



Proceeding Paper Exploratory Insights into Consumption and Commercialization of Organic Products during COVID-19 Pandemic⁺

Ana R. Pires¹, Cristina A. Costa², Ana P. Moura³ and Raquel P. F. Guiné^{2,*}

- ¹ Department of Food Industry, Agrarian School of Viseu, 3500-606 Viseu, Portugal; rita-pires-5@hotmail.com
- ² CERNAS Research Centre, Polytechnic Institute of Viseu, 3504-510 Viseu, Portugal; amarocosta@sc.ipv.pt
 ³ Crean IPorto Sustainable Agrifoed Production Research Centre (INOV/Agree DCoT Universidade Abarta)
- ⁵ GreenUPorto-Sustainable Agrifood Production Research Centre/INOV4Agro, DCeT, Universidade Aberta, 4200-055 Porto, Portugal; apmoura@uab.pt
- * Correspondence: raquelguine@esav.ipv.pt
- ⁺ Presented at the 2nd International Electronic Conference on Foods—"Future Foods and Food Technologies for a Sustainable World", Online, 15–30 October 2021.

Abstract: A questionnaire survey was designed to investigate the consumption habits and commercialization of organic products in Portugal, under COVID-19 restrictions. Results showed that most participants are familiar with organic farming and have consumed organic foods, mostly fruits and vegetables, and less meat or dairy products. Reasons to consume organic products include environmental concern, helping local producers and eliminating harmful substances such as pesticides from diet. Although the majority have consumed organic foods, there is still a small percentage of consumers who do not, and for those it is because of the high prices of organic compared to conventional food.

Keywords: sustainable agriculture; organic farming; food consumption; environmental concern; commercialization

1. Introduction

For decades, the intensification of agricultural systems has sought to respond to society's growing consumption, globalization, population growth and the fight against hunger, particularly in emerging countries. This intensification is mainly characterized by the excessive use of natural resources, fertilizers and agrochemicals to maximize production. These abusive practices, in turn, lead to widespread pollution and global warming [1–4]. In this context, organic farming (OF) is often presented as a solution to mitigate the negative impacts that conventional farming causes on the environment [5–7]. This is an agricultural system that aims to produce food in a way that causes minimal impacts on animal and human ecosystems [2,5,8]. Instead of using synthetically produced raw materials (fertilizers, pesticides), organic management practices benefit from adjusting crop to biological cycles, well-defined choices regarding the seeding or planting time, soil preparation and weed control, and the use of biological control and natural pesticides [6,9,10]. This system aims to achieve a balanced relationship along the natural food chain, as well as enhancing the health of soils and the quality of water, contributing to biological diversity, respecting the cycles of nature and contributing to the responsible use of natural resources [8]. Organic agriculture encompasses environmental, economic and social aspects, contributing to reducing poverty and ensuring food is in good enough condition to be consumed [9,10]. The study by Boone et al. [11] aimed to compare the environmental impact of biological and conventional food systems. The authors concluded that OF has clear environmental benefits compared to conventional farming methods. However, the transition might need some support from governmental organizations. The study by Markuszewska and Kubacka [12] showed that increasing OF in Poland depends mainly on financial support which encourages farmers to



Citation: Pires, A.R.; Costa, C.A.; Moura, A.P.; Guiné, R.P.F. Exploratory Insights into Consumption and Commercialization of Organic Products during COVID-19 Pandemic. *Biol. Life Sci. Forum* **2021**, 6, 28. https://doi.org/10.3390/ Foods2021-10994

Academic Editor: Han-Seok Seo

Published: 14 October 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). carry out this more environmentally friendly cultivation practice and abandon conventional cultivation.

OF has been growing all over the world, namely because in the last few years consumers have demonstrated a favorable attitude towards products from OF. The reasons for this preference are varied and include consumers' beliefs that these products are healthier and less harmful to the environment than conventional agricultural products, and also because consumers are concerned about animal welfare and food safety [13–15]. Although interest in organic products and their purchase has increased, with several scientific studies revealing a preference for these products, and that consumers are more willing to pay a premium for organic foods, it is a fact that conventional products still dominate the food market. The major barriers associated with the purchase of organic food are related to cultural and social factors and the price of these products, as they are more expensive compared to those from conventional agriculture [10].

The existing literature related to the consumption and commercialization of organic products is not extensive, and there are few studies for Portugal. The reason for this lack of information might be related to the fact that this type of agriculture is still not widely practiced by Portuguese farmers, and consumers still might not value the products or simply do not buy them due to the high prices. Hence, this study aims to assess eating habits regarding OF by Portuguese consumers, as well as their knowledge concerning this type of production system and its implications.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Questionnaire Survey

This research was conducted through a questionnaire survey employed online between July and August 2020. The research was approved by an Ethics Committee for adult citizens only and who consented to participate after being informed of all their rights, including anonymity of their answers. The questionnaire was designed purposely for this study and recruitment was conducted through email and social networks, using a convenience sample. For data treatment, we used Excel 2016.

2.2. Sample Characterization

The sample included mostly female participants (84%) who completed secondary school (39%) or had a university degree (50%). Regarding living environment, about half resided in urban (49%) and the rest in rural areas. The average age was 35 ± 13 years. A high fraction of the participants were employed (39%) or studying (33%). In terms of monthly income, 13% earned less than EUR 500, 26% earned EUR 501–1000, 26% earned EUR 1001–1500, 21% received EUR 1501–2000 and 15% received more than EUR 2000 per month.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Consumption and Commercialization

Concerning knowledge about and the consumption of OF, 93% of the respondents were "familiar with the concept of organic farming, which aims to use safe techniques without harming natural resources and without using chemicals" and 85% have consumed foods produced via organic farming.

From those who have consumed OF products, 13% consume them in one meal/week, 44% consume in 2–3 meals/week, 30% consume in 4–7 meals/week and 13% consume OF products in all the meals throughout the week. It has been shown that perceived consumption values are related to the consumption frequency, varying between regular, occasional, and non-buyers of organic food [16].

Table 1 shows by product/category the food consumption of the participants, according to the agricultural production system. The food products most consumed via OF are vegetables, such as lettuce (68%) or pumpkin (65%).

Vegetables	OF ¹	CA ²	Both	Fruits	OF ¹	CA ²	Both	Meat and Eggs	OLP ³	CLP ⁴	Both
Chickpea	31	39	1	Apple	22	34	3	Rabbit	31	30	1
Peas	26	43	4	Orange	37	26	12	Pig	20	49	3
Broad beans	38	21	1	Pear	32	36	6	Chicken	38	29	9
Beans	52	20	3	Banana	12	62	1	Turkey	13	57	3
Lentils	13	42	0	Blueberry	43	21	4	Goat	29	33	3
Pumpkin	65	9	3	Raspberry	43	21	3	Wild Boar	14	28	0
Eggplant	36	24	2	Strawberry	51	16	10	Piglet	8	47	2
Broccoli	35	31	9	Pineapple	2	70	1	Sheep	25	24	3
Tomato	63	6	7	Persimmon	46	17	3	Cow	12	47	4
Lettuce	68	4	6	Plum	33	21	10	Eggs	49	10	9
Pepper	53	13	3								
Cucumber	51	8	7								
Potato	58	15	4								
Parsley	61	9	4	Fish	AC ⁵	WC ⁶	Both	Dairy products	OF ¹	CA ²	Both
Cabbage	62	11	3	Sea bass	41	20	6	Milk	5	64	4
Onion	60	8	8	Sardine	25	36	5	Cheese	14	62	0
Chuchu	28	33	3	Hake	40	27	4	Fresh cheese	16	54	0
Turnip	39	20	5	Gilt-head bream	38	24	10	Butter	8	63	0
Cauliflower	27	35	4	Tuna	39	27	4	Cream	9	59	0
Spinach	45	20	6	Codfish	35	34	4	Yogurt	12	61	1
Asparagus	11	42	1								
Watercress	35	25	2								
Beetroot	30	26	2								
Carrot	30	26	2								
Arugula	22	34	3								

Table 1. Consumption of food products according to the agricultural production system—represented as percentage (%) of positive answers.

¹ OF = Organic Farming (% yes), ² CA = Conventional Agriculture (% yes), ³ OLP = Organic livestock production, ⁴ CLP = Conventional livestock production, ⁵ AC = aquaculture (% yes), ⁶ WC = wild capture (% yes).

The reasons for consuming food products from OF were evaluated on a scale from 1 (much importance) to 6 (not at all important), and they are presented as mean scores (MS), in decreasing order of importance:

- They originate less environmental pollution (MS = 1.69);
- Buying OF products can help local farmers (MS = 1.73);
- Their production avoids pesticides (MS = 1.79);
- They are more nutritious (MS = 1.93);
- They are more appealing in terms of flavor and aroma (MS = 2.16);
- They can bring benefits to human health (MS = 2.34).

Hence, people buy OF products mainly for being more environmentally friendly, to help local farmers and minimize the use of pesticides. A study conducted in Switzerland revealed that the determinants of purchases of organic fruits and vegetables were higher knowledge and more information as well as having more money, thus allowing them to make healthier food choices [17]. Truong et al. [16] found that trust and distrust in the food system is a determinant of organic food choice.

The reasons for not consuming products from OF are shown in Table 2 and they reveal that the high price is the most important factor that leads consumers to opt for CA instead of OF products. It has been shown that people who have consumed organic foods in the past are more prone to buy organic food again, although some attitudes and personal traits also significantly influence organic-food buying intentions [18,19].

Reasons for NOT Consuming OF Food Products	Number of Positive Answers			
The price of OF food products are generally higher than CA food products.	11			
They are the same as those produced in a conventional way.	2			
They are not for sale near where I live.	5			
They are not for sale near where I work.	1			
There is not information about sustainable products.	2			
OF food products look less beautiful.	0			
I am afraid they do not meet all the food safety standards.	2			

Table 2. Reasons for not consuming OF products.

Most participants believe that there are few places that sell OF products (87%). They buy them mostly directly from the producer (n = 50), from supermarkets (n = 33), from local food stores (n = 25) and from specialized OF stores (n = 19). Online shopping for this type of product is still rare (n = 9).

Regarding the price difference, 37% are willing to pay a premium of up to EUR 1 more for OF compared with CA products, 55% are willing to pay an extra EUR 1–2 and only 8% are willing to pay a premium higher than EUR 2 for OF products. It was reported that organic food prices follow a different pattern than conventional food prices, varying significantly depending on the food groups and among sales points (supermarkets included) [20]. Marian et al. [15] in a study conducted in Denmark, have shown that, although consumers purchase organic foods more repeatedly compared to conventional products, a high price generates a lower repeat purchase of organic food products than a low or a medium price in all food product categories. When consuming organic foods in restaurants, the acceptable price premium percentage level was found to be around 12% for casual dining restaurants and 10% for fine-dining restaurants [21].

Regarding the changes induced by the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown, 78% of the participants think that society started to give more value to local products and products from OF as a result of the pandemic, and 40% believe that the consumption of OF products increased due to COVID-19. Li et al. [22] studied the influence of COVID-19 lockdown on Chinese consumers' sustainable behavior in food purchasing and consumption and observed that food security as well as the perceptions of financial and health risk constitute major determinants of consumers' behavior towards more sustainable food choices.

3.2. Knowledge

Figure 1 shows the perceptions about some factors related to OF, and it reveals that 79% (61% + 18%) are aware that OF is better for the environment, 71% (52% + 19%) know that OF contributes to reducing the ecological footprint of the food products and 82% (68% + 14%) know that pesticides contribute to environmental pollution. Items with a higher percentage of indifference were registered for "Product from OF are valued by society" (36%) and "OF is underdeveloped in Portugal" (35%). The level of information and degree of knowledge available for consumers are crucial in helping them make more sustainable food choices. Schmidt [23] identified a critical challenge in global climate protection as identifying the way to promote more sustainable food consumption in high-income countries. Hence, he proposes simple guideline provision as a promising and easy-to-use tool for interventions that improve consumers' ability to identify the more sustainable foods in their daily life. A review by Kushwah et al. [24] identifies the determinants as well as the barriers to organic food purchasing and consumption, highlighting the role of societal value as a promotor of adoption of organic food. The work by Kushwah et al. [25] showed that ethical consumption is positively associated with the intention to purchase organic food and influences food choice.



Figure 1. Level of agreement with the statements used to assess knowledge about OF.

4. Conclusions

This study revealed that the most consumed OF food products are in the category of vegetables and fruits, with OF dairy products being the least consumed.

Most respondents are informed about what is organic farming and perceive the benefits it brings to the environment as well as to human health, due to avoiding the possibility of ingesting pesticides residues. The main motivations to consume OF food products are to preserve the environment, help local farmers and reduce the use of pesticides, while the factor that most hinders the choice OF food products is price.

There was a general opinion that the COVID-19 pandemic changed how local and OF products are perceived by consumers, and as a consequence, their consumption of them increased.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.P.F.G., C.A.C. and A.P.M.; methodology, R.P.F.G.; formal analysis, R.P.F.G.; investigation, A.R.P.; data curation, R.P.F.G.; writing—original draft preparation, R.P.F.G.; writing—review and editing, C.A.C. and A.P.M.; supervision, R.P.F.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was financed by FCT—Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, I.P.—Special Support "Verão com Ciência".

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Polytechnic Institute of Viseu, with reference 08/SUB/2020.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Data Availability Statement: The data are available from the author Raquel P. F. Guiné, upon request.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by National Funds through the FCT-Foundation for Science and Technology, I.P., within the scope of the project Ref^a UIDB/00681/2020. Furthermore, we would like to thank the CERNAS Research Centre and the Polytechnic Institute of Viseu, as well as Universidade do Porto (Faculdade de Ciências and Faculdade de Letras), Universidade Aberta and ACTUAR for their support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Foley, J.A.; Ramankutty, N.; Brauman, K.A.; Cassidy, E.S.; Gerber, J.S.; Johnston, M.; Mueller, N.D.; O'Connell, C.; Ray, D.K.; West, P.C.; et al. Solutions for a Cultivated Planet. *Nature* **2011**, *478*, 337–342. [CrossRef]
- 2. NRC. Towards Sustainable Agricultural Systems in the 21st Century; The National Academies Press: Washington, DC, USA, 2010.
- 3. FAO. *The State of Food Insecurity in the World;* Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: Rome, Italy, 2013.
- 4. Lynch, J.; Cain, M.; Frame, D.; Pierrehumbert, R. Agriculture's Contribution to Climate Change and Role in Mitigation Is Distinct From Predominantly Fossil CO₂-Emitting Sectors. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* **2021**, *4*, 300. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Seufert, V.; Ramankutty, N.; Foley, J.A. Comparing the Yields of Organic and Conventional Agriculture. *Nature* **2012**, *485*, 229–232. [CrossRef]
- 6. Guiné, R.P.F.; Gaião, D.; Costa, D.V.T.A.; Correia, P.M.R.; Guerra, L.T.; Correia, H.E.; Costa, C.A. Bridges between Family Farming and Organic Farming: A Study Case of the Iberian Peninsula. *Open Agric.* **2019**, *4*, 727–736. [CrossRef]
- 7. Sandhu, H.S.; Wratten, S.D.; Cullen, R. Organic Agriculture and Ecosystem Services. Environ. Sci. Policy 2010, 13, 1–7. [CrossRef]
- 8. Vaarst, M.; Padel, S.; Hovi, M.; Younie, D.; Sundrum, A. Sustaining Animal Health and Food Safety in European Organic Livestock Farming. *Livest. Prod. Sci.* 2005, *94*, 61–69. [CrossRef]
- 9. Pretty, J. Agricultural Sustainability: Concepts, Principles and Evidence. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci.* 2008, 363, 447–465. [CrossRef]
- 10. Pawlewicz, A. Change of Price Premiums Trend for Organic Food Products: The Example of the Polish Egg Market. *Agriculture* **2020**, *10*, 35. [CrossRef]
- 11. Boone, L.; Roldán-Ruiz, I.; Van linden, V.; Muylle, H.; Dewulf, J. Environmental Sustainability of Conventional and Organic Farming: Accounting for Ecosystem Services in Life Cycle Assessment. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, *695*, 133841. [CrossRef]
- 12. Markuszewska, I.; Kubacka, M. Does Organic Farming (OF) Work in Favour of Protecting the Natural Environment? A Case Study from Poland. *Land Use Policy* **2017**, *67*, 498–507. [CrossRef]
- 13. Chryssohoidis, G.M.; Krystallis, A. Organic Consumers' Personal Values Research: Testing and Validating the List of Values (LOV) Scale and Implementing a Value-Based Segmentation Task. *Food Qual. Prefer.* **2005**, *16*, 585–599. [CrossRef]
- 14. Yue, C.; Tong, C. Organic or Local? Investigating Consumer Preference for Fresh Produce Using a Choice Experiment with Real Economic Incentives. *HortScience* 2009, 44, 366–371. [CrossRef]
- 15. Marian, L.; Chrysochou, P.; Krystallis Krontalis, A.; Thøgersen, J. The Role of Price as a Product Attribute in the Organic Food Context: An Exploration Based on Actual Purchase Data (D.6.7). *Food Qual. Prefer.* **2014**, *37*, 52–60. [CrossRef]
- 16. Truong, V.A.; Lang, B.; Conroy, D.M. Are Trust and Consumption Values Important for Buyers of Organic Food? A Comparison of Regular Buyers, Occasional Buyers, and Non-Buyers. *Appetite* **2021**, *161*, 105123. [CrossRef]
- 17. Hansmann, R.; Baur, I.; Binder, C.R. Increasing Organic Food Consumption: An Integrating Model of Drivers and Barriers. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2020, 275, 123058. [CrossRef]
- 18. Koklic, M.K.; Golob, U.; Podnar, K.; Zabkar, V. The Interplay of Past Consumption, Attitudes and Personal Norms in Organic Food Buying. *Appetite* **2019**, *137*, 27–34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Gustavsen, G.W.; Hegnes, A.W. Individuals' Personality and Consumption of Organic Food. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2020**, 245, 118772. [CrossRef]
- 20. Islam, S.; Colonescu, C. Data on Retail Price Differential between Organic and Conventional Foods. *Data Brief* **2019**, 27, 104641. [CrossRef]
- 21. Jeong, E.; Jang, S. (Shawn) Price Premiums for Organic Menus at Restaurants: What Is an Acceptable Level? *Int. J. Hosp. Manag.* **2019**, *77*, 117–127. [CrossRef]
- 22. Li, S.; Kallas, Z.; Rahmani, D. Did the COVID-19 Lockdown Affect Consumers' Sustainable Behaviour in Food Purchasing and Consumption in China? *Food Control* **2021**, 108352. [CrossRef]
- 23. Schmidt, K. When Less Is More—Effects of Providing Simple vs. Refined Action-Knowledge Interventions to Promote Climate-Friendly Food Consumption in German Consumers. *Food Qual. Prefer.* **2021**, *94*, 104333. [CrossRef]
- 24. Kushwah, S.; Dhir, A.; Sagar, M.; Gupta, B. Determinants of Organic Food Consumption. A Systematic Literature Review on Motives and Barriers. *Appetite* **2019**, *143*, 104402. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Kushwah, S.; Dhir, A.; Sagar, M. Understanding Consumer Resistance to the Consumption of Organic Food. A Study of Ethical Consumption, Purchasing, and Choice Behaviour. *Food Qual. Prefer.* **2019**, *77*, 1–14. [CrossRef]