# Building Better Li Metal Anodes in Liquid Electrolyte: Challenges and Progress

Yikang Yu<sup>†,‡</sup>, Yadong Liu<sup>‡</sup>, Jian Xie<sup>\*,‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>School of Mechanical Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, United States

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mechanical and Energy Engineering, Purdue School of Engineering and Technology, Indiana University – Purdue University Indianapolis, Indianapolis, Indiana 46202, United States

**Keywords**: Lithium metal anode, Li dendrite, solid electrolyte interphase, Coulombic efficiency, protective layer, electrolyte additives

**ABSTRACT:** Li metal has been widely recognized as a promising anode candidate for high-energy-density batteries. However, the inherent limitations of Li metal, that is, the low Coulombic efficiency and dendrite issues, make it still far from practical applications. In short, the low Coulombic efficiency shortens the cycle life of Li metal batteries while the dendrite issue raises safety concerns. Thanks to the great efforts of the research community, prolific fundamental understandings as well as the approaches of mitigating Li metal anode safety have been extensively explored. In this review, Li electrochemical deposition behaviors have been systematically summarized and the recent progress in

This is the author's manuscript of the article published in final edited form as:

Yu, Y., Liu, Y., & Xie, J. (2021). Building Better Li Metal Anodes in Liquid Electrolyte: Challenges and Progress. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 13(1), 18–33. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c17302

electrode design and electrolyte system optimization have been reviewed. Finally, we discussed the future directions, opportunities and challenges of Li metal anode in applications.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In the past three decades, the community have witnessed the tremendous boom of the energy storage market in different sectors such as portable electronic devices and electric vehicles. However, the current state-of-the-art lithium ion batteries are approaching a bottleneck of energy density limitations. Aware of the fast-growing energy storage demands, the quest for high-energy density batteries arouses a surge of interests in lithium metal anode in recent years. Li metal, is praised for its high theoretical capacity (3860 mAh  $g^{-1}$ ) and the lowest electrochemical potential (-3.04 V vs. standard hydrogen electrode), and it is thus considered as one of the most promising candidates for next-generation batteries. Despite the successful use of Li metal anode (LMA) in primary batteries, it encounters a poor cycle life and catastrophic safety issues due to low Coulombic efficiency (CE) and the formation of Li dendrites in rechargeable Li metal batteries. The replacement of Li metal anodes with carbon-based anodes has led to a successful realization of Li ion batteries (LIBs) in the early 1990s. However, the penalty is a much lower specific capacity (e.g., graphite, 372 mAh g<sup>-1</sup>) that makes it hard to meet the increasing demands of higher specific energy density batteries. It is thus of the paramount significance to build better batteries based on a reliable LMA for next generation batteries.

For decades, the ongoing strides of solving these problems are also associated with the myriad efforts that dedicated to this area. Nevertheless, two main challenges of the Li metal pertain to the low CE and unstable Li electrochemical deposition, which hinder its practical

applications. Typically, the inhomogeneous deposition of lithium easily leads to a dendritelike form, which may pierce through the separator and give rise to the safety hazards of cells by short circuit. The fracture of these dendrite-like Li becomes parts of "dead" Li and further contributes to a low CE due to the losing of electric contacts. In this regard, the realization of a stable Li electrochemical deposition is essential for solving these longstanding challenges of the Li metal. Herein, this review is intended to provide a complete picture on the Li electrochemical plating/stripping process in liquid electrolyte and further highlight the approaches for stabilizing Li metal electrodes. In particular, we specifically focus on recent new understandings as well as possible methods for future design of Li metal electrodes with an improved stability.



**Figure 1.** Li morphologies in battery cells. (a-d) Schematics of different Li micro-structure: (a) dendritic Li, reproduced with permission from ref. 1. Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society (b) mossy Li, reproduced with permission from ref. 2. Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society (c) tangled Li, reproduced with permission from ref. 3.

Copyright 1998 Elsevier. (d) dead Li. Reproduced with permission from ref. 4. Copyright 2019 Springer Nature. (e-h) SEM images of Li electrochemical deposition (1 M LiTFSI in DOL/DME based electrolyte, 2 mAh cm<sup>-2</sup>) on different substrates: (e) Cu, reproduced with permission from ref. 5. Copyright 2015 Springer Nature. (f) Ag, reproduced with permission from ref. 6. Copyright 2019 American Chemical Society. (g) Graphene, reproduced with permission from ref. 7. Copyright 2017 John Wiley and Sons. (h) MXene. Reproduced with permission from ref. 8. Copyright 2018 John Wiley and Sons. (i-l) Electrolyte based different Li deposition (0.5 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, 0.5 mAh cm<sup>-2</sup>) behaviors on Cu current collector: (i) 1 M LiPF6-PC, (j) 1 M LTFSI-PC, (k) 1 M LiTFSI-DME, (l) 1 M LiFSI-DME. Reproduced with permission from ref. 9. Copyright 2014 Springer Nature. (m-o) Cathode effects on Li deposition: (m) LiFePO4, (n) LiNi<sub>0.6</sub>Mn<sub>0.2</sub>Co<sub>0.2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, (o) LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Reproduced with permission from ref.10. Copyright 2019 John Wiley and Sons.

#### 2. LITHIUM PLATING/STRIPPING BEHAVIORS IN LIQUID ELECTROLYTE

Li dendrite suppression to achieve a stable electrochemical plating/stripping process is the prerequisite for the success of Li metal stabilization. In the course of electrochemical cycles, Li is prone to deposit in whisker forms, which is a basic issue of LMA. To overcome this issue, a clear fundamental understanding of its working principles in liquid electrolyte is required. In particular, we specifically focus on the mechanistic view of SEI formation, Li electrochemical deposition, and corresponding interfacial chemistries in this section. Relationship between electrochemical properties of Li anode and different variations including deposition substrates, electrolyte systems, and other factors, will be elucidated



to strengthen the insights of Li deposition behaviors.

Figure 2. The main challenges related to LMA.

2.1 Unstable Li plating/stripping. Upon the electrodeposition process, three mass transfer modes are involved, namely diffusion, convection, and migration of lithium ions<sup>11</sup>. In theory, Li ions move from the bulk of the electrolyte to the surface of the Li anode through mass transfer. Such an unavoidable mass transfer (including diffusion, convection and migration, generally, convection is negligible) of Li ions results in concentration gradients between the bulk of electrolyte and electrode surface. Li ions start to nucleate when the diffusion current density approaches the limiting current density ( $i_{lim}$ ) at a steeper concentration gradient site, because depleted Li ions cannot be fully replenished immediately by diffusion<sup>12</sup>. Once the current exceeds the diffusion limitation ( $\neg i_{lim}$ ), the Li ion concentration near the electrode surface reduces to zero at a certain time, which is known as "Sand's time" ( $t_{sand}$ ).<sup>13</sup> These mass transfer processes in dilute electrolyte give rise to a formation of space-charge, which has been shown to form dendrites at Sand's time<sup>14-16</sup>. This uneven deposition process further worsens the electrical field and potential

distribution, then accelerates the propagation of dendrite growth<sup>17</sup>. However, it has been found that limiting current density *i*<sub>lim</sub> is not an effective indicator to predict Li dendrite formation because dendrite growth has been observed even at a current density lower than 20% of the  $i_{lim}$ ,<sup>18</sup> which is different from other metal deposition (e.g. Cu)<sup>19</sup>. In particular, apart from Li protrusions, subsurface structures have been revealed by X-ray microtomography, which suggests a complicated mass transfer process is involve in Li dendrite development<sup>20</sup>. Along with the Li whisker growth, a sequence of behaviors such as buckling, kinking, yielding may occur under stress, further complicates the Li plating/stripping process <sup>21</sup>. As a consequence, a great deal of intricate deposition microstructures has been reported in practical battery cells including dendritic Li (Fig. 1a), mossy Li (Fig. 1b), tangled Li (Fig. 1c), to name a few. All these results exemplified that the growth of Li dendrite was not solely controlled by mass transfer process. Thus, several Li dendrite growth models have been developed with an incorporation of thermodynamics reference points<sup>17</sup>. For example, a heterogeneous nucleation model has been proposed, in which a overpotential-controlled critical radius is highlighted for dendrite formation thermodynamically<sup>22</sup>. Moreover, a critical kinetic radius is defined by surface tension and overpotential driving forces, which is related to the growth and shrinkage of the isolated embryo. All these findings highly suggest a kinetically and thermodynamically controlled mechanism for Li dendrite growth.

On the other hand, a Kirkendall-type corrosion has been proposed recently on the stripping process, that is, a galvanic process between lithium and the copper current collector renders the fast Li corrosion<sup>23</sup>.Typically, the Li metal and Cu serve as the anode and cathode for

this galvanic cell, respectively. Thus, a new electron transfer pathway is enabled from Li to electrolyte through Cu cathode, along with the outward diffusion of Li<sup>+</sup> on the Li surface through the SEI layer. The observed void Li could be accounted well by the dominant outward diffusion as Kirkendall-type corrosion. These void Li caused by Kirkendall corrosion is further vulnerable to dendrite germination in subsequent plating. What's more, the initial sequence of Li plating and stripping process has been found may give rise to different full cell cycling performance, morphologies evolutions, and electrochemical potential evolutions.<sup>24</sup> All these complex plating/stripping processes encumber a comprehensive understanding of Li electrochemical behaviors in practical cells. After a complete stripping process, certain amount of metallic Li<sup>0</sup> is isolated and remains unreacted due to the losing of electric contacts, which, typically, refers as "dead Li" (Fig. 1d). These dead Li account for a wide range from ~1% to 75% of deposited lithium capacity, as varied with different electrolyte systems <sup>4</sup>, which explains the low CE of Li metal to a certain extent. In such a scenario, an underlying cognition of electrochemical behaviors of Li metal is still under exploration in light of its convoluted mechanism. Along with the Li deposition, a solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) layer forms on the surface of Li structure by virtue of the reactions between Li and electrolyte <sup>25-29</sup>. The formation of SEI could be traced to the electrochemical window (EW) of the electrolyte, which is associated with the difference between the energy level of the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LOMO) and the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) <sup>30</sup>. The deposition potential of Li metal is around 0.000 V (vs. Li/Li<sup>+</sup>), which is much lower than the reduction potential of electrolyte (i.e. equivalent HOMO energy). Hence, electrolyte decomposes to SEI at the Li surface spontaneously<sup>31-32</sup>. Passivation by the SEI prevents the further reaction between Li and

electrolyte, while allows the Li ion conduction. However, the porous and mechanically fragile SEI may crack along with the repeated Li deposition/dissolution processes. The induced re-exposure of fresh Li to electrolyte renders a reduced CE and the depletion of electrolyte after long time cycling <sup>33-34</sup>. These issues impose imperative challenges for stabilizing Li metal anodes.

For the sake of clear elucidation of these electrochemical processes, many ex-situ and insitu characterization techniques have been developed and applied. The first observation of Li dendrite formation could date back to 1980 by Epelboin et al<sup>35</sup>. In 1998, the texture of lithium has been visualized with the plenty of grain boundaries by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM). These columnar-like structures are coated by a passive layer, namely SEI layer, which consists of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, Li<sub>2</sub>O, and reduction products of electrolyte<sup>36-37</sup>. The strong crystallographic texture of deposited Li has been further corroborated by X-ray diffraction pole-figure analysis <sup>38</sup>. By in-situ optical microscopic imaging, a large number of pits and defects have been captured after plating/stripping, and these pits further serve as nucleation sites ready for dendrites growth<sup>2</sup>, <sup>39</sup>. All these findings underline the lithium surface state is one of the key parameters for dendrite growth apart from electrolyte gradient concentration (mass transfer controlled process). Cryo-electron microscopy as an emerging powerful technique also have been utilized to correlate atomic and nanoscale structure of electron-sensitive Li metal anode structure. It has been found that Li grows along with (111) (preferred), (110), and (211) facets to form dendrites structure <sup>40</sup>. Recently, two types of dendrites structure with different composition have been revealed using cryo-transmission electron microscopy

(cryo-TEM)<sup>41</sup>. Type I dendrite structure has an extended SEI layer, while type II structure consists of lithium hydride rather than lithium metal itself. Moreover, other imaging approaches such as neutron imaging and X-ray tomography, provide in-depth insights towards lithium metal <sup>20, 42-44</sup>. To the best of our knowledge, two main reasons of unregulated mass transfer and complicated surface chemistries interpret the failure mechanisms of Li metal upon electrochemical cycles, and a brief summary has been presented in Fig. 2.

Current density and temperature are two main external factors that affect the Li electrochemical plating/stripping behaviors. It has been widely accepted that larger current density is favorable for the dendrites formation. As a result, a shorter cycling lifetime and lower CE of LMA has been widely observed at high current density situation<sup>3, 45-47</sup>. Nevertheless, the thin needle-like lithium filaments have been further revealed at a small current density below the limiting current, and the authors discriminated these "whiskers" from those common used term "dendrite".<sup>48</sup> Even at a small current, a transition of these Li mossy structures (formed by interweaved Li whiskers) to dendritic lithium happens once the deposition capacity is over Sand's capacity. In this regard, current density is not sufficient to judge the tendency of the growth of Li dendrite solely. Modeling results based on thermodynamically consistent theory further demonstrates that three dendrite growth mechanism could be existed, that is, base-controlled growth, tip-controlled growth, and diffusion-limited growth, which gives a further detailed explanation.<sup>49</sup> In general, smaller and more distributed Li nuclei has been deposited at larger current density while dendrites formation is favorable at certain current density region with charge-transfer-controlled growth mechanism<sup>50-51</sup>. On the other hand, suitable temperature range is required, otherwise, insufficient dynamics at low temperature and high reactivity at elevated temperature may leads to failure of LMA <sup>52-56</sup>. It has been reported that needle-shaped Li is easily deposited on Cu foil at -15°C, and SEI components is also influenced with different operating temperature <sup>57</sup>. Elevated temperature (e.g. >55 °C) generally brings the exacerbation of irreversible side reactions in light of the high chemical reactivity of Li metal<sup>58-59</sup>. What's more, the thermal decomposition of SEI may occur at an elevated temperature, which brings the cycling stability issues.<sup>60-63</sup> To pursue a wide working temperature range for LMA, the exploration of new electrolyte system is imperative <sup>64-66</sup>.



**Figure 3**. Operando video microscopy captured Li electrode morphologies (Li-Li symmetric cell) associated with corresponding voltage trace. Reproduced with permission from ref. 2. Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society.

The failure mechanisms of Li metal in practical cells have been intensively investigated. A Li-Li symmetric cell provides the simplest cell configuration for the investigation in depth. Utilizing operando video microscopy, Dasgupta et al studied the morphology evolution of Li metal anodes in Li-Li symmetric cells, and the morphologies of dendrites were directly correlated with the trace of corresponding voltage (Fig. 3)<sup>2</sup>. It has been found that the pitting occurs at the electro-dissolution process, and Li dendrites preferentially nucleate and grow within these pits. The reactivity of the local surface of Li metal with the electrolyte is vital for the longtime stability. The sharp increase or decrease of the voltage hysteresis has been widely recognized as failure criterions for the cycling of Li-Li symmetric cells, which is corresponding to electrolyte depletion or short circuit, respectively<sup>33</sup>. The electrolyte depletion is highly induced by the surface reaction between Li metal and electrolyte. Similar failure mechanism retains in Li metal full cells. Once a limited amount of Li cycled with corresponding cathode materials, a sudden drop of capacity occurs with traditional electrolytes<sup>67</sup>. Considering the requirements of a balance among electrolyte amount, Li metal thickness, and cathode loading in practical pouch cells <sup>68</sup>, the surface reaction should be carefully controlled, in other words, a high CE should be emphasized<sup>69</sup>. Very recently, a Li-Li pouch symmetric cell has been utilized for the exploration of Li metal failure mechanism in a more practical working situation<sup>70</sup>. Varied working conditions such as current density, capacity, Li utilization have been investigated and a related failure map is demonstrated in three categories: polarization, transition, and short-circuit, which exhibits huge challenges of Li metal pouch cells at practical harsh working conditions.

*2.2 Deposition substrates*. The lithium electrochemical deposition could be influenced by other external variables as well. For example, the different substrates possess disparate nucleation barriers, <sup>71</sup> and may further guide Li deposition behaviors (Fig. 1e-h). It is favorable for Li nucleation and cycling stability on substrates with little nucleation barriers. As a consequence, smaller deposition overpotential and nucleation overpotential are presented in these substrates with superior affinity with Li, where the nucleation overpotential is defined as the difference between sharp tip voltage (deposition overpotential) and the later stable mass-transfer-controlled overpotential<sup>7, 72</sup>. In analogy with hydrophilicity, the concept of "lithiophilicity" has been widely accepted as a guide rule to achieve stable Li deposition <sup>6, 73-78</sup>. For this purpose, the binding energy between Li and specific functional group (or matrix) has been considered to evaluate the lithium bond quantitatively, and different Li affinities could be explained in this regard<sup>7, 72, 79-82</sup>.

An epitaxial electrodeposition process has been uncovered recently for metal anodes, that is, the deposited metal layer arranges into coherent or semicoherent lattice interface on substrate <sup>83</sup>. Once a low lattice mismatch is within reach, the deposited crystalline layer (epilayer) demonstrates a correlated orientation in line with the substrate. What's more, low residual stresses are enabled in this deposition process. This epitaxy regulation strategy drives a locked crystallographic orientation of metal deposition, and an exceptional reversibility has been realized, providing another considerable way to regulate electrochemical deposition process on certain substrates. However, some researchers argue the clear evidence of the epitaxial deposition mechanism, the jury is still out<sup>84-85</sup>.

2.3 Electrolyte Systems. Since the mass transfer process plays a crucial role in Li plating process as discussed above, an electrolyte system consists of Li salts, solvent, and additives, is highly relevant to LMA performance (Fig. 1i-l). Moreover, Li salts and electrolyte additives directly participate in the formation of the SEI layer with electrolyte solvent. The SEI layer is electrically insulated, but allows for the Li<sup>+</sup> conduction, thus, Li atom is always deposited beneath SEI layer. The generated compressive stress in this process may leads to SEI layer cracks if a fragile and mechanical weak SEI is formed. Thus, a careful selection of Li salts and electrolyte additives benefits the formation of high quality SEI layer. Very recently, the solvent molecule cooperation effects have been revealed, that is, the extent of electrolyte decomposition (SEI components) is dependent of the combinations of different solvents in electrolyte<sup>86</sup>. These solvent combinations dominate the solvation chemistry of Li<sup>+</sup>. In this regard, both mass transfer process and surface chemical reaction are heavily dependent with electrolyte systems.

Electrochemical window (EW) of an electrolyte is the stable potential range, in which the electrolyte is neither oxidized nor reduced, and the reduction potential and the oxidation potential of the electrolyte refer as the cathodic limit and the anodic limit, respectively. Typically, a high anodic stability of the electrolyte solvent has been pursued to fulfill the electrochemical stability with high voltage cathode materials<sup>87</sup>. Thus, in traditional lithium ion batteries, carbonate based solvents, such as ethylene carbonate (EC), ethyl methyl carbonate (EMC), propylene carbonate (PC), dimethyl carbonate (DMC), and diethyl carbonate (DEC) <sup>88-89</sup>, have been widely utilized due to their high anodic stability compared with ethers-based and esters-based solvent. Despite the success of these solvent in LIBs,

low CE and short cycling life has been encountered of carbonate-based electrolyte for LMA<sup>90</sup>. Recently, the role of the carbonates in Li metal deposition has been investigated by *in-situ* environmental transmission electron microscopy (ETEM) <sup>21</sup>. It has been discovered that an addition of very small amount of EC (0.2 wt. %) in baseline electrolyte leads to an obvious Li whiskers formation, which is called "electrolyte-poison". Given this situation, ethers including 1,3-dioxolane (DOL), 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) with lithium bis(trifluoromethane sulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) as lithium salt, have been widely accepted as a baseline electrolyte for stabilizing Li metal anode due to their high cathodic stability compared with carbonate based solvent.

While the present evaluation of the stability of Li metal anode is mainly based on half-cell, the selection of the cathode materials may have a great impact on its plating/stripping behaviors <sup>10</sup> (Fig. 1m-o). The Li deposition overpotentials and morphologies vary with different cathode materials (LiFePO<sub>4</sub>, LiNi<sub>0.6</sub>Mn<sub>0.2</sub>Co<sub>0.2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>). The trace dissolution of the transition metal into electrolyte has been identified as one of main reasons leads to above mentioned different behaviors, which further delineates the significance of the electrolyte for Li electrochemical performance.

#### 3. STABILIZING LMA ELECTROCHEMICAL CYCLING

Based on the fundamental understanding of LMA upon the electrochemical deposition/stripping process, a great deal of the approaches has been proposed and explored to stabilize the Li electrochemical cycling. In this section, we have summarized the main state-of-the-art approaches to stabilize LMA, and their representatives have been



demonstrated in Fig. 4. These approaches will be discussed and reviewed in detail.

**Figure 4**. Stabilizing approaches for LMA and related representatives. (a) Schematics of main approaches to stabilize LMA. (b) Lithiophilic reduced graphene oxide paper as Li substrate. Reproduced with permission from ref.72. Copyright 2016 Springer Nature. (c) Periodic patterned porous graphene mesh for stable LMA. Reproduced with permission from ref.91. Copyright 2018 Elsevier. (d) Poly (vinylidene difluoride) (PVDF) coating on Cu current collector. Reproduced with permission from ref. 92. Copyright 2017 John Wiley and Sons. (e) Full fluorosulfonyl electrolyte for high voltage Li metal batteries. Reproduced with permission from ref.93. Copyright 2020 Royal Society of Chemistry. (f) Stabilizing LMA by the control of dendrite growth direction. Reproduced with permission from ref. 94. Copyright 2017 Springer Nature.

3.1 Electrode design. To address the problem of inhomogeneous deposition, materials with excellent Li affinity have been utilized to guide Li plating. Up to now, lithiophilic materials such as Si<sup>95</sup>, Au<sup>71</sup>, Ag <sup>6, 76, 96</sup>, ZnO<sup>78, 97</sup>, CuO<sup>73-74</sup>, SiO<sup>98</sup>, SnO <sup>77</sup>, TiC<sup>99</sup>, MXene <sup>8, 100</sup>, to name but a few, has been explored for a stable LMA. For these metals, Cui et al discovered the selective deposition behaviors of Li on some specific metals like Ag and Au, and a largely reduced over-potential is presented on these metal compared with Cu (current collector materials)<sup>71</sup>. It has been found that there are no nucleation barriers for metals (e.g. Ag, Au) exhibiting a definite solubility in lithium. Given this understanding, these metals have been utilized as substrate materials to guide Li deposition for a uniform distribution<sup>76</sup>, <sup>96</sup>. As for metal oxide (e.g. ZnO, CuO, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>), due to the negative of the free energy ( $\Delta G$ < 0) between Li and metal oxide, a spontaneous reaction between Li and metal oxide is enabled<sup>73, 77, 101</sup>. Thus, these metal oxides not only decrease the kinetic barrier of adhesion of Li metal but also facilitate the Li<sup>+</sup> transport. In summary, Li wettable substrates are beneficial for the dendrite suppression and further ameliorates its cycling stability. These lithiophilic substrates could produce a smaller nucleation overpotential and leads to a more homogeneous Li nucleation (e.g., reduced graphene oxide paper, Fig. 4b). Among these substrates, carbon based materials received considerable attention in light of its outstanding electrical conductivity, high chemical stability, facile fabrication process and plenty of structural diversity<sup>102</sup>. For the sake of achieving higher Li affinity of these carbon substrates, chemical functionalization as well as structure design have been widely explored<sup>103</sup>. For example, the attachment of amine (-NH) groups to the surface of the carbon fibers contributed to a smooth and stable Li layer <sup>104</sup>. On the other hand, the direct modifications of the Cu current collector with good Li affinity have been evidenced as an effective

strategy to improve the cycling stability as well<sup>5, 75, 105-110</sup>.

Apart from the wettability tuning of the substrates, porous structure design offers direct host space to regulate Li deposition and meanwhile minimize the volume change upon plating/stripping cycles<sup>111</sup>. Furthermore, the high surface area of these porous structure lowers the local current density and current density distribution is improved <sup>107, 112</sup>. Given this reason, a great deal of porous hosts has been devised to accommodate Li plating/stripping<sup>98, 113-118</sup>. One typical example is graphene substrates with periodic patterned voids (Fig. 4c) <sup>91</sup>. By the simply constructed periodic voids on graphene structure, the Li dendrites have been fundamentally suppressed due to the horizontal centripetal plating behaviors, that is, the Li nucleates at the void edges and then grows horizontal centripetally to fill these voids. As a result, a stable voltage profiles maintained as long as 2000 hours at a high current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.

For practical application, pre-storing of Li metal into these substrates is required. Electrodeposition and thermal infusion are two main methods to pre-store Li into these hosts as Li metal composite anodes. Various current collectors with decent conductivity have been used as hosts for Li metal by electrodeposition approach<sup>119-121</sup>. The amount of the pre-stored Li could be precisely controlled by deposition time and corresponding current density. However, the electrodeposition process is operated in a symmetrical cell using Li metal as counter/reference electrode and desired host as working electrode, thus sacrificial cells are required for the obtainment of the final composite LMA. Tedious preparation procedures such as cell disassembly, electrode cleaning, and full cell re-

assembly hinders the scale-up of electrodeposition approach. Regarding the relatively low molting point of Li metal (~180.5 °C), thermal infusion has been proved as a prominent strategy for uniform Li pre-storing<sup>72, 78, 116</sup>. Compact and homogeneous Li infusion is enabled and sacrificial cells could be avoided in thermal infusion method. Nevertheless, considerable thermal stability and wettability of liquefied Li for the substrate materials are the prerequisites for thermal Li infusion.

3.2 New electrolyte. Development of new electrolyte systems including solvent, lithium salts, and additives has been regarded as one of the most potent ways to strive for a stable LMA. As previously discussed, the electrolyte components directly participate in formation and propagation of the SEI layer on Li metal surface. Furthermore, an electrolyte as the medium to bridge the mass transfer process between the cathode and anode, which determines the main morphology of the deposited Li. A brief summary of the development electrolyte system for Li metal has been presented in Fig. 5. The high concentration of lithium salts improves the electrolyte reductive stability by reducing availability of reactive solvent as well as sacrificial anion reduction. What's more, fast Li plating/stripping is enabled by the elevated Li<sup>+</sup> concentration<sup>9</sup>. Furthermore, the high Li salt concentration increases the limiting current density and facilitates the replenishment of the ions depleted by Li deposition and thus suppress Li dendrite formation. In this regard, the high concentration electrolytes (HCEs) has been sought as a potent method<sup>122-125</sup>. For example, a solvent-in-salt HCEs has been reported with 7M LiTFSI in DOL and DME mixture solvent for Li-S batteries in 2013, a high CE approaches 100% is demonstrated<sup>122</sup>. Later, 4 M lithium bis(fluorosulfinyl)imide (LiFSI) in DME as HCEs has been proposed and results

in a high average Coulombic efficiency of 98.4%<sup>9</sup>. Along this line, a concentration as high as 10 M LiFSI in DMC not only realize a superior CE of ~99.3% but a high cutoff voltage of 4.6 V due to the utilization of excellent anodic stability solvent of DMC <sup>126</sup>. However, the high concentration of the lithium salts exhibits a high viscosity and further leads to a cost concern for practical application. Recently, localized high concentration electrolytes (LHCEs) has been proposed to address these concerns<sup>67, 127-130</sup>. Typically, a co-solvent has been introduced to dilute the HCEs to obtain LHCEs and it is required that the diluent do not affect the solvate structure between the original solvent molecules and Li salts. For example, one of LHCEs has been develop by Zhang's group by diluting HCEs (5.5 M LiFSI in DMC) with bis(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl) ether (BTFE)<sup>131</sup>. Furthermore, a significantly improved cycling performance of Li metal anode has been reported at the same group based on sulfone-based LHCE in which tetramethylene sulfone (TMS) serves as solvent and 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethyl-2,2,3,3-tetrafluoropropyl ether (TTE) serves as the diluent<sup>67</sup>. On the other hand, despite the successful application of the ether-based electrolytes in LMA (e.g., 1 M LiTFSI in DOL-DME) due to their high reductive stability, their unsatisfactory anodic stability (normally < 4 V) highly limits the selection of the cathode materials. Given this situation, electrolyte systems using nitrile<sup>132-133</sup>, carbonate<sup>126</sup> which are gifted with a higher anodic stability, have been carefully considered. Among these high-voltage electrolytes, fluorinated system is of great interests in this community<sup>134-135</sup>. Fluorinated solvents such as fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC), 3,3,3-fluoroethylmethyl carbonate 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethyl-2',2',2'-trifluoroethyl (FEMC), ether (HFE), tris(2,2,2trifluoroethyl)orthoformate (TFEO), have been employed for high-voltage Li metal batteries<sup>89, 136-137</sup>. Very recently, "full fluorosulfonyl" (FFS) electrolyte consists of LiFSI,

LiPF<sub>6</sub>, and *N*, *N*-dimethylsulfamoyl fluoride (FSA) has been evaluated for LMA, and such FFS electrolyte has been corroborated their good compatibility with high-voltage cathode materials (Fig. 4e)<sup>93</sup>. It has been widely recognized that the SEI plays a crucial role in the stability of LMA<sup>138-139</sup>. Mixed salts electrolytes including dual salts<sup>140-141</sup>, ternary salts<sup>142-143</sup>, offer a facile approach to optimize the SEI components and thus improve the stability. Finally, other strategies, for instance, ionic liquids<sup>144-145</sup>, viscoelastic electrolyte<sup>146</sup>, and liquid/liquid two phase electrolyte interface protection<sup>147</sup>, to name but a few, offer new directions for the development of new electrolyte system for LMA. Among these systems, the fluorinated system stands out as a competitive candidate. The high anodic stability imparts a wide range of compatibility for cathode materials selection, especially for 4-V class lithium metal batteries. Moreover, it does not need high concentration of lithium salt and thus avoids the high cost and high electrolyte viscosity.

Apart from the careful consideration of the solvents and lithium salts, the electrolyte additives optimize the SEI components and stability, and may tune the lithium solvation structure to achieve smooth plating as well. Typically, the electrolyte systems consist of solvents, anions, and solvents solvated cations (e.g. Li<sup>+</sup>), and a de-solvation process involved once these solvated Li<sup>+</sup> ions get inserted or deposited on the electrode surface. Hence, the Li ion solvation structure is an important index for the performance and electrochemical behaviors of LMA<sup>148</sup>. For example, the introduction of anions (e.g. NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) has been found that could alter the solvation sheath of the solvation structure and thus promotes the decomposition of lithium salt anions<sup>149-150</sup>. A uniform SEI layer with an abundance of specific components is further enabled. In most cases, additives have been

selected to directly optimize SEI microstructures such as vinylene carbonate<sup>151</sup>, LiNO3<sup>152</sup>, LiF<sup>153</sup>, and so on<sup>154-155</sup>. Fluorinated SEI structure, that is, high LiF content, is particularly pursued which benefits the uniform diffusion of the Li ions through this SEI<sup>156-158</sup>. The other type of electrolyte additives does not take part in the SEI formation, however, adjust the electrolyte properties to suppress Li dendrite formation<sup>150, 159</sup>. For example, dendrite formation can be fundamentally altered with the addition of selected cations (e.g., Cs<sup>+</sup>, Rb<sup>+</sup>), which harnessed by a self-healing electrostatic shield (SHES) mechanism<sup>160</sup>. With a suitable concentration, these selected cations possess an effective reduction potential that is less than the Li deposition potential, thus, a positively charged electrostatic shield covers the initial growth tip of the deposited Li by these cations and repels the incoming Li<sup>+</sup> to prevent the dendrite propagation. In a summary, direct and indirect modifications of SEI structure could be enabled by electrolyte additives for stabilizing LMA. The former directly participate in the SEI formation along with the Li metal deposition, while the latter modify the SEI components indirectly by the tuning of Li solvation sheath.



**Figure 5**. A brief timeline summarizing the development of electrolyte system for Li metal anode. It is worth noting that the evaluation of the CE may be based on different test

condition.

Due to the progress of the high CE new electrolytes for LMA, a novel batterty configuration of "anode-free" has been inspired.<sup>161-164</sup> For traditional LIBs, the Li ion extracted from cathode materials diffuse through electrolyte and then store into anode materials during chare process. However, for "anode-free" rechargeable batteries, only a current collector (copper foil) is used for the extracted Li ions plating, and the current collector with plated Li metal further serves as LMA for battery discharge/charge. This configuration of batteries is referred as "anode-free rechargeable lithium metal battery" (AFLB). The anode active materials free design of AFLB significantly reduced the overall weight of a whole battery, and then energy density could therefore be increased to a great extent compared with traditional LIBs. However, the negative/positive capacity ratio (N/P ratio) is determined (1:1), thus the CE of AFLB is crucial for its cycling performance. <sup>165-167</sup> Assuming a AFLB could sustain an excellent cycling capacity (e.g. 80% retention after 1000 cycles), a CE as high as 99.98% is required. Such CE is still far from the reach of LMA, and the contribution of the cathode capacity loss make it even worse for AFLB. Up to now, the longest lifetime of 200 cycles (80% capacity retention) has been realized by Dahn's group using dual-salt carbonate electrolyte.<sup>168</sup> Given this situation, future progress is still demanded for the practical application of AFLB. For detailed information on this part could be found in comprehensive review elsewhere.<sup>169</sup>



**Figure 6**. Electrochemical behaviors of alloy protected lithium metal SEM images of fresh lithium metal (a) and Li<sub>13</sub>In<sub>3</sub> protected lithium metal (b) plated with 2 mAh cm<sup>-2</sup> of Li. Cross view SEM images of Li<sub>13</sub>In<sub>3</sub> protected lithium metal before (c) and after (d-e) 2 mAh cm<sup>-2</sup> Li plating. Operando optical microscopy images of fresh Li metal (f) and Li<sub>13</sub>In<sub>3</sub> protected lithium metal in a symmetric transparent cell (g). Reproduced with permission from ref. 170. Copyright 2017 Springer Nature.

*3.3 Protective layer.* Since a stable SEI layer is imperative for LMA, construction of protective layer on Li surface, sometimes refers as artificial SEI, has stood out as a reliable approach to regulate Li plating/stripping behaviors<sup>79, 171-175</sup>. A large body of materials such as metals<sup>176</sup>, polymers<sup>177-180</sup>, oxides<sup>181-182</sup>, nitrides<sup>183-185</sup>, sulfides<sup>186-187</sup>, and so on, has been

utilized as a protective layer to prevent the side reaction between Li surface and electrolyte. A typical example is the artificial interphases constructed by a facile ion-exchange chemistry between Sn<sup>2+</sup> and Li metal <sup>188</sup>, and this Sn-Li anode exhibited a reduced interfacial impedance and higher exchange current density. Due to the Sn alloying reaction with Li (Li<sub>5</sub>Sn<sub>2</sub>), a reversible Li ion conduction is enabled through this constructed layer. A prerequisite of these protective layers pertains to the unimpeded transport of the Li ions, which enable the lithium deposition under the layer (Fig. 6)<sup>170</sup>. In analogy with Sn metal, a Li<sub>x</sub>Si alloy protective layer has reported with mechanical robustness and high Li ion conductivity<sup>175</sup>. The fast Li ion diffusion is allowed through this thin alloyed surface layer. Furthermore, the robust mechanical stability and chemical stability of such a layer are desired. For example, Wang's group has proposed a polymer-inorganic SEI which consists of polymeric lithium salts, lithium fluoride and graphene oxide sheets<sup>189</sup>. This artificial SEI layer has manifested excellent passivation properties and mechanical stability, imparting high-efficiency Li deposition and durable cycle life. Apart from the direct modification of the Li foil, the fabrication of a Li<sup>+</sup> conductive protective layer on Cu current collector provides the similar effects<sup>1, 190</sup>. Polymer based artificial SEI layers are especially in vogue due to their structure flexibility and elastic nature, which accommodate the Li volume change upon cycling and overcome the SEI fragility issue (e.g., PVDF coating, Fig. 4d)<sup>92</sup>, <sup>180, 191-192</sup>. For more information, review articles focusing on protective coating could be found elsewhere.<sup>193-195</sup>



**Figure 7**. Working principles of traditional and SAW-driven Li metal batteries. (a) Schematics of the mechanisms of acoustic streaming drives electrolyte flow in the gap between the electrodes. The homogeneous ion distribution and uniform Li deposition in a SAW Li metal battery (c) compared with traditional Li metal battery (b). Reproduced with permission from ref.196. Copyright 2020, John Wiley and Sons.

*3.4 Li flux regulation.* During Li electrochemical reduction, the movement of the solvated Li<sup>+</sup> ions is driven by the concentration difference between the electrode surface and the bulk of the solution, which refers as the diffusion. However, uncontrollable natural convention exists and is unpredictable for Li<sup>+</sup> transportation. These solvated Li<sup>+</sup> ions desorb the solvent molecules once approaching the SEI layer, and migrate through SEI and are reduced to Li atoms. These three processes, namely diffusion, convection, and migration, explicates the mass transfer process of Li deposition<sup>11</sup>. The sharp concentration gradient produced upon unregulated mass transfer process is one of the main reasons, which gives rise to the inhomogeneous distribution of Li<sup>+</sup> flux. This inhomogeneous distribution of Li<sup>+</sup> flux further results in the non-uniform deposition. Given this situation, advanced strategies in achieving uniform Li deposition by regulating Li<sup>+</sup> flux have been

proposed<sup>197</sup>. For example, external electric field<sup>198</sup> and magnetic field<sup>199-200</sup> have been applied to promote the uniform distribution of lithium ions to suppress dendrite formation. Considering the high energy consumption of these external fields, surface acoustic wave (SAW) as an emerging technique has been developed (Fig. 7)<sup>196</sup>. Li dendrites and porosity have been avoided using SAW device to realize a homogeneous lithium ion concentration by acoustic forces. In recent years, the effect of charging method has received growing attention. Li et al have investigated the molecular mechanism of pulse current charging for LMA, and it has been found that the loose interaction between cations and anions boosts the Li<sup>+</sup> transportation, which stabilizes the Li deposition <sup>201</sup>. Moreover, compared with direct current (DC) electrodeposition, needle-like lithium could be avoided and the cycling efficiency was improved by pulse plating under diffusion controlled conditions<sup>202-203</sup>.

*3.5 Dendrite growth control*. Instead of the suppression of the Li dendrite fundamentally, the control of dendrite growth direction has been validated as a novel approach to the cycle lifetime of LMA by our group<sup>94</sup>. The potential difference between the tips and bottom of a Li dendrite is the thermodynamic driving force for the propagation of the dendrites. By using a functionalized nanocarbon (FNC) coated separator, the Li dendrites grow toward each other from both FNC separator and Li metal anode. A dense Li layer is formed once these dendrites meets each other, which prevents the uncontrollable growth of the Li dendrites and the safety hazards (Fig. 4f). By the way, the design of the functional separators also has been extensively investigated in recent years<sup>204-208</sup>. Since the affinity between the Li and substrates has been widely examined, the separator wettability with electrolyte as well as the affinity between separator and Li should be carefully considered

# 4. OUTLOOK

Approaches	CE	Dendrite	Comments
Electrode design	Fair	Good	Li deposition behavior is physically regulated, but the side reaction between Li and electrolyte may hardly be avoided.
New electrolyte	Excellent	Good	Both low CE and dendrite issues can be regulated due to the improved surface chemistries.
Protractive layer	Good	Excellent	Interface between Li and electrolyte is ameliorated thus suppress dendrite formation and improve CE.
Li flux regulation	Fair	Good	Uniform Li deposition is enabled to prevent dendrite propagation. However, external energy is required.
Dendrite growth control	Good	Excellent	Dendrite issue is physically controlled, and CE could be improved.

# Table 1. Summary of different approaches to stabilize LMA.

The growing energy storage markets are unprecedentedly demanding the higher energy density rechargeable batteries with high reliability and safety. As a captivating candidate,

LMA stands out from others and receives prevalent investigations. However, the dendrite formation and low CE, these two issues intrinsically hinder the practical application of LMA. In this perspective, unregulated mass transfer process and complex surface chemistries have been outlined as two main reasons that induce these issues. Despite the approaches underlined here to stabilize LMA (Summarized in Table 1), there are still future concerns remain to be judiciously considered as below.

- 1. The current state-of-the-art evaluation of the stability mainly based on high Li metal loading, which means low depth of discharge (DOD) of LMA. To fully utilize the great potential of the high capacity of Li metal, a suitable N/P ratio should be highlighted and implanted to the cell level assessment, especially in pouch cell, which will be potential addressed in the near future.
- 2. Considering the cathode effects on Li metal, a better understanding based on the full cell level should be taken into account. More detailed information such as Li morphology and SEI components is encouraged to investigate at full cell level not only limit to the symmetric Li cells. These observations will greatly facilitate the understanding of the lithium metal batteries at practical situation.
- 3. Improving the CE of the LMA is one of the most important factors to ensure the long-time battery cycling. For this purpose, an extremely high CE (i.e. >99.9%) is anticipated. Given a simple calculation, only 60.6% capacity has been retained after 500 cycles if a CE of 99.9% has been assumed. Moreover, a standard measurement of CE protocol is encouraged to avoid the conflicting values in different literatures <sup>211</sup>. The rapid development of new electrolyte system as well as optimization of the electrolyte systems among lithium salts, solvents, and additives will still be a powerful

way to address the low CE issue.

- 4. Suppression of Li dendrites. The development of commercial Li metal batteries depends on not only the high energy density and long cycle life, but also reliable safety. Thus, a non-dendritic LMA is of great significance. A deep understanding of the interfacial mechanisms such as the side reactions of Li and electrolyte, SEI formation and components, Li ion transportation in SEI and so on, are vital for the suppression of Li dendrites. In fact, the Li dendrites could be notably suppressed by the synergetic integration of all approaches toward to the cell components including Li metal itself, electrolyte system, and separator, not limit to an individual component optimization.
- 5. Lean electrolyte LMA. The dendrite growth related SEI formation leads to a continuous electrolyte loss. Thus, a rise of voltage hysteresis is one of the main failure mechanism of Li symmetric cell. In this respect, the electrolyte usage effects towards LMA is vital for its both energy density and cycling stability, thus a lean electrolyte LMA is expected<sup>212</sup>.
- 6. Future challenges and outlook. The low CE and dendrite issues have been ameliorated by means of as-discussed approaches developed in recent years. However, practical Li metal batteries requires a careful balance among cathode, anode, and electrolyte. The utilization of thin Li foil (suitable N/P ratio) and electrolyte compatibility with cathode still remains as the daunting challenges regarding the fast capacity fading. The future of the LMA is associated with the developments of the fundamental understanding of the Li metal and novel approaches.

#### **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

## **Corresponding Author**

Jian Xie – Department of Mechanical and Energy Engineering, Purdue School of Engineering and Technology, Indiana University – Purdue University Indianapolis, Indiana 46202, United States; Email: jianxie@iupui.edu

### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the financial support from the US National Science Foundation and the Department of Energy, Vehicle Technology Program for our research on Li metal batteries.

#### REFERENCES

(1) Li, Q.; Pan, H.; Li, W.; Wang, Y.; Wang, J.; Zheng, J.; Yu, X.; Li, H.; Chen, L. Homogeneous Interface Conductivity for Lithium Dendrite-Free Anode. *ACS Energy Letters* **2018**, *3* (9), 2259-2266.

(2) Wood, K. N.; Kazyak, E.; Chadwick, A. F.; Chen, K. H.; Zhang, J. G.; Thornton, K.; Dasgupta, N. P. Dendrites and Pits: Untangling the Complex Behavior of Lithium Metal Anodes through Operando Video Microscopy. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2016**, *2* (11), 790-801.

(3) Orsini, F.; Du Pasquier, A.; Beaudoin, B.; Tarascon, J.; Trentin, M.; Langenhuizen, N.; De Beer, E.; Notten, P. In situ scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observation of interfaces within plastic lithium batteries. *J. Power Sources* **1998**, *76* (1), 19-29.

(4) Fang, C.; Li, J.; Zhang, M.; Zhang, Y.; Yang, F.; Lee, J. Z.; Lee, M. H.; Alvarado, J.; Schroeder, M. A.; Yang, Y.; Lu, B.; Williams, N.; Ceja, M.; Yang, L.; Cai, M.; Gu, J.; Xu, K.; Wang, X.; Meng, Y. S. Quantifying inactive lithium in lithium metal batteries. *Nature* **2019**, *572* (7770), 511-515.

(5) Yang, C. P.; Yin, Y. X.; Zhang, S. F.; Li, N. W.; Guo, Y. G. Accommodating lithium into
3D current collectors with a submicron skeleton towards long-life lithium metal anodes. *Nat. Commun.* 2015, *6*, 8058.

(6) Hou, Z.; Yu, Y.; Wang, W.; Zhao, X.; Di, Q.; Chen, Q.; Chen, W.; Liu, Y.; Quan, Z. Lithiophilic Ag Nanoparticle Layer on Cu Current Collector towards Stable Li Metal Anode. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2019**, 11(8), 8148-8154.

(7) Zhang, R.; Chen, X. R.; Chen, X.; Cheng, X. B.; Zhang, X. Q.; Yan, C.; Zhang, Q. Lithiophilic Sites in Doped Graphene Guide Uniform Lithium Nucleation for Dendrite-Free Lithium Metal Anodes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56* (27), 7764-7768.

(8) Zhang, X.; Lv, R.; Wang, A.; Guo, W.; Liu, X.; Luo, J. MXene Aerogel Scaffolds for High - Rate Lithium Metal Anodes. *Angewandte Chemie* **2018**, *130* (46), 15248-15253.

(9) Qian, J.; Henderson, W. A.; Xu, W.; Bhattacharya, P.; Engelhard, M.; Borodin, O.;
Zhang, J. G. High rate and stable cycling of lithium metal anode. *Nat. Commun.* 2015, *6*, 6362.

(10) Betz, J.; Brinkmann, J. P.; Nölle, R.; Lürenbaum, C.; Kolek, M.; Stan, M. C.; Winter,
M.; Placke, T. Cross Talk between Transition Metal Cathode and Li Metal Anode:
Unraveling Its Influence on the Deposition/Dissolution Behavior and Morphology of
Lithium. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2019, 9 (21), 1900574.

(11) Xiao, J. How lithium dendrites form in liquid batteries. *Science* 2019, *366* (6464), 426-427.

(12) Sawada, Y.; Dougherty, A.; Gollub, J. P. Dendritic and fractal patterns in electrolytic

metal deposits. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1986, 56 (12), 1260.

(13) Sand, H. J. III. On the concentration at the electrodes in a solution, with special reference to the liberation of hydrogen by electrolysis of a mixture of copper sulphate and sulphuric acid. *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science* **1901**, *1* (1), 45-79.

(14) Rosso, M.; Chazalviel, J.-N.; Chassaing, E. Calculation of the space charge in electrodeposition from a binary electrolyte. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 2006, 587 (2), 323-328.
(15) Chazalviel, J.-N. Electrochemical aspects of the generation of ramified metallic electrodeposits. *Phys. Rev. A* 1990, 42 (12), 7355.

(16) Brissot, C.; Rosso, M.; Chazalviel, J.-N.; Lascaud, S. Dendritic growth mechanisms in lithium/polymer cells. *J. Power Sources* **1999**, *81*, 925-929.

 (17) Monroe, C.; Newman, J. Dendrite Growth in Lithium/Polymer Systems: A Propagation Model for Liquid Electrolytes under Galvanostatic Conditions. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2003, *150* (10), A1377-A1384.

(18) Crowther, O.; West, A. C. Effect of Electrolyte Composition on Lithium Dendrite Growth. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2008**, *155* (11), A806-A811.

(19) Barkey, D. P.; Muller, R. H.; Tobias, C. W. Roughness Development in Metal Electrodeposition: I. Experimental Results. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1989**, *136* (8), 2199-2207.

(20) Harry, K. J.; Hallinan, D. T.; Parkinson, D. Y.; MacDowell, A. A.; Balsara, N. P. Detection of subsurface structures underneath dendrites formed on cycled lithium metal electrodes. *Nat. Mater.* **2014**, *13* (1), 69-73.

(21) He, Y.; Ren, X.; Xu, Y.; Engelhard, M. H.; Li, X.; Xiao, J.; Liu, J.; Zhang, J. G.; Xu,W.; Wang, C. Origin of lithium whisker formation and growth under stress. *Nat.* 

Nanotechnol. 2019, 14 (11), 1042-1047.

(22) Ely, D. R.; García, R. E. Heterogeneous Nucleation and Growth of Lithium Electrodeposits on Negative Electrodes. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2013**, *160* (4), A662-A668.

(23) Lin, D.; Liu, Y.; Li, Y.; Li, Y.; Pei, A.; Xie, J.; Huang, W.; Cui, Y. Fast galvanic lithium corrosion involving a Kirkendall-type mechanism. *Nat. Chem.* **2019**, *11* (4), 382-389.

(24) Liu, H.; Cheng, X. B.; Xu, R.; Zhang, X. Q.; Yan, C.; Huang, J. Q.; Zhang, Q.
Plating/Stripping Behavior of Actual Lithium Metal Anode. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2019, 9
(44), 1902254.

(25) Aurbach, D.; Daroux, M.; Faguy, P.; Yeager, E. Identification of Surface Films Formed on Lithium in Propylene Carbonate Solutions. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1987**, *134* (7), 1611-1620.

(26) Aurbach, D.; Ein - Ely, Y.; Zaban, A. The Surface Chemistry of Lithium Electrodes in Alkyl Carbonate Solutions. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1994**, *141* (1), L1-L3.

(27) Peled, E. The Electrochemical Behavior of Alkali and Alkaline Earth Metals in Nonaqueous Battery Systems-The Solid Electrolyte Interphase Model. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1979**, *126* (12), 2047-2051.

(28) Peled, E. Advanced Model for Solid Electrolyte Interphase Electrodes in Liquid and Polymer Electrolytes. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1997**, *144* (8), L208-L210.

(29) Wang, L.; Menakath, A.; Han, F.; Wang, Y.; Zavalij, P. Y.; Gaskell, K. J.; Borodin, O.; Iuga, D.; Brown, S. P.; Wang, C.; Xu, K.; Eichhorn, B. W. Identifying the components of the solid-electrolyte interphase in Li-ion batteries. *Nat. Chem.* **2019**, *11* (9), 789-796.

(30) Goodenough, J. B.; Kim, Y. Challenges for Rechargeable Li Batteries. *Chem. Mater.*2010, 22 (3), 587-603.

(31) An, S. J.; Li, J.; Daniel, C.; Mohanty, D.; Nagpure, S.; Wood, D. L. The state of understanding of the lithium-ion-battery graphite solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) and its relationship to formation cycling. *Carbon* **2016**, *105*, 52-76.

(32) Peled, E.; Menkin, S. SEI: Past, Present and Future. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2017, 164
(7), A1703-A1719.

(33) Wood, K. N.; Noked, M.; Dasgupta, N. P. Lithium Metal Anodes: Toward an Improved Understanding of Coupled Morphological, Electrochemical, and Mechanical Behavior. *ACS Energy Letters* **2017**, *2* (3), 664-672.

(34) Bieker, G.; Winter, M.; Bieker, P. Electrochemical in situ investigations of SEI and dendrite formation on the lithium metal anode. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *17* (14), 8670-8679.

(35) Epelboin, I.; Froment, M.; Garreau, M.; Thevenin, J.; Warin, D. Behavior of Secondary Lithium and Aluminum-Lithium Electrodes in Propylene Carbonate. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1980**, *127* (10), 2100-2104.

(36) Morigaki, K.-i.; Ohta, A. Analysis of the surface of lithium in organic electrolyte by atomic force microscopy, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and scanning auger electron microscopy. *J. Power Sources* **1998**, *76* (2), 159-166.

(37) Gireaud, L.; Grugeon, S.; Laruelle, S.; Yrieix, B.; Tarascon, J.-M. Lithium metal stripping/plating mechanisms studies: A metallurgical approach. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2006**, *8* (10), 1639-1649.

(38) Shi, F.; Pei, A.; Vailionis, A.; Xie, J.; Liu, B.; Zhao, J.; Gong, Y.; Cui, Y. Strong texturing of lithium metal in batteries. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2017**, *114* (46), 12138-12143.

(39) Chen, Q.; Geng, K.; Sieradzki, K. Prospects for Dendrite-Free Cycling of Li Metal Batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2015**, *162* (10), A2004-A2007.

(40) Li, Y.; Li, Y.; Pei, A.; Yan, K.; Sun, Y.; Wu, C.-L.; Joubert, L.-M.; Chin, R.; Koh, A. L.; Yu, Y. Atomic structure of sensitive battery materials and interfaces revealed by cryo–electron microscopy. *Science* **2017**, *358* (6362), 506-510.

(41) Zachman, M. J.; Tu, Z.; Choudhury, S.; Archer, L. A.; Kourkoutis, L. F. Cryo-STEM mapping of solid-liquid interfaces and dendrites in lithium-metal batteries. *Nature* **2018**, *560* (7718), 345-349.

(42) Lv, S.; Verhallen, T.; Vasileiadis, A.; Ooms, F.; Xu, Y.; Li, Z.; Li, Z.; Wagemaker, M. Operando monitoring the lithium spatial distribution of lithium metal anodes. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9* (1), 2152.

(43) Song, B.; Dhiman, I.; Carothers, J. C.; Veith, G. M.; Liu, J.; Bilheux, H. Z.; Huq, A. Dynamic Lithium Distribution upon Dendrite Growth and Shorting Revealed by Operando Neutron Imaging. *ACS Energy Letters* **2019**, *4* (10), 2402-2408.

(44) Frisco, S.; Liu, D. X.; Kumar, A.; Whitacre, J. F.; Love, C. T.; Swider-Lyons, K. E.; Litster, S. Internal Morphologies of Cycled Li-Metal Electrodes Investigated by Nano-Scale Resolution X-ray Computed Tomography. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *9* (22), 18748-18757.

(45) Jin, C.; Sheng, O.; Lu, Y.; Luo, J.; Yuan, H.; Zhang, W.; Huang, H.; Gan, Y.; Xia, Y.; Liang, C. Metal oxide nanoparticles induced step-edge nucleation of stable Li metal anode working under an ultrahigh current density of 15 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. *Nano Energy* **2018**, *45*, 203-209.

(46) Wang, H.; Lin, D.; Liu, Y.; Li, Y.; Cui, Y. Ultrahigh-current density anodes with

interconnected Li metal reservoir through overlithiation of mesoporous AlF<sub>3</sub> framework. *Sci. Adv.* **2017**, *3* (9), e1701301.

(47) Zhang, D.; Dai, A.; Wu, M.; Shen, K.; Xiao, T.; Hou, G.; Lu, J.; Tang, Y. Lithiophilic
3D Porous CuZn Current Collector for Stable Lithium Metal Batteries. *ACS Energy Letters*2019, 5 (1), 180-186.

(48) Bai, P.; Li, J.; Brushett, F. R.; Bazant, M. Z. Transition of lithium growth mechanisms in liquid electrolytes. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2016**, *9* (10), 3221-3229.

(49) Jana, A.; Woo, S. I.; Vikrant, K.; García, R. E. Electrochemomechanics of lithium dendrite growth. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2019**, *12* (12), 3595-3607.

(50) Sano, H.; Sakaebe, H.; Senoh, H.; Matsumoto, H. Effect of Current Density on Morphology of Lithium Electrodeposited in Ionic Liquid-Based Electrolytes. *J. Electrochem. Soc* **2014**, *161* (9), A1236-A1240.

(51) Pei, A.; Zheng, G.; Shi, F.; Li, Y.; Cui, Y. Nanoscale Nucleation and Growth of Electrodeposited Lithium Metal. *Nano Lett* **2017**, *17* (2), 1132-1139.

(52) Yang, Y.; Davies, D. M.; Yin, Y.; Borodin, O.; Lee, J. Z.; Fang, C.; Olguin, M.; Zhang,
Y.; Sablina, E. S.; Wang, X. High-efficiency lithium-metal anode enabled by liquefied gas
electrolytes. *Joule* 2019, *3* (8), 1986-2000.

(53) Plichta, E. J.; Behl, W. K. A low-temperature electrolyte for lithium and lithium-ion batteries. *J. Power Sources* **2000**, *88* (2), 192-196.

(54) Dong, X.; Lin, Y.; Li, P.; Ma, Y.; Huang, J.; Bin, D.; Wang, Y.; Qi, Y.; Xia, Y. High-Energy Rechargeable Metallic Lithium Battery at -70 °C Enabled by a Cosolvent Electrolyte. *Angewandte Chemie* **2019**, *131* (17), 5679-5683.

(55) Thenuwara, A. C.; Shetty, P. P.; Kondekar, N.; Sandoval, S. E.; Cavallaro, K.; May, R.;

Yang, C.-T.; Marbella, L. E.; Qi, Y.; McDowell, M. T. Efficient Low-Temperature Cycling of Lithium Metal Anodes by Tailoring the Solid-Electrolyte Interphase. *ACS Energy Letters* **2020**. 5(7), 2411-2420.

(56) Fu, L.; Wan, M.; Zhang, B.; Yuan, Y.; Jin, Y.; Wang, W.; Wang, X.; Li, Y.; Wang, L.;
Jiang, J. A Lithium Metal Anode Surviving Battery Cycling Above 200 °C. *Adv. Mater.*2020, 32, 2000952.

(57) Gao, Y.; Rojas, T.; Wang, K.; Liu, S.; Wang, D.; Chen, T.; Wang, H.; Ngo, A. T.; Wang,
D. Low-temperature and high-rate-charging lithium metal batteries enabled by an electrochemically active monolayer-regulated interface. *Nat. Energy* 2020, 5(7), 1-9.

(58) Xu, C.; Hernández, G.; Abbrent, S.; Kobera, L.; Konefal, R.; Brus, J. i.; Edström, K.; Brandell, D.; Mindemark, J. Unraveling and Mitigating the Storage Instability of Fluoroethylene Carbonate-Containing LiPF<sub>6</sub> Electrolytes To Stabilize Lithium Metal Anodes for High-Temperature Rechargeable Batteries. *ACS Applied Energy Materials* **2019**, *2* (7), 4925-4935.

(59) Geng, Z.; Lu, J.; Li, Q.; Qiu, J.; Wang, Y.; Peng, J.; Huang, J.; Li, W.; Yu, X.; Li, H. Lithium metal batteries capable of stable operation at elevated temperature. *Energy Storage Mater.* **2019**, *23*, 646-652.

(60) Yoon, T.; Milien, M. S.; Parimalam, B. S.; Lucht, B. L. Thermal decomposition of the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) on silicon electrodes for lithium ion batteries. *Chem. Mater.* **2017**, *29* (7), 3237-3245.

(61) Haruta, M.; Okubo, T.; Masuo, Y.; Yoshida, S.; Tomita, A.; Takenaka, T.; Doi, T.; Inaba,M. Temperature effects on SEI formation and cyclability of Si nanoflake powder anode inthe presence of SEI-forming additives. *Electrochimica. Acta* 2017, *224*, 186-193.

(62) Rodrigues, M.-T. F.; Sayed, F. N.; Gullapalli, H.; Ajayan, P. M. High-temperature solid electrolyte interphases (SEI) in graphite electrodes. *J. Power Sources* **2018**, *381*, 107-115.

(63) Adair, K. R.; Banis, M. N.; Zhao, Y.; Bond, T.; Li, R.; Sun, X. Temperature -Dependent Chemical and Physical Microstructure of Li Metal Anodes Revealed through Synchrotron - Based Imaging Techniques. *Adv. Mater.* **2020**, 32(32), 2002550.

(64) Hou, L.-P.; Zhang, X.-Q.; Li, B.-Q.; Zhang, Q. Cycling a Lithium Metal Anode at 90 °C in a Liquid Electrolyte. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, 59(35), 15109-15113.

(65) Fan, X.; Ji, X.; Chen, L.; Chen, J.; Deng, T.; Han, F.; Yue, J.; Piao, N.; Wang, R.; Zhou,

X. All-temperature batteries enabled by fluorinated electrolytes with non-polar solvents. *Nat. Energy* **2019**, *4* (10), 882-890.

(66) Yang, Y.; Yin, Y.; Davies, D. M.; Zhang, M.; Mayer, M.; Zhang, Y.; Sablina, E. S.; Wang, S.; Lee, J. Z.; Borodin, O. Liquefied gas electrolytes for wide-temperature lithium metal batteries. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2020**, *13* (7), 2209-2219.

(67) Ren, X.; Chen, S.; Lee, H.; Mei, D.; Engelhard, M. H.; Burton, S. D.; Zhao, W.; Zheng, J.; Li, Q.; Ding, M. S.; Schroeder, M.; Alvarado, J.; Xu, K.; Meng, Y. S.; Liu, J.; Zhang, J.-G.; Xu, W. Localized High-Concentration Sulfone Electrolytes for High-Efficiency Lithium-Metal Batteries. *Chem.* 2018, 4(8), 1877-1892.

(68) Chen, S.; Niu, C.; Lee, H.; Li, Q.; Yu, L.; Xu, W.; Zhang, J.-G.; Dufek, E. J.; Whittingham, M. S.; Meng, S. Critical parameters for evaluating coin cells and pouch cells of rechargeable Li-metal batteries. *Joule* **2019**, *3* (4), 1094-1105.

(69) Niu, C.; Lee, H.; Chen, S.; Li, Q.; Du, J.; Xu, W.; Zhang, J.-G.; Whittingham, M. S.; Xiao, J.; Liu, J. High-energy lithium metal pouch cells with limited anode swelling and long stable cycles. *Nat. Energy* **2019**, *4* (7), 551-559.

(70) Shi, P.; Cheng, X. B.; Li, T.; Zhang, R.; Liu, H.; Yan, C.; Zhang, X. Q.; Huang, J. Q.; Zhang, Q. Electrochemical Diagram of an Ultrathin Lithium Metal Anode in Pouch Cells. *Adv. Mater.* **2019**, *31* (37), 1902785.

(71) Yan, K.; Lu, Z.; Lee, H.-W.; Xiong, F.; Hsu, P.-C.; Li, Y.; Zhao, J.; Chu, S.; Cui, Y. Selective deposition and stable encapsulation of lithium through heterogeneous seeded growth. *Nat. Energy* **2016**, *1* (3), 16010.

(72) Lin, D.; Liu, Y.; Liang, Z.; Lee, H. W.; Sun, J.; Wang, H.; Yan, K.; Xie, J.; Cui, Y. Layered reduced graphene oxide with nanoscale interlayer gaps as a stable host for lithium metal anodes. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2016**, *11* (7), 626-32.

(73) Wu, S.; Zhang, Z.; Lan, M.; Yang, S.; Cheng, J.; Cai, J.; Shen, J.; Zhu, Y.; Zhang, K.; Zhang, W. Lithiophilic Cu-CuO-Ni Hybrid Structure: Advanced Current Collectors Toward Stable Lithium Metal Anodes. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30* (9).

(74) Zhang, C.; Lv, W.; Zhou, G.; Huang, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Lyu, R.; Wu, H.; Yun, Q.; Kang,
F.; Yang, Q.-H. Vertically Aligned Lithiophilic CuO Nanosheets on a Cu Collector to
Stabilize Lithium Deposition for Lithium Metal Batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2018, 8(21),
1703404.

(75) Gu, Y.; Xu, H. Y.; Zhang, X. G.; Wang, W. W.; He, J. W.; Tang, S.; Yan, J. W.; Wu, D.
Y.; Zheng, M. S.; Dong, Q. F. Lithiophilic Faceted Cu (100) Surfaces: High Utilization of Host Surface and Cavities for Lithium Metal Anodes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2019, *58* (10), 3092-3096.

(76) Zhang, R.; Chen, X.; Shen, X.; Zhang, X.-Q.; Chen, X.-R.; Cheng, X.-B.; Yan, C.; Zhao, C.-Z.; Zhang, Q. Coralloid Carbon Fiber-Based Composite Lithium Anode for Robust Lithium Metal Batteries. *Joule* **2018**, *2* (4), 764-777.

(77) Zhang, Y.; Wang, C.; Pastel, G.; Kuang, Y.; Xie, H.; Li, Y.; Liu, B.; Luo, W.; Chen, C.;
Hu, L. 3D Wettable Framework for Dendrite-Free Alkali Metal Anodes. *Adv. Energy Mater.*2018, 8 (18), 1800635.

(78) Zhang, Y.; Luo, W.; Wang, C.; Li, Y.; Chen, C.; Song, J.; Dai, J.; Hitz, E. M.; Xu, S.; Yang, C.; Wang, Y.; Hu, L. High-capacity, low-tortuosity, and channel-guided lithium metal anode. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2017**, *114* (14), 3584-3589.

(79) Kim, M. S.; Ryu, J.-H.; Lim, Y. R.; Nah, I. W.; Lee, K.-R.; Archer, L. A.; Cho, W. I. Langmuir–Blodgett artificial solid-electrolyte interphases for practical lithium metal batteries. *Nat. Energy* **2018**, *3* (10), 889-898.

(80) Yu, Y.; Huang, W.; Song, X.; Wang, W.; Hou, Z.; Zhao, X.; Deng, K.; Ju, H.; Sun, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Lu, Y.-C.; Quan, Z. Thermally reduced graphene paper with fast Li ion diffusion for stable Li metal anode. *Electrochimica*. *Acta* **2019**, *294*, 413-422.

(81) Wang, S.-H.; Yue, J.; Dong, W.; Zuo, T.-T.; Li, J.-Y.; Liu, X.; Zhang, X.-D.; Liu, L.; Shi, J.-L.; Yin, Y.-X. Tuning wettability of molten lithium via a chemical strategy for lithium metal anodes. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10* (1), 1-8.

(82) Chen, X.; Bai, Y.-K.; Zhao, C.-Z.; Shen, X.; Zhang, Q. Lithium Bonds in Lithium Batteries. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2020**, *59* (28), 11192-11195.

(83) Zheng, J.; Zhao, Q.; Tang, T.; Yin, J.; Quilty, C. D.; Renderos, G. D.; Liu, X.; Deng,
Y.; Wang, L.; Bock, D. C. Reversible epitaxial electrodeposition of metals in battery anodes. *Science* 2019, *366* (6465), 645-648.

(84) Lu, C.; Zhou, H. Is the electrodeposition of metals really epitaxial in battery anodes? *Sci. Bull.* **2020**, 65(18), 1524-1526.

(85) Zheng, J.; Yin, J.; Zhang, D.; Li, G.; Bock, D. C.; Tang, T.; Zhao, Q.; Liu, X.; Warren,

A.; Deng, Y. Spontaneous and field-induced crystallographic reorientation of metal electrodeposits at battery anodes. *Sci. Adv.* **2020**, *6* (25), eabb1122.

(86) Zhang, Y.; Zhong, Y.; Wu, Z.; Wang, B.; Liang, S.; Wang, H. Solvent Molecule Cooperation Enhancing Lithium Metal Battery Performance at Both Electrodes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59* (20), 7797-7802.

(87) Etacheri, V.; Marom, R.; Elazari, R.; Salitra, G.; Aurbach, D. Challenges in the development of advanced Li-ion batteries: a review. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2011**, *4* (9), 3243-3262.

(88) Aurbach, D.; Talyosef, Y.; Markovsky, B.; Markevich, E.; Zinigrad, E.; Asraf, L.; Gnanaraj, J. S.; Kim, H.-J. Design of electrolyte solutions for Li and Li-ion batteries: a review. *Electrochimica. Acta* **2004**, *50* (2-3), 247-254.

(89) Cao, X.; Ren, X.; Zou, L.; Engelhard, M. H.; Huang, W.; Wang, H.; Matthews, B. E.; Lee, H.; Niu, C.; Arey, B. W. Monolithic solid–electrolyte interphases formed in fluorinated orthoformate-based electrolytes minimize Li depletion and pulverization. *Nat. Energy* **2019**, *4* (9), 796-805.

(90) Li, S.; Jiang, M.; Xie, Y.; Xu, H.; Jia, J.; Li, J. Developing High-Performance Lithium Metal Anode in Liquid Electrolytes: Challenges and Progress. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30* (17), 1706375.

(91) Wang, A.; Zhang, X.; Yang, Y.-W.; Huang, J.; Liu, X.; Luo, J. Horizontal Centripetal Plating in the Patterned Voids of Li/Graphene Composites for Stable Lithium-Metal Anodes. *Chem* **2018**, 4(9), 2192-2200.

(92) Luo, J.; Fang, C.-C.; Wu, N.-L. High Polarity Poly(vinylidene difluoride) Thin Coating for Dendrite-Free and High-Performance Lithium Metal Anodes. *Adv. Energy*  Mater. 2018, 8 (2), 1701482.

(93) Xue, W.; Shi, Z.; Huang, M.; Feng, S.; Wang, C.; Wang, F.; Lopez, J.; Qiao, B.; Xu, G.; Zhang, W. FSI-inspired solvent and "full fluorosulfonyl" electrolyte for 4 V class lithium-metal batteries. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2020, 13, 212-220.

(94) Liu, Y.; Liu, Q.; Xin, L.; Liu, Y.; Yang, F.; Stach, E. A.; Xie, J. Making Li-metal electrodes rechargeable by controlling the dendrite growth direction. *Nat. Energy* 2017, *2* (7), 17083.

(95) Liang, Z.; Lin, D.; Zhao, J.; Lu, Z.; Liu, Y.; Liu, C.; Lu, Y.; Wang, H.; Yan, K.; Tao, X. Composite lithium metal anode by melt infusion of lithium into a 3D conducting scaffold with lithiophilic coating. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2016, *113* (11), 2862-2867.
(96) Yang, C.; Yao, Y.; He, S.; Xie, H.; Hitz, E.; Hu, L. Ultrafine Silver Nanoparticles for Seeded Lithium Deposition toward Stable Lithium Metal Anode. *Adv. Mater.* 2017, *29* (38), 1702714.

(97) Jin, C.; Sheng, O.; Luo, J.; Yuan, H.; Fang, C.; Zhang, W.; Huang, H.; Gan, Y.; Xia,
Y.; Liang, C.; Zhang, J.; Tao, X. 3D lithium metal embedded within lithiophilic porous matrix for stable lithium metal batteries. *Nano Energy* 2017, *37*, 177-186.

(98) Lin, D.; Zhao, J.; Sun, J.; Yao, H.; Liu, Y.; Yan, K.; Cui, Y. Three-dimensional stable lithium metal anode with nanoscale lithium islands embedded in ionically conductive solid matrix. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2017**, *114* (18), 4613-4618.

(99) Liu, S.; Xia, X.; Zhong, Y.; Deng, S.; Yao, Z.; Zhang, L.; Cheng, X.-B.; Wang, X.; Zhang, Q.; Tu, J. 3D TiC/C Core/Shell Nanowire Skeleton for Dendrite-Free and Long-Life Lithium Metal Anode. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2017**, 8(8)1702322.

(100) Li, B.; Zhang, D.; Liu, Y.; Yu, Y.; Li, S.; Yang, S. Flexible Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub> MXene-lithium film

with lamellar structure for ultrastable metallic lithium anodes. *Nano Energy* **2017**, *39*, 654-661.

(101) Li, S.; Liu, Q.; Zhou, J.; Pan, T.; Gao, L.; Zhang, W.; Fan, L.; Lu, Y. Hierarchical Co3O4 Nanofiber–Carbon Sheet Skeleton with Superior Na/Li-Philic Property Enabling Highly Stable Alkali Metal Batteries. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2019**, *29* (19), 1808847.

(102) Zhang, C.; Huang, Z.; Lv, W.; Yun, Q.; Kang, F.; Yang, Q.-H. Carbon enables the practical use of lithium metal in a battery. *Carbon* **2017**, *123*, 744-755.

(103) Liu, L.; Yin, Y. X.; Li, J. Y.; Wang, S. H.; Guo, Y. G.; Wan, L. J. Uniform Lithium Nucleation/Growth Induced by Lightweight Nitrogen-Doped Graphitic Carbon Foams for High-Performance Lithium Metal Anodes. *Adv. Mater*:**2018**, 30(10), 1706216.

(104) Niu, C.; Pan, H.; Xu, W.; Xiao, J.; Zhang, J.-G.; Luo, L.; Wang, C.; Mei, D.; Meng,
J.; Wang, X. Self-smoothing anode for achieving high-energy lithium metal batteries under realistic conditions. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 2019, *14* (6), 594-601.

(105) Li, G.; Liu, Z.; Huang, Q.; Gao, Y.; Regula, M.; Wang, D.; Chen, L.-Q.; Wang, D. Stable metal battery anodes enabled by polyethylenimine sponge hosts by way of electrokinetic effects. *Nat. Energy* **2018**, *3* (12), 1076-1083.

(106) Zhao, H.; Lei, D.; He, Y.-B.; Yuan, Y.; Yun, Q.; Ni, B.; Lv, W.; Li, B.; Yang, Q.-H.; Kang, F.; Lu, J. Compact 3D Copper with Uniform Porous Structure Derived by Electrochemical Dealloying as Dendrite-Free Lithium Metal Anode Current Collector. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2018**, *8* (19), 1800266.

(107) Wang, S. H.; Yin, Y. X.; Zuo, T. T.; Dong, W.; Li, J. Y.; Shi, J. L.; Zhang, C. H.; Li,
N. W.; Li, C. J.; Guo, Y. G. Stable Li Metal Anodes via Regulating Lithium
Plating/Stripping in Vertically Aligned Microchannels. *Adv. Mater.* 2017, *29* (40), 1703729.

(108) Zhang, R.; Wen, S.; Wang, N.; Qin, K.; Liu, E.; Shi, C.; Zhao, N. N-Doped Graphene Modified 3D Porous Cu Current Collector toward Microscale Homogeneous Li Deposition for Li Metal Anodes. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2018**, 8(23), 1800914.

(109) Yun, Q.; He, Y. B.; Lv, W.; Zhao, Y.; Li, B.; Kang, F.; Yang, Q. H. Chemical Dealloying Derived 3D Porous Current Collector for Li Metal Anodes. *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28* (32), 6932-6939.

(110) Wang, X.; Zeng, W.; Hong, L.; Xu, W.; Yang, H.; Wang, F.; Duan, H.; Tang, M.; Jiang,
H. Stress-driven lithium dendrite growth mechanism and dendrite mitigation by
electroplating on soft substrates. *Nat. Energy* 2018, *3* (3), 227-235.

(111) Matsuda, S.; Kubo, Y.; Uosaki, K.; Nakanishi, S. Insulative Microfiber 3D Matrix as a Host Material Minimizing Volume Change of the Anode of Li Metal Batteries. *ACS Energy Letters* **2017**, *2* (4), 924-929.

(112) Yu, Y.; Wang, Z.; Hou, Z.; Ta, W.; Wang, W.; Zhao, X.; Li, Q.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Quan, Z. 3D Printing of Hierarchical Graphene Lattice for Advanced Na Metal Anodes. *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.* **2019**, *2* (5), 3869-3877.

(113) Liu, L.; Yin, Y.-X.; Li, J.-Y.; Li, N.-W.; Zeng, X.-X.; Ye, H.; Guo, Y.-G.; Wan, L.-J. Free-Standing Hollow Carbon Fibers as High-Capacity Containers for Stable Lithium Metal Anodes. *Joule* **2017**, *1* (3), 563-575.

(114) Hafez, A. M.; Jiao, Y.; Shi, J.; Ma, Y.; Cao, D.; Liu, Y.; Zhu, H. Stable Metal Anode enabled by Porous Lithium Foam with Superior Ion Accessibility. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, 30(30), 1802156.

(115) Deng, W.; Zhou, X.; Fang, Q.; Liu, Z. Microscale Lithium Metal Stored inside Cellular Graphene Scaffold toward Advanced Metallic Lithium Anodes. *Adv. Energy Mater.*  **2018,** 8 (12), 1703152.

(116) Chi, S.-S.; Liu, Y.; Song, W.-L.; Fan, L.-Z.; Zhang, Q. Prestoring Lithium into Stable
3D Nickel Foam Host as Dendrite-Free Lithium Metal Anode. *Adv. Func. Mater.* 2017, *27*(24), 1700348.

(117) Fan, L.; Zhuang, H. L.; Zhang, W.; Fu, Y.; Liao, Z.; Lu, Y. Stable Lithium Electrodeposition at Ultra-High Current Densities Enabled by 3D PMF/Li Composite Anode. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2018**, *8* (15), 1703360.

(118) Zhang, Y.; Liu, B.; Hitz, E.; Luo, W.; Yao, Y.; Li, Y.; Dai, J.; Chen, C.; Wang, Y.; Yang, C.; Li, H.; Hu, L. A carbon-based 3D current collector with surface protection for Li metal anode. *Nano Research* **2017**, *10* (4), 1356-1365.

(119) Huang, W.; Yu, Y.; Hou, Z.; Liang, Z.; Zheng, Y.; Quan, Z.; Lu, Y.-C. Dendrite-Free lithium electrode enabled by graphene aerogels with gradient porosity. *Energy Storage Mater.* **2020**, *33*, 329-335.

(120) Huang, G.; Chen, S.; Guo, P.; Tao, R.; Jie, K.; Liu, B.; Zhang, X.; Liang, J.; Cao, Y.-C. In situ constructing lithiophilic NiFx nanosheets on Ni foam current collector for stable lithium metal anode via a succinct fluorination strategy. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2020, 395, 125122.
(121) Wang, H.; Wu, J.; Yuan, L.; Li, Z.; Huang, Y. Stable Lithium Metal Anode Enabled by 3D Soft Host. *ACS Applied Mater. Interfaces* 2020, 12, 28337-28344.

(122) Suo, L.; Hu, Y.-S.; Li, H.; Armand, M.; Chen, L. A new class of solvent-in-salt electrolyte for high-energy rechargeable metallic lithium batteries. *Nat. Comm.* 2013, *4* (1), 1-9.

(123) Ren, X.; Zou, L.; Jiao, S.; Mei, D.; Engelhard, M. H.; Li, Q.; Lee, H.; Niu, C.; Adams,B. D.; Wang, C. High-Concentration Ether Electrolytes for Stable High-Voltage Lithium

Metal Batteries. ACS Energy Letters 2019, 4 (4), 896-902.

(124) Jiao, S.; Ren, X.; Cao, R.; Engelhard, M. H.; Liu, Y.; Hu, D.; Mei, D.; Zheng, J.;
Zhao, W.; Li, Q. Stable cycling of high-voltage lithium metal batteries in ether electrolytes. *Nat. Energy* 2018, *3* (9), 739-746.

(125) Wang, W.; Zhang, J.; Yang, Q.; Wang, S.; Wang, W.; Li, B. Stable Cycling of High-Voltage Lithium-Metal Batteries Enabled by High-Concentration FEC-Based Electrolyte. *ACS Applied Mater. Interfaces* **2020**, *12* (20), 22901-22909.

(126) Fan, X.; Chen, L.; Ji, X.; Deng, T.; Hou, S.; Chen, J.; Zheng, J.; Wang, F.; Jiang, J.; Xu, K.; Wang, C. Highly Fluorinated Interphases Enable High-Voltage Li-Metal Batteries. *Chem* **2018**, *4* (1), 174-185.

(127) Yu, L.; Chen, S.; Lee, H.; Zhang, L.; Engelhard, M. H.; Li, Q.; Jiao, S.; Liu, J.; Xu,
W.; Zhang, J.-G. A Localized High-Concentration Electrolyte with Optimized Solvents and
Lithium Difluoro (oxalate) borate Additive for Stable Lithium Metal Batteries. *ACS Energy Letters* 2018, 3 (9), 2059-2067.

(128) Zheng, J.; Chen, S.; Zhao, W.; Song, J.; Engelhard, M. H.; Zhang, J.-G. Extremely Stable Sodium Metal Batteries Enabled by Localized High-Concentration Electrolytes. *ACS Energy Letters* **2018**, *3* (2), 315-321.

(129) Chen, S.; Zheng, J.; Yu, L.; Ren, X.; Engelhard, M. H.; Niu, C.; Lee, H.; Xu, W.; Xiao, J.; Liu, J. High-efficiency lithium metal batteries with fire-retardant electrolytes. *Joule* **2018**, *2* (8), 1548-1558.

(130) Cao, X.; Xu, Y.; Zhang, L.; Engelhard, M. H.; Zhong, L.; Ren, X.; Jia, H.; Liu, B.; Niu, C.; Matthews, B. E. Nonflammable Electrolytes for Lithium Ion Batteries Enabled by Ultraconformal Passivation Interphases. *ACS Energy Letters* **2019**, *4* (10), 2529-2534.

(131) Chen, S.; Zheng, J.; Mei, D.; Han, K. S.; Engelhard, M. H.; Zhao, W.; Xu, W.; Liu,

J.; Zhang, J. G. High - Voltage Lithium - Metal Batteries Enabled by Localized High - Concentration Electrolytes. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30* (21), 1706102.

(132) Trinh, N. D.; Lepage, D.; Aymé - Perrot, D.; Badia, A.; Dollé, M.; Rochefort, D. An Artificial Lithium Protective Layer that Enables the Use of Acetonitrile-Based Electrolytes in Lithium Metal Batteries. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57* (18), 5072-5075.

(133) Hu, Z.; Xian, F.; Guo, Z.; Lu, C.; Du, X.; Cheng, X.; Zhang, S.; Dong, S.; Cui, G.; Chen, L. Nonflammable Nitrile Deep Eutectic Electrolyte Enables High-Voltage Lithium Metal Batteries. *Chem. Mater.* **2020**, *32* (8), 3405-3413.

(134) Holoubek, J.; Yu, M.; Yu, S.; Li, M.; Wu, Z.; Xia, D.; Bhaladhare, P.; Gonzalez, M.
S.; Pascal, T. A.; Liu, P. An All-Fluorinated Ester Electrolyte for Stable High-Voltage Li
Metal Batteries Capable of Ultra-Low Temperature Operation. *ACS Energy Letters* 2020, 5, 1438-1447.

(135) Yu, Z.; Wang, H.; Kong, X.; Huang, W.; Tsao, Y.; Mackanic, D. G.; Wang, K.; Wang, X.; Huang, W.; Choudhury, S. Molecular design for electrolyte solvents enabling energy-dense and long-cycling lithium metal batteries. *Nat. Energy* 2020, 5(7), 1-8.

(136) Fan, X.; Chen, L.; Borodin, O.; Ji, X.; Chen, J.; Hou, S.; Deng, T.; Zheng, J.; Yang,
C.; Liou, S.-C. Non-flammable electrolyte enables Li-metal batteries with aggressive cathode chemistries. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 2018, *13* (8), 715-722.

(137) Zhang, X. Q.; Chen, X.; Cheng, X. B.; Li, B. Q.; Shen, X.; Yan, C.; Huang, J. Q.;
Zhang, Q. Highly stable lithium metal batteries enabled by regulating the solvation of lithium ions in nonaqueous electrolytes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, *57* (19), 5301-5305.
(138) Li, T.; Zhang, X.-Q.; Shi, P.; Zhang, Q. Fluorinated Solid-Electrolyte Interphase in

High-Voltage Lithium Metal Batteries. Joule 2019, 3(11), 2647-2661.

(139) Liu, B.; Zhang, J.-G.; Xu, W. Advancing Lithium Metal Batteries. *Joule* **2018**, *2* (5), 833-845.

(140) Zheng, J.; Engelhard, M. H.; Mei, D.; Jiao, S.; Polzin, B. J.; Zhang, J.-G.; Xu, W. Electrolyte additive enabled fast charging and stable cycling lithium metal batteries. *Nat. Energy* **2017**, *2* (3), 17012.

(141) Li, X.; Zheng, J.; Ren, X.; Engelhard, M. H.; Zhao, W.; Li, Q.; Zhang, J.-G.; Xu, W.
Dendrite-Free and Performance-Enhanced Lithium Metal Batteries through Optimizing
Solvent Compositions and Adding Combinational Additives. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2018, 8
(15), 1703022.

(142) Zhao, C.-Z.; Cheng, X.-B.; Zhang, R.; Peng, H.-J.; Huang, J.-Q.; Ran, R.; Huang, Z.-H.; Wei, F.; Zhang, Q. Li<sub>2</sub>S<sub>5</sub>-based ternary-salt electrolyte for robust lithium metal anode. *Energy Storage Mater.* 2016, *3*, 77-84.

(143) Qiu, F.; Li, X.; Deng, H.; Wang, D.; Mu, X.; He, P.; Zhou, H. A Concentrated Ternary-Salts Electrolyte for High Reversible Li Metal Battery with Slight Excess Li. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2019**, *9* (6), 1803372.

(144) Basile, A.; Bhatt, A. I.; O'Mullane, A. P. Stabilizing lithium metal using ionic liquids for long-lived batteries. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7* (1), 1-11.

(145) Sun, H.; Zhu, G.; Zhu, Y.; Lin, M. C.; Chen, H.; Li, Y. Y.; Hung, W. H.; Zhou, B.; Wang, X.; Bai, Y. High-Safety and High-Energy-Density Lithium Metal Batteries in a Novel Ionic-Liquid Electrolyte. *Adv. Mater.* **2020**, 32(26), 2001741.

(146) Wei, S.; Cheng, Z.; Nath, P.; Tikekar, M. D.; Li, G.; Archer, L. A. Stabilizing electrochemical interfaces in viscoelastic liquid electrolytes. *Sci. Adv.* **2018**, *4* (3),

eaao6243.

(147) He, X.; Liu, X.; Han, Q.; Zhang, P.; Song, X.; Zhao, Y. A Liquid/Liquid Electrolyte Interface that Inhibits Corrosion and Dendrite Growth of Lithium in Lithium-Metal Batteries. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59* (16), 6397-6405.

(148) Chang, Z., Qiao, Y., Deng, H., Yang, H., He, P., & Zhou, H. A Liquid Electrolyte with De-Solvated Lithium Ions for Lithium-Metal Battery. *Joule* 2020, 4(8), 1776-1789.

(149) Zhang, X.-Q.; Chen, X.; Hou, L.-P.; Li, B.-Q.; Cheng, X.-B.; Huang, J.-Q.; Zhang,
Q. Regulating Anions in the Solvation Sheath of Lithium Ions for Stable Lithium Metal
Batteries. ACS Energy Letters 2019, 4 (2), 411-416.

(150) Lee, S. H.; Hwang, J. Y.; Ming, J.; Cao, Z.; Nguyen, H. A.; Jung, H. G.; Kim, J.; Sun,
Y. K. Toward the Sustainable Lithium Metal Batteries with a New Electrolyte Solvation
Chemistry. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2020, 10(20), 2000567.

(151) Ota, H.; Shima, K.; Ue, M.; Yamaki, J.-i. Effect of vinylene carbonate as additive to electrolyte for lithium metal anode. *Electrochimica*. *Acta* **2004**, *49* (4), 565-572.

(152) Shi, Q.; Zhong, Y.; Wu, M.; Wang, H.; Wang, H. High-capacity rechargeable batteries based on deeply cyclable lithium metal anodes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2018, *115* (22), 5676-5680.

(153) Lu, Y.; Tu, Z.; Archer, L. A. Stable lithium electrodeposition in liquid and nanoporous solid electrolytes. *Nat. Mater.* **2014**, *13* (10), 961-9.

(154) Cheng, X. B.; Zhao, M. Q.; Chen, C.; Pentecost, A.; Maleski, K.; Mathis, T.; Zhang,
X. Q.; Zhang, Q.; Jiang, J.; Gogotsi, Y. Nanodiamonds suppress the growth of lithium dendrites. *Nat. Commun.* 2017, 8 (1), 336.

(155) Yoo, D. J.; Kim, K. J.; Choi, J. W. The Synergistic Effect of Cation and Anion of an

Ionic Liquid Additive for Lithium Metal Anodes. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2018, 8 (11), 1702744.
(156) Suo, L.; Xue, W.; Gobet, M.; Greenbaum, S. G.; Wang, C.; Chen, Y.; Yang, W.; Li,
Y.; Li, J. Fluorine-donating electrolytes enable highly reversible 5-V-class Li metal batteries. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2018, *115* (6), 1156-1161.

(157) Zhang, X. Q.; Cheng, X. B.; Chen, X.; Yan, C.; Zhang, Q. Fluoroethylene Carbonate Additives to Render Uniform Li Deposits in Lithium Metal Batteries. *Adv. Func. Mater.*2017, 27 (10), 1605989.

(158) Markevich, E.; Salitra, G.; Chesneau, F.; Schmidt, M.; Aurbach, D. Very stable lithium metal stripping–plating at a high rate and high areal capacity in fluoroethylene carbonate-based organic electrolyte solution. *ACS Energy Letters* 2017, *2* (6), 1321-1326.
(159) Lu, Y.; Das, S. K.; Moganty, S. S.; Archer, L. A. Ionic Liquid-Nanoparticle Hybrid Electrolytes and their Application in Secondary Lithium-Metal Batteries. *Adv. Mater.* 2012, *24* (32), 4430-4435.

(160) Ding, F.; Xu, W.; Graff, G. L.; Zhang, J.; Sushko, M. L.; Chen, X.; Shao, Y.; Engelhard, M. H.; Nie, Z.; Xiao, J. Dendrite-Free Lithium Deposition via Self-healing Electrostatic Shield Mechanism. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135* (11), 4450-4456.

(161) Qian, J.; Adams, B. D.; Zheng, J.; Xu, W.; Henderson, W. A.; Wang, J.; Bowden, M.
E.; Xu, S.; Hu, J.; Zhang, J. G. Anode-Free Rechargeable Lithium Metal Batteries. *Adv. Func. Mater.* 2016, *26* (39), 7094-7102.

(162) Chen, J.; Li, Q.; Pollard, T. P.; Fan, X.; Borodin, O.; Wang, C. Electrolyte design for Li metal-free Li batteries. *Mater. Today* **2020**, 39, 118-126.

(163) Hagos, T. T.; Thirumalraj, B.; Huang, C.-J.; Abrha, L. H.; Hagos, T. M.; Berhe, G.B.; Bezabh, H. K.; Cherng, J.; Chiu, S.-F.; Su, W.-N. Locally Concentrated LiPF<sub>6</sub> in a

Carbonate-Based Electrolyte with Fluoroethylene Carbonate as a Diluent for Anode-Free Lithium Metal Batteries. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2019**, *11* (10), 9955-9963.

(164) Beyene, T. T.; Bezabh, H. K.; Weret, M. A.; Hagos, T. M.; Huang, C.-J.; Wang, C.-H.; Su, W.-N.; Dai, H.; Hwang, B.-J. Concentrated Dual-Salt Electrolyte to Stabilize Li
Metal and Increase Cycle Life of Anode Free Li-Metal Batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2019, *166* (8), A1501-A1509.

(165) Woo, J.-J.; Maroni, V. A.; Liu, G.; Vaughey, J. T.; Gosztola, D. J.; Amine, K.; Zhang, Z. Symmetrical Impedance Study on Inactivation Induced Degradation of Lithium Electrodes for Batteries Beyond Lithium-Ion. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2014**, *161* (5), A827-A830.

(166) Weber, R.; Genovese, M.; Louli, A.; Hames, S.; Martin, C.; Hill, I. G.; Dahn, J. Long cycle life and dendrite-free lithium morphology in anode-free lithium pouch cells enabled by a dual-salt liquid electrolyte. *Nat. Energy* **2019**, *4* (8), 683-689.

(167) Assegie, A. A.; Cheng, J. H.; Kuo, L. M.; Su, W. N.; Hwang, B. J. Polyethylene oxide film coating enhances lithium cycling efficiency of an anode-free lithium-metal battery. *Nanoscale* **2018**, *10* (13), 6125-6138.

(168) Louli, A. J.; Eldesoky, A.; Weber, R.; Genovese, M.; Coon, M.; deGooyer, J.; Deng, Z.; White, R. T.; Lee, J.; Rodgers, T.; Petibon, R.; Hy, S.; Cheng, S. J. H.; Dahn, J. R. Diagnosing and correcting anode-free cell failure via electrolyte and morphological analysis. *Nat. Energy* **2020**, *5*(9), 693-702.

(169) Nanda, S.; Gupta, A.; Manthiram, A. Anode-Free Full Cells: A Pathway to High-Energy Density Lithium-Metal Batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2020**, 2000804, DOI: 10.1002/aenm.202000804. (170) Liang, X.; Pang, Q.; Kochetkov, I. R.; Sempere, M. S.; Huang, H.; Sun, X.; Nazar, L. F. A facile surface chemistry route to a stabilized lithium metal anode. *Nat. Energy* 2017, 2 (9), 17119.

(171) Yin, Y.-C.; Wang, Q.; Yang, J.-T.; Li, F.; Zhang, G.; Jiang, C.-H.; Mo, H.-S.; Yao, J.-S.; Wang, K.-H.; Zhou, F. Metal chloride perovskite thin film based interfacial layer for shielding lithium metal from liquid electrolyte. *Nat. Commun.* 2020, *11* (1), 1-9.

(172) Bai, M.; Xie, K.; Yuan, K.; Zhang, K.; Li, N.; Shen, C.; Lai, Y.; Vajtai, R.; Ajayan,
P.; Wei, B. A Scalable Approach to Dendrite-Free Lithium Anodes via Spontaneous
Reduction of Spray-Coated Graphene Oxide Layers. *Adv. Mater.* 2018, *30* (29), 1801213.

(173) Shen, X.; Li, Y.; Qian, T.; Liu, J.; Zhou, J.; Yan, C.; Goodenough, J. B. Lithium anode stable in air for low-cost fabrication of a dendrite-free lithium battery. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10* (1), 1-9.

(174) Kim, Y.; Koo, D.; Ha, S.; Jung, S. C.; Yim, T.; Kim, H.; Oh, S. K.; Kim, D.-M.; Choi,
A.; Kang, Y. Two-Dimensional Phosphorene-Derived Protective Layers on a Lithium
Metal Anode for Lithium-Oxygen Batteries. *ACS Nano* 2018, *12* (5), 4419-4430.

(175) Xu, T.; Gao, P.; Li, P.; Xia, K.; Han, N.; Deng, J.; Li, Y.; Lu, J. Fast - Charging and Ultrahigh-Capacity Lithium Metal Anode Enabled by Surface Alloying. *Adv. Energy Mater.*2020, *10* (8), 1902343.

(176) Liu, Y.; Li, C.; Li, B.; Song, H.; Cheng, Z.; Chen, M.; He, P.; Zhou, H. Germanium Thin Film Protected Lithium Aluminum Germanium Phosphate for Solid-State Li Batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2018**, 8(16), 1702374.

(177) Li, N. W.; Shi, Y.; Yin, Y. X.; Zeng, X. X.; Li, J. Y.; Li, C. J.; Wan, L. J.; Wen, R.; Guo, Y. G. A Flexible Solid Electrolyte Interphase Layer For Long-Life Lithium Metal Anodes. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57 (6), 1505-1509.

(178) Lee, Y.-G.; Ryu, S.; Sugimoto, T.; Yu, T.; Chang, W.-s.; Yang, Y.; Jung, C.; Woo, J.; Kang, S. G.; Han, H. N.; Doo, S.-G.; Hwang, Y.; Chang, H.; Lee, J.-M.; Sun, J.-Y. Dendrite-Free Lithium Deposition for Lithium Metal Anodes with Interconnected Microsphere Protection. *Chem. Mater.* **2017**, *29* (14), 5906-5914

(179) Gao, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Li, Y. C.; Huang, Q.; Mallouk, T. E.; Wang, D. Interfacial Chemistry Regulation via a Skin-Grafting Strategy Enables High-Performance Lithium-Metal Batteries. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139* (43), 15288-15291.

(180) Wu, H.; Cao, Y.; Su, H.; Wang, C. Tough Gel Electrolyte Using Double Polymer Network Design for the Safe, Stable Cycling of Lithium Metal Anode. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57* (5), 1361-1365.

(181) Kozen, A. C.; Lin, C.-F.; Pearse, A. J.; Schroeder, M. A.; Han, X.; Hu, L.; Lee, S.-B.; Rubloff, G. W.; Noked, M. Next-Generation Lithium Metal Anode Engineering via Atomic Layer Deposition. *ACS Nano* **2015**, *9* (6), 5884-5892.

(182) Yan, J.; Yu, J.; Ding, B. Mixed Ionic and Electronic Conductor for Li-Metal Anode Protection. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30* (7), 1705105.

(183) Kozen, A. C.; Lin, C.-F.; Zhao, O.; Lee, S. B.; Rubloff, G. W.; Noked, M. Stabilization of Lithium Metal Anodes by Hybrid Artificial Solid Electrolyte Interphase. *Chem. Mater.* **2017**, *29* (15), 6298-6307.

(184) Yan, K.; Lee, H. W.; Gao, T.; Zheng, G.; Yao, H.; Wang, H.; Lu, Z.; Zhou, Y.; Liang, Z.; Liu, Z.; Chu, S.; Cui, Y. Ultrathin Two-Dimensional Atomic Crystals as Stable Interfacial Layer for Improvement of Lithium Metal Anode. *Nano Lett.* **2014**, *14* (10), 6016-6022.

(185) Park, K.; Goodenough, J. B. Dendrite-Suppressed Lithium Plating from a Liquid Electrolyte via Wetting of Li<sub>3</sub>N. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2017**, *7*(19), 1700732.

(186) Cha, E.; Patel, M. D.; Park, J.; Hwang, J.; Prasad, V.; Cho, K.; Choi, W. 2D MoS<sub>2</sub> as an efficient protective layer for lithium metal anodes in high-performance Li-S batteries. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2018**, *13* (4), 337-344.

(187) Pang, Q.; Liang, X.; Shyamsunder, A.; Nazar, L. F. An In Vivo Formed Solid Electrolyte Surface Layer Enables Stable Plating of Li Metal. *Joule* 2017, *1* (4), 871-886.
(188) Tu, Z.; Choudhury, S.; Zachman, M. J.; Wei, S.; Zhang, K.; Kourkoutis, L. F.; Archer, L. A. Fast ion transport at solid-solid interfaces in hybrid battery anodes. *Nat. Energy* 2018, *3* (4), 310-316.

(189) Gao, Y.; Yan, Z.; Gray, J. L.; He, X.; Wang, D.; Chen, T.; Huang, Q.; Li, Y. C.; Wang,
H.; Kim, S. H. Polymer-inorganic solid-electrolyte interphase for stable lithium metal batteries under lean electrolyte conditions. *Nat. Mater.* 2019, *18* (4), 384-389.

(190) Li, N.; Wei, W.; Xie, K.; Tan, J.; Zhang, L.; Luo, X.; Yuan, K.; Song, Q.; Li, H.; Shen,
C.; Ryan, E. M.; Liu, L.; Wei, B. Suppressing Dendritic Lithium Formation Using Porous
Media in Lithium Metal-Based Batteries. *Nano Lett.* 2018, *18* (3), 2067-2073.

(191) Pang, Q.; Zhou, L.; Nazar, L. F. Elastic and Li-ion-percolating hybrid membrane stabilizes Li metal plating. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2018**, *115* (49), 12389-12394.

(192) Zhang, W.; Zhuang, H. L.; Fan, L.; Gao, L.; Lu, Y. A "cation-anion regulation" synergistic anode host for dendrite-free lithium metal batteries. *Sci. Adv.* **2018**, *4* (2), eaar4410.

(193) Zhou, H.; Yu, S.; Liu, H.; Liu, P. Protective coatings for lithium metal anodes: Recent progress and future perspectives. *J. Power Sources* **2020**, *450*, 227632.

(194) Hou, Z.; Zhang, J.; Wang, W.; Chen, Q.; Li, B.; Li, C. Towards high-performance lithium metal anodes via the modification of solid electrolyte interphases. *J. Energy Chem.*2020, 45, 7-17.

(195) Xu, R.; Cheng, X.-B.; Yan, C.; Zhang, X.-Q.; Xiao, Y.; Zhao, C.-Z.; Huang, J.-Q.; Zhang, Q. Artificial interphases for highly stable lithium metal anode. *Matter*: **2019**, *1* (2), 317-344.

(196) Huang, A.; Liu, H.; Manor, O.; Liu, P.; Friend, J. Enabling Rapid Charging Lithium Metal Batteries via Surface Acoustic Wave-Driven Electrolyte Flow. *Adv. Mater.* 2020, *32*(14), 1907516.

(197) Ren, L.; Wang, A.; Zhang, X.; Li, G.; Liu, X.; Luo, J. Eliminating Dendrites through Dynamically Engineering the Forces Applied during Li Deposition for Stable Lithium Metal Anodes. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2020**, *10* (4), 1902932.

(198) Chen, Y.; Dou, X.; Wang, K.; Han, Y. Lithium Dendrites Inhibition via Diffusion Enhancement. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2019**, *9* (17), 1900019.

(199) Wang, A.; Deng, Q.; Deng, L.; Guan, X.; Luo, J. Eliminating Tip Dendrite Growth by Lorentz Force for Stable Lithium Metal Anodes. *Adv. Func. Mater.* **2019**, *29* (25), 1902630.

(200) Shen, K.; Wang, Z.; Bi, X.; Ying, Y.; Zhang, D.; Jin, C.; Hou, G.; Cao, H.; Wu, L.; Zheng, G. Magnetic Field-Suppressed Lithium Dendrite Growth for Stable Lithium-Metal Batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2019**, *9* (20), 1900260.

(201) Li, Q.; Tan, S.; Li, L.; Lu, Y.; He, Y. Understanding the molecular mechanism of pulse current charging for stable lithium-metal batteries. *Sci. Adv.* 2017, *3* (7), e1701246.
(202) Yang, H.; Fey, E. O.; Trimm, B. D.; Dimitrov, N.; Whittingham, M. S. Effects of

Pulse Plating on Lithium Electrodeposition, Morphology and Cycling Efficiency. *J. Power Sources* **2014**, *272*, 900-908.

(203) Mayers, M. Z.; Kaminski, J. W.; Miller III, T. F. Suppression of Dendrite Formation via Pulse Charging in Rechargeable Lithium Metal Batteries. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2012, *116* (50), 26214-26221.

(204) Liu, W.; Mi, Y.; Weng, Z.; Zhong, Y.; Wu, Z.; Wang, H. Functional metal-organic framework boosting lithium metal anode performance via chemical interactions. *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8* (6), 4285-4291.

(205) Liu, Y.; Xiong, S.; Wang, J.; Jiao, X.; Li, S.; Zhang, C.; Song, Z.; Song, J. Dendrite-Free lithium metal anode enabled by separator engineering via uniform loading of lithiophilic nucleation sites. *Energy Storage Mater*. **2019**, *19*, 24-30.

(206) Luo, W.; Zhou, L.; Fu, K.; Yang, Z.; Wan, J.; Manno, M.; Yao, Y.; Zhu, H.; Yang, B.;
Hu, L. A Thermally Conductive Separator for Stable Li Metal Anodes. *Nano Lett.* 2015, *15*(9), 6149-6154.

(207) Zhao, C.-Z.; Chen, P.-Y.; Zhang, R.; Chen, X.; Li, B.-Q.; Zhang, X.-Q.; Cheng, X.-B.; Zhang, Q. An ion redistributor for dendrite-free lithium metal anodes. *Sci. Adv.* 2018, 4

(11), eaat3446.

(208) Gong, Y. J.; Heo, J. W.; Lee, H.; Kim, H.; Cho, J.; Pyo, S.; Yun, H.; Kim, H.; Park,

S. Y.; Yoo, J. Nonwoven rGO Fiber - Aramid Separator for High-Speed Charging and Discharging of Li Metal Anode. *Adv. Energy Mater.*, **2020**, 10(27), 2001479.

(209) Xie, Y.; Xiang, H.; Shi, P.; Guo, J.; Wang, H. Enhanced separator wettability by LiTFSI and its application for lithium metal batteries. *J. Membr. Sci.* 2017, *524*, 315-320.
(210) Li, C.; Liu, S.; Shi, C.; Liang, G.; Lu, Z.; Fu, R.; Wu, D. Two-dimensional molecular

brush-functionalized porous bilayer composite separators toward ultrastable high-current density lithium metal anodes. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10* (1), 1-9.

(211) Adams, B. D.; Zheng, J.; Ren, X.; Xu, W.; Zhang, J. G. Accurate Determination of Coulombic Efficiency for Lithium Metal Anodes and Lithium Metal Batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2018**, *8* (7), 1702097.

(212) Li, H.; Chao, D.; Chen, B.; Chen, X.; Chuah, C.; Tang, Y.; Jiao, Y.; Jaroniec, M.; Qiao, S.-Z. Revealing principles for design of lean-electrolyte lithium metal anode via in situ spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142* (4), 2012-2022.