The Imidazodiazepine Anticonvulsant, KRM-II-81, Produces Novel, Non-diazepam-like Antiepileptogentic Effects¹

Daniel E. Knutson,^a Jodi L. Smith,^b Xingjie Ping,^c Xiaoming Jin,^c Lalit K. Golani,^a Guanguan Li,^a Vera Venkata Naga Phani Babu Tiruveedhula,^a Farjana Rashid,^a Md Yeunus Mian,^a Rajwana Jahan,^a Dishary Sharmin,^a Rok Cerne,^{b,d} James M. Cook,^a and Jeffrey M. Witkin ^{a,b,e*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry & Biochemistry, Milwaukee Institute for Drug Discovery, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI, USA

^bLaboratory of Antiepileptic Drug Discovery, Peyton Manning Hospital for Children Ascension St. Vincent, Indianapolis, IN, USA

[°]Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology Indiana University/Purdue University, Indianapolis, IN, USA

^dInstitute of Pathophysiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ljubljana, Zaloška cesta 4, Ljubljana, Slovenia.

^eDepartments of Neuroscience and Trauma Research, Ascension St. Vincent Hospital,

Indianapolis, IN, USA

Footnote

¹This paper is dedicated to Dr. Phil Skolnick for his pioneering work on GABA_A receptor pharmacology and biology, outstanding contributions to the field of neuroscience, drug development, and the treatment of drug abuse. In gratitude for 40 years of fruitful collegial interaction and advancements with our friend.

This is the author's manuscript of the article published in final edited form as:

Knutson, D. E., Smith, J. L., Ping, X., Jin, X., Golani, L. K., Li, G., Tiruveedhula, V. V. N. P. B., Rashid, F., Mian, M. Y., Jahan, R., Sharmin, D., Cerne, R., Cook, J. M., & Witkin, J. M. (2020). Imidazodiazepine Anticonvulsant, KRM-II-81, Produces Novel, Non-diazepam-like Antiseizure Effects. ACS Chemical Neuroscience. https://doi.org/10.1021/acschemneuro.0c00295

Abstract

The need for improved medications for the treatment of epilepsy and chronic pain is essential. Epileptic patients typically take multiple antiepileptic drugs without complete seizure freedom and chronic pain is not fully managed with current medications. A positive allosteric modulator (PAM) of $\alpha 2/3$ -containing GABA_A receptors (5-(8-ethynyl-6-(pyridin-2-yl)-4*H*-

benzo[f]imidazole[1,5- α][1,4]diazepin-3-yl) oxazole or KRM-II-81 (8) is a lead compound in a series of imidazodiazepines. We previously reported that KRM-II-81 produces broad-based anticonvulsant and antinociceptive efficacy in rodent models and provides a wider margin over motoric side effects than that of other GABAA receptor PAMs. The present series of experiments was designed to fill key missing gaps in prior preclinical studies assessing whether KRM-II-81 could be further differentiated from non-selective GABA_A receptor PAMs using the anticonvulsant diazepam (DZP) as a comparator. In multiple chemical seizure provocation models in mice, KRM-II-81 was either equally or more efficacious than that of DZP. Most strikingly, KRM-II-81 but not DZP blocked the development of seizure sensitivity to the chemical convulsants cocaine and pentylenetetrazol. This antiepileptogenic effect is considered the holy grail of antiepileptic treatment suggesting the slowing disease progression. These and predecessor data have placed KRM-II-81 into consideration for clinical development requiring the manufacture of kilogram amounts of GMP material. We describe here a novel synthetic route amenable to kilogram quantity production. The new biological and chemical data provide key steps forward in the development of KRM-II-81 (8) as an improved treatment option for patients suffering from epilepsy.

Introduction

Epilepsy is a chronic neurological disorder suffered by millions of people world-wide with large negative health outcomes and life disruption.¹ Many antiepileptic drugs of different structural and pharmacological classes have been approved for medical use.² Nonetheless, seizures are often not fully controlled despite the daily administration often of more than one antiepileptic. In up to 70% of epileptic patients, standard of care medicines are not efficacious.³⁻⁵ The uncontrolled seizures can also increase the probability of subsequent epileptic events through sensitization mechanisms termed seizure kindling.⁶⁻⁸ Chronic, uncontrolled seizures and the side effects arising from seizure medications have a negative impact on the developing and adult brain and can lead to severe impairment of neurocognitive function.^{9, 10} Uncontrolled seizures can also increase the liklihood of neuronal cell death and patient lethality.¹¹ Therefore, there continues to be an urgent need for improved antiepileptic drugs.^{12, 13}

The ligand, 5-(8-ethynyl-6-(pyridin-2-yl)-4*H*-benzo[*f*]imidazo[1,5-*a*][1,4]diazepin-3-yl)oxazole or KRM-II-81 (**8**, **Figure 1**) is a positive allosteric modulator (PAM) of α 2/3containing GABA_A receptors with potential for medicinal use in epilepsy based upon preclinical data acquired over the past several years (**Table 1**). The excitement over this molecule arises from the fact that although GABA is a long-known modulator of epilepsy, GABA_A receptor PAMs like DZP are not used as daily antiepileptic agents due to the sedation and motoric side effects occurring at anticonvulsant doses.² DZP does not discriminate among GABA_A receptors composed of different alpha subunits, whereas KRM-II-81 is selective for α 2/3-containing GABA_A receptors.^{14, 15} Genetic mutation experiments combined with pharmacological studies with alpha subtype-selective molecules have long suggested eliminating potentiation of α1-containing GABA_A receptors as one means of reducing sedation and motor impairment.¹⁶ KRM-II-81 exhibits reduced sedation and motoric burden compared to DZP.^{17, 18} As such there is the opportunity for achieving higher drug exposures with KRM-II-81 to modulate GABA_A receptors for improved therapeutic advantage. This gain in efficacy has been demonstrated with KRM-II-81 in models of pain where DZP could not be dosed sufficiently high enough to achieve efficacy, whereas KRM-II-81 was at least as efficacious and more potent than tramadol.¹⁹ In rodent epilepsy models, KRM-II-81 has also shown greater efficacy than DZP under some assay conditions (**Table 1**).

A recent licensing option agreement from RespireRx to acquire this molecule from the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee established increased prioritization toward the development of KRM-II-81 for epileptic patients. Although the case for development of KRM-II-81 as an antiepileptic agent is gaining traction (**Table 1**), there are some gaps in the biology. As an anticonvulsant against chemically induced seizures, KRM-II-81 has only been studied against pentylenetetrazol (PTZ). However, since PTZ is a GABA_A receptor antagonist, the blockade of seizures with a GABA_A receptor PAM like KRM-II-81 or DZP is expected on pharmacological grounds; blockade of seizures might be due to receptor pharmacology rather than to suppression of the seizurogenic effects of PTZ per se. The present study addresses this deficiency by studying a broader array of chemical convulsants with diverse non-GABA_A receptor mechanisms, thus enabling a pure test of the antiepileptic hypothesis and side-by-side comparisons with diazepam. KRM-II-81 (8) has also shown an ability to block seizures in rodent models where seizures have been developed over time by sensitizing seizure networks by daily brain stimulation, a process known as seizure kindling. Seizure kindling can be modeled in rodents and is often used to model the pathogenesis of pharmacoresistant or refractory epilepsy.^{20, 21} The data in **Table 1** summarize the ability of KRM-II-81 to suppress the expression of seizures that have already been developed (blockade of seizure expression). What remains unknown is whether KRM-II-81 can block the development of the seizure sensitization process. Suppression or reduction in the development of seizure sensitization is the holy grail of antiepileptic drug development, a result suggesting that disease progression can be halted or slowed . In contrast to other GABA_A receptor PAMs like some neuroactive steroids, DZP has limited impact on this process.^{22, 23} In order to determine the relative efficacy of KRM-II-81 on seizure sensitization, the effects of DZP and KRM-II-81 were compared in two seizure kindling models in the present study.

Another obstacle to the development of KRM-II-81 (8) for epileptic patients is the synthesis of GMP material on large scale for IND-enabling toxicology and for first human dose studies. Previous work has created synthetic routes from the precursor molecule HZ-166 (6, Scheme 1). The first route employed a three step approach which involved a low yielding LAH reduction of the ester (HZ-166) to an alcohol which was then subsequently oxidized by manganese dioxide to the aldehyde 7.¹⁵ A modification of this synthesis²⁴ improved these final steps of the synthesis by converting HZ-166 (6) to its respective ester bioisostere KRM-II-81 (8) in two steps by switching the reduction reagent to PDBBA to afford the key aldehyde 7 directly. However, a process conducive to larger scale chemistry was still required to synthesize the ester precursor (HZ-166) to KRM-II-81. We sought to replace the chromatographic purification steps employed in all five steps of the earlier discovery process for the synthesis of HZ-166 with crystallizations. In addition, to address scale up issues including poor stirring, side product formation, long process times and yield losses on large scale. Provided herein is a method amenable for production of kilogram quantities of HZ-166 and KRM-II-81.

Results and Discussion

KRM-II-81 has Broader Efficacy Against Chemical Convulsant Agents than DZP. In order to extend the observations on the anticonvulsant activity of KRM-II-81 (8) to other, non-GABA convulsants, the chemicals that act upon monoamine transporters (cocaine), potassium channels (4-aminopyridine or 4-AP), N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors (NMDA), glycine receptors (strychnine), and muscarinic cholinergic receptors (pilocarpine) were studied. In addition, PTZ and another antagonist of GABA_A receptors, picrotoxin, were also studied. The comparative efficacy of DZP (1 mg/kg, i.p.) and KRM-II-81 (30 mg/kg, i.p.) are summarized in Table 2. The dose of DZP and KRM-II-81 were based upon maximal, but not supramaximal, efficacious doses for blocking PTZ-induced clonus.^{18, 22} In these experiments, KRM-II-81 was effective against some or all measures of toxicity driven by each of the convulsant agents whereas diazepam was not universally effective. For PTZ, both DZP and KRM-II-81 significantly attenuated clonic convulsions, as previously reported in rats.¹⁸

Prior studies with PTZ¹⁸ did not study doses inducing tonic seizures and lethality. Here we show that both DZP and KRM-II-81 (8) also significantly reduce these toxic endpoints induced by PTZ. Although a similar profile of comparative protection occurred when studying picrotoxin, the statistical preference for KRM-II-81 might be due to the relatively small percentage of tonic convulsions and lethality that were produced by the dose of picrotoxin employed. As with the GABA_A receptor antagonists PTZ and picrotoxin, both DZP and KRM-II-81 were also equally effective against the muscarinic cholinergic receptor agonist pilocarpine.

In contrast, for cocaine (COC), 4-AP, NMDA, and strychnine, KRM-II-81 was efficacious when DZP was not. Clonic convulsions were blocked by KRM-II-81 for these convulsant compounds. But the differential efficacy of KRM-II-81 over DZP extended also to tonic seizures and lethality in the case of 4-AP, strychnine, and NMDA (lethality). The findings reported here are consistent with the literature on the anticonvulsant effects of DZP.²⁵⁻³⁰ Overall, the data support the conclusion that KRM-II-81 has broad ranging anticonvulsant efficacy against chemical convulsants (**Table 2**). Further, the data in **Table 2** support the conclusion that KRM-II-81 has a superior efficacy profile to that of DZP as shown earlier with other seizure provoking stimuli (**Table 1**).

KRM-II-81 but Not DZP Blocks the Development of PTZ Kindling. When PTZ, in a subconvulsant dose (45 mg/kg), is given every other day for 4 days, the percentage of mice exhibiting clonic seizures increases (**Figure 2A**) as previously reported.²² On the 5th experimental session, either vehicle, DZP (1 mg/kg, i.p.), or KRM-II-81 (30 mg/kg, i.p.) was given prior to PTZ. Both DZP and KRM-II-81 prevented clonic seizures in these PTZ-sensitized mice (**Figure 2A**) thus demonstrating efficacy to block seizures in fully sensitized animals. In order to test whether these compounds also prevent the expression of seizure kindling, either vehicle, DZP or KRM-II-81 was administered prior to each dose of PTZ. Under these conditions, both drugs fully protected mice from the occurrence of seizures and the increased sensitivity that develops to PTZ in the absence of treatment (**Figure 2B**). Another experiment was conducted in order to assess whether these compounds can prevent or attenuate the development of seizure sensitization. In this study, vehicle, DZP, or KRM-II-81 was given on each of the first 4 experimental sessions prior to PTZ. Then, on day 10 (experimental session 5), mice were given PTZ alone (with vehicle pretreatments).

While prior exposure to KRM-II-81 + PTZ inoculated mice from the seizure sensitizing effects of PTZ dosing, DZP did not (**Figure 2C**). Thus, despite the ability of DZP to block both acute and sensitized effects of PTZ, DZP was not able to dampen the sensitization process where KRM-II-81 was protective.

Another study was conducted in order to extend these observations to another seizure kindling agent. Cocaine (COC) was chosen since the DZP and KRM showed differential efficacy against PTZ and COC convulsant challenge. Whereas in the case of PTZ, DZP and KRM-II-81 were equally effective in preventing seizures induced by acutely administered PTZ, only KRM-II-81 was efficacious against acutely-administered COC (Table 2). Seizure kindling with COC was studied as with PTZ kindling with the exception that COC kindling was conducted every day^{31, 32} vs. the every other day dosing method that is established for PTZ kindling.²² In this study, COC given daily for 5 days produced significant and large changes in the percentage of mice exhibiting clonic convulsions on day 5 vs. day 1 (Figure 3A) demonstrating seizure kindling. On day 6, either vehicle, DZP (1 mg/kg, i.p.) or KRM-II-81 (30 mg/kg, i.p.) was given prior to COC challenge. KRM-II-81 but not DZP significantly attenuated clonic seizure incidence in mice (Figure 3B) as was observed after acute COC challenge (Table 2). Thus, both in non-sensitized mice and fully kindled mice, KRM-II-81 but not DZP is an effective seizure protectant. The expression of kindled seizures over the 6-day period of COC dosing was assessed next. KRM-II-81 significantly attenuated the expression of COC seizures across 6 days of COC dosing; DZP was not active (Figure 3B).

A final seizure kindling study was initiated to determine whether DZP or KRM-II-81 (8) could prevent the development of COC seizure kindling. In this study, vehicle, DZP, or KRM-II-81 was given prior to each COC kindling session on days 1-5. On day 6, COC alone was given (+ vehicle) to ascertain whether seizure sensitization was suppressed by the prior drug treatments. On the day 6 test, KRM-II-81 but not DZP significantly attenuated the development of seizure sensitization (Figure 3C). The blockade of seizure sensitization by KRM-II-81 appeared to be greater for PTZ kindling (Figure 2C) than that observed with COC kindling (Figure 3C) perhaps as a result of the more prominent effects of KRM-II-81 on acute PTZ vs. COC seizures (Table 2) and the greater ability to block expression of PTZ vs. COC kindling (Figure 2B vs. Figure 3B). However, it is critical to emphasize that even though DZP is an effective anticonvulsant against acute seizures induced by PTZ (Table 2) and is efficacious as a suppressor of the expression of PTZ sensitization, DZP is still not effective as a blocker of the epileptogenic phenomenon of seizure sensitization while KRM-II-81 is. The data for DZP reported here are consistent with prior findings.^{22, 23, 31, 32} Overall, the kindling experiments document the differential ability of KRM-II-81 to significantly suppress the development of the seizure sensitization process and that this antiepileptogenic effect is not confined to the GABA_A receptor antagonist convulsant PTZ.

A Synthetic Method for Production of Kilogram Quantities of KRM-II-81. Given the potential therapeutic value of KRM-II-81 for patients as discussed above and elsewhere,^{17, 18, 33} a novel method for the synthesis of KRM-II-81 with efficiency on a large scale was developed. The synthesis of KRM-II-81 (Scheme 1) has been reported previously^{15, 24}, but the discovery chemistry was not well-suited for scale up in a potential GMP manufacturing setting. All five steps of the synthesis to the key intermediate HZ-166^{34, 35} required purification by column chromatography,

while several steps exhibited typical scaleup issues such as inefficient stirring, side product formation, prolonged process times and yield loss upon scale up.

The challenge in the first step of the synthesis was to improve upon the stirring difficulties of the reaction media and the lack of an adequate purification procedure for multigram quantities. The discovery route developed by Li et al.³⁶ based on the work of Selnick et al.³⁷ called for cooling the mixture of 2'-pyridyl ketone 1, sodium bicarbonate and dichloromethane to 0°C prior to the addition of bromoacetyl bromide. Early into the addition process an extremely thick red gelatinous-like solid developed that made efficient stirring of the reaction mixture nearly impossible. Upon warming to room temperature, the gelatinous solid would slowly dissipate, which resulted in a more readily stirred reaction mixture. Any procedure that is being developed for large-scale synthesis cannot involve agitation issues. Similar research in our chemistry group utilized the same reaction protocol,^{34, 38} but those analogs lacked the 2'-pyridine function and therefore lacked the stirring difficulties encountered herein. Upon consideration of a possible reaction mechanism (Scheme 2), it was proposed that formation of the desired 2-halooacetamide product 2c must proceed through the insoluble acetyl pyridinium intermediate 2b for which the red, gelatinous-like solid can be attributed. As a remedy, the initial reaction temperature was raised to 25 - 30°C prior to the addition of bromoacetyl bromide, while the bromo acetyl reagent was added over a 60 minute time period. Utilization this new approach completely avoided the agitation issue while the red, insoluble pyridinium intermediate 2b was not observed to a significant amount. Presumably, the elevated process temperature increased the reaction rate enough, so the reaction did not stall at the pyridinium salt stage. Analysis of this reaction progress immediately after the addition of the bromide by TLC confirmed this observation for there was

evidence of the 2'-pyridyl ketone **1** nor pyridinium intermediate **2b**. Upon completion of the reaction, the inorganic salts were partitioned into the aqueous layer, after which the solvent was exchanged to ethanol to precipitate the pure halooacetamide product **2a** or **2c** (individually). No further purification was required. The employment of 2-chloroacetyl chloride as an economical substitute for 2-bromoacetyl bromide proved to perform equally as well in the reaction protocol with no detrimental effects to the chemistry in the following steps. In summary, the modifications employed to the synthesis provided 125.6g of **2c** in 98.5% yield (2L reaction flask).

The second step of the synthesis furnished the diazepine core 3 via the conversion of the chloroacetamide 2c into a β -amino amide intermediate 3b prior to condensation of the amine with the carbonyl function. The process problems presented in this reaction sequence included the lack of a reliable and efficient method for purification of the amide **3** and the formation of detrimental side products observed on scale up. The discovery route (Scheme 3) utilized saturated methanolic ammonia to furnish the β -amino amide intermediate **3b** in situ by nucleophilic aliphatic substitution of the alkyl halide 2a which then should cyclize via a carbinolamine condensation to yield the 2'-pyridyldiazepine 3. As is typical with scale-up chemistry, process times (heating and cooling) and reaction times are prolonged, as compared to smaller scale procedures and a subsequent drop in yield of the amide 3 was observed. An investigation of the causes of the yield reduction revealed the formation of a significant side product that was observed to a small extent by TLC on small scale but increased substantially as the scale was increased. The isolation of the impurity (m/z = 314.0167) indicated a mass unit one less than that of the desired product 3 (m/z =315.0007). A thorough analysis of the structure of the impurity using ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, HSQC, ¹H-¹³C-HMBC, ¹H-¹⁵N-HMBC spectroscopy experiments provided evidence which supported the proposed imidate 3c as the structure of the impurity. The ¹H-¹⁵N-HMBC experiment was especially revealing because examination of the spectrum indicated the identity of the impurity 3ccontained 4 nitrogen atoms in the structure (**Figure 4**). The pyridine nitrogen atom (N13) correlated to pyridine ring protons (H11 and H12), while the remaining nitrogen atoms (N15, N18 and N19) correlated to the aliphatic CH₂ protons (H16). Finally, the iminium nitrogen atom (N18) correlated to the A-ring proton, H6. The logical explanation for the formation of the imidate (**3c**) would be through a dehydration mechanism first involving addition of ammonia into the diazepine amide carbonyl via nucleophilic acyl substitution, which was followed by the elimination of water (**Scheme 4**). The mechanism seems reasonable because of the extended reaction times under high concentrations of ammonia. Options to mitigate the formation of the imidate **3c** included shorter reaction times and lower ammonia concentrations, but the application of the starting material, which would then have to be removed.

Clearly, a new approach to synthesis of the key 2'-pyridyl-diazepine core (**3**) was warranted. Here, one turned to the Delépine reaction developed by Stéphane Marcel Delépine.³⁹ It entails incorporation of the hexamethylenetetramine-(HMTM)-based cyclization reaction first developed by Blažević and Kajfež⁴⁰ and further refined by Cepanec, et al.⁴¹ The HMTM-based cyclization (**Scheme 5**) involves first, the formation of quaternary ammonium salt **3a** by nucleophilic aliphatic substitution of the alkyl halide **2** and this was followed by *in situ* hydrolysis to the β -amino amide intermediate **3b**, which was then condensed with the carbonyl function to provide the 2'-pyridyl-diazepine **3**. This new approach performed well albeit with slightly lower than expected yields (60-65%) than the literature report.⁴¹ An investigation into the side products revealed a 5-10 %

impurity identified as β -alkoxy amide **3d**. Presumably, when a primary alcohol (methanol or ethanol) was used as the solvent this undesired Sn2 addition of the alcohol to displace the tetramine to provide to **3d** was possible. Modification of the reaction solvent to the secondary alcohol, 2-propanol (IPA) eliminated this side reaction evidenced by the lack of detection of the corresponding β -alkoxy amide impurity **3d**; importantly, the corresponding yield increase was realized. On large-scale (2L flask) the utilization of the Delépine reaction delivered 75g (75% yield) of 2'-pyridyl-benzodiazepine **3**.

The third step of the synthesis of HZ-166 (6) was to install the imidazole ring C to produce imidazodiazepine 4. The previous procedure⁴² involved formation of the iminophosphate 4a(Scheme 6) by deprotonation of the starting amide using potassium *t*-butoxide followed by the addition of diethylchlorophosphate. Then, iminophosphate 4a subsequently underwent a nucleophilic addition with the enolate of ethyl isocyanoacetate to furnish the desired imidazodiazepine 4. Several improvements to the original chemistry were required in order to provide a reliable and scalable procedure. Initially, the purification was conducted using column chromatography. Secondly, the reagents were added in an "all in one" fashion and specifically as a solid in the case of the portions of potassium *t*-butoxide. Thirdly, each subsequent reagent addition involved cooling of the reaction mixture to below -35°C. Then, the next reagent was added and finally the mixture was allowed to warm to 0°C for each constituent of the process to react. With those challenges in mind we sought to develop a purification method by crystallization and to determine a reaction temperature in which the reagents could be added in a controlled fashion at a temperature at which they would react. By careful monitoring of the reaction progress by TLC it was determined that the iminophosphate 4a did not form until the reaction temperature

reached -20°C. Similarly, it was determined that the required reaction temperature of the condensation of the enolate of ethyl isocyanoacetate with the iminophosphate 4a was -35 to -30°C. Consequently, it was felt that addition of the reagents in a controlled, dropwise fashion would allow one to simply hold the reaction mixture at -20 to -15°C throughout the sequence of additions. This procedure first involved dissolving diazepine $\mathbf{3}$ in tetrahydrofuran and cooling that solution to -20°C. Then, a solution of potassium *t*-butoxide (1.3 eq) in THF (do we need to define THF?) was added dropwise over 30 minutes to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was then stirred for 30 minutes at -20 to -15°C to ensure complete deprotonation of the amide starting material. Next, diethylchlorophosphate (1.4 eq) was added over a 15-minute time span. Within 2 hours after the addition of the phosphate reagent, consumption of the amide starting material **3** was observed to generate the iminophosphate intermediate 4a. Then, ethyl isocyanoacetate (1.3 eq) was added over 15 minutes, and this was followed by a dropwise addition of a solution of potassium tbutoxide (1.3 eq) in THF at -20 to -15°C. The isocyanoacetate / butoxide addition sequence was designed in this manner so that the enolate would react with the iminophosphate as readily as it formed. As predicted, the formation of imidazodiazepine 4 was complete immediately after the tbutoxide addition with no detectable iminophosphate intermediate 4a observed. Upon completion of the reaction procedure the imidazodiazepine product 4 was partitioned into the organic layer using dichloromethane. An aqueous bicarbonate work-up removed the potassium salts and unreacted butoxide. The purification of the product was achieved by crystallization from t-butyl methyl ether (tBME), which adequately removed any traces of side products related to the phosphate related byproducts. The trituration of the crystals with hot ethanol was then employed to remove any residual diazepine starting material 3, if necessary. With the goal of eliminating the column chromatography and a more robust and scalable procedure in hand, successful

execution of the large-scale synthesis provided 61.0 g (52 % yield) of imidazodiazepine **4** (5 L flask).

The next step in the reaction sequence employed the copper-free Sonogashira coupling^{43, 44} to covert aryl bromide 4 into the silyl-protected acetylene 5. The earlier discovery chemistry used TMS-acetylene (1.5 eq) and bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) diacetate (Pd(OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂) as the catalyst, as well as a large excess of triethylamine. Under these conditions the reaction time was typically 15 hours at reflux (75°C) to achieve the desired consumption of the aryl bromide 4 (Table 3, entry 1). These reaction conditions presented an opportunity for improvement. First, the catalyst was prone to poisoning and the procedure required an extensive de-gassing protocol prior to initiating the reaction. The degree of proper degassing (to remove dissolved oxygen in the solvents) played a direct role on the success or failure of the coupling reaction. Secondly, the reaction conditions employed an excess of TMS-acetylene (1.5 eq) to drive the reaction to completion, while most often catalytic poisoning and perhaps degradation of the TMS-reagent stalled the reaction with 5-10% of aryl-bromide 4, which remained. Typically, additional TMSacetylene and fresh catalyst were added to complete the reaction. Thirdly, solvent quantities of triethylamine (coupled with acetonitrile in a 1:1 mixture) were used to dissolve the imidazobenzodiazepine 4 starting material in the reaction medium. Finally, difficult column chromatography was required to purify the product to remove the closely eluting aryl bromide 4 starting material from the crude mixture. This process was also further complicated by the triethylammonium bromide side product, which remained in the crude residue by the previous processing protocol. Therefore, in order to develop a reliable large-scale procedure for the copperfree Sonogashira coupling, the catalyst poisoning, the acetylene reagent, the isolation process and the purification of the silyl target **5** must be improved.

To remedy the problem of the poisoning of the catalyst, which was caused by the oxidation of triphenylphosphine to the corresponding phosphine oxide, a ligand less prone to oxidation was required. A trial with tri-ortho-tolylphosphine (P-o-tol₃) developed by Greg Fu was first attempted because it has a larger cone angle (194°) than that of triphenylphosphine (PPh₃, 145°)^{45, 46} and should exhibit improved resistance to oxide formation and subsequent catalyst poisoning. As mentioned, the ligand in the process was altered to P-o-tol₃, which significantly shortened the reaction time to 6 hours (from 15 hours) and no cumbersome degassing was required (Table 3, entry 2). The starting TMS-acetylene (1.5 eq) could be replaced with the inherently more stable TIPS-acetylene reagent (1.2 eq), which shortened the reaction time further to 4 hours, even with reduced equivalents of the protected-acetylene reagent. (Table 3, entry 3). Finally, reduction of triethylamine from the solvent quantities to stoichiometric quantities (2.0 eq) exhibited no detrimental impact on the reaction time (Table 3, entry 4). With the optimal reaction conditions in hand the product isolation and purification procedure were then refined. The spent and undissolved catalyst was filtered from the reaction media through a small pad of silica gel. The filtrate, which resulted, was concentrated and then partitioned between dichloromethane and aqueous sodium bicarbonate to remove triethylammonium bromide. Finally, the concentrated residue was run through a short flash column to remove the baseline impurities. No other purification was needed in order to carry the product **5b** forward into the next step since the aryl bromide starting material 4 was completely consumed using the refined reaction conditions. In a

3L flask, the improved copper-free Sonogashira coupling process produced 65.0g of the TIPSprotected acetylene **5b** in 85% yield.

The final step of this development process provided the key intermediate HZ-166 (6) via deprotection of the TIPS-acetylene function using fluoride anion. The improvements to this process included optimization of the reaction temperature and replacement of the chromatographic purification with crystallization. The discovery process utilized tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) as the fluoride source. This reagent performed, as required, but the isolation and purification of HZ-166 target (6) required removal of the tetrabutylammonium hydroxide byproduct, adequately. Additionally, the purification protocol would also need to efficiently remove the TIPS-fluoride side product. The discovery route cooled the reaction media to -78° C prior to the addition of TBAF. Careful monitoring of the reaction by TLC indicated that deprotection did not initiate, however, until the temperature reached -20°C. Consequently, the process temperature was modified to – 20 to -15° C, at which point the TBAF·xH₂O (1.0M in THF) was added over a 30-minute time period. The process was monitored by TLC, which indicated complete deprotection had occurred upon completion of the TBAF addition.

Evaluation of a variety of solvents led to the selection of 2-propanol (IPA) as the ideal crystallization solvent, which exhibited minimal product solubility with efficient side-product (TBA-OH and TIPS-F) solubility. The improved final step of the HZ-166 (**6**) synthesis employed the optimum temperature, while eliminating the chromatographic purification providing the 38.4g (85.0%) of HZ-166 (3L flask).

In summary, the five-step synthesis of HZ-166 (6) was refined to provide a more reliable and robust procedure (Scheme 7) with a 27.6% overall yield. As noted, the chromatographic purification steps were replaced with large-scale crystallizations in four steps, while importantly, the overall reaction times were reduced.

As already discussed, the need for improved antiepileptic drugs is critical to the medical and life needs of epileptic patients. The ability of KRM-II-81 (8) to produce antiepileptic effects in multiple preclinical models and to generate, in some cases, superior efficacy to that of another GABAA receptor PAM, DZP, supports the proposition that KRM-II-81 represents a new lead candidate for development. KRM-II-81 was also recently shown to block the cortical hyperactivity of neurons after traumatic brain injury in a mouse model,¹⁷ which suggests potential efficacy against the development of post-traumatic epilepsy.^{47, 48} The data in models of pharmacoresistant epilepsy (Table 1) combined with the current findings of antiepileptogenic efficacy (Figure 2C and Figure 3C) provide important new data on compound superiority. Ganaxolone is a neuroactive steroid that has shown similar antiepileptogenic activity against COC and PTZ kindling under conditions where DZP is not effective.^{22, 23, 32} Since blockade of the development of kindling is used to predict efficacy in pharmacoresistant patients, ganaxolone was recently assessed in patients with difficult to treat status epilepticus, a life-threating condition. In this study, ganaxolone was efficacious, thus translating preclinical predictions into patients in this emergency situation.49

In addition to the data in rodent epilepsy models presented here and elsewhere,^{17, 18} additional patient translational assurance comes from the efficacy of KRM-II-81 (8) to dampen

hyperexcitabity of neural networks in cortical tissue slices from epileptic patients (**Table 1**). PF-06372865 is another compound that has selectivity for $\alpha 2/3$ -containing GABA_A receptor although, unlike KRM-II-81, PF-96372865 also potentiates $\alpha 5$ -containing GABA_A receptors.⁵⁰ PF-06372865 is well-tolerated in people⁵⁰⁻⁵² and was efficacious in inhibiting electrical activity in patients with photosensitive epilepsy.⁵¹

The more benign sedative and motor-impacting effects of KRM-II-81^{15, 18, 33} are an additional feature of its pharmacology that bode well for clinical superiority. Recent docking studies of KRM-II-81 (8) with the CryoER structure 6HUP (α 1 β 3 γ 2L GABA_A receptor in complex with DZP)⁵³ provided structural support for the reduced impact of KRM-II-81 at the α 1His102 side chain implicated in sedation and motor-impairment.¹⁷ KRM-II-81 also showed less respiratory depression than alprazolam.¹⁸ Additional data suggest that α 2/3-selective PAMs will have less liability than non-selective GABA_A receptor PAMs to produce memory impairment, tolerance, or abuse.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁸ The ligand KRM-II-81 is also predicted to have efficacy in other therapeutic domains that are both stand-alone diseases and are comorbid with epilepsy including anxiety,^{15, 59} depression,⁶⁰ and pain.^{14, 19}

In conclusion, the data presented in the present manuscript along with literature reports are consistent with KRM-II-81 (8) showing superiority over diazepam and being a lead compound for clinical development for pharmacoresistant epilepsy and other epileptic states. The newly designed synthesis of kilogram quantities of material presented here provides another key step in the drug development process.

Experimental Section

Biology

Compounds. KRM-II-81 (8) was synthesized (the laboratory of James M. Cook) as previously described.^{15, 24} The other compounds were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). KRM-II-81 was suspended in 1% carboxymethylcellulose and dosed at 1 ml/kg in rats below doses of 30 mg/kg; 30 mg/kg (dosed at 3 ml/kg), 60 mg/kg (dosed at 6 ml/kg). Mice were dosed in a volume of 10 ml/kg. The other compounds were dissolved in sterile water with sonication as needed. Compounds were dosed i.p. with the exception of pentylenetetrazol (PTZ), which was given by s.c. injection in the acute convulsant tests.

Rodent Assays. All studies were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the National Institutes of Health and by local animal care and use committees. The local animal care and use committee and veterinary staff provided direct oversight of the animals by inspections, protocol reviews, laboratory site visits, and animal health monitoring. Male, CD1 mice were used and weighed 28-33g at the time of testing. The mice were allowed to acclimate to the vivariam for at least 4 days prior to testing and for at least 45 min in the testing room prior to experimentation. Animals were housed in a temperature- and humidity-controlled room with a 12 h light/dark cycle (on at 0600). Mice were group housed in large plastic containers with sawdust bedding. Standard mouse food pellets and water were continuously available except during experimental sessions. Mice used in the acute convulsant studies were used only once and then euthanized. Mice in the kindling studies were used only for their respective test group and then euthanized after the last test session.

Chemical Convulsant Studies. The experiments were conducted with doses and routes of administration per the general protocol of Witkin et al. ⁶¹ with the exception that PTZ was given at 75 mg/kg by the s.c. route and that the mice were a different outbred strain than previously employed. Mice were given either vehicle, diazepam (DZP) (1 mg/kg, i.p.) or KRM-II-81 (30 mg/kg, i.p.) and placed into a holding cage for 30 min. The doses of DZP and KRM-II-81 were close to maximal protectant doses against PTZ-induced clonic convulsions but not supramaximal.^{18, 22, 23} After 30 min, the mice were given the convulsant agent and placed into individual observation chambers where they were observed for 60 min for clonic convulsions, tonic convulsions and lethality by trained observers. The percentage of mice exhibiting clonus, tonus, and lethality were recorded. Statistically significant differences from vehicle treatments were assessed by Fisher's Exact Probability test with p<0.05 as an a priori level of significance.

Seizure Kindling. Seizure kindling was established in separate groups of mice by giving close to subconvulsant doses of cocaine (COC) (60 mg/kg, i.p.) or PTZ (45 mg/kg), as previously described for COC^{31, 32} where cocaine was given every day and for PTZ,^{22, 23} where PTZ was given every other day. Each experiment was independently conducted twice with groups of 6 mice each. For PTZ seizure kindling, PTZ was given on days 1,3,5, and 8 and then the mice were tested with PTZ again on day 10. For COC, COC was given on days 1,2,3,4, and 5 and then tested with COC on day 6. Three separate groups of mice were studied in each of three separate experiments to study drug effects on 1) fully kindled seizures, 2) the expression of kindled seizures, and on 3) the development of kindling where either vehicle, DZP (1 mg/kg, i.p.), or KRM-II-81 (30 mg/kg, i.p.) was studied. Fully-Kindled Seizures: vehicle + PTZ or COC were given on kindling days/vehicle, DZP, or KRM-II-81 with PTZ or COC given on test day; Expression of Kindling: Vehicle + PTZ or COC, DZP + PTZ or COC, or KRM-II-81 + PTZ or COC was given for each kindling day and for the test day; Development of Kindling: Vehicle, DZP, or KRM-II-81 + PTZ or COC was given on each kindling day/Vehicle + PTZ or COC was given on the test day. The data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA analyzing treatment and treatment day with repeated measures on subjects. Bonferonni post-hoc tests compared each treatment day to its vehicle control (p<0.05 considered to be statistically significant a priori).

Chemistry

General Procedures for the Synthesis of KRM-II-81. All reactions were performed in roundbottom flasks with magnetic stir bars or overhead mechanical stirrers under an argon atmosphere. Organic solvents were purified when necessary by standard methods or purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals were purchased from either Sigma Aldrich, Oakwood Chemical, Alfa Aesar, Matrix Scientific, Admiral Chemical Company, or Acros Organic. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data were obtained on Bruker Spectrospin 300 MHz and 500 MHz instruments with the chemical shifts in δ (ppm). The HRMS spectral data was obtained on a LCMS-IT-TOF by Shimadzu Scientific.

N-(4-Bromo-2-picolinoylphenyl)-2-chloroacetamide (2c). To a mixture of (2-amino-5bromophenyl)(pyridine-2yl)methanone (1, 100 g, 360.9 mmol), sodium bicarbonate (60.6 g, 721.7 mmol), and dichloromethane (1000 mL), chloroacetyl chloride (43.0 mL, 541.3 mmol) was added dropwise over 60 min while keeping the temperature between $25 - 30^{\circ}$ C. The bright red reaction mixture, which resulted, was then allowed to stir for more than 1 h at rt. The completion of the reaction was verified by analysis by TLC using silica gel and 50% ethyl acetate / hexanes. The reaction mixture was then slowly diluted over 30 min with water (500 mL) as carbon dioxide gas evolved. The biphasic mixture, which resulted, was allowed to stand for 15 min and the layers were separated. The aq layer was extracted with dichloromethane (500 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with 5% ag sodium bicarbonate solution (500 mL) and then 10% ag sodium chloride solution (500 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was slurried with ethanol (500 mL) at $50 - 55^{\circ}$ C for 30 min. Upon cooling to rt and after holding the temperature for 1 h, the solid was filtered and washed with ethanol (100 mL x 3). The solid was dried under vacuum at 40°C to afford the product 2c as an off-white solid (115.2 g, 90.3%). Additional product (2c) was obtained as a 2nd crop by concentrating the filtrate (10.4 g, 8.2%). Total yield: 125.6g, 98.5%: Rf = 0.6 (EtOAc-hexanes, 1:1 and 1% of TEA); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.66 (s, 1H), 8.76 (ddd, J = 4.8, 1.7, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 8.58 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (dt, J = 7.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (td, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (t 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (dd, J = 9.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 195.29, 165.34, 154.76, 148.83, 138.80, 137.43, 137.19, 136.88, 126.70, 124.99, 124.62, 122.99, 115.81, 43.15; **HRMS** (ESI/IT-TOF): m/z [M + H]+ calcd for C₁₄H₁₁BrClN₂O₂: 352.9693; found: 352.9690.

7-Bromo-5-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,3-dihydro-2H-benzo[e][1,4]diazepin-2-one (3). A mixture of N-(4-bromo-2-picolinoylphenyl)-2-chloroacetamide (2c, 115 325.2 mmol), g, hexamethylenetetramine (HMTM, 100.3 g, 715.5 mmol), ammonium acetate (55.2 g, 715.5 mmol), and isopropanol (2000 mL) was heated to reflux (82°C). The reaction mixture was held at reflux for 4 h at which point the reaction was deemed complete by analysis by TLC (silica gel and 50% ethyl acetate / hexanes). The reaction mixture was then cooled to $0 - 5^{\circ}$ C using an ice bath. The solid, which resulted, was filtered and washed with cold isopropanol (100 mL x 2) and then water (100mL x 4). The solid was dried under vacuum at 40°C to afford the product 3 as an offwhite solid (75.1 g, 75%): Mp 228-229 °C; Rf = 0.4 (EtOAc-hexanes, 1:1 and 1% of TEA); ¹H **NMR** (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.64 (s, 1H), 8.57 (dd, J = 4.7, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (td, J = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (ddd, J = 7.5, 4.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO d_6) δ 170.36, 168.13, 156.32, 148.88, 139.31, 137.60, 134.43, 134.17, 127.93, 125.41, 123.93, 123.57, 114.50, 57.58; **HRMS** (ESI/IT-TOF): m/z [M + H]+ calcd for C₁₄H₁₁BrN₃O: 316.0080; found: 316.0076.

Ethyl 8-bromo-6-(pyridin-2-yl)-4*H*-benzo[*f*]imidazo[1,5-*a*][1,4]diazepine-3-carboxylate (4). A mixture of 7-bromo-5-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,3-dihydro-2*H*-benzo[*e*][1,4]diazepin-2-one (3, 90.5 g, 286.2 mmol) and tetrahydrofuran (1200 mL) was cooled to -20° C using a dry ice / IPA bath. A solution of potassium *t*-butoxide (41.8 g, 372.1 mmol) and tetrahydrofuran (300 mL) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture over a 30 min period, while maintaining the temperature at -20 to -15°C. Upon completion of the addition, the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for an additional 60 min at -20°C. Diethyl chlorophosphate (57.9 mL, 400.7 mmol) was then added dropwise to the reaction mixture over 15 min while maintaining the temperature at -20 to -15° C. Upon completion of the addition, the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for an additional 2 h at -20 °C at which point the reaction was deemed complete by analysis by TLC (silica gel and 1% triethylamine / ethyl acetate). Ethyl isocyanoacetate (40.7 mL, 372.1 mmol) was then added dropwise to the reaction mixture over a 15 min period, while maintaining the temperature at -20 to -15°C. Immediately, a solution of potassium t-butoxide (41.8 g, 372.1 mmol) and tetrahydrofuran (300 mL) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture over 30 min while maintaining the temperature at -20 to -15°C. Upon completion of the addition, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt and stirred for an additional 12 h at which point the reaction was deemed complete by analysis by TLC (silica gel and 1% triethylamine / ethyl acetate). The reaction mixture was then diluted with 5% aq sodium bicarbonate (1000 mL). The biphasic mixture, which resulted, was allowed to stand for 15 min and the layers were separated. The aq layer was then extracted twice with dichloromethane (1000 mL x 2) and the combined organic layers were washed with 5% aq sodium bicarbonate solution (1000 mL) and then 10% aq sodium chloride solution (1000 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was slurried with t-butyl methyl ether (1000 mL) at $50 - 55^{\circ}$ C for 30 min. Upon cooling to rt and after holding for 12 h, the solid was filtered and washed with *t*-butyl methyl ether (100 mL x 3). The solid was then purified by stirring with ethanol (300 mL) at reflux for 1h. Upon cooling to -20°C and after holding at -20°C for 12 h, the solid was filtered off and washed with cold ethanol (50 mL x 2). The solid was dried under vacuum at 40°C to afford the product 4 as a light brown powder (61.0 g, 51.8%): MP 212-213 °C; Rf = 0.4 (EtOAc with 1% TEA); ¹H **NMR** (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.57 (dd, J = 4.9, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.81 (td, J = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d, J =

8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (ddd, J = 7.6, 4.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.12 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 4.51 – 4.36 (m, 2H), 4.15 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 1.43 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H); ¹³**C NMR** (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.08, 162.93, 156.20, 148.73, 138.43, 136.94, 135.32, 134.99, 134.57, 134.44, 129.37, 128.54, 124.90, 124.29, 123.99, 120.57, 60.81, 45.02, 14.45; **HRMS** (ESI/IT-TOF): m/z [M + H]+ calcd for C₁₉H₁₆BrN₄O₂: 411.0451; found: 411.0454.

Ethyl-6-(pyridin-2-yl)-8-((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)-4H-benzo[f]imidazo[1,5-a]

[1,4]diazepine-3-carboxylate (5b). A mixture of palladium acetate (1.67 g, 7.4 mmol), tri-otolylphosphine (4.51g, 14.8 mmol) and acetonitrile (400 mL) was stirred for 30 min at rt. To the reaction mixture was then added in sequence ethyl 8-bromo-6-(pyridin-2-yl)-4Hbenzo[f]imidazo[1,5-a][1,4]diazepine-3-carboxylate (4, 61.0 g, 148 mmol), triethylamine (41.3 mL, 297 mmol), (triisopropylsilyl)acetylene (39.9 mL, 178 mmol) and additional acetonitrile (500 mL). The reaction mixture was then heated to reflux (75°C) and held for 4 h at which point the reaction was deemed complete by analysis by TLC (silica gel and 1% triethylamine / ethyl acetate). Upon completion of the reaction, the mixture was cooled to rt and silica gel (25 g) was added. After stirring for 30 min, the spent silica gel was removed by filtration and washed with acetonitrile (100 mL x 2). The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in dichloromethane (900 mL) and 5% aq sodium bicarbonate (900 mL). The biphasic mixture, which resulted, was allowed to stand for 15 min and the layers were separated. The aq layer was then extracted with dichloromethane (900 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with 5% aq sodium bicarbonate solution (900 mL) and then 10% aq sodium chloride solution (900 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography using silica gel (750 g) and 50% ethyl acetate /

hexanes with 5% triethylamine. The pure fractions were pooled and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The oil, which resulted, was dried under reduced pressure at 40°C for 2 h to afford the product **5b** as a clear, light brown oil (65.0 g, 85%): Rf = 0.6 (EtOAc with 1% TEA) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.55 (dt, J = 4.7, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.78 (td, J = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (ddd, J = 7.5, 4.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.07 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (ddt, J = 30.1, 14.8, 7.4 Hz, 2H), 4.15 – 4.10 (m, 1H), 1.40 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.08 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 21H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.74, 162.94, 156.47, 148.67, 138.48, 136.80, 135.70, 135.30, 134.93, 134.50, 129.24, 126.97, 124.74, 123.99, 122.71, 122.59, 104.89, 93.78, 60.71, 45.02, 18.58, 14.42, 11.20.

Ethyl-8-ethynyl-6-(pyridin-2-yl)-4*H*-benzo[*f*]imidazo[1,5-*a*][1,4]diazepine-3-carboxylate

(HZ-166, 6). A mixture of ethyl 6-(pyridin-2-yl)-8-((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)-4*H*-benzo[*f*]/imidazo[1,5-*a*][1,4]diazepine-3-carboxylate (**5b**, 65.0 g, 126.8 mmol), water (6.5 mL) and tetrahydrofuran (650 mL) was cooled to -20°C using a dry ice / IPA bath. Tetrabutylammonium fluoride hydrate, 1M in THF (145.4 mL, 145.4 mmoL) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture over a 30 min period, while maintaining the temperature at -20 to -15°C. Upon completion of the addition, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt and stir for an additional 60 min at which point the reaction was deemed complete on analysis by TLC (silica gel and 1% triethylamine / ethyl acetate). The reaction mixture was then diluted with ethyl acetate (650 mL) and 10% aq sodium chloride (650 mL). The biphasic mixture, which resulted, was allowed to stand for 15 min and the layers were separated. The aq layer was then extracted with ethyl acetate (650 mL). The

organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was slurried with 2-propanol (IPA, 250 mL) at 70 – 75°C for 30 min. Upon cooling to - 20°C and after holding for 2 h, the solid was filtered and washed with cold IPA (50 mL x 3) and then hexanes (50 mL x 3). The solid was dried under vacuum at 40°C to afford the product **6** as an off-white crystalline solid (38.4 g, 85%): **Mp** 204-205 °C; *Rf* = 0.4 (EtOAc with 1% TEA); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ = 8.58 (d, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (s, 1H), 7.83 (dd, *J* = 11.8, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (dd, *J* = 6.7, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 6.16 (d, *J* = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (s, 1H), 2.85 (q, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.44 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ 171.92, 170.75, 167.87, 156.29, 148.73, 137.08, 136.33, 136.15, 135.92, 135.46, 135.25, 127.08, 124.97, 124.78, 124.08, 122.87, 121.45, 81.60, 79.75, 44.93, 19.79, 11.56; HRMS (ESI/IT-TOF): m/z [M + H]+ calcd for C₂₁H₁₇N₄O₂: 357.1346; found: 357.1344.

Author Information

Corresponding Authors

Daniel E. Knutson or Jeffrey M. Witkin Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI, USA Phone: (414)-229-5856. <u>knutsond@uwm.edu</u> <u>witkinconsult@gmail.com</u>

ORCID

Daniel E. Knutson: 0000-0002-8043-767X

Jodi L. Smith: 0000-0002-9145-8548

Xingjie Ping: 0000-0001-8233-9961

Xiaoming, Jin: 0000-0002-8671-8640

Lalit K. Golani: 0000-0002-6233-7747

Guanguan Li: 0000-0002-2284-0348

Vera Venkata Naga Phani Babu Tiruveedhula: 0000-0003-4394-6317

Farjana Rashid: 0000-0002-2052-2880

Md Yeunus Mian: 0000-0002-7520-1750

Rajwana Jahan: 0000-0002-7092-0780

Dishary Sharmin: 0000-0003-0417-4262

Rok Cerne: 0000-0001-9208-6398

James M. Cook: 0000-0001-5512-3022

Jeffrey M. Witkin: 0000-0002-9048-148X

Author Contributions

Participated in research design: Knutson, Witkin, Cook, and Cerne
Conducted experiments: Knutson, Witkin, and Sharmin
Contributed new reagents or analytic tools: Knutson, Golani, Li, Tiruveedhula, Rashid, Mian,
Jahan, Sharmin, Cook and Witkin
Performed data analysis: Knutson, Witkin
Wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript: all authors

Acknowledgments

We thank the following granting agencies for support: DA-043204 and NS-076517 and the National Science Foundation, Division of Chemistry [Grant CHE-1625735]. We also acknowledge the UW-Milwaukee Shimadzu Laboratory for Advanced and Applied Analytical Chemistry and support from the Milwaukee Institute of Drug Discovery and the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee Research Foundation. We are also indebted to the NIH Anticonvulsant Screening Program for all of their prior efforts in helping to characterize KRM-II-81 as a novel antiepileptic agent. We are grateful for the Henry and Nellie Pence family for their generous support of this work by a Pence foundation grant awarded to Jodi L. Smith. We are also grateful to John and Nancy Peterson for their support of this research.

Abbreviations Used

COC, cocaine; GABA, gamma-aminobutyric acid; PAM, positive allosteric modulator; PTZ, pentylenetetrazol

References

Sadr, S. S.; Javanbakht, J.; Javidan, A. N.; Ghaffarpour, M.; Khamse, S.; Naghshband,
 Z., Descriptive Epidemiology: Prevalence, Incidence, Sociodemographic Factors,
 Socioeconomic Domains, and Quality of Life of Epilepsy: An Update and Systematic Review.
 Arch. Med. Sci. 2018, *14* (4), 717-724.

2. Gitto, R.; De Luca, L.; De Sarra, G., *Aniticonvulsants. In Burger's Medicinal Chemistry, Durg Discovery, and Development.* Seventh Editition ed.; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: 2010.

 Banerjee, J.; Chandra, S. P.; Kurwale, N.; Tripathi, M., Epileptogenic Networks and Drug-Resistant Epilepsy: Present and Future Perspectives of Epilepsy Research-Utility for the Epileptologist and the Epilepsy Surgeon. *Ann. Indian Acad. Neurol.* 2014, *17* (Suppl 1), S134-40.

Marson, A. G.; Al-Kharusi, A. M.; Alwaidh, M.; Appleton, R.; Baker, G. A.;
Chadwick, D. W.; Cramp, C.; Cockerell, O. C.; Cooper, P. N.; Doughty, J.; Eaton, B.;
Gamble, C.; Goulding, P. J.; Howell, S. J. L.; Hughes, A.; Jackson, M.; Jacoby, A.; Kellett,
M.; Lawson, G. R.; Leach, J. P.; Nicolaides, P.; Roberts, R.; Shackley, P.; Shen, J.; Smith,
D. F.; Smith, P. E. M.; Smith, C. T.; Vanoli, A.; Williamson, P. R., The Sanad Study of
Effectiveness of Valproate, Lamotrigine, or Topiramate for Generalised and Unclassifiable
Epilepsy: An Unblinded Randomised Controlled Trial. *The Lancet* 2007, *369* (9566), 1016-1026.

Sinha, S.; Siddiqui, K. A., Definition of Intractable Epilepsy. *Neurosciences (Riyadh)* 2011, *16* (1), 3-9.

Avanzini, G.; Depaulis, A.; Tassinari, A.; de Curtis, M., Do Seizures and Epileptic
 Activity Worsen Epilepsy and Deteriorate Cognitive Function? *Epilepsia* 2013, *54 Suppl 8*, 14-21.

7. Campbell Teskey, G.; Racine, R. J., Increased Spontaneous Unit Discharge Rates Following Electrical Kindling in the Rat. *Brain Res.* **1993**, *624* (1-2), 11-18.

8. Racine, R. J., Modification of Seizure Activity by Electrical Stimulation: Ii. Motor Seizure. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* **1972**, *32* (3), 281-294.

9. Holmes, G. L., The Long-Term Effects of Seizures on the Developing Brain: Clinical and Laboratory Issues. *Brain Dev.* **1991**, *13* (6), 393-409.

10. Holmes, G. L., Epilepsy in the Developing Brain: Lessons from the Laboratory and Clinic. *Epilepsia* **1997**, *38* (1), 12-30.

 DeGiorgio, C. M.; Curtis, A.; Hertling, D.; Moseley, B. D., Sudden Unexpected Death in Epilepsy: Risk Factors, Biomarkers, and Prevention. *Acta Neurol. Scand.* 2019, *139* (3), 220-230.

12. Barker-Haliski, M. L.; Johnson, K.; Billingsley, P.; Huff, J.; Handy, L. J.; Khaleel, R.; Lu, Z.; Mau, M. J.; Pruess, T. H.; Rueda, C.; Saunders, G.; Underwood, T. K.; Vanegas, F.; Smith, M. D.; West, P. J.; Wilcox, K. S., Validation of a Preclinical Drug Screening Platform for Pharmacoresistant Epilepsy. *Neurochem. Res.* **2017**, *42* (7), 1904-1918.

13. <u>Http://Advocacy.Epilepsy.Com</u>.

Lewter, L. A.; Fisher, J. L.; Siemian, J. N.; Methuku, K. R.; Poe, M. M.; Cook, J. M.;
 Li, J. X., Antinociceptive Effects of a Novel Alpha2/Alpha3-Subtype Selective Gabaa Receptor
 Positive Allosteric Modulator. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* 2017, 8 (6), 1305-1312.

15. Poe, M. M.; Methuku, K. R.; Li, G.; Verma, A. R.; Teske, K. A.; Stafford, D. C.; Arnold, L. A.; Cramer, J. W.; Jones, T. M.; Cerne, R.; Krambis, M. J.; Witkin, J. M.; Jambrina, E.; Rehman, S.; Ernst, M.; Cook, J. M.; Schkeryantz, J. M., Synthesis and Characterization of a Novel Gamma-Aminobutyric Acid Type a (Gabaa) Receptor Ligand That Combines Outstanding Metabolic Stability, Pharmacokinetics, and Anxiolytic Efficacy. *J. Med. Chem.* **2016**, *59* (23), 10800-10806.

McKernan, R. M.; Rosahl, T. W.; Reynolds, D. S.; Sur, C.; Wafford, K. A.; Atack, J. R.; Farrar, S.; Myers, J.; Cook, G.; Ferris, P.; Garrett, L.; Bristow, L.; Marshall, G.; Macaulay, A.; Brown, N.; Howell, O.; Moore, K. W.; Carling, R. W.; Street, L. J.; Castro, J. L.; Ragan, C. I.; Dawson, G. R.; Whiting, P. J., Sedative but Not Anxiolytic Properties of Benzodiazepines Are Mediated by the Gaba(a) Receptor Alpha1 Subtype. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2000, *3* (6), 587-92.

17. Witkin, J. M.; Li, G.; Golani, L. K.; Xiong, W.; Smith, J. L.; Ping, X.; Rashid, F.; Jahan, R.; Cerne, R.; Cook, J. M.; Jin, X., The Positive Allosteric Modulator of Alpha2/3-Containing Gabaa Receptors, Krm-Ii-81, Is Active in Pharmaco-Resistant Models of Epilepsy and Reduces Hyperexcitability after Traumatic Brain Injury. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **2020**, *372* (1), 83-94.

Witkin, J. M.; Smith, J. L.; Ping, X.; Gleason, S. D.; Poe, M. M.; Li, G.; Jin, X.;
 Hobbs, J.; Schkeryantz, J. M.; McDermott, J. S.; Alatorre, A. I.; Siemian, J. N.; Cramer, J.
 W.; Airey, D. C.; Methuku, K. R.; Tiruveedhula, V.; Jones, T. M.; Crawford, J.; Krambis, M.
 J.; Fisher, J. L.; Cook, J. M.; Cerne, R., Bioisosteres of Ethyl 8-Ethynyl-6-(Pyridin-2-Yl)-4h Benzo[F]Imidazo [1,5-a][1,4]Diazepine-3-Carboxylate (Hz-166) as Novel Alpha 2,3 Selective

Potentiators of Gabaa Receptors: Improved Bioavailability Enhances Anticonvulsant Efficacy. *Neuropharmacology* **2018**, *137*, 332-343.

Witkin, J. M.; Cerne, R.; Davis, P. G.; Freeman, K. B.; do Carmo, J. M.; Rowlett, J.
K.; Methuku, K. R.; Okun, A.; Gleason, S. D.; Li, X.; Krambis, M. J.; Poe, M.; Li, G.;
Schkeryantz, J. M.; Jahan, R.; Yang, L.; Guo, W.; Golani, L. K.; Anderson, W. H.; Catlow, J.
T.; Jones, T. M.; Porreca, F.; Smith, J. L.; Knopp, K. L.; Cook, J. M., The Alpha2,3-Selective
Potentiator of Gabaa Receptors, Krm-Ii-81, Reduces Nociceptive-Associated Behaviors Induced
by Formalin and Spinal Nerve Ligation in Rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 2019, *180*, 22-31.

20. Löscher, W.; Schmidt, D., Which Animal Models Should Be Used in the Search for New Antiepileptic Drugs? A Proposal Based on Experimental and Clinical Considerations. *Epilepsy Res.* **1988**, *2* (3), 145-181.

21. McNamara, J. O.; Bonhause, D. W.; Shin, C., The Kindling Model of Epilepsy. P. A. Schwartzkroin, Ed., Epilepsy: Models, Mechanisms, and Concepts, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1993, 27-47.

Gasior, M.; Beekman, M.; Carter, R. B.; Goldberg, S. R.; Witkin, J. M.,
 Antiepileptogenic Effects of the Novel Synthetic Neuroactive Steroid, Ganaxolone, against
 Pentylenetetrazol-Induced Kindled Seizures: Comparison with Diazepam and Valproate. *Drug Dev. Res.* 1998, 44 (1), 21-33.

23. Gasior, M.; Ungard, J. T.; Beekman, M.; Carter, R. B.; Witkin, J. M., Acute and Chronic Effects of the Synthetic Neuroactive Steroid, Ganaxolone, against the Convulsive and Lethal Effects of Pentylenetetrazol in Seizure-Kindled Mice: Comparison with Diazepam and Valproate. *Neuropharmacology* **2000**, *39* (7), 1184-1196.

24. Cook, J.; Li, G.; Golani, L.; Jahan, R.; Rashid, F., Improved Synthesis of Anxiolytic, Anticonvulsant, and Antinociceptive A2/A3-Gaba(a)-Ergic Receptor Subtype Selective Ligands as Promising Agents to Treat Anxiety, Epilepsy, and Neuropathic Pain. *Synthesis* **2018**, *50* (20), 4124-4132.

 Czuczwar, S. J.; Frey, H.-H.; Löscher, W., Antagonism of N-Methyl-D,L-Aspartic Acid-Induced Convulsions by Antiepileptic Drugs and Other Agents. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 1985, *108* (3), 273-280.

Turski, W. A.; Cavalheiro, E. A.; Bortolotto, Z. A.; Mello, L. M.; Schwarz, M.; Turski,
 L., Seizures Produced by Pilocarpine in Mice: A Behavioral, Electroencephalographic and
 Morphological Analysis. *Brain Res.* 1984, *321* (2), 237-253.

Witkin, J. M.; Tortella, F. C., Modulators of N-Methyl-D-Aspartate Protect against
 Diazepam- or Phenobarbital-Resistant Cocaine Convulsions. *Life Sci.* 1991, 48 (11), PL51-PL56.

28. Yamaguchi, S.-i.; Rogawski, M. A., Effects of Anticonvulsant Drugs on 4-Aminopyridine-Induced Seizures in Mice. *Epilepsy Res.* **1992**, *11* (1), 9-16.

29. File, S. E.; Greenblatt, D. J.; Martin, I. L.; Brown, C., Long-Lasting Anticonvulsant Effects of Diazepam in Different Mouse Strains: Correlations with Brain Concentrations and Receptor Occupancy. *Psychopharmacology (Berl.)* **1985,** *86* (1-2), 137-41.

30. Shenoy, A. K.; Miyahara, J. T.; Swinyard, E. A.; Kupferberg, H. J., Comparative Anticonvulsant Activity and Neurotoxicity of Clobazam, Diazepam, Phenobarbital, and Valproate in Mice and Rats. *Epilepsia* **1982**, *23* (4), 399-408.

31. Gasior, M.; Ungard, J. T.; Witkin, J. M., Chlormethiazole: Effectiveness against Toxic Effects of Cocaine in Mice. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **2000**, *295* (1), 153-61.

 Kaminski, R. M.; Gasior, M.; Carter, R. B.; Witkin, J. M., Protective Efficacy of Neuroactive Steroids against Cocaine Kindled-Seizures in Mice. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2003, 474 (2-3), 217-222.

33. Cerne, R.; Fisher, J. F.; Siemian, J. N.; Smith, J. L.; Knutson, D. E.; Cook, J. M.;
Witkin, J. M., Improvements in the Pharmacological Profile of Diazepam by Krm-Ii-81, an
Imidizodiazepine Positive Allosteric Modulator of A2/3-Containing Gabaa Receptors:
Preclinical Data Predict Enhanced Efficacy for Epilepsy, Chronic Pain, Anxiety, and Depression. *Pharmaceutical Sciences and Biomedical Analysis Journal* 2019, *2* (117), 1-14.

34. Cook, J. M.; Huang, Q.; He, X.; Li, X.; Yu, J.; Han, D.; Lelas, S.; McElroy, J. Anxiolytic Agents with Reduced Sedative and Ataxic Effects. US007119196B2 2003.

35. Rivas, F. M.; Stables, J. P.; Murphree, L.; Edwankar, R. V.; Edwankar, C. R.; Huang,
S.; Jain, H. D.; Zhou, H.; Majumder, S.; Sankar, S.; Roth, B. L.; Ramerstorfer, J.;
Furtmuller, R.; Sieghart, W.; Cook, J. M., Antiseizure Activity of Novel Gamma-Aminobutyric

Acid (a) Receptor Subtype-Selective Benzodiazepine Analogues in Mice and Rat Models. *J. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *52* (7), 1795-8.

36. Li, G. G.; Golani, L. K.; Jahan, R.; Rashid, F.; Cook, J. M., Improved Synthesis of Anxiolytic, Anticonvulsant, and Antinociceptive Alpha 2/Alpha 3-Gaba(a)-Ergic Receptor Subtype Selective Ligands as Promising Agents to Treat Anxiety, Epilepsy, and Neuropathic. *Synthesis-Stuttgart* **2018**, *50* (20), 4124-4132.

Selnick, H. G.; Liverton, N. J.; Baldwin, J. J.; Butcher, J. W.; Claremon, D. A.; Elliott,
J. M.; Freidinger, R. M.; King, S. A.; Libby, B. E.; McIntyre, C. J.; Pribush, D. A.; Remy, D.
C.; Smith, G. R.; Tebben, A. J.; Jurkiewicz, N. K.; Lynch, J. J.; Salata, J. J.; Sanguinetti, M.
C.; Siegl, P. K.; Slaughter, D. E.; Vyas, K., Class Iii Antiarrhythmic Activity in Vivo by

Selective Blockade of the Slowly Activating Cardiac Delayed Rectifier Potassium Current Iks by (R)-2-(2,4-Trifluoromethyl)-N-[2-Oxo-5-Phenyl-1-(2,2,2-Trifluoroethyl)- 2, 3-Dihydro-1h-Benzo[E][1,4]Diazepin-3-Yl]Acetamide. *J. Med. Chem.* **1997**, *40* (24), 3865-8.

38. Cook, J. M.; Zhou, H.; Huang, S.; Srirama Sarma, P. V. V.; Zhang, C. Stereospecific Anxiolytic and Anticonvulsant Agents with Reduced Muscle-Relaxant, Sedative-Hypnotic and Ataxic Effects. US7618958B2, 2009.

39. Delépine, M., Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1895, 13, 352-361.

40. Blažević, N.; Kajfež, F., A New Ring Closure Synthesis of 1,4-Benzodiazepines. Ii. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **1971**, *8* (5), 845-846.

41. Cepanec, I.; Litvić, M.; Pogorelić, I., Efficient Synthesis of 3-Hydroxy-1,4Benzodiazepines Oxazepam and Lorazepam by New Acetoxylation Reaction of 3-Position of
1,4-Benzodiazepine Ring. Organic Process Research & Development 2006, 10 (6), 1192-1198.

42. Yang, J.; Teng, Y.; Ara, S.; Rallapalli, S.; Cook, J. M., An Improved Process for the Synthesis of 4h-Imidazo[1,5-a][1,4]Benzodiazepines. *Synthesis (Stuttg)* **2009**, *40* (32), nihpa145687.

43. Cassar, L., Synthesis of Aryl- and Vinyl-Substituted Acetylene Derivatives by the Use of Nickel and Palladium Complexes. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1975**, *93* (2), 253-257.

44. Dieck, H. A.; Heck, F. R., Palladium Catalyzed Synthesis of Aryl, Heterocyclic and Vinylic Acetylene Derivatives. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1975**, *93* (2), 259-263.

45. Jover, J.; Cirera, J., Computational Assessment on the Tolman Cone Angles for P-Ligands. *Dalton Trans* **2019**, *48* (40), 15036-15048.

46. Tolman, C. A., Steric Effects of Phosphorus Ligands in Organometallic Chemistry and Homogeneous Catalysis. *Chem. Rev.* **1977**, *77* (3), 313-348.

47. Bolkvadze, T.; Pitkanen, A., Development of Post-Traumatic Epilepsy after Controlled Cortical Impact and Lateral Fluid-Percussion-Induced Brain Injury in the Mouse. *J. Neurotrauma* **2012**, *29* (5), 789-812.

48. Semple, B. D.; Zamani, A.; Rayner, G.; Shultz, S. R.; Jones, N. C., Affective, Neurocognitive and Psychosocial Disorders Associated with Traumatic Brain Injury and Post-Traumatic Epilepsy. *Neurobiol. Dis.* **2019**, *123*, 27-41.

49. Pharmaceuticals, M. Marinus Pharmaceuticals Announces Positive Top-Line Results with Ganaxolone in Phase 2 Refractory Status Epilepticus Trial.

https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2019/09/26/1921176/0/en/Marinus-Pharmaceuticals-Announces-Positive-Top-Line-Results-With-Ganaxolone-in-Phase-2-Refractory-Status-Epilepticus-Trial.html.

50. Nickolls, S. A.; Gurrell, R.; van Amerongen, G.; Kammonen, J.; Cao, L.; Brown, A. R.; Stead, C.; Mead, A.; Watson, C.; Hsu, C.; Owen, R. M.; Pike, A.; Fish, R. L.; Chen, L.; Qiu, R.; Morris, E. D.; Feng, G.; Whitlock, M.; Gorman, D.; van Gerven, J.; Reynolds, D. S.; Dua, P.; Butt, R. P., Pharmacology in Translation: The Preclinical and Early Clinical Profile of the Novel Alpha2/3 Functionally Selective Gabaa Receptor Positive Allosteric Modulator Pf-06372865. *Br J Pharmacol* **2018**, *175* (4), 708-725.

51. Gurrell, R.; Gorman, D.; Whitlock, M.; Ogden, A.; Reynolds, D. S.; DiVentura, B.;
Abou-Khalil, B.; Gelfand, M.; Pollard, J.; Hogan, R. E.; Krauss, G.; Sperling, M.; Vazquez,
B.; Wechsler, R. T.; Friedman, D.; Butt, R. P.; French, J., Photosensitive Epilepsy: Robust
Clinical Efficacy of a Selective Gaba Potentiator. *Neurology* 2019, *92* (15), e1786-e1795.

52. Simen, A.; Whitlock, M.; Qiu, R.; Miceli, J.; Zumpano, L.; Du Metz, M.; Dua, P.; Binneman, B., An 8-Week, Randomized, Phase 2, Double-Blind, Sequential Parallel-Group

Comparison Study of Two Dose Levels of the Gabaa Positive Allosteric Modulator Pf-06372865 Compared with Placebo as an Adjunctive Treatment in Outpatients with Inadequate Response to Standard of Care for Generalized Anxiety Disorder. *J. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* **2019**, *39* (1), 20-27.

Masiulis, S.; Desai, R.; Uchanski, T.; Serna Martin, I.; Laverty, D.; Karia, D.;
Malinauskas, T.; Zivanov, J.; Pardon, E.; Kotecha, A.; Steyaert, J.; Miller, K. W.; Aricescu,
A. R., Gabaa Receptor Signalling Mechanisms Revealed by Structural Pharmacology. *Nature*2019, *565* (7740), 454-459.

54. Atack, J. R., Gabaa Receptor Subtype-Selective Modulators. I. Alpha2/Alpha3-Selective Agonists as Non-Sedating Anxiolytics. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* **2011**, *11* (9), 1176-202.

55. Ator, N. A.; Atack, J. R.; Hargreaves, R. J.; Burns, H. D.; Dawson, G. R., Reducing Abuse Liability of Gabaa/Benzodiazepine Ligands Via Selective Partial Agonist Efficacy at Alpha1 and Alpha2/3 Subtypes. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **2010**, *332* (1), 4-16.

56. Moerke, M. J.; Li, G.; Golani, L. K.; Cook, J.; Negus, S. S., Effects of the Alpha2/Alpha3-Subtype-Selective Gabaa Receptor Positive Allosteric Modulator Krm-Ii-81 on Pain-Depressed Behavior in Rats: Comparison with Ketorolac and Diazepam. *Behav. Pharmacol.* 2019, *30* (5), 452-461.

57. Ralvenius, W. T.; Benke, D.; Acuna, M. A.; Rudolph, U.; Zeilhofer, H. U., Analgesia and Unwanted Benzodiazepine Effects in Point-Mutated Mice Expressing Only One Benzodiazepine-Sensitive Gabaa Receptor Subtype. *Nat Commun* **2015**, *6*, 6803.

58. Zuiker, R. G.; Chen, X.; Osterberg, O.; Mirza, N. R.; Muglia, P.; de Kam, M.; Klaassen, E. S.; van Gerven, J. M., Ns11821, a Partial Subtype-Selective Gabaa Agonist, Elicits

Selective Effects on the Central Nervous System in Randomized Controlled Trial with Healthy Subjects. *J Psychopharmacol* **2016**, *30* (3), 253-62.

59. Witkin, J. M.; Cerne, R.; Wakulchik, M.; S, J.; Gleason, S. D.; Jones, T. M.; Li, G.; Arnold, L. A.; Li, J. X.; Schkeryantz, J. M.; Methuku, K. R.; Cook, J. M.; Poe, M. M., Further Evaluation of the Potential Anxiolytic Activity of Imidazo[1,5-a][1,4]Diazepin Agents Selective for Alpha2/3-Containing Gabaa Receptors. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **2017**, *157*, 35-40.

60. Methuku, K. R.; Li, X.; Cerne, R.; Gleason, S. D.; Schkeryantz, J. M.; Tiruveedhula,

V.; Golani, L. K.; Li, G.; Poe, M. M.; Rahman, M. T.; Cook, J. M.; Fisher, J. L.; Witkin, J.

M., An Antidepressant-Related Pharmacological Signature for Positive Allosteric Modulators of Alpha2/3-Containing Gabaa Receptors. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **2018**, *170*, 9-13.

61. Witkin, J. M.; Dijkstra, D.; Levant, B.; Akunne, H. C.; Zapata, A.; Peters, S.;

Shannon, H. E.; Gasior, M., Protection against Cocaine Toxicity in Mice by the Dopamine

D3/D2 Agonist R-(+)-Trans-3,4a,10b-Tetrahydro-4-Propyl-2h,5h-[1]Benzopyrano[4,3-B]-1,4-

Oxazin-9 -OI [(+)-Pd 128,907]. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2004, 308 (3), 957-64.



Model System	Species	Activity	Efficacy	Reference
NEURONAL CULTURE				
Dissociated cortical neurons	Rat	Yes	ND	Witkin et al., 2018
CHEMICAL SEIZURE PROVOCATION MODELS				
Pentylenetetrazol – clonic seizures	Rat	Yes	= Diazepam	Witkin et al., 2018
ELECTRICAL SEIZURE PROVOCATION MODELS		-		
6Hz stimulation – 44mA	Mouse	Yes	ND	Witkin et al., 2018
Electroconvulsive Shock	Mouse	Yes	= Diazepam	Witkin et al., 2018
SEIZURE SENSITIZATION				
Corneal kindling	Mouse	Yes	>Tpm	Witkin et al., 2020
Amygdala kindling-ADT	Rat	Yes	> Diazepam	Witkin et al., 2018
Amygdala kindling-ADD	Rat	Yes	= Diazepam	Witkin et al., 2018
Amygdala kindling-Seizure Severity	Rat	Yes	= Diazepam	Witkin et al., 2018
PHARMACORESISTANT MODELS				
Mesial temporal lobe epilepsy	Mouse	Yes	>Ltg, Val	Witkin et al., 2020
Ltg-insensitive kindling	Rat	Yes	>Ltg, Tpm	Witkin et al., 2020
Kainate-induced chronic epilepsy	Rat	Yes	>Ltg, Lev	Witkin et al., 2020
HUMAN EPILEPTIC TISSUE				
Picrotoxin stimulation	Human	Yes	ND	Witkin et al., 2018
4-Aminopyridine stimulation	Human	Yes	ND	Witkin et al., 2018

Drug Event Vehicle Diazepam KRM-II-81 Efficacy Bias Cocaine Clonus 8/8 6/10 4/10* 1 75 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 0/8 0/10 0/10 1 PTZ Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 1 1 75 mg/kg, s.c. Tonus 6/8 2/8* 1/8* 1 1 14 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 6/8 2/8* 1/8* 1 1 14 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 7/8 0/8* 0/8* 1 1 200 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 7/8 4/8 2/8* 1 1 200 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 0/8 0/8 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Anticonvulsants Against Some Chemoconvulant Agents. ^a								
Cocaine Clonus $8/8$ $6/10$ $4/10^*$ 75 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus $0/8$ $0/10$ $0/10$ PTZ Clonus $8/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ 75 mg/kg, s.c. Tonus $6/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ 75 mg/kg, s.c. Tonus $6/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ Lethality $7/8$ $0/8^*$ $0/8^*$ 4-AP Clonus $8/8$ $5/8$ $2/8^*$ 14 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus $7/8$ $4/8$ $1/8^*$ 200 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus $0/8$ $0/8$ $0/8$ 200 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus $0/8$ $0/8$ $0/8$ Lethality $7/8$ $4/8$ $2/8^*$ Pictrotoxin Clonus $8/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ 6 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus $4/8$ $2/8$ $0/8^*$ 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus $8/8$ $6/8$ $3/8^*$ 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus $8/8$	Drug	Event	Vehicle	Diazepam	KRM-II-81	Efficacy Bias			
75 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus $0/8$ $0/10$ $0/10$ PTZ Clonus $8/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ 75 mg/kg, s.c. Tonus $6/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ 75 mg/kg, s.c. Tonus $6/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ Lethality $7/8$ $0/8^*$ $0/8^*$ 4-AP Clonus $8/8$ $5/8$ $2/8^*$ 14 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus $7/8$ $4/8$ $1/8^*$ 14 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus $7/8$ $4/8$ $2/8^*$ 200 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus $0/8$ $0/8$ $0/8$ Lethality $7/8$ $4/8$ $2/8^*$ Pictrotoxin Clonus $8/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ 6 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus $4/8$ $2/8$ $0/8^*$ Lethality $5/8$ $2/8$ $0/8^*$ 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus $8/8$ $6/8$ $3/8^*$ 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus $8/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ 300 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus $3/8$ $1/8$ <	Cocaine	Clonus	8/8	6/10	4/10*				
Lethality 0/8 0/10 0/10 PTZ Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 75 mg/kg, s.c. Tonus 6/8 2/8* 1/8* Lethality 7/8 0/8* 0/8* 4-AP Clonus 8/8 5/8 2/8* 14 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 7/8 4/8 1/8* Lethality 7/8 4/8 1/8* 200 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 0/8 0/8 200 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 0/8 0/8 Pictrotoxin Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 0/8 0/8 0/8 0 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 200 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 0/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 4/8 2/8* Pictrotoxin Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 6 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 4/8 2/8 0/8* Lethality 5/8 2/8 0/8* 3/8* 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* <	75 mg/kg, i.p.	Tonus	0/8	0/10	0/10				
PTZ Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 75 mg/kg, s.c. Tonus 6/8 2/8* 1/8* 14 mg/kg, i.p. Clonus 8/8 5/8 2/8* 14 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 7/8 4/8 1/8* Lethality 7/8 4/8 1/8* Lethality 7/8 4/8 1/8* MDA Clonus 7/8 4/8 2/8* 200 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 0/8 0/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 4/8 2/8* Pictrotoxin Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 6 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 4/8 2/8 0/8* Lethality 5/8 2/8 0/8* Strychnine Clonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 300 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 3/8 1/8 0/8<		Lethality	0/8	0/10	0/10				
75 mg/kg, s.c.Tonus $6/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ Lethality $7/8$ $0/8^*$ $0/8^*$ 4-APClonus $8/8$ $5/8$ $2/8^*$ 14 mg/kg, i.p.Tonus $7/8$ $4/8$ $1/8^*$ Lethality $7/8$ $4/8$ $1/8^*$ 00 mg/kg, i.p.Tonus $7/8$ $4/8$ $2/8^*$ 200 mg/kg, i.p.Tonus $0/8$ $0/8$ $0/8$ Lethality $7/8$ $4/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ PictrotoxinClonus $8/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ 6 mg/kg, i.p.Tonus $4/8$ $2/8$ $0/8^*$ StrychnineClonus $8/8$ $6/8$ $3/8^*$ 2 mg/kg, i.p.Tonus $8/8$ $6/8$ $3/8^*$ 9ilocarpineClonus $8/8$ $1/8$ $0/8$ 900 mg/kg, i.p.Tonus $3/8$ $1/8$ $0/8$ 9ilocarpineClonus $8/8$ $0/8$ $0/8$ 9ilocarpineClonus $8/8$ $0/8$ $0/8$ 9ilocarpineClonus $8/8$ $0/8$ $0/8$ 9ilocarpineClonus $8/8$ $0/8$ $0/8$ 9ilos: KRM-II-81 > Diazepam $V/8$ $V/8$ $0/8^*$	PTZ	Clonus	8/8	2/8*	1/8*				
Lethality $7/8$ $0/8^*$ $0/8^*$ 4-APClonus $8/8$ $5/8$ $2/8^*$ 14 mg/kg, i.p.Tonus $7/8$ $4/8$ $1/8^*$ Lethality $7/8$ $1/8^*$ $0/8^*$ NMDAClonus $7/8$ $4/8$ $2/8^*$ 200 mg/kg, i.p.Tonus $0/8$ $0/8$ $0/8$ Lethality $7/8$ $4/8$ $2/8^*$ PictrotoxinClonus $8/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ 6 mg/kg, i.p.Tonus $4/8$ $2/8$ $0/8^*$ Lethality $5/8$ $2/8$ $0/8^*$ StrychnineClonus $8/8$ $6/8$ $3/8^*$ 2 mg/kg, i.p.Tonus $8/8$ $6/8$ $3/8^*$ PilocarpineClonus $8/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ 300 mg/kg, i.p.Tonus $3/8$ $1/8$ $0/8$ Lethality $7/8$ $2/8^*$ $0/8^*$ Bias: KRM-II-81 > Diazepam	75 mg/kg, s.c.	Tonus	6/8	2/8*	1/8*				
4-APClonus $8/8$ $5/8$ $2/8^*$ 14 mg/kg, i.p.Tonus $7/8$ $4/8$ $1/8^*$ Lethality $7/8$ $1/8^*$ $0/8^*$ NMDAClonus $7/8$ $4/8$ $2/8^*$ 200 mg/kg, i.p.Tonus $0/8$ $0/8$ $0/8$ Lethality $7/8$ $4/8$ $2/8^*$ PictrotoxinClonus $8/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ 6 mg/kg, i.p.Tonus $8/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ 5 trychnineClonus $8/8$ $6/8$ $3/8^*$ 2 mg/kg, i.p.Tonus $8/8$ $6/8$ $3/8^*$ 2 mg/kg, i.p.Tonus $8/8$ $6/8$ $3/8^*$ 9 ilocarpineClonus $8/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ 9 ilocarpineClonus $8/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ 300 mg/kg, i.p.Tonus $3/8$ $1/8$ $0/8$ Ethality $7/8$ $2/8^*$ $0/8^*$ 9 ilocarpineClonus $8/8$ $2/8^*$ $1/8^*$ 300 mg/kg, i.p.Tonus $3/8$ $1/8$ $0/8$ Ethality $7/8$ $2/8^*$ $0/8^*$ 9 ilos:KRM-II-81 > Diazepam $V/8$ $V/8$ $V/8$		Lethality	7/8	0/8*	0/8*				
14 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 7/8 4/8 1/8* Lethality 7/8 1/8* 0/8* NMDA Clonus 7/8 4/8 2/8* 200 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 0/8 0/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 4/8 2/8* Pictrotoxin Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 6 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 4/8 2/8 1/8* 6 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 4/8 2/8 0/8* 200 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 4/8 2/8 0/8* 6 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 4/8 2/8 0/8* Strychnine Clonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* Pilocarpine Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 300 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 3/8 1/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 2/8* 0/8* Main Bias: KRM-II-81 > Diazepam Main Main Main Main	4-AP	Clonus	8/8	5/8	2/8*				
Lethality 7/8 1/8* 0/8* NMDA Clonus 7/8 4/8 2/8* 200 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 0/8 0/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 4/8 2/8* 1/8* Pictrotoxin Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 6 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 4/8 2/8 0/8* Lethality 5/8 2/8 0/8* Strychnine Clonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* Pilocarpine Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 9ilocarpine Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 300 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 3/8 1/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 2/8* 0/8* 1/8* Bias: KRM-II-81 > Diazepam Main Main Main	14 mg/kg, i.p.	Tonus	7/8	4/8	1/8*				
NMDA Clonus 7/8 4/8 2/8* 200 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 0/8 0/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 4/8 2/8* Pictrotoxin Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 6 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 4/8 2/8 0/8* Lethality 5/8 2/8 0/8* Strychnine Clonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 300 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 3/8 1/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 2/8* 0/8* 1/8* 300 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 3/8 1/8 0/8* Ethality 7/8 2/8* 0/8* 1/8* Bias: KRM-II-81 > Diazepam Image: Mage:		Lethality	7/8	1/8*	0/8*				
200 mg/kg, i. p. Tonus 0/8 0/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 4/8 2/8* Pictrotoxin Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 6 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 4/8 2/8 0/8* Lethality 5/8 2/8 0/8* Strychnine Clonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* Pilocarpine Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 300 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 3/8 1/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 2/8* 0/8* Bias: KRM-II-81 > Diazepam Main Main Main	NMDA	Clonus	7/8	4/8	2/8*				
Lethality 7/8 4/8 2/8* Pictrotoxin Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 6 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 4/8 2/8 0/8* Lethality 5/8 2/8 0/8* Strychnine Clonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* Pilocarpine Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 300 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 3/8 1/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 2/8* 0/8* 1 Bias: KRM-II-81 > Diazepam Main Main Main	200 mg/kg, i.p.	Tonus	0/8	0/8	0/8				
Pictrotoxin Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 6 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 4/8 2/8 0/8* Lethality 5/8 2/8 0/8* Strychnine Clonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* Lethality 8/8 6/8 3/8* Pilocarpine Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 300 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 3/8 1/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 2/8* 0/8* 1/8* Bias: KRM-II-81 > Diazepam Image: KRM-II-81 Image: KRM-II-81 <td></td> <td>Lethality</td> <td>7/8</td> <td>4/8</td> <td>2/8*</td> <td></td>		Lethality	7/8	4/8	2/8*				
6 mg/kg, i. p. Tonus 4/8 2/8 0/8* Lethality 5/8 2/8 0/8* Strychnine Clonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* Lethality 8/8 6/8 3/8* Pilocarpine Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 300 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 3/8 1/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 2/8* 0/8* Bias: KRM-II-81 > Diazepam Main Main Main	Pictrotoxin	Clonus	8/8	2/8*	1/8*				
Lethality 5/8 2/8 0/8* Strychnine Clonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* Lethality 8/8 6/8 3/8* Pilocarpine Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 300 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 3/8 1/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 2/8* 0/8* Bias: KRM-II-81 > Diazepam Image: Clonus Image: Clonus Image: Clonus	6 mg/kg, i.p.	Tonus	4/8	2/8	0/8*				
Strychnine Clonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* 2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* Lethality 8/8 7/8 4/8* Pilocarpine Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 300 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 3/8 1/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 2/8* 0/8* Bias: KRM-II-81 > Diazepam Image: March and		Lethality	5/8	2/8	0/8*				
2 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 8/8 6/8 3/8* Lethality 8/8 7/8 4/8* Pilocarpine Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 300 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 3/8 1/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 2/8* 0/8* Bias: KRM-II-81 > Diazepam Image: Clonus Image: Clonus Image: Clonus	Strychnine	Clonus	8/8	6/8	3/8*				
Lethality 8/8 7/8 4/8* Pilocarpine Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 300 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 3/8 1/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 2/8* 0/8* Bias: KRM-II-81 > Diazepam Image: Clonus Image: Clonus Image: Clonus	2 mg/kg, i.p.	Tonus	8/8	6/8	3/8*				
Pilocarpine Clonus 8/8 2/8* 1/8* 300 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 3/8 1/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 2/8* 0/8*		Lethality	8/8	7/8	4/8*				
300 mg/kg, i.p. Tonus 3/8 1/8 0/8 Lethality 7/8 2/8* 0/8* Bias: KRM-II-81 > Diazepam Image: Constraint of the second s	Pilocarpine	Clonus	8/8	2/8*	1/8*				
Lethality 7/8 2/8* 0/8* Bias: KRM-II-81 > Diazepam Image: Constraint of the second se	300 mg/kg, i.p.	Tonus	3/8	1/8	0/8				
Bias: KRM-II-81 > Diazepam		Lethality	7/8	2/8*	0/8*				
Blas: KRIVI-II-81 > Dlazepam									
	Bias: KRM-II-81 > Diazepam								
Bias: Diazepam > KRM-II-81	Bias: Diazepam > KRM-II-81								
Bias: Diazepam = KRM-II-81	Bias: Diazepam = KKM-II-81								
Blas: No Difference from Vehicle	Blas: No Difference	e from Vehi	cie						
Diazepam: 1 mg/kg, s.c., 30 min prior	Diazepam: 1 mg/	kg, s.c., 30 i							
KRM-II-81: 30 mg/kg, i.p., 30 min prior									
Dive and red date indicate onimals that convulat									

Table 2: Comparative Efficacy of Diazepam and KRM-II-81 as

 Anticonvulsants Against Some Chemoconvulant Agents.^a





A. Effects of compounds on fully developed COC kindled seizures. **B**. Effects of compounds on the expression of COC kindling. **C**. Effects of compounds on the development of COC kindling. Each point represents the mean \pm S.E.M. of 6 mice each. Statistical evaluation of the data with two-way ANOVA with repeated measures followed by Bonferroni post-hoc tests comparing vehicle to diazepam or KRM-II-81 – **A**. Effects of compound treatment: F_{2,15}=2.75, p=0.21; Experimental session: F_{5,15}=37.8, p<0.0001; Interaction: F_{10,15}=2.95, p<0.05; Subjects: F_{3,15}=1.77, p=0.20. **B**. Effects of compound treatment: F_{2,15}=18.0, p<0.05; Experimental session: F_{5,15}=17.3, p<0.0001; Interaction: F_{10,15}=1.61, p=0.20; Subjects: F_{3,15}=0.74, p=0.54. **C**. Effects of compound treatment: F_{2,15}=109, p<0.05; Experimental session: F_{5,15}=28.1, p<0.0001; Interaction: F_{10,15}=0.78, p=0.65; Subjects: F_{3,15}=0.26, p=0.85.



















Table of Contents Graphic

