

Research paper

S

Contrasting physiological traits of shade tolerance in *Pinus* and **Podocarpaceae** native to a tropical Vietnamese forest: insight from an aberrant flat-leaved pine

Stephanie C. Schmiege^{1,2,7}, Brendan M. Buckley³, Dennis Stevenson^{1,2}, Truong Quang Cuong⁴, Le Canh Nam⁵ and Kevin L. Griffin^{1,3,6}

¹ Department of Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Biology, Columbia University, 10th Floor Schermerhorn Extension, 1200 Amsterdam Ave., New York, NY 10027, USA; ² New York Botanical Garden, 2900 Southern Boulevard, Bronx, NY 10458, USA; ³ Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, 61 Route 9W, Palisades, NY 10964, USA; ⁴ Bidoup Nui Ba National Park, Da Nhim Commune, Lac Duong District, Lam Dong Province, Vietnam, 66210; ⁵ Forest Science Institute of Central Highlands and South of Central Vietnam, 09 Hung Vuong Street, Ward 10, Dalat City, Lam Dong Province, Vietnam, 66117; ⁶ Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Columbia University, 5th Floor Schermerhorn Extension, 1200 Amsterdam Ave., New York, NY 10027, USA; ⁷ Corresponding author (s.schmiege@columbia.edu)

Received April 1, 2020; accepted September 10, 2020; handling Editor Lucas Cernusak

The absence of pines from tropical forests is a puzzling biogeographical oddity potentially explained by traits of shade intolerance. Pinus krempfii (Lecomte), a flat-leaved pine endemic to the Central Highlands of Vietnam, provides a notable exception as it seems to compete successfully with shade-tolerant tropical species. Here, we test the hypothesis that successful conifer performance at the juvenile stage depends on physiological traits of shade tolerance by comparing the physiological characteristics of *P. krempfii* to coexisting species from two taxa: the genus *Pinus*, and a relatively abundant and shade-tolerant conifer family found in pantropical forests, the Podocarpaceae. We examined leaf photosynthetic, respiratory and biochemical traits. Additionally, we compiled attainable maximum photosynthesis, maximum RuBP carboxylation (V_{cmax}) and maximum electron transport (J_{max}) values for *Pinus* and Podocarpaceae species from the literature. In our literature compilation, P. krempfii was intermediate between Pinus and Podocarpaceae in its maximum photosynthesis and its V_{cmax} . Pinus exhibited a higher V_{cmax} than Podocarpaceae, resulting in a less steep slope in the linear relationship between J_{max} and V_{cmax} . These results suggest that *Pinus* may be more shade intolerant than Podocarpaceae, with P. krempfii falling between the two taxa. However, in contrast, Vietnamese conifers' leaf mass per areas and biochemical traits did not highlight the same intermediate nature of P. krempfii. Furthermore, regardless of leaf morphology or family assignation, all species demonstrated a common and extremely high carbon gain efficiency. Overall, our findings highlight the importance of shade-tolerant photosynthetic traits for conifer survival in tropical forests. However, they also demonstrate a diversity of shade tolerance strategies, all of which lead to the persistence of Vietnamese juvenile conifers in low-light tropical understories.

Keywords: carbon assimilation, Pinus, Podocarpaceae, shade tolerance, tropics.

Introduction

Pinaceae are found ubiquitously throughout the northern hemisphere (Farjon and Filer 2013). Yet, no natural Pinaceae have been found further south than $2^{\circ}S$ despite the success of

Pinaceae as plantation species in the southern hemisphere (Richardson et al. 1994, Richardson and Higgins 1998, Procheş et al. 2012). The fossil records concur, demonstrating

abundant fossil evidence of Pinaceae throughout the northern hemisphere, but no evidence of Pinaceae in the southern hemisphere (Miller 1976, Taylor et al. 2009, Smith et al. 2016). In contrast, other conifer families are more broadly distributed. Cupressaceae are found globally, and Podocarpaceae cross the equator from the southern into the northern hemisphere despite their Gondwanan origins (Hill and Brodribb 1999, Morley 2011, Brodribb et al. 2012, Farjon and Filer 2013). Although Pinaceae are Laurasian in origin, many species, especially from the genus Pinus, have the ability to disperse widely and quickly (Huntley and Webb 1989, Richardson et al. 1994, Farjon and Filer 2013, Leslie et al. 2017). Furthermore, no geographical barrier definitively prevents pines from entering the southern hemisphere. Thus, it seems unlikely that either a confining geographical barrier or poor dispersal could be the only explanations for the northern confines of Pinus (Brodribb and Feild 2008, Brodribb et al. 2012).

An alternate hypothesis ascribes the inability of Pinus to expand southward to an 'ecological barrier' imposed by large swaths of equatorial, tropical forests (Brodribb and Feild 2008, Boyce et al. 2010, Brodribb et al. 2012). This hypothesis proposes that conifers are largely unable to compete with fastgrowing tropical species for essential resources due to narrow tracheids and single-veined needles that result in small leaf areas and slow growth rates in conifer seedlings (Bond 1989, Becker 2000, Brodribb et al. 2007). Although needle-leaved *Pinus* are frequently successful in high-light conditions, their lack of a flat leaf in low-light tropical forest understories may make them poor competitors in the tropics where shady conditions are prevalent during regeneration. Wide, flat leaves are essential for capturing photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) when light availability is limited (Leverenz 1995, Brodribb and Hill 1997, Lusk 2002, Brodribb 2011). Many Podocarapceae, in contrast to Pinus, have wider, flatter leaves and survive successfully in densely canopied tropical forests (Brodribb 2011). Only in the last 60 million years and after the rise of fastgrowing angiosperms (Morley 2011, Quiroga et al. 2016), did podocarps colonize the tropics. The fossil records show a transition from an imbricate to a flatter leaf form as they adapted to shady, wet, tropical areas (Biffin et al. 2012). Thus, shade tolerance traits may be instrumental to Podocarpaceae survival, and Pinus exclusion from tropical forests. Comparisons between Pinus and Podocarpaceae foliar traits may provide further insight into shade tolerance as a driver of the contrasting success of these conifer families in tropical forests.

Wide leaves with large leaf areas are part of a suite of physiological and structural traits associated with shade tolerance. Physiologically, decreased light availability often correlates to lower rates of light-saturated net carbon assimilation (A_{max}) as seen in many Podocarpaceae species (Lusk et al. 2003, Brodribb and Feild 2008). It also commonly leads to: lower maximum rates of electron transport (J_{max}) and RuBP

carboxylation (V_{cmax}); higher apparent quantum yields (Φ), in order to take greater advantage of light when it is available; lower light saturation points (LSPs), as high levels of irradiance are rarely experienced; lower light compensation points (LCPs); and lower respiration in the dark and the light ($R_{\rm D}$ and $R_{\rm L}$, respectively), so that the plant can maintain a positive carbon balance (Loach 1967, Teskey and Shrestha 1985, Walters and Field 1987, Givnish 1988, Craine and Reich 2005, Sendall et al. 2016). Often, shade-tolerant species have superior carbon balance in low-light environments when compared with shadeintolerant species (Valladares and Niinemets 2008). Carbon balance has been examined using a variety of metrics including the ratio of R_D to gross photosynthesis (R_D/A_{gross}), carbonuse efficiency (CUE) $(1 - R_D/A_{qross})$ and carbon-gain efficiency (CGE) $(A_{max}/(A_{max} + R_L + PR))$, where PR is photorespiration) (Valladares and Niinemets 2008, Heskel et al. 2013b, Pons and Poorter 2014). The inclusion of $R_{\rm L}$ and PR is rare in estimates of carbon flux; however, both are sensitive to changes in environmental conditions (e.g., irradiance), making their quantification important for accurate calculations of carbon balance. Structurally, leaf mass per area (LMA) also varies with shade tolerance (Poorter et al. 2009), although the direction of the relationship varies among functional groups (e.g., between deciduous and evergreen species; Lusk et al. 2008, Lusk 2019). Among evergreen conifer species, a universal LMA-shade tolerance relationship has not been identified (Leverenz et al. 2000, Wyka et al. 2012). Nevertheless, LMA is an important indicator of plant ecological strategies (Grime 1977, Wright et al. 2004), and may contribute to a strategy of shade tolerance, impacting the interpretation of our physiological data.

In this study, we compare foliar physiological, biochemical and structural traits of juveniles of the majority of conifer species from a tropical forest in the Central Highlands of Vietnam. This location is unusual compared with many tropical forests in that it contains a large number of conifer species, including two needle-leaved Pinus species, as well as Pinus krempfii (Lecomte), the only known flat-leaved pine in the world. Adult trees of this species have been previously shown to have structural characteristics similar to Podocarpus neriifolius (D. Don), including low maximum photosynthesis, wider leaves and sclerified tissues to transport water radially through the leaves (Brodribb and Feild 2008). However, there are no reports of these traits in juveniles of P. krempfii or other co-occuring conifers. The coexistence of needle-leaved Pinus, flat-leaved P. krempfii and flat-leaved Podocarpaceae provides a unique opportunity to compare and contrast these taxa and to consider whether Pinus absence and Podocarpaceae presence in the majority of tropical forests is related to physiological traits of shade tolerance in juveniles. We hypothesize that flat-leaved P. krempfii will demonstrate similar physiological characteristics to coexisting Podocarpaceae species and that these characteristics will reveal a shade tolerance tendency lacking from needleleaved *Pinus* also growing in the Central Highlands.

Materials and methods

Study site

This research was conducted in Bidoup Nui Ba National Park, near the city of Dalat in Lam Dong Province in the Central Highlands of Vietnam (12°08′ 00.50″ N latitude, 108° 32′00.65″ E longitude). The Central Highlands are a part of the Annamite range along which the Laurasian and Gondwanan supercontinents converged (Gupta 2005, Thomas et al. 2007). The location receives seasonal precipitation from the Southeast Asian Summer Monsoon (SEAMS) from June through September and experiences an extended dry period from November through April (Wang and Ho 2002). The location is at approximately 1500 m above sea level, has a mean annual temperature of 18 °C and receives approximately 1800 mm of rainfall a year (Brodribb and Feild 2008).

Compared with other tropical locations, montane tropical forests often contain greater numbers of conifer species (Enright and Jaffré 2011, Ushio et al. 2017). The Central Highlands of Vietnam are a prime example, containing a huge variety of conifer species and families: in total, 13 species of conifers from four of the eight conifer families. We examined seven of these species, hailing from two families: Pinus kesiya (Royle ex Gordon), Pinus dalatensis (Ferré), P. krempfii (Pinaceae); P. neriifolius, Dacrydium elatum ((Roxb.) Wall. ex Hook.), Dacrycarpus imbricatus ((Blume) de Laub.), Nageia wallichiana ((Presl.) O. Kuntze) (Podocarpaceae; Table 1). Several species from the Pinaceae are rare and/or endemic to the Central Highlands such as P. dalatensis and P. krempfii (Hiêp et al. 1996). P. kesiya is not found in the forest interior but in monoculture stands at forest edges. It is prevalent near human disturbed areas where fires are common (Goldammer and Penafiel 1990, Agee 1998). All podocarp species are found in the forest interior.

Measurements were taken on individuals that originated in a 20 ha forest plot in Bidoup Nui Ba National Park. Only *P. kesiya* was not found in this plot, but instead at the forest headquarters where *P. kesiya* grows in monoculture stands. Juveniles of at least 3 years old were transplanted from their understory forest locations into pots with their native forest soil, and grown in a shade house at the Bidoup Nui Ba Forest headquarters. During mid-day on 8 January 2016, light conditions measured inside the shade house with a Li-Cor Quantum sensor (Q24375, LiCor, Lincoln, NE, USA) were 70% lower than outside the shade house (400 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ compared with 1350 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹). Plants were adapted to shade house conditions for at least 6 months before gas exchange measurements were taken. All measurements were taken in January and February.

Gas exchange measurements

Fully expanded, attached, top-of-canopy leaves were selected from at least six juvenile plants of each species for gas exchange measurements (between one and three curves per plant). Photosynthetic CO₂ response (A– C_i) curves and light response curves were collected using two portable photosynthesis systems (LI-6800, LiCor). Energy balance calculations of leaf temperature were used throughout in case the needle tissue was not in full contact with the chamber thermocouple.

For the $A-C_i$ curves, the temperature of the cuvette was set to between 20 and 25 °C and the relative humidity was set to between 60 and 70%. The photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) was set to the PPFD required by each species to achieve saturating photosynthesis. The progression of CO₂ concentrations was as follows: 400, 300, 200, 100, 50, 25, 400, 400, 400, 400, 600, 800, 1000, 1200, 1500, 1700 ppm. Curves were analyzed to extract the values of V_{cmax} (the maximum carboxylation rate of ribulose 1,5 bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase (RuBisCO) and J_{max} (the maximum electron transport rate) and corrected to 25 °C using the plantecophys package in R (Duursma 2015), which implements the photosynthesis model of Farquhar, von Caemmerer and Berry (Farquhar et al. 1980).

Light response curves were also measured using the same portable photosynthesis systems (LI-6800, LiCor) and the temperature of the cuvette and relative humidity were kept the same as the $A-C_i$ curves for each species. The reference CO₂ concentration was set to 400 ppm and photosynthesis was measured at the following light intensities: 1500, 1200, 800, 200, 100, 90, 80, 70, 60, 50, 45, 40, 35, 30, 25, 20, 15, 10, 5, 0 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Samples were then left in the cuvette at zero irradiance for 15 min before measuring respiration in the dark (R_D). Maximum likelihood estimation (executed using the bblme package for R (Bolker and R Development Core Team 2020)) was used to fit the following model (describing a nonrectangular hyperbola) to the data:

$$A = \frac{\Phi * I + A_{\max} - \sqrt{(\Phi * I + A_{\max})^2 - 4 * \theta * \Phi * I * A_{\max}}}{2 * \theta} - R_D$$

where θ is the curvature factor and *I* is the irradiance. Several parameters were extracted from the fit of the curves including maximum photosynthesis (A_{max}), apparent quantum yield (Φ), the LCP (the light level at which net carbon flux is zero) and the LSP (the light level at which 75% of maximum net carbon flux is obtained) (Singsaas et al. 2001). Measurements of respiration and photosynthesis were used to examine several parameters of carbon balance including the ratio of R_D to A_{gross} (the sum of A_{max} and R_D), the CUE, calculated according to the equation: CUE = $1 - (R_D/A_{gross})$, and the CGE, calculated according to the equation:

Table 1. Characteristics of the conifers of the Central Highlands of Vietnam, compiled from the literature, including Luu and Thomas (2004), Loc et al. (2017), Coomes and Bellingham (2011) and Farjon (2017). All images show the juvenile leaf forms. Adult *P. krempfii* leaves become narrower and more needle-like; adult foliage of *D. elatum* and *D. imbricatus* becomes more imbricate.

Species (Family)	Leaf morphology	Canopy dominance	Natural Regeneration	Distribution	Altitude
<i>Pinus kesiya</i> (Pinaceae)	Needle	Pure stands	Pioneer species, heavy seed crops	Disturbed areas, acidic soils, fire resistant	1300- 2300m
<i>Pinus dalatensis</i> (Pinaceae)	Needle	Canopy emergent	Shade intolerant, periodic fire or clearings	Moist evergreen subtropical forest, flat mountain tops	1500- 2200m
<i>Pinus krempfii</i> (Pinaceae)	Flat	Canopy emergent	Abundant under adult trees (personal obs.)	Dense subtropical forests, moist soils, well-developed humus layer	1500- 1800m
<i>Dacrydium elatum</i> (Podocarpaceae)	Needle / Imbricate	Canopy ~40m tall	Occasional	Scattered in moist rainforest, most abundant in disturbed, open conditions	700- 2000m
Dacrycarpus imbricatus (Podocarpaceae)	Flat / Imbricate	Canopy ~50m tall	Occasional, shade tolerant seedlings, light demanding adults	Common in both primary and secondary rainforest	500- 1500m
<i>Nageia wallichiana</i> (Podocarpaceae)	Flat	Barely canopy emergent ~54m tall	Occasional, saplings rare	Scattered in primary rainforest	700- 2100m
<i>Podocarpus neriifolius</i> (Podocarpaceae)	Flat	Canopy ~25m tall	Occasional, shade tolerant	Evergreen subtropical rainforest, fertile soils	600- 1500m

et al. (2013b). Note that PR represents photorespiration, the CO_2 released due to the oxygenation of RuBisCO, and it was calculated according to the equations of von Caemmerer and Farquhar (1981). See Ayub et al. (2011) for a description. Respiration in the light (R_L) was collected according to the methods described below.

Respiration in the light

A modified light curve (collected with the LI-6800, LiCor) with additional data points collected at every 5 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ PPFD below 100 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ PPFD was used to measure respiration in the light (R_L) following the methods of Kok

(1948), Sharp et al. (1984) and Heskel et al. (2013a). From these data, a breakpoint near the LCP marks a subtle change in slope between the upper and lower linear portions of the light response curve (Figure S1 available as Supplementary Data at Tree Physiology Online). Extending the fit of the line from the upper portion of the curve to the y-axis, Bessel Kok identified an alternate respiration value which he interpreted to represent respiration in the light (Kok 1948, Heskel et al. 2013a). The Kok method assumes that light is the sole driver of changes in carbon assimilation during the light response curve; however, low light levels often lead to an accumulation of CO₂ in the leaf which in turn alters the internal CO2 concentration (c_i) . Therefore, c_i was corrected to a constant value following Kirschbaum and Farquhar (1987) as described by Ayub et al. (2011). Kok curves were not obtained for P. neriifolius, and thus neither $R_{\rm L}$ nor PR estimates are available for this species.

Leaf trait measurements

All CO2 flux measurements required leaf area correction in order to obtain accurate photosynthetic values. Leaves were photographed and the images used to measure projected leaf areas in ImageJ (Schnieder et al. 2012). The use of projected leaf area of needles may introduce some uncertainty (Lloyd et al. 2013), and should be kept in mind during the interpretation of these results. Leaves were then placed into coin envelopes, dried at 60 °C for a minimum of 48 h and weighed for leaf dry mass (g). Leaf areas and dry masses were used to calculate LMA ($q m^{-2}$). Lastly, the dried leaf samples were prepared for carbon:nitrogen (C:N) ratio analysis. Leaves were ground into a fine powder using a ball mill (SPEX 8000 Mixer/Mill, Metuchen, NJ, USA), weighed into tin capsules and run through a carbonnitrogen flash analyzer (CE Elantech, Lakewood, NJ, USA). From these data the C:N ratio, nitrogen per unit leaf area (Narea; mg N cm⁻²) and carbon per unit leaf area (C_{area}; mg C cm⁻²) were calculated.

Literature comparison

In order to ascertain more broadly whether *P. krempfii* exhibits physiological characteristics more similar to Podocarpaceae, several parameters measured from the species in Vietnam were compared with published data. The A_{max} , V_{cmax} and J_{max} values on projected area bases for species from the genus *Pinus* as well as for species from the Podocarpaceae were compiled from published studies (Gower et al. 1993, Wullschleger 1993, Lewis et al. 1994, Thomas et al. 1994, Zhang and Marshall 1995, Porte and Loustau 1998, Turnbull et al. 1998, Bond et al. 1999, Jiang et al. 1999, Tissue et al. 1999, 2005, Griffin et al. 2000, Cernusak and Marshall 2001, Warren and Adams 2001, De Lucia et al. 2003, Lusk et al. 2003, Springer et al. 2008, Bown et al. 2009, Nagano et al. 2009, Guan and Wen 2011, Han 2011, Tosens et al. 2012, Hasper et al. 2017,

Li et al. 2019) and the TRY database (Kattge et al. 2020), including the following published studies: Wright et al. 2004, Choat et al. 2012, Atkin et al. 2015, Smith and Dukes 2017, Wang et al. 2018 (Table S1 available as Supplementary Data at *Tree Physiology* Online). $A_{\rm max}$ values were compared at ambient temperatures and saturating light, although these varied across species. $V_{\rm cmax}$ and $J_{\rm max}$ values were corrected to 25 °C using the equation:

$$k_{25} = \frac{k}{\exp\left[E_{a}\left(T_{k} - 298\right) / (298 * R T_{k})\right]}$$

according to Medlyn et al. (2002) in which k_{25} represents the parameter value at 25 °C (V_{cmax} or J_{max}), k is the parameter value at the measured temperature, T_k is the temperature in Kelvin and R is the universal gas constant (8.314 JK⁻¹ mol⁻¹). E_a is the function's exponential rate of increase, and was assumed to be 64.8 klmol⁻¹ for V_{cmax} as determined in Badger and Collatz (1977), and implemented in Atkin et al. (2015), and 50 klmol⁻¹ for J_{max} (Medlyn et al. 2002). We used the Arrhenius function for J_{max} as it has been found to perform as well as a peaked function in species of pines (Medlyn et al. 2002).

Statistical analyses

Before analysis, all data were assessed for and transformed in order to fulfill assumptions of normality. If transformation was necessary, the function transformTukey was used in the rcompanion package for R (Mangiafico 2020). Individual seedlings with more than one set of measurements were averaged. Interspecific comparisons were made using analysis of variance and Tukey post hoc tests with a significance level of 0.05.

Linear mixed effects models run using the Ime4 package (Bates et al. 2015) were used to examine relationships between A_{max} , V_{cmax} and J_{max} as a function of either N_{area} or LMA, to compare the A_{max} , V_{cmax} and J_{max} values of *P. krempfii* with Pinus and Podocarpaceae literature values, and to examine the slope of the relationships between $V_{\rm cmax}$ and $J_{\rm max}$ by taxonomic group. In all cases, species was included as a random intercept. In order to examine the variation in slope between taxonomic groups in the relationship between $V_{\rm cmax}$ and $J_{\rm max}$, an interaction effect was also included (log(J_{max}) ~ log(V_{cmax}) * group + (1|species); the full model can be viewed in Methods S1 available as Supplementary Data at *Tree Physiology* Online). Fixed effect parameter estimates and their significance levels were determined using the ImerTest package (Kuznetsova et al. 2017) and the marginal coefficient of determination (the variance explained by the fixed effects; r_m^2) and conditional coefficient of determination (the variance explained by the fixed and the random effects; r_c^2) were determined using the MuMln package (Bartoń 2020). Pairwise comparisons of the slopes between the taxonomic groups were conducted via Tukey post hoc in the emmeans package (Lenth 2020). All analyses were completed in R v. 3.6.3 (R Development Core Team 2020).

	F-value	P > F	r ²	df
Photosynthesis				
A _{max}	7.96	<0.001	0.46	6, 43
Φ	1.88	0.106	O.1	6, 43
LSP	11.12	<0.001	0.55	6, 43
LCP	9.31	<0.001	0.5	6, 43
V _{cmax}	16.2	<0.001	0.66	6, 41
J _{max}	3.92	0.003	0.27	6, 41
Respiration				
R _D	4.89	0.001	0.32	6, 43
RL	7.45	<0.001	0.55	5, 21
$R_{\rm D}/A_{\rm gross}$	4.93	0.001	0.32	6, 43
CUE	5.54	<0.001	0.36	6, 43
PR	9.1	<0.001	0.61	5, 21
CGE	2.2	0.092	0.19	5, 21
Leaf traits				
% carbon	10.83	<0.001	0.52	6, 48
% nitrogen	4.77	0.001	0.3	6, 48
C:N	6.25	<0.001	0.37	6, 48
N _{area}	3.42	0.007	0.21	6, 48
C _{area}	8.49	<0.001	0.45	6, 48
LMA	7.01	<0.001	0.40	6, 48

Table 2. Summaries of the one-way ANOVA model results for photosynthetic, respiratory and leaf trait characteristics for the conifer species in the Central Highlands of Vietnam.

Abbreviations: A_{max} , maximum photosynthesis (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹); Φ , apparent quantum yield; LSP, light saturation point (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹); *V*_{cmax}, maximum carboxylation rate (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹); J_{max} , maximum electron transport (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹); R_D , respiration in the dark (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹); R_L , respiration in the light (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹); A_{gross} , sum of A_{max} and R_D ; CUE, carbon use efficiency; PR, photorespiration; CGE, carbon gain efficiency; C:N, ratio of carbon to nitrogen; N_{area} , nitrogen per leaf area (mg N cm⁻²); C_{area} , carbon per leaf area (mg C cm⁻²); LMA, leaf mass per area (g m⁻²).

Results

Gas exchange and leaf traits

Among the conifers of the Central Highlands of Vietnam, P. krempfii and the Podocarpaceae had significantly lower area-based A_{max} , V_{cmax} and LSP, and trended toward lower area-based J_{max} than P. kesiya (the only Pinus not growing in the forest interior; P < 0.05; Figure 1a, c, e and f; Table 2). However, P. dalatensis, the only other needle-leaved pine from this area, often fell between P. kesiya and P. krempfii, showing no statistical difference from either species (Figure 1a, e and f). The only exception was that P. dalatensis reached saturation at significantly lower light levels than P. kesiya, converging on similar LSPs to P. krempfii and all Podocarpaceae except P. neriifolius (Figure 1c). Few significant differences were apparent in species' LCP, although it is notable that *D. elatum*, the only needle-leaved Podocarpaceae, had significantly higher LCP than the other Podocarpaceae. There were no significant differences across species in the apparent quantum yield (P > 0.05; Figure 1b, Table 2).

With regard to area-based respiratory characteristics such as respiration in the dark (R_D), respiration in the light (R_L) and photorespiration (PR), significant differences were apparent across species in the Central Highlands of Vietnam

(Table 2); however, these differences did not clearly separate between Pinaceae and Podocarpaceae (Figure 2a, b and e, respectively). While an overall trend of decreasing respiration was apparent from the Pinaceae to Podocarpaceae, D. elatum, the only needle-leaved Podocarpaceae, had $R_{\rm D}$ and $R_{\rm L}$ equal to the needle-leaved Pinaceae (Figure 2a and b). Overall, measures of these species' carbon balance showed few differences between species. The R_D/A_{gross} ratio and the CUE showed no differences between species except for D. elatum and N. wallichiana, which had slightly higher R_D/A_{aross} (Figure 2c), and slightly lower CUE (Figure 2d). All species had similar CGEs (Figure 2f, Table 2). Significant differences were apparent in % carbon, % nitrogen, C:N, Narea, Carea and LMA (Table 2); however, as with the respiratory characteristics, no patterns emerged with regard to species' familial assignations (Figure 3).

On a mass basis, *P. krempfii* had significantly lower A_{max} than *P. kesiya. P. dalatensis*, the other Vietnamese needle-leaved conifer, had lower A_{max} , similar to *P. krempfii* and most Podocarpaceae (Figure S2a, Table S2 available as Supplementary Data at *Tree Physiology* Online). Respiratory characteristics also exhibited few significant differences among species (Figure S2b, Table S2 available as Supplementary Data at *Tree Physiology* Online).



Figure 1. Leaf level photosynthetic characteristics on an area basis including maximum photosynthesis (A_{max} ; a), apparent quantum yield (Φ ; b), LSP (c), LCP (d), maximum carboxylation rate (V_{cmax} ; e) and maximum electron transport (J_{max} ; f) of each of the conifer species including *P. neriifolius* (pone), *D. imbricatus* (daim), *D. elatum* (dael), *N. wallichiana* (nawa), *P. kesyia* (pike) and *P. dalatensis* (pida), compared with *P. krempfii* (pikr). Species from the Podocarpaceae are in shades of green, species from *Pinus* are in shades of blue and *P. krempfii* is in light blue. The boxplots represent the median as well as the first and third quartiles. Whiskers delimit the range for each group, with outliers falling outside ×1.5 the interquartile range marked by points. Significant differences between groups are marked by different letters (P < 0.05).

Relationships between physiological parameters and leaf traits

On an area basis, relationships between physiological parameters and both leaf nitrogen content and LMA were examined. Significant relationships were found between all parameters (P < 0.05; Figure 4). For all relationships, the random effect of species explained a larger proportion of the variance in the full model than the fixed effects (Table 3), indicating species-specific differences in all these relationships. For the relationships between N_{area} and either A_{max} or V_{cmax} , excluding *P. kesiya* (a visual outlier) increased the variance explained by the fixed effects (Figure 4a and c, Table 3). For N_{area} vs J_{max} , however, the marginal coefficient of determination was similar regardless of *P. kesiya* exclusion (Figure 4e, Table 3).

Relationships between A_{max} , V_{cmax} and J_{max} and LMA were also examined both with and without *P. kesiya* (Figure 4b, d and f, Table 3). Once again, exclusion of *P. kesiya* increased the variance explained by the fixed effects in the relationships between A_{max} and V_{cmax} vs LMA (Figure 4b and d, Table 3), but had no effect on the relationship between J_{max} and LMA (Figure 4f, Table 3).

On a mass basis, a significant relationship was found between A_{max} and N_{mass} when all species were included but not when *P. kesiya* was excluded (Figure S3a; Table S3 available as Supplementary Data at *Tree Physiology* Online). As with area-based relationships, the variance explained by the fixed effects was much lower than that explained by the random effect of species (Table S3 available as Supplementary Data at *Tree Physiology* Data at *Tree Physiology* Online).



Figure 2. Foliar respiration in the dark (R_D ; a), respiration in the light (R_L ; b), ratio of R_D to gross photosynthesis (R_D/A_{gross} ; c), CUE (d), photorespiration (PR; e) and CGE (f) on an area basis of the conifer species compared with *P. krempfii*. Species are labeled and colored as in Figure 1. The boxplots represent the median as well as the first and third quartiles. Whiskers delimit the range for each group, with outliers falling outside ×1.5 the interquartile range marked by points. Significant differences between groups are marked by different letters (P < 0.05).

Online). In contrast to area-based relationships, the relationship between mass-based A_{max} and LMA was not significant except when *P. kesiya* was excluded (Figure S3b available as Supplementary Data at *Tree Physiology* Online).

Comparisons with literature values of A_{max} , V_{cmax} and J_{max}

Comparisons of *P. krempfii* and the conifers from Vietnam with literature values of species from *Pinus* and the Podocarpaceae are important to ascertain whether shade-tolerant physiological characteristics determine broader *Pinus* exclusion from tropical forests and thus the southern hemisphere. *P. krempfii* had one of the lowest maximum photosynthetic (A_{max}) values of the pines, with only *P. edulis and P. serotina* demonstrating lower values (Figure 5). In contrast, *P. kesiya* had comparatively high A_{max} . As a group, *Pinus* had significantly higher A_{max} than Podocarpaceae,

with *P. krempfii* falling between the two groups (P < 0.05; Figure 5). Similar patterns were apparent in V_{cmax} and J_{max} (Figure 5). *P. krempfii* had one of the lower V_{cmax} and J_{max} values of the *Pinus* compiled from the literature. *P. kesiya* also had a relatively high V_{cmax} , although its J_{max} was closer to *P. krempfii*. As in A_{max} , *Pinus* had a significantly higher V_{cmax} than Podocarpaceae, with *P. krempfii* falling between the two groups (P < 0.05; Figure 5). In contrast, no significant differences were found between taxonomic groups (*Pinus, P. krempfii*, Podocarpaceae) for J_{max} (P > 0.05; Figure 5). These findings support past literature compilations in which A_{max} of *P. krempfii* was found to be in the range of flat-leaved Podocarpaceae (Brodribb and Feild 2008), while providing additional, novel information on the mechanistic underpinnings of this pattern through the additional compilation of V_{cmax} and J_{max} values.



Figure 3. Foliar traits including % carbon (a), % nitrogen (b), C:N ratio (c), nitrogen per leaf area (N_{area} ; d), carbon per leaf area (C_{area} ; e) and LMA (f) for the conifers of the Central Highlands of Vietnam. Species are labeled and colored as in Figure 1. The boxplots represent the median as well as the first and third quartiles. Whiskers delimit the range for each group, with outliers falling outside ×1.5 the interquartile range marked by points. Significant differences between groups are marked by different letters (P < 0.05).

When comparing the relationships between V_{cmax} and J_{max} as a function of taxonomic group (*Pinus*, *P. krempfii* or Podocarpaceae), species from *Pinus*, including *P. kesiya* and *P. dalatensis* from Vietnam, had a significantly less steep slope than the Podocarpaceae (Figure 6, Table 4). *P. krempfii*, despite its similar slope to Podocarpaceae, was not found to be significantly different from either *Pinus* or Podocarpaceae. Regardless of the differences between *Pinus* and Podocarpaceae, a strong relationship was found between V_{cmax} and J_{max} ($r_m^2 = 0.72$; $r_c^2 = 0.89$).

Discussion

Variation in shade tolerance strategies among the Vietnamese conifers

Several physiological traits support the convergence of *P. krempfii* toward flat-leaved Podocarpaceae and an adaptation

to shade. For example, P. krempfii, along with species from the Podocarpaceae, had lower maximum photosynthetic capacity (A_{max}), a trait common to shade-tolerant plants (Mulkey et al. 1993, Hikosaka and Terashima 1996, Niinemets et al. 1998). The two co-limiting processes controlling maximum photosynthetic capacity and representing the maximum rates of the light and dark reactions, J_{max} and V_{cmax} (Wullschleger 1993), provide a more mechanistic interpretation of the decreasing Amax from needle-leaved Pinus to flat-leaved P. krempfii and Podocarpaceae. These two processes correlate strongly with each other. Yet, shade-intolerant species often preferentially allocate resources to the dark reactions, allowing for greater RuBisCO activity and carbon fixation, while shadetolerant species may preferentially allocate resources to the light reactions of photosynthesis (Seemann et al. 1987, Evans 1989). This could account for the lack of significant differences among P. krempfii, other needle-leaved Pinus and



Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/treephys/article/41/2/223/5911517 by Milbank Memorial Library user on 12 August 202

Figure 4. Linear mixed effects relationships between Amax, V_{cmax} or J_{max} and N_{area} (a, c, e), and A_{max}, V_{cmax} or J_{max} and LMA (b, d, f) for all conifer species measured in the Central Highlands of Vietnam. Individual species are labeled and colored as in Figure 1. The solid black line represents the linear relationship with all species included. The dashed black line shows the relationship if P. kesiya (pike) is excluded. Each point represents an individual seedling. If more than one measurement per seedling was taken, the values were averaged and error bars representing 1 SEM were included. The relationship was considered significant if P < 0.05. Marginal coefficients of determination (r_m^2) are also reported.

Podocarpaceae in J_{max} , but the more pronounced significant differences in V_{cmax} between P. kesiya (the only Pinus not growing in the forest interior) and both P. krempfii and the Podocarpaceae.

Differences in V_{cmax} and J_{max} between shade-tolerant and intolerant species also usually correlate with the investment of nitrogen in these processes. Thus, shade-intolerant species with higher $V_{\rm cmax}$ should invest more nitrogen into proteins necessary for the dark reactions of photosynthesis, primarily RuBisCO (Seemann et al. 1987, Evans 1989). In contrast, shade-tolerant species may invest greater nitrogen into chlorophyll and the light harvesting complexes necessary for the production of ATP and NADPH in the light reactions (Seemann et al. 1987, Evans 1989). Including P. kesiya in the

relationship between N and both A_{max} and V_{cmax} substantially reduced the coefficients of determination, suggesting that P. kesiya, following a pioneering, high-light strategy, may invest greater N toward RuBisCO. In contrast, no differences were seen in the N vs J_{max} relationship between P. kesiya and other Vietnamese conifers, indicating that shade-tolerant P. krempfii and Podocarpaceae may invest a greater proportion of available N toward light-harvesting thylakoid proteins in order to maintain similar rates of electron transport to P. kesiya. Larger leaf areas may further assist shade-tolerant Podocarpaceae and P. krempfii to maintain high J_{max}, highlighting, again, the importance of light interception and the multiple mechanisms through which shade-tolerant species can enhance light capture (Lusk and Reich 2000).

pone

daim

dael

nawa

pike

pida



Figure 5. Bar graphs of maximum photosynthesis (A_{max}), maximum RuBP carboxylation (V_{cmax}) and maximum electron transport rate (J_{max}) values taken under optimal conditions for species from *Pinus* and for flat-leaved species from the Podocarpaceae. The bar graphs show mean values for each species ±1 standard error, if more than one record for the species existed in the literature. Bolded species are those that were measured in Vietnam with species' colors matching Figure 1. The boxplots show data in the bar graphs grouped taxonomically by *Pinus* (blue), *P. krempfii* (light blue) and Podocarpaceae (green). The boxplots represent the median as well as the first and third quartiles. Whiskers delimit the range for each group, with outliers falling outside ×1.5 the interquartile range marked by points. Significant differences between groups are marked by different letters (P < 0.05).

The suite of photosynthetic characteristics quantified here provides additional mechanistic details on previous work in which *P. krempfii* was shown to converge toward shade-tolerant traits of Podocarpaceae (Brodribb and Feild 2008). Our findings further expand on past work by quantifying, often for the first time, the photosynthetic characteristics of a majority of the conifers growing in this unique forest with high conifer diversity.

P. dalatensis, a relatively rare needle-leaved conifer growing in the forest interior, may hold additional clues. It shows a similar LSP to *P. krempfii* and coexisting Podocarpaceae, but possesses other physiological traits that fall between *P. kesiya*, and flat-leaved *P. krempfii* and Podocarpaceae. It is possible that *P. dalatenesis*, like many higher-light species, can demonstrate greater plasticity in response to light availability (Poorter et al.

Table 3. Marginal and conditional coefficients of determination (r_m^2 ; r_c^2 , respectively) for linear mixed effects models of physiological and leaf traits for all the conifers of the Central Highlands of Vietnam (all data), and excluding *P. kesiya* (pike).

		N _{area}		LMA
	r _m ²	r _c ²	r _m ²	r _c ²
A _{max}				
All data	0.18	0.63	0.14	0.67
No pike	0.29	0.57	0.26	0.70
V _{cmax}				
All data	0.20	0.72	0.13	0.73
No pike	0.36	0.61	0.19	0.58
J _{max}				
All data	0.40	0.65	0.26	0.63
No pike	0.44	0.63	0.28	0.57

Abbreviations: A_{max} , maximum photosynthesis (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹); V_{cmax} , maximum carboxylation rate (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹); J_{max} , maximum electron transport (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹); N_{area} , nitrogen per leaf area (mg N cm⁻²); LMA, leaf mass per area (g m⁻²).



Figure 6. Linear relationships between V_{cmax} and J_{max} for species from the genus *Pinus*, compared with *P. krempfii* and species from the Podocarpaceae determined from a single linear mixed effects model in which J_{max} was modeled as a function of V_{cmax} and the interaction between taxonomic group (*Pinus*, *P. krempfii* or Podocarpaceae). Colored points for each group are those values that were measured in Vietnam. Unshaded points were those collected from the literature. A random intercept for species was included to account for any multiple measures per species. The marginal coefficient of variation (r_m^2) is reported. Slope estimates, ± 1 standard error are also presented for each group. Slopes considered significantly different from other groups are marked by different letters (P < 0.05).

2009). This may account for the continued presence of *P. dalatensis* in the forest interior, albeit in small stands, as juveniles may be able to persist until released by canopy disturbance events that then permit rapid growth (Loc et al. 2017). Regardless, the similarity between *P. dalatensis* and *P. krempfii* in many foliar traits suggests a continuum between shade-intolerant *Pinus* and shade-tolerant Podocarpaceae in which *P. krempfii* trends toward more shade-tolerant and Podocarp-like traits.

Adaptation of *P. krempfii* to shaded environments has resulted in convergence toward similar photosynthetic traits to shadetolerant podocarps. However, we found no significant differences in Φ across species and few discernible differences in LCP,

respiratory characteristics, LMA, or carbon balance between needle-leaved pines, P. krempfii and Podocarpaceae species. It is not uncommon for conifer leaf traits such as R_D to demonstrate lower variability than, for example, broad-leaved angiosperms across differing light environments (Lusk and Reich 2000). Given the generally low R_D values in all Vietnamese conifers, it may be difficult to detect significant interspecific differences between these species. Even so, both P. kesiya and D. elatum had slightly lower Φ and higher LCP, R_D and R_L than flat-leaved Podocarpaceae with P. krempfii falling between needle- and flatleaved species. These trends conform to expected patterns of shade tolerance, namely increasing Φ and downregulation of foliar R_D and LCP in order to improve leaf carbon balance in shade-tolerant species in low-light environments (Loach 1967, Givnish 1988, Craine and Reich 2005). These findings again emphasize the intermediate nature of P. krempfii, as well as the high-light tendencies of *P. kesiya*.

Among the Podocarpaceae, there is further evidence of leaf morphology being linked to a physiological strategy of shade tolerance. D. elatum appears to adopt a high-light strategy, in contrast to coexisting flat-leaved Podocarpaceae. Its needlelike leaf morphology and physiological characteristics are often comparable to high-light P. kesiya. Existing literature describing the ecology of this species is limited, although it has been suggested that regeneration is only occasional (Luu and Thomas 2004) and that this imbricate-leaved species may thrive in more open, disturbed conditions (Coomes and Bellingham 2011). A broader examination of podocarp species across both temperate and tropical environments suggests that flatleaved podocarps may be more shade-tolerant, and imbricate podocarps less so (Coomes and Bellingham 2011, Biffin et al. 2012). However, D. elatum is heteroblastic, having both a juvenile and an adult leaf morphology, a characteristic common also to D. imbricatus and P. krempfii, where the adult form is either imbricate or more needle-like, respectively, than the juvenile leaf form (Luu and Thomas 2004, Loc et al. 2017). These variable leaf forms may moderate light absorption in canopy or canopyemergent adults and aid in light capture in understory juveniles, providing greater overall ecological flexibility.

Leaf morphology can impart greater shade tolerance and may be an adaptive trait used by coniferous species to grow and survive in this tropical highland forest. However, LMA values of the species measured here do not show consistent differences between pines and podocarps, rather, differences are speciesspecific. Past conifer studies similarly show no significant relationship between shade tolerance and LMA (Leverenz et al. 2000, Wyka et al. 2012). LMA is a complex variable driven by a combination of leaf thickness and density, although leaf density alone has been found to be the most important driver of interspecific differences in LMA (Poorter et al. 2009). A variety of factors can influence leaf density including investment in structural components, nonstructural materials (e.g., proteins or

Parameter name	Value	SE	df	t	Р
bO	2.0905	0.2259	89.0126	9.255	< 0.001***
b1	0.6304	0.0568	91.8780	11.104	< 0.001***
b2	-1.5312	0.8971	80.7348	-1.707	0.09168
b3	-1.1645	0.3848	91.8634	-3.026	0.00322**
b4	0.4222	0.2582	70.3738	1.635	0.10658
b5	0.3407	0.1102	88.7264	3.092	0.00266**

Table 4. Fixed effects parameter estimates and significance for the linear mixed effects model of J_{max} and V_{cmax} with an interaction term for taxonomic group and a random intercept for species. The full model can be seen in the Methods S1 available as Supplementary Data at *Tree Physiology* Online.

Note: Significant P-values are marked by asterisks (P < 0.001 (***), 0.001 < P < 0.01 (**)).

nonstructural carbohydrates) or both (Poorter et al. 2009). It is not known to what extent these different components contribute to the LMA of these conifers. Nevertheless, given the longer leaf lifespans of many conifers, the importance of tissue strength for herbivory resistance in shaded understories, as well as variability in structural components such as resin ducts and transfusion tissues, it is likely that both leaf structure and function play important roles in the LMAs of these species.

Relationships between morphological and physiological adaptations may further illuminate the ecological strategies employed by coniferous species in this tropical montane forest. Globally, across species and functional types, LMA has a strong negative relationship with mass-based A_{max} , but a weakly positive relationship with area-based Amax (Wright et al. 2004). However, we show no significant relationship between LMA and mass-based A_{max} except when the high-light specialist, *P. kesiya*, is excluded. While this relationship is consistent with the leaf economics spectrum (LES) in which slow-return species have higher LMA, lower mass-based photosynthesis and longer leaf life span, the determination coefficient for the fixed effect is very small. The insignificant mass-based relationship across all species may result from large interspecific variation in conifer leaf traits in the shade (Wyka et al. 2012) and the fact that LES mass-based patterns are driven by differences between functional groups, and not by differences within a single functional group (Wright et al. 2004). In contrast, significant relationships between LMA and area-based photosynthetic traits adhere to intraspecific patterns of increasing mass with increasing light availability seen commonly in many broad-leaved deciduous species (Lusk et al. 2008, Valladares and Niinemets 2008). While the determination coefficients are still relatively small and often within range of other conifer studies (Wyka et al. 2012), the larger fixed effects' determination coefficients in the area-based relationships may reflect the large number of broad-leaved conifers for which leaf area and light capture are critical.

Finally, by combining the suite of measured physiological traits, we can calculate the potential leaf carbon balance and assess its adaptive significance for the coniferous species native to this forest. We find that despite larger differences in A_{max} between shade-intolerant *P. kesiya* and shade-tolerant Podocarpaceae and *P. krempfii*, and smaller differences in

respiration, all species demonstrated similar carbon balance. Many studies propose that shade-tolerant species have better carbon balance in low-light conditions than shade-intolerant species, a hypothesis tentatively supported by decreases in foliar R_D and increases in leaf area accumulation in shade-tolerant species (Noguchi et al. 1996, 2005, Lusk 2002, Craine and Reich 2005). Although we find no significant differences in the carbon balance of our shade-tolerant and shade-intolerant species, similar to other studies (e.g., Pons and Poorter 2014), all the Vietnamese conifers demonstrated extremely high CGEs and much lower R_D/A_{gross} than the angiosperm species examined by Pons and Poorter (2014). Superior CGEs may be imperative for conifer persistence at the juvenile stage in this Vietnamese tropical forest.

Pinus krempfii as an intermediate between shade-intolerant Pinus and shade-tolerant Podocarpaceae: evidence from a literature compilation of physiological traits

The unusual leaf morphology of *P. krempfii*, together with the wealth of information collected on the physiology of this species, provides a unique opportunity to explore mechanistically the contrasting biogeographic success of the two largest conifer families - Pinaceae and Podocarpaceae - in tropical forests. Combining data on photosynthetic capacity of Vietnamese conifers, including P. krempfii, with an extensive literature survey of *Pinus* and Podocarpaceae species reveals significantly higher photosynthetic capacities in Pinus than in Podocarapceae, with P. krempfii an intermediate between the two taxa. The literature survey vastly strengthens the findings from Brodribb and Feild (2008) and our own study of Vietnamese conifers that low photosynthetic rates are characteristic of coniferous survival in tropical forests. Furthermore, we show that these low photosynthetic rates are mechanistically realized through the lower RuBisCO carboxylation capacity in Podocarpaceae. This is likely a result of allocating proportionally more N to light capture (Seemann et al. 1987), leading to the observed steeper slope in the relationship of J_{max} to V_{cmax} in Podocarpaceae compared with Pinus. Combined with leaf anatomical differences (Brodribb and Feild 2008), and the importance of leaf area for light interception (Brodribb and Hill 1997), these photosynthetic traits indicate opposing shade

tolerance strategies in these two taxa. The intermediate nature of *P. krempfii* between *Pinus* and Podocarpaceae suggests that a single photosynthetic and morphological strategy, already in use by flat-leaved Podocarpaceae, can permit conifer survival in low-light tropical environments. While the aberrant flat-leaved *P. krempfii* provides evidence that it is possible for structural and photosynthetic evolution to take place in *Pinus* as a taxa, it is evident that, so far, such adjustments are rare. Unless *Pinus* can alter both its foliar morphology and its physiology, it is unlikely to expand its geographical range naturally beyond its Laurasian origins.

Conclusions

We examined the physiological and morphological traits of the majority of conifer species in a unique tropical forest from two biogeographically contrasting taxa: Pinus and Podocarapceae, in order to assess whether a lack of shade tolerance traits in Pinus contributes to their exclusion from the majority of tropical forests. Comparisons among the species from the Central Highlands of Vietnam showed evidence of low photosynthetic rates, low carboxylation capacity and a trend toward low respiratory rates and LCP in flat-leaved Podocarpaceae. P. krempfii, the only-known flat-leaved Pinus, often fell between flat-leaved Podocarpaceae and needle-leaved Pinus, suggesting its convergence toward a shade-tolerant podocarp-like survival strategy. However, few differences were observed among species' LMA, likely as a result of the large number of leaf components contributing to LMA. Despite variation in the foliar traits among these conifers, all species converged on an extremely high CGE, suggesting that, regardless of shade tolerance, CGE is key for conifer survival in this unique study region. Lastly, combining our Vietnam data with a literature survey, we demonstrate that there are inherent phylogenetic differences in photosynthetic traits between Pinus and Podocarpaceae, with low photosynthetic rates in Podocarpaceae due to lower RuBisCO carboxylation. Ultimately these findings are consistent with a lack of shade tolerance in Pinus and imply that without physiological and morphological adaptation, as occurs in P. krempfii, Pinus will be unsuccessful in colonizing tropical locations.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary Data for this article are available at *Tree Physiology* online.

Data and materials availability

All foliar trait data, as well as raw gas exchange data, are archived with Dryad Digital Repository: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad. 1g1jwstss (Schmiege et al. 2020).

Acknowledgments

We are indebted to all the staff at Bidoup Nui Ba National Park for the use of their field sites and on-site facilities. Particular thanks are due to Huong Le Van, director of Bidoup Nui Ba National Park, and Son Le Van, director of the International Center for Tropical Highland Ecosystems Research (ICTHER), for their gracious and tireless logistical support as well as to Barry Logan and two anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments on the manuscript. Lamont contribution no. 8449.

Conflict of interest

None to declare.

Funding

We gratefully acknowledge the funding sources for this research: National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship (DGE–1644869), National Science Foundation grant (AGS-1602629), the Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory Climate Center, the Lamont Center for Climate and Life and the Arnold Arboretum of Harvard University Ashton Award for student research.

Authors' contributions

S.C.S., K.L.G. and B.M.B. designed the research and were assisted in data collection by T.Q.C. and L.C.N. S.C.S., K.L.G., B.M.B. and D.S. performed the data analysis and wrote the manuscript.

References

- Agee JK (1998) Fire and pine ecosystems. In: Richardson DM (ed) Ecology and biogeography of Pinus. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp 193–218.
- Atkin OK, Bloomfield KJ, Reich PB et al. (2015) Global variability in leaf respiration in relation to climate, plant functional types and leaf traits. New Phytol 206:614–636.
- Ayub G, Smith RA, Tissue DT, Atkin OK (2011) Impacts of drought on leaf respiration in darkness and light in *Eucalyptus saligna* exposed to industrial-age atmospheric CO₂ and growth temperature. New Phytol 190:1003–1018.
- Badger MR, Collatz GJ (1977) Studies on the kinetic mechanism of ribulose 1,5-bisphospate carboxylase and oxygenase reactions, with particular reference to the effect of temperature on kinetic parameters. Year B Carnegie Inst Wash 76:355–361.
- Bartoń K (2020) MuMIn: multi-model inference. R package version 1.43.17. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn. (29 July 2020, date last accessed).
- Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015) Fitting linear mixedeffects models using Ime4. J Stat Softw 67:1–48.
- Becker P (2000) Competition in the regeneration niche between conifers and angiosperms: Bond's slow seedling hypothesis. Funct Ecol 14:401–412.

- Biffin E, Brodribb TJ, Hill RS, Thomas P, Lowe AJ (2012) Leaf evolution in southern hemisphere conifers tracks the angiosperm ecological radiation. Proc Biol Sci 279:341-348.
- Bolker B, R Development Core Team (2020) bbmle: tools for general maximum likelihood estimation. R package version 1.0.23.1. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=bbmle. (29 July 2020, date last accessed).
- Bond BJ, Farnsworth BT, Coulombe RA, Winner WE (1999) Foliage physiology and biochemistry in response to light gradients in conifers with varying shade tolerance. Oecologia 120:183-192.
- Bond WJ (1989) The tortoise and the hare: ecology of angiosperm dominance and gymnosperm persistence. Biol J Linn Soc 36:227-249.
- Bown HE, Watt MS, Mason EG, Clinton PW, Whitehead D (2009) The influence of nitrogen and phosphorus supply and genotype on mesophyll conductance limitations to photosynthesis in Pinus radiata. Tree Physiol 29:1143–1151.
- Boyce CK, Lee J-E, Feild TS, Brodribb TJ, Zwieniecki MA (2010) Angiosperms helped put the rain in the rainforests: the impact of plant physiological evolution on tropical biodiversity. Ann Missouri Bot Gard 97:527-540.
- Brodribb T, Hill RS (1997) Light response characteristics of a morphologically diverse group of Southern Hemisphere conifers as measured by chlorophyll fluorescence. Oecologia 110:10-17.
- Brodribb TJ (2011) A functional analysis of podocarp ecology. In: Turner BL, Cernusak LA (eds) Smithsonian contributions to botany, Vol. 95. Smithsonian Institute Scholarly Press, Washington DC, pp 165-173.
- Brodribb TJ, Feild TS (2008) Evolutionary significance of a flat-leaved Pinus in Vietnamese rainforest. New Phytol 178:201-209.
- Brodribb TJ, Feild TS, Jordan GJ (2007) Leaf maximum photosynthetic rate and venation are linked by hydraulics. Plant Physiol 144:1890-1898.
- Brodribb TJ, Pittermann J, Coomes DA (2012) Elegance versus speed: examining the competition between conifer and angiosperm trees. Int J Plant Sci 173:673-694.
- Cernusak LA, Marshall JD (2001) Responses of foliar δ 13C, gas exchange and leaf morphology to reduced hydraulic conductivity in Pinus monticola branches. Tree Physiol 21:1215–1222.
- Choat B, Jansen S, Brodribb TJ et al. (2012) Global convergence in the vulnerability of forests to drought. Nature 491:752-755.
- Coomes DA, Bellingham PJ (2011) Temperate and Tropical Podocarps: How Ecologically Alike Are They? In: Turner BL, Cernusak LA (eds) Smithsonian contributions to botany, Vol. 95. Smithsonian Institution Scholarly Press, Washington DC, pp 119–140.
- Craine JM, Reich PB (2005) Leaf-level light compensation points in shade-tolerant woody seedlings. New Phytol 166:710-713.
- De Lucia EH, Whitehead D, Clearwater MJ (2003) The relative limitation of photosynthesis by mesophyll conductance in co-occurring species in a temperate rainforest dominated by the conifer Dacrydium cupressinum. Funct Plant Biol 30:1197-1204.
- Duursma RA (2015) Plantecophys-an R package for analysing and modelling leaf gas exchange data. PLoS One 10:e0143346.
- Enright NJ, Jaffré T (2011) Ecology and distribution of the malesian podocarps. In: Turner BL, Cernusak LA (eds) Smithsonian contributions to botany, Vol. 95. Smithsonian Institute Scholarly Press, Washington DC, pp 57–77.
- Evans JR (1989) Photosynthesis and nitrogen relationships in leaves of C₃ plants. Oecologia 78:9–19.
- Farjon A, Filer D (2013) An atlas of the world's conifers: An analysis of their distribution, biogeography, diversity and conservation status. Brill NV, Leiden, The Netherlands.
- Farjon A (2017) A handbook of the world's conifers, Volume 2. Brill NV, Leiden, The Netherlands.

- Farguhar GD, von Caemmerer S, Berry JA (1980) A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation in leaves of C₃ species. Planta 149:78-90.
- Givnish TJ (1988) Adaptation to sun and shade: a whole-plant perspective. Aust J Plant Physiol 15:63–92.
- Goldammer JG, Penafiel SR (1990) Fire in the pine-grassland biomes of tropical and subtropical Asia. In: Goldammer JG (ed) Fire in the tropical biota: ecosystem processes and global challenges. Ecological Studies, Vol. 84. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, pp 45–62.
- Gower ST, Reich PB, Son Y (1993) Canopy dynamics and aboveground production of five tree species with different leaf longevities. Tree Physiol 12:327-345.
- Griffin KL, Tissue DT, Turnbull MH, Whitehead D (2000) The onset of photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO₂ partial pressure in field-grown Pinus radiata D. Don. after 4 years. Plant Cell Environ 23:1089-1098.
- Grime JP (1977) Evidence for the existence of three primary strategies in plants and its relevance to ecological and evolutionary theory. Am Nat 111:1169-1194.
- Guan LL, Wen DZ (2011) More nitrogen partition in structural proteins and decreased photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency of Pinus massoniana under in situ polluted stress. J Plant Res 124: 663–673.
- Gupta A (2005) The physical geography of Southeast Asia. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Han Q (2011) Height-related decreases in mesophyll conductance, leaf photosynthesis and compensating adjustments associated with leaf nitrogen concentrations in Pinus densiflora. Tree Physiol 31: 976–984.
- Han Q, Kawasaki T, Nakano T, Chiba Y (2008) Leaf-age effects on seasonal variability in photosynthetic parameters and its relationships with leaf mass per area and leaf nitrogen concentration within a Pinus densiflora crown. Tree Physiol 28:551–558.
- Hasper TB, Dusenge ME, Breuer F, Uwizeye FK, Wallin G, Uddling J (2017) Stomatal CO₂ responsiveness and photosynthetic capacity of tropical woody species in relation to taxonomy and functional traits. Oecologia 184:43-57.
- Heskel MA, Atkin OK, Turnbull MH, Griffin KL (2013a) Bringing the Kok effect to light: a review on the integration of daytime respiration and net ecosystem exchange. Ecosphere 4:1–14.
- Heskel M, Greaves H, Kornfeld A, Gough L, Atkin OK, Turnbull MH, Shaver G, Griffin KL (2013b) Differential physiological responses to environmental change promote woody shrub expansion. Ecol Evol 3:1149-1162.
- Hiêp NT, Morat P, Vidal JE (1996) Flore du Cambodge, du Laos et du Viêt-Nam: révision de la Flore générale de l'Indochine. 28 Gymnospermae: Cycadaceae, Pinaceae, Taxodiaceae, Cupressaceae, Podocarpaceae, Cephalotaxaceae, Taxaceae, Gnetaceae. Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris.
- Hikosaka K, Terashima I (1996) Nitrogen partitioning among photosynthetic components and its consequence in sun and shade plants. Funct Ecol 10:335-343.
- Hill RS, Brodribb TJ (1999) Southern conifers in time and space. Aust J Bot 47:639-696.
- Huntley B, Webb T (1989) Migration: species' response to climatic variations caused by changes in the Earth's orbit. J Biogeogr 16:5–19.
- Jiang G, Tang H, Yu M, Dong M, Zhang X (1999) Response of photosynthesis of different plant functional types to environmental changes along Northeast China Transect. Trees Struct Funct 14:72-82.
- Kattge J, Boenisch G, Diaz S et al. (2020) TRY plant trait database enhanced coverage and open access. Glob Chang Biol 26:119–188.
- Kirschbaum MU, Farquhar GD (1987) Investigation of the CO₂ dependence of quantum yield and respiration in Eucalyptus pauciflora. Plant Physiol 83:1032-1036.

Kok B (1948) A critical consideration of the quantum yield of *Chlorella*photosynthesis. Enzymologia 13:1–56.

- Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff P, Christensen R (2017) ImerTest package: tests in linear mixed effects models. J Stat Softw 82:1–26.
- Lenth R (2020) emmeans: estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means. R package version 1.4.5. https://CRAN.R-project.org/packa ge=emmeans. (29 July 2020, date last accessed).
- Leslie AB, Beaulieu JM, Mathews S (2017) Variation in seed size is structured by dispersal syndrome and cone morphology in conifers and other nonflowering seed plants. New Phytol 216:429–437.
- Leverenz JW (1995) Shade shoot structure of conifers and the photosynthetic response to light at two CO_2 partial pressure. Funct Ecol 9:413–421.
- Leverenz JW, Whitehead D, Stewart GH (2000) Quantitative analyses of shade-shoot architecture of conifers native to New Zealand. Trees Struct Funct 15:42–49.
- Lewis JD, Griffin KL, Thomas RB, Strain BR (1994) Phosphorus supply affects the photosynthetic capacity of loblolly pine grown in elevated carbon dioxide. Tree Physiol 14:1229–1244.
- Li X, Xu C, Li Z, Feng J, Tissue DT, Griffin KL (2019) Late growing season carbon subsidy in native gymnosperms in a northern temperate forest. Tree Physiol 39:971–982.
- Lloyd J, Bloomfield K, Domingues TF, Farquhar GD (2013) Photosynthetically relevant foliar traits correlating better on a mass vs an area basis: of ecophysiological relevance or just a case of mathematical imperatives and statistical quicksand? New Phytol 199:311–321.
- Loach K (1967) Shade tolerance in tree seedlings. I. Leaf photosynthesis and respiration in plants raised under artificial shade. New Phytol 66:607–621.
- Loc PK, The PV, Long PK, Regalado J, Averyanov LV, Maslin B (2017) Native conifers of Vietnam - a review. Pakistan J Bot 49:2037–2068.
- Lusk CH (2002) Leaf area accumulation helps juvenile evergreen trees tolerate shade in a temperate rainforest. Oecologia 132:188–196.
- Lusk CH (2019) Leaf functional trait variation in a humid temperate forest, and relationships with juvenile tree light requirements. PeerJ 7:e6855.
- Lusk CH, Reich PB (2000) Relationships of leaf dark respiration with light environment and tissue nitrogen content in juveniles of 11 cold-temperate tree species. Oecologia 123:318–329.
- Lusk CH, Wright I, Reich PB (2003) Photosynthetic differences contribute to competitive advantage of evergreen angiosperm trees over evergreen conifers in productive habitats. New Phytol 160:329–336.
- Lusk CH, Reich PB, Montgomery RA, Ackerly DD, Cavender-Bares J (2008) Why are evergreen leaves so contrary about shade? Trends Ecol Evol 23:299–303.
- Luu NDT, Thomas P (2004) Conifers of Vietnam, Nhà Xuat Ban The Gioi, Hanoi, Vietnam.
- Mangiafico S (2020) rcompanion: functions to support extension education program evaluation. R package version 2.3.25. https:// CRAN.R-project.org/package=rcompanion. (29 July 2020, date last accessed).
- Medlyn BE, Dreyer E, Ellsworth D et al. (2002) Temperature response of parameters of a biochemically based model of photosynthesis. II. A review of experimental data. Plant Cell Environ 25:1167–1179.
- Miller CN (1976) Early evolution in the Pinaceae. Rev Palaeobot Palynol 21:101–117.
- Morley RJ (2011) Dispersal and paleoecology of tropical podocarps. In: Turner BL, Cernusak LA (eds) Smithsonian contributions to botany, Vol. 95. Smithsonian Institute Scholarly Press, Washington DC, pp 21–41.
- Mulkey SS, Wright SJ, Smith AP (1993) Comparative physiology and demography of three Neotropical forest shrubs: alternative shade-adaptive character syndromes. Oecologia 96:526–536.

- Nagano S, Nakano T, Hikosaka K, Maruta E (2009) Needle traits of an evergreen, coniferous shrub growing at wind-exposed and protected sites in a mountain region: does *Pinus pumila* produce needles with greater mass per area under wind-stress conditions? Plant Biol 11:94–100.
- Niinemets U, Kull O, Tenhunen JD (1998) An analysis of light effects on foliar morphology, physiology and light interception in temperate deciduous woody species of contrasting shade tolerance. Tree Physiol 18:681–696.
- Noguchi K, Sonoike K, Terashima I (1996) Acclimation of respiratory properties of leaves of *Spinacia oleracea* L., a sun species, and of *Alocasia macrorrhiza* (L.) G. Don., a shade species, to changes in growth irradiance. Plant Cell Physiol 37:377–384.
- Noguchi KO, Taylor NL, Millar AH, Lambers H, Day DA (2005) Response of mitochondria to light intensity in the leaves of sun and shade species. Plant Cell Environ 28:760–771.
- Pons TL, Poorter H (2014) The effect of irradiance on the carbon balance and tissue characteristics of five herbaceous species differing in shade-tolerance. Front Plant Sci 5:1–14.
- Poorter H, Niinemets Ü, Poorter L, Wright IJ, Villar R (2009) Causes and consequences of variation in leaf mass per area (LMA): a metaanalysis. New Phytol 182:565–588.
- Porte A, Loustau D (1998) Variability of the photosynthetic characteristics of mature needles within the crown of a 25-year-old *Pinus pinaster*. Tree Physiol 18:223–232.
- Procheş Ş, Wilson JRU, Richardson DM, Rejmánek M (2012) Native and naturalized range size in *Pinus*: relative importance of biogeography, introduction effort and species traits. Glob Ecol Biogeogr 21:513–523.
- Quiroga MP, Mathiasen P, Iglesias A, Mill RR, Premoli AC (2016) Molecular and fossil evidence disentangle the biogeographical history of *Podocarpus*, a key genus in plant geography. J Biogeogr 43:372–383.
- R Development Core Team (2020) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Richardson DM, Higgins SI (1998) Pines as invaders in the southern hemisphere. In: Richardson DM (ed) Ecology and biogeography of Pinus. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK , pp 450–473.
- Richardson DM, Williams PA, Hobbs RJ (1994) Pine invasions in the Southern Hemisphere: determinants of spread and invadability. J Biogeogr 21:511–527.
- Schmiege SC, Buckley BM, Stevenson DW, Cuong TQ, Nam LC, Griffin KL (2020) Data from: Contrasting physiological traits of shade tolerance in Pinus and Podocarpaceae native to a tropical Vietnamese forest: Insight from an aberrant flat-leaved pine. Dryad, Dataset. https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.1g1jwstss.
- Schnieder CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW (2012) NIH image to Imagel: 25 years of image analysis. Nat Methods 9:671–675.
- Seemann JR, Sharkey TD, Wang J, Barry C (1987) Environmental effects on photosynthesis, nitrogen-use efficiency, and metabolite pools in leaves of sun and shade plants. Plant Physiol 84: 796–802.
- Sendall KM, Lusk CH, Reich PB (2016) Trade-offs in juvenile growth potential vs. shade tolerance among subtropical rain forest trees on soils of contrasting fertility. Funct Ecol 30:845–855.
- Sharp RE, Matthews MA, Boyer JS (1984) Kok effect and the quantum yield of photosynthesis: light partially inhibits dark respiration. Plant Physiol 75:95–101.
- Singsaas EL, Ort DR, DeLucia EH (2001) Variation in measured values of photosynthetic quantum yield in ecophysiological studies. Oecologia 128:15–23.

- Smith NG, Dukes JS (2017) LCE: leaf carbon exchange data set for tropical, temperate, and boreal species of North and Central America. Ecology 98:2978–2978.
- Smith SY, Stockey RA, Rothwell GW, Little SA (2016) A new species of *Pityostrobus* (Pinaceae) from the Cretaceous of California: moving towards understanding the Cretaceous radiation of Pinaceae. J Syst Palaeontol 15:69–81.
- Springer CJ, DeLucia EH, Thomas RB (2005) Relationships between net photosynthesis and foliar nitrogen concentrations in a loblolly pine forest ecosystem grown in elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide. Tree Physiol 25:385–394.
- Taylor TN, Taylor EL, Krings M (2009) Conifers. In: Taylor EL, Taylor TN, Krings M (eds) Paleobotany: the biology and evolution of fossil plants 2nd edn.. Academic Press, London, UK, pp 805–872.
- Teskey RO, Shrestha RB (1985) A relationship between carbon dioxide, photosynthetic efficiency and shade tolerance. Physiol Plant 63:126–132.
- Thomas P, Sengdala K, Lamxay V, Khou E (2007) New Records of Conifers in Cambodia and Laos. Edinburgh J Bot 64:37.
- Thomas RB, Lewis JD, Strain BR (1994) Effects of leaf nutrient status on photosynthetic capacity in loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) seedlings grown in elevated atmospheric CO₂. Tree Physiol 14:947–960.
- Tissue DT, Griffin KL, Ball JT (1999) Photosynthetic adjustment in fieldgrown ponderosa pine trees after six years of exposure to elevated CO₂. Tree Physiol 19:221–228.
- Tissue DT, Griffin KL, Turnbull MH, Whitehead D (2005) Stomatal and non-stomatal limitations to photosynthesis in four tree species in a temperate rainforest dominated by *Dacrydium cupressinum* in New Zealand. Tree Physiol 25:447–456.
- Tosens T, Niinemets Ü, Westoby M, Wright IJ (2012) Anatomical basis of variation in mesophyll resistance in eastern Australian sclerophylls: news of a long and winding path. J Exp Bot 63:5105–5119.
- Turnbull MH, Tissue DT, Griffin KL, Rogers GND, Whitehead D (1998) Photosynthetic acclimation to long-term exposure to elevated CO₂ concentration in *Pinus radiata* D. Don. is related to age of needles. Plant Cell Environ 21:1019–1028.

- Ushio M, Aiba S, Takeuchi Y, Iida Y, Matsuoka S, Repin R, Kitayama K (2017) Plant-soil feedbacks and the dominance of conifers in a tropical montane forest in Borneo. Ecol Monogr 87:105–129.
- Valladares F, Niinemets U (2008) Shade tolerance, a key plant feature of complex nature and consequences. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 39:237–257.
- von Caemmerer S, Farquhar GD (1981) Some relationships between the biochemistry of photosynthesis and the gas exchange of leaves. Planta 153:376–387.
- Walters MB, Field CB (1987) Photosynthetic light acclimation in two rainforest *Piper* species with different ecological amplitudes. Oecologia 72:449–456.
- Wang B, Ho L (2002) Rainy season of the Asian–Pacific summer monsoon. J Clim 15:386–398.
- Wang H, Harrison SP, Prentice IC, Yang Y, Bai F, Togashi HF, Wang M, Zhou S, Ni J (2018) The China Plant Trait Database: toward a comprehensive regional compilation of functional traits for land plants. Ecology 99:500.
- Warren CR, Adams MA (2001) Distribution of N, Rubisco and photosynthesis in *Pinus pinaster* and acclimation to light. Plant Cell Environ 24:597–609.
- Wright IJ, Reich PB, Westoby M et al. (2004) The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. Nature 428:821–827.
- Wullschleger SD (1993) Biochemical limitations to carbon assimilation in C_3 plants-a retrospective analysis of the A/C_i curves from 109 species. J Exp Bot 44:907–920.
- Wyka TP, Oleksyn J, Żytkowiak R, Karolewski P, Jagodziński AM, Reich PB (2012) Responses of leaf structure and photosynthetic properties to intra-canopy light gradients: a common garden test with four broadleaf deciduous angiosperm and seven evergreen conifer tree species. Oecologia 170:11–24.
- Zhang JW, Marshall JD (1995) Variation in carbon isotope discrimination and photosynthetic gas exchange among populations of *Pseudotsuga menziesii* and *Pinus ponderosa* in different environments. Ecology 9:402–412.