



EDITORIAL

10.1029/2019GH000198

Key Point:

- In 2018, *GeoHealth* benefited from more than 83 reviews provided by 53 of our peers for papers submitted to the journal

Correspondence to:

G. Filippelli,
gfilippe@iupui.edu

Citation:

Filippelli, G., Colwell, R., Anenberg, S. C., Balbus, J. M., Ceccarelli, D., Hudson-Edwards, K., et al. (2019). Thank you to our 2018 peer reviewers. *GeoHealth*, 3, 82–83. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GH000198>

Received 26 MAR 2019

Accepted 26 MAR 2019

Published online 18 APR 2019

Thank You to Our 2018 Peer Reviewers

Gabriel Filippelli¹ , **Rita Colwell²**, **Susan C. Anenberg³** , **John M. Balbus⁴**, **Daniela Ceccarelli⁵** , **Karen Hudson-Edwards⁶** , **Antarpreet Jutla⁷**, **Chiyuan Miao⁸** , **Paul A. Sandifer⁹** , and **Avner Vengosh¹⁰**

¹Department of Earth Sciences, Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis, Indianapolis, IN, USA, ²Institute for Advanced Computer Studies, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA, ³Milken Institute School of Public Health, George Washington University, Washington, DC, USA, ⁴NIEHS-WHO Collaborating Centre for Environmental Health Sciences, Bethesda, MD, USA, ⁵Research Executive Agency, European Commission, Brussels, Belgium, ⁶Camborne School of Mines and Environment and Sustainability Institute, University of Exeter, Penryn, UK, ⁷Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV, USA, ⁸Faculty of Geographical Science, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China, ⁹Center for Coastal Environmental and Human Health, College of Charleston, School of Sciences and Mathematics, Hollings Marine Laboratory, Charleston, SC, USA, ¹⁰Division of Earth and Ocean Sciences, Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

Abstract Public trust in science, effective science communication, and rapid and constructive response to authors about their submissions are of paramount importance to the scientific enterprise and indeed to society itself. This is really at the heart of peer review—providing thoughtful insights into both the scientific quality and importance of work, and also how it is communicated to other scientists and increasingly to a broader audience. Very few opportunities exist to acknowledge the mostly anonymous process of peer review, especially given the huge increase in review requests and the relatively mechanical nature of online reviewing platforms. We continue to be humbled by the time, effort, and careful insights that our colleagues share with each other through the process of peer review. In 2018, *GeoHealth* benefited from more than 83 reviews provided by 53 of our peers for papers submitted to the journal. Thank you all for your awesome efforts toward advancing geohealth now and for the future.

Referees for 2018

Individuals in italics provided three or more reviews for *GeoHealth* during the year.

Ahmadalipour, Ali	Hajat, Anjum
Anenberg, Susan	Hand, Jenny
Ashouri, Hamed	Heintzelman, Asrah
Beysens, Daniel	Holbrook, M
Bhattachan, Abinash	Hudson-Edwards, Karen
Bolsen, Toby	Irizarry, Rafael
Cana, Luis	Jenkins, Gregory
Cascio, Wayne	Johnston, Fay
Chafe, Zoe	Jutla, Antarpreet
Chandra, Anita	Knowlton, Kim
Collier, Tracy	Kodros, John
Croke, Barry	Kumar, Rajesh
Deanes, L	Lulla, Vijay
Dey, Sagnik	Mares, Dennis
Fubini, Bice	Matthews, Mark
Gibson, Joseph	Mickley, Loretta

©2019. The Authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Mildenberger, Matto
Mitchell, Mark
More, Alexander
Morin, Cory
Ogashawara, Igor
Pant, Pallavi
Pavan, Cristina
Ragno, Elisa
Ran, Lishan
Reid, Colleen
Reis, Julia
Rubin, Jeff
Runkle, Jennifer
Saha, Shubhayu

Saikawa, Eri
Santini, Talitha
Sellars, Scott
Sharif, Hatim
Spracklen, Dominick
Taylor, G Jeffrey
Taylor, Mark
Wallace, William
Wang, Yi
Wilson, Jeffrey
Ylitalo, Gina
Yue, Yao