The Legal Response to COVID-19: Legal Pathways to a More Effective and Equitable Response

Scott Burris, JD, Temple University Beasley School of Law

Sarah de Guia, JD, ChangeLab Solutions

Lance Gable, JD, MPH, Wayne State University Law School

Donna Levin, JD, Network for Public Health Law

Wendy E. Parmet, JD, Northeastern University School of Law

Nicolas P. Terry, LLM, Indiana University Robert H. McKinney School of Law

4200 words excluding references and 1 table.

Acknowledgements: This commentary and the research it describes would not have been possible without the project management and editorial assistance contributed by Leslie Zeller, Faith Khalik and Bethany Saxon. The research and recommendations we describe here are the work of the individual authors, who are named in Figure 1. The rapid assessment project received direct funding from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and in-kind support from the de Beaumont Foundation and the American Public Health Association. The opinions expressed here are those of the editors and authors, and do not necessarily reflect the views of the foundations or APHA.

Key words: Legal epidemiology, legislation, health law

This is the author's manuscript of the article published in final edited form as:

Introduction

COVID-19 is the new disease this country had been preparing to take on for decades.¹ So far, the response has been a failure with huge human and economic costs. While peer countries have managed to get the pandemic under a degree of control, the United States seems pathologically unable or unwilling to prevent rising cases and deaths. This is not a failure of resources: although decades of cutting health agency budgets is a big part of our problem,² we remain a country rich in money and expertise. This is not a failure of individual courage; from health care workers through transport workers to people who produce and deliver food supplies, essential workers have shown up and done their jobs at significant personal risk. This has been, first and foremost, a failure of leadership and the development or implementation of an effective response.

The law is integral to effective emergency preparedness and response.³ It sets out the powers and duties of officers and agencies, creates standards of conduct and performance, channels resources to individuals and institutions, and sets limits on arbitrary or discriminatory exercise of authority in times of crisis.⁴ Law is also an important factor in the background: as a pervasive force in social life, law both contributes to and is a means of reducing health inequities and their effects.⁵

The legal story of the pandemic is sweeping and complicated. Crucially, the COVID-19 failure has, in important ways, also been a legal one. This failure occurred across multiple dimensions. Fundamental tenets of the U.S. constitutional system and its customary functioning have been openly challenged by political leadership that abstained from leadership and encouraged a Darwinian competition among states for scarce resources. Decades of pandemic preparation focused too much on plans and laws on paper, while ignoring the devastating effects of budget cuts and political interference on the operational readiness of our local, state and national health agencies. The politicization of public health, from mask-wearing to the favored status enjoyed by some states, is a powerful exhibit in any evaluation of the continued health of the rule of law. Both inside and outside of the public health domain, our laws and the policies they are built on have failed to prevent racial and economic disparities in the pandemic's toll, and in some cases have aggravated them. COVID-19 has exposed too many empty promises of equal justice under law.

COVID-19 has shed a brutal, unforgiving light on the weakness of many of the key structures that are meant to ensure the health and safety of our fellow citizens. Fundamental laws and policies, from policing, health care, to privacy that have been ignored or band-aided over the years have been exposed as totally inadequate. There has been a massive failure in legal implementation. Ample legal authority has not been used consistently, properly, or transparently as executive leadership has failed in many states and cities. In some states Governors or Legislatures have reacted to COVID-19 with laws that reflected bad or inadequate policies, delaying state action and interfering with better-advised local measures through preemptive laws and orders.

While the practical results of these failures are plain to see their exact causes and what is required to reverse these legal failures are less obvious. This Commentary summarizes key findings and recommendations from a collection of 36 topical legal assessments written by more than fifty independent legal experts. The authors have issued over 100 specific legal recommendations for the President and Congress, governors and state legislatures, and mayors and city councilors across the country. The editors have organized them, and present them here, in six priority areas: *Using Government Powers to Control the Pandemic; Fulfilling Governmental Responsibilities in a Federal System; Financing and Delivering Health Care; Assuring Access to Medicines and Medical Supplies; Protecting Workers and Families;* and *Taking on Disparities and Protecting Equal Rights.* We begin with a description of the assessment process.

The Assessment: Why and How

For the public health law community, the seriousness of the COVID-19 threat was recognized and discussed as early as January, and initial legal analyses began appearing the next month. ^{7,8} Few of us predicted the extent of the failure of leadership and implementation that unfolded over the next few months, but nearly all of us saw that equity in intervention and disparities in impact would require legal attention, and that there would be important legal questions to resolve in many specific domains like housing, workplace safety, vaccine development and procurement. In late March a group of lawyers associated with the George Consortium (a network of public health law scholars and practitioners⁹) and the informal public health law network of Robert Wood Johnson Foundation grantees -- all of them experiencing a surge in demand for legal information from the press, health agencies, advocacy groups and individual practitioners-- worked together to launch "COVID-Law Briefings" on Twitter, YouTube and the "The Week in Health Law" podcast. The group eventually produced thirty briefings over the next two months discussing pressing issues like emergency powers, prisoner's rights and rules for rationing care. ¹⁰

As the scale of the problem and the demand for legal guidance became clear, the group moved to the idea of a comprehensive, published assessment. An editorial committee was formed, and a list of important issues that had already emerged or seemed to be looming was circulated as an initial table of contents. Working through the George Consortium, experts were approached and asked to take on (and refine) topics on the list. Chapters were produced on a tight schedule of just six weeks for a first draft. Drafts were reviewed by one or more members of the editorial committee, and public health leaders including Joshua Sharfstein, Howard Koh, Brian Castrucci and Daliah Heller read one or more chapters in their areas of expertise. The editors and authors are actively soliciting feedback on the report to inform a final, expanded version planned for the end of the year. Each chapter and its recommendations remain the work of the author (see Figure 1).

Equity was a primary concern of this assessment. Law and policy play an important role in limiting or exacerbating health disparities and health inequities. Health disparities are differences in health outcomes that people of different demographic backgrounds experience. Health disparities were all too common in the United States before COVID-19, and have been unmistakable during the pandemic. As Patricia

Williams pointed out in her powerful closing reflections on the report, these disparities do not arise from bad individual choices or biological differences between races but the social factors that shape people's lives every day "in the ghettoized geographies that have become such petri dishes of contagion."

These disparities are not inevitable. We as a society have created them. Centuries of oppression through policies, norms, and institutional practices shape individual experience and over time have created the inequitable society we inhabit. Laws and policies too often reinforce health inequities by making resources scarce for many or creating unhealthy environments, especially in poor communities and communities of color. But the tools of law and policy can also be the deliberate intervention to change the fundamental drivers of inequity and increase health equity. We and our authors saw inequities throughout the pandemic legal response, but also the moral and practical demand – we might even say craving – for cooperation, mutual aid, and solidarity. As Professor Williams concludes, "We can divide ourselves up into races and castes and neighborhoods and nations all we like, but to the virus--if not, alas, to us--we are one glorious, shimmering, and singular species."

Using Government Powers to Control the Pandemic

Decades of attention to "legal preparedness" have largely ensured that federal, state, local, and Tribal governments possess significant legal authority to intervene and respond to the challenges faced by communities across the country due to COVID-19. When the pandemic arrived, however, governments were slow to use that authority, or sidestepped it altogether. Federal government leadership, coordination, and even unprecedented levels of Congressional spending have been insufficient to meet the national need. Authors saw too much political interference and too little competent coordination and regulatory enforcement.

The federal failure to respect and deploy expertise was front and center. It is difficult to imagine a successful federal response that does not put CDC in the lead, but to lead CDC needs the independence and resources to work with other relevant federal agencies, in developing rigorous, scientifically-grounded, and apolitical guidance. Similarly, incidents like the issuance of Emergency Use Authorizations (EUA) for chloroquine phosphate hydroxychloroquine sulfate after an errant presidential endorsement, and the looming conflict of interest arising from an election campaign coinciding with vaccine development, raise real questions about decision making at the FDA.

With the executive failure in mind, more than one of the authors advised Congress to urgently consider reorganizing CDC and FDA as independent agencies along the lines of the Federal Reserve, enhancing their capacity and rendering them less susceptible to political influence. Congress is well-advised to amend the Public Health Services Act to add transparency and accountability mechanisms that require the HHS Secretary and CDC Director to provide scientific support for guidance and orders responding to the pandemic. In the face of executive failure or deliberate suppression of information, it is urgent for Congress to mandate and fund efforts to assure the collection and dissemination of accurate data. To clear the way for better use of modern information technology in disease control, Congress would do well to

enact legislation that safeguards individuals from privacy and discrimination risks that arise from digital contact tracing and surveillance.

The state response has been hampered in some places by inter-branch and state-local fights over authority. State legislators, where necessary, should clarify the scope and authority of state executive officials to implement disease surveillance and data collection, testing and contact tracing, and physical distancing measures. State health departments should deploy these measures to protect the public's health and include transparent supporting scientific information with emergency orders implementing these measures. State legislatures should fund expansion of testing and tracing capacity and engage community-based organizations to facilitate connections with diverse local communities through multilingual and culturally sensitive outreach efforts. State legislation or executive orders also should provide incentives, funding, programmatic support, and legal protections to assist people with employment, housing, food access, physical and mental health care, social services, and income support, which will allow people to comply with public health guidance as well as mitigating economic and social harm. State health departments should collect detailed demographic data to enhance targeted COVID-19 response efforts and should provide privacy and antidiscrimination protection for data collected through surveillance or digital contact tracing.

Fulfilling Governmental Responsibilities in a Federal System

The division of authority among federal, state, local and Tribal governments – and between executives, legislatures and courts – is a strength of American governance. However, COVID-19 also exposed its weaknesses. There is room for creativity and responsiveness to local needs and values – but also high risk of confusion, infighting, and the breakdown of essential coordination. Leadership and the explicit delineation of roles and responsibility makes the difference in a crisis. For the last century at least, the federal government has provided broad expertise, clear guidelines and essential resources to state, Tribal and local governments, which have served as the front-line responders.

Under the Constitution, the President of the United States has primary responsibility for assuring that federal agencies respond effectively, and of amplifying and modeling compliance with federal advice. Given the manifest failure of the Trump administration, many of our authors called for changes in the organization and operation of the federal government. To help ensure that we are better prepared for the next pandemic, Congress and the President should jointly convene an independent commission of inquiry to investigate pandemic preparedness and the nation's response to COVID-19. Because the pandemic is global in a world where the U.S. should be a positive force, Congress should also pass a joint resolution to reverse the President's decision to withdraw the U.S. from the World Health Organization and continue funding that organization. Congress must also honor the federal government's trust responsibility and provide funding directly to American Indian and Native Alaskan Tribes, while sufficiently funding the Indian Health Service and Urban Indian Health Centers, as well as other Indian health programs.

There are also recommendations for state and local governments. They, too, must be guided, to the extent possible, by science. State orders should provide clarity as to the scientific basis that underlies them. State

orders should also incorporate equity considerations. In addition, states should not preempt local laws that provide greater protection against the pandemic, or that enhance economic security or civil rights. States should also strengthen home rule; and local governments should advocate for state legislation or ballot initiatives that do so. States should also enact laws that require them to consult with Tribes within their boundaries, and work with Tribal governments to enter into data sharing and mutual aid agreements, while respecting Tribal authority and jurisdiction to promote the health and welfare of their communities.

Financing and Delivering Health Care

The U.S. healthcare system continues to critically underperform across multiple primary dimensions including access, financing, delivery, and the integration of technology. COVID-19 both emphasized these existing failings and highlighted some second level problems. The pandemic and its impact on employment demonstrated the over-reliance of health care access and financing on the employer model: as millions of jobs were lost, the ranks of the uninsured swelled. Alternate public or private financing systems were unable to cope. Those without health insurance before COVID-19 suffered even more. The health of the disadvantaged, whether because of poverty, race, substance use, or congregate living, declined still further as the virus further exposed the inadequacy of the country's safety-net. Even for the insured, not all policies covered the tests and treatments necessary to combat COVID-19.

Medicaid is the key to solving many of the COVID-19 healthcare problems. Experts in the report urge Congress and the administration to step up with an enhanced Medicaid funding match during COVID-19 and its economic turmoil and also provide additional incentives to persuade hold-out states to finally expand Medicaid. For those who remain or wish to remain in private health insurance markets, our authors recommend that Congress should authorize COBRA subsidies to help workers and their families to maintain comprehensive coverage. Similarly, both the federal and state governments should ease access to their individual markets with Special Enrollment Periods and extended end-dates.

Federal legislation is urgently required to address deficiencies in health care coverage and costs relating to COVID-19 testing and treatment, including cost-sharing, balance-billing, and other impediments to care delivery. The federal government should increase its support for health care safety net providers by better targeting federal emergency provider grants, giving states greater Medicaid flexibility to help safety net providers, and helping uninsured patients gain access to the Provider Uninsured Claims Fund. The federal government should recognize that increased regulation and improved enforcement is necessary to protect nursing home residents and staff, yet there is no justification for exceptional rules that, for example, deny women their reproductive health during the pandemic or those in the LGBT communities access to HIV medication and gender confirmation services.

State governments should be aggressive in pursuing Medicaid waivers and other avenues to streamline application and enrollment processes and to increase eligibility and services. States should prioritize assistance to state safety net providers, expand their funding of telehealth programs, and use their own budgets to extend coverage to non-citizens. State legislators and governors should be conscious of the possibility that the Affordable Care Act will be invalidated in a case currently before the Supreme

Court, ¹¹ and make overdue changes to the affordability of their insurance markets by introducing a "public option" and stabilizing their insurance risk pools.

Local governments are, for the most part, observers in the health care funding debate, but they can do important things to make healthcare more accessible. For example, they can remove barriers to effective care for Substance Use Disorder by modifying zoning and licensing laws that create barriers to the establishment of and access to methadone treatment facilities.

Assuring Access to Medicines and Medical Supplies

The United States was unprepared for the surge in demand for basic medical equipment for testing, infection control and care. From the outset, there was a shortage of personal protective equipment like masks and gowns, and fears that ventilators would be next. Soon after there were shortages in swabs, reagents, pipettes and other supplies for testing. Between long-term cuts in federal staffing, poor leadership and political posturing, the federal government proved to be unready for shortages it had itself long predicted, and slow, ineffective, or even derelict in using its robust legal power to ramp up supplies. States, cities and health care providers, all of whom had trusted too much in federal preparation and taken too little responsibility for their own predictable needs, were left to scramble in an increasingly pricey competition with each other and the federal government.

The best long-term solution for future emergencies is to be better prepared for the short-term need. As the COVID-19 emergency eases, Congress should fund and require HHS to hire and manage the long-term staff and infrastructure to monitor, track, and proactively address deficiencies in the supply chain for essential medical supplies. When the next virus hits, we should have complete, up-to-date information on the supply chain, an ample Strategic National Stockpile, and a real plan to meet the surge in demand.

Governments and the law also have a role in supporting the development of new devices, treatments and vaccines. FDA should immediately beef up its guidance on alternative sources and reuse of scarce medical supplies. Even more important is for Congress to look closely at the substantial risk that social or political pressure – or just the overwhelming desire to do good – will influence the FDA to approve a vaccine too soon. While expediting the process is obviously vital, it is equally important to ensure that the final decision is made by scientists, not politicians facing an election. In particular, FDA should resist pressure to issue an Expanded Use Authorization for any new vaccine, and the time is now for Congress to consider banning EUAs for COVID-19 vaccines altogether. States can use their authority over the practice of medicine to prevent practitioners from prescribing untested and potentially dangerous drugs even if the FDA has given them its green light.

Protecting Workers and Families

Before COVID-19, it was obvious that the U.S. was failing to provide many low-income individuals and families safe and affordable housing, food security, job and income stability and workplace safety. Indeed, changes in law and policy in the past few years have further limited health and safety protections

and their enforcement.¹² While the pandemic has affected all families and workers, the most severe impact has been on those the system was already failing - people of color and low-income individuals, whose ranks include the majority of workers providing essential services and unable to shelter at home. Stable housing, safe working conditions, food and income security are all essential to health, and COVID-19 has made matters worse. Employers – and our society through our government – have done too little to protect essential workers and our vulnerable neighbors.

Many of the recommendations that flow from this assessment aim to address these socioeconomic determinants of health. Federal, state and local governments can all act to join our peer nations in providing universal, job-protected paid leave so that workers can afford to comply with quarantine and stay-at-home orders. The federal government can increase SNAP (food stamp) allotments, and widen eligibility for help. All levels of government can increase funding and widen eligibility for housing assistance of all kinds, and can maintain moratoria on evictions during and for a significant period of time after the COVID-19 crisis. OSHA can take more vigorous action, with Congressional prodding if necessary, to make sure every workplace is safe from COVID and future pandemics.

Taking on Disparities and Protecting Equal Rights

The COVID-19 pandemic has laid bare the life-and-death consequences of inadequate and discriminatory laws and policies such as unequal worker protections, divisive immigration policies, and uneven access to health care, to name a few. Health and racial disparities are being compounded by the COVID-19 pandemic, the government's response (or lack thereof), and discrimination in the private sector. Existing gaps in legal protections, the lack of knowledge, and widespread noncompliance with current laws are also contributing to COVID-19's impact. Additionally, the rollback of protections and access to services for immigrants and LGBT communities is contributing to the deepening of poverty, health disparities and lack of opportunity among these groups and their families. It is no surprise then that Black, Latinx, LGBT, persons with disabilities, incarcerated persons, those suffering from substance use, and immigrants are disproportionately impacted by both the economic and health toll of the pandemic.

The federal government can take important steps to ensure that persistent health and racial disparities and inequities are not further exacerbated in the response to COVID-19 and beyond. These include shoring up civil rights protections and offering clear guidance on various legal requirements, addressing immigrant and criminal justice detention and enforcement issues to minimize the spread of COVID-19, and solidifying or expanding resources and partnerships for organizations serving communities that are most at risk. Federal agencies such as the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Civil Rights should start by issuing clear, ongoing legal guidance on protections under the requirements of Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, and Section 1557 of the ACA, and other federal legislation protecting civil rights. Congress should ensure sufficient resources for federal agencies to assist with the outreach and enforcement of these protections

as well as encourage coordination with civil rights organizations to monitor compliance. Congress should also convene a commission or task force to study the causes of the racial and health disparities resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic to help assess future response policies.

To minimize additional risks of exposure to COVID-19, Congress and the federal administration should put an end to immigration detentions for nonviolent offenders, and specifically reduce or suspend enforcement around schools and health care facilitates. To ensure these families are not deterred from accessing health care and other critical benefits, Congress or the federal administration should reverse the public charge rule to allow for access to critical food and health care services during this economic downturn. The federal administration or Congress should affirm and reinstate prohibitions on discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity in health care, housing and other private settings. Finally, Congress should ensure funding under the CARES Act or other federal emergency funding is available to community-based organizations serving racial/ethnic communities, immigrants, LGBT, incarcerated populations, persons with disabilities, and other under-resourced and underserved communities.

State governments have an important role in advancing equitable policies that can work towards eliminating or limiting health disparities at the local and state levels. State policymakers should incorporate equity considerations and address the needs of disenfranchised and underserved communities in COVID-19 response through state guidance to local and state agencies and departments. State agencies and attorneys general should clarify the rights and legal protections of people who experience discrimination under appropriate federal and state laws. As states roll out contact tracing applications and processes, they must ensure privacy protections, utilize best practices in reaching underserved communities, and include multilingual information and services. Additionally, state governments must ensure adequate resources for state and local level community-based organizations serving racial/ethnic communities, immigrants, LGBT, incarcerated populations, persons with disabilities, and other underresourced and underserved communities. Further, states should allocate additional funding or realign budget priorities to include resources that support preventive health services.

Next Steps

The many legal issues presented by COVID -19 -- from lack of sufficient preparedness and attention to foreseeable challenges to the exercise of authority in response by public health officials across the nation and the disparate impact on certain populations -- have underscored the need for increased capacity to use law and policy to protect the public's health and achieve health equity. Public health agencies should have funding for, and access to, public health law expertise, whether embedded in the agency or dedicated to the agency at municipal, county or state attorney general offices.

Learning legal lessons will help the nation better weather pandemics to come, but COVID-19 is here now and there is no time to waste in getting it under control. Everyone in America can help by maintaining physical distance, wearing a mask, and vocally supporting an effective response rooted in apolitical good judgment, scientific evidence and public health expertise. Everyone in America can stand up for a

response that is not just effective but fair and generous to essential workers and the vulnerable among us. This country is still capable of great things, and the legal recommendations in *Assessing Legal Responses to COVID-19* offer a detailed roadmap to successful control of the pandemic and amelioration of its worst health, economic and social effects.⁶

We cannot settle for less.

Table 1: Assessing Legal Responses to COVID-19 Assessments and Authors (Available at https://www.publichealthlawwatch.org/covid19-policy-playbook)

A Chronological Overview of the Federal, State, and Local Response to COVID-19 Is Law Working? A Brief Look at the Legal Epidemiology of COVID-19 Tracing, Intrastate and Interstate Quarantine, and Isolation Mass Movement, Business and Property Control Measures Surveillance, Privacy, and App Tracking Conducting Elections During a Pandemic Executive Decision Making for COVID-19: Public Health Science through a Political Lens Federalism in Pandemic Prevention and Response Preemption, Public Health, and Equity in the Time of COVID-19 U.S. Withdrawal From the World Health Organization: Unconstitutional and Unhealthy Private Insurance Limits and Responses Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic Emergencies Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Assuring Access to Abortion Lindsay K. Cloud, JD, Katie Moran-McCabe, JD, Elizabeth Platt, JD, MA, Nadya Prood, MPH Evan Anderson, JD, MPH Evan Anderson, JD, MPH Evan Anderson, JD, MPH Ross D. Silverman, JD, MPH Lance Gable, JD, M	т :	A .1
State, and Local Response to COVID-19 Is Law Working? A Brief Look at the Legal Epidemiology of COVID-19 Evan Anderson, JD, PhD; Scott Burris, JD	Topics	Authors
Evan Anderson, JD, PhD; Scott Burris, JD		
Tracing, Intrastate and Interstate Quarantine, and Isolation Mass Movement, Business and Property Control Measures Surveillance, Privacy, and App Tracking Conducting Elections During a Pandemic Executive Decision Making for COVID-19: Public Health Science through a Political Lens Federalism in Pandemic Prevention and Response Preemption, Public Health, and Equity in the Time of COVID-19 Upholding Tribal Sovereignty and Promoting Tribal Public Health Capacity During the COVID-19 U.S. Withdrawal From the World Health Organization: Unconstitutional and Unhealthy Private Insurance Limits and Responses Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic Emergencies Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Era Assuring Access to Abortion Telehealth in the COVID-19 Pandemic Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Lance Gable, JD, MPH Lance Gable, JD, LLM Care JD, MPH Lance Gable, JD, MPH Lance Gable, JD, LLM Care JB, MPH Lance Gable, JD, MPH Lance Gable, JD, LLM Care JD, MPH Lance Gable, JD, LLM Care JD, MPH Lance Gable, JD, LLM Care JD, MPH Lance Gable, JD, LLM Lance Gable, JD, MPH Lance Gable, JD, LLM Care JD, MPH Lance Gable, JD, MPH Lance Gable, JD, MPH Lance Gable, JD, MPH Lance JD, MPH Lance JD, MPH Lance Gable, JD, MPH Lance		·
Tracing, Intrastate and Interstate Quarantine, and Isolation Mass Movement, Business and Property Control Measures Surveillance, Privacy, and App Tracking Conducting Elections During a Pandemic Executive Decision Making for COVID-19: Public Health Science through a Political Lens Prederalism in Pandemic Prevention and Response Preemption, Public Health, and Equity in the Time of COVID-19 Upholding Tribal Sovereignty and Promoting Tribal Public Health Capacity During the COVID-19 U.S. Withdrawal From the World Health Organization: Unconstitutional and Unhealthy Private Insurance Limits and Responses Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic Emergencies Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Telehealth in the COVID-19 Pandemic Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Lance Gable, JD, MPH Lance Gable, JD, MPH Lance Gable, JD, MPH Lance Gable, JD, MPA Devid J. Becker, JD Peter D. Jacobson, JD, MPH; Denise Chrysler, JD; Jessica Bresler, JD Lindsay F. Wiley, JD, MPH Kim Haddow, BA; Derek Carr, JD; Benjamin D. Winig, JD, MPA; Sabrina Adler, JD Aila Hoss, JD; Heather Tanana, JD, MPH Sarah Wetter, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Sarah Wetter, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Nicole Huberfeld, JD; Sidney Watson, JD Sara Rosenbaum, JD; Morgan Handley, JD Rachel Rebouché, JD, LLM Cason D. Schmit et al. Caces to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Lance Gable, JD, MPH Lance		Evan Anderson, JD, PhD; Scott Burris, JD
Control Measures Lance Gable, JD, MPH		
Mass Movement, Business and Property Control Measures Surveillance, Privacy, and App Tracking Conducting Elections During a Pandemic Executive Decision Making for COVID-19: Public Health Science through a Political Lens Federalism in Pandemic Prevention and Response Preemption, Public Health, and Equity in the Time of COVID-19 Upholding Tribal Sovereignty and Promoting Tribal Public Health Capacity During the COVID-19 U.S. Withdrawal From the World Health Organization: Unconstitutional and Unhealthy Private Insurance Limits and Responses Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic Emergencies Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Era Assuring Access to Abortion Telehealth in the COVID-19 Pandemic Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Lance Gable, JD, MPH Jennifer D. Oliva, JD, MBA David J. Becker, JD Peter D. Jacobson, JD, MPH; Denise Chrysler, JD; Jessica Bresler, JD Peter D. Jacobson, JD, MPH; Denise Chrysler, JD; Jessica Bresler, JD Peter D. Jacobson, JD, MPH; Denise Chrysler, JD; Jessica Bresler, JD Peter D. Jacobson, JD, MPH; Denise Chrysler, JD; Jessica Bresler, JD Peter D. Jacobson, JD, MPH; Denise Chrysler, JD; Jessica Bresler, JD Peter D. Jacobson, JD, MPH; Denise Chrysler, JD; Jessica Bresler, JD Peter D. Jacobson, JD, MPH; Denise Chrysler, JD; Jessica Bresler, JD Peter D. Jacobson, JD, MPH; Denise Chrysler, JD; Jessica Bresler, JD Peter D. Jacobson, JD, MPH; Denise Chrysler, JD; Jessica Bresler, JD Peter D. Jacobson, JD, MPH; Denise Chrysler, JD Peter D. Jacobson, JD, MPH; Denise Chrysler, JD Peter D. Jacobson, JD, MPH; Denise Chrysler, JD Aila Hoss, JD, MPH; Denise Chrysler, JD Aila Hoss, JD, MPH; Bric A. Friedman, JD, MPH Lindsay F. Wiley, JD, MPA L		Ross D. Silverman, JD, MPH
Control Measures Surveillance, Privacy, and App Tracking Conducting Elections During a Pandemic Executive Decision Making for COVID-19: Public Health Science through a Political Lens Federalism in Pandemic Prevention and Response Preemption, Public Health, and Equity in the Time of COVID-19 Upholding Tribal Sovereignty and Promoting Tribal Public Health Capacity During the COVID-19 U.S. Withdrawal From the World Health Organization: Unconstitutional and Unhealthy Private Insurance Limits and Responses Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic Emergencies Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Era Assuring Access to Abortion Telehealth in the COVID-19 Pandemic Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Limits Application: University July July July July July Lieberman, July July Lieberman, July MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman, July July Lieberman, July Krueger, July Lieberman,		
Surveillance, Privacy, and App Tracking Conducting Elections During a Pandemic Executive Decision Making for COVID-19: Public Health Science through a Political Lens Federalism in Pandemic Prevention and Response Preemption, Public Health, and Equity in the Time of COVID-19 Upholding Tribal Sovereignty and Promoting Tribal Public Health Capacity During the COVID-19 U.S. Withdrawal From the World Health Organization: Unconstitutional and Unhealthy Private Insurance Limits and Responses Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic Emergencies Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Cason D. Schmit et al. Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Limits JD, MPH; Denise Chrysler, JD, Jessica Bresler, JD Jestica	Mass Movement, Business and Property	Lance Gable, JD, MPH
Conducting Elections During a Pandemic Executive Decision Making for COVID-19: Public Health Science through a Political Lens Federalism in Pandemic Prevention and Response Preemption, Public Health, and Equity in the Time of COVID-19 Upholding Tribal Sovereignty and Promoting Tribal Public Health Capacity During the COVID-19 U.S. Withdrawal From the World Health Organization: Unconstitutional and Unhealthy Private Insurance Limits and Responses Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Era Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Cass to Abortion Telehealth in the COVID-19 Pandemic Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Lindsay F. Wiley, JD, MPH Kim Haddow, BA; Derek Carr, JD; Benjamin D. Winig, JD, MPA; Sabrina Adler, JD Winig, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Winig, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Winig, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Sarah Wetter, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Wicole Huberfeld, JD; Sidney Watson, JD Rachel Rebouché, JD, LLM Cason D. Schmit et al. Corey S. Davis, JD, MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman, Opioid Use Disorder Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental David J. Becker, JD Peter D. Jacobson, JD, MPH; Denise Chrysler, JD; Jessica Bresler, JD	Control Measures	
Executive Decision Making for COVID-19: Public Health Science through a Political Lens Federalism in Pandemic Prevention and Response Preemption, Public Health, and Equity in the Time of COVID-19 Upholding Tribal Sovereignty and Promoting Tribal Public Health Capacity During the COVID-19 U.S. Withdrawal From the World Health Organization: Unconstitutional and Unhealthy Private Insurance Limits and Responses Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic Emergencies Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Era Assuring Access to Abortion Telehealth in the COVID-19 Pandemic Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Lindsay F. Wiley, JD, MPH Kim Haddow, BA; Derek Carr, JD; Benjamin D. Winig, JD, MPA; Sabrina Adler, JD Aila Hoss, JD; Heather Tanana, JD, MPH Sarah Wetter, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Aila Hoss, JD; Heather Tanana, JD, MPH Sarah Wetter, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Sarah Wetter, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Nicole Huberfeld, JD; Sidney Watson, JD Assuring Access to Abortion Rachel Rebouché, JD, LLM Corey S. Davis, JD, MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman, JD Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental Peter D. Jacobson, JD, MPH; Denise Chrysler, JD; Jessica Bresler, JD	Surveillance, Privacy, and App Tracking	Jennifer D. Oliva, JD, MBA
Public Health Science through a Political Lens Federalism in Pandemic Prevention and Response Preemption, Public Health, and Equity in the Time of COVID-19 Upholding Tribal Sovereignty and Promoting Tribal Public Health Capacity During the COVID-19 U.S. Withdrawal From the World Health Organization: Unconstitutional and Unhealthy Private Insurance Limits and Responses Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic Emergencies Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Era Assuring Access to Abortion Telehealth in the COVID-19 Pandemic Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Limidsay F. Wiley, JD, MPH Kim Haddow, BA; Derek Carr, JD; Benjamin D. Winig, JD, MPA; Sabrina Adler, JD Aila Hoss, JD; Heather Tanana, JD, MPH Sarah Wetter, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Sarah Wetter, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Nicole Huberfeld, JD; Sidney Watson, JD Rachel Rebouché, JD, LLM Cason D. Schmit et al. Corey S. Davis, JD, MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman, JD Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental	Conducting Elections During a Pandemic	David J. Becker, JD
Federalism in Pandemic Prevention and Response Preemption, Public Health, and Equity in the Time of COVID-19 Upholding Tribal Sovereignty and Promoting Tribal Public Health Capacity During the COVID-19 U.S. Withdrawal From the World Health Organization: Unconstitutional and Unhealthy Private Insurance Limits and Responses Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic Emergencies Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Era Assuring Access to Abortion Telehealth in the COVID-19 Pandemic Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental Lindsay F. Wiley, JD, MPH Kim Haddow, BA; Derek Carr, JD; Benjamin D. Winig, JD, MPA; Sabrina Adler, JD	Executive Decision Making for COVID-19:	Peter D. Jacobson, JD, MPH; Denise Chrysler, JD;
Lindsay F. Wiley, JD, MPH	Public Health Science through a Political	Jessica Bresler, JD
Preemption, Public Health, and Equity in the Time of COVID-19 Upholding Tribal Sovereignty and Promoting Tribal Public Health Capacity During the COVID-19 U.S. Withdrawal From the World Health Organization: Unconstitutional and Unhealthy Private Insurance Limits and Responses Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic Emergencies Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Era Assuring Access to Abortion Telehealth in the COVID-19 Pandemic Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental Kim Haddow, BA; Derek Carr, JD; Benjamin D. Winig, JD, Winig, JD, Winig, JD, MPA; Sabrina Adler, JD Aila Hoss, JD; Heather Tanana, JD, MPH Sarah Wetter, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Cason D. Schmit et al. Corey S. Davis, JD, MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman, JD Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental	Lens	
Preemption, Public Health, and Equity in the Time of COVID-19 Upholding Tribal Sovereignty and Promoting Tribal Public Health Capacity During the COVID-19 U.S. Withdrawal From the World Health Organization: Unconstitutional and Unhealthy Private Insurance Limits and Responses Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic Emergencies Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Era Assuring Access to Abortion Telehealth in the COVID-19 Pandemic Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Limits and Equity in the COVID-19 Promoting Mental Kim Haddow, BA; Derek Carr, JD; Benjamin D. Winig, JD, MPA; Sabrina Adler, JD Aila Hoss, JD; Heather Tanana, JD, MPH Sarah Wetter, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Sar	Federalism in Pandemic Prevention and	Lindsay F. Wiley, JD, MPH
Time of COVID-19 Upholding Tribal Sovereignty and Promoting Tribal Public Health Capacity During the COVID-19 U.S. Withdrawal From the World Health Organization: Unconstitutional and Unhealthy Private Insurance Limits and Responses Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic Emergencies Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Era Assuring Access to Abortion Telehealth in the COVID-19 Pandemic Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Limits and Promoting Mental Winig, JD, MPA; Sabrina Adler, JD Aila Hoss, JD; Heather Tanana, JD, MPH Elizabeth Wetter, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Sarah Wetter, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Nicole Huberfeld, JD; Sidney Watson, JD Rachel Rebouché, JD; Sidney Watson, JD Cason D. Schmit et al. Corey S. Davis, JD, MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman, JD Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental	Response	
Time of COVID-19 Upholding Tribal Sovereignty and Promoting Tribal Public Health Capacity During the COVID-19 U.S. Withdrawal From the World Health Organization: Unconstitutional and Unhealthy Private Insurance Limits and Responses Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic Emergencies Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Era Assuring Access to Abortion Telehealth in the COVID-19 Pandemic Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Limits and Promoting Mental Winig, JD, MPA; Sabrina Adler, JD Aila Hoss, JD; Heather Tanana, JD, MPH Elizabeth Wetter, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Sarah Wetter, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Nicole Huberfeld, JD; Sidney Watson, JD Rachel Rebouché, JD; Sidney Watson, JD Cason D. Schmit et al. Corey S. Davis, JD, MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman, JD Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental	Preemption, Public Health, and Equity in the	Kim Haddow, BA; Derek Carr, JD; Benjamin D.
Tribal Public Health Capacity During the COVID-19 U.S. Withdrawal From the World Health Organization: Unconstitutional and Unhealthy Private Insurance Limits and Responses Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic Emergencies Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Era Assuring Access to Abortion Telehealth in the COVID-19 Pandemic Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental Sarah Wetter, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Sarah Wetter, JD, M		Winig, JD, MPA; Sabrina Adler, JD
U.S. Withdrawal From the World Health Organization: Unconstitutional and Unhealthy Private Insurance Limits and Responses Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic Emergencies Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Era Assuring Access to Abortion Telehealth in the COVID-19 Pandemic Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental Sarah Wetter, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Cason D. Schmit et al. Cason D. Schmit et al. Corey S. Davis, JD, MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman, JD Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental	Upholding Tribal Sovereignty and Promoting	Aila Hoss, JD; Heather Tanana, JD, MPH
U.S. Withdrawal From the World Health Organization: Unconstitutional and Unhealthy Private Insurance Limits and Responses Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic Emergencies Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Era Assuring Access to Abortion Telehealth in the COVID-19 Pandemic Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental Sarah Wetter, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD Blizabeth Weeks, J Nicole Huberfeld, JD; Sidney Watson, JD Rachel Rebouché, JD; Morgan Handley, JD Rachel Rebouché, JD, LLM Corey S. Davis, JD, MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman, JD Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental Jill Krueger, JD	Tribal Public Health Capacity During the	
Organization: Unconstitutional and Unhealthy Private Insurance Limits and Responses Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic Emergencies Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic Era Assuring Access to Abortion Telehealth in the COVID-19 Pandemic Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental Elizabeth Weeks, J Nicole Huberfeld, JD; Sidney Watson, JD Sara Rosenbaum, JD; Morgan Handley, JD Rachel Rebouché, JD, LLM Cason D. Schmit et al. Corey S. Davis, JD, MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman, JD Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental	COVID-19	
UnhealthyElizabeth Weeks, JMedicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic EmergenciesNicole Huberfeld, JD; Sidney Watson, JDCaring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic EraSara Rosenbaum, JD; Morgan Handley, JDAssuring Access to AbortionRachel Rebouché, JD, LLMTelehealth in the COVID-19 PandemicCason D. Schmit et al.Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use DisorderCorey S. Davis, JD, MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman, JDLegal Strategies for Promoting MentalJill Krueger, JD	U.S. Withdrawal From the World Health	Sarah Wetter, JD, MPH; Eric A. Friedman, JD
Private Insurance Limits and ResponsesElizabeth Weeks, JMedicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic EmergenciesNicole Huberfeld, JD; Sidney Watson, JDCaring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic EraSara Rosenbaum, JD; Morgan Handley, JDAssuring Access to AbortionRachel Rebouché, JD, LLMTelehealth in the COVID-19 PandemicCason D. Schmit et al.Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use DisorderCorey S. Davis, JD, MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman, JDLegal Strategies for Promoting MentalJill Krueger, JD	Organization: Unconstitutional and	
Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health and Economic EmergenciesNicole Huberfeld, JD; Sidney Watson, JDCaring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic EraSara Rosenbaum, JD; Morgan Handley, JDAssuring Access to AbortionRachel Rebouché, JD, LLMTelehealth in the COVID-19 PandemicCason D. Schmit et al.Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use DisorderCorey S. Davis, JD, MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman, JDLegal Strategies for Promoting MentalJill Krueger, JD	Unhealthy	
and Economic EmergenciesCaring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic EraSara Rosenbaum, JD; Morgan Handley, JDAssuring Access to AbortionRachel Rebouché, JD, LLMTelehealth in the COVID-19 PandemicCason D. Schmit et al.Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use DisorderCorey S. Davis, JD, MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman, JDLegal Strategies for Promoting MentalJill Krueger, JD	Private Insurance Limits and Responses	Elizabeth Weeks, J
Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic EraSara Rosenbaum, JD; Morgan Handley, JDAssuring Access to AbortionRachel Rebouché, JD, LLMTelehealth in the COVID-19 PandemicCason D. Schmit et al.Access to Treatment for Individuals withCorey S. Davis, JD, MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman, JDOpioid Use DisorderJDLegal Strategies for Promoting MentalJill Krueger, JD	Medicaid's Vital Role in Addressing Health	Nicole Huberfeld, JD; Sidney Watson, JD
Caring for the Uninsured in a Pandemic EraSara Rosenbaum, JD; Morgan Handley, JDAssuring Access to AbortionRachel Rebouché, JD, LLMTelehealth in the COVID-19 PandemicCason D. Schmit et al.Access to Treatment for Individuals withCorey S. Davis, JD, MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman, JDOpioid Use DisorderJDLegal Strategies for Promoting MentalJill Krueger, JD	and Economic Emergencies	
Assuring Access to AbortionRachel Rebouché, JD, LLMTelehealth in the COVID-19 PandemicCason D. Schmit et al.Access to Treatment for Individuals withCorey S. Davis, JD, MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman, JDLegal Strategies for Promoting MentalJill Krueger, JD		Sara Rosenbaum, JD; Morgan Handley, JD
Telehealth in the COVID-19 Pandemic Access to Treatment for Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental Cason D. Schmit et al. Corey S. Davis, JD, MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman, JD Jill Krueger, JD		
Opioid Use Disorder JD Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental Jill Krueger, JD		
Opioid Use Disorder JD Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental Jill Krueger, JD	Access to Treatment for Individuals with	Corey S. Davis, JD, MSPH; Amy Judd Lieberman,
Legal Strategies for Promoting Mental Jill Krueger, JD	Opioid Use Disorder	
		Jill Krueger, JD
Health and Wellbeing in the COVID-19		
Pandemic		
Implementation and Enforcement of Quality Tara Sklar, JD		Tara Sklar, JD
and Safety in Long-Term Care		, in the second of the second

COVID-19: State and Local Responses to	Michael S. Sinha, MD, JD, MPH
PPE Shortages	
Expanding Access to Patents for COVID-19	Jorge L. Contreras, JD
Drug and Vaccine Development and Access	Patricia J. Zettler, JD; Micah L. Berman, JD; ;
	Efthimios Parasidis, JD, MBE
Assuring Essential Medical Supplies During	Evan Anderson, JD, PhD; Scott Burris, JD
a Pandemic: Using Federal Law to Measure	
Need, Stimulate Production, and Coordinate	
Distribution	
Allocation of Scarce Medical Resources and	Lance Gable, JD, MPH
Crisis Standards of Care	
A Pandemic Meets a Housing Crisis	Courtney Lauren Anderson, JD, LLM
Protecting Workers that Provide Essential	Ruqaiijah Yearby, JD, MPH
Services	
Liability and Liability Shields	Nicolas P. Terry, LLM
Protecting Workers' Jobs and Income	Sharon Terman, JD
During COVID-19	
Using SNAP to Address Food Insecurity	Mathew Swinburne, JD
During the COVID-19 Pandemic	
COVID-19 Illustrates Need to Close the	Betsy Lawton, JD
Digital Divide	
COVID-19, Incarceration, and the Criminal	Jessica Bresler, JD; Leo Beletsky, MPH, JD
Legal System	C ' LK d MN'' LD
Supporting LGBT Communities in the	Craig J. Konnoth, M.Phil., J.D
COVID Pandemic	Wanda C Dama A ID
Immigration Law's Adverse Impact on	Wendy E. Parmet, JD
COVID-19 Protecting the Dights of Boomle with	Elizabeth Pendo, JD, Saint Louis University School
Protecting the Rights of People with Disabilities	of Law
Disabilities	UI Law
Fostering the Civil Rights of Health	Angela Harris, JD; Aysha Pamukcu, JD
Closing Reflection: The Endless Looping of	Patricia Williams
Public Health and Scientific Racism	1 autota vy iiitaitis
1 upite freattii anu selentifie racisiii	

REFERENCES

- 1. Savoia E, Lin L, Bernard D, Klein N, James LP, Guicciardi S. Public Health System Research in Public Health Emergency Preparedness in the United States (2009-2015): Actionable Knowledge Base. *Am J Public Health*. Sep 2017;107(S2):e1-e6.
- **2.** Farberman RK, McKillop M, Lieberman DA, et al. *The Impact of Chronic Underfunding on America's Public Health System: Trends, Risks, and Recommendations, 2020.* Washington, DC: Trust for America's Health;2020.

- **3.** Jacobson PD, Wasserman J, Botoseneanu A, Silverstein A, Wu HW. The Role of Law in Public Health Preparedness: Opportunities and Challenges. *Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law.* April 1, 2012 2012;37(2):297-328.
- **4.** Gostin LO, Wiley LF. *Public Health Law: Power, Duty, Restraint.* Third ed. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2016.
- **5.** Burris S, Kawachi I, Sarat A. Integrating Law and Social Epidemiology. *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics.* 2002;30:510-521.
- **6.** Burris S, de Guia S, Gable L, Levin DE, Parmet WE, Terry NP, eds. *Assessing Legal Responses to COVID-19*. Boston: Public Health Law Watch; 2020.
- 7. Gostin LO, Hodge JG, Jr. US Emergency Legal Responses to Novel Coronavirus: Balancing Public Health and Civil Liberties. *JAMA*. 2020.
- **8.** Parmet WE, Sinha MS. Covid-19 The Law and Limits of Quarantine. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2020.
- 9. Beletsky L, Parmet W, Burris S. *Advancing Public Health through the Law: The Role of Legal Academics*. Boston, MA: Northeastern University School of Law Program on Health Policy and Law;2012.
- **10.** Public Health Law Watch. COVID Law Briefings. 2020; https://www.publichealthlawwatch.org/covid19-briefings. Accessed August 6, 2020.
- 11. California, et al. v. Texas, et al., (U.S. 2020).
- 12. Brookings. Tracking deregulation in the Trump era. 2020; https://www.brookings.edu/interactives/tracking-deregulation-in-the-trump-era/. Accessed August 11, 2020.