Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

Open Access Publications

2-1-2022

RAS and beyond: The many faces of the neurofibromatosis type 1 protein

Corina Anastasaki Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Paola Orozco Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

David H Gutmann Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs

Recommended Citation

Anastasaki, Corina; Orozco, Paola; and Gutmann, David H, ,"RAS and beyond: The many faces of the neurofibromatosis type 1 protein." Disease models and mechanisms. 15,2. . (2022). https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/11440

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact vanam@wustl.edu.

SPECIAL ARTICLE

Biologists



RAS and beyond: the many faces of the neurofibromatosis type 1 protein

Corina Anastasaki*, Paola Orozco and David H. Gutmann

ABSTRACT

Neurofibromatosis type 1 is a rare neurogenetic syndrome, characterized by pigmentary abnormalities, learning and social deficits, and a predisposition for benign and malignant tumor formation caused by germline mutations in the *NF1* gene. With the cloning of the *NF1* gene and the recognition that the encoded protein, neurofibromin, largely functions as a negative regulator of RAS activity, attention has mainly focused on RAS and canonical RAS effector pathway signaling relevant to disease pathogenesis and treatment. However, as neurofibromin is a large cytoplasmic protein the RAS regulatory domain of which occupies only 10% of its entire coding sequence, both canonical and non-canonical RAS functions, are becoming apparent. In this Special article, we discuss our current understanding of neurofibromin function.

KEY WORDS: Neurofibromin, RAS, Cyclic AMP, Tumor suppressor

Introduction

Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) is an autosomal-dominant condition, affecting 1 in 3000 individuals worldwide (Evans et al., 2010; Uusitalo et al., 2015). Although all people with NF1 are born with a germline mutation in the NF1 gene, thousands of different germline mutations in this gene have been reported (Koczkowska et al., 2018). Moreover, the spectrum of clinical manifestations in any given individual is highly variable, even within families. Children and adults are at risk for developing numerous medical and neuropsychiatric problems, including hyperpigmented spots on their skin (café-au-lait macules, axillary and inguinal freckling) and iris (Lisch nodules), learning deficits, autism symptomatology, seizures, vision loss, depression, chronic pain, cardiac malformations, bone defects, neuroendocrine tumors, brain and nerve tumors, and breast cancer (Ferner and Gutmann, 2013; Gutmann et al., 2017). This extreme degree of clinical heterogeneity represents a major barrier to risk assessment and proactive medical management.

In the 1980s, a concerted and coordinated effort was made to collect families with NF1 in order to identify the causative gene. This included the formation of the National Neurofibromatosis Foundation (NNFF) and the establishment of uniform consensus clinical criteria to enable an accurate diagnosis of NF1. In 1990, two groups, one headed by Dr Francis S. Collins (University of

*Author for correspondence (korinaanastasaki@wustl.edu)

D.C.A., 0000-0002-9928-6488; D.H.G., 0000-0002-3127-5045

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed. Michigan) and another by Dr Ray White (University of Utah), announced the cloning of the *NF1* gene on chromosome 17q11.2 using converging methods and numerous patient DNA samples. The discovery of the *NF1* gene confirmed the genetic basis for this condition, and helped to establish that NF1 results from germline mutations in this gene.

Further sequence analysis revealed that the NF1 gene encoded a large protein of 2818 amino acids, termed neurofibromin, with partial sequence similarity to a family of proteins known to function as negative regulators of the RAS proto-oncogene. These negative regulators are termed RAS GTPase-activating proteins (RAS-GAPs) and all share a small 300-amino acid RAS-binding domain (Fig. 1). RAS proteins are membrane-tethered molecules that are activated by guanine exchange factors (GEFs) in response to growth factor receptor (e.g. receptor tyrosine kinases) or G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) ligand binding. GEFs, in turn, promote the exchange of GDP for GTP on the RAS proteins, converting RAS from its inactive GDP-bound form to its active GTP-bound conformation. Conversely, this activation is negatively controlled by gate-keeping GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs), which facilitate the hydrolysis of the GTP moiety by stimulating the intrinsic GTPase activity of RAS, leading to RAS inactivation.

Exons 27-34 of the NF1 gene encode the 300-amino acid structural and functional RAS-GAP homology domain that alone is sufficient to accelerate RAS-GTP hydrolysis and result in decreased RAS activity (Ballester et al., 1990, 1989). In this manner, neurofibromin functions as a GAP to negatively regulate the activity of all three classic RAS proteins (HRAS, NRAS, KRAS) (Ballester et al., 1990; Martin et al., 1990; Xu et al., 1990), but may also regulate other RAS-regulated proteins, like RRAS and MRAS (Huang et al., 2004; Rey et al., 1994; Weber et al., 2021). In individuals with NF1, loss-of-function NF1 mutations decrease neurofibromin GAP function, resulting in increased RAS activity (Basu et al., 1992; DeClue et al., 1992), and, in turn, drive cell hyperproliferation. Notably, overexpression of the neurofibromin GAP domain is sufficient to normalize the increased RAS activity and cell hyperproliferation in Nf1-deficient mouse cells and tissues (Hiatt et al., 2001; Ismat et al., 2006). Taken together, these findings support the idea that many clinical features of NF1 are caused by impaired neurofibromin RAS proto-oncogene regulation.

The assignment of neurofibromin as a RAS-GAP shifted the focus of NF1 research on RAS downstream signaling, and the development of therapeutic agents inhibiting RAS or RAS effector activity and function. However, the functionally characterized RAS regulatory domain only comprises 10% of the neurofibromin molecule (Scheffzek et al., 1998), while the function of the rest of the protein remains largely unknown. Given the diverse number of clinical manifestations involving many distinct cell types (https://www.proteinatlas.org/ENSG00000196712-NF1/tissue), as well as the growing number of potential neurofibromin-interacting proteins (Fig. 2A), which will likely expand following the recent

Department of Neurology, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO 63110, USA.



Fig. 1. Neurofibromin is a member of the RAS-GAP superfamily of proteins. Schematic representation of neurofibromin and other RAS-GAPs, highlighting the conserved protein domains and the activity of the RAS-GAP domain. RAS-GAPs catalyze GTP to GDP hydrolysis by stimulating the intrinsic GTPase activity of RAS, leading to RAS inactivation. Conversely, GEFs promote the exchange of GDP for GTP, converting RAS to its active GTP-bound conformation. GAP, GTPase-activating protein; GDP, guanosine-5'-diphosphate; GEF, guanine exchange factor; GTP, guanosine-5'-triphosphate; P, phosphate; PH, plekstrin homology; PKC, protein kinase C.

crystallization of the neurofibromin dimer protein (Lupton et al., 2021; Naschberger et al., 2021), it is conceivable that neurofibromin may have functions beyond simple canonical RAS pathway regulation. In this Special article, we will detail what is known about *NF1* protein function and discuss evidence supporting both RAS-dependent and RAS-independent mechanisms for neurofibromin regulation of cell biology.

Neurofibromin and canonical RAS-dependent signaling RAS-dependent signaling in NF1-associated cancer

Owing to the critical role of RAS in cell cycle regulation and tissue growth, the majority of studies investigating neurofibromin function have focused on its RAS-GAP properties, especially in the context of cancer, where oncogenic mutations affecting RAS signaling drive tumorigenesis. Although sporadic NF1 mutations are frequently observed in human cancers (Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, 2008; Way et al., 2018), individuals with NF1 are born with one mutated (non-functional) copy of the NF1 gene (germline NF1 gene mutation). While heterozygosity for a germline NF1 mutation has biological consequences, such as learning and attention deficits, and autism (Bajenaru et al., 2001; Costa et al., 2002, 2001; Cui et al., 2008; Li et al., 2005; Maloney et al., 2018; Molosh et al., 2014; Molosh and Shekhar, 2018; Omrani et al., 2015; Silva et al., 1997), a single NF1 mutation is not sufficient for tumor formation. Loss of NF1 heterozygosity, resulting in absent neurofibromin expression, is required for benign and malignant tumor formation (Bajenaru et al., 2001; Basu et al., 1992; Bollag et al., 1996; DeClue et al., 1992; Feldkamp et al., 1996; Guha et al., 1996; Gutmann et al., 1994, 2000; Lau et al., 2000; Legius et al., 1993; Powers et al., 2002; Side et al., 1997; Staser et al., 2013; Xu et al., 1992). In this respect, NF1-associated tumors from both genetically engineered mice and human surgical specimens exhibit markedly decreased or absent neurofibromin expression, increased RAS activity and elevated RAS-dependent effector pathway activation (Fig. 2B). As such, neurofibromin regulates RAS-MEK-ERK (also known as RAS-MAP2K-MAPK), RAS-AKT-mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) and RAS-Rac1 signaling.

The most common tumors in individuals with NF1 are benign tumors involving peripheral nerve sheaths, called neurofibromas. These tumors are composed of neoplastic NF1-deficient Schwann lineage cells (Chen et al., 2019; DeClue et al., 1992; Kim et al., 1997; Le et al., 2011, 2009; Vogel et al., 1999; Zhu et al., 2002) and are classified as either cutaneous (discrete dermal) or plexiform (diffuse) neurofibromas. Although cutaneous neurofibromas are benign and do not progress to malignant tumors, 30-57% of NF1 patients will harbor a plexiform neurofibroma (pNF) (Brems et al., 2009; Mautner et al., 2008; Nguyen et al., 2011), which can undergo malignant transformation and progress to malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors (MPNSTs). Heterozygous NF1-mutant $(NF1^{+/-})$ and NF1-null $(NF1^{-/-})$ Schwann cells from pNFs and MPNSTs exhibit reduced neurofibromin GAP activity and increased signaling downstream of RAS, including RAS-MEK-ERK and RAS-AKT-mTOR activation (Dombi et al., 2016; Endo et al., 2013; Gregorian et al., 2009; Gutmann et al., 1995c;

Α



В

Neurofibromin



Fig. 2. Neurofibromin binding partners and canonical RAS signaling. (A) Neurofibromin is a large molecule, containing numerous predicted protein domains, which have been shown to interact with a diverse set of binding partners. Protein domains are as follows: cysteine-serine rich domain (CSRD), GAP-related domain (GRD), C-terminal domain (CTD), pleckstrin homology domain (PH), syndecan-binding domain (SBD), Sec-14 domain (Sec14), tubulin-binding domain (TBD). The position of domains with which the interacting proteins bind is shown. Neurofibromin binding partners are categorized by function in the key. Adapted from Ratner and Miller (2015). This image is not published under the terms of the CC-BY license of this article. For permission to reuse, please see Ratner and Miller (2015). (B) The neurofibromin GRD functions to accelerate the conversion of active GTP-bound RAS to its inactive GDP-bound form. Active RAS can activate numerous distinct downstream effectors to result in increased RAF/MEK.

Johannessen et al., 2005; Kawachi et al., 2013; Le et al., 2011, 2009).

Based on the finding of increased RAS activation in these tumors, farnesyltransferase inhibitors (FTIs), which inhibit posttranslational modification (farnesylation) and membrane tethering of RAS proteins, were initially evaluated (Kim et al., 1997; Yan et al., 1995). Although in vitro treatments showed some ability to attenuate RAS pathway signaling, administration of FTIs in vivo was not successful in preventing pNF tumor progression in mice (Mahgoub et al., 1999) or in clinical trials with children with NF1 (Widemann et al., 2014a,b). Owing to increased mTOR complex signaling mediated by RAS in NF1-deficient mouse and human cells (Dasgupta et al., 2005b; Johannessen et al., 2005), along with early successes in preclinical mouse models of pNF and MPNST (Johannessen et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2012), phase 2 clinical trials with mTOR inhibitor, sirolimus, were initiated for pNF in children, which unfortunately had limited efficacy (Weiss et al., 2014). In recent years, there has been a greater focus on the use of MEK inhibitors for the treatment of nerve sheath tumors, based on promising preclinical studies (Jessen et al., 2013; Ramkissoon et al., 2019). Clinical trials using the MEK inhibitor, selumetinib, revealed decreased pNF and spinal neurofibroma burden (Jackson et al., 2020) with minimal side effects (Baldo et al., 2021), and another MEK inhibitor, trametinib, decreased tumor size (Toledano et al., 2021; Weiss et al., 2021). These exciting results culminated in MEK inhibitors, specifically selumetinib, becoming the first U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved agents for the treatment of progressive pNFs.

Grade 1 pilocytic astrocytomas of the optic pathway, also known as optic pathway gliomas (OPGs), are the second most common tumor in NF1, and are also driven by increased RAS-dependent signaling. In human surgical OPG biospecimens, *NF1* loss is associated with increased RAS and RAS effector activity (Lau et al., 2000), consistent with *Nf1* OPG mouse tumors, where loss of neurofibromin is associated with increased RAS signaling and RAS-ERK, RAS-AKT-mTOR activation (Dasgupta et al., 2005b; Kaul et al., 2015). Importantly, in this context, murine OPG formation reflects preferential activation of KRAS, rather than HRAS or NRAS (Dasgupta et al., 2005a), and operates in an mTOR-dependent manner (Banerjee et al., 2011). In addition, this RAS-dependent regulation of *Nf1* mouse optic glioma progression and growth is mediated by both MEK and PI3K/Akt activation, which can both converge to increase mTOR-dependent signaling (Banerjee et al., 2011; Kaul et al., 2015).

Although RAS inhibitors have not entered clinical trials for children with NF1-OPGs, both mTOR and MEK inhibitors have been tested as anti-tumoral therapies, based on promising studies in preclinical *Nf1*-OPG mouse models (Hegedus et al., 2008; Kaul et al., 2015). Despite the limited efficacy demonstrated for the mTOR inhibitor everolimus (Ullrich et al., 2021, 2020), a phase 2 selumetinib clinical trial in NF1 patients with grade 1 pilocytic astrocytomas reported significant tumor shrinkage (Fangusaro et al., 2019), supporting the notion that MEK inhibition may more effectively target neoplastic NF1-OPG tumor cells.

Additionally, individuals with NF1 occasionally develop pheochromocytomas and leukemias, most commonly myeloid leukemia and juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia (JMML), all of which are driven by aberrant RAS activity. As such, NF1-deficient pheochromocytomas, which are rare tumors developing from neural crest-derived chromaffin cells, exhibit RAS-mediated MEK/ERK1/ 2 hyperactivation (Park et al., 2005; Powers et al., 2002). Similarly, in leukemias, NF1 loss in patient (Side et al., 1997) or murine (Bollag et al., 1996; Hiatt et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 1998) hematopoietic precursors, results in increased RAS-MEK/ERK pathway activation (Kim et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 1998). Although FTIs have little efficacy against disease progression in preclinical models (Mahgoub et al., 1999), MEK1/2 inhibition in a JMML murine model reduced hematopoietic progenitor proliferation in vitro and myelopoiesis in vivo (Staser et al., 2013). As MEK inhibitors are emerging as promising agents for the treatment of NF1-associated tumors, current studies aim to define the tolerance profiles in children and the design of therapeutic regimes that reduce drug resistance.

Tissue-specific RAS signaling

RAS hyperactivation is observed in human and mouse NF1associated tumors, and similar increases are also observed in nonneoplastic cells in a tissue-specific manner. In this regard, reduced *Nf1* expression in central nervous system neurons also results in increased RAS activation and impaired neurite extension, while in peripheral nervous system neurons, reduced *Nf1* expression results in increased RAS/Akt-mediated neurite extension (Brown et al., 2012, 2010b).

In addition, differential engagement of downstream RAS signaling molecules has been described (Fig. 2B). For example, loss of neurofibromin expression in mouse neural stem cells results in increased RAS activation, operating through different RAS effectors that either govern cell growth or cell differentiation (Chen et al., 2015). As such, neurofibromin-RAS-PI3K-Akt signaling controls neural stem cell proliferation, whereas multi-lineage differentiation is regulated by RAS-MEK signaling. Moreover, mTOR activation and increased neural stem cell growth are also dictated by the expression of the mTOR-associated protein, RICTOR. Analysis of RICTOR expression reveals tremendous variability in different populations of brain ventricular neural stem cells, which has differential effects on their proliferation (Lee et al., 2010). Finally, the cell-type-specific expression of other mTOR complex components, like the G-protein-coupled receptor kinaseinteracting protein-1 (GIT1), also create unique mTOR signaling complexes important for the survival of brain cells (astrocytes), but not non-neural cell types (Smithson and Gutmann, 2016).

Additionally, some cell types, like microglia, exhibit increased RAS-dependent JNK activation (Fig. 2B), such that JNK inhibition by SP600125 inhibits microglia activation *in vitro* and reduces *Nf1*

OPG proliferation *in vivo* (Daginakatte et al., 2008). Similarly, RAS-Tiam-Rac1 hyperactivation in *Nf1*-deficient cells (Fig. 2B) has been shown to underlie pNF formation in mice (Mund et al., 2020). Finally, RAS-Rac1-PAK signaling (Fig. 2B) in neurons governs social learning in *Nf1*^{+/-} mice (Molosh et al., 2014), while, in *Nf1*^{+/-} mast cells, it regulates gain-of-function phenotypes relevant to neurofibroma pathogenesis (McDaniel et al., 2008)

Neurofibromin and non-canonical RAS pathway signaling

While complete loss of *NF1* expression is necessary for tumor formation, heterozygosity for a germline mutation can cause significant cognitive and behavioral impairments in children with NF1. As such, more than 70% of children with NF1 will manifest attention, learning, language and executive function impairments (Eby et al., 2019; Hyman et al., 2005; Ozonoff, 1999; Soucy et al., 2012). Despite these common and quality-of-life-affecting comorbidities, the precise molecular pathways underlying these clinical features remain incompletely understood. Preclinical invertebrate (*Drosophila melanogaster*) and vertebrate (mouse, minipig) models of NF1 also exhibit behavioral defects, and have provided insights into the neurofibromin-regulated brain circuitry that governs cognitive function.

Neurofibromin GABA regulation

In *Nf1* murine models, heterozygosity for a germline *Nf1* mutation (*Nf1^{+/-}* mice) is sufficient to cause social behavioral, spatial learning and hippocampal long-term potentiation (LTP) deficits (Costa et al., 2002; Cui et al., 2008; Molosh et al., 2014; Silva et al., 1997). These *Nf1^{+/-}* mice exhibit increased inhibitory postsynaptic potentials in the prefrontal cortex and striatum, as well as defects in LTP, both of which result from increased GABAergic neuron excitation and signaling (Costa et al., 2002; Cui et al., 2008; Shilyansky et al., 2010). Similarly, human neural progenitor cell (NPC)-derived GABAergic neurons harboring different *NF1* mutations found in NF1 patients all exhibit increased GABA levels, irrespective of the underlying germline *NF1* gene mutation (Anastasaki et al., 2020).

Although the exact mechanism underlying neurofibromin control of GABA levels has yet to be elucidated, it is known that decreased neurofibromin expression causes RAS hyperactivation in NF1^{+/-} neurons, which in turn results in increased GABA transmission. Conversely, genetic depletion of KRAS or NRAS in $Nf1^{+/-}$ mice ($Nf1^{+/-}$; $KRAS^{+/-}$ and $Nf1^{+/-}$;NRAS) normalizes the RAS hyperactivation and reverses the cognitive deficits (Costa et al., 2002; Cui et al., 2008). In addition, non-specific inhibition of RAS farnesylation and geranylgeranylation by lovastatin corrects the spatial learning and memory deficits in these mice (Li et al., 2005). Unfortunately, clinical trials using lovastatin and simvastatin failed to improve cognitive and behavioral deficits in children with NF1 (Bearden et al., 2016; Krab et al., 2008; Payne et al., 2016; van der Vaart et al., 2013). Because these farnesyltransferase inhibitors also have RAS-independent effects, additional studies using MEK inhibitors have been undertaken and reported attenuated ERK signaling and improved learning in $Nf1^{+/-}$ mice (Cui et al., 2008). Moreover, GABA_A receptor inhibition with picrotoxin (Costa et al., 2002; Omrani et al., 2015) rescues LTP deficits in Nf1^{+/-} mice, irrespective of RAS hyperactivation, suggesting that RAS activity alone may not be sufficient to regulate GABA-regulated LTP and mouse learning. Collectively, these studies reveal that some NF1associated behavioral deficits are attributed to increased GABA transmission, and neurofibromin control of neuronal GABA is not solely regulated by RAS signaling.

Neurofibromin cyclic AMP regulation

Pioneering work in Drosophila revealed that loss of the NF1 ortholog (dNf1; also known as Nf1) causes reductions in body size (Walker et al., 2013), as well as defects in associative learning (Guo et al., 2000), short-term memory (Guo et al., 2000; King et al., 2016), circadian rhythm (Bai et al., 2018; Machado Almeida et al., 2021) and grooming behaviors (King et al., 2016). However, these specific deficits were not directly attributed to RAS deregulation but were caused by neurofibromin regulation of cyclic AMP (cAMP)/ PKA signaling. Specifically, reduced potassium currents arise in dNf1 mutants due to diminished activation of adenylyl cyclase and cAMP production, which are rescued by pharmacologic restoration of cAMP levels (Guo et al., 1997). Likewise, expression of the catalytic subunit of cAMP-dependent PKA, or overexpression of a human NF1 transgene, ameliorates the cAMP-driven small body size and adenylyl cyclase activity defects in dNf1 flies (Buchberg et al., 1990; Tong et al., 2002).

While cAMP was necessary to restore *dNf1*-mutant fly deficits, reintroduction of the neurofibromin GAP domain in these mutants restored cAMP levels, suggesting that neurofibromin-RAS signaling regulates cAMP homeostasis. As such, introduction of the GAP domain restored cAMP-dependent long-term memory and body size deficits in dNf1-mutant flies (Walker et al., 2006). In striking contrast, the control of short-term memory in flies is RAS independent and regulated by the C-terminal domain of neurofibromin (Ho et al., 2007). Mirroring Nf1-mutant mouse findings, dNf1 loss results in increased GABAergic signaling and disrupted associative learning. Importantly, learning is not restored following pharmacologic cAMP restoration, indicating that GABAergic signaling occurs independently of cAMP/PKA signaling in *dNf1*-mutant flies (Georganta et al., 2021). Together, these observations reveal RAS-cAMP-dependent long-term memory and RAS-cAMP-independent short-term memory functions of neurofibromin in Drosophila neurons.

Analogous to observations made in flies, neurofibromin reduction in mouse $NfI^{+/-}$ hippocampal neurons and in human $NFI^{+/-}$ NPCs results in reduced cAMP levels, shorter axonal lengths and smaller growth cone areas (Anastasaki and Gutmann, 2014), which are restored after pharmacologic cAMP elevation (Brown et al., 2010b). Importantly, the same cAMP-driven neurite deficits are rescued by genetic or pharmacologic reduction of RAS activity (Anastasaki and Gutmann, 2014), but not MEK or PI3K inhibition (Brown et al., 2010b). Combined with the results from *Drosophila* models, these findings suggest that cAMP homeostasis is dependent upon neurofibromin control of RAS signaling, but that

RAS-driven cAMP regulation is MEK/AKT/mTOR independent. Using a combination of human induced pluripotent stem cell (hiPSC)-derived neurons and mouse primary neuron cultures, we found that neurofibromin regulates neuronal cAMP homeostasis through non-canonical RAS-mediated phosphorylation of PKC ζ (also known as PRKCZ) and subsequent GRK2-dependent desensitization of GPCR activation of adenylyl cyclase (Anastasaki and Gutmann, 2014) (Fig. 3). As such, axonal length defects in *Nf1*^{+/-} murine hippocampal neurons and human NPCs are restored following genetic or pharmacologic blockade of PKC ζ .

RAS-independent neurofibromin function

Given that neurofibromin is a large molecule with numerous protein-binding domains and novel conformational states (Naschberger et al., 2021), it is likely that other, perhaps RAS-independent, properties exist.

Neurofibromin regulation of dopamine homeostasis

NF1 mutation in both human and mouse neurons leads to reduced levels of the neurotransmitter, dopamine (Anastasaki et al., 2015; Brown et al., 2010a; Diggs-Andrews et al., 2013), and pharmacological elevation of dopamine (L-DOPA) reverses spatial learning and memory abnormalities exhibited by heterozygous Nfl-mutant mice (Diggs-Andrews et al., 2013). We also discovered that different NF1 germline mutations in mouse and human neurons, NPCs and 3D cerebral organoids result in different levels of neurofibromin and dopamine expression, ranging from <25% to >75% reductions relative to control cells (Anastasaki et al., 2020, 2015). Importantly, regardless of neurofibromin expression levels, all heterozygous NF1-mutant neurons have similar levels of RAS hyperactivation. In contrast, dopamine levels closely parallel neurofibromin expression, suggesting that neurofibromin regulation of dopamine production operates through RAS-independent mechanisms. Given the interactions between inhibitory GABAergic and excitatory dopaminergic neurons in the brain regions that are important for learning and memory, it is pertinent to consider the possibility that RAS-GABA signaling in inhibitory neurons could indirectly modulate dopamine homeostasis.

Neurofibromin regulation of HCN channel function

A signature property of neurons is their ability to elicit action potentials causing neuron excitation. In addition to exhibiting defects in neuronal morphology (neurite lengths), brain and peripheral sensory neurons from heterozygous *Nf1*-mutant mice



Fig. 3. Non-canonical RAS signaling. Neurofibromin controls cyclic AMP

levels by regulating the PKCζ molecule to control GRK2 activity, leading to inhibition of GPCR signaling and reduced adenylyl cyclase-mediated generation of cyclic AMP. GPCR, G-protein-coupled receptor; PKCζ, protein kinase C-zeta. <u> Disease Models & Mechanisms</u>

are hyperexcitable at baseline relative to their wild-type counterparts. As such, peripheral sensory neurons from adult $NfI^{+/-}$ mice exhibit increased numbers of action potentials in response to depolarizing current, as well as lower firing thresholds, lower rheobase currents and shorter firing latencies (Wang et al., 2005). In the central nervous system, light-induced stimulation of *Nf1*-mutant, but not wild-type, retinal ganglion cell neurons increased OPG growth in mice, while light deprivation halted tumor formation in OPG-prone *Nf1*-mutant mice (Pan et al., 2021). These findings imply that *Nf1*-mutant neurons are uniquely sensitive to modulation of excitation and electrical activity.

Although the mechanisms underlying hyperexcitability in *Nf1*-mutant neurons have not been fully elucidated, both $Nf1^{+/-}$ mice and mice harboring a neuron-specific inactivating Nf1 mutation (Nf19a-/9a-) exhibit increased GABAergic interneuron excitability (Omrani et al., 2015). This elevated excitability results from attenuated activity of hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated channel 1 (HCN1), a protein that binds to the N-terminal domain of neurofibromin (Omrani et al., 2015) and modulates neuronal inward cationic currents (I_h) (Wahl-Schott and Biel, 2009). Restoration of HCN1 channel activity following treatment with a HCN channel agonist, lamotrigine, reverses the spatial learning deficits in Nfl-mutant mice. Importantly, neurofibromin HCN channel-regulated Ih influx is completely independent of RAS activity, because constitutively active HRAS $(H-RAS^{G12V})$ -expressing mice have I_h levels similar to those of wild-type mice (Omrani et al., 2015). Together, these data suggest that neurofibromin-dependent HCN1 channel activity controls neuronal excitability in a RAS-independent manner.

Neurofibromin regulation of estrogen receptor activity and cell cycle progression

NF1 mutation or loss are common genetic events both in sporadic (Stephens et al., 2012) and NF1-associated (Uusitalo et al., 2016) breast cancer, where NF1 loss was associated with increased tumor aggressiveness and poor patient prognosis. Population studies on breast cancer samples revealed a correlation between NF1 loss and upregulation of estrogen receptor (ER)-associated pathways in human breast cancer tissues, as well as increased ER activity in rat models of mammary adenocarcinoma (Dischinger et al., 2018). Building upon this novel relationship, neurofibromin can translocate to the nucleus of ER⁺ breast cancer cell lines to bind ER and act as its transcriptional co-repressor (Zheng et al., 2020). As such, loss of NF1 expression in these cell lines leads to global enhancement of ER-driven transcription and tamoxifen agonism of ER, such that tamoxifen administration promotes cell growth and, conversely, estradiol hypersensitivity. Importantly, the binding of neurofibromin to the ER and its ability to function as an ER repressor are independent of RAS signaling, as neither RAF nor MEK inhibition attenuated estrogen receptor transcription. Additionally, independent of RAS-GAP function, neurofibromin regulates the metaphase-to-anaphase transition. In this respect, the C-terminal domain of neurofibromin associates with the mitotic spindles to induce spindle damage and mitotic arrest (Koliou et al., 2016; Luo et al., 2014). Collectively, these studies identify a novel binding partner and highlight the ability for neurofibromin to regulate cell proliferation in a non-RAS-mediated fashion.

Neurofibromin isoforms and subcellular localization

Although there is a wealth of information delineating the varied functions of neurofibromin in different cell types and tissues, most of these studies are focused on RAS pathway regulation. The recent elucidation of the physical structure neurofibromin revealed that it likely functions as a homodimer (Lupton et al., 2021; Naschberger et al., 2021; Sherekar et al., 2020) with at least two distinct molecular conformations. In its closed, auto-inhibited conformation, RAS binding is blocked, thus inhibiting neurofibromin RAS-GAP activity. However, in its open conformation, the lipid-binding SEC-PH domain of one neurofibromin protomer interacts with the cell membrane to expose and activate the RAS-GAP domain (Naschberger et al., 2021). Neurofibromin forms dimers to bind and hydrolyze RAS molecules, and neurofibromin monomers and dimers are similarly efficient in their RAS-GAP activity in vitro (Sherekar et al., 2020). As structural studies evolve, it will become important to define the bioavailability of neurofibromin as a monomer or a dimer in vivo, which could partly account for cellor tissue-specific differences in neurofibromin binding and downstream signaling pathway transduction.

Neurofibromin requires SPRED1 to localize to the plasma membrane (Dunzendorfer-Matt et al., 2016; Stowe et al., 2012), but it is predominately expressed in the cytoplasm. However, it is conceivable that binding partners other than SPRED1 alter its subcellular localization and/or facilitate interactions with proteins that operate independently of RAS signaling (Fig. 4). In this respect, neurofibromin has previously been reported within the nucleus of neurons and glia (Daston et al., 1992; Koliou et al., 2016; Li et al., 2001), as well as in the nucleus of breast cancer cells (Dischinger et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2020), as a result of interactions with Ran and CRM-1 (also known as XPO1) (Zheng et al., 2020).

Moreover, at least four alternatively spliced neurofibromin isoforms have been reported. The first one includes exon 30alt31 (formerly exon23a), which is located within the RAS-GAP domain.



Fig. 4. Neurofibromin subcellular localization. Upon mitogen stimulation and subsequent RTK activation, SPRED1 recruits neurofibromin to the plasma membrane. Neurofibromin, in turn, acts as a dimer to hydrolyze the conversion of active RAS-GTP to inactive RAS-GDP. RTK, receptor tyrosine kinase.

The levels of this isoform vary depending on the developmental stage examined, but it tends to be more highly expressed in differentiated cells (Gutmann et al., 1995b). Exon 30alt31 inclusion results in reduced RAS-GAP activity, and its expression causes behavioral deficits in mice (Costa et al., 2001; Nguyen et al., 2017). The alternatively spliced exon 11alt12 (formerly exon 9a) is located within the amino terminus of the protein, in a locus lacking obvious structural domains. This isoform is intriguing, as its expression is exclusively restricted to postnatal brain neurons (Danglot et al., 1995; Geist and Gutmann, 1996; Gutmann et al., 1999), and, as such, might mediate other central nervous system-specific functions of neurofibromin. Additionally, isoform exon 56alt57 (formerly 48a) is exclusively found in heart and muscle tissue (Gutmann et al., 1995a), whereas isoform exon 12alt13 (formerly 10a-2) is ubiquitously concentrated in perinuclear granular structures, supporting a non-plasma membrane function for neurofibromin (Kaufmann et al., 2002). Finally, an isoform with a 140 bp deletion in exon 21 was recently identified exclusively in a breast cancer cell line, where it might promote tumor progression (Dischinger et al., 2018). Taken together, these findings highlight the diversity of the neurofibromin protein and underscore the importance of tissue- and cell-specific analyses of its function.

Conclusions

As we learn more about neurofibromin binding partners, tissuespecific functions and RAS-independent regulatory properties, it is likely that more precise therapeutic agents will emerge to selectively and effectively treat some of the clinical manifestations of NF1 in patients. To date, although targeted MEK inhibition has been the most effective avenue in eliciting tumor reduction responses, the success is not universal for all NF1-associated tumors, and the longterm efficacy of this treatment remains unknown. Moreover, as the majority of patients with NF1 develop non-tumor-related comorbidities (e.g. cognitive deficits), a subset of which are not dependent on RAS-MEK activity, the identification of RASindependent targetable proteins or pathways will be critical for their management. Finally, using NF1 as a platform to uncover cell- and tissue-specific RAS-dependent signaling pathways is likely to improve our understanding of the molecular pathology associated with other RASopathies or other RAS-driven cancers.

This article is part of a collection 'The RAS Pathway: Diseases, Therapeutics and Beyond', which was launched in a dedicated Special Issue guest edited by Donita Brady and Arvin Dar. See related articles in this collection at https://journals. biologists.com/dmm/collection/5089/The-RAS-Pathway.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

Funding

C.A. is supported by an R50 grant from the National Cancer Institute (1R50CA233164), while D.H.G. is supported by an R35 grant from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (1R35NS097211). P.O. received a summer fellowship grant from the Alvin J. Siteman Cancer Center.

References

- Anastasaki, C. and Gutmann, D. H. (2014). Neuronal NF1/RAS regulation of cyclic AMP requires atypical PKC activation. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 23, 6712-6721. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddu389
- Anastasaki, C., Woo, A. S., Messiaen, L. M. and Gutmann, D. H. (2015). Elucidating the impact of neurofibromatosis-1 germline mutations on neurofibromin function and dopamine-based learning. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 24, 3518-3528. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddv103
- Anastasaki, C., Wegscheid, M. L., Hartigan, K., Papke, J. B., Kopp, N. D., Chen, J., Cobb, O., Dougherty, J. D. and Gutmann, D. H. (2020). Human iPSC-

derived neurons and cerebral organoids establish differential effects of germline NF1 gene mutations. *Stem Cell Rep.* **14**, 541-550. doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2020. 03.007

- Bai, L., Lee, Y., Hsu, C. T., Williams, J. A., Cavanaugh, D., Zheng, X., Stein, C., Haynes, P., Wang, H., Gutmann, D. H. et al. (2018). A conserved circadian function for the neurofibromatosis 1 gene. *Cell Rep.* 22, 3416-3426. doi:10.1016/j. celrep.2018.03.014
- Bajenaru, M. L., Donahoe, J., Corral, T., Reilly, K. M., Brophy, S., Pellicer, A. and Gutmann, D. H. (2001). Neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1) heterozygosity results in a cell-autonomous growth advantage for astrocytes. *Glia* 33, 314-323. doi:10.1002/ 1098-1136(20010315)33:4<314::AID-GLIA1030>3.0.CO;2-Q
- Baldo, F., Magnolato, A., Barbi, E. and Bruno, I. (2021). Selumetinib side effects in children treated for plexiform neurofibromas: first case reports of peripheral edema and hair color change. *BMC Pediatr.* 21, 67. doi:10.1186/s12887-021-02530-5
- Ballester, R., Michaeli, T., Ferguson, K., Xu, H.-P., McCormick, F. and Wigler, M. (1989). Genetic analysis of mammalian GAP expressed in yeast. *Cell* 59, 681-686. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(89)90014-7
- Ballester, R., Marchuk, D., Boguski, M., Saulino, A., Letcher, R., Wigler, M. and Collins, F. (1990). The NF1 locus encodes a protein functionally related to mammalian GAP and yeast IRA proteins. Cell 63, 851-859. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(90)90151-4
- Banerjee, S., Crouse, N. R., Emnett, R. J., Gianino, S. M. and Gutmann, D. H. (2011). Neurofibromatosis-1 regulates mTOR-mediated astrocyte growth and glioma formation in a TSC/Rheb-independent manner. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 108, 15996-16001. doi:10.1073/pnas.1019012108
- Basu, T. N., Gutmann, D. H., Fletcher, J. A., Glover, T. W., Collins, F. S. and Downward, J. (1992). Aberrant regulation of *ras* proteins in malignant tumour cells from type 1 neurofibromatosis patients. *Nature* 356, 713-715. doi:10.1038/ 356713a0
- Bearden, C. E., Hellemann, G. S., Rosser, T., Montojo, C., Jonas, R., Enrique, N., Pacheco, L., Hussain, S. A., Wu, J. Y., Ho, J. S. et al. (2016). A randomized placebo-controlled lovastatin trial for neurobehavioral function in neurofibromatosis I. Ann. Clin. Transl. Neurol. 3, 266-279. doi:10.1002/acn3.288
- Bollag, G., Clapp, D. W., Shih, S., Adler, F., Zhang, Y. Y., Thompson, P., Lange, B. J., Freedman, M. H., McCormick, F., Jacks, T. et al. (1996). Loss of NF1 results in activation of the Ras signaling pathway and leads to aberrant growth in haematopoietic cells. *Nat. Genet.* 12, 144-148. doi:10.1038/ng0296-144
- Brems, H., Beert, E., de Ravel, T. and Legius, E. (2009). Mechanisms in the pathogenesis of malignant tumours in neurofibromatosis type 1. *Lancet Oncol.* 10, 508-515. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(09)70033-6
- Brown, J. A., Emnett, R. J., White, C. R., Yuede, C. M., Conyers, S. B., O'Malley, K. L., Wozniak, D. F. and Gutmann, D. H. (2010a). Reduced striatal dopamine underlies the attention system dysfunction in neurofibromatosis-1 mutant mice. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **19**, 4515-4528. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddq382
- Brown, J. A., Gianino, S. M. and Gutmann, D. H. (2010b). Defective cAMP generation underlies the sensitivity of CNS neurons to neurofibromatosis-1 heterozygosity. *J. Neurosci.* **30**, 5579-5589. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3994-09. 2010
- Brown, J. A., Diggs-Andrews, K. A., Gianino, S. M. and Gutmann, D. H. (2012). Neurofibromatosis-1 heterozygosity impairs CNS neuronal morphology in a cAMP/PKA/ROCK-dependent manner. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* **49**, 13-22. doi:10.1016/j.mcn.2011.08.008
- Buchberg, A. M., Cleveland, L. S., Jenkins, N. A. and Copeland, N. G. (1990). Sequence homology shared by neurofibromatosis type-1 gene and IRA-1 and IRA-2 negative regulators of the RAS cyclic AMP pathway. *Nature* **347**, 291-294. doi:10.1038/347291a0
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. (2008). Comprehensive genomic characterization defines human glioblastoma genes and core pathways. *Nature* 455, 1061-1068. doi:10.1038/nature07385
- Chen, Y.-H., Gianino, S. M. and Gutmann, D. H. (2015). Neurofibromatosis-1 regulation of neural stem cell proliferation and multilineage differentiation operates through distinct RAS effector pathways. *Genes Dev.* 29, 1677-1682. doi:10.1101/ gad.261677.115
- Chen, Z., Mo, J., Brosseau, J.-P., Shipman, T., Wang, Y., Liao, C.-P., Cooper, J. M., Allaway, R. J., Gosline, S. J. C., Guinney, J. et al. (2019). Spatiotemporal loss of NF1 in Schwann cell lineage leads to different types of cutaneous neurofibroma susceptible to modification by the Hippo pathway. *Cancer Discov.* 9, 114-129. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-18-0151
- Costa, R. M., Yang, T., Huynh, D. P., Pulst, S. M., Viskochil, D. H., Silva, A. J. and Brannan, C. I. (2001). Learning deficits, but normal development and tumor predisposition, in mice lacking exon 23a of *Nf1. Nat. Genet.* 27, 399-405. doi:10.1038/86898
- Costa, R. M., Federov, N. B., Kogan, J. H., Murphy, G. G., Stern, J., Ohno, M., Kucherlapati, R., Jacks, T. and Silva, A. J. (2002). Mechanism for the learning deficits in a mouse model of neurofibromatosis type 1. *Nature* **415**, 526-530. doi:10.1038/nature711
- Cui, Y., Costa, R. M., Murphy, G. G., Elgersma, Y., Zhu, Y., Gutmann, D. H., Parada, L. F., Mody, I. and Silva, A. J. (2008). Neurofibromin regulation of ERK

signaling modulates GABA release and learning. *Cell* **135**, 549-560. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.09.060

- Daginakatte, G. C., Gianino, S. M., Zhao, N. W., Parsadanian, A. S. and Gutmann, D. H. (2008). Increased c-Jun-NH2-kinase signaling in neurofibromatosis-1 heterozygous microglia drives microglia activation and promotes optic glioma proliferation. *Cancer Res.* 68, 10358-10366. doi:10.1158/ 0008-5472.CAN-08-2506
- Danglot, G., Regnler, V., Fauvet, D., Vassal, G., Kujas, M. and Bernheim, A. (1995). Neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1) mRNAs expressed in the central nervous system are differentially spliced in the 5' part of the gene. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 4, 915-920. doi:10.1093/hmg/4.5.915
- Dasgupta, B., Li, W., Perry, A. and Gutmann, D. H. (2005a). Glioma formation in neurofibromatosis 1 reflects preferential activation of K-RAS in astrocytes. *Cancer Res.* 65, 236-245.
- Dasgupta, B., Yi, Y., Chen, D. Y., Weber, J. D. and Gutmann, D. H. (2005b). Proteomic analysis reveals hyperactivation of the mammalian target of rapamycin pathway in neurofibromatosis 1-associated human and mouse brain tumors. *Cancer Res.* 65, 2755-2760. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-4058
- Daston, M. M., Scrable, H., Nordlund, M., Sturbaum, A. K., Nissen, L. M. and Ratner, N. (1992). The protein product of the neurofibromatosis type 1 gene is expressed at highest abundance in neurons, Schwann cells, and oligodendrocytes. *Neuron* 8, 415-428. doi:10.1016/0896-6273(92)90270-N
- DeClue, J. E., Papageorge, A. G., Fletcher, J. A., Diehl, S. R., Ratner, N., Vass, W. C. and Lowy, D. R. (1992). Abnormal regulation of mammalian p21ras contributes to malignant tumor growth in von Recklinghausen (type 1) neurofibromatosis. *Cell* 69, 265-273. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(92)90407-4
- Diggs-Andrews, K. A., Tokuda, K., Izumi, Y., Zorumski, C. F., Wozniak, D. F. and Gutmann, D. H. (2013). Dopamine deficiency underlies learning deficits in neurofibromatosis-1 mice. *Ann. Neurol.* 73, 309-315. doi:10.1002/ana.23793
- Dischinger, P. S., Tovar, E. A., Essenburg, C. J., Madaj, Z. B., Gardner, E. E., Callaghan, M. E., Turner, A. N., Challa, A. K., Kempston, T., Eagleson, B. et al. (2018). NF1 deficiency correlates with estrogen receptor signaling and diminished survival in breast cancer. *NPJ Breast Cancer* 4, 29. doi:10.1038/s41523-018-0080-8
- Dombi, E., Baldwin, A., Marcus, L. J., Fisher, M. J., Weiss, B., Kim, A. R., Whitcomb, P., Martin, S., Aschbacher-Smith, L. E., Rizvi, T. A. et al. (2016). Activity of Selumetinib in neurofibromatosis type 1-related plexiform neurofibromas. N. Engl. J. Med. 375, 2550-2560. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1605943
- Dunzendorfer-Matt, T., Mercado, E. L., Maly, K., McCormick, F. and Scheffzek, K. (2016). The neurofibromin recruitment factor Spred1 binds to the GAP related domain without affecting Ras inactivation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 113, 7497-7502. doi:10.1073/pnas.1607298113
- Eby, N. S., Griffith, J. L., Gutmann, D. H. and Morris, S. M. (2019). Adaptive functioning in children with neurofibromatosis type 1: relationship to cognition, behavior, and magnetic resonance imaging. *Dev. Med. Child Neurol.* 61, 972-978. doi:10.1111/dmcn.14144
- Endo, M., Yamamoto, H., Setsu, N., Kohashi, K., Takahashi, Y., Ishii, T., Iida, K., Matsumoto, Y., Hakozaki, M., Aoki, M. et al. (2013). Prognostic significance of AKT/mTOR and MAPK pathways and antitumor effect of mTOR inhibitor in NF1related and sporadic malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 19, 450-461. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-1067
- Evans, D. G., Howard, E., Giblin, C., Clancy, T., Spencer, H., Huson, S. M. and Lalloo, F. (2010). Birth incidence and prevalence of tumor-prone syndromes: estimates from a UK family genetic register service. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* **152A**, 327-332. doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.33139
- Fangusaro, J., Onar-Thomas, A., Young Poussaint, T., Wu, S., Ligon, A. H., Lindeman, N., Banerjee, A., Packer, R. J., Kilburn, L. B., Goldman, S. et al. (2019). Selumetinib in paediatric patients with BRAF-aberrant or neurofibromatosis type 1-associated recurrent, refractory, or progressive lowgrade glioma: a multicentre, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 20, 1011-1022. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30277-3
- Feldkamp, M. M., Lau, N., Provias, J. P., Gutmann, D. H. and Guha, A. (1996). Acute presentation of a neurogenic sarcoma in a patient with neurofibromatosis type 1: a pathological and molecular explanation. Case Report. *J. Neurosurg.* 84, 867-873. doi:10.3171/jns.1996.84.5.0867
- Ferner, R. E. and Gutmann, D. H. (2013). Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1): diagnosis and management. *Handb. Clin. Neurol.* **115**, 939-955. doi:10.1016/ B978-0-444-52902-2.00053-9
- Geist, R. T. and Gutmann, D. H. (1996). Expression of a developmentally-regulated neuron-specific isoform of the neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1) gene. *Neurosci. Lett.* 211, 85-88. doi:10.1016/0304-3940(96)12730-0
- Georganta, E.-M., Moressis, A. and Skoulakis, E. M. C. (2021). Associative learning requires neurofibromin to modulate GABAergic inputs to Drosophila mushroom bodies. J. Neurosci. 41, 5274-5286. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1605-20.2021
- Gregorian, C., Nakashima, J., Dry, S. M., Nghiemphu, P. L., Smith, K. B., Ao, Y., Dang, J., Lawson, G., Mellinghoff, I. K., Mischel, P. S. et al. (2009). PTEN dosage is essential for neurofibroma development and malignant transformation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 19479-19484. doi:10.1073/pnas.0910398106

- Guha, A., Lau, N., Huvar, I., Gutmann, D., Provias, J., Pawson, T. and Boss, G. (1996). Ras-GTP levels are elevated in human NF1 peripheral nerve tumors. *Oncogene* 12, 507-513.
- Guo, H.-F., The, I., Hannan, F., Bernards, A. and Zhong, Y. (1997). Requirement of Drosophila NF1 for activation of adenylyl cyclase by PACAP38-like neuropeptides. *Science* 276, 795-798. doi:10.1126/science.276.5313.795
- Guo, H.-F., Tong, J., Hannan, F., Luo, L. and Zhong, Y. (2000). A neurofibromatosis-1-regulated pathway is required for learning in Drosophila. *Nature* 403, 895-898. doi:10.1038/35002593
- Gutmann, D. H., Cole, J. L., Stone, W. J., Ponder, B. A. J. and Collins, F. S. (1994). Loss of neurofibromin in adrenal gland tumors from patients with neurofibromatosis type I. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 10, 55-58. doi:10.1002/ gcc.2870100109
- Gutmann, D. H., Geist, R. T., Rose, K. and Wright, D. E. (1995a). Expression of two new protein isoforms of the neurofibromatosis type 1 gene product, neurofibromin, in muscle tissues. *Dev. Dyn.* **202**, 302-311. doi:10.1002/aja. 1002020309
- Gutmann, D. H., Geist, R. T., Wright, D. E. and Snider, W. D. (1995b). Expression of the neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1) isoforms in developing and adult rat tissues. *Cell Growth Differ.* 6, 315-323.
- Gutmann, D. H., Silos-Santiago, I., Geist, R. T., Daras, M. and Rutkowski, J. L. (1995c). Lack of NF1 expression in a sporadic schwannoma from a patient without neurofibromatosis. J. Neurooncol. 25, 103-111. doi:10.1007/BF01057754
- Gutmann, D. H., Zhang, Y. and Hirbe, A. (1999). Developmental regulation of a neuron-specific neurofibromatosis 1 isoform. Ann. Neurol. 46, 777-782. doi:10.1002/1531-8249(199911)46:5<777::AID-ANA15>3.0.CO;2-H
- Gutmann, D. H., Donahoe, J., Brown, T., James, C. D. and Perry, A. (2000). Loss of neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1) gene expression in NF1-associated pilocytic astrocytomas. *Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol.* 26, 361-367. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2990.2000.00258.x
- Gutmann, D. H., Ferner, R. E., Listernick, R. H., Korf, B. R., Wolters, P. L. and Johnson, K. J. (2017). Neurofibromatosis type 1. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* 3, 17004. doi:10.1038/nrdp.2017.4
- Hegedus, B., Banerjee, D., Yeh, T.-H., Rothermich, S., Perry, A., Rubin, J. B., Garbow, J. R. and Gutmann, D. H. (2008). Preclinical cancer therapy in a mouse model of neurofibromatosis-1 optic glioma. *Cancer Res.* 68, 1520-1528. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-5916
- Hiatt, K. K., Ingram, D. A., Zhang, Y., Bollag, G. and Clapp, D. W. (2001). Neurofibromin GTPase-activating protein-related domains restore normal growth in Nf1–/– cells. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 7240-7245. doi:10.1074/jbc.M009202200
- Ho, I. S., Hannan, F., Guo, H.-F., Hakker, I. and Zhong, Y. (2007). Distinct functional domains of neurofibromatosis type 1 regulate immediate versus longterm memory formation. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 6852-6857. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI. 0933-07.2007
- Huang, Y., Rangwala, F., Fulkerson, P. C., Ling, B., Reed, E., Cox, A. D., Kamholz, J. and Ratner, N. (2004). Role of TC21/R-Ras2 in enhanced migration of neurofibromin-deficient Schwann cells. *Oncogene* 23, 368-378. doi:10.1038/sj. onc.1207075
- Hyman, S. L., Shores, A. and North, K. N. (2005). The nature and frequency of cognitive deficits in children with neurofibromatosis type 1. *Neurology* 65, 1037-1044. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000179303.72345.ce
- Ismat, F. A., Xu, J., Lu, M. M. and Epstein, J. A. (2006). The neurofibromin GAPrelated domain rescues endothelial but not neural crest development in Nf1–/– mice. J. Clin. Invest. 116, 2378-2384. doi:10.1172/JCI28341
- Jackson, S., Baker, E. H., Gross, A. M., Whitcomb, P., Baldwin, A., Derdak, J., Tibery, C., Desanto, J., Carbonell, A., Yohay, K. et al. (2020). The MEK inhibitor selumetinib reduces spinal neurofibroma burden in patients with NF1 and plexiform neurofibromas. *Neurooncol. Adv.* 2, vdaa095. doi:10.1093/noajnl/ vdaa095
- Jessen, W. J., Miller, S. J., Jousma, E., Wu, J., Rizvi, T. A., Brundage, M. E., Eaves, D., Widemann, B., Kim, M.-O., Dombi, E. et al. (2013). MEK inhibition exhibits efficacy in human and mouse neurofibromatosis tumors. *J. Clin. Invest.* 123, 340-347. doi:10.1172/JCI60578
- Johannessen, C. M., Reczek, E. E., James, M. F., Brems, H., Legius, E. and Cichowski, K. (2005). The NF1 tumor suppressor critically regulates TSC2 and mTOR. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 8573-8578. doi:10.1073/pnas. 0503224102
- Johannessen, C. M., Johnson, B. W., Williams, S. M. G., Chan, A. W., Reczek, E. E., Lynch, R. C., Rioth, M. J., McClatchey, A., Ryeom, S. and Cichowski, K. (2008). TORC1 is essential for NF1-associated malignancies. *Curr. Biol.* **18**, 56-62. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2007.11.066
- Kaufmann, D., Müller, R., Kenner, O., Leistner, W., Hein, C., Vogel, W. and Bartelt, B. (2002). The N-terminal splice product NF1-10a-2 of the NF1 gene codes for a transmembrane segment. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 294, 496-503. doi:10.1016/S0006-291X(02)00501-6
- Kaul, A., Toonen, J. A., Cimino, P. J., Gianino, S. M. and Gutmann, D. H. (2015). Akt- or MEK-mediated mTOR inhibition suppresses Nf1 optic glioma growth. *Neuro Oncol.* 17, 843-853. doi:10.1093/neuonc/nou329
- Kawachi, Y., Maruyama, H., Ishitsuka, Y., Fujisawa, Y., Furuta, J., Nakamura, Y., Ichikawa, E., Furumura, M. and Otsuka, F. (2013). NF1 gene silencing induces

upregulation of vascular endothelial growth factor expression in both Schwann and non-Schwann cells. *Exp. Dermatol.* **22**, 262-265. doi:10.1111/exd.12115

- Kim, H. A., Ling, B. and Ratner, N. (1997). Nf1-deficient mouse Schwann cells are angiogenic and invasive and can be induced to hyperproliferate: reversion of some phenotypes by an inhibitor of farnesyl protein transferase. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 17, 862-872. doi:10.1128/MCB.17.2.862
- Kim, A., Morgan, K., Hasz, D. E., Wiesner, S. M., Lauchle, J. O., Geurts, J. L., Diers, M. D., Le, D. T., Kogan, S. C., Parada, L. F. et al. (2007). Beta common receptor inactivation attenuates myeloproliferative disease in *Nf1* mutant mice. *Blood* **109**, 1687-1691. doi:10.1182/blood-2006-05-025395
- King, L. B., Koch, M., Murphy, K. R., Velazquez, Y., Ja, W. W. and Tomchik, S. M. (2016). Neurofibromin loss of function drives excessive grooming in *Drosophila*. *G3 (Bethesda)* 6, 1083-1093. doi:10.1534/g3.115.026484
- Koczkowska, M., Chen, Y., Callens, T., Gomes, A., Sharp, A., Johnson, S., Hsiao, M.-C., Chen, Z., Balasubramanian, M., Barnett, C. P. et al. (2018). Genotype-phenotype correlation in NF1: evidence for a more severe phenotype associated with missense mutations affecting NF1 codons 844-848. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **102**, 69-87. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2017.12.001
- Koliou, X., Fedonidis, C., Kalpachidou, T. and Mangoura, D. (2016). Nuclear import mechanism of neurofibromin for localization on the spindle and function in chromosome congression. J. Neurochem. 136, 78-91. doi:10.1111/jnc.13401
- Krab, L. C., de Goede-Bolder, A., Aarsen, F. K., Pluijm, S. M., Bouman, M. J., van der Geest, J. N., Lequin, M., Catsman, C. E., Arts, W. F., Kushner, S. A. et al. (2008). Effect of simvastatin on cognitive functioning in children with neurofibromatosis type 1: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 300, 287-294. doi:10.1001/jama.300.3.287
- Lau, N., Feldkamp, M. M., Roncari, L., Loehr, A. H., Shannon, P., Gutmann, D. H. and Guha, A. (2000). Loss of neurofibromin is associated with activation of RAS/ MAPK and PI3-K/AKT signaling in a neurofibromatosis 1 astrocytoma. *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.* 59, 759-767. doi:10.1093/jnen/59.9.759
- Le, L. Q., Shipman, T., Burns, D. K. and Parada, L. F. (2009). Cell of origin and microenvironment contribution for NF1-associated dermal neurofibromas. *Cell Stem Cell* 4, 453-463. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2009.03.017
- Le, L. Q., Liu, C., Shipman, T., Chen, Z., Suter, U. and Parada, L. F. (2011). Susceptible stages in Schwann cells for NF1-associated plexiform neurofibroma development. *Cancer Res.* **71**, 4686-4695. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-4577
- Lee, D. Y., Yeh, T.-H., Emnett, R. J., White, C. R. and Gutmann, D. H. (2010). Neurofibromatosis-1 regulates neuroglial progenitor proliferation and glial differentiation in a brain region-specific manner. *Genes Dev.* 24, 2317-2329. doi:10.1101/gad.1957110
- Legius, E., Marchuk, D. A., Collins, F. S. and Glover, T. W. (1993). Somatic deletion of the neurofibromatosis type 1 gene in a neurofibrosarcoma supports a tumour suppressor gene hypothesis. *Nat. Genet.* **3**, 122-126. doi:10.1038/ ng0293-122
- Li, C., Cheng, Y., Gutmann, D. A. and Mangoura, D. (2001). Differential localization of the neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1) gene product, neurofibromin, with the F-actin or microtubule cytoskeleton during differentiation of telencephalic neurons. *Brain Res. Dev. Brain Res.* 130, 231-248. doi:10.1016/S0165-3806(01)00190-0
- Li, W., Cui, Y., Kushner, S. A., Brown, R. A. M., Jentsch, J. D., Frankland, P. W., Cannon, T. D. and Silva, A. J. (2005). The HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor lovastatin reverses the learning and attention deficits in a mouse model of neurofibromatosis type 1. *Curr. Biol.* **15**, 1961-1967. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2005.09. 043
- Luo, G., Kim, J. and Song, K. (2014). The C-terminal domains of human neurofibromin and its budding yeast homologs Ira1 and Ira2 regulate the metaphase to anaphase transition. *Cell Cycle* **13**, 2780-2789. doi:10.4161/ 15384101.2015.945870
- Lupton, C. J., Bayly-Jones, C., D'Andrea, L., Huang, C., Schittenhelm, R. B., Venugopal, H., Whisstock, J. C., Halls, M. L. and Ellisdon, A. M. (2021). The cryo-EM structure of the human neurofibromin dimer reveals the molecular basis for neurofibromatosis type 1. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 28, 982-988. doi:10.1038/ s41594-021-00687-2
- Machado Almeida, P., Lago Solis, B., Stickley, L., Feidler, A. and Nagoshi, E. (2021). Neurofibromin 1 in mushroom body neurons mediates circadian wake drive through activating cAMP-PKA signaling. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 5758. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-26031-2
- Mahgoub, N., Taylor, B. R., Gratiot, M., Kohl, N. E., Gibbs, J. B., Jacks, T. and Shannon, K. M. (1999). In vitro and in vivo effects of a farnesyltransferase inhibitor on Nf1-deficient hematopoietic cells. *Blood* 94, 2469-2476. doi:10.1182/ blood.V94.7.2469.419a01_2469_2476
- Maloney, S. E., Chandler, K. C., Anastasaki, C., Rieger, M. A., Gutmann, D. H. and Dougherty, J. D. (2018). Characterization of early communicative behavior in mouse models of neurofibromatosis type 1. *Autism Res.* 11, 44-58. doi:10.1002/ aur.1853
- Martin, G. A., Viskoohil, D., Bollag, G., McCabe, P. C., Crosier, W. J., Haubruck, H., Conroy, L., Clark, R., O'Connell, P., Cawthon, R. M. et al. (1990). The GAP-related domain of the neurofibromatosis type 1 gene product interacts with ras p21. *Cell* 63, 843-849. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(90)90150-D

- Mautner, V.-F., Asuagbor, F. A., Dombi, E., Fünsterer, C., Kluwe, L., Wenzel, R., Widemann, B. C. and Friedman, J. M. (2008). Assessment of benign tumor burden by whole-body MRI in patients with neurofibromatosis 1. *Neuro Oncol.* 10, 593-598. doi:10.1215/15228517-2008-011
- McDaniel, A. S., Allen, J. D., Park, S.-J., Jaffer, Z. M., Michels, E. G., Burgin, S. J., Chen, S., Bessler, W. K., Hofmann, C., Ingram, D. A. et al. (2008). Pak1 regulates multiple c-Kit mediated Ras-MAPK gain-in-function phenotypes in Nf1+/- mast cells. *Blood* **112**, 4646-4654. doi:10.1182/blood-2008-04-155085
- Molosh, A. I. and Shekhar, A. (2018). Neurofibromatosis type 1 as a model system to study molecular mechanisms of autism spectrum disorder symptoms. *Prog. Brain Res.* 241, 37-62. doi:10.1016/bs.pbr.2018.09.014
- Molosh, A. I., Johnson, P. L., Spence, J. P., Arendt, D., Federici, L. M., Bernabe, C., Janasik, S. P., Segu, Z. M., Khanna, R., Goswami, C. et al. (2014). Social learning and amygdala disruptions in Nf1 mice are rescued by blocking p21-activated kinase. *Nat. Neurosci.* **17**, 1583-1590. doi:10.1038/nn.3822
- Mund, J. A., Park, S. J., Smith, A. E., He, Y., Jiang, L., Hawley, E., Roberson, M. J., Mitchell, D. K., Abu-Sultanah, M., Yuan, J. et al. (2020). Genetic disruption of the small GTPase RAC1 prevents plexiform neurofibroma formation in mice with neurofibromatosis type 1. J. Biol. Chem. 295, 9948-9958. doi:10.1074/jbc.RA119.010981
- Naschberger, A., Baradaran, R., Rupp, B. and Carroni, M. (2021). The structure of neurofibromin isoform 2 reveals different functional states. *Nature* **599**, 315-319. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04024-x
- Nguyen, R., Kluwe, L., Fuensterer, C., Kentsch, M., Friedrich, R. E. and Mautner, V.-F. (2011). Plexiform neurofibromas in children with neurofibromatosis type 1: frequency and associated clinical deficits. *J. Pediatr.* **159**, 652-655.e2. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2011.04.008
- Nguyen, H. T., Hinman, M. N., Guo, X., Sharma, A., Arakawa, H., Luo, G. and Lou, H. (2017). Neurofibromatosis type 1 alternative splicing is a key regulator of Ras/ERK signaling and learning behaviors in mice. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 26, 3797-3807. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddx264
- Omrani, A., van der Vaart, T., Mientjes, E., van Woerden, G. M., Hojjati, M. R., Li, K. W., Gutmann, D. H., Levelt, C. N., Smit, A. B., Silva, A. J. et al. (2015). HCN channels are a novel therapeutic target for cognitive dysfunction in Neurofibromatosis type 1. *Mol. Psychiatry* 20, 1311-1321. doi:10.1038/mp. 2015.48
- Ozonoff, S. (1999). Cognitive impairment in neurofibromatosis type 1. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* **89**, 45-52. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-8628(19990326)89:1<45::AID-AJMG9>3.0.CO;2-J
- Pan, Y., Hysinger, J. D., Barron, T., Schindler, N. F., Cobb, O., Guo, X., Yalcin, B., Anastasaki, C., Mulinyawe, S. B., Ponnuswami, A. et al. (2021). NF1 mutation drives neuronal activity-dependent initiation of optic glioma. *Nature* 594, 277-282. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03580-6
- Park, J.-I., Powers, J. F., Tischler, A. S., Strock, C. J., Ball, D. W. and Nelkin, B. D. (2005). GDNF-induced leukemia inhibitory factor can mediate differentiation via the MEK/ERK pathway in pheochromocytoma cells derived from nf1-heterozygous knockout mice. *Exp. Cell Res.* **303**, 79-88. doi:10.1016/j.yexcr. 2004.09.028
- Payne, J. M., Barton, B., Ullrich, N. J., Cantor, A., Hearps, S. J. C., Cutter, G., Rosser, T., Walsh, K. S., Gioia, G. A., Wolters, P. L. et al. (2016). Randomized placebo-controlled study of lovastatin in children with neurofibromatosis type 1. *Neurology* 87, 2575-2584. doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000003435
- Powers, J. F., Schelling, K. H., Brachold, J. M. and Tischler, A. S. (2002). Plasticity of pheochromocytoma cell lines from neurofibromatosis knockout mice. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 971, 371-378. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2002.tb04499.x
- Ramkissoon, A., Chaney, K. E., Milewski, D., Williams, K. B., Williams, R. L., Choi, K., Miller, A., Kalin, T. V., Pressey, J. G., Szabo, S. et al. (2019). Targeted inhibition of the dual specificity phosphatases DUSP1 and DUSP6 suppress MPNST growth via JNK. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 25, 4117-4127. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-3224
- Ratner, N. and Miller, S. J. (2015). A RASopathy gene commonly mutated in cancer: the neurofibromatosis type 1 tumour suppressor. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 15, 290-301. doi:10.1038/nrc3911
- Rey, I., Taylor-Harris, P., van Erp, H. and Hall, A. (1994). R-ras interacts with rasGAP, neurofibromin and c-raf but does not regulate cell growth or differentiation. *Oncogene* **9**, 685-692.
- Scheffzek, K., Ahmadian, M. R., Wiesmuller, L., Kabsch, W., Stege, P., Schmitz, F. and Wittinghofer, A. (1998). Structural analysis of the GAPrelated domain from neurofibromin and its implications. *EMBO J.* 17, 4313-4327. doi:10.1093/emboj/17.15.4313
- Sherekar, M., Han, S.-W., Ghirlando, R., Messing, S., Drew, M., Rabara, D., Waybright, T., Juneja, P., O'Neill, H., Stanley, C. B. et al. (2020). Biochemical and structural analyses reveal that the tumor suppressor neurofibromin (NF1) forms a high-affinity dimer. *J. Biol. Chem.* 295, 1105-1119. doi:10.1016/S0021-9258(17)49919-4
- Shilyansky, C., Karlsgodt, K. H., Cummings, D. M., Sidiropoulou, K., Hardt, M., James, A. S., Ehninger, D., Bearden, C. E., Poirazi, P., Jentsch, J. D. et al. (2010). Neurofibromin regulates corticostriatal inhibitory networks during working

memory performance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 13141-13146. doi:10.1073/pnas.1004829107

- Side, L., Taylor, B., Cayouette, M., Conner, E., Thompson, P., Luce, M. and Shannon, K. (1997). Homozygous inactivation of the NF1 gene in bone marrow cells from children with neurofibromatosis type 1 and malignant myeloid disorders. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 336, 1713-1720. doi:10.1056/NEJM199706123362404
- Silva, A. J., Frankland, P. W., Marowitz, Z., Friedman, E., Laszlo, G., Cioffi, D., Jacks, T. and Bourtchuladze, R. (1997). A mouse model for the learning and memory deficits associated with neurofibromatosis type I. *Nat. Genet.* 15, 281-284. doi:10.1038/ng0397-281
- Smithson, L. J. and Gutmann, D. H. (2016). Proteomic analysis reveals GIT1 as a novel mTOR complex component critical for mediating astrocyte survival. *Genes Dev.* 30, 1383-1388. doi:10.1101/gad.279661.116
- Soucy, E. A., Gao, F., Gutmann, D. H. and Dunn, C. M. (2012). Developmental delays in children with neurofibromatosis type 1. J. Child Neurol. 27, 641-644. doi:10.1177/0883073811423974
- Staser, K., Park, S.-J., Rhodes, S. D., Zeng, Y., He, Y. Z., Shew, M. A., Gehlhausen, J. R., Cerabona, D., Menon, K., Chen, S. et al. (2013). Normal hematopoiesis and neurofibromin-deficient myeloproliferative disease require Erk. J. Clin. Invest. 123, 329-334. doi:10.1172/JCI66167
- Stephens, P. J., Tarpey, P. S., Davies, H., Van Loo, P., Greenman, C., Wedge, D. C., Nik-Zainal, S., Martin, S., Varela, I., Bignell, G. R. et al. (2012). The landscape of cancer genes and mutational processes in breast cancer. *Nature* 486, 400-404. doi:10.1038/nature11017
- Stowe, I. B., Mercado, E. L., Stowe, T. R., Bell, E. L., Oses-Prieto, J. A., Hernández, H., Burlingame, A. L. and McCormick, F. (2012). A shared molecular mechanism underlies the human rasopathies Legius syndrome and Neurofibromatosis-1. *Genes Dev.* 26, 1421-1426. doi:10.1101/gad.190876.112
- Toledano, H., Dotan, G., Friedland, R., Cohen, R., Yassur, I., Toledano-Alhadef, H., Constantini, S. and Rootman, M. S. (2021). Trametinib for orbital plexiform neurofibromas in young children with neurofibromatosis type 1. *Childs Nerv. Syst.* 37, 1909-1915. doi:10.1007/s00381-021-05127-6
- Tong, J., Hannan, F., Zhu, Y., Bernards, A. and Zhong, Y. (2002). Neurofibromin regulates G protein-stimulated adenylyl cyclase activity. *Nat. Neurosci.* 5, 95-96. doi:10.1038/nn792
- Ullrich, N. J., Prabhu, S. P., Reddy, A. T., Fisher, M. J., Packer, R., Goldman, S., Robison, N. J., Gutmann, D. H., Viskochil, D. H., Allen, J. C. et al. (2020). A phase II study of continuous oral mTOR inhibitor everolimus for recurrent, radiographic-progressive neurofibromatosis type 1-associated pediatric lowgrade glioma: a Neurofibromatosis Clinical Trials Consortium study. *Neuro Oncol.* 22, 1527-1535. doi:10.1093/neuonc/noaa071
- Ullrich, N. J., Prabhu, S. P., Packer, R. J., Goldman, S., Robison, N. J., Allen, J. C., Viskochil, D. H., Gutmann, D. H., Perentesis, J. P., Korf, B. R. et al. (2021). Visual outcomes following everolimus targeted therapy for neurofibromatosis type 1-associated optic pathway gliomas in children. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer* 68, e28833. doi:10.1002/pbc.28833
- Uusitalo, E., Leppävirta, J., Koffert, A., Suominen, S., Vahtera, J., Vahlberg, T., Pöyhönen, M., Peltonen, J. and Peltonen, S. (2015). Incidence and mortality of neurofibromatosis: a total population study in Finland. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* **135**, 904-906. doi:10.1038/jid.2014.465
- Uusitalo, E., Rantanen, M., Kallionpää, R. A., Pöyhönen, M., Leppävirta, J., Ylä-Outinen, H., Riccardi, V. M., Pukkala, E., Pitkäniemi, J., Peltonen, S. et al. (2016). Distinctive cancer associations in patients with neurofibromatosis type 1. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 34, 1978-1986. doi:10.1200/JCO.2015.65.3576
- van der Vaart, T., Plasschaert, E., Rietman, A. B., Renard, M., Oostenbrink, R., Vogels, A., de Wit, M.-C. Y., Descheemaeker, M.-J., Vergouwe, Y., Catsman-Berrevoets, C. E. et al. (2013). Sinvastatin for cognitive deficits and behavioural problems in patients with neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1-SIMCODA): a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol.* **12**, 1076-1083. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70227-8
- Vogel, K. S., Klesse, L. J., Velasco-Miguel, S., Meyers, K., Rushing, E. J. and Parada, L. F. (1999). Mouse tumor model for neurofibromatosis type 1. Science 286, 2176-2179. doi:10.1126/science.286.5447.2176
- Wahl-Schott, C. and Biel, M. (2009). HCN channels: structure, cellular regulation and physiological function. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 66, 470-494. doi:10.1007/s00018-008-8525-0
- Walker, J. A., Tchoudakova, A. V., McKenney, P. T., Brill, S., Wu, D., Cowley, G. S., Hariharan, I. K. and Bernards, A. (2006). Reduced growth of

Drosophila neurofibromatosis 1 mutants reflects a non-cell-autonomous requirement for GTPase-Activating Protein activity in larval neurons. *Genes Dev.* **20**, 3311-3323. doi:10.1101/gad.1466806

- Walker, J. A., Gouzi, J. Y., Long, J. B., Huang, S., Maher, R. C., Xia, H., Khalil, K., Ray, A., Van Vactor, D., Bernards, R. et al. (2013). Genetic and functional studies implicate synaptic overgrowth and ring gland cAMP/PKA signaling defects in the Drosophila melanogaster neurofibromatosis-1 growth deficiency. *PLoS Genet.* 9, e1003958. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003958
- Wang, Y., Nicol, G. D., Clapp, D. W. and Hingtgen, C. M. (2005). Sensory neurons from Nf1 haploinsufficient mice exhibit increased excitability. *J. Neurophysiol.* 94, 3670-3676. doi:10.1152/jn.00489.2005
- Way, G. P., Sanchez-Vega, F., La, K., Armenia, J., Chatila, W. K., Luna, A., Sander, C., Cherniack, A. D., Mina, M., Ciriello, G. et al. (2018). Machine learning detects pan-cancer Ras pathway activation in the cancer genome atlas. *Cell Rep* 23, 172-180.e3. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.03.046
- Weber, S. M., Brossier, N. M., Prechtl, A., Barnes, S., Wilson, L. S., Brosius, S. N., Longo, J. F. and Carroll, S. L. (2021). R-Ras subfamily proteins elicit distinct physiologic effects and phosphoproteome alterations in neurofibromin-null MPNST cells. *Cell Commun. Signal.* **19**, 95. doi:10.1186/ s12964-021-00773-4
- Weiss, B., Widemann, B. C., Wolters, P., Dombi, E., Vinks, A. A., Cantor, A., Korf, B., Perentesis, J., Gutmann, D. H., Schorry, E. et al. (2014). Sirolimus for non-progressive NF1-associated plexiform neurofibromas: an NF clinical trials consortium phase II study. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer* **61**, 982-986. doi:10.1002/pbc. 24873
- Weiss, B. D., Wolters, P. L., Plotkin, S. R., Widemann, B. C., Tonsgard, J. H., Blakeley, J., Allen, J. C., Schorry, E., Korf, B., Robison, N. J. et al. (2021). NF106: A Neurofibromatosis Clinical Trials Consortium Phase II Trial of the MEK Inhibitor Mirdametinib (PD-0325901) in Adolescents and Adults with NF1-Related Plexiform Neurofibromas. J. Clin. Oncol. 39, 797-806. doi:10.1200/JCO.20.02220
- Widemann, B. C., Babovic-Vuksanovic, D., Dombi, E., Wolters, P. L., Goldman, S., Martin, S., Goodwin, A., Goodspeed, W., Kieran, M. W., Cohen, B. et al. (2014a). Phase II trial of pirfenidone in children and young adults with neurofibromatosis type 1 and progressive plexiform neurofibromas. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer* 61, 1598-1602. doi:10.1002/pbc.25041
- Widemann, B. C., Dombi, E., Gillespie, A., Wolters, P. L., Belasco, J., Goldman, S., Korf, B. R., Solomon, J., Martin, S., Salzer, W. et al. (2014b). Phase 2 randomized, flexible crossover, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial of the farnesyltransferase inhibitor tipifarnib in children and young adults with neurofibromatosis type 1 and progressive plexiform neurofibromas. *Neuro Oncol.* 16, 707-718. doi:10.1093/neuonc/nou004
- Wu, J., Dombi, E., Jousma, E., Scott Dunn, R., Lindquist, D., Schnell, B. M., Kim, M.-O., Kim, A., Widemann, B. C., Cripe, T. P. et al. (2012). Preclincial testing of sorafenib and RAD001 in the Nf(flox/flox); DhhCre mouse model of plexiform neurofibroma using magnetic resonance imaging. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer* 58, 173-180. doi:10.1002/pbc.23015
- Xu, G. F., Lin, B., Tanaka, K., Dunn, D., Wood, D., Gesteland, R., White, R., Weiss, R. and Tamanoi, F. (1990). The catalytic domain of the neurofibromatosis type 1 gene product stimulates ras GTPase and complements ira mutants of S. cerevisiae. *Cell* 63, 835-841. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(90)90149-9
- Xu, W., Mulligan, L. M., Ponder, M. A., Liu, L., Ponder, B. A. J., Smith, B. A. and Mathew, C. G. (1992). Loss of NF1 alleles in phaeochromocytomas from patients with type I neurofibromatosis. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 4, 337-342. doi:10.1002/gcc.2870040411
- Yan, N., Ricca, C., Fletcher, J., Glover, T., Seizinger, B. R. and Manne, V. (1995). Farnesyltransferase inhibitors block the neurofibromatosis type I (NF1) malignant phenotype. *Cancer Res.* 55, 3569-3575.
- Zhang, Y.-Y., Vik, T. A., Ryder, J. W., Srour, E. F., Jacks, T., Shannon, K. and Clapp, D. W. (1998). Nf1 regulates hematopoietic progenitor cell growth and ras signaling in response to multiple cytokines. J. Exp. Med. 187, 1893-1902. doi:10.1084/iem.187.11.1893
- Zheng, Z.-Y., Anurag, M., Lei, J. T., Cao, J., Singh, P., Peng, J., Kennedy, H., Nguyen, N.-C., Chen, Y., Lavere, P. et al. (2020). Neurofibromin Is an Estrogen Receptor-alpha Transcriptional Co-repressor in Breast Cancer. *Cancer Cell* 37, 387-402.e7. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2020.02.003
- Zhu, Y., Ghosh, P., Charnay, P., Burns, D. K. and Parada, L. F. (2002). Neurofibromas in NF1: Schwann cell origin and role of tumor environment. *Science* 296, 920-922. doi:10.1126/science.1068452