

Multiplicity results for an anisotropic equation with subcritical or critical growth

Giovanly Figueiredo * and João R. Santos Junior †

Universidade Federal do Pará,

Faculdade de Matemática,

CEP: 66075-110 Belém - Pa , Brazil.

e-mail: giovanly@ufpa.br and joaojunior@ufpa.br

and

Antonio Suarez‡

Dpto. de Ecuaciones Diferenciales y Análisis Numérico,

Fac. de Matemáticas, Univ. de Sevilla,

C/. Tarfia s/n, 41012 - Sevilla, SPAIN

e-mail: suarez.us.es

Abstract

In this work we show some multiplicity results for the anisotropic equation

$$-\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) = g_\lambda(u) \text{ in } \Omega, \text{ and } u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded smooth domain, $1 < p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_N$ and λ is a positive parameter. Using genus theory, we study the subcritical case $g_\lambda(u) = \lambda|u|^{q-2}u$ with $q \in (1, p_N)$ and the critical case $g_\lambda(u) = \lambda|u|^{q-2}u + |u|^{p^*-2}u$ with $q \in (1, p_1)$ and $p^* = N\bar{p}/(N - \bar{p})$, with \bar{p} the harmonic mean.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 35J25, 35B65, 35J70 and 46E35.

Key words: Anisotropic operator, Genus theory, Subcritical or critical growth.

*Supported by PROCAD/CASADINHO: 552101/2011-7, CNPq/PQ 301242/2011-9 and CNPQ/CSF 200237/2012-8

†Supported by CAPES/PDSE - Brazil - 7155123/2012-9

‡Supported by MICINN and FEDER under grant MTM 2012-31304

1 Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the multiplicity of nontrivial solutions for the following classes of nonlinear anisotropic problems

$$(P1_\lambda) \quad \begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) = \lambda |u|^{q-2} u \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u \in D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega), \quad q \in (1, p_N) \end{cases}$$

and

$$(P2_\lambda) \quad \begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) = \lambda |u|^{q-2} u + |u|^{p^*-2} u \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u \in D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega), \quad q \in (1, p_1), \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 3$, λ is a positive parameter,

$$1 < p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_N, \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} > 1,$$

$$D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) := \{u \in L^{p^*}(\Omega) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^{p_i}(\Omega); i = 1, \dots, N\},$$

$\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_N)$, and

$$p^* := \frac{N}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} \right) - 1} = \frac{N\bar{p}}{N - \bar{p}},$$

where \bar{p} denotes the harmonic mean $\bar{p} = N / \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} \right)$.

Throughout all the paper, we assume that

$$p_N < p^*.$$

Observe that the anisotropic operator is a generalization of the Laplacian one. Indeed, when $p_i = 2$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) = \Delta u.$$

A considerable effort has been devoted during the last years to the study of anisotropic problems. With no hope to be thorough, let us mention, for example, [1], [9], [10], [11], [15], [16], [17], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [26], [27] and references given there.

This is greatly justified in view of two basic aspects of mathematical research. The first one is that this class of problems has a rich physical motivation. It appears, for instance, in biology, see [7] and [8], as a model describing the spread of an epidemic disease in heterogeneous environments. It also emerges, see [3] and [5], from the mathematical description of the dynamics of fluids with different conductivities in different directions. To application in image processing, see [25].

The second aspect of the relevance of anisotropic problems is related to the mathematical techniques used to approach it. Sometimes, some refined estimates are needed due to different orders of derivation of the operator in different directions.

In this paper we are interested in giving some multiplicity results, which complete the existing in the literature. With respect to $(P1_\lambda)$, the main results are:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $q \in (1, p_1)$. Then, problem $(P1_\lambda)$ has infinitely many solutions, for all $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$.*

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that $q \in [p_1, p_N)$. Then, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\lambda_k > 0$ such that problem $(P1_\lambda)$ has at least k pairs of solutions, for all $\lambda \in (\lambda_k, +\infty)$.*

With respect to $(P2_\lambda)$ we have:

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that $q \in (1, p_1)$. Then, there exists $\lambda^* > 0$ such that problem $(P2_\lambda)$ has infinitely many solutions, for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$.*

In some sense our paper is a natural continuation of the studies initiated in [1], [11] and [17] and it completes the results obtained there. Indeed, in [17], the authors studied important properties on the Banach space $D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ and they showed that problem $(P1_\lambda)$ with $q \in (p_N, p^*)$ has one solution for all $\lambda > 0$.

For the case $q \in (p_1, p_N)$, it was proved in [11] that problem $(P1_\lambda)$ possesses at least one solution for large λ and no solution when λ is small.

In [1], the authors showed that problem $(P2_\lambda)$ has one solution when $q \in (1, p_1)$ and λ small and when $q \in (p_N, p^*)$ and λ is large.

In order to prove our results, mainly we have used variational methods. Thus, for Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 we utilize notions on the Krasnoleskii genus and Clarke's Theorem. The proof of the Theorem 1.3 is more complicated. We have used a similar idea to that of [4], where the authors showed a multiplicity result for problem

$$(GP) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{q-2} u + |u|^{p^*-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad q \in (1, p), \end{cases}$$

see also [14], where a nonlocal operator is considered. However, due to the anisotropic operator, we need to prove new bounds for the truncated functional, see Section 5 for details.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we write our problem in a variational framework. In Section 3, we recall some properties of genus theory and Clarke's Theorem. We study in Section 4 the subcritical case. Finally, in the Section 5, we analyze the critical case.

2 Variational framework

It is well known that $D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$, which is the completion of the space $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\| = \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i},$$

is a reflexive Banach space and is continuously embedded in $L^{p^*}(\Omega)$. Here $|\cdot|_{p_i}$ is the usual norm in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$.

Since Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N , from [17, Theorem 1], the continuity of the embedding $D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^s(\Omega)$, for all $s \in [1, p^*]$ relies on a well-known Poincaré-type inequality. More precisely, denoting by e_1, \dots, e_n the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^N , assume that Ω has width $a > 0$ in the direction of e_i , namely $\sup_{x, y \in \Omega} (x - y, e_i) = a$. Thus, for every $q \geq 1$, we have

$$|u|_q \leq \frac{aq}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_q, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega). \quad (2.1)$$

Definition 2.1. We say that $u \in D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of the problem $(P_{i\lambda})$, $i = 1, 2$ if it verifies

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q-2} u \phi dx - \int_{\Omega} h(u) \phi dx = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

for all $\phi \in D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$, where $h(t) = 0$ in problem $(P_{1\lambda})$ and $h(t) = |t|^{2^*-2}t$ in problem $(P_{2\lambda})$.

If a function $u \in D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfies (2.2), then u is a strong solution of the problem $(P_{i\lambda})$. From [1, Lemma 4.1] and [17, Theorem 4], weak solutions of problem $(P_{i\lambda})$, $i = 1, 2$ are strong solutions.

We will look for solutions of $(P_{i\lambda})$, $i = 1, 2$ by finding critical points of the C^1 -functional $I : D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$I(u) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_i} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} dx - \lambda \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx - \int_{\Omega} H(u) dx,$$

only in the case $h(t) = 0$ and $h(t) = |t|^{2^*-2}t$, where $H(t) = \int_0^t h(\tau) d\tau$.

Note that

$$I'(u)\phi = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q-2} u \phi dx - \int_{\Omega} h(u)\phi dx,$$

for all $\phi \in D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$. Hence critical points of I are weak solutions for (Pi_λ) , $i = 1, 2$.

In order to use variational methods, we first derive some results related to the Palais-Smale compactness condition.

We say that a sequence $(u_n) \subset D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for the functional I if

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow c_* \text{ and } \|I'(u_n)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } (D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega))', \quad (2.3)$$

for some $c_* \in \mathbb{R}$.

If (2.3) implies the existence of a subsequence $(u_{n_j}) \subset (u_n)$ which converges in $D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$, we say that I satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. If this strongly convergent subsequence exists only for some d values, we say that I verifies a local Palais-Smale condition.

3 Abstract results

We will start by considering some basic notions on the Krasnoselskii genus which we will use in the proof of our main results.

Let E be a real Banach space. Let us denote by \mathfrak{A} the class of all closed subsets $A \subset E \setminus \{0\}$ that are symmetric with respect to the origin, that is, $u \in A$ implies $-u \in A$.

Definition 3.1. *Let $A \in \mathfrak{A}$. The Krasnoselskii genus $\gamma(A)$ of A is defined as being the least positive integer k such that there is an odd mapping $\phi \in C(A, \mathbb{R}^k)$ such that $\phi(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in A$. If k does not exist we set $\gamma(A) = \infty$. Furthermore, by definition, $\gamma(\emptyset) = 0$.*

In the sequel we will establish only the properties of the genus that will be used through this work. More information on this subject may be found in the references [2], [12], [13] and [18].

Proposition 3.2. *Let A and B be sets in \mathfrak{A} .*

- (i) *If there exists an odd application $\varphi \in C(A, B)$ then $\gamma(A) \leq \gamma(B)$.*
- (ii) *If there exists an odd homeomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ then $\gamma(A) = \gamma(B)$.*
- (iii) *If A is a compact set, then there exists a neighborhood $K \in \mathfrak{A}$ of A such that $\gamma(A) = \gamma(K)$.*
- (iv) *If $\gamma(B) < \infty$, then $\gamma(\overline{A \setminus B}) \geq \gamma(A) - \gamma(B)$.*
- (v) *If $\gamma(A) \geq 2$, then A has infinitely many points.*

Proposition 3.3. *Let $E = \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\partial\Omega$ be the boundary of an open, symmetric and bounded subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $0 \in \Omega$. Then $\gamma(\partial\Omega) = N$.*

Corollary 3.4. $\gamma(\mathcal{S}^{N-1}) = N$ where \mathcal{S}^{N-1} is a unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^N .

We now establish a result due to Clarke [19].

Theorem 3.5. *Let $J \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a functional satisfying the Palais-Smale condition. Furthermore, let us suppose that*

A₁) J is bounded from below and even;

A₂) there is a compact set $K \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\gamma(K) = k$ and $\sup_{x \in K} J(x) < J(0)$.

Then J possesses at least k pairs of distinct critical points and their corresponding critical values c_j are less than $J(0)$.

4 Subcritical case

In this section we study some properties related to the functional $I : D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$I(u) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_i} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} dx - \lambda \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx.$$

The next two lemmas are true for $q \in (1, p_N)$. In [11] the authors showed that I is coercive when $q \in (p_1, p_N)$, by using the boundedness of levels sets $I^b = \{u \in D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) : I(u) \leq b\}$. In the following lemma we will show this same fact for $q \in (1, p_N)$ with simpler arguments.

Lemma 4.1. *I is bounded from below.*

Proof. We will show that I is coercive. In fact, suppose by contradiction that $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$. Unfortunately, we can not to assure that $\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i} \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Hence, we will consider two cases.

If $|u|_q$ is bounded, then we have already $I(u) \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, if $|u|_q \rightarrow \infty$ then, by using Holder's inequality and (2.1), we conclude that

$$\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i} \rightarrow \infty, \quad q \leq p_i. \quad (4.1)$$

Moreover, for some $q < p_i$ fixed, we have

$$I(u) \geq \frac{1}{p_i} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i}^{p_i} - \frac{C}{q} \lambda \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i}^q dx.$$

It follows from (4.1) that $I(u) \rightarrow \infty$. In any case, I is coercive and, therefore, I is bounded from below. \blacksquare

Lemma 4.2. *I satisfies the (PS) condition.*

Proof. Let (u_n) be a sequence in $D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ such that

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow C \quad \text{and} \quad I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since I is coercive, we conclude that (u_n) is bounded in $D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$. Thus, passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we have

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{in} \quad D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega),$$

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{in} \quad L^\sigma(\Omega) \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma \in [1, p^*),$$

and

$$u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text{a.e in} \quad \Omega.$$

Thus, from convergence in $L^\sigma(\Omega)$ we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q dx - \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{q-2} u_n u dx = o_n(1), \quad (4.2)$$

and from weak convergence

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} dx - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} dx = o_n(1). \quad (4.3)$$

Hence, from (4.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq C_p \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i}^{p_i} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left(\left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i-2} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} - \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \left(\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} dx - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i-2} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} dx + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

From (4.2), we derive

$$0 \leq C_p \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i}^{p_i} \leq I'(u_n)u_n - I'(u_n)u + o_n(1),$$

where C_p is a constant which appears in the standard inequality in \mathbb{R} given by

$$(|x|^{p-2}x - |y|^{p-2}y)(x - y) \geq C_p|x - y|^p,$$

if $p \geq 2$ or

$$(|x|^{p-2}x - |y|^{p-2}y)(x - y) \geq \frac{C_p|x - y|^2}{(|x| + |y|)^{2-p}},$$

if $1 < p < 2$.

Thus, we conclude that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ and the proof is complete. \blacksquare

4.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $X_k = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$ be a subspace of $D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ with $\dim X_k = k$. Note that X_k is continuously embedded in $L^q(\Omega)$. Thus, the norms of $D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ and $L^q(\Omega)$ are equivalent on X_k and there exists a positive constant $C(k)$ which depends on k , such that

$$-C(k)\|u\|^q \geq -\int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx, \quad \text{for all } u \in X_k.$$

Thus we conclude that

$$I(u) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i}^{p_i} - \lambda C(k) \frac{1}{q} \|u\|^q.$$

Let $0 < R < 1$ and $u \in D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ be such that $\|u\| \leq R$. Thus

$$I(u) \leq \frac{1}{p_1} \|u\|^{p_1} - \lambda C(k) \frac{1}{q} \|u\|^q = \|u\|^q \left[\frac{1}{p_1} \|u\|^{p_1-q} - \lambda C(k) \frac{1}{q} \right].$$

Choosing $R < \min \left\{ 1, \lambda \left(\frac{C(k)p_1}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1-q}} \right\}$ we have

$$I(u) < R^q \left[\frac{1}{p_1} R^{p_1-q} - \lambda C(k) \frac{1}{q} \right] < 0 = I(0),$$

for all $u \in K = \{u \in X_k : \|u\| = R\}$. This inequality implies

$$\sup_{u \in K} I(u) < 0 = I(0).$$

Since X_k and \mathbb{R}^k are isomorphic and K and \mathcal{S}^{k-1} are homeomorphic, we conclude that $\gamma(k) = k$. Moreover, I is even. By Clarke's theorem (Theorem 3.5), I has at least k pairs of different critical points. Since k is arbitrary, we found infinitely many critical points of I . \blacksquare

4.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Before of the proof, we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let A be the set defined by*

$$A = \left\{ u \in D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i}^{p_i} \leq \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} \right|_{p_N}^{p_N}, i = 1, \dots, N-1 \right\}.$$

For each compact set $K \subset D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $t_K > 0$ such that $tK \subset A$ for all $t \geq t_K$, where $tK = \{tu : u \in K\}$.

Proof. By using (2.1), we define the continuous functions $h_i : D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$h_i(u) = \frac{\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i}^{p_i}}{\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} \right|_{p_N}^{p_N}}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}.$$

Since K is compact, there exists $u_i \in K$ such that $h_i(u) \leq h_i(u_i)$ for all $u \in K$. Define still $t_i := [h_i(u_i)]^{\frac{1}{p_N - p_i}}$, $h_j(u_j) := \max_{1 \leq i \leq N-1} h_i(u_i)$ and choose $t_K = t_j$.

Thus, if $t \geq t_K$ we have $t \geq t_i$ and $t^{p_N - p_i} \geq t_i^{p_N - p_i} = h_i(u_i)$. Consequently,

$$\frac{\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i}^{p_i}}{\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} \right|_{p_N}^{p_N}} \leq t^{p_N - p_i},$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\partial(tu)}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i}^{p_i} \leq \left| \frac{\partial(tu)}{\partial x_N} \right|_{p_N}^{p_N}, \quad \forall u \in K \text{ and } \forall i \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}.$$

■

Finally, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2 .

Proof of Theorem 1.2: In a similar way to the previous theorem, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider a k -dimensional subspace $X_k = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$ of $D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$, continuously embedded in $L^{p_N}(\Omega)$. This is, there exists a positive constant $C(k)$ which depends on k , such that

$$-C(k) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} \right|_{p_N} \geq -|u|_{p_N}, \quad \text{for all } u \in X_k. \quad (4.4)$$

Denoting by S_k the unit sphere of X_k and noting that $S_k \subset D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ is a compact set, it follows from previous lemma that there exists $t_k > 0$ such that $tS_k \subset A$, for all $t \geq t_k$. Thus, for each $u \in t_k S_k$, we have

$$I(u) \leq \frac{N}{\bar{p}} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} \right|_{p_N}^{p_N} - \frac{C(k)}{q} \lambda \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} \right|_{p_N}^q,$$

and so

$$I(u) \leq \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} \right|_{p_N}^q \left(\frac{N}{\bar{p}} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} \right|_{p_N}^{p_N - q} - \frac{C(k)}{q} \lambda \right). \quad (4.5)$$

From (2.1) we conclude that $\alpha := \min_{u \in t_k S_k} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} \right|_{p_N} > 0$. Hence,

$$I(u) \leq \alpha^q \left(\frac{N}{\bar{p}} t_k^{p_N - q} - \frac{C(k)}{q} \lambda \right) < 0,$$

when $\lambda > \lambda_k = \frac{qN}{\bar{p}C(k)} t_k^{p_N - q}$. Therefore,

$$\sup_{t_k S_k} I_\lambda < 0, \quad \forall \lambda \geq \lambda_k,$$

with $\gamma(t_k S_k) = k$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, the result follows from Clarke's Theorem (Theorem 3.5). \blacksquare

5 Critical case

Since I is not bounded from below, in the critical case, to apply genus theory, we will need to make a truncation in the functional I . In fact, the idea is to get a truncated functional J such that critical points u of J with $J(u) < 0$ are also critical points of I .

However, the anisotropy of $(P2)_\lambda$ becomes our job somewhat more complicated. To overcome the difficulties, we need to consider separately the cases $\|u\| \leq 1$ and $\|u\| \geq 1$ in the building of J .

Case 1: $\|u\| \leq 1$.

In this case, we have $\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i} \leq 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, and consequently

$$\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i}^{p_N} \leq \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i}^{p_i}.$$

Hence

$$I(u) \geq \frac{1}{p_N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i}^{p_N} - \lambda \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx.$$

From continuous embedding,

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^s dx \leq C \|u\|^s, \quad s \in [1, p^*].$$

From previous inequality we obtain

$$I(u) \geq \frac{C_1}{p_N} \|u\|^{p_N} - \lambda C \|u\|^q - C_2 \|u\|^{p^*} \geq g(\|u\|), \quad (5.1)$$

where $g(t) = \frac{C_1}{p_N} t^{p_N} - \lambda C t^q - C_2 t^{p^*}$.

So, there exists $\lambda^* > 0$ such that, if $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$, then g attains its positive maximum.

We denote by $0 < R_0(\lambda) < R_1(\lambda)$ the unique two roots of g . The next lemma is essential to construct the truncated functional.

Lemma 5.1. $R_0(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Indeed, from $g(R_0(\lambda)) = 0$ and $g'(R_0(\lambda)) > 0$, we have

$$\frac{C_1}{p_N} R_0(\lambda)^{p_N} = \lambda C R_0(\lambda)^q + C_2 R_0(\lambda)^{p^*} \quad (5.2)$$

and

$$C_1 R_0(\lambda)^{p_N-1} > \lambda C q R_0(\lambda)^{q-1} + C_2 p^* R_0(\lambda)^{p^*-1}, \quad (5.3)$$

for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$. From (5.2), we conclude that $R_0(\lambda)$ is bounded. Suppose that $R_0(\lambda) \rightarrow R_0 > 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Then,

$$\frac{C_1}{p_N} R_0^{p_N} = C_2 R_0^{p^*} \text{ and } C_1 R_0^{p_N-1} \geq C_2 p^* R_0^{p^*-1},$$

a contradiction, because $p^* > p_N$. Therefore $R_0 = 0$. ■

Now we consider the following truncation in the functional I :

From Lemma 5.1, we have $R_0(\lambda) < 1$ for small λ . So $R_0(\lambda) < \min\{R_1(\lambda), 1\}$ and we can take $\phi \in C_0^\infty([0, +\infty))$, $0 \leq \phi(t) \leq 1$, for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$, such that

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \in [0, R_0(\lambda)], \\ 0, & t \in [\min\{R_1(\lambda), 1\}, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

We define the functional

$$J(u) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_i} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} dx - \lambda \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx - \phi(\|u\|) \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx.$$

Note that $J \in C^1(D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ and, as in (5.1), $J(u) \geq \bar{g}(\|u\|)$, for all $u \in D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ with $\|u\| < 1$, where

$$\bar{g}(t) = \frac{C_1}{p_N} t^{p_N} - \lambda C t^q - C_2 \phi(t) t^{p^*} \geq 0, \quad \forall t \in (R_0(\lambda), \min\{R_1(\lambda), 1\}]. \quad (5.4)$$

By definition, if $\|u\| \leq R_0(\lambda) < \min\{R_1(\lambda), 1\}$ then $J(u) = I(u)$. Once we will obtain critical points u of J with $J(u) < 0$, to show that these critical points verify $\|u\| < R_0(\lambda)$ is important to ensure that $J(u) \geq 0$ when $\|u\| > 1$.

In fact, suppose just for a moment that $J(u) \geq 0$ when $\|u\| > 1$. Let \bar{u} be a critical point of J such that

$$J(\bar{u}) < 0. \quad (5.5)$$

So $\|\bar{u}\| \leq 1$. If $\min\{R_1(\lambda), 1\} = 1$, follows from (5.4) and (5.5) that $\|\bar{u}\| < R_0(\lambda)$. On the other hand, if $\min\{R_1(\lambda), 1\} = R_1(\lambda)$, we conclude again from (5.4), (5.5) and definition of J that $\|\bar{u}\| < R_0(\lambda)$. It remains to prove that $J(u) \geq 0$ when $\|u\| > 1$.

Case 2: $\|u\| > 1$.

Note that in this case we have $\phi(\|u\|) = 0$, and there exists $i = i(u) \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$

such that $\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i} \geq \frac{1}{N}$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i}^{p_i} - \lambda \frac{1}{q} |u|^q \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p_i} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i}^{p_i} - \frac{C}{q} \lambda \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i}^q \\ &= g_i \left(\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $g_i : [1/N, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$g_i(t) = \frac{1}{p_i} t^{p_i} - \frac{C}{q} \lambda t^q, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

which has a global minimum point at $t_i = (C\lambda)^{\frac{1}{p_i - q}}$ and

$$g_i(t_i) = (C\lambda)^{\frac{p_i}{p_i - q}} \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{q} \right) < 0.$$

Observe that $g_i(t) \geq 0$ if, and only if, $t \geq \left(\frac{C p_i}{q} \lambda \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i - q}}$. Hence, to ensure that

$\min_{\substack{t \geq \frac{1}{N} \\ 1 \leq i \leq N}} g_i(t) \geq 0$, we take $\lambda^* \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \frac{q}{C p_i N^{p_i - q}}$. Therefore, for each $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$

we have $J(u) \geq 0$ for all $\|u\| \geq 1$. Moreover, we conclude that the functional J is coercive and bounded from below.

Now, we will show that J satisfies the local Palais-Smale condition. For this, we need the following technical result.

Lemma 5.2. Let $(u_n) \subset D_0^1, \vec{p}(\Omega)$ be a bounded sequence such that

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow c \text{ and } I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

If

$$\begin{aligned} c < \left(\frac{1}{p_N} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) S^{p^*/(p^*-p_N)} \\ - \left[\frac{\left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p_N} \right) |\Omega|^{\frac{p^*-q}{p^*}}}{\left(\frac{1}{p_N} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right)} \right]^{p^*/(p^*-q)} \left[\left(\frac{q}{p^*} \right)^{p^*/(p^*-q)} - \left(\frac{q}{p^*} \right)^{q/(p^*-q)} \right] \lambda^{p^*/(p^*-q)} \end{aligned}$$

hold, then there exists $\lambda^* > 0$ such that, for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$, we have that, up to a subsequence, (u_n) is strongly convergent in $D_0^1, \vec{p}(\Omega)$.

Proof: Using a version of Lions's concentration compactness-principle (see [15, Corollary 1 of Lemma 5]), we obtain at most a countable index set Λ , sequences $(x_j) \subset \bar{\Omega}$, $(b_j), (a_j) \subset (0, \infty)$, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} \rightharpoonup \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} + \mu \text{ and } |u_n|^{p^*} \rightharpoonup |u|^{p^*} + \nu \text{ (weak*-sense of measures),}$$

where

$$\mu \geq \sum_{j \in \Lambda} b_j \delta_{x_j}, \quad \nu = \sum_{j \in \Lambda} a_j \delta_{x_j}, \quad S a_j^{p_N/p^*} \leq b_j,$$

for all $j \in \Lambda$ and δ_{x_j} is the Dirac mass at $x_j \in \bar{\Omega}$.

Now, for every $\varrho > 0$, we set $\psi_\varrho(x) := \psi((x-x_j)/\varrho)$ where $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, [0, 1])$ is such that $\psi \equiv 1$ on $B_1(0)$, $\psi \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_2(0)$ and $|\nabla \psi|_\infty \leq 2$. Since $(\psi_\varrho u_n)$ is bounded, $I'(u_n)(\psi_\varrho u_n) \rightarrow 0$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_\Omega \psi_\varrho \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} dx &= - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_\Omega \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i-2} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \psi_\varrho}{\partial x_i} dx \\ &+ \lambda \int_\Omega |u_n|^q \psi_\varrho dx + \int_\Omega \psi_\varrho |u_n|^{p^*} dx + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

Arguing as [4], we can prove that

$$\lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_\Omega \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i-2} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \psi_\varrho}{\partial x_i} dx \right] = 0.$$

Moreover, since $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^q(\Omega)$ and ψ_ϱ has compact support, we can let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above expression to obtain

$$\int_\Omega \psi_\varrho d\nu \geq \int_\Omega \psi_\varrho d\mu.$$

Letting $\varrho \rightarrow 0$ we conclude that $a_j \geq b_j$. Since $Sa_j^{p_N/p^*} \leq b_j$ we have that

$$S^{p^*/(p^*-p_N)} \leq a_j. \quad (5.6)$$

Now we shall prove that the above expression cannot occur, and therefore the set Λ is empty. Indeed, arguing by contradiction, let us suppose that the inequality (5.6) holds for some $j \in \Lambda$. Thus,

$$c = I(u_n) - \frac{1}{p_N} I'(u_n)u_n + o_n(1).$$

Hence

$$\left(\frac{1}{p_N} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{\varrho} |u_n|^{p^*} dx - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p_N}\right) \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q dx \leq c + o_n(1).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{p_N} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx + \left(\frac{1}{p_N} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) S^{p^*/(p^*-p_N)} \\ - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p_N}\right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

By Holder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{p_N} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx + \left(\frac{1}{p_N} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) S^{p^*/(p^*-p_N)} \\ - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p_N}\right) |\Omega|^{(p^*-q)/p^*} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx\right)^{q/p^*} \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$f(t) = \left(\frac{1}{p_N} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) t^{p^*} - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p_N}\right) |\Omega|^{\frac{p^*-q}{p^*}} t^q.$$

This function attains its absolute minimum, for $t > 0$, at the point

$$t_0 = \left[\frac{q\lambda \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p_N}\right) |\Omega|^{\frac{p^*-q}{p^*}}}{p^* \left(\frac{1}{p_N} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right)} \right]^{1/(p^*-q)}.$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{p_N} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) S^{(p^*-p_N)/p} \\ - \left[\frac{\left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p_N}\right) |\Omega|^{\frac{p^*-q}{p^*}}}{\left(\frac{1}{p_N} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right)} \right]^{p^*/(p^*-q)} \left[\left(\frac{q}{p^*}\right)^{p^*/(p^*-q)} - \left(\frac{q}{p^*}\right)^{q/(p^*-q)} \right] \lambda^{p^*/(p^*-q)} \\ \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

But this is a contradiction. Thus Λ is empty and it follows that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p^*}(\Omega)$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we find

$$\|u_n - u\| = o_n(1).$$

■

By the Lemma 5.2 we conclude, for $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently small, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{p_N} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) S^{(p^* - p_N)/p} \\ - & \left[\frac{\left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p_N} \right) |\Omega|^{\frac{p^* - q}{p^*}}}{\left(\frac{1}{p_N} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right)} \right]^{p^*/(p^* - q)} \left[\left(\frac{q}{p^*} \right)^{p^*/(p^* - q)} - \left(\frac{q}{p^*} \right)^{q/(p^* - q)} \right] \lambda^{p^*/(p^* - q)} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and, hence, if (u_n) is a sequence bounded such that $I(u_n) \rightarrow c$, $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ with $c < 0$, then (u_n) has a subsequence convergent.

Lemma 5.3. *If $J(u) < 0$, then $\|u\| < R_0(\lambda)$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $J(v) = I(v)$, for all v in a small enough neighborhood of u . Moreover, J verifies a local Palais-Smale condition for $c < 0$.*

Proof: Since $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ then $J(u) \geq 0$ whenever $\|u\| \geq 1$. Hence, if $J(u) < 0$ we have $\|u\| < 1$ and consequently $\bar{g}(\|u\|) \leq J(u) < 0$. Therefore, $\|u\| < R_0(\lambda)$ and $J(u) = I(u)$. Moreover, we conclude that $J(v) = I(v)$, for all $\|v - u\| < R_0(\lambda) - \|u\|$. Moreover, if (u_n) is a sequence such that $J(u_n) \rightarrow c < 0$ and $J'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$, for n sufficiently large, $I(u_n) = J(u_n) \rightarrow c < 0$ and $I'(u_n) = J'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$. Since J is coercive, we get that (u_n) is bounded in $D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$. From Lemma 5.2, for λ sufficiently small,

$$\begin{aligned} c & < \left(\frac{1}{p_N} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) S^{(p^* - p_N)/p} \\ - & \left[\frac{\left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p_N} \right) |\Omega|^{\frac{p^* - q}{p^*}}}{\left(\frac{1}{p_N} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right)} \right]^{p^*/(p^* - q)} \left[\left(\frac{q}{p^*} \right)^{p^*/(p^* - q)} - \left(\frac{q}{p^*} \right)^{q/(p^* - q)} \right] \lambda^{p^*/(p^* - q)} \end{aligned}$$

and, hence, up to a subsequence, (u_n) is strongly convergent in $D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$. ■

Now, we will construct an appropriate mini-max sequence of negative critical values for the functional J . Thus, for each real number ϵ , we consider the set

$$J^{-\epsilon} = \{u \in D_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) : J(u) \leq -\epsilon\} \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Lemma 5.4. *Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\epsilon = \epsilon(k) > 0$ such that*

$$\gamma(J^{-\epsilon}) \geq k.$$

Proof: Since

$$J(u) \leq \frac{1}{p_1} \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} - \lambda \frac{1}{q} C(k) \|u\|^q,$$

we can argue as proof of Theorem 1.1 and conclude, there exists $\epsilon = \epsilon(k)$ such that

$$\gamma(J^{-\epsilon}) \geq k. \quad \blacksquare$$

We define now, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the sets

$$\Gamma_k = \{C \subset D_0^1, \vec{p}(\Omega) : C \in \mathfrak{A} \text{ and } \gamma(C) \geq k\},$$

$$K_c = \{u \in D_0^1, \vec{p}(\Omega) : J'(u) = 0 \text{ and } J(u) = c\}$$

and the number

$$c_k = \inf_{C \in \Gamma_k} \sup_{u \in C} J(u).$$

Lemma 5.5. *Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the number c_k is negative.*

Proof: It is sufficient to use Lemma 5.4 and to argument as in [4]. ■

The next Lemma allows us to prove the existence of critical points of J . The proof is very similar to that in [4], we omit it here.

Lemma 5.6. *If $c = c_k = c_{k+1} = \dots = c_{k+r}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists $\lambda^* > 0$ such that*

$$\gamma(K_c) \geq r + 1,$$

for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$.

5.1 Proof of Theorem 1.3

If $-\infty < c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_k < \dots < 0$ with $c_i \neq c_j$, since each c_k is critical value of J , then we obtain infinitely many critical points of J and, hence problem $(P2_\lambda)$ has infinitely many solutions.

On the other hand, if there are two constants $c_k = c_{k+r}$, then $c = c_k = c_{k+1} = \dots = c_{k+r}$ and from Lemma 5.6, there exists $\lambda^* > 0$ such that

$$\gamma(K_c) \geq r + 1 \geq 2$$

for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$. From Proposition 3.2, K_c has infinitely many points, that is, problem $(P2_\lambda)$ has infinitely many solutions. ■

References

- [1] C. O. Alves and A. El Hamidi, *Existence of solution for a anisotropic equation with critical exponent*, Differential Integral Equations, 21, (2008), no. 1-2, 25-40.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti and P. H. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Functional Analysis, 14, (1973), 349-381.
- [3] S. Antontsev, J. I Díaz and S. Shmarev, *Energy methods for free boundary problems: applications to nonlinear PDEs and fluid mechanics*, In: Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 48, Birkhäse, Boston (2002).
- [4] J. García-Azorero and I. Peral, *Multiplicity of solutions for elliptic problems with critical exponent or with a nonsymmetric term*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 323, (1991), 877-895.
- [5] J. Bear, *Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media*, American Elsevier, New York (1972).
- [6] V. Benci, *On critical points theory for indefinite functionals in the presence of symmetric*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 274 (1982) 533-572.
- [7] M. Bendahmane and K. H. Karlsen, *Renormalized solutions of an anisotropic reaction-diffusion-advection system with L^1 data*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 5(4), (2006) 733-762.
- [8] M. Bendahmane, M. Langlais and M. Saad, *On some anisotropic reaction-diffusion systems with L^1 -data modeling the propagation of an epidemic disease.*, Nonlinear Anal. 54(4), (2003) 617-636.
- [9] A. Di Castro, M. Pérez-Llanos and J. M. Urbano, *Limits of anisotropic and degenerate elliptic problems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Anal., 11, (2012), 1217-1229.
- [10] A. Di Castro, *Existence and Regularity Results for Anisotropic Elliptic Problems*, Adv. Nonl. Studies, 9, (2009), 367-293.
- [11] A. Di Castro and E. Montefusco, *Nonlinear eigenvalues for anisotropic quasilinear degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonl. Analysis, 70, (2009), 4093-4105.
- [12] A. Castro, *Metodos variacionales y analisis funcional no linear*, X Coloquio colombiano de Matematicas, 1980.
- [13] D. G. Costa, *Topicos em analise não linear e aplicações as equações diferenciais*, Escola Latino-Americano de Matematica, 1986.

- [14] G. M Figueiredo and J. R. Santos Júnior, *Multiplicity of solutions for a Kirchhoff equation with subcritical or critical growth*, Differential and Integral Equations, 25, (2012), 853-868.
- [15] A. El Hamidi and J.M. Rakotoson, *Extremal functions for the anisotropic Sobolev inequalities*, Ann. I. H. Poincaré, 24, (2007), 741-756.
- [16] A. El Hamidi and J.M. Rakotoson, *Compactness and quasilinear problems with critical exponent*, Diff. Int. Equation, 18, (2005), 1201-1220.
- [17] I. Fragala, F. Gazzola, and B. Kawohl, *Existence and nonexistence results for anisotropic quasilinear elliptic equations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 21, (2004), 715-734.
- [18] M. A. Krasnoselskii, *Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations*. MacMillan, New York, 1964.
- [19] D. C Clark, *A variant of the Lusternik-Schnirelman theory*, Indiana Univ. Math., J. 22, (1972), 65-74.
- [20] S.N. Kruzhkov and I.M. Kolodii, *On the theory of embedding of anisotropic Sobolev spaces*, Russian Math. Surveys 38, (1983), 188-189.
- [21] S.M. Nikol'skii, *On imbedding, continuation and approximation theorems for differentiable functions of several variables*, Russian Math. Surv. 16, (1961), 55-104.
- [22] J. Rákosnik, *Some remarks to anisotropic Sobolev spaces I*, Beiträge zur Analysis, 13, (1979), 55-69.
- [23] J. Rákosnik, *Some remarks to anisotropic Sobolev spaces II*, Beiträge zur Analysis, 13, (1981), 127-140.
- [24] M. Troisi, *Teoremi di inclusione per spazi di Sobolev non isotropi*, Ricerche Mat., 18, (1969), 3-24.
- [25] J. Weickert, *Anisotropic diffusion in image processing*, European Consortium for Mathematics in Industry, B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1998.
- [26] J. Vétois, *The blow-up of critical anisotropic equations with critical directions*, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. 18, (2011), 173-197.
- [27] J. Vétois, *Existence and regularity for critical anisotropic equations with critical directions*, Adv. Differential Equations 16, (2011), 61-83.