

2022

Colistin-associated Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis reactions: a retrospective case-non-case pharmacovigilance study

Richard Tang

Vrishali L. Lopes

Aisling R. Caffrey

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/php_facpubs

The University of Rhode Island Faculty have made this article openly available.
Please let us know how Open Access to this research benefits you.

This is a pre-publication author manuscript of the final, published article.

Terms of Use

This article is made available under the terms and conditions applicable towards Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth in our [Terms of Use](#).

1 ORIGINAL ARTICLE

2 **Colistin-associated Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis reactions: A retrospective case-**

3 **non-case pharmacovigilance study**

4 **1. Abstract (count 198)**

5 **Background:** Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS) and toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) are life-threatening skin
6 reactions. Colistin is a last resort antibiotic with a historically poor safety profile. The association between colistin
7 and SJS/TEN has not been previously quantified.

8 **Methods:** We identified colistin and SJS/TEN adverse event reports from the Food and Drug Administration
9 Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) and calculated effect estimates using OpenEpi.

10 **Results:** From January 2013 through March 2021, 964 adverse events were reported for colistin. Colistin was listed
11 as a secondary suspect drug in 13 SJS/TEN adverse event reports (1.3%), with a reporting odds ratio of 29.6 (95%
12 confidence interval [CI] 17.1-51.1), and proportional reporting ratio of 29.2 (95% CI 17.0-50.2).

13 **Limitations:** The limitations that accompany any FAERS study include the voluntary nature of reporting, unclear
14 causal relationship between drug and adverse reaction, underreporting, and wide confidence intervals for rare
15 adverse events like SJS/TEN.

16 **Conclusion:** Colistin was not the primary suspect drug in any SJS/TEN adverse event reports. We did identify a
17 statistically significant safety signal for SJS/TEN with colistin as a secondary suspect drug. SJS/TEN is not currently
18 included in the colistin product label. This association should be further explored in other pharmacoepidemiologic
19 drug safety studies.

20 Manuscript text (word count 2,942)

21 3.1 Introduction

22 Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS) and toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) are both rare and life-threatening
23 skin reactions that can occur as an adverse event due to a medication or as a result of an infection. The distinction
24 between the two conditions is that SJS is defined as skin involvement of <10% of total body surface area (TBSA)
25 whereas TEN is defined as >30% of TBSA skin involvement. Skin involvement between the ranges of 10-30% are
26 considered SJS/TEN overlap.[1] For the purpose of this study, all SJS or TEN reactions will be referred to as
27 SJS/TEN. The cause of this reaction revolves around an immune complex mediated type-IV, subtype c,
28 hypersensitivity reaction involving T-cells.[2] This hypersensitivity has been associated with certain classes of
29 medications and possibly certain infections as well. Medications associated with SJS/TEN include, but are not
30 limited to, certain anticonvulsants, certain nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications (NSAIDs), allopurinol, and
31 certain antibiotics, including sulfonamides, penicillins, and cephalosporins.[3-5] Non-medication related SJS/TEN
32 reactions have been implicated in literature as well, suggesting that certain infections, such as human
33 immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, increase the risk of developing SJS/TEN.[3, 6]

34 SJS/TEN reactions are life threatening conditions with SJS mortality rates ranging from 5% up to 30%.[7]
35 The disease causes skin detachment and water loss which can lead to acute complications including infections of the
36 skin, pneumonia, and septicemia as well as dehydration, acute malnutrition, and multiple organ failure.[8] In
37 addition to these well-defined acute complications, SJS/TEN is now recognized to cause long term complications
38 even after initial resolution. Long-term sequelae include ocular, mucocutaneous, respiratory, gastrointestinal tract,
39 and psychological complications which ultimately impacts a patient's quality of life. [9, 10]

40 Colistin is a last resort antibiotic with a historically poor safety profile. The antibiotic belongs to the
41 polymyxin class in which each chemical compound is differentiated by their amino acid sequences and fatty acid
42 side chains. The two primary polymyxins used in clinical practice include polymyxin B and polymyxin E
43 (colistin).[11] Colistin is chiefly effective against strains of gram-negative bacilli such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*,
44 *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* that are resistant to other antibiotics. Off label
45 indications include a nebulized form of colistin for bronchiectasis in both cystic fibrosis (CF) and non-cystic fibrosis
46 patients and hospital-acquired or ventilator-associated pneumonia.[12] Previous pharmacovigilance studies using the
47 Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Adverse Events Reporting System (FAERS), as well as other health outcomes

48 studies, have demonstrated a strong association between colistin and nephrotoxicity especially with increasing
49 cumulative doses of colistin. Colistin, as well as polymyxin B, have both been reintroduced into the antimicrobial
50 armory as multidrug-resistant bacteria are becoming both more prevalent and difficult to treat.[11-14] Due to the
51 known toxicity of colistin and increased risk of SJS/TEN with other antibiotics[1,3-6], we investigated SJS/TEN
52 reporting rates with colistin utilizing FAERS data in this case-non-case study, a study design used specifically to
53 analyze the disproportionality of drug safety events in pharmacovigilance databases.

54

55 3.2 Methods

56 In this retrospective case-non-case study, we analyzed adverse event reports from the FAERS data for the
57 period of January 2013 through March 2021 (analysis date August 2021). Case-non-case studies compares cases of
58 an adverse reaction of interest, in this case SJS/TEN, compared to all other reported reactions, considered non-cases.
59 The FAERS database contains reports of adverse events from the FDA's post-marketing safety surveillance program
60 and is freely accessible to the public. Ethics approval was not required, as the study utilized a publicly available data
61 source that does not contain any identifiable information. Adverse events are coded under Medical Dictionary for
62 Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) terms, and we included the MedDRA terms Stevens-Johnson syndrome, toxic
63 epidermal necrolysis, and SJS-TEN overlap[15] While manufacturers are mandated by law to report certain adverse
64 events to FAERS, healthcare professionals and patients themselves are able to voluntarily submit adverse event
65 reports to FAERS. [16]

66 We excluded duplicate reports from the analysis, as well as follow-up reports, and reports missing date,
67 gender, or age. Broad search terms were used to identify reports with the antibiotic of interest, colistin, either as a
68 primary or secondary suspect drug. Multiple forms and brands of colistin were referenced from DrugBank and
69 utilized in our search.[17] The following drug names were included: colistin, colimycine, colistimethate, colomycin,
70 coly-mycin. A secondary analysis evaluating polymyxin B and SJS/TEN reactions was conducted. We compared
71 age, type of reaction, and sex between colistin reports with all other reports, using a t-test, Chi-square test, or
72 Fisher's Exact test as appropriate. We also assessed time to onset, other adverse events, outcomes, as well as all
73 primary and secondary suspect drugs for colistin and SJS/TEN reports. Reporting odds ratio (ROR), proportional
74 reporting ratio (PRR), and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated for SJS/TEN reactions

75 with colistin and polymyxin B, as compared with all other medications, using OpenEpi (version 3.01).[18] ROR and
76 PRR confidence intervals which did not contain 1.0 were considered statistically significant.

77

78 3.3 Results

79 Over the study period of January 2013 through March 2021, there were a total of 8,102,577 adverse event
80 reports, and 0.03% (n=3,760) listed SJS/TEN reactions, with 13 of those reports listing colistin as a secondary
81 suspect drug (0.3%; 1 report listed polymyxin B, 0.03%). Patients with SJS/TEN reports listing colistin as a
82 secondary suspect drug were significantly younger than SJS/TEN reports listing other drugs (mean age 38.5 versus
83 51.7, p=0.04), and more commonly male (84.6% versus 44.1%, p=0.01; Table 1). Most reports were cases of SJS for
84 both colistin (92.3%) and other drugs (61.2%).

85 For the 13 adverse event reports of SJS/TEN listing colistin, Table 2 describes the primary and secondary
86 suspect drugs from those reports, as well as the time to onset of SJS/TEN, co-occurring adverse events, and clinical
87 outcomes. Colistin was a secondary suspect drug in all reports, and 11 other medications were listed as primary
88 suspect drugs, 6 (54.5%) of which were antibiotics, antivirals, or antifungals. The mean time to onset was 10.6 days
89 (standard deviation 2.6 days; median of 11 days, interquartile range 10-13 days).

90 Co-occurring adverse events included 8 (61.5%) with other skin problems, 2 (15.4%) with multiple-organ
91 failure, 4 (30.8%) with pancytopenia, and 5 (38.5%) with no other adverse events. None of the report listed
92 nephrotoxicity, impaired renal function, or renal failure. Outcomes for 12 of the 13 cases were recorded, which
93 included 6 (46.2%) patients who died and 6 (46.2%) were listed as life-threatening. The most common other
94 secondary suspect drugs (n=38) included amphotericin B (n=12, 92.4%), ciprofloxacin (n=11, 84.6%), esomeprazole
95 (n=9, 69.2%), fluconazole (n=8, 61.5%), and teicoplanin (n=7, 53.8%).

96 Colistin had a statistically significant ROR of 29.6 (95% CI 17.1-51.1) and a statistically significant PRR of
97 29.2 (95% CI 17.0-50.2; Table 3) as a secondary suspect drug for SJS/TEN. There were 89 adverse event reports for
98 polymyxin B with one (1.1%) report of SJS/TEN, resulting in a statistically significant ROR of 24.7 (95% CI 3.4-
99 176.4) and statistically significant PRR of 24.3 (95% CI 3.4-170.7).

100

101 3.4 Discussion

102 The results of our study with recent FAERS data show that colistin was not listed as a primary suspect drug
103 for any SJS/TEN adverse event reports, and therefore this association could not be assessed. When evaluating
104 SJS/TEN adverse event reports where colistin was listed as a secondary suspect drug, reporting rates were almost 30
105 times higher compared with all other drugs. Existing literature does not mention any association between SJS/TEN
106 and colistin. Further, this reaction is not listed in colistin package inserts. [19-22] A similar association was
107 observed with polymyxin B, a 25 times higher reporting rate than other drugs. However, there was only one report
108 of SJS/TEN and polymyxin B, resulting in a wide confidence interval which limits any conclusions which can be
109 made from this finding.

110 Though colistin was not the primary suspect drug in any of the 13 SJS/TEN reports, 85% of report had a
111 different primary suspect drug. The secondary suspect drug list consisted of 39 unique drugs, including antibiotics,
112 antivirals, antifungals, proton pump inhibitors or 5-HT3 antagonists. Interestingly, no antiepileptics were listed as
113 primary or secondary suspect drugs among the 13 reports. The most common secondary suspect drugs were
114 amphotericin B (n=12, 92.4%) and ciprofloxacin (n=11, 84.6%), which both include warnings about SJS/TEN
115 reactions in their package inserts [23,24]. Many of the secondary suspect drugs have also been identified as
116 increasing the risk of SJS/TEN using the algorithm of drug causality for epidermal necrolysis (ALDEN). As colistin
117 is used in combination with other drugs associated with SJS/TEN, it is not possible to study the drug safety of
118 colistin alone in observational studies. However, it is important to note the possibility that certain combinations of
119 antibiotics, or antibiotics and antifungals, may increase the risk of SJS/TEN compared with administration of those
120 therapies alone. It will be important for future studies to assess risk of SJS/TEN in the context of combination
121 therapies, relative to the risk of these therapies alone or in other combinations. Such studies would need to assess the
122 incidence of SJS/TEN in patients with serious infections receiving antibiotic regimens which include colistin versus
123 the same/similar regimens without colistin.

124 Studies have shown with allopurinol and its active metabolite oxipurinol that prior impaired renal function
125 can increase the severity of skin reactions such as SJS/TEN [25]. As nephrotoxicity is a well-recognized drug safety
126 issue with colistin, nephrotoxic effects could impact the risk and severity of SJS/TEN reactions due colistin itself, or
127 due to co-administered drugs which carry the risk of SJS/TEN, including amphotericin B and ciprofloxacin.
128 However, nephrotoxicity, or impaired renal function, were not listed as other adverse events in any of the SJS/TEN
129 adverse event reports, limiting the assessment of these effects.

130 The Weber effect states that adverse event reporting for a drug is at its highest for the first two years post-
131 marketing approval and begins to drop off thereafter. Interestingly, colistin has been in use clinically for roughly 60
132 years and still has significant reporting rates for SJS/TEN in the recent FAERS data analyzed.[25] One explanation
133 is that a decline in reporting may occur mainly with clinically mild adverse events, while more serious events are
134 consistently reported year to year.[26] Further, since the Weber effect was first described in 1984, adverse event
135 reporting systems have been modernized, and are now more accessible and streamlined, leading to greater adverse
136 event reporting.[27] Although there is conflicting evidence on the continued validity of the Weber effect, some
137 studies have found that the Weber effect is outdated and may not apply to current day adverse event reporting
138 systems.[27-30]

139 Although colistin has been used for around 60 years, its time on the market should not discredit new safety
140 signals. FAERS has been shown to identify previously unknown reactions, even for older medications that have
141 been on the market for decades. Among 233 signals identified from FAERS between 2008 and 2014, most safety
142 signal were associated with newer drugs on the market for less than 5 years (76, 32.6%), however some signals were
143 identified for drugs on the market for 20 years or more (63, 27.0%).[31] One of these signals was mercaptopurine-
144 associated hepatosplenic T-cell lymphoma (HSTCL). Mercaptopurine was in use for 57 years at the time of signal
145 detection and the newly recognized adverse event led to product labeling updates.[32, 33] Similarly, conjugated
146 estrogens were in use for 67 years at the time of signal detection for angioedema, that led to labeling changes.[34]

147 SJS/TEN is a rare condition, with an estimated annual incidence rate of 1 to 5 cases in 1,000,000
148 individuals, with even higher rates in adults 65 and older which may be due to higher rates of medication use in
149 older populations.[35-40] The average age for the SJS/TEN reports with colistin as a secondary suspect drug was
150 38.5 years old. These younger cases may represent a particular patient population at risk of serious infection, such as
151 individuals with CF, who are frequently treated with multiple antibiotics/antifungals and therefore represent an at-
152 risk population if there exists a greater risk of SJS/TEN due to combinations of medications associated with
153 SJS/TEN [13]. FAERS is an effective data source for adverse event signal detection, particularly for rare outcomes
154 and rare exposures, meaning rare adverse events, such as SJS/TEN, and last-line therapies with limited use, such as
155 colistin. Even in very large observational studies (e.g. 10 million individuals or more), there may be too few events
156 to detect an association with any specific medication, especially one that is less commonly used. FAERS, however,

157 has been able to detect safety signals for very rare adverse events, for example, mercaptopurine-related HSTCL as
158 the estimated annual incidence of HSTCL is only 0.3 per million person-years. [29, 30, 32, 38]

159 The main concerns for colistin associated adverse reactions include nephrotoxicity, neurotoxicity, and
160 respiratory arrest, most of which are dose dependent.[19-22] A previous FAERS study investigated the most
161 common antibiotics associated with acute kidney injury (AKI) from 2015 through 2017. They reported that colistin
162 had the greatest proportion of AKI reports, with a statistically significant ROR of 33.10 (CI 21.24-51.56),
163 representing nearly 25% of all colistin reports. The study also highlighted the fact that previous studies of AKI
164 reactions have only included medications with the greatest total number of AKI reports, which ultimately excluded
165 colistin due to its limited use and corresponding lower number of total reports.[13]

166 Due to colistin's well-known adverse effect profile in terms of nephrotoxicity and neurotoxicity, it is
167 important to consider the possibility that certain adverse effects with colistin may be masked by other drugs
168 previously shown to be associated with SJS/TEN, such as penicillin, antiepileptics, antipyretics, analgesics, and/or
169 the infection itself. This may explain why colistin was recorded as a secondary suspect drug in all 13 reports of
170 SJS/TEN instead of a primary suspect drug. Due to the fact that colistin is often used with other antibiotics,
171 antivirals, antifungals, and other supportive medications that have been associated with SJS/TEN, the reaction is
172 more likely to be attributed to those medications with such established risk.

173 Masking has led to missed signal detection, as evidence from a systemic masking analysis using the
174 EudraVigilance database of the European Medicines Agency.[42] In this study, ceftriaxone was identified as the
175 drug with the highest masking effect for anaphylactic shock due to its disproportionate amount of reports. After
176 removal of ceftriaxone reports, they unmasked an association of fusafungine with anaphylactic shock. This masked
177 safety signal was detected three years prior to standard signal detection, which eventually led to regulatory action
178 and market withdrawal. This may explain why colistin was recorded as a secondary suspect drug in all 13 reports of
179 SJS/TEN instead of a primary suspect drug. Another important aspect of masking to consider is intra-drug masking
180 or event-competition bias, where disproportionately reported adverse events for a drug can hide other safety
181 concerns for that same drug. This was observed with statins when reports of commonly reported
182 rhabdomyolysis/myopathy were removed from a French pharmacovigilance research database (1986 to 2001) and 11
183 new signals of disproportionate reporting were identified.[43] Similarly, colistin signals may have been masked due
184 to its disproportionately reported adverse events of nephrotoxicity (n=40, 7.2%).

185 The limitations of this study relate to the data source and therefore affect all studies utilizing FAERS data.
186 Though these limitations are previously well-described and clearly explained on the FAERS website, [16,44-48] in
187 summary they include (1) the voluntary nature of reporting, (2) hence the reports do not represent estimates of the
188 incidence nor prevalence of adverse reactions with the medications of interest, (3) a low threshold for relatedness of
189 the reaction to the medication (e.g. no requirement that the relationship be clearly causal or that reports utilize
190 ALDEN), (4) missing data, (5) misclassification of medications and/or reactions, (6) underreporting, (7) low adverse
191 report counts for rare events leading to wide confidence intervals, and (8) confounding by co-medications which
192 may or may not (missing data) be included in the report. Patients being treated with colistin are likely also being
193 treated with other antibiotics, as well as supportive care medications such as antipyretics or analgesics which have
194 been linked to causing SJS/TEN, as demonstrated with the 11 primary suspect drugs and 39 secondary suspect
195 drugs.[49,50] As such, these medications may themselves have been responsible for the SJS/TEN reaction, either
196 alone or in combination with colistin or other medications. Due to the low number of SJS/TEN reports with colistin
197 as a secondary suspect drug (n=13), we observed a wide confidence interval. However, even at the low end of the
198 confidence interval, the reporting rates for SJS/TEN with colistin as a secondary suspect drug was nearly 10 times
199 higher than with other medications, indicating a potential safety signal.

200

201 **3.5 Conclusions**

202 In our pharmacovigilance disproportionality analysis, colistin was not listed as a primary suspect drug for
203 any SJS/TEN adverse event reports, and therefore this association could not be assessed. We did identify a
204 statistically significant safety signal for SJS/TEN with colistin as a secondary suspect drug, where reporting rates
205 were 30 times higher compared with all other medications. SJS/TEN is not currently included in the colistin product
206 label. Evidence of this safety signal should be assessed further in other pharmacoepidemiologic drug safety studies
207 and among other study populations. While the use of a pharmacovigilance database such as FAERS is a reasonable
208 first step in the signal detection process, in this particular case, the database did not have any cases of SJS/TEN
209 listing colistin as a primary suspect drug. Future studies assessing this association will need to utilize large data
210 sources with highly accurate exposure data which allows for either the exclusion or adjustment of concomitant
211 medications which may also increase the risk of SJS/TEN. Should the association be substantiated, provider

212 education and proper adverse reaction monitoring will be key for early detection to minimize the long-term effects

213 of these serious skin reactions.

214

215 **4. References**

- 216 1. Lerch, M., Mainetti, C., Terziroli Beretta-Piccoli, B., & Harr, T. (2018). Current Perspectives on Stevens-
217 Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis. *Clinical reviews in allergy & immunology*, 54(1), 147–176.
218 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12016-017-8654-z>
- 219 2. Czarnobilska E, Obtulowicz K, Wsołek K. Reakcja alergiczna typu IV i jej podtypy [Type IV of
220 hypersensitivity and its subtypes]. *Przegl Lek.* 2007;64(7-8):506-508.
- 221 3. Oakley AM, Krishnamurthy K. Stevens Johnson Syndrome (Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis) [Updated 2019
222 Dec 29]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2020 Jan-. Available from:
223 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK459323/>
- 224 4. Borrelli, E. P., Lee, E. Y., Descoteaux, A. M., Kogut, S. J., & Caffrey, A. R. (2018). Stevens-Johnson
225 syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis with antiepileptic drugs: An analysis of the US Food and Drug
226 Administration Adverse Event Reporting System. *Epilepsia*, 59(12), 2318–2324. <https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.14591>
- 227 5. Kardaun SH, Sekula P, Valeyrie-Allanore L, et al. Drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms
228 (DRESS): an original multisystem adverse drug reaction. Results from the prospective RegiSCAR study. *Br J*
229 *Dermatol.* 2013;169(5):1071-1080. doi:10.1111/bjd.12501
- 230 6. Kim, H. I., Kim, S. W., Park, G. Y., Kwon, E. G., Kim, H. H., Jeong, J. Y., Chang, H. H., Lee, J. M., &
231 Kim, N. S. (2012). Causes and treatment outcomes of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis in
232 82 adult patients. *The Korean journal of internal medicine*, 27(2), 203–210.
233 <https://doi.org/10.3904/kjim.2012.27.2.203>
- 234 7. Hsu DY, Brieva J, Silverberg NB, Silverberg JI. Morbidity and Mortality of Stevens-Johnson Syndrome
235 and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis in United States Adults. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2016 Jul;136(7):1387-1397. doi:
236 10.1016/j.jid.2016.03.023. Epub 2016 Mar 30. PubMed PMID: 27039263.
- 237 8. Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis - Genetics Home Reference - NIH. (2020, May 12).
238 Retrieved May 18, 2020, from [https://ghr.nlm.nih.gov/condition/stevens-johnson-syndrome-toxic-epidermal-](https://ghr.nlm.nih.gov/condition/stevens-johnson-syndrome-toxic-epidermal-necrolysis#definition)
239 [necrolysis#definition](https://ghr.nlm.nih.gov/condition/stevens-johnson-syndrome-toxic-epidermal-necrolysis#definition)
- 240 9. Lee, H. Y., Walsh, S. A., & Creamer, D. (2017). Long-term complications of Stevens-Johnson
241 syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis (SJS/TEN): the spectrum of chronic problems in patients who survive an
242 episode of SJS/TEN necessitates multidisciplinary follow-up. *The British journal of dermatology*, 177(4), 924–935.
243 <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjd.15360>

- 244 10. Yang, C. W., Cho, Y. T., Chen, K. L., Chen, Y. C., Song, H. L., & Chu, C. Y. (2016). Long-term Sequelae
245 of Stevens-Johnson Syndrome/Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis. *Acta dermato-venereologica*, *96*(4), 525–529.
246 <https://doi.org/10.2340/00015555-2295>
- 247 11. Matthew E. Falagas, Sofia K. Kasiakou, Louis D. Saravolatz, Colistin: The Revival of Polymyxins for the
248 Management of Multidrug-Resistant Gram-Negative Bacterial Infections, *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, Volume 40,
249 Issue 9, 1 May 2005, Pages 1333–1341, <https://doi.org/10.1086/429323>
- 250 12. Colistimethate. In: Lexi-drugs online [database on the Internet]. Hudson (OH): Lexicomp, Inc.; 2016
251 [updated 18 May 2020; cited 19 May 2020]. Available from: <http://online.lexi.com>. Subscription required to view.
- 252 13. Patek, T. M., Teng, C., Kennedy, K. E., Alvarez, C. A., & Frei, C. R. (2019). Comparing Acute Kidney
253 Injury Reports Among Antibiotics: A Pharmacovigilance Study of the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System
254 (FAERS). *Drug Safety*, *43*(1), 17–22. doi: 10.1007/s40264-019-00873-8
- 255 14. Spapen, H., Jacobs, R., Van Gorp, V., Troubleyn, J., & Honoré, P. M. (2011). Renal and neurological side
256 effects of colistin in critically ill patients. *Annals of intensive care*, *1*(1), 14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2110-5820-1-14>
- 257 15. **Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. (2018, June 04). Questions and Answers on FDA's Adverse
258 Event Reporting System (FAERS). Retrieved June 11, 2020, from
259 [https://www.fda.gov/drugs/surveillance/questions-and-answers-fdas-adverse-event-reporting-](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/surveillance/questions-and-answers-fdas-adverse-event-reporting-system-faers)
260 [system-faers](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/surveillance/questions-and-answers-fdas-adverse-event-reporting-system-faers)
- 261 *Summarizes the purpose and use of FAERS as well as highlights the strengths and limitations of the*
262 *database.*
- 263 16. Chedid, V., Vijayvargiya, P., & Camilleri, M. (2018). Advantages and Limitations of the Federal Adverse
264 Events Reporting System in Assessing Adverse Event Reporting for Eluxadoline. *Clinical gastroenterology and*
265 *hepatology : the official clinical practice journal of the American Gastroenterological Association*, *16*(3), 336–338.
266 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2017.11.025>
- 267 17. Colistin. (2020, March 15). Retrieved March 16, 2020, from <https://www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB00803>
- 268 18. Dean AG, Sullivan KM, Soe MM. OpenEpi: Open Source Epidemiologic Statistics for Public Health,
269 Version. www.OpenEpi.com, updated 2013/04/06, accessed 2020/03/19.
- 270 19. National Library of Medicine (U.S.). (2005). *DailyMed*. Bethesda, MD: U.S. National Library of Medicine,
271 National Institutes of Health, Health & Human Services.

- 272 20. **Colistin [package insert]. Toronto, ON Canada: Fresenius Kabi Canada Ltd.; 2017.
- 273 *The reference demonstrates that the package insert for colistin does not contain information for SJS/TEN.*
- 274 21. Coly-Mycin M Parenteral [package insert]. Rochester, MI: Parkedale Pharmaceuticals Inc.; 2006.
- 275 22. IBM Micromedex® DRUGDEX® (electronic version). IBM Watson Health, Greenwood Village,
276 Colorado, USA. Available at: <https://www.micromedexsolutions.com/> (cited: 05/28/2020).
- 277 23. Ciprofloxacin [Package insert]. Whippany, NJ: Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc; 2016.
- 278 24. Amphotericin B [Package insert]. Big Flats, NY: X-Gen Pharmaceuticals Inc; 2009.
- 279 25. Chung WH, Chang WC, Stocker SL, et al. Insights into the poor prognosis of allopurinol-induced severe
280 cutaneous adverse reactions: the impact of renal insufficiency, high plasma levels of oxypurinol and granulysin. *Ann*
281 *Rheum Dis.* 2015;74(12):2157-2164. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2014-205577
- 282 26. Weber J. Epidemiology of adverse reactions to nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *Adv Inflamm Res.*
283 1984;6:1–7.
- 284 27. Hoffman, K. B., Dimbil, M., Erdman, C. B., Tatonetti, N. P., & Overstreet, B. M. (2014). The Weber effect
285 and the United States Food and Drug Administration's Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS): analysis of sixty-
286 two drugs approved from 2006 to 2010. *Drug safety*, 37(4), 283–294. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-014-0150-2>
- 287 28. Chhabra, P., Chen, X., & Weiss, S. R. (2013). Adverse event reporting patterns of newly approved drugs in
288 the USA in 2006: an analysis of FDA Adverse Event Reporting System data. *Drug safety*, 36(11), 1117–1123.
289 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-013-0115-x>
- 290 29. Hartnell, N. R., & Wilson, J. P. (2004). Replication of the Weber effect using postmarketing adverse event
291 reports voluntarily submitted to the United States Food and Drug Administration. *Pharmacotherapy*, 24(6), 743–
292 749. <https://doi.org/10.1592/phco.24.8.743.36068>
- 293 30. Arora A, Jalali RK, Vohora D. Relevance of the Weber effect in contemporary pharmacovigilance of
294 oncology drugs. *Ther Clin Risk Manag.* 2017;13:1195-1203
295 <https://doi.org/10.2147/TCRM.S137144>
- 296 31. **Fukazawa, C, Hinomura, Y, Kaneko, M, Narukawa, M. Significance of data mining in routine signal
297 detection: Analysis based on the safety signals identified by the FDA. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug*
298 *Saf.* 2018; 27: 1402– 1408. <https://doi-org.uri.idm.oclc.org/10.1002/pds.4672>

299 [The article supports the possibility of late signal detections for well-established drugs that have been on the](#)
 300 [market for an extended period of time.](#)

301 32. *Drug Safety communication. Available at [http://wayback.archive-](http://wayback.archive-it.org/7993/20171115033743/https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm250913.htm)
 302 [it.org/7993/20171115033743/https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm250913.htm](https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm250913.htm). Accessed August 7, 2018.

303 *This reference demonstrates the strengths of FAERs to detect safety signals for rare adverse events.*

304 33. Mercaptopurine (Purinethol) Labeling approved May 27, 2011 (PDF - 60KB). Available
 305 at https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2011/009053s032lbl.pdf. Accessed August 7, 2018.34.

306 Conjugated estrogens (Premarin) labelling approved October 28, 2011 (PDF - 310KB). Available
 307 at https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2011/004782s162s164s167lb.pdf. Accessed August 7,

308 2018

309 35. Orphan Drug Act of 1983. Pub L. No. 97–414, 96 Stat. 2049.

310 36. FAQs About Rare Diseases. (2017, November 30). Retrieved July 21, 2020, from
 311 <https://rarediseases.info.nih.gov/diseases/pages/31/faqs-about-rare-diseases>

312 37. Rare diseases. (2020, June 24). Retrieved July 21, 2020, from [https://ec.europa.eu/info/research-and-](https://ec.europa.eu/info/research-and-innovation/research-area/health-research-and-innovation/rare-diseases_en)
 313 [innovation/research-area/health-research-and-innovation/rare-diseases_en](https://ec.europa.eu/info/research-and-innovation/research-area/health-research-and-innovation/rare-diseases_en)

314 38. White, K. D., Abe, R., Ardern-Jones, M., Beachkofsky, T., Bouchard, C., Carleton, B., Chodosh, J.,
 315 Cibotti, R., Davis, R., Denny, J. C., Dodiuk-Gad, R. P., Ergen, E. N., Goldman, J. L., Holmes, J. H., 4th, Hung, S. I.,
 316 Lacouture, M. E., Lehloenya, R. J., Mallal, S., Manolio, T. A., Micheletti, R. G., ... Phillips, E. J. (2018). SJS/TEN
 317 2017: Building Multidisciplinary Networks to Drive Science and Translation. *The journal of allergy and clinical*
 318 *immunology. In practice*, 6(1), 38–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2017.11.023>

319 39. Chan, H. L., Stern, R. S., Arndt, K. A., Langlois, J., Jick, S. S., Jick, H., & Walker, A. M. (1990). The
 320 incidence of erythema multiforme, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, and toxic epidermal necrolysis. A population-based
 321 study with particular reference to reactions caused by drugs among outpatients. *Archives of dermatology*, 126(1),
 322 43–47.

323 40. Roujeau, J. C., Guillaume, J. C., Fabre, J. P., Penso, D., Fléchet, M. L., & Girre, J. P. (1990). Toxic
 324 epidermal necrolysis (Lyell syndrome). Incidence and drug etiology in France, 1981-1985. *Archives of*
 325 *dermatology*, 126(1), 37–42. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archderm.126.1.37>

- 326 41. Montgomery, M., van Santen, M. M., Biemond, B. J., Diamond, R. H., & Pals, S. T. (2015). Hepatosplenic
327 T-Cell Lymphoma: A Population-Based Study Assessing Incidence and Association With Immune-Mediated
328 Disease. *Gastroenterology & hepatology*, *11*(3), 160–163.
- 329 42. *Hauben, M., and Maignen, F. (2017) Does serious consequential masking exist? An
330 update. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf*, *26*: 727– 729. doi: [10.1002/pds.4209](https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4209).
331 *This study demonstrated the significance of masking for serious adverse events in signal detection.*
- 332 43. Salvo, F., Leborgne, F., Thiessard, F., Moore, N., Bégaud, B., & Pariente, A. (2013). A potential event-
333 competition bias in safety signal detection: results from a spontaneous reporting research database in France. *Drug*
334 *safety*, *36*(7), 565–572. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-013-0063-5>
- 335 44. Rodriguez EM, Staffa JA, Graham DJ. The role of databases in drug postmarketing surveillance.
336 *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf*. 2001;*10*(5):407-10.
- 337 45. Ahmad SR. Adverse drug event monitoring at the Food and Drug Administration. *J Gen Intern Med*.
338 2003;*18*(1):57-60.
- 339 46. Hartnell NR, Wilson JP. Replication of the Weber effect using postmarketing adverse event reports
340 voluntarily submitted to the United States Food and Drug Administration. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2004;*24*(6):743-9.
- 341 47. Rothman KJ, Lanes S, Sack ST. Reporting odds ratio and its advantages over the proportional reporting
342 ratio. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf*. 2004;*13*(5):519-23.
- 343 48. U.S. Food & Drug Administration. Questions and answers on FDA's adverse event reporting system
344 (FAERS). U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2018.
- 345 49. Abdulah, R., Suwandiman, T. F., Handayani, N., Destiani, D. P., Suwantika, A. A., Barliana, M. I., &
346 Lestari, K. (2017). Incidence, causative drugs, and economic consequences of drug-induced SJS, TEN, and SJS-
347 TEN overlap and potential drug-drug interactions during treatment: a retrospective analysis at an Indonesian referral
348 hospital. *Therapeutics and clinical risk management*, *13*, 919–925. <https://doi.org/10.2147/TCRM.S142226>
- 349 50. Nakamura, R., Kaniwa, N., Ueta, M., Sotozono, C., Sugiyama, E., Maekawa, K., Yagami, A., Matsukura,
350 S., Ikezawa, Z., Matsunaga, K., Tokunaga, K., Aihara, M., Kinoshita, S., & Saito, Y. (2014). HLA association with
351 antipyretic analgesics-induced Stevens-Johnson Syndrome / toxic epidermal necrolysis with severe ocular surface
352 complications in Japanese patients. *Clinical and Translational Allergy*, *4*(Suppl 3), P89.
353 <https://doi.org/10.1186/2045-7022-4-S3-P89>