMM

Abstract. Assume that  $g(t) \ge 0$ , and

$$\dot{g}(t) \le -\gamma(t)g(t) + \alpha(t, g(t)) + \beta(t), \ t \ge 0; \quad g(0) = g_0; \quad \dot{g} := \frac{dg}{dt}$$

on any interval [0,T) on which g exists and has bounded derivative from the right,  $\dot{g}(t):=\lim_{s\to+0}\frac{g(t+s)-g(t)}{s}$ . It is assumed that  $\gamma(t)$ , and  $\beta(t)$  are nonnegative continuous functions of t defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$ , the function  $\alpha(t,g)$  is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , locally Lipschitz with respect to g uniformly with respect to t on any compact subsets  $[0,T], T < \infty$ , and non-decreasing with respect to g,  $\alpha(t, g_1) \geq \alpha(t, g_2)$  if  $g_1 \geq g_2$ . If there exists a function  $\mu(t) > 0$ ,  $\mu(t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , such that

$$\alpha\left(t,\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\right)+\beta(t)\leq \frac{1}{\mu(t)}\left(\gamma(t)-\frac{\dot{\mu}(t)}{\mu(t)}\right),\quad \forall t\geq 0;\quad \mu(0)g(0)\leq 1,$$

then q(t) exists on all of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , that is  $T=\infty$ , and the following estimate holds:

$$0 \le g(t) \le \frac{1}{\mu(t)}, \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

If  $\mu(0)g(0) < 1$ , then  $0 \le g(t) < \frac{1}{\mu(t)}$ ,  $\forall t \ge 0$ . A discrete version of this result is obtained.

The nonlinear inequality, obtained in this paper, is used in a study of the Lyapunov stability and asymptotic stability of solutions to differential equations in finite and infinite-dimensional spaces.

#### 1. Introduction

The goal of this paper is to give a self-contained proof of an estimate for solutions of a nonlinear inequality

$$\dot{g}(t) \le -\gamma(t)g(t) + \alpha(t, g(t)) + \beta(t), \ t \ge 0; \ g(0) = g_0; \ \dot{g} := \frac{dg}{dt},$$
 (1.1)

and to demonstrate some of its many possible applications.

Denote  $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$ . It is not assumed a priori that solutions g(t) to inequality (1.1) are defined on all of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , that is, that these solutions exist globally. We give sufficient conditions for the global existence of q(t). Moreover, under these conditions a bound on g(t) is given, see estimate (1.5) in Theorem 1. This bound yields the relation  $\lim_{t\to\infty} g(t) = 0$  if  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \mu(t) = \infty$  in (1.5).

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 26D10, 34G20, 37L05, 44J05, 47J35.

Key words and phrases. nonlinear inequality; Lyapunov stability; evolution problems; differential equations.

<sup>©2010</sup> Ilirias Publications, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted August 12, 2010. Published September 15, 2010.

Let us formulate our assumptions.

Assumption A). We assume that the function  $g(t) \geq 0$  is defined on some interval [0,T), has a bounded derivative  $\dot{g}(t) := \lim_{s \to +0} \frac{g(t+s)-g(t)}{s}$  from the right at any point of this interval, and g(t) satisfies inequality (1.1) at all t at which g(t) is defined. The functions  $\gamma(t)$ , and  $\beta(t)$ , are continuous, non-negative, defined on all of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . The function  $\alpha(t,g) \geq 0$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , nondecreasing with respect to g, and locally Lipschitz with respect to g. This means that  $\alpha(t,g) \geq \alpha(t,h)$  if  $g \geq h$ , and

$$|\alpha(t,g) - \alpha(t,h)| \le L(T,M)|g - h|,\tag{1.2}$$

if  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $|g| \le M$  and  $|h| \le M$ , M = const > 0, where L(T, M) > 0 is a constant independent of g, h, and t.

Assumption B). There exists a  $C^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  function  $\mu(t) > 0$ , such that

$$\alpha\left(t, \frac{1}{\mu(t)}\right) + \beta(t) \le \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \left(\gamma(t) - \frac{\dot{\mu}(t)}{\mu(t)}\right), \quad \forall t \ge 0,$$
 (1.3)

$$\mu(0)g(0) < 1. \tag{1.4}$$

If  $\mu(0)g(0) \leq 1$ , then the inequality sign  $< \frac{1}{\mu(t)}$  in Theorem 1, in formula (1.5), is replaced by  $\leq \frac{1}{\mu(t)}$ .

Our results are formulated in Theorems 1 and 2, and *Propositions 1,2. Proposition 1* is related to Example 1, and *Proposition 2* is related to Example 2, see below.

**Theorem 1.** If Assumptions A) and B) hold, then any solution  $g(t) \geq 0$  to inequality (1.1) exists on all of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , i.e.,  $T = \infty$ , and satisfies the following estimate:

$$0 \le g(t) < \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{1.5}$$

If  $\mu(0)g(0) \le 1$ , then  $0 \le g(t) \le \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

**Remark.** If  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \mu(t) = \infty$ , then  $\lim_{t\to\infty} g(t) = 0$ .

Let us explain how one applies estimate (1.5) in various problems (see also papers [3], [4], and the monograph [5] for other applications of differential inequalities which are particular cases of inequality (1.1)).

Example 1. Consider the problem

$$\dot{u} = A(t)u + B(t)u, \quad u(0) := u_0,$$
 (1.6)

where A(t) is a linear bounded operator in a Hilbert space H and B(t) is a bounded linear operator such that

$$\int_0^\infty \|B(t)\|dt := C < \infty.$$

Assume that

$$\operatorname{Re}(A(t)u, u) \le 0 \quad \forall u \in H, \ \forall t \ge 0.$$
 (1.7)

Operators satisfying inequality (1.7) are called *dissipative*. They arise in many applications, for example in a study of passive linear and nonlinear networks (e.g., see [6], and [7], Chapter 3).

One may consider some classes of unbounded linear operator using the scheme developed in the proofs of *Propositions 1,2*. For example, in *Proposition 1* the

operator A(t) can be a generator of  $C_0$  semigroup T(t) such that  $\sup_{t\geq 0} ||T(t)|| \leq m$ , where m>0 is a constant.

Let A(t) be a linear closed, densely defined in H, dissipative operator, with domain of definition D(A(t)) independent of t, and I be the identity operator in H. Assume that the Cauchy problem

$$\dot{U}(t) = A(t)U(t), \quad U(0) = I,$$

for the operator-valued function U(t) has a unique global solution and

$$\sup_{t \ge 0} \|U(t)\| \le m,$$

where m > 0 is a constant. Then such an unbounded operator A(t) can be used in Example 1.

Proposition 1. If condition (1.7) holds and  $C := \int_0^\infty \|B(t)\| dt < \infty$ , then the solution to problem (1.6) exists on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , is unique, and satisfies the following inequality:

$$\sup_{t>0} \|u(t)\| \le e^C \|u_0\|. \tag{1.8}$$

Inequality (1.8) implies Lyapunov stability of the zero solution to equation (1.6). Recall that the zero solution to equation (1.6) is called Lyapunov stable if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , however small, one can find a  $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ , such that if  $||u_0|| \le \delta$ , then the solution to Cauchy problem (1.6) satisfies the estimate  $\sup_{t \ge 0} ||u(t)|| \le \epsilon$ . If, in addition,  $\lim_{t \to \infty} ||u(t)|| = 0$ , then the zero solution to equation (1.6) is called asymptotically stable in the Lyapunov sense.

Example 2. Consider an abstract nonlinear evolution problem

$$\dot{u} = A(t)u + F(t, u) + b(t), \quad u(0) = u_0,$$
 (1.9)

where u(t) is a function with values in a Hilbert space H, A(t) is a linear bounded operator in H which satisfies inequality

$$Re(Au, u) \le -\gamma(t) ||u||^2, \quad t \ge 0; \qquad \gamma = \frac{r}{1+t},$$
 (1.10)

r>0 is a constant, F(t,u) is a nonlinear map in H, and the following estimates hold:

$$||F(t,u)|| \le \alpha(t,g), \quad g := g(t) := ||u(t)||; \quad ||b(t)|| \le \beta(t),$$
 (1.11)

where  $\beta(t) \geq 0$  and  $\alpha(t,g) \geq 0$  satisfy the conditions in Assumption A).

Let us assume that

$$\alpha(t,g) \le c_0 g^p, \quad p > 1; \quad \beta(t) \le \frac{c_1}{(1+t)^{\omega}},$$
 (1.12)

where  $c_0$ , p,  $\omega$  and  $c_1$  are positive constants.

Proposition 2. If conditions (1.9)-(1.12) hold, and inequalities (2.7),(2.8) and (2.10) are satisfied (see these inequalities in the proof of Proposition 2), then the solution to the evolution problem (1.9) exists on all of  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and satisfies the following estimate:

$$0 \le ||u(t)|| \le \frac{1}{\lambda(1+t)^q}, \quad \forall t \ge 0,$$
 (1.13)

where  $\lambda$  and q are some positive constants the choice of which is specified by inequalities (2.7),(2.8) and (2.10).

The choice of  $\lambda$  and q is motivated and explained in the proof of *Proposition 2* (see inequalities (2.7), (2.8) and (2.10) in Section 2).

4

Inequality (1.13) implies asymptotic stability of the solution to problem (1.9) in the sense of Lyapunov and, additionally, gives a rate of convergence of ||u(t)|| to zero as  $t \to \infty$ .

The results in  $Examples\ 1,2$  can be obtained in Banach space, but we do not go into detail.

Proofs of Theorem 1 and *Propositions 1,2* are given in Section 2. Theorem 2, which is a discrete analog of Theorem 1, is formulated and proved in Section 3.

#### 2. Proofs

Proof of Proposition 1. Local existence of the solution u(t) to problem (1.6) is known (see, e.g., [1]). Uniqueness of this solution follows from the linearity of the problem and from estimate (1.8). Let us prove this estimate.

Multiply (1.6) by u(t), let g(t) := ||u(t)||, take real part, use (1.7), and get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{dg^2(t)}{dt} \le \text{Re}(B(t)u(t), u(t)) \le ||B(t)||g^2(t).$$

This implies  $g^2(t) \leq g^2(0)e^{2C}$ , so (1.8) follows. Proposition 1 is proved.  $\square$  Proof of Proposition 2. The local existence and uniqueness of the solution u(t) to problem (1.9) follow from Assumption A (see, e.g., [1]). The existence of u(t) for all  $t \geq 0$ , that is, the global existence of u(t), follows from estimate (1.13) (see, e.g., [5], pp.167-168).

Let us derive estimate (1.13). Multiply (1.9) by u(t), let g(t) := ||u(t)||, take real part, use (1.10)-(1.12) and get

$$g\dot{g} \le -\gamma(t)g^2(t) + \alpha(t, g(t))g(t) + \beta(t)g(t), \ t \ge 0.$$
 (2.1)

Since  $g \ge 0$ , one obtains from this inequality inequality (1.1). However, first we would like to explain in detail the meaning of the derivative  $\dot{g}$  in our proof.

By  $\dot{q}$  the right derivatives is understood:

$$\dot{g}(t) := \lim_{s \to +0} \frac{g(t+s) - g(t)}{s}.$$

If g(t) = ||u(t)|| and u(t) is continuously differentiable, then  $(t) := g^2(t) = (u(t), u(t))$  is continuously differentiable, and its derivative at the point t at which g(t) > 0 can be computed by the formula:

$$\dot{g} = Re(\dot{u}(t), u^0(t)),$$

where  $u^0(t) := \frac{u(t)}{\|u(t)\|}$ . Thus, the function  $g(t) = \sqrt{-(t)}$  is continuously differentiable at any point at which  $g(t) \neq 0$ . At a point t at which g(t) = 0, the vector  $u^0(t)$  is not defined, the derivative of g(t) does not exist in the usual sense, but the right derivative of g(t) still exists and can be calculated explicitly:

$$\dot{g}(t) = \lim_{s \to +0} \frac{\|u(t+s)\| - \|u(t)\|}{s} = \lim_{s \to +0} \frac{\|u(t) + s\dot{u}(t) + o(s)\|}{s}$$
$$= \lim_{s \to 0} \|\dot{u}(t) + o(1)\| = \|\dot{u}(t)\|.$$

If u(t) is continuously differentiable at some point t, and  $u(t) \neq 0$ , then

$$\dot{g} = ||u(t)|| \le ||\dot{u}(t)||.$$

Indeed.

$$2q(t)\dot{q}(t) = (\dot{u}(t), u(t)) + (u(t), \dot{u}(t)) < 2\|\dot{u}\|\|u\| = 2\|\dot{u}(t)\|q(t).$$

If  $g(t) \neq 0$ , then the above inequality implies  $\dot{g}(t) \leq ||\dot{u}(t)||$ , as claimed. One can also derive this inequality from the formula  $\dot{g} = Re(\dot{u}(t), u^0(t))$ , since  $|Re(\dot{u}(t), u^0(t))| \leq ||\dot{u}(t)||$ .

If g(t) > 0, then from (2.1) one obtains

$$\dot{g}(t) \le -\gamma(t)g(t) + \alpha(t, g(t)) + \beta(t), \quad t \ge 0. \tag{2.2}$$

If g(t) = 0 on an open set, then inequality (2.2) holds on this set also, because  $\dot{g} = 0$  on this set while the right-hand side of (2.2) is non-negative at g = 0. If g(t) = 0 at some point  $t = t_0$ , then (2.2) holds at  $t = t_0$  because, as we have proved above,  $\dot{g}(t_0) = 0$ , while the right-hand side of (2.2) is equal to  $\beta(t) \geq 0$  if  $g(t_0) = 0$ , and is, therefore, non-negative if  $g(t_0) = 0$ .

If assumptions (1.12) hold, then inequality (2.2) can be rewritten as

$$\dot{g} \le -\frac{1}{(1+t)^{\nu}}g + c_0g^p + \frac{c_1}{(1+t)^{\omega}}, \quad p > 1.$$
 (2.3)

Let us look for  $\mu(t)$  of the form

$$\mu(t) = \lambda(1+t)^q, \quad q = const > 0, \quad \lambda = const > 0.$$
 (2.4)

Inequality (1.3) takes the form

$$\frac{c_0}{[\lambda(1+t)^q]^p} + \frac{c_1}{(1+t)^\omega} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda(1+t)^q} \left( \frac{r}{(1+t)^\nu} - \frac{q}{1+t} \right), \quad t > 0, \tag{2.5}$$

or

$$\frac{c_0}{\lambda^{p-1}(1+t)^{q(p-1)}} + \frac{c_1\lambda}{(1+t)^{\omega-q}} + \frac{q}{1+t} \le \frac{r}{(1+t)^{\nu}}, \quad t > 0$$
 (2.6)

Assume that the following inequalities (2.7)-(2.8) hold:

$$q(p-1) \ge \nu, \quad \omega - q \ge \nu, \quad 1 \ge \nu,$$
 (2.7)

and

$$\frac{c_0}{\lambda^{p-1}} + c_1 \lambda + q \le r. \tag{2.8}$$

Then inequality (2.6) holds, and Theorem 1 yields

$$g(t) = ||u(t)|| < \frac{1}{\lambda(1+t)^q}, \quad \forall t \ge 0,$$
 (2.9)

provided that

$$||u_0|| < \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$
 (2.10)

Note that for any  $||u_0||$  inequality (2.10) holds if  $\lambda$  is sufficiently large. For a fixed  $\lambda$ , however large, inequality (2.8) holds if r is sufficiently large.

Proposition 2 is proved.  $\Box$ 

The proof of *Proposition 2* provides a flexible general scheme for obtaining estimates of the behavior of the solution to evolution problem (1.9) for  $t \to \infty$ .

Proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$g(t) = \frac{v(t)}{a(t)}, \quad a(t) := e^{\int_0^t \gamma(s)ds},$$
 (2.11)

$$\eta(t) := \frac{a(t)}{\mu(t)}, \quad \eta(0) = \frac{1}{\mu(0)} > g(0).$$
(2.12)

Then inequality (1.1) reduces to

$$\dot{v}(t) \le a(t)\alpha \left(t, \frac{v(t)}{a(t)}\right) + a(t)\beta(t), \quad t \ge 0; \quad v(0) = g(0). \tag{2.13}$$

One has

$$\dot{\eta}(t) = \frac{\gamma(t)a(t)}{\mu(t)} - \frac{\dot{\mu}(t)a(t)}{\mu^2(t)} = \frac{a(t)}{\mu(t)} \left(\gamma(t) - \frac{\dot{\mu}(t)}{\mu(t)}\right). \tag{2.14}$$

From (1.3), (2.11)-(2.14), one gets

$$v(0) < \eta(0), \quad \dot{v}(0) \le \dot{\eta}(0).$$
 (2.15)

Therefore there exists a T > 0 such that

$$0 \le v(t) < \eta(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, T). \tag{2.16}$$

Let us prove that  $T = \infty$ .

First, note that if inequality (2.16) holds for  $t \in [0, T)$ , or, equivalently, if

$$0 \le g(t) < \frac{1}{\mu(t)}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T),$$
 (2.17)

then

$$\dot{v}(t) \le \dot{\eta}(t), \qquad \forall t \in [0, T). \tag{2.18}$$

One can pass to the limit  $t \to T - 0$  in this inequality and get

$$\dot{v}(T) \le \dot{\eta}(T). \tag{2.19}$$

Indeed, from inequality (2.17) it follows that

$$\alpha\left(t, \frac{v}{a}\right) + \beta = \alpha(t, g) + \beta \le \alpha(t, \frac{1}{\mu}) + \beta,$$

because  $\alpha(t,g) \leq \alpha(t,\frac{1}{\mu})$ .

Furthermore, from inequality (1.3) one derives:

$$\alpha\left(t, \frac{1}{\mu}\right) + \beta \le \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \left(\gamma(t) - \frac{\dot{\mu}(t)}{\mu(t)}\right).$$

Consequently, from inequalities (2.13)-(2.14) one obtains

$$\dot{v}(t) \leq \frac{a(t)}{\mu(t)} \left( \gamma(t) - \frac{\dot{\mu}(t)}{\mu(t)} \right) = \dot{\eta}(t), \qquad t \in [0, T),$$

and inequality (2.18) is proved.

Let  $t \to T - 0$  in (2.18). The function  $\eta(t)$  is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\dot{\eta}(t)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Thus, there exists the limit

$$\lim_{t \to T-0} \dot{\eta}(t) = \dot{\eta}(T).$$

By  $\dot{v}(T)$  in inequality (2.19) one may understand  $\limsup_{t \to T-0} \dot{v}(t)$ , which does exist because  $\dot{v}(t)$  is bounded for all t < T by a constant independent of  $t \in [0, T]$ , due to the estimate (2.18).

To prove that  $T = \infty$  we prove that the "upper" solution w(t) to the inequality (2.13) exists for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

Define w(t) as the solution to the problem

$$\dot{w}(t) = a(t)\alpha \left(t, \frac{w(t)}{a(t)}\right) + a(t)\beta(t), \quad w(0) = v_0.$$
 (2.20)

The unique solution to problem (2.20) exists locally, on [0,T), because  $\alpha(t,g)$  is assumed locally Lipschitz. On the interval [0,T) one obtains inequality

$$0 \le v(t) \le w(t), \qquad t \in [0, T),$$

by the standard comparison lemma (see, e.g., [5], p.99, or [2]). Thus, inequality

$$0 \le v(t) \le w(t) \le \eta(t), \qquad t \in [0, T),$$
 (2.21)

holds.

The desired conclusion  $T = \infty$  one derives from the following result.

Proposition 3. The solution w(t) to problem (2.20) exists on every interval [0,T] on which it is a priori bounded by a constant depending only on T.

We prove this result later. Assuming that Proposition 3. is established, one concludes that  $T=\infty$ .

Let us finish the proof of Theorem 1 using *Proposition 3*. Since  $\eta(t)$  is bounded on any interval [0,T] (by a constant depending only on T) one concludes from *Proposition 3* that w(t) (and, therefore, v(t)) exists on all of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . If  $v(t) \leq \eta(t)$   $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , then inequality (1.5) holds (see (2.11) and (2.12)), and Theorem 1 is proved.

Let us prove *Proposition 3*.

*Proof of Proposition 3.* We prove a more general statement, namely, *Proposition 4*, from which *Proposition 3* follows.

Proposition 4. Assume that

$$\dot{u} = f(t, u), \quad u(0) = u_0,$$
 (2.22)

where f(t,u) is an operator in a Banach space X, locally Lipschitz with respect to u for every t, i.e.,  $||f(t,u) - f(t,v)|| \le L(t,M)||u-v||$ ,  $\forall v,v \in \{u: ||u|| \le M\}$ . The unique solution to problem (2.22) exists for all  $t \ge 0$  if and only if

$$||u(t)|| \le c(t), \quad t \ge 0,$$
 (2.23)

where c(t) is a continuous function defined for all  $t \ge 0$ , and inequality (2.23) holds for all t for which u(t) exists.

*Proof of Proposition 4.* The necessity of condition (2.23) is obvious: one may take c(t) = ||u(t)||.

To prove its sufficiency, recall a known local existence theorem, see, e.g., [1].

Proposition 5. If  $||f(t,u)|| \le M_1$  and  $||f(t,u)-f(t,v)|| \le L||u-v||$ ,  $\forall t \in [t_0, t_0+T_1]$ ,  $||u-u_0|| \le R$ ,  $u_0 = u(t_0)$ , then there exists a  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\delta = \min(\frac{R}{M_1}, \frac{1}{L}, T_1 - T)$ , such that for every  $\tau_0 \in [t_0, T]$ ,  $T < T_1$ , there exists a unique solution to equation (2.22) in the interval  $(\tau_0 - \delta, \tau + \delta)$  and  $||u(t) - u(t_0)|| \le R$ .

Using Proposition 5, let us prove the sufficiency of the assumption (2.23) for the global existence of u(t), i.e., for the existence of u(t) for all  $t \ge t_0$ .

Assume that condition (2.23) holds and the solution to problem (2.22) exists on  $[t_0, T)$  but does not exist on  $[t_0, T_1)$  for any  $T_1 > T$ . Let us derive a contradiction from this assumption.

Proposition 5 guarantees the existence and uniqueness of the solution to problem (2.22) with  $t_0 = T$  and the initial value  $u_0 = u(T - 0)$ . The value u(T - 0) exists if inequality (2.23) holds, as we prove below. The solution u(t) exists on the interval  $[T - \delta, T + \delta]$  and, by the uniqueness theorem, coincides with the solution u(t) of the problem (2.22) on the interval  $(T - \delta, T)$ . Therefore, the solution to (2.22) can

be uniquely extended to the interval  $[0, T + \delta)$ , contrary to the assumption that it does not exist on the interval  $[0, T_1)$  with any  $T_1 > T$ . This contradiction proves that  $T = \infty$ , i.e., the solution to problem (2.22) exists for all  $t \geq t_0$  if estimate (2.23) holds and c(t) is defined and continuous  $\forall t \geq t_0$ .

Let us now prove the existence of the limit

$$\lim_{t \to T - 0} u(t) := u(T - 0).$$

Let  $t_n \to T$ ,  $t_n < T$ . Then

8

$$||u(t_n) - u(t_{n+m})|| \le \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+m}} ||f(t, u(s))|| ds \le (t_{n+m} - t_n)M_1 \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Therefore, by the Cauchy criterion, there exists the limit

$$\lim_{t_n \to T - 0} u(t) = u(T - 0).$$

Estimate (2.23) guarantees the existence of the constant  $M_1$ .

Proposition 4 is proved

Therefore *Proposition 3* is also proved and, consequently, the statement of Theorem 1, corresponding to the assumption (1.5), is proved. In our case  $t_0 = 0$ , but one may replace the initial moment  $t_0 = 0$  in (1.1) by an arbitrary  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

Finally, if  $g(0) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(0)}$ , then one proves the inequality

$$0 \le g(t) \le \frac{1}{\mu(t)}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

using the argument similar to the above. This argument is left to the reader.

Theorem 1 is proved.

# 3. Discrete version of Theorem 1

**Theorem 2.** Assume that  $g_n \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha(n, g_n) \geq 0$ ,

$$g_{n+1} \le (1 - h_n \gamma_n) g_n + h_n \alpha(n, g_n) + h_n \beta_n, \quad h_n > 0, \ 0 < h_n \gamma_n < 1,$$
 (3.1)

and  $\alpha(n, g_n) \ge \alpha(n, q_n)$  if  $g_n \ge q_n$ . If there exists a sequence  $\mu_n > 0$  such that

$$\alpha(n, \frac{1}{\mu_n}) + \beta_n \le \frac{1}{\mu_n} (\gamma_n - \frac{\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n}{h_n \mu_n}),$$
 (3.2)

and

$$g_0 \le \frac{1}{\mu_0},\tag{3.3}$$

then

$$0 \le g_n \le \frac{1}{\mu_n} \qquad \forall n \ge 0. \tag{3.4}$$

*Proof.* For n=0 inequality (3.4) holds because of (3.3). Assume that it holds for all  $n \leq m$  and let us check that then it holds for n=m+1. If this is done, Theorem 2 is proved. Using the inductive assumption, one gets:

$$g_{m+1} \le (1 - h_m \gamma_m) \frac{1}{\mu_m} + h_m \alpha(m, \frac{1}{\mu_m}) + h_m \beta_m.$$

This and inequality (3.2) imply:

$$\begin{split} g_{m+1} & \leq (1-h_m\gamma_m)\frac{1}{\mu_m} + h_m\frac{1}{\mu_m}(\gamma_m - \frac{\mu_{m+1} - \mu_m}{h_m\mu_m}) \\ & = \frac{\mu_m h_m - \mu_m h_m^2\gamma_m + h_m^2\gamma_m \mu_m - h_m\mu_{m+1} + h_m\mu_m}{\mu_m^2 h_m} \\ & = \frac{2\mu_m h_m - h_m\mu_{m+1}}{\mu_m^2 h_m} = \frac{2\mu_m - \mu_{m+1}}{\mu_m^2} = \frac{1}{\mu_{m+1}} + \frac{2\mu_m - \mu_{m+1}}{\mu_m^2} - \frac{1}{\mu_{m+1}}. \end{split}$$

The proof is completed if one checks that

$$\frac{2\mu_m - \mu_{m+1}}{\mu_m^2} \le \frac{1}{\mu_{m+1}},$$

or, equivalently, that

$$2\mu_m \mu_{m+1} - \mu_{m+1}^2 - \mu_m^2 \le 0.$$

The last inequality is obvious since it can be written as

$$-(\mu_m - \mu_{m+1})^2 \le 0.$$

Theorem 2 is proved.

Theorem 2 was formulated in [3] and proved in [4]. We included for completeness a proof, which is different from the one in [4] only slightly.

#### References

- [1] Yu. L. Daleckii and M. G. Krein, Stability of solutions of differential equations in Banach spaces, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1974).
- [2] P. Hartman, Ordinary differential equations, J. Wiley, New York, (1964).
- [3] N.S. Hoang and A. G. Ramm, DSM of Newton-type for solving operator equations F(u) = f with minimal smoothness assumptions on F, International Journ. Comp.Sci. and Math. (IJCSM), 3 N1/2 (2010), 3-55.
- [4] N. S. Hoang and A. G. Ramm, A nonlinear inequality and applications, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Appl., 71 (2009) 2744-2752.
- [5] A. G. Ramm, Dynamical systems method for solving operator equations, Elsevier, Amsterdam, (2007).
- [6] A. G. Ramm, Stationary regimes in passive nonlinear networks, in the book Nonlinear Electromagnetics, Editor P. Uslenghi, Acad. Press, New York, (1980) 263–302.
- [7] A. G. Ramm, Theory and applications of some new classes of integral equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1980).

## A.G. RAMM

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, MANHATTAN, KS 66506-2602, USA  $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ ramm@math.ksu.edu$