Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

Open Access Publications

8-24-2021

Convergent antibody responses to the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein in convalescent and vaccinated individuals

Elaine C Chen Emma S Winkler Rita E Chen Michael S Diamond et al

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs

Cell Reports

Convergent antibody responses to the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein in convalescent and vaccinated individuals

Graphical abstract



Authors

Elaine C. Chen, Pavlo Gilchuk, Seth J. Zost, ..., Curtis A. Thorne, Michael S. Diamond, James E. Crowe, Jr.

Correspondence

james.crowe@vumc.org

In brief

Chen et al. describe neutralizing and nonneutralizing public antibodies elicited to SARS-CoV-2 spike in vaccinated and naturally infected individuals. Germlinerevertant forms of some public clonotypes bind efficiently to spike protein, suggesting common germlineencoded properties for recognition.

Highlights

- Public clonotypes are shared among SARS-CoV-2vaccinated and -infected individuals
- Group 3 antibodies share the same binding site as CR3022 but neutralize variants
- Germline-revertant forms of public clonotypes bind efficiently to spike protein
- Public clonotype responses inform research on new viral variants of concern





Cell Reports

Article

Convergent antibody responses to the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein in convalescent and vaccinated individuals

Elaine C. Chen,¹ Pavlo Gilchuk,² Seth J. Zost,² Naveenchandra Suryadevara,² Emma S. Winkler,^{3,4} Carly R. Cabel,^{5,10} Elad Binshtein,² Rita E. Chen,^{3,4} Rachel E. Sutton,² Jessica Rodriguez,² Samuel Day,² Luke Myers,² Andrew Trivette,² Jazmean K. Williams,⁶ Edgar Davidson,⁶ Shuaizhi Li,⁹ Benjamin J. Doranz,⁶ Samuel K. Campos,^{9,10} Robert H. Carnahan,² Curtis A. Thorne,^{5,10} Michael S. Diamond,^{3,4,7} and James E. Crowe, Jr.^{1,2,8,11,*}

¹Department of Pathology, Microbiology, and Immunology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 37232, USA

²Vanderbilt Vaccine Center, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 37232, USA

³Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO 63110, USA

⁴Department of Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO 63110, USA

⁵Department of Cellular & Molecular Medicine, University of Arizona College of Medicine, Tucson, AZ 85724, USA

⁷Department of Molecular Microbiology, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO 63110, USA

⁸Department of Pediatrics, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 37232, USA

⁹Department of Immunobiology, University of Arizona College of Medicine, Tucson, AZ 85724, USA

¹⁰Cancer Biology Graduate Interdisciplinary Program, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85724, USA

¹¹Lead contact

*Correspondence: james.crowe@vumc.org

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109604

SUMMARY

Unrelated individuals can produce genetically similar clones of antibodies, known as public clonotypes, which have been seen in responses to different infectious diseases, as well as healthy individuals. Here we identify 37 public clonotypes in memory B cells from convalescent survivors of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection or in plasmablasts from an individual after vaccination with mRNA-encoded spike protein. We identify 29 public clonotypes, including clones recognizing the receptorbinding domain (RBD) in the spike protein S1 subunit (including a neutralizing, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 [ACE2]-blocking clone that protects *in vivo*) and others recognizing non-RBD epitopes that bind the S2 domain. Germline-revertant forms of some public clonotypes bind efficiently to spike protein, suggesting these common germline-encoded antibodies are preconfigured for avid recognition. Identification of large numbers of public clonotypes provides insight into the molecular basis of efficacy of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines and sheds light on the immune pressures driving the selection of common viral escape mutants.

INTRODUCTION

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the causative agent of COVID-19 and the ongoing worldwide pandemic. The spike (S) protein is the principal antigen recognized by the protective antibody response against SARS-CoV-2 (Jiang et al., 2020; Krammer, 2020). The S protein is cleaved into S1, which includes the receptor-binding domain (RBD) and the N-terminal domain (NTD), and S2, contains the fusion peptide and heptad repeats HR1 and HR2 and mediates fusion between virus and host cell membrane (Bosch et al., 2003; Tortorici and Veesler, 2019). SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV-1 share approximately 80% amino acid sequence identity, and both use human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) as an entry receptor through binding mediated by the RBD (Hoffmann et al., 2020; Li et al., 2003; Wan et al., 2020).

Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) targeting the SARS-CoV-2 S protein have been a focus for development of medical counter-

measures against COVID-19. Many studies have identified antibodies to the S1 and S2 regions on the S protein, with the majority of neutralizing antibodies targeting the RBD in S1 and inhibiting ACE2 binding (Liu et al., 2020; Robbiani et al., 2020; Seydoux et al., 2020; Wec et al., 2020; Zost et al., 2020b). Multiple RBDspecific mAbs have been developed as monotherapies or cocktail therapeutics, and three have received Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) in the United States.

In recent years, public B cell clonotypes have been identified in the human antibody repertoires formed in response to diverse viruses, including Ebola (Cohen-Dvashi et al., 2020; Davis et al., 2019; Ehrhardt et al., 2019), influenza (Joyce et al., 2016; Pappas et al., 2014; Zost et al., 2021; Sui et al., 2009; Wheatley et al., 2015), human immunodeficiency virus 1 (HIV-1) (Setliff et al., 2018; Williams et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2015), hepatitis C (Bailey et al., 2017; Giang et al., 2012), SARS-CoV-2 (Dong et al., 2021; Nielsen et al., 2020; Yuan et al., 2020a), respiratory syncytial virus (Mukhamedova et al., 2021), and in

⁶Integral Molecular, Inc., Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA



healthy individuals (Briney et al., 2019; Soto et al., 2019). These studies reveal a convergence of B cell selection resulting in circulating B cells clones with genetically similar antigen receptor genes in multiple individuals. The selection of public B cell clonotypes often has a structural basis mediated by low-affinity recognition of virus surface antigens by unmutated germline-encoded naive B cell receptors that are preconfigured for binding and cell activation. Public clonotypes are of great interest, because the understanding of viral epitopes that commonly induce antibodies in humans has implications for predicting the most common responses to vaccines in large populations.

Several efforts have characterized public clonotypes in the response to SARS-CoV-2, with most work focused on neutralizing public clonotypes (Dong et al., 2021; Robbiani et al., 2020; Tan et al., 2021; Yuan et al., 2020a) that target the S1 protein RBD and NTD domains. However, it is less clear if public clonotypes are directed to other sites on the S trimer, such as the S2 domain. Epitopes on the S2 domain may be of interest, because these sites may be more conserved than those in RBD in different strains of coronavirus as a result of functional constraints associated with the viral fusion mechanism. In this study. we identified 37 total public clonotypes, 27 of which are shared between vaccinated and convalescent individuals. We found that shared clonotypes comprise a substantial proportion of the elicited human B cell response to the S trimer. We also compared the response following infection or mRNA vaccination to investigate the genetic basis for the efficacy of mRNA vaccines in the population. These data show that many clonotypes are shared between convalescent and vaccinated individuals.

RESULTS

Identification of public clonotypes

We first collected antibody variable gene sequences for SARS-CoV-2 human mAbs from existing publications that reported mAbs from individuals with a history of SARS-CoV-2 infection (Brouwer et al., 2020; Cao et al., 2020; Kreer et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Robbiani et al., 2020; Rogers et al., 2020; Seydoux et al., 2020; Wec et al., 2020; Zost et al., 2020b). This search identified a panel of 2,865 paired heavy and light chain variable gene sequences. We clustered all sequences by binning the clones based on the inferred immunoglobulin heavy variable (IGHV) gene, immunoglobulin heavy joining (IGHJ) gene, and the amino acid length of the heavy chain complementarity determining region 3 (CDRH3). These sequences then were clustered according to 70% nucleotide sequence identity in the DNA sequence encoding the CDRH3. Next, the sequences were binned further based on the inferred immunoglobulin light variable gene (IGLV or IGKV) and immunoglobulin light joining (IGLJ or IGKJ) genes. Clusters meeting these similarity criteria in both heavy and light chains with sequences originating from two or more individuals were deemed public clonotypes. Eleven public clonotypes were identified in the repertoires of subjects with prior natural infection (Figures 1A-1C), and these clones are encoded by a variety of heavy and light chain variable genes. Of the 11 public clonotypes identified, 5 of the heavy chain genes have been reported previously to encode potently neutralizing SARS-CoV-2 antibodies that bind to the RBD: IGHV3-53 (Yuan et al., 2020a), IGHV1-58 (Dong et al., 2021), IGHV3-30, IGHV3-30-3 (Robbiani et al., 2020), and IGHV3-66 (Yuan et al., 2020a). Three have not been reported: IGHV1-69, IGHV4-59, and IGHV3-7. IGHV3-53 and IGHV3-66 are commonly observed in antibodies in SARS-CoV-2 patients (Robbiani et al., 2020) because the germline gene segments encode amino acid motifs that are preconfigured for RBD binding (Yuan et al., 2020a). IGHV1-58 also commonly encodes antibodies that neutralize SARS-CoV-2, because this germline gene segment encodes motifs that mediate binding to the S protein (Dong et al., 2021). Notably, IGHV1-58 encodes the mAb COV2-2196, which is the basis for one of the two antibodies in a cocktail currently in phase III clinical trials (Dong et al., 2021; Zost et al., 2020a). Clonally expanded B cell populations containing potently neutralizing antibodies encoded by IGHV3-30 also have been found in multiple individuals (Robbiani et al., 2020). However, the role of IGHV1-69, IGHV4-59, and IGHV3-7 public clonotypes in SARS-CoV-2 responses remains unknown. In this paper, for clarity, we designated public clonotypes incorporating these additional three V_H gene segments as members of group 1, 2, or 3 mAbs, respectively (Figures 1C-1E). Group 1 is shared by two donors from the cohort we studied and includes mAbs COV2-2002 and COV2-2333. Group 2 is shared by a donor from our group and a previously described donor IDCnC2 (Kreer et al., 2020) and includes antibodies COV2-2164 and CnC2t1p1 B10. Lastly, group 3 is shared by a donor from our group and a previously described donor COV107 (Robbiani et al., 2020) and includes antibodies COV2-2531 and C126 (Figures 1C-1E). cDNAs for the antibody variable genes encoding each of the six antibodies from the three groups of public clonotypes were synthesized and cloned into an IgG1 expression vector, as previously described (Gilchuk et al., 2020).

Functional properties of identified public clonotype antibodies

To examine the binding properties of antibodies in these three new SARS-CoV-2 public clonotypes, we tested six recombinant purified antibodies, two for each public clonotype, for binding to recombinant stabilized trimeric prefusion ectodomain of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein (S6P_{ecto}), SARS-CoV-2 RBD, or recombinant stabilized trimeric prefusion ectodomain of the SARS-CoV-1 S protein (S2P_{ecto}) proteins by ELISA (Figure S1). The two group 1 antibodies, COV2-2002 and COV2-2333, did not bind to SARS-CoV-2 RBD, but both bound to SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto} and SARS-CoV-1 S2P_{ecto} proteins. However, they did not saturate in binding to SARS-CoV-1 S2P_{ecto} at the maximum concentration tested (400 ng/mL), indicating relatively weak binding to recombinant SARS-CoV-1 S2Pecto. Group 2 antibodies, which include COV2-2164 and CnC2t1p1_B10, did not bind to SARS-CoV-2 RBD, but both bound to SARS-CoV-2 $S6P_{ecto}$ and SARS-CoV-1 S2Pecto proteins. Group 3 antibodies, which include COV2-2531 and C126, bound to SARS-CoV-2 S6Pecto and SARS-CoV-2 RBD proteins (Figures 2A and 2H). Antibodies from group 3 did not bind SARS-CoV-1 S2P_{ecto}.

We hypothesized that group 1 and 2 antibodies might bind the S2 domain of the S trimer; SARS-CoV-2 infection can elicit antibodies that recognize cross-reactive epitopes on the S2 domain (Ladner et al., 2021). Antibodies were tested for binding against





Figure 1. Sequence characteristics of monoclonal antibodies to SARS-CoV-2

(A) Available sequences of mAbs to SARS-CoV-2 from multiple publications were obtained from public databases. Numbers inside each box represent the number of sequences with the indicated gene usage. Colored outlining boxes represent public clonotypes that are shared between the individuals listed in the key to the right side. The heatmap is color coded so that red represents a higher number of sequences using the corresponding genes, and blue represents a lower number of sequences using the corresponding genes.

(B) CDR3 sequences of the heavy and light chains of each of the remaining eight public clonotypes are shown. Dashes represent amino acids that differed in the public clonotype. Each box color correlates to the public clonotypes in (A) and (C).

(C) A Venn diagram illustrating all of the public clonotypes identified between naturally infected individuals. The colored boxes in the Venn diagram overlaps represent the public clonotypes identified in (A). Novel public clonotypes, designated as groups 1, 2, or 3, are highlighted in the purple, pink, or orange overlaps, respectively.

(D) Multiple sequence alignments of the heavy chain sequences for groups 1, 2, or 3 to their respective inferred germline genes *IGHV 3-07/IGHJ4*, *IGHV1-69/IGHJ4*, or *IGHV4-59/IGHJ3*. The CDRH3 sequence is highlighted in dark blue.

(E) Multiple sequence alignments of the light chain sequences for groups 1, 2, or 3 to their respective inferred germline genes *IGLV3-01/IGLJ3*, *IGKV3-11/IGKJ4*, or *IGHV3-01/IGLJ2*. The CDRL3 sequence is highlighted in light blue.





	WA 1/2020	D614G	D.1.1./	PI	D.1.1.290	D.1.429
COV2-2196	4	3	9	24	5	9
COV2-2531	85	469	206	114	249	522
C126	>10,000	>10,000	>10,000	>10,000	>10,000	>10,000
2D22	>10,000	>10,000	>10,000	>10,000	>10,000	>10,000



(legend on next page)



the S2 domain of SARS-CoV-2 S expressed on HEK293T cells. This experiment showed that group 1 and 2 antibodies bound to S2 in a dose-dependent manner (Figures 2D, 2F, and 2H), and revealed that public clonotypes can be elicited to the S2 domain of the S trimer.

Antibodies from each group then were tested for neutralizing activity using a previously described real-time cell analysis (RTCA) assay that measures cellular impedance (Gilchuk et al., 2020; Zost et al., 2020b). We used recombinant, infectious vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) expressing the S proteins from SARS-CoV-2 (WA1/2019 strain), SARS-CoV-2/D614G, or SARS-CoV-1 (Urbani strain) (Figure S1). In addition, we used authentic SARS-CoV-2 (WA1/2019) virus and Calu3 cell monolayer cultures, and neutralization was measured by staining for double-stranded RNA, which is produced in the cytoplasm in virus-infected cells (Figure S2). Group 3 mAb COV2-2531 neutralized SARS-CoV-2 (VSV-SARS-CoV-2 and VSV-SARS-CoV-2/D614G) (Figures 2B, 2C, and 2H) and authentic SARS-CoV-2, but not SARS-CoV-1. In contrast, another group 3 mAb, C126, partially neutralized the SARS-CoV-2/D614G variant but did not neutralize the wildtype (WT) VSV-SARS-CoV-2, VSV-SARS-CoV-1, or authentic virus. Group 1 and 2 antibodies did not exhibit neutralizing capacity for any of the viral strains tested.

Because both group 3 antibodies exhibited neutralizing capacity, we considered that they might block virus attachment to ACE2, a principal mechanism of inhibition by RBD-targeted antibodies (Hansen et al., 2020; Zost et al., 2020a). We tested whether each antibody could block binding of soluble trimeric S protein to recombinant human ACE2 protein. Only group 3 antibodies blocked binding to ACE2 (Figure 2H). Similar to the pattern we observed for neutralization, COV2-2531 fully blocked ACE2 binding, whereas C126 partially blocked binding, with less than 50% inhibition at maximal effect (Figure 2G). Therefore, it is likely that COV2-2531 neutralizes virus infection at least in part by blocking binding to ACE2.

We assessed binding of all six antibodies to variants P.1 (Gamma), P.2 (Zeta), B.1.429 (Epsilon), B.1.1.7 (Alpha), B.1.135 (Beta), and B.1.1.298 (Figure S3). Antibody binding of both group 1 and 2 mAbs was largely affected by variant residues in B.1.1.7 or B.1.135. However, group 3 antibody COV-2531 maintained its ability to bind all variants tested. C126, the less mutated counterpart of the group 3 public clonotype, exhibited a decrease in binding to P.2, B.1.1.7, and B.1.135 (Figure 2I).

Because COV2-2531 maintained binding to all variants tested, we tested whether it retained its neutralization capacity. Group 3 antibodies COV2-2531 and C126 were tested against clinical isolates for B.1.1.7 (Alpha), B.1.1.298, and B.1.429 (Epsilon) viruses. The mAbs also were tested against a chimeric WA1/2020 virus encoding the S gene of B.1.1.28 (Gamma, Wash-B.1.1.28). These results were compared with neutralization of WA1/2020 and an isogenic mutant containing the D614G mutation (WA1/2020 D614G) (Figure 2J). C126 lacked inhibitory activity against authentic SARS-CoV-2 viruses. In comparison, COV2-2531 neutralized the panel of variant SARS-CoV-2 viruses comparably with WA1/2020, with IC₅₀ values ranging from 85 to 522 ng/mL (Figure 2K).

Binding sites of identified clonotype antibodies

We used negative stain electron microscopy (EM) to image Fab-SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto} complexes. Even though all of the

Figure 2. Reactivity and functional activity of group 1, 2, and 3 antibodies

(C) Neutralization activity of antibodies to authentic SARS-CoV-2 (USA-WA1/2020) determined by measuring dsRNA intensity per cell count after Calu3 lung epithelial cells were inoculated with SARS-CoV-2. Antibody concentrations started at 10 µg/mL and were titrated 3-fold.

(D) Antibody binding to full-length S (gray) or S protein C-terminal S2 region (red) expressed on the surface of HEK293T cells that were fixed and permeabilized. Antibodies were screened at 1 µg/mL. Antibody reactivity was measured by flow cytometry, and cellular florescence values were determined. COV2-2490, an NTD-directed antibody, was used as a control.

(E) Binding to VSV-SARS-CoV-2-infected Vero cells (SARS-CoV-2 wild-type [WT]) was measured using flow cytometry, and median florescence intensity values were determined for dose-response binding curves. Antibody was diluted 3-fold starting from 10 µg/mL.

(F) Binding to S protein C-terminal S2 region expressed on HEK293T cells (SARS-CoV-2 WT S2) was measured using flow cytometry, and mean fluorescence intensity values were determined for dose-response binding curves. Antibody was diluted 3-fold starting from 10 µg/mL.

(G) Inhibition of ACE2 binding curves for COV2-2531 or C126. Antibody concentrations started at 10 µg/mL and were titrated 3-fold to identify ACE2 blocking curves. COV2-2531 is shown in light orange, and C126 is shown in dark orange.

(H) The half maximal concentration (EC₅₀) and half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) values for each of the assay curves in Figures 3A–3E. All values are denoted as μ g/mL. ACE2 blocking was determined by measuring amount of ACE2 with FLAG tag binding in the presence of each antibody, measured by binding of an anti-FLAG antibody. Percent blocking is shown, calculated by using ACE2 binding without antibody as 0% blocking.

(I) Binding of each antibody to several variants of concern spike proteins. Binding of each antibody (at 1 μ g/mL) to the SARS-CoV-2 spike variants is shown relative to the antibody's binding to the WT spike, defined as a value of 1.0. The relative amounts of each variant expressed in cells were estimated using the signal for antibody 1A9, normalizing the average 1A9 binding (at 1 μ g/mL) for each variant to the average 1A9 binding with the WT construct. For each public clonotype antibody, the binding values to each variant spike were corrected for spike expression equivalent to that of the WT spike. Darker blue indicates less change to binding of that antibody to the variant. Lighter blue color indicates more change for binding of that antibody to the variant.

(J) Neutralization curves of group 3 antibodies against variant SARS-CoV-2 strains. Antibodies were tested for inhibition of infection of the indicated viruses on Vero-TMPRSS2 cell monolayer cultures using a focus reduction neutralization test.

(K) Antibody neutralization IC₅₀ values for group 3 antibodies against variant SARS-CoV-2 strains. One representative experiment of two performed in duplicate and mean IC₅₀ values (ng/mL) from two independent experiments are shown.

Group 1 antibodies are shown in light or dark purple, group 2 antibodies are in red or pink, and group 3 antibodies are in light or dark orange. mAb DENV 2D22 was used as a negative control antibody, as shown in the lines in black. All experiments are performed in biological replicates and technical triplicates. Biological replicate from representative single experiment is shown.

⁽A) ELISA binding to SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto}, SARS-CoV-2 RBD, or SARS-CoV-1 S2P_{ecto} was measured by absorbance at 450 nm. Antibody concentrations starting at 0.4 µg/mL were used and titrated 2-fold.

⁽B) Neutralization activity of antibodies to VSV-SARS-CoV-2, VSV-SARS-CoV-2/D614G, and VSV-SARS-CoV-1 determined by using real-time cell analysis (RTCA) assay. The percent of neutralization is reported. Antibody concentrations started at 10 µg/mL and were titrated 3-fold.



antibodies bound to S protein in ELISA as IgG1, only the group 3 antibody Fabs COV2-2531 and C126 formed complexes visualized on EM grids, suggesting that some antibodies may require an IgG format for strong binding (Figure 3A; Figure S4). Low-resolution 3D reconstructions for COV2-2531 and C126 showed that these two antibodies bind the side of the RBD and recognize the cryptic face of the RBD that is accessible only in the "open" position of the RBD in the context of the S trimer (Figures 3B and 3C).

We then tested binding of antibodies to infected Vero cells that were inoculated with VSV-SARS-CoV-2 chimeric viruses (Figure S5). Antibodies from each of the groups bound to infected cells dose-dependently, with the group 3 RBD-reactive antibodies exhibiting greater binding than the group 1 or 2 S2-reactive antibodies (Figures 2E and 2H). Binding of the group 3 antibodies correlated with their neutralization capacity, because COV2-2531 showed greater binding than C126. The capacity to bind to infected cells also suggested that these antibodies could act *in vivo* not only by direct virus neutralization but also through Fc-mediated functions.

We used competition-binding ELISA for pairwise comparison of antibodies binding to the S6P_{ecto} protein (Figure 3D). Members of each public clonotype group clustered with the other member of the same group by competition binding. We also competed the antibodies for binding against a larger group of epitope-mapped antibodies we previously described (Zost et al., 2020b) that cover various sites on the S protein and against rCR3022 (Yuan et al., 2020b), which bind less well to the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 compared with SARS-CoV-1 and do not block ACE2 binding (Figure 2G). Both group 3 antibodies competed with rCR3022, with COV2-2531 exhibiting a higher level of competition than C126. None of the group 1 or 2 antibodies competed with the reference antibodies tested (Figure 3E).

We then determined the critical binding residues at the amino acid level for each of the public clonotype antibodies by screening for binding to alanine scanning mutant libraries of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein. A372 and K378 were critical residues for COV2-2531 binding. For C126, we also identified A372 and K378, but with additional critical residues Y369, N370, F374, and P384 (Figure 3F; Figure S6). The identified residues are consistent with the binding site identified in the negative stain EM analyses and overlap with the epitope of CR3022 (Yuan et al., 2020b). It was curious that several SARS-CoV-2-specific neutralizing antibodies competed with CR3022, which also binds to SARS-CoV-1 but is non-neutralizing. SARS-CoV-1 has an Nglycosylation site at N370 in the binding site for these two mAbs, which SARS-CoV-2 lacks (Yuan et al., 2020b). This difference in glycosylation likely explains why COV2-2531 and C126 do not bind or neutralize SARS-CoV-1, even though they recognize the relatively conserved cryptic face of the RBD (Figure S7). In the alanine scanning libraries, native alanine residues are changed to serine. It is possible that A372 was identified as critical for binding by COV2-2531 and C126 because the A372S mutation results in the introduction of N-linked glycosylation of N370, rather than making direct side-chain contact with the antibodies.

Screening the group 1 and 2 antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 alanine scanning mutation library confirmed that they bound to the S2 domain. For the group 1 antibodies COV2-

Cell Reports Article

2002 and COV2-2333, we identified critical residues for both antibodies (Y917, Q920, K921) in the heptad repeat (HR1) region of S2. These residues are conserved between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV-1. For the group 2 antibodies, screens identified two regions of residues that were critical for binding. For both COV2-2164 and CnC2t1p1 B10, we identified K814 (also conserved between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV-1) as critical for binding. In addition, for both antibodies, we also identified R995, and for CnC2t1p1_B10, I980 and Q1002 (Figure 3F; Figure S6). K814 is not close to I980, R995, or Q1002 on the S protein structure. However, inspection of the available S protein structures (PDB: 6XR8 and 7C2L) suggested that residues 1980, R995, and Q1002 are not readily accessible to antibodies in the full S protein or even in the absence of S1. These residues make interactions that likely help maintain S2 structure, and so their mutation could indirectly affect group 2 antibody binding. We conclude that K814 is an epitope residue for group 2 antibodies COV2-2164 and CnC2t1p1_B10. These results suggest that the mAbs in each public clonotype group have essentially identical critical epitope residues.

Functional properties of germline-revertant forms of antibodies from each identified public clonotype

To determine whether the function of each antibody group was due to germline-encoded reactivity or the result of somatic mutations, we investigated the equivalent germline-encoded antibodies for each public clonotype. Heavy and light chain variable region sequences of antibodies COV2-2002, COV2-2164, and COV2-2531 were aligned with the germline sequences of [IGHV3-7/IGHJ4/ + IGLV3-1/IGLJ3], [IGHV1-69/IGHJ4 + IGKV3-11/IGKJ4], or [IGHV4-59/IGHJ3 + IGLV6-57/IGLJ2], respectively. Each residue that differed from the germline gene was reverted back to the inferred germline residue (Figure S8). We then tested whether the germline revertants of the antibodies in each group shared similar functional properties with their somatically mutated counterparts. Each germline-revertant antibody was tested for binding to SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto}, SARS-CoV-2 RBD, or SARS-CoV-1 S2Pecto proteins. The group 1 germline revertant did not bind to SARS-CoV-2 S6Pecto or SARS-CoV-1 S2P_{ecto}. The group 2 germline revertant maintained binding to both SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV-1 proteins but exhibited lower binding avidity (higher EC₅₀ values) than its matured counterparts COV2-2164 or CnC2t1p1_B10. The group 3 germline revertant maintained binding to SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto} and RBD proteins (Figures 4A and 4D). Each germline revertant also bound to the surface of virus-infected cells (Figures 4B and 4D). Although none of the germline revertants exhibited neutralizing capacity (Figures 4C and 4D), the group 3 germline revertant showed a low level of ACE2 blocking (Figures 4D and 4E).

COV2-2531 confers protection in vivo

MAbs can act by direct virus inactivation, but binding of some mAbs to the surface of virus-infected cells (Figures 2E and 2H) suggested that these antibodies also might act through Fc-mediated functions. Therefore, it was important to test some public clonotypes *in vivo*. We tested the efficacy of these antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 *in vivo*. We used K18-hACE2 transgenic

Cell Reports

Article



Figure 3. Epitope identification and structural characterization of antibodies

CelPress

(A) Negative stain EM of SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto} protein in complex with Fab forms of different mAbs. Negative stain 2D classes of SARS-CoV-2 S protein incubated with COV2-2531 or C126. Box size is 128 pix at 4.36 Å/pix.

(B) mAb COV2-2531 3D volume with critical residues 372 and 275 shown in red on the S protein (blue). RBD is in the open position. Density corresponds to three fabs, as we docked a single Fab structure onto the EM density map, shown in magenta.

(C) MAb C126 3D volume with critical binding residues shown in red. The Fab is docked to a protomer of SARS-CoV-2 S protein in the open conformation. Top left is the RBD positioned in open conformation, with the other two protomers in the trimer in closed position. The S protein is shown in green, with the RBD in yellow. The Fab is shown in magenta.

(D) Competition-binding ELISA results for mAbs within each clonotype group. Unlabeled blocking antibodies applied to antigen first are listed across the top, while biotinylated antibodies that are added to antigen-coated wells second are indicated on the left. The number in each box represents percent un-competed binding of the bio-tinylated antibody. Heatmap colors range from dark gray (<40% binding of the biotinylated antibody) to light gray (>80% binding of the bio-tinylated antibody). Experiment was performed in biological replicate and technical triplicate. Biological replicate from representative single experiment is shown.

(E) Competition-binding ELISA data using group 1, 2, or 3 antibodies against epitope-mapped reference antibodies. Biotinylated antibodies are indicated on the left, and the unlabeled antibodies applied to antigen first are indicated across the top. Heatmap colors range from dark gray (<20% binding of the biotinylated antibody) to light gray (>50% binding of the biotinylated antibody) to light gray (>50% binding of the biotinylated antibody). Experiment was performed in biological replicate and technical triplicates. Biological replicate from representative single experiment shown.

(F) Alanine scanning mutagenesis results for group 1, 2, or 3 antibodies. S2 epitope residues are

shown (green spheres or blue spheres) on the S protein structure (PDB: 6XR8), S1 is colored yellow, and S2 is red. RBD epitopes are shown in red on the RBD structure (PDB: 6XR8). Primary data are shown in Figure S5.

mice, which develop severe lung infection and disease after intranasal inoculation (Golden et al., 2020; Winkler et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2021). K18-hACE2 transgenic mice received either one antibody from group 2 (COV2-2164), one antibody from group 3 (COV2-2531), or an isotype-control antibody (DENV 2D22) via intraperitoneal injection (200 μ g, 10 mg/kg) 1 day prior to intranasal inoculation with 10³ plaque-forming units (PFUs) of SARS-CoV-2 (WA1/2020). Mice treated with COV2-2531 were protected completely from weight loss (Figure 5A) and showed reduced viral infection in the lung, nasal wash, heart, and brain (Figure 5B) compared with the isotype-control antibody-treated group. However, mice treated with COV2-2164 were not protected from weight loss, yet showed a reduction in viral load in

the lung and brain but not in the nasal wash and heart (Figure 5B). Thus, antibodies that compete for binding with the SARS-CoV-1 mAb rCR3022 can be elicited after SARS-CoV-2 infection, some of which can confer protection.

Public clonotypes shared between vaccine and convalescent responses to SARS-CoV-2 S protein

We hypothesized that SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines might induce public clonotypes that are shared with those seen in convalescent individuals after natural infection. We obtained peripheral blood mononuclear cells from a volunteer 10 days after the first vaccine dose and 7 days after second vaccine dose with the Pfizer-Bio-NTech vaccine. Circulating plasmablasts were enriched directly





Functional activity of germline revertant antibodies

Figure 4. Germline-revertant antibody reactivity and functional activity

Group 1, 2, or 3 germline-revertant antibodies are shown in purple, pink, or yellow, respectively. DENV 2D22 was used as a control antibody for all assays, as shown in the lines in black. All experiments were performed in biological replicate and technical triplicate. Biological replicate from representative single experiment is shown, mean ± SD of triplicates is shown.

(A) Binding to SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto}, SARS-CoV-2 RBD, or SARS-CoV-1 S2P_{ecto} was measured by absorbance at 450 nm, as shown in the first three columns.
 (B) Binding to Vero cells infected with VSV-SARS-CoV-2, measured by flow cytometric analysis and reported as median florescence intensity.

(C) Results for neutralization curves for replication-competent VSV chimeric viruses in real-time cell analysis (RTCA) are shown in the next three columns, measured by percent neutralization calculated by normalized cell index.

(D) Binding EC₅₀ and neutralization IC₅₀ values for each of the assay curves in Figure 5A. All values are denoted as μg/mL. ACE2 blocking was determined by measuring the amount of ACE2 with FLAG tag binding in the presence of each antibody, measured by binding of an anti-FLAG antibody. Percent blocking is shown, calculated by using ACE2 binding without antibody as 0% blocking.

(E) Inhibition binding curves for the group 3 germline-revertant antibody. The starting antibody concentration used was 10 μ g/mL and was titrated 3-fold serially to obtain ACE2-blocking curves.

from blood by negative selection using paramagnetic beads and purified further by flow cytometric sorting (Figures 6A and 6B). Sorted plasmablasts were loaded on a Beacon microfluidics instrument for single-cell secreted antibody binding screening and antibody gene sequencing or in a Chromium single-cell microfluidics device (10X Genomics) followed by reverse transcription with PCR and sequence analysis to obtain paired antibody sequences, as described previously (Zost et al., 2020b). Enzyme-linked immunospot (ELISpot) assay analysis revealed a large increase in the frequency of S-reactive cells in the enriched plasmablast cell fraction on day 7 after the second vaccination compared with that on day 10 after the first vaccine dose, confirming induction of target-specific responses in this individual. SARS-CoV-2 S6Pecto-specific secreted antibodies were of IgG and IgA classes and accounted for >10% of total plasmablasts (Figure 6C). Further, single-cell antibody secretion analysis of a total of 4,797 purified plasmablasts revealed that a large fraction of SARS-CoV-2-reactive clones (including S6Pecto- and/or RBD-reactive clones) secreted RBD-specific IgG (Figure 6D).

We also analyzed antibody reactivity and neutralization in serum collected on the day before vaccination (day 0), on day 10 after the

first vaccine dose, on day 7 after the second vaccine dose, and on day 28 after the second vaccine dose. The reactivity of serum antibodies to both SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto} and SARS-CoV-2 RBD was measured by ELISA for binding (Figure 6F) and by VSV-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing assay (Figure 6G). Binding and neutralizing activities steadily increased over time, with maximal activity detected on day 28 after the second vaccine dose.

We obtained 725 paired heavy and light chain sequences from plasmablasts following primary immunization and 8,298 paired sequences from plasmablasts following the second dose of vaccine. The same procedure was carried out on a sample collected 35 days after onset of symptoms from a convalescent individual with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. This individual's serum had been determined previously to contain neutralizing antibodies (Zost et al., 2020b). Single-cell antibody secretion analysis revealed that a minor fraction (0.5%) of total plasmablasts produced S-protein-reactive antibodies. We identified 1,883 paired heavy and light chain antibody sequences from this specimen.

Antibody sequences identified in these new studies and sequences we collected from previous SARS-CoV-2 antibody



Figure 5. Antibody-mediated protection against SARS-CoV-2 challenge in mice

(A and B) Eight-week-old male K18-hACE2 transgenic mice were inoculated by the intranasal route with 10³ PFUs of SARS-CoV-2 (WA1/2020 strain). One day prior to infection, mice were given a single 200-µg dose of COV2-2351 or COV2-2164 by intraperitoneal injection. (A) Weight change. Statistical analysis was performed only between isotype- and COV2-2351-treated groups. For isotype and COV2-2531 (mean \pm SEM; n = 8–10, two experiments: unpaired t test of area under the curve; ****p < 0.0001). For COV2-2164 (mean \pm SEM; n = 8, two experiments). (B) Viral RNA levels at 7 days post-infection in the lung, nasal wash, heart, and brain as determined by qRT-PCR. For isotype and COV2-2531 (mean \pm SEM; n = 8–10, two experiments: one-way ANOVA with Turkey's post-test: not significant [ns], *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.001, comparison with the isotype control mAb-treated group). For COV2-2164 (mean \pm SEM; n = 8, two experiments).

discovery studies were clustered as described in Figure 1. We identified a total of 37 public clonotypes, 26 of which represented clonotypes shared between antibodies isolated from the vaccinee and individuals with exposure history to natural SARS-CoV-2 infection (Figure 6H). The antigen-binding specificity of each group was inferred through review of data in each respective publication in which the antibodies were reported. Fourteen of the 26 newly identified shared clonotypes encoded



antibodies specific to the SARS-CoV-2 S protein. Within that panel of mAbs, 8 of 26 clonotypes reacted with SARS-CoV-2 RBD protein, and 6 of the 26 public clonotypes cross-reacted with both SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 7). Most antibodies shared in public clonotypes were IgG, with a subset of IgA noted. This finding shows that the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine induces many antibodies that are genetically similar to ones elicited through natural SARS-CoV-2 infection, including multiple public clonotypes in convalescent donors encoded by commonly used V_H genes, such as IGHV3-53, IGHV3-66, IGHV1-58, IGHV3-30, and IGHV3-30-3. Additionally, of the 37 total public clonotypes, 16 bound to RBD, and of these, 11 of 16 were neutralizing. All neutralizing public clonotypes recognized RBD. However, of the 37 public clonotypes identified, 21 are directed to antigenic sites other than the RBD, including ones described here directed to the S2 domain. Overall, these results suggest that many of the public clonotypes observed in previously infected individuals likely are found in vaccinated individuals.

DISCUSSION

The high number of identified public B cell clonotypes in the response to SARS-CoV-2 infection or vaccination is striking. Many public clonotypes are shared between both infected and vaccinated individuals. Public clonotypes were induced by each of the currently known antigenic sites on the S protein and are found in both the neutralizing and non-neutralizing repertoires. Some clonotypes in the shared SARS-CoV-2 response appear preconfigured in the germline state to recognize particular S epitopes, and this recognition likely is driven by particular structural features on S. In this study, we compared the sequences of more than 14,000 paired B cell sequences encoding antibodies to S protein of SARS-CoV-2. Likely, the availability of large numbers of paired antibody gene sequences from a multitude of donors contributed to our ability to identify such a high number of paired-sequence public clonotypes.

Several neutralizing public clonotypes have been identified previously, most commonly clonotypes encoded by the closely related heavy chain genes IGHV3-53, IGHV3-66 (Tan et al., 2021; Yuan et al., 2020a), IGHV1-2 (Rapp et al., 2021), and IGHV3-30 (Robbiani et al., 2020). Structural features of these public clonotypes likely drive the frequent selection of such clones, such as the canonical configuration of aromatic residues in the public clonotype IGHV1-58 + IGHJ3 and IGKV3-20 + IGKJ1 that engages the SARS-CoV-2 RBD F486 residue (Dong et al., 2021). Members of this public clonotype, such as COV2-2196, engage the RBD using predominantly germline-encoded residues in both the heavy and light chain (Dong et al., 2021; Kreer et al., 2020; Nielsen et al., 2020; Robbiani et al., 2020; Tortorici et al., 2020). Identification of public clonotypes from multiple donors suggests these antibodies could contribute to humoral responses that mediate protection if they appear not only in memory B cells but also as antibodies from plasma cells secreted into the serum (Voss et al., 2020). The high prevalence of public clonotypes elicited to the SARS-CoV-2 S trimer may contribute to the high efficacy of S-encoding mRNA vaccines in large populations.







(legend on next page)

If diverse individuals independently make the same antibody in response to an antigen, there could be a constant and collective selective pressure on that epitope, resulting in a high potential for escape variants at that site. For example, although IGHV3-53and IGHV3-66-encoded public clonotypes have been described in numerous individuals, neutralization of these antibodies is impacted adversely by the K417N or K417T substitutions present in the B.1.351 or P.1 SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern, respectively (Yuan et al., 2021). A similar case was described for IGHV1-2-encoded antibodies that target the RBD and IGHV1-24-encoded antibodies that target the NTD. These antibodies are found in the serum of convalescent individuals (Voss et al., 2020), but neutralization of these antibodies is negatively affected for 501Y.V2 variant viruses (Wibmer et al., 2021). A possible explanation for the selective pressure that led to the emergence and propagation of these variants is the humoral immunity mediated by these public clonotypes.

The new group 3 public clonotype neutralizing and protective antibodies described here bind to the cryptic face of the RBD and compete with the SARS-CoV-2 non-neutralizing mAb CR3022. Neutralizing antibodies that bind to the more conserved base of the RBD are of interest, because these sites are largely unaffected by common mutations in the variants of concern, such as E484K, K417N, and N501Y (Yuan et al., 2021). Importantly, recent work has identified a B.1.1.7 variant with a deletion of RBD residues 375-377. This deletion disrupts the epitope of CR3022, yet appears to be functionally tolerated (Rennick et al., 2021). Because group 3 antibodies share a similar epitope, with critical residues of COV2-2531 and C126 being K378 and A372, but with additional critical residues of Y369, N370, F374, and P384 identified for C126, this deletion might abrogate binding of antibodies from this public clonotype. Group 3 antibody characterization reveals additional insights on antibodies binding to epitopes similar to that of CR3022. Recently published



literature suggests that there is an avidity threshold that affects antibody neutralization at the cryptic face of the RBD (Wu et al., 2020). We hypothesize that affinity improvements via somatic hypermutation play an important role in the ability of COV2-2531 to neutralize, particularly because neither C126 nor the germline revertant of group 3 neutralizes virus.

To our knowledge, public clonotypes specific to the S2 domain have not been described. In this study, we identified two public clonotypes that target the S2 domain of the S trimer. These mAbs do not neutralize, but they react with S proteins of both SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV-1. It is likely that these S2 epitopes are the target of non-neutralizing antibodies in multiple individuals following infection or vaccination. Previous studies have identified broadly immunogenic epitopes that are conserved in the functional domains of the SARS-CoV-2 S trimer S2 domain, including cross-reactivity to endemic coronaviruses, and therefore these findings have important implications for antibody and vaccine design (Ladner et al., 2021). The S2 region of the S trimer may be more capable of recruiting preexisting memory B cells for diverse coronaviruses, because the S2 domain is more conserved for functionally important sites such as the heptad repeat regions and fusion loop (Anderson et al., 2021).

We propose that there are essentially four classes of public clonotypes: (1) neutralizing public clonotypes that bind to relatively invariant sites on S, (2) neutralizing public clonotypes that bind to sites that tolerate high sequence variability, (3) non-neutralizing public clonotypes that target relatively invariant sites, and (4) non-neutralizing public antibodies that target variable sites. The first class of antibodies is likely the most protective class in a population, because these mAbs neutralize and recognize residues unlikely to be sustained with mutations because of loss of viral fitness. An example of this class would be *IGHV1-58*-encoded antibodies as described previously (Dong et al., 2021). Many public clones currently identified for

Figure 6. Analysis of vaccinated donor antibody response

(A and B) Flow cytometric plots showing gating strategy to identify plasmablasts in total PBMC sample collected on day 7 after second vaccine dose (top panel) or identification of plasmablasts after direct enrichment from whole blood at the same time point using negative selection with paramagnetic beads (bottom panel). Blue arrow indicates enriched plasmablasts that were used for ELISpot analysis as in (B), and red arrow indicates plasmablasts (DAPI⁻CD19^{lo}CD27^{hi}CD38^{hi}) that were FACS sorted for single-cell secretion and paired antibody sequencing studies.

(C) ELISpot analysis of SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto}-specific antibody secretion using enriched plasmablasts from blood collected on day 10 after the first vaccine dose (IgG) and day 7 after the second vaccine dose (IgG and IgA). A/Darwin/42/2020 H1N1 influenza virus hemagglutinin (HA) was used as a control for specificity of the plasmablast response. Wells with spots (left) and number of SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto}-specific responses detected (right) are shown. Dotted line indicates values below limit of the detection (LOD = 10 spots per 10^4 cells), which were set up to 5 spots per 10^4 cells.

(D) Pie chart representation showing frequency of RBD and SARS-COV-2 S6P_{ecto} reactive (red), SARS-COV-2 S6P_{ecto} reactive only (green), or RBD reactive only (blue) plasmablasts identified as in (C). Fraction of cells that did not react to either SARS-COV-2 RBD or S6P_{ecto} is shown in gray.

(E) Flow-cytometry-sorted plasmablasts were loaded on a Beacon instrument and assessed for binding to S6P_{ecto} or RBD-coated beads using single-cell antibody secretion analysis. Bright-field images of the Beacon instrument chip with individual plasmablasts loaded into the pens of the chip are shown for the selected fields of view for each screening condition (top). False-color fluorescent images from the same fields of view (bottom) showing binding of the detection anti-human Alexa Fluor 568-labeled antibody to the S6P_{ecto} or RBD-coated beads that captured human antibodies secreted by single plasmablasts (visualized as a plume from the beads that loaded into the channel of the chip). Arrow indicates cells secreting antigen-reactive IgG antibodies.

(F) ELISA binding to SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto} of serum from patient 5 at day 0 of first vaccination, day 10 after first vaccination, or day 7 after second vaccination were measured by absorbance at 450 nm. Serum was diluted 1:75 and then diluted serially 3-fold. Experiment was performed in biological replicate and technical triplicate. Biological replicate from representative single experiment is shown, mean ± SD of triplicates is shown.

(G) Neutralization curves of serum from patient 5 at day 0 of first vaccination, day 10 after first vaccination, or day 7 after second vaccination. A World Health Organization (WHO) International standard for anti-SARS-CoV-2 human immunoglobulin was used as the positive control. Serum was diluted starting at a 1:25 dilution, then diluted serially 2-fold. Experiment was performed in technical triplicate.

(H) Circos plot indicating public clonotypes identified in this paper. The more opaque ribbons within the circle represent public clonotypes that are shared between the vaccinated donor and convalescent donors after natural infection. Translucent ribbons indicate public clonotypes shared between convalescent infection individuals. The individuals from whom sequences were derived are indicated on the inner circle. The published sources from which the sequences were obtained are shown on the second circle. The outside circle indicates whether the individuals were naturally infected or vaccinated.





	Heavy	chain		Light	chain			Predicted Functional Profile							
Public clonotype number	IGHV	IGHJ	IGLV	IGLJ	IGKV	IGKJ	CDRH3 length	Spike reactivity	SARS-CoV-2 RBD binding	SARS-CoV binding	Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2	lsotype of clones	Shared between vaccinated & infected	Donors sharing public clone	Publication from which reactivity data originated
1	3-7	4	3-1	3	-	-	12					lgG		Patient 3/4, Patient 2	Zost et al.
19	3-7	4	3-1	1	-	-	12					lgG		Patient 3/4, Patient 5	Zost et al.
20	3-7	4	3-1	2	-	-	12					lgG		Patient 3/4, Patient 5	Zost et al.
29	3-7	3	-	-	2-30	2	15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	lgG, lgA		Patient 3/4, Patient 5	This paper
2	1-69	4	-	-	3-11	4	15					lgG		CnC2t1p1, Patient 3/4 Patient 5	Zost et al.
23	1-69	4	-	-	3-11	4	15					lgG		COVA2, Patient 5	Brouwer et al.
3	4-59	3	6-57	2	-	-	12					lgG		COV107, Patient 3/4	Zost et al.
4	3-53	4	-	-	3-20	1	11					lgG		COV21, COV107, CC12, Patient 5	Roger et al., Robbiani et al.
14	3-53	6	-	-	3-20	1	9							COVA2, COV96	Brouwer et al.
31	3-53	4	-	-	3-20	5	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	lgG		COV107, Patient 5	This paper
16	3-53	3	-	-	1-9	2	11					lgG		Candian, Patient 5	Zost et al.
13	3-53	6	-	-	1-9	3	11					lgG		Patient 5, CC12	Rogers et al.
8	3-53	6	-	-	1-9	3	11					lgG		Patient 1, Patient 5	Liu et al.
36	3-53	6	-	-	1-9	2	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	lgG		COV72, Patient 5	This paper
37	3-53	6	-	-	1-9	5	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	lgG		COV96, Patient 5	This paper
11	3-53	3	1-40	2	-	-	16					lgG		Patient 3/4, Patient 5	Zost et al.
5	3-9	4	3-21	1	-	-	14							CC6, COV107	Rogers et al.
6	3-30	4	-	-	1-5	1	20					lgG		Patient 5, COV107, COV21	Robbiani et al.
15	3-30	4	-	-	1-33	3	20					lgG		Patient 3/4, Patient 5, COV107, COV72	Zost et al.
21	3-30	3	-	-	3-20	2	14					lgG		Patient 2, Patient 3/4	Zost et al.
25	3-30	3	-	-	4-1	2	14				N/A	lgG		Patient 3/4, Patient 5	Zost et al.
22	3-30	6	1-40	1	-	-	19					lgG		Patient 3/4, Patient 5	Zost et al.
33	3-30	4	6-57	2	-	-	16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	lgG		COV96, Patient 5	This paper
7	1-58	3	-	-	3-20	1	16					lgG		COV72, COV107, Datiant 2/4	Zost et al.
9	1-58	3	-	-	3-20	1	16					-		MnC5tp2p1, COV21, COV57, COV107	Kreer et al., Robbiani et al.
10	3-66	6	-	-	1-9	5	11					lgG		Patient 3/4, COV57	Zost et al., Robbiani et al.
12	3-30-3	4	2-14	3	-	-	14							COVA1, COV107	Brouwer et al., Robbianni et al.
18	3-30-3	4	6-57	3	-	-	17					lgG		COV72, Patient 5	Robbiani et al.
34	3-30-3	4	-	-	1-39	1	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	lgG		COV21, Patient 5	This paper
17	4-31	4	-	-	2-28	4	15					lgG		COV21, Patient 5	Robbiani et al.
24	3-21	3	-	-	3-15	1	20				N/A	lgG, lgA		COVA1, Patient 5	Brouwer et al.
28	3-21	4	-	-	1-9	4	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	lgG, lgA		Patient 2, Patient 5	This paper
26	1-8	4	5-45	1	-	-	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	lgG, lgA		Patient 3/4, Patient 5	This paper
27	1-46	5	3-19	3	-	-	15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	lgG, lgA		Patient 3/4, Patient 5	This paper
30	3-11	4	6-57	3	-	-	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	lgG		COV21, Patient 5	This paper
32	4-59	5	1-51	3	-	-	15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	lgG		COV57, Patient 5	This paper
35	3-48	6	-	-	1-39	1	16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	laG		COV96, Patient 5	This paper

Figure 7. Identification of public clonotypes shared between naturally infected individuals and a vaccinated donor

Table showing all public clonotypes identified. Gene usage for each clone or CDRH3 length is shown in columns 2 or 3. Reactivity profiles obtained from published sources are shown for comparative purposes. Blue indicates positive reactivity, while white indicates that binding reactivity or neutralization was not detected. Gray indicates reactivity profile was not found in either publication and therefore is unknown. Isotypes of antibodies in each group are listed in the eighth column. If the group contained sequences from both vaccinated and infected individuals, it was denoted in yellow. White was used for clonotypes that were shared only between convalescent individuals following natural infection.

SARS-CoV-2 are categorized in the second class. While these clones initially offer protection, this property could be lost as widespread selective pressure on the virus is exerted on a region with genetic and structural plasticity. Examples of this group were discussed here, such as IGHV3-53- and IGHV3-66-encoded antibodies that target the RBD (Yuan et al., 2021). Here, we described three new public clonotypes following natural infection (groups 1, 2, and 3) and a total of 29 new clonotypes after mRNA vaccination. Public clonotype groups 1 and 2 fall into the third class of antibodies described here (non-neutralizing antibodies that target invariant sites), and public clonotype group 3 antibodies fall into the second class (neutralizing public clonotypes that bind to variable sites). Future public clonotypes to SARS-CoV-2 could be binned with this four-quadrant scheme to better understand how public clonotypes contribute to humoral immunity against COVID-19.

Understanding the antibody response that is shared between convalescent and vaccinated individuals also will be of continued interest as the percentage of vaccinated individuals increases in the face of the emergence of new viral variants of concern. The understanding of viral epitopes that induce protective antibodies in multiple individuals has implications for predicting the most common responses to new vaccines in large populations. The emergence of SARS-CoV-2 variants with acquired mutations in epitopes for neutralizing antibodies, including antibody regimens currently authorized for EUA, is a cause for concern (Collier et al., 2021; Tegally et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021a, 2021b). Our analyses of public clonotypes after natural infection and vaccination and their shared epitope targets may predict sites of future major antigenic changes in the S trimer.

STAR***METHODS**

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- **RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**
 - Lead contact
 - Materials availability
 - Data and code availability
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
 - Research participants
 - Cell lines
 - Viruses
- METHOD DETAILS
 - Clustering for identification of public clonotypes
 - Heatmap generation
 - Antibody production and purification
 - Expression and purification of recombinant receptor binding domain (RBD) of SARS-CoV-2 S protein
 - ELISA binding assays
 - Cell-surface antigen-display assay
 - O Real-time cell analysis (RTCA) neutralization assay
 - Competition-binding ELISA
 - ACE2 blocking assay
 - dsRNA staining neutralization assay



- Focus reduction neutralization test
- Mouse experiments
- Measurement of viral burden in mouse tissues
- Electron microscopy sample and grid preparation, imaging and processing of S6P_{ecto}–Fab complexes
- Epitope mapping of antibodies by alanine scanning
- Cell-surface binding to full- length S protein, variant S proteins, or S2 domain protein
- ELISA binding assay for serum analysis
- O Plasmablasts isolation and flow cytometric analysis
- Generation of antibody variable-gene libraries from single plasmablasts
- Single-cell antibody secretion analysis using Beacon instrument
- ELISpot assay
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j. celrep.2021.109604.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

EM data collection was collected at the Center for Structural Biology Cryo-EM facility at Vanderbilt University. We thank Cinque Soto for technical advice on study of public clonotypes. We thank Rachel Nargi, Joseph Reidy, Erica Armstrong, and Christopher Gainza for support in antibody purifications. We also thank Berkeley Lights and Jonathan Didier for expert technical support, and STEMCELL Technologies and Aida Mayhew for supplying crucial B cell enrichment reagents. We thank Jem Uhrlaub, Brendan Larson, and Dr. Mike Worobey (University of Arizona) for preparation and sequencing of early-passage SARS-CoV-2 stocks. We thank Eun-Hyung Lee, Doan C. Nguyen, and Ignacio Sanz from Emory University for sharing the plasmablast survival medium that promotes antibody secretion. We thank the anonymous donors of the plasma samples for their consent that allowed the WHO International standard for anti-SARS-CoV-2 human immunoglobulin to be prepared; we express our gratitude to those who have coordinated the collection of the convalescent plasma: Malcom Semple (University of Liverpool, UK), Lance Turtle (University of Liverpool, UK), Peter Openshaw (Imperial College London, UK), and Kenneth Baillie (University of Edinburgh) on behalf of the ISARIC4C Investigators; and Heli Harvala Simmonds and David Roberts (National Health Service Blood and Transplant, UK). We also thank NIBSC Standards Production and Development staff for the formulation and distribution of materials. We thank Chris Selverian for assistance in epitope mapping and Mallorie Fouch for S2 binding studies. This work was supported by Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) grants HR0011-18-2-0001 and HR0011-18-3-0001; US NIH contracts 75N93019C00074, 75N93019C00062, and 75N93019C00073 (to B.J.D.); NIH grants AI150739, AI130591, R35 HL145242, AI157155, Al141707, Al12893, Al083203, Al149928, Al095202, Al083203, GM136853, DK103126, and UL1 TR001439; the Dolly Parton COVID-19 Research Fund at Vanderbilt; RII COVID-19 Seed Grant 002196 from University of Arizona; a grant from Fast Grants, Mercatus Center, George Mason University; and funding from AstraZeneca. E.C.C. was supported by NIH grant T32 Al138932, and E.S.W. was supported by NIH grant F30 Al152327. J.E.C. is a recipient of the 2019 Future Insight Prize from Merck KGaA, which supported this work with a grant.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, E.C.C. and J.E.C.; investigation, E.C.C., P.G., S.J.Z., N.S., M.S.D., E.S.W., C.R.C., C.A.T., S.L., S.K.C., E.B., J.K.W., S.D., L.M., A.T., J.R., R.E.S., E.D., and R.E.C.; writing first draft: E.C.C. and J.E.C.; all authors edited



the manuscript and approved the final submission; supervision, B.J.D., R.H.C., C.A.T., M.S.D., and J.E.C.; funding acquisition, M.S.D. and J.E.C.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

E.D., J.K.W., and B.J.D. are employees of Integral Molecular, and B.J.D. is a shareholder in that company. M.S.D. is a consultant for Inbios, Vir Biotechnology, NGM Biopharmaceuticals, and Carnival Corporation and is on the Scientific Advisory Boards of Moderna and Immunome. The Diamond laboratory has received funding support in sponsored research agreements from Moderna, Vir Biotechnology, and Emergent BioSolutions. J.E.C. has served as a consultant for Luna Biologics, is a member of the Scientific Advisory Board of Meissa Vaccines and is Founder of IDBiologics. The Crowe laboratory has received funding support in sponsored research agreements from AstraZeneca, IDBiologics, and Takeda.

Received: April 9, 2021 Revised: July 13, 2021 Accepted: August 4, 2021 Published: August 10, 2021

REFERENCES

Anderson, E.M., Goodwin, E.C., Verma, A., Arevalo, C.P., Bolton, M.J., Weirick, M.E., Gouma, S., McAllister, C.M., Christensen, S.R., Weaver, J., et al.; UPenn COVID Processing Unit (2021). Seasonal human coronavirus antibodies are boosted upon SARS-CoV-2 infection but not associated with protection. Cell *184*, 1858–1864.e10.

Bailey, J.R., Flyak, A.I., Cohen, V.J., Li, H., Wasilewski, L.N., Snider, A.E., Wang, S., Learn, G.H., Kose, N., Loerinc, L., et al. (2017). Broadly neutralizing antibodies with few somatic mutations and hepatitis C virus clearance. JCI Insight *2*, 92872.

Bepler, T., Kelley, K., Noble, A.J., and Berger, B. (2020). Topaz-Denoise: general deep denoising models for cryoEM and cryoET. Nat. Commun. 11, 5208.

Bepler, T., Morin, A., Rapp, M., Brasch, J., Shapiro, L., Noble, A.J., and Berger, B. (2019). Positive-unlabeled convolutional neural networks for particle picking in cryo-electron micrographs. Nat. Methods *16*, 1153–1160.

Bosch, B.J., van der Zee, R., de Haan, C.A., and Rottier, P.J. (2003). The coronavirus spike protein is a class I virus fusion protein: structural and functional characterization of the fusion core complex. J. Virol. 77, 8801–8811.

Briney, B., Inderbitzin, A., Joyce, C., and Burton, D.R. (2019). Commonality despite exceptional diversity in the baseline human antibody repertoire. Nature 566, 393–397.

Brouwer, P.J.M., Caniels, T.G., van der Straten, K., Snitselaar, J.L., Aldon, Y., Bangaru, S., Torres, J.L., Okba, N.M.A., Claireaux, M., Kerster, G., et al. (2020). Potent neutralizing antibodies from COVID-19 patients define multiple targets of vulnerability. Science *369*, 643–650.

Cao, Y., Su, B., Guo, X., Sun, W., Deng, Y., Bao, L., Zhu, Q., Zhang, X., Zheng, Y., Geng, C., et al. (2020). Potent neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 identified by high-throughput single-cell sequencing of convalescent patients' B cells. Cell *182*, 73–84.e16.

Case, J.B., Bailey, A.L., Kim, A.S., Chen, R.E., and Diamond, M.S. (2020a). Growth, detection, quantification, and inactivation of SARS-CoV-2. Virology *548*, 39–48.

Case, J.B., Rothlauf, P.W., Chen, R.E., Liu, Z., Zhao, H., Kim, A.S., Bloyet, L.M., Zeng, Q., Tahan, S., Droit, L., et al. (2020b). Neutralizing antibody and soluble ACE2 inhibition of a replication-competent VSV-SARS-CoV-2 and a clinical isolate of SARS-CoV-2. Cell Host Microbe *28*, 475–485.e5.

Chen, R.E., Zhang, X., Case, J.B., Winkler, E.S., Liu, Y., VanBlargan, L.A., Liu, J., Errico, J.M., Xie, X., Suryadevara, N., et al. (2021). Resistance of SARS-CoV-2 variants to neutralization by monoclonal and serum-derived polyclonal antibodies. Nat. Med. *27*, 717–726.

Chng, J., Wang, T., Nian, R., Lau, A., Hoi, K.M., Ho, S.C., Gagnon, P., Bi, X., and Yang, Y. (2015). Cleavage efficient 2A peptides for high level monoclonal antibody expression in CHO cells. MAbs *7*, 403–412.

Cohen-Dvashi, H., Zehner, M., Ehrhardt, S., Katz, M., Elad, N., Klein, F., and Diskin, R. (2020). Structural basis for a convergent immune response against Ebola virus. Cell Host Microbe *27*, 418–427.e4.

Collier, D.A., De Marco, A., Ferreira, I.A.T.M., Meng, B., Datir, R.P., Walls, A.C., Kemp, S.A., Bassi, J., Pinto, D., Silacci-Fregni, C., et al. (2021). Sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 to mRNA vaccine-elicited antibodies. Nature *593*, 136–141.

Davidson, E., and Doranz, B.J. (2014). A high-throughput shotgun mutagenesis approach to mapping B-cell antibody epitopes. Immunology 143, 13–20.

Davis, C.W., Jackson, K.J.L., McElroy, A.K., Halfmann, P., Huang, J., Chennareddy, C., Piper, A.E., Leung, Y., Albarino, C.G., Crozier, I., et al. (2019). Longitudinal analysis of the human B cell response to Ebola virus infection. Cell *177*, 1566–1582.e17.

Dingens, A.S., Crawford, K.H.D., Adler, A., Steele, S.L., Lacombe, K., Eguia, R., Amanat, F., Walls, A.C., Wolf, C.R., Murphy, M., et al. (2020). Serological identification of SARS-CoV-2 infections among children visiting a hospital during the initial Seattle outbreak. Nat Commun *11*, 4378.

Dong, J., Zost, S.J., Greaney, A.J., Starr, T.N., Dingens, A.S., Chen, E.C., Chen, R.E., Case, J.B., Sutton, R.E., Gilchuk, P., et al. (2021). Genetic and structural basis for recognition of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein by a two-antibody cocktail. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.27.428529.

Ehrhardt, S.A., Zehner, M., Krähling, V., Cohen-Dvashi, H., Kreer, C., Elad, N., Gruell, H., Ercanoglu, M.S., Schommers, P., Gieselmann, L., et al. (2019). Polyclonal and convergent antibody response to Ebola virus vaccine rVSV-ZEBOV. Nat. Med. *25*, 1589–1600.

Giang, E., Dorner, M., Prentoe, J.C., Dreux, M., Evans, M.J., Bukh, J., Rice, C.M., Ploss, A., Burton, D.R., and Law, M. (2012). Human broadly neutralizing antibodies to the envelope glycoprotein complex of hepatitis C virus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *109*, 6205–6210.

Gilchuk, P., Bombardi, R.G., Erasmus, J.H., Tan, Q., Nargi, R., Soto, C., Abbink, P., Suscovich, T.J., Durnell, L.A., Khandhar, A., et al. (2020). Integrated pipeline for the accelerated discovery of antiviral antibody therapeutics. Nat. Biomed. Eng. *4*, 1030–1043.

Golden, J.W., Cline, C.R., Zeng, X., Garrison, A.R., Carey, B.D., Mucker, E.M., White, L.E., Shamblin, J.D., Brocato, R.L., Liu, J., et al. (2020). Human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 transgenic mice infected with SARS-CoV-2 develop severe and fatal respiratory disease. JCl Insight 5, 142032.

Greaney, A.J., Starr, T.N., Gilchuk, P., Zost, S.J., Binshtein, E., Loes, A.N., Hilton, S.K., Huddleston, J., Eguia, R., Crawford, K.H.D., et al. (2021). Complete mapping of mutations to the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain that escape antibody recognition. Cell Host Microbe 29, 44–57.e9.

Hansen, J., Baum, A., Pascal, K.E., Russo, V., Giordano, S., Wloga, E., Fulton, B.O., Yan, Y., Koon, K., Patel, K., et al. (2020). Studies in humanized mice and convalescent humans yield a SARS-CoV-2 antibody cocktail. Science *369*, 1010–1014.

Hoffmann, M., Kleine-Weber, H., Schroeder, S., Krüger, N., Herrler, T., Erichsen, S., Schiergens, T.S., Herrler, G., Wu, N.H., Nitsche, A., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. Cell *181*, 271–280.e8.

Jiang, S., Hillyer, C., and Du, L. (2020). Neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 and other human coronaviruses. Trends Immunol. *41*, 355–359.

Joyce, M.G., Wheatley, A.K., Thomas, P.V., Chuang, G.Y., Soto, C., Bailer, R.T., Druz, A., Georgiev, I.S., Gillespie, R.A., Kanekiyo, M., et al.; NISC Comparative Sequencing Program (2016). Vaccine-induced antibodies that neutralize Group 1 and Group 2 influenza A viruses. Cell *166*, 609–623.

Krammer, F. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in development. Nature 586, 516–527.

Kreer, C., Zehner, M., Weber, T., Ercanoglu, M.S., Gieselmann, L., Rohde, C., Halwe, S., Korenkov, M., Schommers, P., Vanshylla, K., et al. (2020).



Longitudinal isolation of potent near-germline SARS-CoV-2-neutralizing antibodies from COVID-19 patients. Cell *182*, 843–854.e12.

Ladner, J.T., Henson, S.N., Boyle, A.S., Engelbrektson, A.L., Fink, Z.W., Rahee, F., D'ambrozio, J., Schaecher, K.E., Stone, M., Dong, W., et al. (2021). Epitope-resolved profiling of the SARS-CoV-2 antibody response identifies cross-reactivity with endemic human coronaviruses. Cell Rep. Med. *2*, 100189.

Li, W., Moore, M.J., Vasilieva, N., Sui, J., Wong, S.K., Berne, M.A., Somasundaran, M., Sullivan, J.L., Luzuriaga, K., Greenough, T.C., et al. (2003). Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is a functional receptor for the SARS coronavirus. Nature 426, 450–454.

Liu, L., Wang, P., Nair, M.S., Yu, J., Rapp, M., Wang, Q., Luo, Y., Chan, J.F., Sahi, V., Figueroa, A., et al. (2020). Potent neutralizing antibodies against multiple epitopes on SARS-CoV-2 spike. Nature *584*, 450–456.

Mukhamedova, M., Wrapp, D., Shen, C.H., Gilman, M.S.A., Ruckwardt, T.J., Schramm, C.A., Ault, L., Chang, L., Derrien-Colemyn, A., Lucas, S.A.M., et al. (2021). Vaccination with prefusion-stabilized respiratory syncytial virus fusion protein induces genetically and antigenically diverse antibody responses. Immunity *54*, 769–780.e6.

Mukherjee, S., Sirohi, D., Dowd, K.A., Chen, Z., Diamond, M.S., Kuhn, R.J., and Pierson, T.C. (2016). Enhancing dengue virus maturation using a stable furin over-expressing cell line. Virology *497*, 33–40.

Nielsen, S.C.A., Yang, F., Hoh, R.A., Jackson, K.J.L., Roeltgen, K., Lee, J.Y., Rustagi, A., Rogers, A.J., Powell, A.E., Kim, P.S., et al. (2020). B cell clonal expansion and convergent antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2. Res Sq. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-27220/v1.

Ohi, M., Li, Y., Cheng, Y., and Walz, T. (2004). Negative staining and image classification – Powerful tools in modern electron microscopy. Biol. Proced. Online 6, 23–34.

Pappas, L., Foglierini, M., Piccoli, L., Kallewaard, N.L., Turrini, F., Silacci, C., Fernandez-Rodriguez, B., Agatic, G., Giacchetto-Sasselli, I., Pellicciotta, G., et al. (2014). Rapid development of broadly influenza neutralizing antibodies through redundant mutations. Nature *516*, 418–422.

Pettersen, E.F., Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Couch, G.S., Greenblatt, D.M., Meng, E.C., and Ferrin, T.E. (2004). UCSF Chimera–a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J. Comput. Chem. *25*, 1605–1612.

Plante, J.A., Liu, Y., Liu, J., Xia, H., Johnson, B.A., Lokugamage, K.G., Zhang, X., Muruato, A.E., Zou, J., Fontes-Garfias, C.R., et al. (2021). Spike mutation D614G alters SARS-CoV-2 fitness. Nature *592*, 116–121.

Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J.L., Fleet, D.J., and Brubaker, M.A. (2017). cryo-SPARC: algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. Nat. Methods *14*, 290–296.

Rapp, M., Guo, Y., Reddum, E.R., Liu, L., Wang, P., Yu, J., Cerutti, G., Bimela, J., Bahna, F., Mannepalli, S., et al. (2021). Modular basis for potent SARS-CoV-2 neutralization by a prevalent VH1-2-derived antibody class. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.11.426218.

Rennick, L.J., Robinson-McCarthy, L.R., Nambulli, S., Duprex, W.P., and Mc-Carthy, K.R. (2021). Deletion disrupts a conserved antibody epitope in a SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.05. 434168.

Robbiani, D.F., Gaebler, C., Muecksch, F., Lorenzi, J.C.C., Wang, Z., Cho, A., Agudelo, M., Barnes, C.O., Gazumyan, A., Finkin, S., et al. (2020). Convergent antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 infection in convalescent individuals. bio-Rxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.13.092619.

Rogers, T.F., Zhao, F., Huang, D., Beutler, N., Burns, A., He, W.T., Limbo, O., Smith, C., Song, G., Woehl, J., et al. (2020). Isolation of potent SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies and protection from disease in a small animal model. Science *369*, 956–963.

Setliff, I., McDonnell, W.J., Raju, N., Bombardi, R.G., Murji, A.A., Scheepers, C., Ziki, R., Mynhardt, C., Shepherd, B.E., Mamchak, A.A., et al. (2018). Multi-donor longitudinal antibody repertoire sequencing reveals the existence of public antibody clonotypes in HIV-1 infection. Cell Host Microbe 23, 845–854.e6. Seydoux, E., Homad, L.J., MacCamy, A.J., Parks, K.R., Hurlburt, N.K., Jennewein, M.F., Akins, N.R., Stuart, A.B., Wan, Y.H., Feng, J., et al. (2020). Characterization of neutralizing antibodies from a SARS-CoV-2 infected individual. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.091298.

Smith, S.A., Zhou, Y., Olivarez, N.P., Broadwater, A.H., de Silva, A.M., and Crowe, J.E., Jr. (2012). Persistence of circulating B memory cell clones with potential for dengue virus disease enhancement for decades following infection. J. Virol. *86*, 2665–2675.

Soto, C., Bombardi, R.G., Branchizio, A., Kose, N., Matta, P., Sevy, A.M., Sinkovits, R.S., Gilchuk, P., Finn, J.A., and Crowe, J.E., Jr. (2019). High frequency of shared clonotypes in human B cell receptor repertoires. Nature *566*, 398–402.

Soto, C., Finn, J.A., Willis, J.R., Day, S.B., Sinkovits, R.S., Jones, T., Schmitz, S., Meiler, J., Branchizio, A., and Crowe, J.E., Jr. (2020). PyIR: a scalable wrapper for processing billions of immunoglobulin and T cell receptor sequences using IgBLAST. BMC Bioinformatics *21*, 314.

Sui, J., Hwang, W.C., Perez, S., Wei, G., Aird, D., Chen, L.M., Santelli, E., Stec, B., Cadwell, G., Ali, M., et al. (2009). Structural and functional bases for broad-spectrum neutralization of avian and human influenza A viruses. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *16*, 265–273.

Suryadevara, N., Shrihari, S., Gilchuk, P., VanBlargan, L.A., Binshtein, E., Zost, S.J., Nargi, R.S., Sutton, R.E., Winkler, E.S., Chen, E.C., et al. (2021). Neutralizing and protective human monoclonal antibodies recognizing the N-terminal domain of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/ 2021.01.19.427324.

Tan, T.J.C., Yuan, M., Kuzelka, K., Padron, G.C., Beal, J.R., Chen, X., Wang, Y., Rivera-Cardona, J., Zhu, X., Stadtmueller, B.M., et al. (2021). Sequence signatures of two IGHV3-53/3-66 public clonotypes to SARS-CoV-2 receptor binding domain. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.428356.

Tortorici, M.A., and Veesler, D. (2019). Structural insights into coronavirus entry. Adv. Virus Res. *105*, 93–116.

Tegally, H., Wilkinson, E., Giovanetti, M., Iranzadeh, A., Fonseca, V., Giandhari, J., Doolabh, D., Pillay, S., San, E.J., Msomi, N., et al. (2020). Emergence and rapid spread of a new severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) lineage with multiple spike mutations in South Africa. medRxiv (*Published online December 22, 2020*). https://doi.org/10.1101/ 2020.12.21.20248640.

Tortorici, M.A., Beltramello, M., Lempp, F.A., Pinto, D., Dang, H.V., Rosen, L.E., McCallum, M., Bowen, J., Minola, A., Jaconi, S., et al. (2020). Ultrapotent human antibodies protect against SARS-CoV-2 challenge via multiple mechanisms. Science *370*, 950–957.

Voss, W.N., Hou, Y.J., Johnson, N.V., Kim, J.E., Delidakis, G., Horton, A.P., Bartzoka, F., Paresi, C.J., Tanno, Y., Abbasi, S.A., et al. (2020). Prevalent, protective, and convergent IgG recognition of SARS-CoV-2 non-RBD spike epitopes in COVID-19 convalescent plasma. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/ 2020.12.20.423708.

Wan, Y., Shang, J., Graham, R., Baric, R.S., and Li, F. (2020). Receptor recognition by the novel coronavirus from Wuhan: an analysis based on decade-long structural studies of SARS coronavirus. J. Virol. *94*, e00127-20.

Wang, P., Nair, M.S., Liu, L., Iketani, S., Luo, Y., Guo, Y., Wang, M., Yu, J., Zhang, B., Kwong, P.D., et al. (2021a). Antibody resistance of SARS-CoV-2 variants B.1.351 and B.1.1.7. Nature *593*, 130–135.

Wang, Z., Schmidt, F., Weisblum, Y., Muecksch, F., Barnes, C.O., Finkin, S., Schaefer-Babajew, D., Cipolla, M., Gaebler, C., Lieberman, J.A., et al. (2021b). mRNA vaccine-elicited antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 and circulating variants. Nature *592*, 616–622.

Wec, A.Z., Wrapp, D., Herbert, A.S., Maurer, D.P., Haslwanter, D., Sakharkar, M., Jangra, R.K., Dieterle, M.E., Lilov, A., Huang, D., et al. (2020). Broad neutralization of SARS-related viruses by human monoclonal antibodies. Science *369*, 731–736.

Wheatley, A.K., Whittle, J.R., Lingwood, D., Kanekiyo, M., Yassine, H.M., Ma, S.S., Narpala, S.R., Prabhakaran, M.S., Matus-Nicodemos, R.A., Bailer, R.T., et al. (2015). H5N1 vaccine-elicited memory B cells are genetically constrained

by the IGHV locus in the recognition of a neutralizing epitope in the hemagglutinin stem. J. Immunol. *195*, 602–610.

Wibmer, C.K., Ayres, F., Hermanus, T., Madzivhandila, M., Kgagudi, P., Oosthuysen, B., Lambson, B.E., de Oliveira, T., Vermeulen, M., van der Berg, K., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 501Y.V2 escapes neutralization by South African COVID-19 donor plasma. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.18. 427166.

Williams, W.B., Liao, H.X., Moody, M.A., Kepler, T.B., Alam, S.M., Gao, F., Wiehe, K., Trama, A.M., Jones, K., Zhang, R., et al. (2015). HIV-1 VACCINES. Diversion of HIV-1 vaccine-induced immunity by gp41-microbiota cross-reactive antibodies. Science 349, aab1253.

Winkler, E.S., Bailey, A.L., Kafai, N.M., Nair, S., McCune, B.T., Yu, J., Fox, J.M., Chen, R.E., Earnest, J.T., Keeler, S.P., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 infection of human ACE2-transgenic mice causes severe lung inflammation and impaired function. Nat. Immunol. *21*, 1327–1335.

Wu, X., Zhou, T., Zhu, J., Zhang, B., Georgiev, I., Wang, C., Chen, X., Longo, N.S., Louder, M., McKee, K., et al.; NISC Comparative Sequencing Program (2011). Focused evolution of HIV-1 neutralizing antibodies revealed by structures and deep sequencing. Science *333*, 1593–1602.

Wu, N.C., Yuan, M., Bangaru, S., Huang, D., Zhu, X., Lee, C.D., Turner, H.L., Peng, L., Yang, L., Burton, D.R., et al. (2020). A natural mutation between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV determines neutralization by a cross-reactive antibody. PLoS Pathog. *16*, e1009089.

Yuan, M., Liu, H., Wu, N.C., Lee, C.D., Zhu, X., Zhao, F., Huang, D., Yu, W., Hua, Y., Tien, H., et al. (2020a). Structural basis of a shared antibody response to SARS-CoV-2. Science 369, 1119–1123.

Yuan, M., Wu, N.C., Zhu, X., Lee, C.D., So, R.T.Y., Lv, H., Mok, C.K.P., and Wilson, I.A. (2020b). A highly conserved cryptic epitope in the receptor binding domains of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. Science *368*, 630–633.

Yuan, M., Huang, D., Lee, C.C.D., Wu, N.C., Jackson, A.M., Zhu, X., Liu, H., Peng, L., van Gils, M.J., Sanders, R.W., et al. (2021). Structural and functional ramifications of antigenic drift in recent SARS-CoV-2 variants. bioRxiv. https:// doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.16.430500.

Zang, R., Gomez Castro, M.F., McCune, B.T., Zeng, Q., Rothlauf, P.W., Sonnek, N.M., Liu, Z., Brulois, K.F., Wang, X., Greenberg, H.B., et al. (2020). TMPRSS2 and TMPRSS4 promote SARS-CoV-2 infection of human small intestinal enterocytes. Sci. Immunol. *5*, eabc3582.

Zheng, J., Wong, L.R., Li, K., Verma, A.K., Ortiz, M.E., Wohlford-Lenane, C., Leidinger, M.R., Knudson, C.M., Meyerholz, D.K., McCray, P.B., Jr., and Perlman, S. (2021). COVID-19 treatments and pathogenesis including anosmia in K18-hACE2 mice. Nature *589*, 603–607.

Zhou, T., Lynch, R.M., Chen, L., Acharya, P., Wu, X., Doria-Rose, N.A., Joyce, M.G., Lingwood, D., Soto, C., Bailer, R.T., et al.; NISC Comparative Sequencing Program (2015). Structural repertoire of HIV-1-neutralizing antibodies targeting the CD4 supersite in 14 donors. Cell *161*, 1280–1292.

Zost, S.J., Gilchuk, P., Case, J.B., Binshtein, E., Chen, R.E., Nkolola, J.P., Schäfer, A., Reidy, J.X., Trivette, A., Nargi, R.S., et al. (2020a). Potently neutralizing and protective human antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. Nature *584*, 443–449.

Zost, S.J., Gilchuk, P., Chen, R.E., Case, J.B., Reidy, J.X., Trivette, A., Nargi, R.S., Sutton, R.E., Suryadevara, N., Chen, E.C., et al. (2020b). Rapid isolation and profiling of a diverse panel of human monoclonal antibodies targeting the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. Nat. Med. *26*, 1422–1427.

Zost, S.J., Dong, J., Gilchuk, I.M., Gilchuk, P., Thornburg, N.J., Bangaru, S., Kose, N., Finn, J.A., Bombardi, R., Soto, C., et al. (2021). Canonical features of human antibodies recognizing the influenza hemagglutinin trimer interface. J. Clin. Invest. *131*, e146791.



STAR*METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
COV2-2196	Zost et al., 2020a	N/A
COV2-2130	Zost et al., 2020a	N/A
COV2-2490	Zost et al., 2020b	N/A
COV2-2676	Zost et al., 2020b	N/A
CR3022	Dingens et al., 2020	N/A
r2D22	Smith et al., 2012	N/A
COV2-2002	This paper	N/A
COV2-2333	This paper	N/A
COV2-2164	This paper	N/A
CnC2t1p1_B10	Kreer et al., 2020	N/A
COV2-2531	This paper	N/A
C126	Robbiani et al., 2020	N/A
Goat anti-human IgG Fc, Multispecies ads- HRP	Southern Biotech	Cat#: 2014-05
Goat anti-human IgG Alexa Fluor 647	Invitrogen	Cat#: A-21445
Angiotensin Converting Enzyme-2, ACE-2	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#: SAE0064
Monoclonal ANTI-FLAG M2-Peroxidase HRP	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#: A8592
Anti-S2 antibody 1A9	Genetex	Cat#: GTX632604
Bacterial and virus strains		
VSV-SARS-CoV-2	Case et al., 2020b	N/A
VSV-SARS-CoV-2 D614G	Case et al., 2020b	N/A
VSV-SARS-CoV-1	Case et al., 2020b	N/A
SARS-CoV-2 D614G	Plante et al., 2021	N/A
SARS-CoV-2 (strain 2019 n-CoV/ USA_WA1/2020)	CDC/BEI Resources	NR52281
SARS-CoV-2 (isolate B.1.1.7)	Chen et al., 2021	N/A
SARS-CoV-2 (isolate B.1.429)	Chen et al., 2021	N/A
SARS-CoV-2 (isolate B.1.1.298)	Chen et al., 2021	N/A
SARS-CoV-2 (isolate B.1.1.28)	Chen et al., 2021	N/A
Biological samples		
Plasmablasts from SARS-CoV-2 vaccinee	This paper	N/A
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
SARS-CoV-2 S6P _{ecto}	Greaney et al., 2021	N/A
Avidin-Peroxidase	Sigma	Cat#: A3151
1-step Ultra TMB-ELISA substrate solution	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#: 34029
ExpiCHO Expression Medium	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#: A2910001
FreeStyle 293 expression medium	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#: 1238002
Fetal Bovine Serum, ultra-low IgG	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#: 16250078
EZ-Link NHS-PEG4-Biotin, No-Weigh Format	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#: A39259
FabALACTICA® Fab kit	Genovis	Cat#: A2-AFK-025
BioLock biotin blocking solution	IBA Lifescience	Cat#: 2-0205-050
Uranyl Formate	EMS	Cat#: CF400-CU-50

(Continued on next page)

CellPress OPEN ACCESS



Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Deposited data		
Sequence Read Archive deposition for the public clonotypes identified	This paper	NCBI: PRJNA511481
Paired heavy and light chain plasmablast sequences, that were identified as public clonotypes, following mRNA vaccination	This paper	GenBank accession numbers MZ555491 through MZ555628
Sequences of COV2-2164	This paper	GenBank accession numbers MZ555629 and MZ555630
Experimental models: Cell lines		
Monkey: Vero E6	American Type Culture Collection	CRL-1586, RRID: CVCL_0574
Monkey: Vero E6 +TMPRSS2	Diamond laboratory	N/A
Monkey: Vero E6 + ACE2 + TMPRS22	A. Creanga and B. Graham (Vaccine Research Center, NIH)	N/A
Monkey: Vero CCL-81	American Type Culture Collection	CCL-81; RRID: CVCL_0059
Monkey: Vero Furin	Mukherjee et al., 2016	N/A
Monkey: MA104	American Type Culture Collection	CRL-2378.1
Calu-3	Thorne laboratory	N/A
Hamster: ExpiCHO-S	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A29127, RRID: CVCL_5J31
Human: FreeStyle 293-F	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# R79007, RRID: CVCL_D603
Human: HEK293T	American Type Culture Collection	CRL-3216
Human: HEK293T+ACE2	Diamond laboratory	N/A
Human: HEK293	American Type Culture Collection	CRL-1473
Experimental models: Organisms/Strains		
Mouse: B6.Cg-Tg(K18-ACE2)2Prlmn/J	Jackson Laboratory	Cat# 034860; RRID: IMSR_JAX:03486
Recombinant DNA		
Plasmid: pTwist-CMV S6P _{ecto}	Greaney et al., 2021	N/A
Plasmid: pTwist-mCis_G1 COV2-2196	Zost et al., 2020a	N/A
Plasmid: pTwist-mCis_G1 COV2-2130	Zost et al., 2020a	N/A
Plasmid: pTwist-mCis_G1 COV2-2490	Zost et al., 2020b	N/A
Plasmid: pTwist-mCis_G1 COV2-2676	Zost et al., 2020b	N/A
Plasmid: pTwist-mCis_G1 rCR3022	Dingens et al., 2020	N/A
Plasmid: pTwist-mCis_G1 r2D22	Smith et al., 2012	N/A
Plasmid: pTwist-mCis_G1 COV2-2002	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: pTwist-mCis_G1 COV2-2333	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: pTwist-mCis_G1 COV2-2164	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: pTwist-mCis_G1 CnC2t1p1_B10	Kreer et al., 2020	N/A
Plasmid: pTwist-mCis_G1 COV2-2531	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: pTwist-mCis_G1 C126	Robbiani et al., 2020	N/A
Software and algorithms		
RTCA version 2.1.0	Acea Biosciences, Inc.	RTCA Software, RRID: SCR_014821
Serial EM 3.7	D.N. Mastronarde	SerialEM, RRID: SCR_017293
Topaz 0.2.3	Bepler et al., 2019, 2020	Тораz
UCSF Chimera 1.14	Pettersen et al., 2004	UCSF Chimera, RRID: SCR_004097
Cryosparc 3.0.0	Punjani et al., 2017	RRID: SCR_016501
FlowJo	FlowJo, LLC	v10



Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Computational pipeline for the clustering analysis	This paper	Zenodo; DOI 10.5281/zenodo.5150784
Script to create heatmaps	This paper	Zenodo; DOI 10.5281/zenodo.5150784
PyIR script used to determine sequence characteristics of each antibody	This paper	Zenodo; DOI 10.5281/zenodo.5150784
GraphPad Prism	GraphPad	v 9.0.0
iQue® Forecyt® Software	Sartorius	N/A
Nanozoomer Digital Pathology	Hamamatsu	v2
Other		
xCELLigence RTCA MP analyzer	Acea Biosciences, Inc.	N/A
xCELLigence E-Plate 96 PET cell culture plates	Acea Biosciences, Inc.	Cat# 300601010
ÄKTA pure chromatography system	GE Healthcare Life Sciences	N/A
Biostack microplate stacker	BioTek	N/A
FEI TF20 electron microscope with Gatan US4000 4k × 4k CCD camera	Thermo Fisher Scientific	N/A
StrepTrap HP column	GE Healthcare Life Sciences	Cat# 28-9075-48
400 mesh copper EM grids	Electron Microscopy Services	Cat# 22451
EL406 washer dispenser	BioTek	N/A
HisTrap Excel column	GE Healthcare Life Sciences	Cat# 17-3712-06
HiTrap MabSelect SuRe 5 mL column	GE Healthcare Life Sciences	Cat# 29-0491-04
Intellicyt iQue Screener Plus	Sartorius	N/A
ImmunoSpot S6 Plate Imager	Cellular Technology Limited	N/A

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, James. E. Crowe, Jr. (james.crowe@vumc.org)

Materials availability

Materials described in this paper are available for distribution for nonprofit use using templated documents from Association of University Technology Managers "Toolkit MTAs," available at: https://autm.net/surveys-and-tools/agreements/material-transferagreements/mta-toolkit.

Data and code availability

Sequence Read Archive deposition for the public clonotypes identified is deposited at the NCBI: PRJNA511481. Deposition for the paired heavy and light chain plasmablast sequences, that were identified as public clonotypes, following mRNA vaccination is deposited in GenBank with accession numbers MZ555491 through MZ555628. The sequences of COV2-2164 are deposited in GenBank with accession numbers MZ555630. All other data are available in the main text or the supplementary materials. The computational pipeline for the clustering analysis, the script to create heatmaps, and the PyIR script used to determine sequence characteristics of each antibody are available as listed in the Key resources table. Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Research participants

We studied three patients (patient 2 [52-year-old female], 3 [56-year-old male] and 4 [56-year-old female]) in North America with laboratory-confirmed symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections that we have described previously (Zost et al., 2020b). We studied one patient (59-year-old male) who received Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine. The studies were approved by the Institutional Review Board of Vanderbilt University Medical Center.



Cell lines

Vero E6 (ATCC, CRL-1586) cells were maintained at 37° C in 5% CO₂ in Dulbecco's minimal essential medium (DMEM) containing 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 10 mM HEPES pH 73, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1 × non-essential amino acids, and 100 U/mL of penicillin-streptomycin. ExpiCHO cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A29127) were maintained at 37° C in 8% CO₂ in ExpiCHO Expression Medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A2910002). Mycoplasma testing of cell lines was performed on monthly basis using a PCR-based mycoplasma detection kit (ATCC, 30-1012K), with negative results at each testing. Calu-3 (ATCC, HTB-55) cells were maintained at 37° C in 5% CO₂ in DMEM with high glucose and L-glutamine (GIBCO 11965092), containing 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), and 100 U/mL of penicillin-streptomycin. Vero-TMPRSS2 cells (Zang et al., 2020) were cultured at 37° C in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 10 mM HEPES pH 7.3, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1 × non-essential amino acids, 100 U/mL of penicillin-streptomycin, and 5 µg/mL of blasticidin.

Viruses

The generation of a replication-competent VSV expressing SARS-CoV-2 S protein with a 21 amino acid C-terminal deletion that replaces the VSV G protein (VSV-SARS-CoV-2) was described previously (Case et al., 2020b). The S protein-expressing VSV virus was propagated in MA104 cell culture monolayers (African green monkey, ATCC CRL-2378.1) (Case et al., 2020b). Viral stocks were titrated on Vero E6 cell monolayer cultures by visualizing VSV plaques using neutral red staining. VSV-SARS-CoV-2/D614G was introduced by site directed mutagenesis. The 2019n-CoV/USA_WA1/2019 isolate of SARS-CoV-2 was obtained from the US Centers for Disease Control (CDC). Infectious stocks were propagated by inoculating Vero CCL81 cells. Supernatant was aliquoted and stored at -80°C. The University of Arizona group obtained the USA-WA1/2020 isolate of SARS-CoV-2 from WRCEVA. Early passage virus stock was generated by a single passage on Vero CCL81 for 48 h. Infected cell lysate and culture supernatant was combined, subjected to one freeze-thaw, and then centrifuged to pellet cell debris. The stock was titered to ~3 x10⁶ PFU/mL by standard plaque assay on Vero CCL81 cells. Nanopore sequencing of these early passages confirmed the genome sequence was identical to the GenBank WA1/ 2020 sequence (MN985325.1), with no mutations in the spike furin cleavage site. All work with infectious SARS-CoV-2 was performed in Institutional Biosafety Committee-approved BSL3 or A-BSL3 facilities at Washington University School of Medicine or University of Arizona, using appropriate positive pressure air respirators and protective equipment. The WA1/2020 recombinant strains with substitutions (D614G or N501Y/D614G) or a chimeric spike gene (B.1.1.28) were obtained from an infectious cDNA clone of the 2019n-CoV/ USA_WA1/2020 strain, as previously described (Plante et al., 2021). The B.1.1.7, B.1.429, and B.1.1.298 isolates were obtained from nasopharyngeal swabs, and all viruses were passaged once in Vero-TMPRSS2 cells and subjected to next-generation sequencing as previously described (Chen et al., 2021) to confirm the introduction and stability of substitutions. Substitutions for each variant were as follows: B.1.1.7: deletion of 69 and 70 and 144 and 145, N501Y, A570D, D614G, P681H, T716I, S982A, and D1118H; B.1.1.28: L18F, T20N, P26S, D138Y, R190S, K417T, E484K, N501Y, D614G, H655Y, and T1027I; B.1.429: S13I, W152C, L452R, and D614G; B.1.1.298: deletion of 69 and 70, Y453F, D614G, I692V, and M1229I. All virus experiments were performed in an approved biosafety level 3 facility.

METHOD DETAILS

Clustering for identification of public clonotypes

Publicly available paired sequence sets of antibody genes were obtained (Brouwer et al., 2020; Kreer et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Rogers et al., 2020; Seydoux et al., 2020; Wec et al., 2020; Zost et al., 2020b). Together with sequences derived from this paper, public clonotypes were determined by genetic similarities of antibody sequences using the following clustering scheme. The sequences were first binned by the same heavy chain V and J genes. Following sequences then were clustered according to 70% sequence similarity on their CDRH3 nucleotide sequence. Lastly, sequences then were binned together again if they used the same light chain V and J genes. Clusters of sequences containing sequences from two or more donors were determined to be public clonotypes. This clustering allowed us to identify a total of 11 public clonotypes, three of which had not been previously described. Below are the number of sequences we derived from each publication.

Dublication	Number of a survey of the different	Number of public clonotypes that are
Publication	Number of sequences identified	SARS-Cov-reactive
Liu et al., 2020, Nature	19	
Kreer et al., 2020, Cell	18	
Robbiani et al., 2020, Nature	533	2
Rogers et al., 2020 Science	33	1
Seydoux et al., 2020, <i>Immunity</i>	32	
Brouwer et al., 2020, Science	84	
Hansen et al., 2020, Science	8	
Wec et al., 2020, Science	54	
Zost et al., 2020b, Nature Medicine	389	4



Heatmap generation

All sequences that were identified to be public clonotypes were analyzed with PyIR (Soto et al., 2020) to identify the V and J genes. The number of sequences with corresponding V and J genes on the heavy and light chains were counted. These frequency counts then were plotted onto the heatmap using Python Seaborn Library.

Antibody production and purification

Sequences of mAbs were synthesized using a rapid high-throughput cDNA synthesis platform (Twist Bioscience) and subsequently cloned into an IgG1 monocistronic expression vector (designated as pTwist-mCis_G1) for mAb secretion from mammalian cell culture. This vector contains an enhanced 2A sequence and GSG linker that allows simultaneous expression of mAb heavy- and light-chain genes from a single construct upon transfection (Chng et al., 2015). We performed transfections of ExpiCHO cell cultures using the GIBCO ExpiCHO Expression System and protocol for 50mL mini bioreactor tubes (Corning) as described by the vendor. Culture supernatants were purified using HiTrap MabSelect SuRe (Cytiva, formerly GE Healthcare Life Sciences) on a 24-column parallel protein chromatography system (Protein Biosolutions). Purified monoclonal antibodies were buffer exchanged into PBS, concentrated using Amicon Ultra-4 50-kDa centrifugal filter units (Millipore Sigma) and stored at 4°c until use.

Expression and purification of recombinant receptor binding domain (RBD) of SARS-CoV-2 S protein

For electron microscopy imaging of S protein in complex with Fab forms of human mAbs, we expressed a variant of S6P_{ecto} protein containing a C-terminal Twin-Strep-tag, similar to that described previously (Zost et al., 2020b). Expressed protein was incubated with BioLock (IBA Lifesciences) and then isolated by Strep-tag affinity chromatography on StrepTrap HP columns (GE Healthcare), followed by size-exclusion chromatography on TSKgel G4000SWXL (TOSOH) if needed.

ELISA binding assays

Wells of 384-well microtiter plates were coated with purified recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto}, SARS-CoV-2 RBD, or SARS-CoV S2P_{ecto} at 4°C overnight. Plates were blocked with 2% non-fat dry milk and 2% normal goat serum in DPBS containing 0.05% Tween-20 for 1 h. All antibodies were diluted to a concentration of either 0.4 μ g/mL for the matured antibodies or 5 μ g/mL for the germline-revertant antibodies. Antibodies were diluted in two-fold dilutions until binding was no longer detected. Bound antibodies were detected using goat anti-human IgG conjugated with horseradish peroxidase and TMB substrate. The reaction was quenched with 1N hydrochloric acid once color was developed. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a spectrophotometer (Biotek).

Cell-surface antigen-display assay

Vero cell monolayers were monitored until 80% confluent and then inoculated with VSV-SARS-CoV-2 V (WA1/2020 strain) at an MOI of 0.5 in culture medium (DMEM with 2% FBS). For a T-225 flask, 10 mL of diluted VSV-SARS-CoV-2 virus was added to the monolayer, then incubated for 40 min. During the incubation, the flask was gently rocked back and forth every 10 min to ensure even infection. Following, the incubation the flask volume was topped off to 30 mL with 2% FBS containing DMEM and incubated for 14 h. Cells were monitored for CPE under a microscope, were trypsinized and washed in fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) buffer. 100,000 infected cells were seeded per well to stain with respective antibodies. All antibody was diluted to 10 μ g/mL in FACS buffer, and then serially diluted 3-fold 7 times to stain for antibodies that react to cell-surface-displayed S protein. Infected cells then were resuspended in 50 μ L of diluted antibody. Antibody binding was detected with anti-IgG Alexa Fluor-647-labeled secondary antibodies. Cells were analyzed on an iQue cytometer for staining first by gating to identify infected cells as indicated by GFP-positive cells, and then gated for secondary antibody binding.

Real-time cell analysis (RTCA) neutralization assay

To determine neutralizing activity of purified antibodies or human serum, we used real-time cell analysis (RTCA) assay on an xCEL-Ligence RTCA MP Analyzer (ACEA Biosciences Inc.) that measures virus-induced cytopathic effect (CPE) (Gilchuk et al., 2020; Suryadevara et al., 2021; Zost et al., 2020b). Briefly, 50 μ L of cell culture medium (DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS) was added to each well of a 96-well E-plate to obtain background reading. A suspension of 18,000 Vero cells in 50 μ L of cell culture medium was seeded in each well, and the plate was placed on the analyzer. Measurements were taken automatically every 15 min, and the sensograms were visualized using RTCA software version 2.1.0 (ACEA Biosciences Inc). SARS-CoV-2 S VSV, SARS-CoV-2 S D614G VSV, or SARS-CoV-1 (~0.02 MOI, ~120 PFU per well) was mixed 1:1 with a respective dilution of mAb or heat-inactivated human serum in a total volume of 100 μ L using DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS as a diluent and incubated for 1 h at 37°C in 5% CO₂. At 16 h after seeding the cells, the virus-mAb mixtures were added in replicates to the cells in 96-well E-plates. Triplicate wells containing virus only (maximal CPE in the absence of mAb) and wells containing only Vero cells in medium (no-CPE wells) were included as controls. Plates were measured continuously (every 15 min) for 48 h to assess virus neutralization. Normalized cellular index (CI) values at the endpoint (48 h after incubation with the virus) were determined using the RTCA software version 2.1.0 (ACEA Biosciences Inc.). Results are expressed as percent neutralization in a presence of respective mAb relative to control wells with no CPE minus CI values from control wells with maximum CPE. RTCA IC₅₀ values were determined by nonlinear regression analysis using Prism software.





Competition-binding ELISA

Wells of 384-well microtiter plates were coated with purified recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto} protein at 4°C overnight. Plates were blocked with 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in DPBS containing 0.05% Tween-20 for 1 h. Each antibody was diluted to a concentration of 10 μ g/mL. Next, biotinylated antibodies were diluted to 2.5 μ g/mL and added to the primary antibody solution without washing the plate to a final concentration of 0.5 μ g/mL. Biotinylated antibody binding was detected with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated avidin (Sigma) and developed with TMB. The reaction was quenched with 1N hydrochloric acid once color was developed. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a spectrophotometer.

ACE2 blocking assay

Wells of 384-well microtiter plates were coated with purified recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto} protein at 4°C overnight. Plates were blocked with 2% nonfat dry milk in DPBS containing 0.05% Tween-20 for 1 h. Each antibody was diluted to a concentration of 10 μ g/mL. Next, recombinant human ACE2 protein with a C-terminal FLAG tag was diluted to 2 μ g/mL and added to the antibody solution without washing the plate to a final concentration of ACE2 of 0.4 μ g/mL. ACE2 binding was detected using HRP-conjugated anti-FLAG antibodies and developed with TMB substrate. The reaction was quenched with 1 N hydrochloric acid once color was developed. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a spectrophotometer.

dsRNA staining neutralization assay

Calu-3 cells were seeded at 5,000 cells per well in SCREENSTAR 384-well black plates (Greiner) and allowed to adhere overnight. The cells then were treated with antibodies in 12 concentrations spanning from $5.65 \times 10^{-5} \,\mu$ g/mL to 10 μ g/mL and immediately transferred to a BSL-3 facility where they were inoculated with SARS-CoV-2 at an approximate MOI of 1 PFU/cell in 50 μ L medium, and incubated for 48 h. At the end of the incubation, plates were submerged in PBS with 4% paraformaldehyde and 4% sucrose solution for 30 minutes to fix. Cells then were permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100/PBS for 10 min and blocked with 5% BSA/PBS for 1 h. Primary J2 anti-dsRNA (Scicons #10010500) antibody solution at a 1:1,000 dilution was placed on the cells overnight at 4°C. Cells were washed with 0.1% Tween-20/PBS (PBST) three times and plates were incubated with secondary goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor-546-labeled antibody at 1:1,000 dilution (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 2 h at room temperature in the dark. Plates were washed three times with PBST and incubated with DAPI for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. Plates were then imaged with fluorescent microscopy on a Nikon Eclipse TI2 automated microscopy system with a 20 × objective. Six frames per well were imaged and sum dsRNA fluorescence intensity, normalized to cell count by DAPI, was measured by Nikon Elements imaging software.

Focus reduction neutralization test

Serial dilutions of mAbs (starting at 10 µg/mL dilution) were incubated with 100 FFU of different SARS-CoV-2 strains for 1 h at 37°C. Antibody-virus complexes were added to Vero-TMPRSS2 cell monolayers in 96-well plates and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. Subsequently, cells were overlaid with 1% (w/v) methylcellulose in MEM. Plates were collected 30 h later by removing overlays and fixed with 4% PFA in PBS for 20 min at room temperature. Plates were washed and sequentially incubated with an oligoclonal pool of SARS2-2, SARS2-11, SARS2-16, SARS2-31, SARS2-38, SARS2-57 and SARS2-71 (Case et al., 2020a) anti-S antibodies and HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Sigma, 12-349) in PBS supplemented with 0.1% saponin and 0.1% bovine serum albumin. SARS-CoV-2-infected cell foci were visualized using TrueBlue peroxidase substrate (KPL) and quantitated on an ImmunoSpot micro-analyzer (Cellular Technologies).

Mouse experiments

Animal studies were carried out in accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. The protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the Washington University School of Medicine (assurance number A3381–01). Virus inoculations were performed under anesthesia that was induced and maintained with ketamine hydrochloride and xylazine, and all efforts were made to minimize animal suffering. Heterozygous K18-hACE c57BL/6J mice (strain: 2B6.Cg-Tg(K18-ACE2)2PrImn/J) were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory. Animals were housed in groups and fed standard chow diets. One day prior to infection, mice were given a single 200 µg dose of COV2-2351 or COV2-2164 by intraperitoneal injection. Eight- to nine-week-old mice were administered 10³ PFU of SARS-CoV-2 by intranasal administration.

Measurement of viral burden in mouse tissues

Tissues were weighed and homogenized with zirconia beads in a MagNA Lyser instrument (Roche Life Science) in 1,000 µL of DMEM medium supplemented with 2% heat-inactivated FBS. Tissue homogenates were clarified by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 5 min and stored at -80°C. RNA was extracted using the MagMax mirVana Total RNA isolation kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) on the King-fisher Flex extraction robot (Thermo Fisher Scientific). RNA was reverse transcribed and amplified using the TaqMan RNA-to-CT 1-Step Kit (Thermo Fisher). Reverse transcription was carried out at 48°C for 15 min followed by 2 min at 95°C. Amplification was accomplished over 50 cycles as follows: 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. The number of copies of SARS-CoV-2 N gene RNA in samples was determined using a previously published assay (Case et al., 2020a). Briefly, a TaqMan assay was designed to target a highly conserved region of the N gene (forward primer: ATGCTGCAATCGTGCTACAA; Reverse primer:m GACTGCCGCCTCTGCTC; Probe: /56-FAM/TCAAGGAAC/ZEN/AACATTGCCAA/3IABkFQ/). This region was included in an RNA



standard to allow for copy number determination down to 10 copies per reaction. The reaction mixture contained final concentrations of primers or probe of 500 or 100 nM, respectively.

Electron microscopy sample and grid preparation, imaging and processing of S6P_{ecto}-Fab complexes

Fabs were produced by digesting recombinant chromatography-purified IgGs using resin-immobilized cysteine protease enzyme (FabALACTICA, Genovis). The digestion occurred in 100 mM sodium phosphate and 150 mM NaCl pH 7.2 (PBS) for around 16 h at ambient temperature. To remove cleaved Fc from intact IgG, the digestion mix was incubated with CaptureSelect Fc resin (Genovis) for 30 min at ambient temperature in PBS buffer.

For screening and imaging of negatively-stained SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto} protein in complex with human Fabs, the proteins were incubated at a Fab:S molar ratio of 4:1 for about 1 h at ambient temperature or overnight at 4°C. Approximately 3 μ L of the sample at concentrations of about 10 to 15 μ g/mL was applied to a glow-discharged grid with continuous carbon film on 400 square mesh copper electron microscopy grids (Electron Microscopy Sciences). The grids were stained with 0.75% uranyl formate (Ohi et al., 2004). Images were recorded on a Gatan US4000 4k × 4k CCD camera using an FEI TF20 (TFS) transmission electron microscope operated at 200 keV and control with Serial EM. All images were taken at 50,000 × magnification with a pixel size of 2.18 Å per pixel in low-dose mode at a defocus of 1.5 to 1.8 μ m. The total dose for the micrographs was around 30 e – per Å². Image processing was performed using the cryoSPARC software package. Images were imported, CTF-estimated, and particles were picked. The particles were extracted with a box size of 256 pixels and binned to 128 pixels (pixel size of 4.36 Å/pix) and 2D class averages were performed.

Epitope mapping of antibodies by alanine scanning

Epitope mapping was performed essentially as described previously (Davidson and Doranz, 2014) using SARS-CoV-2 (Wuhan-Hu-1 strain) S protein RBD and S2 shotgun mutagenesis mutation libraries, made using a full-length expression construct for S protein. 184 residues of the RBD (between S residues 335 and 526), and 513 S2 residues (between residues 689 - 1247) were mutated individually to alanine, and alanine residues to serine. Mutations were confirmed by DNA sequencing, and clones arrayed in a 384-well plate, one mutant per well. Binding of mAbs to each mutant clone in the alanine scanning library was determined, in duplicate, by highthroughput flow cytometry. A plasmid encoding cDNA for each S protein mutant was transfected into HEK293T cells and allowed to express for 22 h. Cells were fixed in 4% (v/v) paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences), and permeabilized with 0.1% (w/v) saponin (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS plus calcium and magnesium (PBS++) before incubation with mAbs diluted in PBS++, 10% normal goat serum (Sigma), and 0.1% saponin. mAb screening concentrations were determined using an independent immunofluorescence titration curve against cells expressing wild-type S protein to ensure that signals were within the linear range of detection. Antibodies were detected using 3.75 µg/mL of Alexa Fluor-488-labeled secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) in 10% normal goat serum with 0.1% saponin. Cells were washed three times with PBS++/0.1% saponin followed by two washes in PBS, and mean cellular fluorescence was detected using a high-throughput Intellicyte iQue flow cytometer (Sartorius). Antibody reactivity against each mutant S protein clone was calculated relative to wild-type S protein reactivity by subtracting the signal from mock-transfected controls and normalizing to the signal from wild-type S-transfected controls. Mutations within clones were identified as critical to the mAb epitope if they did not support reactivity of the test mAb but supported reactivity of other SARS-CoV-2 antibodies. This counter-screen strategy facilitates the exclusion of S protein mutants that are locally misfolded or have an expression defect.

Cell-surface binding to full- length S protein, variant S proteins, or S2 domain protein

A plasmid encoding the S protein C terminus S2 region (starting at residue S685) was transfected into HEK293T cells arrayed in a 384well plate and allowed to express for 22 h. Cells transfected with vector alone acted as negative controls. MAbs were screened over a range of concentrations, 4 replicates for each mAb concentration, as described for epitope mapping. Fluorescence values were background subtracted.

ELISA binding assay for serum analysis

To assess serum reactivity, 384-well microtiter plates were coated with purified recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto} at 4°c overnight. Plates were blocked with blocking buffer (2% non-fat dry milk and 2% normal goat serum in DPBS containing 0.05% Tween-20) for 1 h. Serum was diluted 1:75 in blocking buffer, and then diluted three-fold serially 15 times, and added to wells. Binding was detected with goat anti-human IgG conjugated with horseradish peroxidase and TMB substrate. The reaction was quenched with 1N hydrochloric acid once color was developed. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a spectrophotometer (Biotek).

Plasmablasts isolation and flow cytometric analysis

Blood was collected into tubes containing heparin. To assess plasmablasts frequency in PBMCs for analytical flow cytometric studies, PBMCs were enriched from whole blood (day 10 after first, and day 7 after second vaccination) using direct PBMCs isolation kit (StemCell Technologies). For singe-cell antibody secretion and paired antibody sequencing studies, plasmablasts were enriched from the whole blood (day 7 after second vaccination) by negative selection using custom direct human plasmablasts isolation kit containing paramagnetic beads and antibodies for negative selection (StemCell Technologies). Enriched cells were stained 30 min on ice in a RoboSep buffer (StemCell Technologies) containing following phenotyping antibodies; anti-CD19-FITC (1:20





dilution, eBioscience), anti-CD27-APC (1:20 dilution), and anti-CD38-PE (1:25 dilution, BD Biosciences), and then analyzed by flow cytometry using an SH800 cell sorter (Sony). A DAPI stain was used as a viability dye to exclude dead cells. Plasmablasts were identified as DAPI-CD19^{lo}CD27^{hi}CD38^{hi} cells. Approximately 40,000 and ~6,000 plasmablasts were FACS-sorted in a bulk for paired antibody sequencing and single-cell antibody secretion studies, respectively.

Generation of antibody variable-gene libraries from single plasmablasts

For paired antibody sequencing, cells were resuspended into DPBS containing 0.04% non-acetylated BSA, split into four replicates, and separately added to 50 μ L of RT Reagent Mix, 5.9 μ L of Poly-dt RT Primer, 2.4 μ L of Additive A and 10 μ L of RT Enzyme Mix B to complete the Reaction Mix as per the vendor's protocol. The reactions then were loaded onto a Chromium chip (10x Genomics). Chromium Single Cell V(D)J B Cell-enriched libraries were generated, quantified, normalized and sequenced according to the User Guide for Chromium Single Cell V(D)J Reagents kits (CG000086_REV C). Amplicons were sequenced on an Illumina Novaseq 6000, and data were processed using the CellRanger software v3.1.0 (10X Genomics).

Single-cell antibody secretion analysis using Beacon instrument

FACS-purified plasmablasts were resuspended in plasmablast survival medium that promotes antibody secretion and assessed for reactivity of secreted antibodies using the 11k chip on Beacon optofluidic instrument (Berkley Lights) as previously described (Zost et al., 2020b). Single cell-antibody secretion binding assay was performed as previously described (Zost et al., 2020b) using SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto}- and SARS-CoV-2 RBD-coated beads.

ELISpot assay

Direct enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot (ELISpot) assay was performed to enumerate plasmablasts present in the PBMC samples secreting IgG, IgM, or IgA antibodies reacting with either SARS-CoV-2-S6Pecto protein or influenza A/Darwin/42/2020 H1N1 hemagglutinin protein (as a negative control). Briefly, 96-well ELISpot MSIP plates (Millipore) were activated with 100 µL 100% methanol/ well for 10 s, washed three times with 1 × DPBS, coated overnight either with 100 µL of 2 µg/mL of SARS-CoV-2-S6P_{ecto} or influenza HA protein in PBS overnight at 4°C. Plates were washed three times with 1 × DPBS and blocked by incubation with RPMI containing 10% FCS at 37°C for 2 h. Enriched plasmablasts or FACS-sorted plasmablasts were added to the plates and incubated 18-24 h at 37°C. Plates were washed with PBS and then PBS containing 0.05% Tween, and then incubated with either goat anti-human IgG-HRP conjugated antibodies (Southern Biotech), goat anti-human IgA-HRP conjugated antibodies (Southern Biotech), or goat anti-human IgM-HRP conjugated antibodies (Southern Biotech) for 2 h at room temperature. After washing three times with PBS containing 0.05% Tween/1% BSA, plates were developed using 3-amino-9-ethyl-carbazole (AEC) substrate (Sigma). The developed plates were scanned, and spots were analyzed using an automated ELISpot counter (Cellular Technologies Ltd.). Plasmablasts or sorted plasmablasts from PBMCs were added to the plates and incubated 18-24 h at 37°C. Plates were washed with PBS and then PBS containing 0.05% Tween, and then incubated with either goat anti-human IgG-HRP conjugated antibodies (Southern Biotech, catalog no. 2040-05), goat anti-human IgA-HRP conjugated antibodies (Southern Biotech, catalog no. 2050-05), or goat anti-human IgM-HRP conjugated antibodies (Southern Biotech, catalog no. 2020-05) for 2 h at room temperature. After washing three times with PBS containing 0.05% Tween/1% BSA, plates were developed using 3-amino-9-ethyl-carbazole (AEC) substrate (Sigma). The developed plates were scanned and spots were analyzed using an automated ELISpot counter (Cellular Technologies Ltd.).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The descriptive statistics mean \pm SEM or mean \pm SD were determined for continuous variables as noted. Virus titers in the tissues were compared using one-way ANOVA with Turkey's post-test. Curves for antibody binding and neutralization were fitted after log transformation of antibody concentrations using non-linear regression analysis. Technical and biological replicates are indicated in the figure legends. Statistical analyses were performed using Prism v8.4.3 (GraphPad).

Cell Reports, Volume 36

Supplemental information

Convergent antibody

responses to the SARS-CoV-2 spike

protein in convalescent and vaccinated individuals

Elaine C. Chen, Pavlo Gilchuk, Seth J. Zost, Naveenchandra Suryadevara, Emma S. Winkler, Carly R. Cabel, Elad Binshtein, Rita E. Chen, Rachel E. Sutton, Jessica Rodriguez, Samuel Day, Luke Myers, Andrew Trivette, Jazmean K. Williams, Edgar Davidson, Shuaizhi Li, Benjamin J. Doranz, Samuel K. Campos, Robert H. Carnahan, Curtis A. Thorne, Michael S. Diamond, and James E. Crowe Jr.



Figure S1. Controls for ELISA and neutralization assays, Related to Figure 2.

- a. Positive or negative controls used for testing antibody binding in ELISA to SARS-CoV2-S6P_{ecto}, SARS-CoV-1 S2P_{ecto}, or SARS-CoV-2 RBD proteins. The positive control antibody COV2-2381 binds to SARS-CoV-2 S2P_{ecto} and RBD but not to SARS-CoV-1 S2P_{ecto}, and the positive control antibody rCR3022 also binds to SARS-CoV-1 S2P_{ecto}.
- b. Positive or negative controls used for replication-competent chimeric VSV neutralization assays. COV2-2381 was used as a positive control for SARS-CoV-2 WT and D614G, whereas rCR3022 was used as a positive control for SARS-CoV-1.



100µM

Figure S2. Staining of dsRNA intensity, Related to Figure 2. Staining of dsRNA intensity split into DAPI stain, dsRNA stain, and the two merged for each antibody group.

- a. Staining for Group 1 antibodies.
- b. Staining for Group 2 antibodies.
- c. Staining for Group 3 antibodies.
- d. Staining for control antibodies. 2D22⁴⁷ is used as a negative control antibody. COV2-2130⁴³ is used as a

positive control antibody.



Figure S3. Antibody binding to cell surface displayed variant S protein, Related to Figure 2. All public clonotypes were tested against all variants listed, starting at 1 μ g/mL. 1A9 from Genetex, an anti-S2 non-conformational antibody, was used as a control to test for spike protein expression.



Figure S4. Negative stain electron microscopy complexes of each public clonotype, Related to Figure 3.

- a. Negative stain EM of SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto} protein in complex with Fab forms of COV2-2002 or COV2-2333.
- Negative stain EM of SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto} protein in complex with Fab forms of COV2-2164 or CnC2t1p1_B10.
- c. Negative stain EM of SARS-CoV-2 S6P_{ecto} protein in complex with Fab forms of COV2-2531 or C126.



Figure S5. Control reagents for detection of antibody binding to membrane-anchored S protein in cell-surface antigen-display assays, Related to Figures 2 and 3.

a. Gating strategy used for cell-surface antigen-display experiment. The first gate is for all cells, the second gate is for infected cells, and the third gate is for antibody binding to infected cells.

b. Controls used for cell-surface antigen-display antibody binding experiment. Cell-only control in shown in light grey. The unrelated mAb DENV 2D22 was used as an antibody negative control, shown in dark grey. The mAb COV2-2381 shown in dark blue and mAb rCR3022 shown in turquoise were used as positive antibody controls.

c. Histogram of data obtained using infected or uninfected cells. Infected cells are shown in light grey, and uninfected cells are shown in dark grey.

d. Group 1, 2, or 3 antibody binding to infected cells. The antibody concentration used was 10 µg/mL for all antibodies.

e. Group 1, 2, or 3 germline-revertant antibody binding to infected cells. The antibody concentration used was 10 µg/mL for all antibodies.



Figure S6. Primary data for alanine mutagenesis screening, Related to Figure 3. Binding values for mAbs on the SARS-CoV-2 S protein alanine scan library. The binding values at critical mutant clones for

- a. Group 1 (COV2-2002 in light purple and COV2-2333 in dark purple) and Group 2 (COV2-2164 in pink and CnC2t1p1_B10 in red)antibodies are shown as a percentage of mAb binding to wild-type (WT) SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and are plotted with the range (highest-minus lowest binding value) of at least two measurements.
- b. Group 3 (COV2-2531 in light orange and C126 in dark orange) antibodies are shown as a percentage of mAb binding to wild-type (WT) SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and are plotted with the range (highest-minus lowest binding value) of at least two measurements.

Virus	Ar	nino acid sequence of the RBD protein, at the indicated position	ated positions		
SARS-CoV-1	306	RVVPSGDVVRFPNITNLCPFGEVFNATKFPSVYAWERKKISNCVADYSVL	355		
SARS-CoV-2	319	RVQPTESIVRFPNITNLCPFGEVFNATRFASVYAWNRKRISNCVADYSVL	368		
SARS-CoV-1	356	YNSTF <mark>FSTFKCYGVSATK</mark> LN <mark>DL</mark> C <mark>F</mark> SNVYADSFVVKGDDVRQIAPGQTGVI	405		
SARS-CoV-2	369	YNSASFSTFKCYGVSPTKLNDLCFTNVYADSFVIRGDEVRQIAPGQTGKI	418		
SARS-CoV-1	406	ADYNYKLP <mark>DDFM</mark> GCVLAWNTRNIDATSTGNHNYKYRYLRHGKLRPFERDI	455		
SARS-CoV-2	419	ADYNYKLP <mark>DDFT</mark> GCVIAWNSNNLDSKVGGNYNYLYRLFRKSNLKPFERDI	468		
SARS-CoV-1	456	SNVPFSPDGKPCTP-PALNCYWPLNDYGFYTTTGIGYQPYRVVVLS <mark>FEL</mark> L	504		
SARS-CoV-2	469	STEIYQAGSTPCNGVEGFNCYFPLQSYGFQPTNGVGYQPYRVVVLS <mark>FEL</mark> L	518		
SARS-CoV-1	505	NAPATVCGPKLSTDLIKNQCVNF			
SARS-CoV-2	519	HAPATVCGPKKSTNLVKNKCVNF			
		CR3022 epitope			
		COV2-2531 critical residues			
		 C126 critical residues 			

Figure S7. Overlay of CR3022 structure with Group 3 antibodies when bound to RBD, Related to Figure 3.

a. The structures for the RBD domains for both SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV-1 were overlaid. The epitope of rCR3022 is highlighted in orange (from Yuan *et al.*). Light orange dots denote the binding residues for mAb COV2-2531, and dark orange dots denote the binding residues for C126. Figure adapted from previous study⁴⁸.

Group 1 Germline Revertant:

- HC: EVQLVESGGGLVQPGGSLRLSCAASGFTFSSYWMSWVRQAPGKGLEWVANIKQDGSEKYY VDSVKGRFTISRDNAKNSLYLQMNSLRAEDTAVYYCARVGSSSWYFDYWGQGTLVTVSS
- LC: SYELTQPPSVSVSPGQTASITCSGDKLGDKYACWYQQKPGQSPVLVIYQDSKRPSGIPER FSGSNSGNTATLTISGTQAMDEADYYCQAWDSSTGVFGGGTKLTV

Group 2 Germline Revertant:

- HC: QVQLVQSGAEVKKPGSSVKVSCKASGGTFSSYAISWVRQAPGQGLEWMGGIIPIFGTANY AQKFQGRVTITADESTSTAYMELSSLRSEDTAVYYCARTSHYDSSGSYFEYWGQGTLVTVSS
- LC: EIVLTQSPATLSLSPGERATLSCRASQSVSSYLAWYQQKPGQAPRLLIYDASNRATGIPA RFSGSGSGTDFTLTISSLEPEDFAVYYCQQRSNWPPSLTFGGGTKVEI

Group 3 Germline Revertant:

- HC: QVQLQESGPGLVKPSETLSLTCTVSGGSISSYYWSWIRQPPGKGLEWIGYIYYSGSTNYN PSLKSRVTISVDTSKNQFSLKLSSVTAADTAVYYCARATWLRDAFGIWGQGTMVTVSS
- LC: NFMLTQPHSVSESPGKTVTISCTGSSGSIASNYVQWYQQRPGSAPTTVIYEDNQRPSGVP DRFSGSIDSSSNSASLTISGLKTEDEADYYCQSYDSSNVVFGGGTKLTVL

Figure S8. Germline revertant sequences for each public clonotype group, Related to Figure 4. The

corresponding heavy and light chain germline revertant sequences of each public clonotype are listed.